Structure of S and T P aram eters in G auge-H iggs U ni cation1

 $C.S.Lim^2$ and $Nobuhito Manu^3$

Department of Physics, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan

A bstract

We investigate the divergence structure of one-loop corrections to S and T parameters in gauge-Higgs unication. We show that these parameters are nite in ve dimensions, but divergent in more than six dimensions. Remarkably, a particular linear combination of S and T parameters becomes nite in six dimension case, which is indicated from the operator analysis in a model independent way.

 $^{^1}$ Talk given at 2006 International W orkshop SCGT 06 "O rigin of M ass and Strong Coupling G auge Theories" 21-24 N ovember 2006, N agoya, Japan.

²e-m ail: lim @ kobe-u.ac.jp

³e-m ail: m aru@ people.kobe-u ac.p, Speaker. This talk is based on [1].

1 Introduction

Solving the gauge hierarchy problem motivates us to go to beyond the Standard Model. (SM). Gauge-Higgs unication is one of the attractive approach to solve the gauge hierarchy problem without supersymmetry. In this scenario, Higgs is identied with zero mode of the extra component of the gauge eld in higher dimensional gauge theories and the gauge sym m etry breaking occurs dynam ically through W ilson line phase dynam ics. O ne of the rem arkable features is that Higgs mass become nite thanks to the higher dimensional local gauge invariance. Furtherm ore, m any applications of gauge-Higgs unication to the realworld had been carried out in various aspects.

Here we would like to ask the following question; Is there any other nite (predictive) physical quantity such as Higgs mass? Noting that the gauge-Higgs sector is controlled by the higher dim ensional local gauge invariance, S and T param eters

$$S = 16^{2} {}_{3y}^{0} (0); (1.1)$$

$$S = 16^{2} _{3Y}^{0} (0); \qquad (1.1)$$

$$T = \frac{4^{2}}{M_{W}^{2} \sin^{2} w} (_{11} (0) _{33} (0)); \qquad (1.2)$$

where $_{ij}$ (p²) is the g part of the two-point function of currents and $_{ij}^{0}$ $\frac{d^{2}}{dp^{2}}$ $_{ij}$ (p²) and $_{\mathrm{W}}$ denotes the W einberg angle, are one of the good candidates since these param eters are given as the coe cients of dimension six operators composed of the gauge elds and Higgs elds. In SM, S and T parameters are nite since SM is a renormalizable theory and these param eters are coe cients of dim ension six higher dim ensional operators. On the other hand, we consider here a nonrenormalizable theory, which implies that S and T param eters are in general divergent even if they are given by the coe cients of the nonrenorm alizable operators. However, we know the fact that Higgs mass is nite, which is realized thanks to the higher dim ensional gauge symmetry. Since S and T parameters can be also controlled by the higher dimensional gauge symmetry, we can expect that these param eters also become nite.

In this talk, we discuss the divergence structure of one-loop corrections to S and T parameters in the minimal SU (3) gauge-Higgs unication on an orbifold $S^1=Z_2$ with a triplet ferm ion. We show that these parameters are nite in 5D case, but divergent in m ore than 6D case. The remarkable result is that in 6D case, one-loop corrections to S and T parameters them selves are certainly divergent, but a particular combination of them becom es nite. Its relative ratio agrees with that derived from operator analysis in a m odel independent way. This is the crucial di erence from the universal extra dimension (UED) scenario.

2 M odel

We introduce here a minimal model of 5D SU (3) gauge-Higgs unication on an orbifold $S^1=Z_2$, whose Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{4} F_{M N} F^{M N} + i \Rightarrow \qquad (2.1)$$

where $M = (;i^5),$

$$F_{MN} = Q_M A_N Q_N A_M ig[A_M; A_N] (M; N = 0; 1; 2; 3; 5);$$
 (2.2)

$$= (_{1};_{2};_{3})^{T}: (2.4)$$

The periodic boundary conditions for ${\rm S}^{\,1}$ and ${\rm Z}_{\,2}$ parities are in posed as follows,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (; &) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (; &) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (; &) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & (+;+) & \\ 0 & (+;+) & ($$

where (+;+) m eans that Z_2 parities are even at y=0 and y=R, for instance, y is the fith coordinate and R is the compactication radius. $_{1L}$ $_{\frac{1}{2}}(1)$, etc. 0 ne can see that SU (3) is broken to SU (2) U (1) by these boundary conditions.

Expanding in terms of Kaluza-Klein (K-K) modes and integrating out the flh coordinate, we obtain a 4D elective Langrangian for a ferm ion

where the mass matrix for the non-zero K-K modes are diagonalized by use of the mass eigenstates $_{2}^{(n)}$; $_{3}^{(n)}$:

 $\frac{1}{2}$ (1 $_{p}$ 5), W $^{1;2;3}$, B are the SU (2); U (1) gauge elds, respectively and W iW 2)= $\frac{n}{2}$. m $_{n}$ = $\frac{n}{R}$ is the compactication scale. m = ghA $_{5}$ i is a bottom mass, where we consider to be a third generation quark. Dirac particles are constructed as

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} (n) & (n)$$

$$b = {}^{(0)}_{2L} + {}^{(0)}_{3R}; (2.10)$$

and the remaining state is a Weyl spinor

$$t_{\rm L} = {}^{(0)}_{1\rm L}$$
: (2.11)

We realized that zero mode part for tand bquarks are exactly the same as those in the SM with

$$m_{+} = 0; \quad m_{b} = m :$$
 (2.12)

Thus, we can just use the result in the SM with (2.12). Note that the mass splitting occurs between the SU (2) doublet component and singlet component. This pattern of m ass splitting has a periodicity with respect to m, which is a rem arkable feature of gauge-Higgs unication.

3 Calculation of Sand T parameters in 5D

In this section, we calculate one-loop corrections to T-param eter, which is obtained from the mass dierence between the neutral W -boson and the charged W -bosons M 2

$$_{33}$$
 (0) $_{11}$ (0). The result is given by

$$M^{2} = i \frac{3g^{2}}{16} \frac{2^{D=2}}{D} \sum_{n=-1}^{x^{1}} \frac{d^{D} k}{(2)^{D}}$$

$$\frac{(2 D)k^{2} + D (m_{n}^{2} m^{2})}{k^{2} (m_{n} m)^{2}]k^{2} (m_{n} + m)^{2}} 4 \frac{(2 D)k^{2} + D (m_{n}^{2} + m^{2})}{k^{2} (m_{n} + m)^{2}} (3.1)$$

Let us evaluate T-param eter in 5D by carrying out the dimensional regularization for 4D m om entum integral before taking the mode sum in ordet to keep 4D gauge invariance and expanding the non-zero mode part of (3.1) in m=m n, that is, we consider the case where the compactication scale is larger than the bottom mass. It is straightforward to check that the pole terms in D ! 4 limit are exactly cancelled and the nite value can be calculated from the log terms.

$$M_{(n \in 0)}^{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{40^{2}} \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_{n}^{2}} = \frac{g^{2}}{80} (m R)^{2} m^{2};$$
 (3.2)

where $_{n=1}^{P}$ n 2 = (2) = 2 =6 is used. The fact that the leading order term is proportional to m 4 corresponds to four H iggs vacuum expectation values (VEV) insertions in dimension six operator contributing to T-parameter (y D)(y D)(:H iggs doublet). The dependence of m $_{n}$ 2 tells us that non-zero K-K m odes e ects are decoupling.

This nite value can be also obtained by taking the mode sum before 4D momentum integration. If we take the mode sum explicitly in (3.1), we nd

$$M^{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{16} \frac{2^{D-2}}{D} L^{2-D} \int_{0}^{Z-1} dt \frac{d^{D}}{(2)^{D}} \frac{d^{$$

where L 2 R; Lk where k is an Euclidean m om entum and Lm (i.e. A haranov-Bohm phase.).

By performing the dimensional regularization for 4D momentum integral, we nd

M²,
$$\frac{3g^2}{(8)^2}$$
 m² + $\frac{4^2}{15}$ (m R)²m² : (3.4)

The m 2 is known to be coincide with zero mode contribution. The remaining m 4 term also agrees with the nite result of non-zero K-K mode contributions (32), which was calculated by performing the dimensional regularization for 4D momentum before taking the mode sum.

Similarly, one-loop corrections to S-parameter, which is obtained from the kinetic mixing term for U (1) gauge bosons, can be calculated as

$$\int_{3Y}^{0} (0) = i \frac{p_{3g^{2}}}{48} 2^{D=2} \frac{Z}{(2)^{D}} \frac{d^{D} k}{n=1} \frac{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(k^{2} m_{n}^{2})^{2}} + \frac{1}{[k^{2} (m_{n} + m)^{2}]^{2}}$$

$$18 \int_{0}^{2} dtt(1 t) \frac{1}{k^{2} (m_{n} + (2t 1)m)^{2} 4t(1 t)m^{2}} + 2 \frac{(2t 1) [m_{n} + (2t 1)m] [m + 4t(1 t)m^{2}]^{3}}{k^{2} (m_{n} + (2t 1)m)^{2} 4t(1 t)m^{2}]^{3}}$$

$$(3.5)$$

The nite value is found in a similar way.

$${}_{3Y}^{0}(0) = \frac{23^{p} \overline{3}g^{2}}{120} \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} {}_{n-1}^{x^{1}} \frac{m}{m_{n}}^{2} = \frac{23^{p} \overline{3}g^{2}}{2880} (m R)^{2};$$
 (3.6)

m 2 dependence is consistent with the dimension six operator representing S-parameter (^{y}W $^{a}\frac{^{a}}{2}$)B (y). m $_{n}{}^{2}$ dependence is also consistent with the decoupling nature of K-K particles.

4 D > 5 case

In this section, we would like to clarify whether these param eters are nite or not in the case higher than ve dimensions. S and T param eters are given by the coe cients of dimension six operators such as (${}^{y}W$)B (y) for S-param eter and (${}^{y}D$)(${}^{y}D$) for T-param eter. Naively, the corresponding operators in the gauge-Higgs unit cation can be regarded as the operators where Higgs doublet—is replaced with A_{i} (i: extra space component index). Since A_{i} transform as A_{i} ! A_{i} + const by the higher dimensional local gauge symmetry, it seems that the local operators for S and T param eters are forbidden as in the case of Higgs mass. Therefore, we are tend to conclude that S and T param eters in gauge-Higgs unitication become nite, but this argument is too naive, and not correct.

The point is that the gauge invariant local operators for S and T parameters are allowed by a single gauge invariant operator $Tr[(D_LF_{M\ N})(D^LF^{M\ N})]$. Therefore, there is no physical reason for S and T parameters to be nite.

$$Tr[(D_{L}F_{MN})(D^{L}F^{MN})] = \frac{1}{2}(8m^{4})(W^{3})^{2} + (2m^{4})W^{+}W + 2^{p} - 3m^{2}p^{2}g W^{3}B + 2^{m} - 3m^{2}(p^{2}g + pp)W^{3}B : (4.1)$$

What a remarkable thing is that we can predict some combination of S and T parameters although these parameters them selves are divergent. We can read of the ratio of them as

$$CTr[(D_LF_{MN})(D^LF^{MN})]!$$

$$M^2 = 6Cm^4$$

$$0 = 4^{\frac{0}{3}} = 4^{\frac{1}{3}}Cm^2;$$
(4.2)

where C is an undetermined overall constant. Thus, we can expect the combination $\frac{0}{3Y} = \frac{2^p \, \overline{3}}{3m^2} \, M^2$ to be nite even in more than vedimensions.

In fact, we can show that $\frac{0}{3Y}$ $\frac{2^p \frac{1}{3}}{3m^2}$ M 2 is nite in 6D case because

$$M^{2} = \frac{3g^{2}}{40^{6} \overline{2}^{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{m^{4}}{m_{n}} + \frac{1}{12} \frac{m^{6}}{m_{n}^{3}}; \qquad (4.3)$$

$$p^{0}_{3Y}(0) = \frac{3g^{2}}{20^{6} \overline{2}^{2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{m^{2}}{m_{n}} + \frac{3}{14} \frac{m^{4}}{m_{n}^{3}}$$

$${}_{3Y}^{0}(0) = \frac{p \overline{3}g^{2}}{20 \overline{2}^{2}} {}_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{m^{2}}{m_{n}} + \frac{3}{14} \frac{m^{4}}{m_{n}^{3}}$$
(4.4)

where the rst term indicates logatithm ic divergence. Combining these results (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain the nite result

$$\frac{0}{3Y} = \frac{2^{9} - 3}{3m^{2}} M^{2} = \frac{11^{9} - 6g^{2}}{3360^{2}} m^{4} R^{3}$$
 (3): (4.5)

5 C on clusions

In this talk, we have discussed the divergence structure of one-loop corrections to S and T param eters in gauge-Higgs unication. Taking a minimal SU (3) gauge-Higgs model with a triplet ferm ion, we have calculated S and T parameters at one-loop order. In ve dim ensions, we have shown that one-loop corrections to S and T parameters are nite and evaluated their nite values explicitly. In more than six dimensions, S and T parameters are divergent as in the UED scenario. However, a particular combination of S and T param eters is shown to be nite in six dimension case, whose relative ratio was found to agree with that derived from the operator analysis in a model independent way. This is the crucial di erence from the UED scenario.

A cknow ledgm ents

The speaker (N M .) would like to thank the organizers for providing me an opportunity to present this talk in the conference. The work of the authors was supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientic Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, No.18204024.

R eferences

[1] C.S. Lim and Nobuhito Maru, \Calculable One-loop Contributions to S and T Param eters in the Gauge-Higgs Unication", hep-ph/0703017 and related references therein.