On the role of vector m esons in topological soliton stability

Abdellatif Abada, D im itri Kalafatis and Bachir M oussallam

D ivision de Physique Theoriquey Institut de Physique Nucleaire, 91406 O rsay Cedex and LPTPE, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu 75252 Paris Cedex 05 (France)

A bstract

Isospin one vector m esons (in particular the) are usually described as m assive Y ang-M ills particles in the chiral Lagrangian. We investigate some aspects of an alternative approach in the soliton sector. It is found that the soliton is stable in very m uch the same way as with the ! -m eson and that spontaneous parity violating classical solutions do not exist. The form ulation in terms of antisymmetric tensors is shown to be canonically related to a vector eld description provided the Skyrm e term is added to the latter.

₽NO/TH 92-83

0 ctober 1992

y Unite de Recherche des Universites Paris XI et Paris VI associees au CNRS.

I. Introduction

During the last ten years, a great deal of e ort has been devoted to the study of baryons as topological solitons of nonlinear m eson theories. This possibility emerged a long time ago in the pioneering work of Skyrm e [1]. Later, the connection between solitons of m eson theories, and large N_c expansion of QCD [2] was established [3]. Low – energy baryon phenom enology was considered in Ref. [4]. In this model the e ective Lagrangian is based on the nonlinear model and an antisymmetric term of fourth order in powers of the derivatives of the pion eld (the so-called Skyrm e term) is added in order to avoid soliton collapse [5].

It was soon realized [6], that the Skyrm e term can be thought as a large mass (local) lim it of a model with -m esons. Sim ilarly !-m eson exchange generates a term of order six. These indings provided the ground for the construction of a more general e ective Lagrangian, which includes the low lying mesons and considerably im proves the predictions for baryon physics [7]. An important question is that of soliton stability in the presence of vector mesons. The ! eld, was shown to yield stable solutions in Ref. [8]. But this was not the case of the low -lying isovector-vector mesons (and the in particular), which were introduced as hidden gauge particles [9] as well as massive Yang-M ills [10] elds, and in both cases the soliton was shown to be unstable , contrary to earlier claims [11]. This di erent behaviour of the and the !-m esons may look surprising as their local large mass lim its are both stabilizing the soliton.

Now, in another context, the role of meson resonances in chiral perturbation theory was investigated by Ecker et al. [12]. In that paper, isovector mesons are described in terms of antisymmetric tensor elds (rst proposed in Ref. [13]). They

2

are introduced in the chiral Lagrangian follow ing the standard prescription of nonlinear chiral sym m etry form assive particles [14]. It was show n in R ef. [15] that this approach is equivalent to the hidden sym m etry (or Y ang-M ills) one to 0 (p^4) in the chiral expansion.

The purpose of this letter is to exam ine whether the introduction of the -m eson in this alternative approach could play a di erent role in the baryon sector. W e will focus our attention then to soliton stability in such a model. Particular attention will be given to the similar role that the and the ! elds are nally playing in soliton stability.

The Lagrangian is introduced in section II. Soliton solutions of winding number one are then constructed in section III.W e study the classical stability of these solutions in section IV.W e nally discuss some issues on the vector eld approach in section V. II. The model

C om pared to the conventional way of introducing isospin-one vector resonances in the chiral expansion, the m ethod of R efs. [12,13] has one basic di erence: the assum ption that the resonance elds are not gauge bosons of any kind. They are sim ply assumed to transform as R ! h()R h^y() where h is de ned from the transform ation property of u = U¹⁼² : u ! $g_L uh^y = hug_R^y$ such that U = exp(i~ ~=f) transform s linearly. It proves convenient (see sec. V.) then to describe spin one m esons in term s of antisym m etric tensor elds W . The following Lagrangian ensures that only three physical degrees of freedom actually propagate [12] and is the sim plest one com patible with chiral symmetry:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} Tr(r W r W) + \frac{M^{2}}{4} Tr(W W) + \frac{f^{2}}{4} Tr(W W) + \frac{f^{2}}{4} Tr(W U U V) + i\frac{G}{2} Tr(W [u;u])$$
(1)

where,

$$r = 0 + [;]; = \frac{1}{2} (u^{y} 0 u + u 0 u^{y}); u = i(u^{y} 0 u u 0 u^{y})$$
 (2)

It was shown in Ref. [12] that the low-energy constants $L_1; L_2; L_3$ (from which the Skyrm e term derives) and L_9 (related to the pion em. radius) are saturated to a very good approximation by the resonance contribution from Eq. (1)

A nother important feature of the theory that Eq. (1) denes, is its close resem – blance with the !-stabilized model. It is easy to observe that the canonical momentum conjugate to the space components of the antisymmetric tensor eld vanishes identically: $_{ij} = \frac{@L}{@[@_0W^{ij}]} = 0$. This means that the components W_{ij} of the resonance tensor eld are constrained. To gain more insight into the problem, we go through the algorithm of constrained dynamics [16], and nd that the conservation in time of the primary constraint leads to:

$$W_{ij} = \frac{1}{2M^2} \qquad i \frac{p}{2G} [u_i; u_j] + r_{i 0j} r_{j 0i}$$
(3)

These frozen elds are the ones which couple to the static soliton eld. Anticipating on the next section, let us only observe that because of Eq. (3), W_{ij} cannot be trivially set to zero, as a naive variational study of Eq. (1) could suggest. This is exactly what happens in the !-stabilized case [10]. The only di erence is that in the present m odel one would encounter the repulsion of the Skyrm e term, while in the ! case it is a sixth order term which is doing the jb.

III. Soliton solutions

In terms of true degrees of freedom, the secondary H am iltonian functional is a function of F and its canonical m om entum ~ describing the pion eld, and of W_{0i}, _{0i} representing the three polarizations of the massive spin-1 eld and their respective

m om enta. W e assum e the hedgehog ansatz for the pion, and the m ost general spherical ansatz for the resonance elds:

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\hat{r}}\mathbf{F}; \quad \mathbf{W}_{0i} = \mathbf{f} \quad \mathbf{w}_{1} (\mathbf{i} \quad (\sim :\mathbf{\hat{r}})\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{1}) + \mathbf{w}_{2} (\sim :\mathbf{\hat{r}})\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{1} \quad \mathbf{w}_{3} (\sim \quad \mathbf{\hat{r}})_{1}$$

$$\sim = \mathbf{\hat{r}}\frac{\mathbf{f}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}}; \quad _{0i} = \frac{\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad _{1} (\mathbf{i} \quad (\sim :\mathbf{\hat{r}})\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{1}) + _{2} (\sim :\mathbf{\hat{r}})\mathbf{\hat{r}}_{1} \quad _{3} (\sim \quad \mathbf{\hat{r}})_{1}$$
(4)

At the classical level, the radial functions F; $w_1; w_2; w_3; _1; _2; _3$ are found by extrem izing the Ham ilton functional which can be written as a sum of two term s:

$$H = 4 f^{2} dr H_{Q} (F; ;w_{1};w_{2};w_{3}; _{1}; _{2}) + H (F; _{3})$$
(5)

The rst piece, H_Q , is positive and quadratic in the elds ; w_1 ; w_2 ; w_3 ; 1; 2:

$$H_{Q} = \frac{1}{2} (+ 2g w_{3} \sin F)^{2} + \frac{\frac{2}{2}}{4} + \frac{\frac{2}{1}}{2} + (rw_{2} + 2w_{2} - 2\cos Fw_{1})^{2} + (M r)^{2} (w_{2}^{2} + 2w_{1}^{2} + 2w_{3}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2M^{2}} (-1 - \cos F \frac{2}{r})^{2}$$
(6)

with $g = 2^{p} \overline{2}G = f$. As long as the elds are nonsingular, it is straightforward to show that H_{Q} vanishes in the static limit. We stress that we do not impose the ; w_{1} ; w_{2} ; w_{3} ; _1; _2 components to be identically zero. We rather nd, by solving the static Hamilton equations, that the extremum of H_{Q} is met when $= w_{1} = w_{2} =$ $w_{3} = _{1} = _{2} = 0$. In other words, the possibility of having spontaneous parity violating (SPV) solutions which exist in the Yang-M ills context (in the presence of stabilizing terms [9]), is ruled out here.

The second term in Eq. (5) is:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (rE_{-})^{2} + 2\sin^{2}F + \frac{2}{3}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2M^{2}} (g \sin F E_{-})^{2} + 2(g \frac{\sin^{2}F}{2r} - \cos F \frac{3}{r})^{2}$$
(7)

We now bok for static soliton solutions carrying one unit of winding number i.e., satisfying the boundary conditions F (0) = , F (1) = 0 and require H to be stationary under arbitrary variations of F and 3. The set of (two) H am ilton equations that one obtains, can be solved only numerically. We nd that there is a solution for the whole range of values of g. In Fig. 1 we plot such a solution, for M = 769 M eV, f = 93 M eV and g = 2:1 (from the width). The classical soliton mass we nd is M = 1140 M eV, which is slightly lower than the corresponding Skyrm ion mass (1220 M eV) that one nds when the m ass and the magnitude of the coupling to the pion are increased to in nity, keeping their ratio nite (e = p = 2M = f g). At the classical level the properties of our solution are very close to those of the saddle-point solution of R ef. [11] where the hidden symmetry Lagrangian is used.

IV . C lassical stability

The Hamiltonian (Eqs. (6) and (7)) is expressed in terms of independent eld variables. One should perform arbitrary uctuations of these, and check whether the classical solution corresponds to a local minimum. In the Yang-M ills approach the classical solutions are destabilized by the uctuations of positive parity [17]. In our notations these correspond to the components $w_1;w_2$ (and $_1; _2$) which occur only in H_Q . If one expands H_Q to quadratic order, one easily sees that the uctuations of $w_1;w_2; _1$ and $_2$ as well as those of and w_3 decouple from the uctuations of F and $_3$ which occur only in H . Thus, the contribution from H_Q is obviously positive and does not induce any instability.

Let us now turn to H (Eq. (7)). As a prelim inary stability check we perform ed the following global scaling transform ations of the Derrick [5] type: F(r)! F(r) and

6

 $_{3}$ (r) ! $_{3}$ (r). We checked numerically that the matrix 2 H has two positive eigenvalues, for any value of g.

Consider now the more general uctuations $F = F_0(r) + F(r;t)$, $_3 = \frac{0}{3}(r) + \frac{1}{3}(r;t)$ (breathing uctuations), which are the most dangerous for the stability (see e.g. Ref. [19]). The variation of the H am iltonian up to second order is :

$$H = 2 f^{2} \int_{0}^{y} M dr$$
 (8)

where is a two component vector with $Y = (F_3)$ and M is a herm it ian matrix operator of the radial variable r, which reads :

$$M_{(F;F)} = \frac{d}{dr} (r^{2} + \frac{g^{2}}{M^{2}} \sin^{2} F_{0}) \frac{d}{dr} + 2 \cos 2F_{0}$$

$$\frac{g^{2}}{M^{2}} \sin 2F_{0}F_{0} + \cos 2F_{0}F_{0}^{2} - \frac{\sin^{2} F_{0}}{r^{2}} (3 - 4 \sin^{2} F_{0})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{M^{2}r^{2}} g \cos F_{0} (\frac{0}{3}r^{2} + \frac{0}{3}(9 \sin^{2} F_{0} - 2)) - 2(\frac{0}{3})^{2} \cos 2F_{0}$$

$$M_{(-3;-3)} = \frac{1}{M^{2}} - \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} + (M^{2} + 2\frac{\cos^{2} F_{0}}{r^{2}})$$

$$M_{(F;-3)} = \frac{1}{M^{2}} g \sin F_{0} \frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} (-2 \sin 2F_{0} - \frac{0}{3} + g - (3 \sin^{2} F_{0} - 2)) \sin F_{0})$$

$$M_{(-3;F)} = (M_{-(F;-3)})^{Y}$$

Observe that the rst two lines in Eq. (9) are nothing but the uctuation operator of the Skym ion [18]: this is because the $\frac{0}{3}$ terms as well as the coupling potential generate terms of order 1=M⁴ or higher.

To prove the stability, one has to show that M has no negative eigenvalues. We veri ed this using two di erent m ethods

i) diagonalization of a discretized approximation of M : we found only positive eigenvalues

ii) evaluation of the Jost determ inant for negatives values of the energy: we found no sign change.

V.Vectormesons in terms of vector elds

F inally, one m ight raise the question of why is it necessary to resort to antisym m etric tensor elds instead of just vector elds with exactly the same chiral transform ation law. To answer that question, let us consider the following Lagrangian of vector elds [15]:

$$L_{V} = \frac{1}{4} Tr(V V) + \frac{M^{2}}{2} Tr(V V) + \frac{f^{2}}{4} Tr(0 V) + \frac{f^{2}}{4} Tr(0 V) + \frac{g_{V}}{2} Tr(V [u;u])$$
(10)

with V = r V r V. This Lagrangian di ers from the massive Yang-M ills one by $O(V^2)$ terms. Furthermore, vector meson exchange from Eq. (10) can only produce terms starting at $O(p^6)$ in the chiral expansion. In fact, this theory necessitates explicit inclusion of the Skyrme term otherwise the Ham iltonian is not positive. Indeed, one nds the following contribution to H_Q (in the hedgehog ansatz, denoting the radial functions v_i and i):

$$H_{Q} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(+2)^{p} \overline{2} g_{V_{3}} \sin F^{2}}{1 - 8 g_{V}^{2} \sin^{2} F = f^{2} r^{2}} + \dots$$
(11)

The H am iltonian has a pole and is not bounded from below. This is clearly unacceptable. The sim plest way to remove the pole is to add to L_V a local term :

$$L_V^0 = L_V + \frac{g_V^2}{8} Tr([u;u][u;u])$$
 (12)

Furtherm ore, the H am iltonian resulting from this operation is equivalent to the H am iltonian of the antisymmetric tensor eld approach. In fact, there is a canonical transformation between the two sets F'; $^{\sim}; V_i$; $_i$ and F'; $^{\sim}; W_{0i}$; $_{0i}$ with $g_V = G = M$:

$$V_{i}! = \frac{O_{i}}{2M}; i! 2M W_{Oi}$$
 (13)

An alternative motivation for replacing L_V by L_V^0 is provided in Ref. [15].

A know ledgem ents

W e are grateful to P rofs. R.V inh M au and R.K erner for critical comments and discussions.

References

- [1] T.H.R.Skyme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 260 (1961) 127
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 79 (1974) 276
- [3] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B179 (1979) 57
- [4] G.Adkins, C.Nappiand E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B228 (1983) 552
- [5] G.H.Derrick, J.M ath. Phys. Vol.5 No9 (1964) 1252
- [6] K. Iketani, Kyushu Univ. preprint, 84-HE-2 (1984)
- [7] U.G.Meissner and I.Zahed, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 1035; Z.Phys. A 327 (1987) 5; M.Lacom be, B.Loiseau, R.Vinh M au and W.N.Cottingham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 (1986) 170; Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 1491; M.Chem tob, Nucl. Phys. A 466 (1987) 509
- [8] G.Adkins and C.Nappi, Phys. Lett. B137 (1984) 552

- [9] A.Kobayashi, H.Otsu, T.Sato and S.Sawada, Nagoya Univ. preprint, DPNU 91-50, IPC-91-04; K.Yang, S.Sawada, A.Kobayashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. 87 (1992) 457
- [10] H. Forkel, A.D. Jackson and C.W eiss, Nucl. Phys. A 526 (1991) 453
- [11] Y. Igarashi, M. Johmura, A. Kobayashi, H. Otsu, T. Sato and S. Sawada, Nucl. Phys. B 259 (1985) 721.
- [12] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 311
- [13] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 158 (1984) 142
- [14] S.Coleman, J.W ess and B.Zumino, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239; C.G.Callan, S.Coleman, J.W ess and B.Zumino, ibid p. 2247
- [15] G.Ecker, J.Gasser, H.Leutwyler, A.Pich and E.de Rafael, Phys. Lett. B 223 (1989) 425
- [16] K. Sunderm ayer, Constrained Dynam ics in Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer-Verlag 1982
- [17] Z.F.Ezawa and T.Yanagida, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 247; J.K unz andD.Masak, Phys. Lett. B179 (1986) 146
- [18] I. Zahed, U.G. Meissner and U.B. Kaulfuss, Nucl. Phys. A 426 (1984) 525;A.Abada and D.Vautherin, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992)
- [19] Y.Brihaye, C.Sem ay and J.Kunz, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 250

Figure caption

The solution extrem izing the H am iltonian functional of Eq. (7). The full line displays the chiral function F(r) in the present model, while the dotted one is the solution of the corresponding Skyrm e model. In the dashed-dotted line we display

 $_3$ (r), the degree of freedom .