Stranger Still: K aon Loops and Strange Q uark M atrix E lem ents of the N ucleon*

M.J.Musolf

Department of Physics Obd Dominion University Norfolk, VA 23529 U.S.A. and CEBAF Theory Group, MS-12H 12000 Je erson Ave. Newport News, VA 23606 U.S.A.

and

M.Burkardt

Center for Theoretical Physics Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 U.S.A.

CEBAF **#** TH-93-01

January, 1993

^{*} This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S.D epartment of Energy (D \circ E) under contracts # D E -A C 02-76ER 03069 and # D E -A C 05-84ER 40150.

ABSTRACT

Intrinsic strangeness contributions to low-energy strange quark matrix elements of the nucleon are modelled using kaon loops and meson-nucleon vertex functions taken from nucleon-nucleon and nucleon-hyperon scattering. A comparison with pion loop contributions to the nucleon electrom agnetic (EM) form factors indicates the presence of signi cant SU (3)-breaking in the mean-square charge radii. As a numerical consequence, the kaon loop contribution to the mean square D irac strangeness radius is signi cantly smaller than could be observed with parity-violating elastic ep experiments planned for CEBAF, while the contribution to the Sachs radius is large enough to be observed with PV electron scattering from (0⁺;0) nuclei. K aon loops generate a strange magnetic moment of the same e scale as the isoscalar EM magnetic moment and a strange axial vector form factor having roughly one-third magnitude extracted from p=p elastic scattering. In the chiral lim it, the loop contribution to the fraction of the nucleon's scalar density arising from strange quarks has roughly the sam e magnitude as the value extracted from analyses of $_{\rm N}$. The importance of satisfying the W ard-Takahashi Identity, not obeyed by previous calculations, is also illustrated, and the sensitivity of results to input parameters is analyzed.

1. Introduction

Extractions of the strange quark scalar density from $_{\rm N}$ [1,2], the strange quark axial vector form factor from elastic p= p cross section m easurem ents [3], and the strange-quark contribution to the proton spin s from the EMC m easurem ent of the g₁ sum [4], suggest a need to account explicitly for the presence of strange quarks in the nucleon in describing its low – energy properties. These analyses have m otivated suggestions for m easuring strange quark vector current m atrix elements of the nucleon with sem i-leptonic neutral current scattering [5]. The goal of the SAM PLE experiment presently underway at M II -B ates [6] is to constrain the strange quark m agnetic form factor at low $-jq^2$ j and three experiments have been planned and/or proposed at CEBAF with the objective of constraining the nucleon's m ean square \strangeness radius" [7-9]. In addition, a new determination of the strange quark axial vector form factor at signi cantly low er-jq² j than was obtained from the experiment of Ref. [3] is expected from LSND experiment at LAM PF [10].

At rst glance, the existence of non-negligible low-energy strange quark matrix elements of the nucleon is rather surprising, especially in light of the success with which constituent quark models account for other low-energy properties of the nucleon and its excited states. Theoretically, one might attempt to understand the possibility of large strange matrix elements from two perspectives associated, respectively, with the high- and low-momentum components of a virtual ss pair in the nucleon. Contributions from the high-momentum component may be viewed as \extrinsic" to the nucleon's wavefunction, since the lifetime of the virtual pair at high-momentum scales is shorter than the interaction time associated with the formation of hadronic states [11]. In an elective theory framework, the extrinsic, high-momentum contributions renormalize operators involving explicitly only light-quark degrees of freedom [5, 12]. At low-momentum scales, a virtual pair lives a su ciently long time to permit formation strange hadronic components (e.g., a K pair) of the nucleon wavefunction [13]. W hile this division between \extrinsic" and \intrinsic" strangeness is not rigorous, it does provide a qualitative picture which suggests di erent approaches to estim ating nucleon strange matrix elements.

In this note we consider intrinsic strangeness contributions to the matrix elements $hN = sN i (= 1, , _5)$ arising from kaon-strange baryon loops. Our calculation is intended to complement pole [14] and Skyrm e [15] modelest in ates as well as to quantify the simple picture of nucleon strangeness arising from a kaon cloud. A lthough loop estimates have been carried out previously [16, 17], ours diers from others in several respects. First, we assume that nucleon electrom agnetic (EM) and and weak neutral current (NC)

2

form factors receive contributions from a variety of sources (e.g., bops and poles), so we make no attempt to adjust the input parameters to reproduce known form factors (e.g., $G_{\rm g}^{\rm n}$). Rather, we take our inputs from independent sources, such as ts to baryon-baryon scattering and quark model estimates where needed. We compute pion bop contributions to the nucleon's EM form factors using these input parameters and compare with the experimental values. Such a comparison provides an indication of the extent to which bops account for nucleon form factor physics generally and strangeness form factors in particular. Second, we employ hadronic form factors at the meson nucleon vertices and introduce \seagull" terms in order to satisfy the W ard-Takahashi (WT) Identity in the vector current sector. Previous loop calculations employed either a momentum cut-o in the loop integral [16] or meson-baryon form factor [17] but did not satisfy the WT Identity. We nd that failure to satisfy the requirements of gauge invariance at this level can signi cantly alter one's results. Finally, we include an estimate of the strange quark scalar density which was not included in previous work.

2. The calculation.

The loop diagram s which we compute are shown in Fig. 1. In the case of vector current m atrix elements, all four diagram s contribute, including the two seagull graphs (Fig. 1c,d) required by gauge invariance. For axial vector m atrix elements, only the loop of Fig. 1a contributes, since hM $jJ_5 M$ i 0 for M a pseudoscalar m eson. The loops 1a and 1b contribute to hN jss N i. In a world of point hadrons satisfying SU (3) symmetry, the coupling of the lowest baryon and m eson octets is given by

$$iL_{BBM} = D Tr[(BB + BB)M] + F Tr[(BB BB)M]$$
(1)

where ${}^{p}\overline{_{2B}} = {}^{p}_{a a a}$ and ${}^{p}\overline{_{2M}} = {}^{p}_{a a a}$ give the octet of baryon and meson elds, respectively, and where D + F = ${}^{p}\overline{_{2g}}_{_{NN}} = 19:025$ and D =F = 1:5 according to Ref. [18]. Under this parameterization, one has $g_{N-K} = g_{N-K} = {}^{p}\overline{_{3}}(F - D) = (D + 3F)$ 1=5, so that loops having an intermediate K state ought to be generically suppressed by a factor of

25 with respect to K loops. Analyses of K + N \strangeness exchange" reactions, how ever, suggest a serious violation of this SU (3) prediction [19], and im ply that neglect of K loops is not necessarily justiled. Nevertheless, we consider only K loops since we are interested primarily in arriving at the order of magnitude and qualitative features of loop contributions and not de nitive num erical predictions.

W ith point hadron vertices, power counting implies that loop contributions to the mean square charge radius and magnetic moment are U.V. nite. In fact, the pion loop

contributions to the nucleon'SEM charge radius and m agneticm om ent have been computed previously in the lim it of point hadron vertices [20]. Loop contributions to axial vector and scalar density m atrix elements, however, are U.V. divergent, necessitating use of a cut-o procedure. To this end, we employ form factors at the m eson-nucleon vertices used in determination of the Bonn potential from BB^0 scattering (B and B^0 are m embers of the lowest-lying baryon octet) [18]:

$$g_{NNM 5} ! g_{NNM} F (k^2)_5 ;$$
 (2)

where

$$F(k^{2}) = \frac{h_{m}^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{2i}{2} ; \qquad (3)$$

with m and k being the mass and m om entum, respectively, of the meson. The Bonn values for cut-o are typically in the range of 1 to 2 G eV. We note that this form reproduces the point hadron coupling for on-shell mesons (F (m²) = 1). An artifact of this choice is the vanishing of the form factors (and all loop am plitudes) for $= m \cdot C$ on sequently, when analyzing the -dependence of our results below, we exclude the region about = m as unphysical.

For ! 1 (point hadrons), the total contribution from diagram sla and lb to vector current m atrix elements satis as the W T Identity q $(p;p^0) = Q[(p^0) (p)]$, where Q is the nucleon charge associated with the corresponding vector current (EM, strangeness, baryon number, etc). For nite, how ever, this identity is not satis ed by diagram sla+ lb alone; inclusion of seagull diagram s (lc,d) is required in order to preserve it. To arrive at the appropriate seagull vertices, we treat the momentum -space m eson-nucleon vertex functions as arriving from a phenom enological lagrangian

$$iL_{BBM}$$
 ! g_{BBM} 5 F (Q^2) ; (4)

where and are baryon and meson elds, respectively. The gauge invariance of this lagrangian can be maintained via minimal substitution. We replace the derivatives in the d'A lembertian by covariant derivatives, expand F (D^2) in a power series, identify the terms linear in the gauge eld, express the resulting series in closed form, and convert back to momentum space. W ith our choice for F (k^2), this prodecure leads to the seagull vertex

$$ig_{BBM} QF(k^2) \frac{h}{(q-2k)} \frac{(q-2k)}{(q-k)^2}$$
(5)

where q is the momentum of the gauge boson and where the upper (lower) sign corresponds to an incoming (outgoing) meson of charge Q (details of this procedure are given in Ref. [21]). With these vertices in diagrams 1c,d, the W T Identity in the presence of meson nucleon form factors is restored. We note that this prescription for satisfying gauge invariance is not unique; the speci c underlying dynamics which give rise to F (k^2) could generate additional seagull term s whose contributions independently satisfy the W T Identity. Indeed, dierent models of hadron structure may lead to meson-baryon form factors having a dierent form than our choice. However, for the purposes of our calculation, whose spirit is to arrive at order of magnitude esitm ates and qualitative features, the use of the Bonn form factor plus minim al substitution is su cient.

The strange vector, axial vector, and scalar density couplings to the intermediate hardrons can be obtained with varying degrees of model-dependence. Since we are interested only in the leading q²-behavior of the nucleon matrix elements as generated by the loops, we emply point couplings to the intermediate meson and baryon. For the vector currents, one has h (p^0) is sj (p) i = $f_v U (p^0) U (p)$ and hK $^0 (p^0)$ is sjK $^0 (p)$ i = $f_v (p + p^0)$ with $f_v = f_v = 1$ in a convention where the s-quark has strangeness charge +1. The vector couplings are determined simply by the net strangeness of the hadron, independent of the details of any hadron model.

In the case of the axial vector, only the baryon coupling is required since pseudoscalar m esons have no diagonal axial vector m atrix elements. Our approach in this case is to use a quark m odel to relate the \bare" strange axial vector coupling to the to the bare isovector axial vector m atrix element of the nucleon, where by \bare" we mean that the e ect of meson loops has not been included. We then compute the loop contributions to the ratio

$$_{s} = \frac{G_{A}^{(s)}(0)}{g_{A}}$$
; (6)

where $G_{A}^{(s)}(q^{2})$ is the strange quark axial vector form factor (see Eq. (10) below) and $g_{A} = 1.262$ [22] is the proton's isovector axial vector form factor at zero momentum transfer. Writing h (p⁰) is ${}_{5}$ sj (p) i = f_{A}^{0} U (p⁰) ${}_{5}$ U (p), one has in the quark model $f_{A}^{0} = {}^{R} d^{3}x (u^{2} - \frac{1}{3}u^{2})$, where u (') are the upper (lower) components of a quark in its lowest energy con guration [23, 24, 25]. The quark model also predicts that $g_{A}^{0} - \frac{5}{3} d^{3}x (u^{2} - \frac{1}{3}u^{2})$. In the present calculation, we take the baryon octet to be SU (3) symmetric (e.g., $m_{N} = m$), so that the quark wavefunctions are the same for the nucleon

and $\cdot y$ In this case, one has $f_{A}^{0} = \frac{3}{5}g_{A}^{0}$. This relation holds in both the relativistic quark m odel and the simplest non-relativistic quark m odel in which one simply drops the lower component contributions to the quark m odel integrals. We will make the further assumption that pseudoscalarm eson loops generate the dom inant renorm alization of the bare axial couplings. The has no isovector axial vector matrix element, while loops involving K intermediate states are suppressed in the SU (3) limit as noted earlier. Under this assumption, then, only the N loop renorm alizes the bare coupling, so that $g_{A} = g_{A}^{0} [1 + _{A} ()]$, where $_{A}$ () gives the contribution from the N loop with the bare coupling to the intermediate nucleon scaled out. In this case, the ratio $_{S}$ is essentially independent of the actual numerical predictions for f_{A}^{0} and g_{A}^{0} in a given quark model; only the spin- avor factor $\frac{3}{5}$ which relates the two enters.

For the scalar density, we require point couplings to both the intermediate baryon and meson. We write these couplings as hB $(p^0)jqqB$ $(p)i = f_s^0U$ $(p^0)U$ (p) and hM $(p^0)jqqM$ (p)i = M, where B and M denote the meson and baryon, respectively. Our choices for f_s^0 and M carry the most hadron model-dependence of all our input couplings. To reduce the impact of this model-dependence on our result, we again compute loop contributions to a ratio, namely,

$$R_{s} = \frac{hN jssjN i}{hN juu + dd + ssjN i}$$
(7)

In the language of Ref. [2], on has $R_s = y=(2 + y)$. Our aim in the present work is to compute R_s in a manner as free as possible from the assumptions made in extracting this quantity from standard -term analyses. We therefore use the quark model to compute f_s^0 and $_{M}$ rather than obtaining these parameters from a chiral SU (3) t to hadron m ass splittings. We explore this alternative procedure, along with the elects of SU (3)-breaking in the baryon octet, elsewhere [21].

In the lim it of good SU (3) sym m etry for the baryon octet, the bare ss m atrix element of the is $f_s^0 = {R \atop R} d^3x (u^2 v^2)$. U sing the wavefunction norm alization condition ${R \atop R} d^3x (u^2 + v^2) = 1$, together with the quark m odel expression for g_A^0 , leads to

$$f_{s}^{0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9}{5} g_{A}^{0} - 1 \right) \quad : \tag{8}$$

y W e investigate the consequences of SU (3)-breaking in the baryon octet in a forthcom – ing publication [21].

N eglecting loops, one has hN juu + dd + ss $N_i = 3f_s^0$. We include loop contributions to both the num erator and denom inator of Eq. (7). A lthough the latter turn out to be num erically unim portant, their inclusion guarantees that R_s is nite in the chiral lim it.

W ere the contribution from Fig. 1a dom inant, the loop estim ate of R $_{\rm s}$ would be nearly independent of f_s^0 . The contribution from Fig. 1b, however, turns out to have comparable m agnitude. Consequently, we are unable to m inim ize the hadron m odel-dependence in our estimate of R_s to the same extent we are able with s and the vector current form factors. To arrive at a value for f_s^0 , we consider three alternatives: (A) C on pute f_s^0 using the M II bag model value for g^0_{A} and use a cut-o B_{onn} in the meson-baryon form factor. This scenario su ers from the conceptual ambiguity that the Bonn value for the cut-o mass in F (k^2) allows for virtual m esons of wavelength sm aller than the bag radius. (B) C om pute f_c^0 as in (A) and take the cut-o 1=R _{bag}. This approach follows in the spirit of socalled \chiral quark m odels", such as that used in the calculation of R ef. [17], which assume the virtual pseudoscalar m esons are G oldstone bosons that live only outside the bag and couple directly to the quarks at the bag surface. W hile conceptually m ore satisfying than (A), this choice leads to a form factor F (k^2) inconsistent with B B 0 scattering data. (C) First, determ ine g^0_A assuming pion-loop dominance in the isovector axial form factor, i.e., $g_{A} = g_{A}^{0} [1 +]$. Second, use this value of g_{A}^{0} to determ in f_{s}^{0} via Eq. (8). Surprisingly, this procedure yields a value for g^0_{A} very close to the M IT bag model value for Bonn rather than 1=R bag as one m ight naively expect.

Since all three of these scenarios are consistent with the bag estimate for g_A^0 (and renorm alization constant, Z as discussed below) we follow the \improved bag" procedure of Ref. [24] to obtain $_{\rm M}$. The latter gives $_{\rm M}$ hM (p^0) jqgM (p) i = 1:4=R, where R is the bag radius form eson M. Using R R $_{\rm K}$ 3:5 G eV 1 , one has $_{\rm K}$ 0:4 G eV. This procedure involves a certain degree of theoretical uncertainty. The estimate for $_{\rm K}$ () is obtained by expanding the bag energy to leading non-trivial order in m $_{\rm K}$ and m $_{\rm u,d}$), and we have at present no estimate of the corrections induced by higher-order terms in these masses.

U sing the above couplings, we compute the kaon loop contributions to the strange quark scalar density as well as vector and axial vector form factors. The latter are de ned as

hN
$$(p^{0})$$
 j (0) j (p) $i = U (p^{0}) F_{1} $+ \frac{iF_{2}}{2m_{N}}$ $q^{i}U(p)$ (9)$

$$hN (p^{0}) jJ_{5} (0) jN (p) i = U (p^{0})^{1} G_{A} + G_{P} \frac{q}{m_{N}}^{2} 5U (p)$$
(10)

where J is either the EM or strange quark vector current and J₅ is the strange axial vector current. The induced pseudoscalar form factor, G_P, does not enter sem i-leptonic neutral current scattering processes at an observable level, so we do not discuss it here. In the case of the EM current, pion loop contributions to the neutron form factors arise from the same set of diagram s as contribute to the strange vector current matrix elements but with the replacements K⁰!, ! n, and g_{N-K} ! $p = \frac{1}{2}g_{N-N}$. For the proton, one has a ⁰ in Fig. 1a and a ⁺ in Figs. 1b-d. We quote results for both D irac and Pauli form factors as well as for the Sachs electric and magnetic form factors [26], de ned as $G_E = F_1 - F_2$ and $G_M = F_1 + F_2$, respectively, where $q^2 = 4m_N^2$ and $q^2 = 1^2 - \frac{1}{2}g_N^2$. We de ne the magnetic moment as $= G_M$ (0) and dimensionless mean square Sachs and D irac charge radii (EM or strange) as

$$sachs = \frac{dG_{E}()}{d} = 0$$
(11)

$$dirac = \frac{dF_1()}{d} = 0$$
 : (12)

The dimensionless radii are related to the dimensionfullmean square radii by $\langle r^2 \rangle_{sachs} = 6 dG_{E} = dq^2 = (3=2m_{N}^{2})^{sachs}$ and similarly for the corresponding D irac quantities. From these de nitions, one has dirac = sachs + . To set the scales, we note that the Sachs EM charge radius of the neutron is sachs _ n, corresponding to an $\langle r_n^2 \rangle_{sachs}$ of about -0.13 fm². Its D irac EM charge radius, on the other hand, is nearly zero. We note also that it is the Sachs, rather than the D irac, mean square radius which characterizes the spatial distribution of the corresponding charge inside the nucleon, since it is the combination F₁ = F₂ which arises naturally in a non-relativisite expansion of the time component of Eq. (9).

3. Results and discussion

O ur results are shown in Fig. 2, where we plot the dimensional strange matrix elements as a function of the form factor cut-o , . A though we quote results in Table I corresponding to the Bonn t values for , we show the -dependence away from $_{\rm Bonn}$ to indicate the sensitivity to the cut-o . In each case, we plot two sets of curves corresponding, respectively, to m = m_K and m = m , in order to illustrate the dependence on meson mass as well as to show the pion loop contributions to the EM form factors. The dashed curves for the mean square radius and magnetic moment give the values for ! 1, corresponding to the point hadron calculation of Ref. [20]. We reiterate that the zeroes

arising at = m are an unphysical artifact of our choice of nucleon-m eson form factor, and one should not draw conclusions from the curves in the vicinity of these points.

In order to interpret our results, it is useful to refer to the analytic expressions for the loops in various limits. The full analytic expressions will appear in a forthcoming publication [21]. In the case of the vector current form factors, the use of monopole m eson-nucleon form factor plus m inim al substitution leads to the result that

$$F_{(i)} = F_{(i)}^{\text{point}}(m^2) \quad F_{(i)}^{\text{point}}(^2) + (^2 m^2) \frac{d}{d^2} F_{(i)}^{\text{point}}(^2) ; \qquad (13)$$

where F $_{(i)}^{\text{point}}$ (m²) is the point hadron result of R ef. [20]. It is straightforward to show that the -dependent terms in Eq. (13) vanish in the ! 1 lim it, thereby reproducing the point hadron result. For nite cut-o, the rst few terms in a small-m² expansion of the radii and m agnetic m om ent are given by

$$sachs = \frac{1}{3} \frac{g}{4}^{2} (3 \quad 5\overline{m}^{2}) \ln \frac{m^{2}}{2} + \frac{g}{4}^{2} (3 \quad 5\overline{m}^{2}) \ln \frac{m^{2}}{m_{N}^{2}} + (14)$$

where
$$\overline{\mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{m}_{N}$$
. Taking $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{N}$ and $\mathbf{g} = \frac{p}{2} \overline{g}_{NN}$ gives the neutron EM charge radii
and magnetic moment, while setting $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_{R}$ and $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{NK}$ gives the strangeness radius
and magnetic moment. The expressions to the right of the arrow s give the rst few terms in
a small \mathbf{m}^{2} expansion in the $!$ 1 limit. The cancellation in this limit of the logarithm ic
dependence on arises from terms not shown explicitly (+) in Eqs. (14-16).

4

In the case of the axial form factor, we assume the pseudoscalar meson loops to give the dom inant correction to the bare isovector axial matrix element of the nucleon. This assumption may be more justiable than in the case of the vector current form factors, since the lightest pseudovector isoscalar m eson w high can couple to the nucleon is the f_1 with mass 1425 MeV. In this case one has g_A^{phys} $g_A^0 [1 +] and s = \frac{3}{5} \frac{K}{A} = [1 +]$ where the $\frac{3}{5}$ is just the spin-avor factor relating f_A^0 and g_A^0 . The loop contributions are given by the A^{K} , where

$${}^{\kappa}_{A} i = \frac{g}{4} \frac{{}^{2}h^{-2}}{3} \frac{\overline{m}^{2}}{4} \frac{-2}{-2} + \frac{1}{4}(2\overline{m}^{2} - 2)\ln^{-2} + \frac{1}{2}$$
(17)

$$! \frac{3}{5} \frac{g}{4} \frac{2^{n}}{2} \ln^{-2} + \frac{5}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} (18)$$

where $g = g_{N_{K}}$ or g_{NN} as appropriate, and where $\bar{g} = m_{N}$. The upper (lower) sign corresponds to the kaon (pion) loop. The opposite sign arises from the fact that $_{A}$ receives contributions from two loops, corresponding to a neutral and charged pion, respectively. The isovector axial vector coupling to the interm ediate nucleon in these loops (n and p) have opposite signs, while the -loop carries an additional factor of two due to the isospin structure of the N N vertex.

For the scalar density, we obtain $R_s = \frac{\kappa_s}{s} = [\beta f_s + \frac{\kappa}{s} + \frac{\kappa}{s}]$, where the loop contributions are contained in

$$\sim_{s}^{\kappa} = \frac{g_{N-\kappa}}{4} \int_{s}^{2h} f_{s} F_{s}^{a} (m_{\kappa};) + \int_{\kappa}^{-} F_{s}^{b} (m_{\kappa};)$$
(19)

$${}_{s}^{\kappa} = \frac{g_{N-\kappa}}{4} \, {}^{2^{\Pi}}_{3} f_{s} F_{s}^{a} (m_{\kappa};) + 2^{-}_{\kappa} F_{s}^{b} (m_{\kappa};) \, ; \qquad (20)$$

and $_{s}$, where the expression for the latter is the same as that for $_{s}^{\kappa}$ but with the replacements $_{\kappa}$! $_{m\kappa}$! m, and $g_{N\kappa}$! $p_{\overline{3}}g_{NN}$, and where $_{\mu\kappa} = _{\mu\kappa} = m_{N}$. The functions F_{s}^{a} and F_{s}^{b} , which represent the contributions from loops 1a (baryon insertion) and 1b (m eson insertion), respectively, are given by

$$F_{s}^{a}(m;) = 2 \frac{-2}{4} \frac{\overline{m}^{2}}{-2} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{m}^{2} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{m}^{2} \ln \frac{m^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\overline{m}^{2} \ln \overline{m}^{2} + (21)$$

$$F_{s}^{b}(m;) = 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{-\frac{2}{m^{2}}}{-\frac{2}{m^{2}}} \frac{m^{2}}{m^{2}} + \frac{m^{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + (1 \quad \overline{m}^{2}) \ln \overline{m}^{2} + (22)$$

The expressions in Eqs. (14-22) and curves in Fig. 2 lend them selves to a number of observations. Considering ist the vector and axial vector form factors, we note that the mean-square radii display signi cant SU (3)-breaking. The loop contributions to the radii contain an IR. divergence associated with the meson mass which manifests itself as a leading chiral logarithm in Eqs. (14-15). The elect is especially pronounced for dirac, where, for > 1 GeV, the results for m = m are roughly an order of magnitude larger than the results for $m = m_{\kappa}$ (up to overall sign). In contrast, the scale of SU (3)-breaking is less than a factor of three for the magnetic moment and axial form factor over the same cut-o range. The chiral logarithm swhich enter the latter quantities are suppressed by at least one power of \overline{m}^2 , thereby rendering these quantities IR. nite and reducing the in pact of SU (3)-breaking associated with the meson mass. Consequently, in a world where nucleon strange-quark form factors arose entirely from pseudoscalar meson loops, one would see a much larger strangeness radius (com mensurate with the neutron EM charge radius) were the kaon as light as the pion than one would see in the actual world. The scales of the strange magnetic moment and axial form factor, on the other hand, would not be appreciably di erent with a signi cantly lighter kaon.

From a num erical standpoint, the aforem entioned qualitative features have some interesting in plications for present and proposed experiments. Taking the meson-nucleon form factor cut-o in the range determined from ts to BB⁰ scattering, 12 _{Bonn} 1:4 GeV, we nd _s has roughly the same scale as the nucleon's isoscalar EM magnetic moment, $I^{=0} = \frac{1}{2} (p + n)$. The loop contribution is comparable in magnitude and has the same sign as pole [14] and Skyrm e [15] predictions. While the extent to which the loop and pole contributions are independent and ought to be added is open to debate, the scale of these two contributions, as well as the Skyrm e estimate, point to a magnitude for _s that ought to be observable in the SAM PLE experiment [6]. Similarly, the loop and Skyrm e estimates for _s agree in sign and rough order of magnitude, the latter being about half the value extracted from the p= p cross sections [3]. Under the identi cation of the strange-quark contribution to the proton's spin s with G^(s) (0), one nds a similar experimental value for _s from the EMC data [4].

In contrast, predictions for the strangeness radius di er signi cantly between the models. In the case of the Sachs radius, the signs of the loop and pole predictions di er. The sign of loop predictions corresponds to one's naive expectation that the kaon, having negative strangeness, exists further from the cm. of the K system due to its lighter m ass. Hence, one would expect a positive value for s^{sachs} (recall that s^{sachs} and $r^2 > sachs$ have opposite signs). The magnitude of the loop prediction for $\frac{\text{sachs}}{s}$ is roughly 1=4 to 1=3 that of the pole and Skym em odels and agrees in sign with the latter. In the case of the D irac radius, the loop contribution is an order of magnitude smaller than either of the other estimates. From the standpoint of measurement, we note that a low $-iq^2 i$ forward-angle measurement of the elastic ep PV asymmetry, A_{LR} (ep), is sensitive to the combination $s^{sachs} + s = dirac$ [28]. The asymmetry for scattering from a (J; I) = (0⁺; 0) nucleus such as ⁴He, on the other hand, is sensitive primarily to the Sachs radius [28]. Thus, were the kaon cloud to be the dom inant contributor to the nucleon's vector current strangeness matrix elements, one would not be able to observe them with the ALR (ep) measurements of Refs. [7,8], whereas one potentially could do so with the A_{LR} (⁴He) measurements of Refs. [8,9]. Were the pole or Skyrme models reliable predictors of hN is si i, on the other hand, the strangeness radii (D irac and/or Sachs) would contribute at an observable level to both types of PV asymmetry. As we illustrate elsewhere [21], the scale of the pole

prediction is rather sensitive to one's assumptions about the asymptotic behavior of the vector current form factors; depending on one's choice of conditions, the pole contribution could be signi cantly sm aller in m agnitude than prediction of R ef. [14]. G iven these results, including the di erence in sign between the pole and both the loop and Skyrm e estimates, a combination of PV asymmetry measurements on di erent targets could prove useful in determining which picture gives the best description of nucleon's vector current strangeness content.

From Eqs.(19-22), one has that the loop contributions to the scalar density contain both U.V. and chiral singularities. The U.V. divergence arises from the qq insertion in the interm ediate baryon line, while the chiral singularity appears in the loop containing the scalar density matrix element of the intermediate meson. Despite the chiral singularity, loop contributions to the matrix elements mahn jpq N i are nite in the chiral limit due to the pre-multiplying factor of m_q . The ratio R_s is also well-behaved in this limit as well as in the limit of large . For $m \mid 0$ and $m_{\kappa} \mid 0$ simultaneously, one has R_s 1=8, while for ! 1, the ratio approaches 1=12. These limiting values are independent of the couplings f_s and π and are determined essentially by counting the number of logarithm ic singularities (U.V. or chiral) entering the num erator and denom inator of R $_{\rm s}$ (note that we have not included loops in this analysis). Consequently, the values for R_s in the chiral and in nite cut-o limits do not su er from the theoretical ambiguities encountered in the physical regime discussed in Section 2. It is also interesting to observe that the limiting results have the same sign and magnitude as the value of R_s extracted from the -term .

For $_{B \text{ onn}}$ and form and m_{κ} having their physical values, the prediction for R_s is smaller than in either of the aforem entioned lim its and rather dependent on the choice of f_s^0 and $_{M}$. The sensitivity to the precise numerical values taken by these couplings is magni ed by a phase di erence between F_s^a and F_s^b . The range of results associated with scenarios (A)-(C) is indicated in Table I, with the largest values arising from choices (A) and (C). The change in overall sign arises from the sign di erence between loops 1a and 1b and the increasing magnitude of F_s^b relative to F_s^a as becomes small. These results are suggestive that loops may give an important contribution to the nucleon's strange-quark scalar density, though the predictive power of the present estim ate is lim ited by the sensitivity to the input couplings. W e emphasize, how ever, that our estim ates of the vector and axial vector form factors do not manifest this degree of sensitivity.

We note in passing that scenario (C) gives a value for $g_A^0 = g_A [1 + __A ()]^{-1} = g_A^0$ (bag) for $_B \text{ onn}$. The value obtained for g_A^0 in this case depends only on the assumption that pseudoscalar meson loops give the dominant correction to g_A^0 and involves no statements about the details of quark model wavefunctions. In contrast, for $1=R_{bag}$ we nd $g_A^0 = g_A \cdot W$ e also note that scenarios (A) and (C) are consistent with the bag value for the scalar density renormalization factor, Z. The latter is de ned as $Z = hH_{j}pq_{j}H_{i}=N_{q}$, where N_q is the number of valence quarks in hadron $H_{i}[24, 27]$. A test of consistency, then, is the extent to which the equality $f_S^0 = Z_{i}$ is satistical. When f_S^0 is computed using Eq. (8), we nd $f_S^0 = 0.5$ in scenarios (A) and (C), while one has $Z_{bag} = 0.5$ [24]. These statements would seem to support the larger values for R_s in Table I.

As for the -dependence of the form factors, we nd that the radii do not change signi cantly in m agnitude over the range Bonn 1, ow ing in part to the importance of the chiral logarithm. The variation in the magnetic moment, whose chiral logarithm is suppressed by a factor of \overline{m}^2 , is somewhat greater (about a factor of four form = m_{κ}). 1 in this lim it. This lim it is approached The ratio_s is nite as ! 1, with a value of only for >> the range of values shown in Fig. 2d, so we do not indicate it on the graph. The IR divergence $(<< 1 f^{-1})$ in the vector current quantities is understandable from Eq. (13), which has the structure of a generalized Pauli-V illars regulator. The impact of the monopole meson-nucleon form factor is similar to that of including additional loops for a meson of mass . From the IR. singularity in the radii associated with the physical m eson, one would expect a similar divergence in , but with opposite sign. The appearance of a singularity having the same sign as the chiral singularity, as well as the appearance of an IR. divergence in the -dependence of magnetic moment which displays no chiral singularity, is due to the derivative term in Eq. (13). In light of this strong -dependence at very small values, as well as our philosophy of taking as much input from independent sources (viz, BB⁰ scattering) we quote in Table 1 results for our loop estimates using

Bonn•

It is am using, nonetheless, to compare our results for $m = m_{\pi}$ and 1 fm^{-1} with those of the calculation of R ef. [17], which e ectively excludes contributions from virtual kaons having wavelength sm aller than the nucleon size. A ssum ing this regime in the cut-o is su ciently far from the articial zero at $= m_{\pi}$ to be physically meaningful, our result for s agrees in magnitude and sign with that of R ef. [17]. In contrast, our estimates are about a factor of three larger for the strangeness radii and a factor of seven larger for the strangeness radii and a factor of seven larger for the strangeness radii and a factor of seven larger for the strange magnetic moment. We suspect that this disagreem ent is due, in part, to the

13

di erent treatm ent of gauge invariance in the two calculations. In the case of the axial vector form factor, which receives no seagull contribution, the two calculations agree. Had we om itted the seagull contributions, our results for the Sachs radius would also have agreed. For the D irac radius our estim ate would have been three times smaller and for the magnetic moment three times larger than the corresponding estimates of Ref. [17]. At

 $_{\rm B\,onn}$, the relative in portance of the seagull for $_{\rm s}^{\rm sachs}$ and $_{\rm s}$ is much smaller (30% e ect) than at small , whereas on ission of the seagull contribution to $_{\rm s}^{\rm dirac}$ would have reduced its value by more than an order of magnitude. We conclude that the extent to which one respects the requirements of gauge invariance at the level of the WT Identity can signi cantly a ect the results for loops employing meson-nucleon form factors. We would argue that a calculation which sats is esthe WT Identity is likely to be more realistic that one which doesn't and speculate, therefore, that the estimate of Ref. [17] represents an underestimate of the loop contributions to $_{\rm s}$ and $_{\rm s}$. An attempt to perform a chiralquark model calculation satisfying the WT Identity in order to test this speculation seem s warranted.

Finally, we make two caveats as to the limit of our calculation's predictive power. First, we observe that for $_{\rm B \, onn}$, the pion-loop gives a value for $_{\rm n}$ very close to the physical value, but signi cantly over-estimates the neutron's EM charge radii, especially $_{\rm n}^{\rm dirac}$ (see Fig. 2b). One would conclude, then, that certainly in the case of mean-square radii, loops involving only the lightest pseudoscalar mesons do not give a complete account of low – energy nucleon form factor physics. Some combination of additional loops involving heavier mesons and vector meson pole contributions is likely to give a more realistic description of the strangeness vector current matrix elements. In this respect, the work of R ef. [29] is suggestive.

Second, had we adopted the heavy-baryon chiral perturbation fram ework of Ref. [30], we would have kept only the leading non-analytic term s in m, since in the heavy baryon expansion contributions of order m^p, p = 1;2;::: are am biguous. Rem oval of this am biguity would require computing order m^p contributions to a variety of processes in order to the down the coe cients of higher-dimension operators in a chiral lagrangian. The present calculation, how ever, was not carried out within this fram ework and gives, in elect, a model for the contributions analytic in m. Form $= m_x$, these terms contribute non non-negligibly to our results. We reiterate that our aim is not so much to make reliable numerical predictions as to provide insight into orders of magnitude, signs, and qualitative features of nucleon strangeness. Were one interested in arriving at more precise numerical statements,

14

even the use of chiral perturbation theory could be insu cient, since it appears from our results that pole and heavier meson loops are likely to give important contributions to the form factors. From this perspective, then, it makes as much sense to include terms analytic in m as to exclude them, especially if we are to make contact with the previous calculations of Refs. [16, 17, and 20].

In short, we view the present calculation as a baseline against which to com pare future, m ore extensive loop analyses. B ased on a simple physical picture of a kaon cloud, it o ers a way, albeit provisional, of understanding how strange quark m atrix elements of the nucleon m ight exist with observable m agnitude, in spite of the success with which constituent quark m odels of the nucleon account for its other low energy properties. At the same time, we have illustrated some of the qualitative features of loop contributions, such as the im pact of SU (3)-breaking in the pseudoscalar m eson octet, the sensitivity to one's form factor at the hadronic vertex, and the importance of respecting gauge invariance at the level of the W T Identity. Finally, when taken in tandem with the calculations of R efs. [14, 15], our results strengthen the rationale for undertaking the signi cant experimental investment required to probe nucleon strangeness with sem i-leptonic scattering.

A cknow ledgem ents

It is a pleasure to thank S.J.Pollock, B.R.Holstein, W.C.Haxton, N.Isgur, and E.Lom on for useful discussions.

References

- 1. T.P. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 2161.
- 2. J.Gasser, H. Leutwyler, and M.E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B 253 (1991) 252.
- 3. L.A. Ahrens et al, Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987) 785.
- 4. J.A shm an et al, Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 1.
- 5. D.B.Kaplan and A.Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B 310 (1988) 527.
- 6. M IT -Bates proposal # 89-06, Bob M cK eown and D.H.Beck, contact people.
- 7. CEBAF proposal # PR-91-010, JM . Finn and PA. Souder, spokespersons.
- 8. CEBAF proposal # PR-91-017, D.H. Beck, spokesperson.
- 9. CEBAF proposal # PR-91-004, E.J. Beise, spokesperson.
- 10. LAMPF Proposal # 1173, W C. Louis, contact person.

- 11. S.J. Brodsky, P. Hoyer, C. Peterson, and N. Sakai, Phys. Lett. B 93 (1980) 451; S.J. Brodsky, C. Peterson, and N. Sakai, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2745.
- 12. J. Collins, F. W ilczek, and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D 18 (1978) 242.
- 13. M . Burkardt and B J.W arr, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 958.
- 14. R.L.Ja e, Phys. Lett. B 229 (1989) 275.
- 15. N.W. Park, J. Schechter and H.W eigel, Phys. Rev. D 43, 869 (1991).
- 16. B R. Holstein, in Proceedings of the Caltech W orkshop on Parity Violation in Electron Scattering, E.J. Beise and R.D. McKeown, Eds., W orld Scientic (1990), pp. 27-43.
- 17. W .Koepf, E M .Henley, and S.J. Pollock, Phys. Lett B 288 (1992) 11.
- 18. B. Holzenkam p, K. Holinde, and J. Speth, Nucl. Phys. A 500 (1989) 485.
- 19. E.Hirsch et al, Phys. Lett. B 36 (1971) 139; E.Hirsch, U.Karshon, and H.J.Lipkin, Phys. Lett. B 36 (1971) 385.
- 20. H A .Bethe and F .deH o m an, M esons and F ields (R ow, Peterson, and C o., E vanston, IL, 1955), Vol. II, p.289.
- 21. M J.M usolf and M .Burkardt, to be published.
- 22. JM .Gaillard and G.Sauvage, Ann. Rev. Nuc. Part. Sci. 34 (1984) 351; D.Dubbers,
 W .M am pe, and J.Dohner, Europhys. Lett. 11 (1990) 195; S.Frædm an, Comments
 Nucl. Part. Phys. 19 (1990) 209; M.Bourquin et al., Z. fur Phys. C 21 (1983) 27.
- 23. A. Chodos, R. L. Ja e, K. Johnson, and C. B. Thom, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 2599;
 T. DeG rand, R. L. Ja e, K. Johnson, and J. Kiskis, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 2060.
- 24. J.F. D onoghue and K. Johnson, Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 1975.
- 25. JF. Donoghue, E. Golowich, and B.R. Holstein, Phys. Rep. 131 (1986) 319.
- 26. R.G. Sachs, Phys. Rev. 126 (1962) 2256.
- 27. S.W einberg, in Fetschrift for I.I.Rabi, edited by Lloyed Moltz (N.Y.Academy of Sciences, N.Y., 1977).
- 28. M J.M usolf and T W .D onnelly, Nucl. Phys. A 546 (1992) 509.
- 29. P.G eiger and N. Isgur, CEBAF Theory Pre-print # CEBAF-TH-92-24 (1992).
- 30. E. Jenkins and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255 (1991) 558.

C aptions

Fig. 1. Feynm an diagram s for loop contributions to nucleon strange quark m atrix elem ents. Here, denotes insertion of the operator s s where = 1; , or $_5$. All four diagram s contribute to vector current m atrix elem ents. Only diagram 1a enters the axial vector m atrix elem ent. B oth 1a and 1b contribute to the scalar density.

Fig. 2. Strange quark vector and axial vector parameters as a function of nucleonmeson form factor mass, . Here, $_{\rm s}$ denotes the dimensionless Sachs (2a) and Dirac (2b) strangeness radii. The strange magnetic moment is given in (2c). The axial vector ratio $_{\rm s}$ is shown in (2d). Dashed curves indicate values of these parameters for ! 1. The ranges corresponding to the Bonn values for are indicated by the arrows. The strong meson-nucleon coupling (g=4)² has been scaled out in (2a-c) and must multiply the results in Fig. 2 to obtain the values in Table I.

TABLE I				
Source	sa.ch.s s	S	S	R _s
elastic p= p [3]			0:12 0:07	
EMC [4]			0:154 0:044	
_№ [1;2]				0:1! 0:2
kaon loops	0:41 ! 0:49	0:31 ! 0:40	0:029 ! 0:041	0:007 ! 0:047
poles [14]	2:12 1:0	0:31 0:009		
Skyme (B) [15]	1 : 65	0:13	0:08	
Skyme (S) [15]	321	0:33		

Tables

Table I. Experimental determinations and theoretical estimates of strangequark matrix elements of the nucleon. First two rows give experimental values for s, where the EMC value is determined from the s-quark contribution to the proton spin, s. Third row gives R s extracted from analyses of $_{\rm N}$. Final four rows give theoretical estimates of \intrinsic' strangeness contributions in various hadronic models. Loop values (row four) are those of the present calculation, where the ranges correspond to varying hadronic form factor cut-o over the range of B onn values (see text). Final two rows give broken (B) and symmetric (S) SU (3) Skym e model predictions. First column gives dimensionless, mean square Sachs strangeness radius. The dimensionless D irac radius is given by $\frac{\text{dirac}}{\text{s}} = \frac{\text{sachs}}{\text{s}} + \frac{1}{\text{s}}$.