On the absence and presence of pole(s) in ferm ion propagator in the sim ple model with m ass generation

V. Sauli¹ and F. K leefeld²

¹CFTP and Departamento de F sica, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal ²Department of Theoretical Physics, Nuclear Physics Institute, Reznear Prague, CZ-25068, Czech Republic

W ithin the Pauli-V illars regularization technique the ferm ion propagator is studied in the fram ework of Schwinger-D yson equations in the Euclidean space. M aking the generalization of Fukuda and K ugo proposals, the analytical continuation is performed into the timelike region of fourmomenta. The massive gauge boson is considered and the ferm ion propagator pole structure is discussed in detail.

PACS num bers: 11.10.St, 11.15.Tk

I. IN TRODUCTION

The natural nonperturbative fram ework for the study of infrared properties of QCD G reen's functions in the whole M inkow ski space is the form align of Schwinger-D yson equations (SDEs). The complex of SDEs is an in nite tower of coupled integral equations which must be truncated to be tractable in practice. Most of the studies concerns the solution of SDEs in Quantum Chromodynam ics [1] where it is believed they can give the correct description of chiral symmetry breaking and con nement. It is well known fact the the masses of the particles can be largely enhanced in strong coupling theory like QCD. The property and di culty to extract a correct picture of dynam ical mass generation attractsm uch attention in recent modeling of QCD [2, 3], Technicolors [4] and strong coupling models with spinless scalar bosons [5]. The models in two later cases represent the dynam ical alternatives to Standard model Higgs mechanism. These models can break symmetry but avoid the introduction of negative scalar boson mass term for this purpose.

In QCD, this is certainly the asymptotic freedom and its associated regulating character of the ultraviolet modes which makes chiral symmetry breaking physically meaningful. The same is assumed to be valid for Technicolor, how ever where the typical scale is readjusted about a few orders of magnitudes. In possible strong interacting Higgs extensions of Standard model the mechanism can be di erent since it could follow the ultraviolet completions of such models. In general, the mechanism is not completely understood but it is expected it can be quite exotic as mother strong interacting theory can be [6]. Having no direct experimental hints which mechanism of mass generations the Nature has chosen, we can construct models based on elective interactions. The should describe correctly physics until some energy scales, above this, the underlying but unknown theory is assumed to be valid.

In this paper we make a look on a simply approximated gauge theory of unspecied symmetry and regularize the high energy mode by Pauli-V illars regulators. The Pauli-V illars regulators then can be interpreted as the e ect of physically hidden sector which e ects is observed rather indirectly in the physical spectrum. Very recently, the old fashionable idea of W ick and Lee [7] has been reopened in [8]. To this point we consider strongly interacting theory and extend the technique of Fukuda-K ugo solution [9] of gap equation to the case of Pauli-V illars regularization. We consider su ciently heavy gauge boson with mass satisfying < , where is Pauli-V illars regulator. To see, what is the physical pole mass of ferm ion which received its mass dynamically, we make a continuation of the Schwinger-D yson equation to the tim elike axis of momenta $p^2 > 0$. We found rather nontrivial results depending on the mass ratio = .

The layout of the paper is as follows. Section II is devoted to the overview of Fukuda-Kugo (tim elike) ladder ferm ion SDE with hard cuto regularization. The results with massless and massive gauge boson propagators inside the loop of SDE are obtained by the direct solution of the original integral equation. The absence of ferm ion poles has been actually con m ed in the region predicted by the authors of [9], however, in the light of our further discussion we di er in the interpretation. In Section III we do the same for the equation with Pauli-Villars regulator. The numerical solution is shown in Section IV. Contrary to the naively regularized ladder QED, the real ferm ion pole has been always identied, at least for nonvanishing . Note, the case of vanishing boson mass is more complicated issue and to this point the reader can see rather recent paper [10] where the study of propagator of con ned quarks has been attempted. Note for completeness and bearing in m ind the fact that even gluons can reach their masses dynam ically [12], the technical method proposed here can be straightforwardly used for "QCD with massive gluons". In Section V we discuss the possible form of singularities in the context of Lorentz invariance constraint. In C onclusion (Section V I) the basic results are sum marized and further directions of research within this approach are outlined.

In this paper we will use the following conventions: the positive variables x; y will represent the square of m on entain the whole M inkowski space, i.e. for instance $x = p^2$ for timelike m on entawhen $p^2 > 0$ while $x = p^2$ for

 p^2 in spacelike region. Note, our metric is g = diag(1; 1; 1; 1). For purpose of clarity we label the mass function B as B_s in the spacelike region of fourm on enta and as B_t when evaluated for timelike fourm on entum (i.e. B $(p^2) = B_s(x)$ $(p^2) + B_t(x)$ (p^2)).

II. MASKAWA-NAKAJIMA/FUKUDA-KUGO EQUATION

In this Section we review some basic facts on the M askawa-N aka jim a/Fukuda-K ugo equation. This equation represents ladder approximation of the ferm ion SDE with the momentum integration is regulated by an upper boundary cuto $= p_{E,max}^2$.

In parity conserving theory the ferm ion propagator S can be characterized by two independent scalar function A; B such that S (p) = $[A \ 6p \ B]^{-1}$ (bare ferm ion propagator is S₀ = $[6p \ m_0]^{-1}$). In the ladder approximation, the equation for inverse of S can be written

$$(pA (p^2) B (p^2) = (p m_0 ig^2)^2 \frac{d^4q}{(2)^4} G (p q)S(q)$$
 (2.1)

where m_0 is a bare m ass and G is boson propagator. The equation Eq. (2.1) and the classication of the solutions has been discussed in [11] especially in Landau-like gauge for which the massive propagator reads

G (q) =
$$\frac{g + \frac{q}{q^2}}{q^2 - 2 + i''}$$
: (2.2)

Few years later, Fukuda and K ugo have found the solution for the tim elike m om enta for = 0. They observe no real pole for resulting ferm ion propagator. Hence the absence of free propagating m ode has been interpreted as a sign for con nem ent at that time. First, disregarding the known de ciency of integral cuto regularization scheme, we overview the method of solution and resolve (2.1) also for nonzero. Up to our know ledge such solution has never been explicitly published in the literature. We leave the question of the reliability of 'would be' con ning solution into the discussing section IV ..

In the next we will follow the paper [9], using A = 1 approximation and making W ick rotation and angular integration (see Appendix A) we get

$$B_{s}(\mathbf{x}) = m_{0} + \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{-2}} dy \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)} K(\mathbf{x};y;^{-2})$$

$$K(\mathbf{x};y;^{-2}) = \frac{2y}{x + y + 2 + \frac{p}{2} (x + y + 2)^{2} - 4xy}$$
(2.3)

where is the cuto and where $C = 3g^2C_2(R)=4^2$, $C_2(R)$ denotes the Casim ir invariant of the quark representation ($C_2(R) = 4=3$ for QCD). The equation (2.3) can be quite easily solved by the method of iteration avoiding thus inconvenient conversion to the nonlinear di erential equation. When $m_0 = 0$ but $B \in 0$ we talk about dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

Having kept the solution $B_s(y)$ for spacelike y we can de ne the 'synthetic ferm ion mass' $\hat{B}(x)$ at timelike axis of fourm on enta such that $\hat{B}(x) = B_s(x)$ is a solution of integral (2.3) for timelike x:

$$\hat{B}(x) = m_{0} + \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{2} dy \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)} K(x;y;)$$

$$K(x;y;) = \frac{2y}{x + y + 2 + p} \frac{2y}{x + y + 2)^{2} + 4xy} : (2.4)$$

which represents correct continuation until the rst singularity is crossed on the timelike axis of p^2 . The continuation on the usual physical cut of timelike momenta $x > (m +)^2$ reads

$$B_{t}(x) = m_{0} + \hat{B}(x) - \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{0}^{(p} - x^{-})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y - B_{t}^{2}(y) + i''} X(x;y;^{2})$$
(2.5)

where X (x;y; 2) is the discontinuity of K (x;y; 2) over the physical cut:

$$X (x;y; ^{2}) = \frac{p (x + 2)^{2} (4xy)}{x}; \qquad (2.6)$$



FIG.1: Dynam ical mass function for spacelike (left) and timelike (right) region of fourmomenta for massive boson case and hard UV cuto. The solutions are labeled by $= g^2=4$. On the right panel the lines representing the imaginary part of B becomes nonzero above the threshold. Notice, there are two solutions for the coupling = 1.4. The pole mass are identied by the crossing of thin dotted line (the function $\sqrt{(p^2)}$).

and note the presence of Feynman in nitesimali", which has been om itted in the original paper [9].

To see this continuation was correct let us assume the smoothness of B at the vicinity of the pole $y = m^2$, i.e. $B_t^2(m^2) = m^2$. Then one can use the functional relation

$$\frac{1}{0 \text{ i}} = P : \frac{1}{0} \text{ i} \quad (0)$$
(2.7)

and obtain the dom inant contribution to the absorptive part of m ass function B

Im B (x) =
$$\frac{3C}{4}$$
 m X (x; m²; ²) (x (+m)²); (2.8)

where stands for the standard H eaviside step function. C learly, (2.8) represents one loop perturbation theory result when C is a small parameter, 3C = 4 < < 1.

In general one have to solve the Eq. (2.5). A sum ing sm oothness of the function B the equation (2.5) can be rewritten as follows:

$$B_{t}(x) = m_{0} + \hat{B}(x) \quad i\frac{3C}{4} \int_{j}^{X} \frac{m_{j}}{j! 2B_{t}B_{t}^{0}j} X(x;m_{j}^{2}; ^{2}) (x (+m_{j})^{2}) I(x)$$
(2.9)

$$I(x) = \frac{3C}{4}P: \int_{0}^{Z} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X(x;y;^{2}) (x^{2})$$
(2.10)

where j runs over the roots of Eq. y $B_{t}^{2}(y) = 0$ and where we have used the following abbreviation:

$$j = 2B_{t}B_{t}^{0}j = 1 = 2B_{t}(y)\frac{dB_{t}(y)}{dy}_{y_{j}=m_{1}^{2}} = 1 = \frac{dB_{t}(y)}{d(y^{1-2})}_{y_{j}=m_{1}^{2}} :$$
(2.11)

The Eq. (2.9) represents integral inhom ogeneous equations (even for zero m₀) with the one singular kernel in the integrand of I. It can be solved by a standard num ericalm ethod and we provide som e details in the Appendix B. The dynam ical chiral sym m etry breaking is of great interest for us and we will concern on these solutions in this paper. Inclusion of sm all explicit chiral breaking term is straightforward and we have this case aside of our interest.

In order to scale the dimensionfull quantities we take = 1 in arbitrary units. Further, the numerical hard cuto is adjusted to be $= e^5$ ' 148 . Its value constraints the meaningful region of the square of fourmomenta as $p^2 2$ (2 ; 2). The numerical solutions for various coupling constant are presented in Fig. 1.

As expected already from the discussion in [9] there are several phases of the theory. They have been con med and actually found also here. They are as follows:

I. Chiral symmetric phase-for the coupling below the critical value $_{c}$, there is only trivial solution B = 0.

II. Chiral symmetry breaking phase, where the propagator has a real pole. In this case we get also nontrivial solution for B. For our parameters ; this phase is characterized by the coupling strength 2 ($_{c}$;1:4) and the pole mass m is at most of order. In fact, we can observe and have found two solution for = 1:4. A lthough numerically they both cut the axis p^2 , the one of them only sweep the region under the diagonal and their timelike high energy asymptotic crucially dier. Of course, the question of uniqueness of such continuation naturally arises.

III. Chiral sym metry breaking phase above = 1.4, where the mass ratio m = '42 (and B (0)'3) is achieved, the pole and associate analytical cut vanish and the mass function blows to 'in nity' like p^2 for large p^2 . Note, no sign of such transition is observed in the spacelike regime. Clearly the spacelike solution does not reject dramatic changes that happen in timelike part of the M inkowski space. The solutions in the regime III. have been originally called 'con ning' because of the absence of realpole and associate particle production threshold. In fact we have to be more careful with the interpretation, particularly because the W ick rotation is not allowed, the rotation of the contour cross the singularity at timelike momentum in nity. Clearly, in this case the hard cuto regularization of the momentum integral does not commute with the W ick rotation and there is neither con dence that we obtain a true solution in the whole M inkowski space. This is because we are dealing with non-asymptotic free theory where the result are not independent on the UV integral cuto . We argue here, the "would be" con ning solution would be meaningful only when the theory is de ned in the Euclidean space from the beginning. Clearly, this is not the case of ladder QED and the observed nontrivial solution can be an artifact of given scheme. A lthough we suppose that these things are rather well known, we discussed them explicitly here for the purpose of clarity.

A . M assless vector boson

The solution for massless vector boson has been rstly obtain in [9]. For this purpose the authors of the paper [9] transform the integral equation into the di erential one which has been solved numerically. Here we can me their solution by direct solution of the original integral equation. Taking a limit ! 0 in Eq's (2.3) and (2.9) is straightforward. The SDE for selfenergy in the massless case (m₀ = = 0) then reads

$$B_{s}(x) = \int_{0}^{2} dy \frac{y}{x} = 1 \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)} + \frac{<>}{N_{c}}$$
(2.12)

$$B_{t}(x) = \frac{\langle \rangle}{N_{c}} - \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{x}} dy = 1 - \frac{y}{x} - \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y - B_{t}^{2}(y)}$$
(2.13)

where N_c is the number of colors and where we have already on itted intensi m al in aginary prefactor i" since as it is known that the equation $y = B_t^2(y) = 0$ has no real roots in hard cuto theory. The inhom ogeneous term in (2.13) is simple constant-the usual ferm ion condensate:

$$\hat{B} = \frac{\langle \rangle}{N_{c}} = \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z_{c}^{2}} \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)}$$
(2.14)

The num erical results are shown in Fig. 2 for three distinct values of the coupling constant. Similarly to previously discussed region III of massive boson case the results could be interpreted with a great care. A gain the W ick rotation invalidates because of naive regularization scheme and the resulting timelike high momentum behaviour can be an artifact of inappropriate calculation scheme.

III. DYNAM ICAL MASS GENERATION W ITH PAULI-VILLARS REGULARIZATION

In this Section we consider the ferm ion gap equation regularized by Pauli-V illars regulator function. Such construction is very straightforward in our approximation since it achieved by the replacement of the gauge boson propagator by the sum of ordinary, i.e. original one propagator, and the "wrong sign" boson propagator but with Pauli-V illars mass instead of . Explicitly, we make the following in the kernel of the gap equation

$$\frac{g + \frac{k}{k^2}}{k^2 - 2 + i''}! \quad g + \frac{k}{k^2} \quad \frac{1}{k^2 - 2 + i''} \quad \frac{1}{k^2 - 2 + i''} \quad (3.1)$$





FIG.2: Ferm ion dynamical mass function in ladder approximation with hard cuto $^2 = e^{10}$ (in arbitrary units) for the three value of the coupling constant =

1:4;15;10. The thin dotted line represents $\sqrt{p^2}$, the so-FIG .3: Dynam ical quark mass for = 4 as described in lution for timelike momenta are labeled by thick 'upper' the text.

lines, the spacelike solutions are added for the com parison (decreasing lines of the same type belongs to the same coupling, clearly B_s and B_t are identical for $x = p^2 = 0$)

W ithin a nite we do not consider the hard cuto , which is formally removed from now .

and where we have also introduced elective gluon mass $% \mathcal{A}$.

In order to safely de ne the pole ferm ion mass we consider massive boson case in presented study. We suppose that the timelike singularity can be drastically changed due to the presence of strong interaction and we have this complicated problem for separate numerical search and discussion elsewhere. However, as usually we assume no dynam ical singularities in the ferm ion propagator in the rst and the third quadrant of complex p^2 plane. It not even allow s to perform standard W ick rotation, but the main advantage of presented method is that we can readily follow the Fukuda-K ugo trick and make the continuation to the timelike axis.

Doing this explicitly we get for spacelike solution:

$$B_{s}(x) = m_{0} + -\frac{Z_{1}}{0} dy \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)} K(x;y)$$

$$K(x;y) = K(x;y;^{2}) K(x;y;^{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2x} f^{2} 2^{p} \frac{P(x + y + 2)^{2}}{(x + y + 2)^{2}} 4xy + \frac{P(x + y + 2)^{2}}{(x + y + 2)^{2}} 4xyg$$
(3.2)

and the continuation on the analyticity cut reads

$$B_{t}(x) = \hat{B}(x) \quad I(x) + i \qquad X \quad \frac{X \quad (x;m_{j}^{2}; \ ^{2}) \quad (x \quad (+m_{j})^{2}) \quad X \quad (x;m_{j}^{2}; \ ^{2}) \quad (x \quad (+m_{j})^{2})}{1 \quad \frac{dB_{t}(y)}{d(y^{1-2})}};$$
(3.3)
$$\hat{B}(x) = m_{0} + - \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} dy \frac{B_{s}(y)}{y + B_{s}^{2}(y)} K \quad (x;y);$$
$$I(x) = -P: \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}(p_{\overline{x}})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X \quad (x;y; \ ^{2}) \quad (x \quad ^{2}) \quad -P: \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}(p_{\overline{x}})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{B_{t}^{2}(y)} X \quad (x;y; \ ^{2}) \quad (x \quad ^{2}) = -P: \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}(p_{\overline{x}})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{B_{t}^{2}(y)} X \quad (x;y; \ ^{2}) \quad (x \quad ^{2}) = -P: \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}(p_{\overline{x}})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{B_{t}^{2}(y)} X \quad (x;y; \ ^{2}) = \frac{1}{2x} f^{2} \qquad 2 \qquad P \quad (x + y + \ ^{2})^{2} + 4xy + P \quad (x + y + \ ^{2})^{2} + 4xy = 1$$





FIG. 4: Dynamical fermion mass for = 5and = = 0.1.

FIG. 5: Dynamical masses for 'super-strong' coupling as described in the text. Solid lines represent result for = 10 and $p = \frac{1}{0.001}$ 0:1, dashed line stands for = 5 and = = 0.001

(3.4)

The equations (3.3) have been solved num erically by the method of iterations, some useful details concerning the num erical treatment can be found in the Appendix.

Rem ind here known feature of QCD scaling: the constituent quark m asses are of the same size as the QCD scale, i.e. B (0) ' . In this paper, for any reason, we freely focus on a larger region of parameter space providing us the results for softer coupling B (0) < and stronger B (0) > couplings as well as. We plot sam ple of numerical solutions in Fig. 3-6. For most of the solutions we x the mass ratio to be 2 = 2 = 10, the exception is explicitly mentioned. The critical value of the coupling has been identied c' 3.8, below that we do observe the trivial solution only. In Fig. 3 the numerical solution is plotted for the coupling strength = 4.0. Being rather close to the critical value c, the quark propagator has a one realpole at some point m which value is very closed to the infrared m ass B (0). W ithout any doubt, the constituent m ass can be freely identied with the infrared m ass or with the pole one. In Fig. 4, the solution for = 5 is shown and we observe that propagator develops two realpoles under the rst branch point. The absorptive part of B is largely enhanced because the both di erentiations dB (m)=dm are not so far from 1 (see Eq. (3.3)). Such solution is possible since the boson is massive, however it m ay be an artifact of our approxim ation. The observation of two pole solutions is in agreement with sin ilar observation m ad in [13], however we regard this as a curiosity rather then possible physical scenario. Increasing the coupling further, then the second pole vanishes at some epoint and we retain with the one realpole solution again. This situation is exhibited in Fig 5. Note, the propagator becomes largely enhance below m, since the function B_t lies very closed to the diagonal of

 p^2 ; B_t graph. Contrary to the case of solutions with two poles, the imaginary part of B appears to be suppressed now, because of dimension B⁰(m) < 0. In that case the pole mass largely dimension the infrared value B (0), it is typically few times higher.

IV. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

In this section we continue the discussion of the results and qualitatively compare with the already known results presented in literature. We also discussed the location of the poles in the complex plane and its relations with the violation of Lorentz invariance.



FIG.6: Realpart of the dynam ical mass function for $x = p^2$. The lines represent the models characterized by the couple of parameters and the ratio $2^2 = 2^2$ as shown in the box.

In QCD with chiral quarks the asymptotic freedom ensures the niteness of dynamically generated m asses without any use of regularization scheme. In our case the in nity is regulated by the Pauli-V illars term induced by auxiliary unphysical eld added to the Lagrangian, hence the mass function would be nite even when the bare mass is added. As we have checked explicitly for small bare mass, it a ect the ultraviolet behaviour of the mass function but has a little e ect in the infrared and the singularity structure remains the same. The main result of our paper is the num erical observation of the fact that the real singularity is never absent in our translation invariance preserving calculation scheme. The singularity is a real pole for large parameter space of ; and How ever approaching the limit of vanishing boson mass , the pole is going to meet the branch point and the situation is getting more complicated. In Fig. 5 the result is plotted for a small ratio 2 = 2 = 0.001. We should note that the exact massless limit m ay not be well de ned in approach presented here so that Fukuda-K ugo continuation method is not fully justi ed in this case. Recall, the functional used in our equations is de ned on the set of di erentiable functions, while in contradiction, the di erentiation B 0 (m) m ay not exist in the exact massless case = 0. The zero boson mass case will be studied elsewhere due to its own serious physical consequences.

Stating without proof, we suppose that true mass generation and the evidence of nontrivial pole of the ferm ion propagator go hand by hand with the property of asym ptotic freedom (decreasing of e ective coupling at ultraviolet), whilst the absence of real pole as happened to the propagator function in Fukuda-Kugo equation is artifact of improper calculation scheme (hard cuto regularization). Further note, the num erical results obtained here qualitatively correspond with the results of the papers [13], where the same model was studied in the M inkowski space for the rst time. At this place we discuss some comm on and some distinct features of solutions presented here and in the paper [13]. In both approaches the validity of W ick rotation is assumed. In other words, no (dynam ically generated) com plex singularities are assumed in the $\,$ rst and the third quadrant of $m p^2$ com plex plane. The m ain distinction is the analyticity assum ption explicitly used in the paper [13], where it was assum ed that the propagator is holom orphic in the whole $p^2 \cos p$ lex plane up to a real positive sem i-axis and that the propagator satisfy certain integral (generalized spectral) representation. To this point, there is no sim ilar assumption in the approach developed by Fukuda-Kugo and followed in presented paper. In the method presented here the correlator at timelike regime of fourm omenta is built on a base of the know ledge of its spacelike counter-partner. However, being possibly out of the dom ain of analyticity we should be aware that such continuation is by no mean unique. On the other hand, there is also reasonable quantitative agreement with [13], especially when we are not far form the critical coupling characterizing dynam ical chiral sym m etry breaking. A ctually, depending on the coupling strength the propagator develops one or two realpoles with corresponding branch points providing their values are in an approxim at agreem ent with [13].

In the literature there is a certain e ort to guess the complex structure of QCD G reen's function by the method of continuation of Euclidean result or by indirect reading from the phenom enological consequences which would follow form particularly assumed singularity structure of G reens functions. C om plex conjugated singularities of quark and

ferm ion propagator in planar strong coupling QED have been considered in the context of con nem ent [14] and in PT sym metric Q uantum Field theory [15]. Additionally recent studies have modeled Euclidean space lattice data with propagators that have complex conjugate singularities [16, 17]. More phenom enologically, the meson bound states [18] and the parton distributions [19] has been calculated with a quark consisting of pairs of complex four momenta. In many, if not at all of these recent studies, the fact that complex singularity structure can a ect the Lorentz invariance of the theory has been overbooked. Therefore, in what follow we mention the question of W ick rotation, location of complex poles and possible lost of Lorentz invariance in order to pay attention for.

A ctually, increasing the coupling strength one can expect that apart of the real pole a new complex singularities appear. The area of real part of p² where we can expect new complex singularities in the propagator function is indicated by the ellipses in Fig. 6. In recent, we are not able to estim ate the characteristic of the behaviour and/or the position of these complex singularities with good con dence. Instead of this, we would like to present the argument which largely enforces our believe in the validity of W ick rotation in a case of full realistic solution of Schwinger-Dyson equations. Recall at this place, there is known relation between location of complex singularities of G reens functions and the Lorentz invariance of the theory. Indeed, its more than 30 years known that com plex singularities located simultaneously on both side of the real axis of p^2 autom atically generates Lorentz violating peaces in the propagator itself [20]. In this case the naive W ick rotation invalidates and one has to account the complex singularities in a suitable m anner. A ctually, assuming the complex poles (with non vanishing Im part) one can exhibit the existence of Lorentz violating pieces originally not expected in. The evaluation of the appropriate Feynm an integral has been exhibited for the case of complex conjugated poles in [20] (some prefactor has been corrected in the paper [21], the actual evaluation has been performed for scalar eld content only, the extension to the loop integral with internal ferm ion links is rather straightforward) due to the rather di erent reasons. The generalization to more general locations of singularities were already discussed in [20]. From these arguments it follows: if the true exact solution respect the Lorentz invariance of the theory then selfconsistence of the Schwinger-Dyson equation solution for quark propagator can not involve complex poles on the both sides of the real axis of the square of the fourm om entum. In Lorentz invariant theory, the W ick rotation is indirectly justi ed in this way.

V. CONCLUSION

The model we have described, is of course not true QCD and hence we do not claim that the ferm ion propagator we have studied here is truly representative of the singularity structure of the quark propagator. In a more realistic models, which include the dressed vertex unction and/or higher order skeleton graphs, one expects that the details of the propagator structure would be different. It should also incorporate the aspect of asymptotic freedom in a more proper way. However, our study demonstrates that singularity structure of the quark propagator is very likely dom inated by the real singularity and we expect a quantitative but not a qualitative changes when the approximations improve. We discuss possible singularity structure in the entire complex plane ofmomenta. We argue, in the Lorentz covariant form ulation of QCD (and any QFT) the singularities of the propagators (and of integral kernel of gap equations at all) must appear only on the one side -upper or down-separately for the left and the right half complex plane. O therw ise we necessarily sacrify Lorentz invariance of the theory. This argument strongly support the validity of W ick rotations in Lorentz invariant theory.

VI. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

V.S and was supported by the grant GA CR 202/06/0746 FK.was supported by MSMT, \D oppler Institute" project Nr. LC 06002.

APPENDIX A: ANGULAR INTEGRATION

The following integral:

$$Z_{1} \frac{p}{dz} \frac{1}{z} \frac{z^{2}}{z} = (a + p \frac{1}{a^{2}});$$
(A1)

is used in order to perform the angular integration in SDEs.

1. I for Fukuda-Kugo equation

SDE for timelike momentum (2.9) represents the complex inhomogeneous integral equation with singular kernel. In this appendix we describe some details how to numerically deal with. The integral to be evaluated reads

$$I(x) = \frac{3C}{4}P: \int_{0}^{Z} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X(x;y;^{2}) (x^{2})$$
(B1)

noting that the other terms in the SDE represent regular integrals with a sm ooth kernel.

Let us assume that we have m add a good guess of the value of the pole m ass m, then it is convenient to w rite dow n the expression (B1) separately for various regime of m on entater m I equivalently as follows

$$I(x) = 0$$
 $x < 2$ (B2)

The function B (y) is real below the thresholds and the kernel is regular for x below the threshold, hence there is no need to denote P: in front of integral since $y < m^2$ for (x) < m +. At the point (x) = m + the kernel singularity is suppressed by vanishing function X, thus we can safely write

$$I(x) = \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{(D_{x})^{2}}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X(x;y;^{2}) \qquad 2 < x < (+m)^{2}$$
(B3)

For a larger x we necessarily cross the singularity in the kernel, however B (y) remains real to the threshold and we consider this regime separately:

$$I(x) = \frac{3C}{4}P: \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{(P_{\overline{x}})^{2}}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X(x;y;^{2}); \qquad (+m)^{2} < x < (2+m)^{2}$$
(B4)

Increasing x the kernel become complex and we divide the integral to the P. value integration over the real B and to the regular integration over the regular kernel with complex B:

$$I(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{3C}{4}P: \frac{Z_{(m+)^{2}}}{y_{m}^{2}B_{t}^{2}(\mathbf{y})_{m}} X_{(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y};^{2})} + \frac{3C}{4} \frac{Z_{(p}^{(p}\overline{\mathbf{x}_{+})^{2}}}{(m+)^{2}} d\mathbf{y}X_{(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y};^{2})} R \frac{Y_{R}^{2}}{(\mathbf{y}_{R}^{2}+\frac{2}{1})^{2}+4\frac{2}{R}\frac{2}{2}}{(\mathbf{y}_{R}^{2}+\frac{2}{1})^{2}+4\frac{2}{R}\frac{2}{2}} + i \frac{Y+\frac{2}{R}+\frac{2}{1}}{(\mathbf{y}_{R}^{2}+\frac{2}{1})^{2}+4\frac{2}{R}\frac{2}{2}} ;$$
(B5)

where we have used following shorthand notation

$$R = R eB_t(y); \quad I = Im B_t(y):$$
(B6)

To avoid some unwanted numerical uctuations which usually stem from asymmetric distribution of mesh points when P.integration is numerically performed, we use a standard trick. Consider for this purpose the third of considered momentum regime where x runs over the interval $((+ m)^2; (2 + m)^2)$ (see (B4)). In our numerical treatment the integral is replaced by a discrete sum with the appropriate (G aussian) weights, i.e.

$$I(x) = \frac{3C}{4}P: \int_{0}^{Z (\frac{p}{x})^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)}X(x;y;^{2}) ! \frac{3C}{4} \int_{1}^{X} w(y_{j}) \frac{B_{t}(y_{j})}{y_{j} B_{t}^{2}(y_{j})}X(x;y_{j};^{2}):$$
(B7)

The num erical uctuations are subtracted by the following trick

$$\frac{3C}{4} \bigvee_{j} w(y_{j}) \frac{B_{t}(y_{j})}{y_{j}} \frac{B_{t}(y_{j})}{B_{t}^{2}(y_{j})} X(x;y_{j}; ^{2})$$

$$= \frac{3C}{4} \bigvee_{j} w(y_{j}) \frac{B_{t}(y_{j})}{y_{j}} \frac{B_{t}(y_{j})}{B_{t}^{2}(y_{j})} X(x;y_{j}; ^{2}) \frac{m X(x;m^{2}; ^{2})}{y_{j}} + X(x;m^{2}; ^{2}) \log j \frac{p(x_{j})^{2}}{m^{2}} \int_{j}^{2} (B8)$$

where the later two terms vanishes in the exact continuum limit. We have found this approach is very stable and the pole mass m can be identi ed after few runs of the iteration program. In order to achieve better stability, the actual search of the root of equation B (x) x = 0 has been performed by hand for each solution. A fler making a few iterations in m_j then the achieved numerical accuracy of our search is estimated by m' step=m where 'step' m eans the di erence of two neighboring points at vicinity of m. Likewise in the case of SDE in Euclidean space, the integrals were cut by the ultraviolet cuto .

2. K ernel with Pauli-V illars propagator added

Generalizing to the case of Eq. (3.3) is rather straightforward. The principal value integration is treated in the same fashion as in the case of Fukuda-K ugo equation. Now the relevant term reads

$$I(x) = I(x) I(x);$$
 (B9)

where I and I are the integrals considered previously, but where is replaced by QCD scale $_{QCD}$ in the later case. Contrary to the previous case the integral is insensitive to the value of upper boundary $_{\rm H}$, when it $_{\rm H} > _{_{QCD}}$

For completeness we list the function I $\,$ bellow

$$I (x) = 0; x < {}^{2}$$

$$I (x) = \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z (p - x)^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X (x;y;{}^{2}); {}^{2} < x < (+m)^{2}$$

$$I (x) = \frac{3C}{4} P: \int_{0}^{Z (p - x)^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X (x;y;{}^{2}); (+m)^{2} < x < (++m)^{2}$$

$$I (x) = \frac{3C}{4} P: \int_{0}^{Z (m+)^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X (x;y;{}^{2}) + \frac{3C}{4} \int_{0}^{Z (p - x)^{2}} dy \frac{B_{t}(y)}{y B_{t}^{2}(y)} X (x;y;{}^{2}) + \frac{3C}{4} \int_{(m+)^{2}}^{Z (p - x)^{2}} dy X (x;y;{}^{2}) R \frac{y - \frac{2}{R} - \frac{2}{T}}{(y - \frac{2}{R} + \frac{2}{T})^{2} + 4 \frac{2}{R} - \frac{2}{T}} + i r \frac{y + \frac{2}{R} + \frac{2}{T}}{(y - \frac{2}{R} + \frac{2}{T})^{2} + 4 \frac{2}{R} - \frac{2}{T}}$$
(B10)

The treatment of principal value integrals is the same as in the previous case of Fukuda-Kugo equation.

- [1] M andelstam S., Phys. Rev. D 20, 3223 (1979); H igashijim a K., Phys. Rev. D 29, 1228 (1984); B rown N., Pennington M. R., Phys. Rev. D 39; 2723 (1989); L. von Sm ekal, A. hauck and R. A lkofer, Ann. Phys. 267; 1 (1998); Atkinson D., B loch J.C. R., Phys. Rev. D 58, 094036n (1998); Cornwall J.M., Phys. Rev. D 26, 1453 (1982); C.S. Fischer, J. Phys.G 32, R 253 (2006).
- [2] L. Chang, Y. X. Liu, M. S. Bhagwat, C. D. Roberts and S.V. Wright, Phys. Rev. C 75, 015201 (2007), [hucl-th/0605058].
- [3] R.W illiam s, C.S.Fischer, M.R.Pennnigton, arX iv 0704 2296, hep-ph.
- [4] M.Kurachi, R.Shrock, JHEP 0612, 034 (2006).
- [5] P.Benes, T.Brauner, J.Hosek, Phys. Rev. D 75, 056003 (2007).
- [6] N. ArkaniHamed, A.G. Cohen, H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. B 513, 232 (2001); N. ArkaniHamed, A.G. Cohen, T. Gregoire, E. Katz, A.E. Nelson, J.G. Wacker, JHEP 0208,021 (2002); B.Grinstein, M. Trott, arX iv:0704.1505.
- [7] T D.Lee and G C.W ick, Nucl. Phys. B9, 209 (1969); T D.Lee and G C.W ick, Nucl. Phys. D 2, 1033 (1970).
- [8] B.Grinstein, D.O Connell, and M.B.W ise, arXiv:0704.1845 8hep-ph]
- [9] Fukuda R., Kugo T., Nucl. Phys. B 117, 250 (1976).
- [10] V. Sauli, arX iv:0704.2566 .

[12] M.J.Lavelle and M.Schaden, Phys.Lett.B 208, 297 (1988); F.V.G ubarev, L.Stodolsky and V.I.Zakharov, Phys.Rev. Lett. 86, 2220 (2001); H.Verschelde, K.Knecht, K.Van Acoleyen and M.Vanderkelen, Phys.Lett.B 516, 307 (2001); K.I.Kondo, T.Murakami, T.Shinohara and T.Imai, Phys.Rev.D 65, 085034 (2002); U.Ellwanger and N.W schebor, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 18, 1595 (2003); D.Dudal, H.Verschelde, J.A.Gracey, V.E.R.Lemes, M.S.Sarandy, R.F.Sobreiro and S.P.Sorella, JHEP 0401, 044 (2004); A.C.Aguilar, A.A.Natale and P.S.Rodrigues da Silva, Phys.Rev.Lett.90, 152001 (2003); R.E.Browne and J.A.Gracey, Phys.Lett.B 597, 368 (2004); J.A.Gracey, Eur.Phys.J.C 39, 61 (2005);

 ^[11] K.Johnson, M. baker and R.W illey, Phys. Rev. 136 B1111 (1964); K.Johnson and M. Baker, Phys. Rev D 8, 1110 (1974);
 T.M askawa and H Nakajima, prog. Theor. Phys. 52, 1326 (1974); 54, 860 (1975).

E.Ruiz Arriola, P.O.Bowm an and W.Broniowski, Phys.Rev.D 70,097505 (2004); T.Suzuki, K.Ishiguro, Y.Moriand T.Sekido, Phys.Rev.Lett. 94, 132001 (2005); M.N.Chemodub et al., Phys.Rev.D 72,074505 (2005). E.G.S.Luna, A.A.Natale, Phys.Rev.D 73,074019 (2006); A.C.Aguilar and J.Papavassiliou, Eur.Phys.JA 31,742 (2007).

- [13] V. Sauli, J.Adam, Jr., P.Bicudo, Phys. Rev. D 75, 87701 (2007), ArX ive: hep-ph/0607196; P.Bicudo, Phys. Rev. D 69, 074003 (2004).
- [14] D.Atkinson and D.W. Blatt, Nucl. Phys. B151,342 (1979); C.J. Burden, C.D. Roberts and A.G.W illiam s, Phys. Lett. B285, 347 (1992); G.K rein, C.D. Roberts and A.G.W illiam s, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 7, 5607 (1992); U.Habel, R.Konning, H.G. Reusch, M. Stingl, S.W igard, Z.Phys. A 336,423 (1990); M. Stingl, Z.Phys. A 353,423 (1996); P.M aris, Phys. Rev. D 52,6087 (1995); V.N.G ribov, Eur. Phys. J. C10,91 (1999).
- [15] C.M. Bender, Sebastian F.Brandt, J-H Chen, Q.W ang, Phys. Rev. D 71;025014 (2005).
- [16] M.S.Bhagwat, M.A.Pichowsky, C.D.Roberts, P.C.Tandy, Phys. Rev. C68, 015203 (2003);
- [17] R.A. kofer, W. Detmold, C.S.Fischer, P.Maris Comments: 42 pages, 16 gures, revtex; version to be published in Phys Rev D Journal-ref: PhysRev.D 70 (2004) 014014
- [18] M. Bhagwat, M. A. Pichowsky, P. C. Tandy, Phys. Rev. D 67,054019 (2003).
- [19] B.C.Tiburzi, W. Detmold, G.A.M iller, Phys. Rev. D 68,073002 (2003).
- [20] A M. G Leeson, R J. Moore, H. Rechenberg, E C G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. D 4, 2242 (1971); N. Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. D 3,811 (1971).
- [21] N.Nakanishi, hep-th/0609206.