Instanton condensation in eld strength form ulated $Q C D^{1}$

K.Langfeld, H.Reinhardt

Institut fur theoretische Physik, Universitat Tubingen D $\{7400\ Tubingen, F\ R\ G$.

A bstract

Field strength form ulated Yang-M ills theory is confronted with the traditional form ulation in terms of gauge elds. It is shown that both form ulations yield the same sem iclassics, in particular the same instanton physics. How ever, at the tree level the eld strength approach is superior because it already includes a good deal of of quantum uctuations of the standard form ulation. uctuations break the scale invariance of classical QCD and These quantum give rise to an instanton interaction and this causes the instantons to condense and form a hom openeous instanton solid. Such the instanton solids show up eld strength approach as hom ogeneous (constant up to gauge transin the form ations) vacuum solutions. A new class of SU (N) instantons is presented which are not embeddings of SU (N-1) instantons but have non-trivial SU (N) color structure and carry winding num bern = N (N 2 1)=6. These instantons generate (after condensation) the low est action solutions of the eld strength approach. The statistical weight (entropy) of di erent hom ogeneous solutions for SU (3) is num erically estim ated by Parisi's stochastic quantization m ethod. F inally, we compare instanton induced quark condensation with the condensation of quarks in the hom ogeneous eld strength solutions. Our investigations show that the hom ogeneous vacuum of the eld strength approach simulates in an e cient way a condensate of instantons.

¹ Supported by DFG under contract Re 856=1 1

C ontents

1	Introduction				
2	Sem i-classical approximation to Yang-M ills theory2.1 Instanton picture2.2 E ective tensor theory (FSA)	4 5 7			
3	 SU (N) instanton solutions 3.1 Instantons in Schwinger-Fock gauge	8 8 11			
4	C onfrontation of the FSA with the instanton picture14.1 Estimate of the instanton interaction	13 13 17			
5	Instanton solids 1 5.1 Lowest action solution of the FSA 1 5.2 Statistical weight of the constant vacuum solutions 1	19 20 22			
6	Quark condensation in the gluonic background26.1 Quarks in an isolated instanton eld26.2 Quark condensation in the eld strength approach2	24 25 27			
7	Concluding remarks 2				
A	Instanton induced classical eld strength solutions 29				

1 Introduction

Q uantum chrom odynam ics (Q C D) is widely accepted as the theory of strong interactions. Our belief in Q C D is mainly based on two facts: rst, it provides a successful description at high energies where asymptotic freedom has been experimentally veried. Secondly, because it embodies the approximate chiral symmetry seen in the low-energy hadron spectrum.

The low-energy sector of QCD is not fully understood yet, but it is well established that the perturbative (continuum) QCD vacuum becomes unstable at low energies [1]. At low energies, the elective coupling constant is large, and nonperturbative methods are required. The only rigorous approach to the strong coupling regime of QCD are lattice simulations based on W ilson's lattice form ulation of Yang-M ills (YM) theory [2]. However, due to renorm alization, the lattice approach does not coincide a priori with the continuum YM -theory. It is only in the weak coupling lim it that the renorm alized lattice theory exhibits the correct scaling behavior of perturbative YM -theory [3]. Furtherm ore, the lattice approach requires very time consum ing numerical work yet the gained physical insight is rather meager. Hence, alternative non-perturbative approaches to YM -theories would be very welcom e and in the past several proposes have been made: for example the approach of Pagels [4], the instanton gas approach of Callan, D ashen and Cross [5], the instanton liquid model proposed by Shuryak [6] and later elaborated in refs. [8] and [9].

Instantons are generally believed to play an important role in the QCD ground state and in particular, in the con nement mechanism. Furthermore instantons (in both the dilute gas and instanton liquid picture) may possibly trigger spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry and perhaps o er an explanation of the U_A (1) problem [10].

Recently, a som ewhat di erent approach to low-energy QCD has been proposed where the YM sector is entirely described in terms of the eld strength [13, 14], resulting in an elective tensor theory. This so called eld strength approach (FSA) o ers a very concise description of the QCD vacuum -at the 'classical' (tree) level it is given by a hom ogeneous condensate of gluons [14, 16]. When quantum uctuations are included [17], such hom ogeneous condensates become unstable, and tend to break into domains [18] of constant color electric and color magnetic eld, reminiscent of the Copenhagen vacuum [19]. Furthermore the FSA results in an elective tensor theory, which already exhibits an anom alous breaking of scale invariance at the tree level. This is because the corresponding elective action of the eld strength contains an explicit energy scale, which means that the action cannot tolerate the usual instantons as stationary points, since instantons contain a free scale param eter. O ne might wonder how the familiar instantons manage to escape in the FSA.

In this paper we confront the FSA with the more traditional instanton picture of the QCD vacuum. We will nd that the constant chrom o-electric and chrom omagnetic eld con gurations of the FSA represent a coherent superposition of condensed instantons. Individual instantons cease to exist as classical solutions in the FSA since the electric action already includes a good deal of the quantum uctuations of the original gluon eld. It is these quantum uctuations which trigger the condensation of the instantons.

The remainder of the paper is as follows: In the next section we brie y review the eld strength formulation of YM -theory and compare the sem iclassical description based on instantons in the eld strength formulation with that of the standard formulation. Section 3 presents a special class of SU (N) instantons which are not embeddings of the SU (2) instanton but have non-trivial SU (N) color structure. In section 4 we confront the FSA with the instanton picture. We not that in the eld strength formulation the SU (N) instantons condense and form hom ogeneous

instanton solids which appear as constant classical FSA solutions. We then show that these instanton solids form the lowest action solutions of the FSA in section 5. We also give an estimate of the statistical weight of classical FSA solutions with higher actions by using Parisi's stochastic quantization method. Section 6 compares quark condensation in an instanton background and in the hom ogeneous FSA solutions. A short summary and some concluding remarks are given in the nal section.

2 Sem i-classical approximation to Yang-M ills theory

In the following we concentrate on the gluon sector with the quarks considered as spectators. The generating functional for gluonic G reen's functions of QCD is dened by 1 :

$$Z[j] = {}^{Z} DA \exp(\frac{1}{4g^{2}} {}^{Z} d^{4}x F^{a} A F^{a} A] + {}^{Z} d^{4}x j^{a} A^{a}); \qquad (1)$$

where j^a is the color octet quark current which acts like an external source in the gluon sector, and

$$F^{a}[A] = Q A^{a} \qquad Q A^{a} + f^{abc}A^{b}A^{c}$$
(2)

is the eld strength of the gauge eld A^a. The integration over gauge eld degrees of freedom cannot be performed exactly and one has to resort to approximations. At high energies, a perturbative expansion in terms of the coupling strength is appropriate. However, at low energies the coupling constant is expected to be large and non-perturbative methods are required. One possibility is to resort to a sem iclassical description, expanding (1) around the non-trivial gauge eld con gurations which are known to exist and referred to as instantons. This yields the instanton picture extensively discussed in the literature [20]. We will return to this approach in section 2.1.

A di erent approach to low energy QCD results if one reform ulates (1) in term s of the eld strength [13, 14]. For this purpose we introduce the eld strength as independent variable by inserting the identity

$$1 = DG (G F [A]) = DG DT \exp[+\frac{i^{2}}{2} d^{4}x T^{a} (G^{a} F^{a} [A])]$$
(3)

¹ W e discard explicitly gauge xing, which will not alter the following considerations. It can be implemented afterwards by restricting the class of instantons.

into the generating functional Z [j]. The path integral (1) then becomes

$$Z[j] = DADGDT \exp(d^4xL)$$
(4)

$$L = \frac{1}{4g^{2}}G^{a} G^{a} - \frac{i}{2}G^{a} T^{a} - \frac{i}{2}A^{b}\hat{T}^{bc}A^{c} \quad (i\theta T^{a} + j^{a})A^{a}; \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{T}^{ab} = f^{abc}T^{c}$. In the following we will assume det $\hat{T} \in 0$ since congurations with det $\hat{T} = 0$ are statistically suppressed. Following [14], integration over the gauge eld A^{a} and eld strength G^{a} yields

$$Z [j] = DTG[j]Det^{1=2}\hat{T} exp[d^{4}x L_{T}]$$
(6)

$$L_{T} = \frac{g^{2}}{4} T^{a} T^{a} \frac{i}{2} (I^{a}, T^{a})^{ab} (I^{a}, T^{b})^{ab} (I^{a}, T^{b})^{a} (I^{a}, T^{$$

Equations (6, 7) are an exact reform ulation of the gluonic generating functional (1) as a path integral over the conjugate eld T^a . Note that the integral over T^a in (6) can no longer be evaluated in closed form. However, recent investigations show that a sem iclassical treatment is appropriate (see e.g. [23, 24, 25, 26]).

2.1 Instanton picture

In the standard continuum approach to the YM ground state a sem iclassical analysis of the path integral over the gauge eld A is performed. Below we demonstrate that the same sem iclassical approximation is obtained in the eld strength formulation, as was recently shown [27]. Extremizing the YM action

$$S_{YM} = \frac{1}{4g^2} d^4 x F^a [A] F^a [A]$$
(8)

yields the classical equation of motion:

$$[0 F^{a} [A] + f^{abc} A^{b} F^{c} [A] = 0 :$$
(9)

N on-trivial nite action solutions to this equation are usually referred as instantons. These instanton con gurations also show up in the eld strength form ulation [13,27]. Variation of the exponent in (6) with respect to the conjugate eld T^a yields the classical equation of motion

$$g^2 T^a + i F^a [V](x) = 0;$$
 (10)

where F^a [V] denotes the eld strength (2) of the vector eld

$$V^{a}[T] = (\hat{T}^{1})^{ab} Q_{!} T_{!}^{b} :$$
 (11)

This quantity transform s under changes of gauge in the same manner as the original gauge potential A and has only a linear coupling to the quark current, also in common with A. It should therefore be understood as the counterpart of the gauge potential in the eld strength formulation. Equation (10) is solved by the eld strength of an instanton A_{inst} [27]

$$T^{a} = \frac{i}{g^{2}}F^{a} [A_{inst}]$$
(12)

with the corresponding induced vector eld (11)

$$V^{a}[\Gamma] = V^{a}[\frac{i}{g^{2}}F^{a}[A_{inst}]] = V^{a}[F^{a}[A_{inst}]] = A (x)_{inst}$$
 (13)

which coincides with the instanton potential.

The classical solutions contribute to the generating functional Z [j] with a weight factor given by the exponent of the classical instanton action times the integral over sm all uctuations. The classical instanton action is the same in both approaches (1) and (6) [27]. In fact, the tensor action in (6) can be written as

$${}^{Z} d^{4}x L_{T} = {}^{Z} d^{4}x f \frac{g^{2}}{4}T^{2} + \frac{i}{2}T^{a} F^{a} [V]g; \qquad (14)$$

where we have used Z

$$d^{4}x @_{!}T^{a}_{!} (\hat{T}^{1})^{ab} @ T^{b} = d^{4}x T^{a}F^{a} [V];$$
(15)

Inserting (12) into (15) gives the eld strength action of an instanton i.e.,

$$d^{4}x L_{T} = d^{4}x \frac{1}{4g^{2}} F^{a} [V]F^{a} [V];$$
 (16)

which agrees with the standard YM action (8).

Ζ

It remains to compare the weight factors obtained from the integration over the modes of the uctuations. In the standard formulation this gives rise to a weight factor

$$Q (D et^{0} \frac{{}^{2}S}{A^{a} A^{b}})^{1=2};$$
 (17)

where the prime indicates that the zero modes are to be excluded from the determ inant. The zero mode contribution is denoted by Q. In the eld strength formulation the weight factor is given by $\$

$$Q_{FS} (D eti\hat{T})^{1=2} (D et^{0} \frac{{}^{2}S_{T}}{\hat{T}^{a} \hat{T}^{b}})^{1=2}$$
: (18)

The second factor is here already present at the tree level whereas the rst and the last factor arise from the integration of uctuations around classical instanton eld strength con gurations. It has been shown recently [27] that both determ inants (17) and (18) are equal (up to an irrelevant constant). Hence the eld strength form ulation reproduces the correct sem iclassical description of the standard form ulation of YM theory. The approaches di er, how ever, at tree level, where the eld strength form ulation yields a pre-exponential weight factor, D et ${}^{1-2}\hat{T}$, and an additional quark current-current interaction, see (6), (7). This weight factor arises from the integration over the gauge elds in the eld strength form ulation and so already includes some of the e ects of the uctuations of the gauge eld around an instanton con quration. It should also be noted that the additional quark interaction in (6) and (7) has a similar structure to the e ective quark interaction which arises in a correlated instanton anti-instanton gas [5, 6]. One therefore expects the eld strength form ulation to already describe the dom inant quantum and correlation effects of the instantons at tree level. W e will provide further evidence for this later. This fact makes the eld strength formulation very attractive for studying the low energy sector of YM theories.

2.2 E ective tensor theory (FSA)

The eld strength form ulation of non-abelian YM -theories (6,7) o ers a concise nonperturbative treatment if one includes the weight factor, D et $^{1=2}T$, into the action. This yields the so-called eld strength approach (FSA) to YM -theories [14]. A fler renormalization, the generating functional of the eld strength approach takes the form [14] z z

$$Z[j] = DTG[j] \exp[d^4x L_{FSA}];$$
 (19)

where the e ective Lagrangian is given by

$$L_{FSA} = \frac{g^2}{4}T^2 - \frac{i}{2}\theta_! T_!^a (\hat{T}^{-1})^{ab}\theta T^a + \frac{4}{2}tr\ln \hat{T}(x)$$
(20)

and the source term G [j] (7) remains unchanged. The renormalization procedure induces the energy scale at tree level rejecting the presence of the scale anomaly [28]. The physical value of has to be xed by a renormalization condition. For instance, in the classical approximation, is related to the non-perturbative gluon condensate [14]. The classical equation of motion of the tensor eld then becomes (cf. eq. (10))

$$g^{2}T^{a} + {}^{4}f^{abc}(\hat{T}^{1})^{ab} + iF^{a}[V] = 0;$$
 (21)

D ue to the presence of the explicit energy scale one m ight expect that instantons with arbitrary size no longer exist. In fact, the e ective action (20) no longer has

instanton solutions at all. Instead the non-trivial stationary points are given in a certain gauge by space-time constant tensor eld con gurations [14]. These hom ogeneous classical solutions appear at the expense of the instantons. One is tempted to interpret these constant solutions as a conglom erate of condensed instantons. In the following sections we give further support to this interpretation. In fact we demonstrate that the constant SU(N) eld strength solutions of m inim al classical action have the same color and Lorentz structure as certain SU(N) instantons. First of all we describe these SU(N) instanton solutions.

3 SU (N) instanton solutions

There is a general method based on works of A tiyah et al. [21, 22] which, in principle, allows one to nd all (anti-) self-dual instanton solutions, although in practice it might be di cult to obtain explicit representations. In order to compare the FSA with the more traditional instanton physics we will be interested in a certain class of instantons for which we need explicit representations satisfying simple algebraic relations. For this purpose it is more convenient to follow a di erent route which im mediately provides the explicit representation of the instantons. A ctually, we only became aware of the work of A tiyah et al. after we had already found the instantons relevant in the context of the FSA.

3.1 Instantons in Schwinger-Fock gauge

Instantons are nite action extrem a of the classical Euclidean Y ang-M ills action (1) which solve the classical equations of m otion:

Any nite action gauge potential A^a (x), in particular the instantons, must become pure gauge U (U^y as x^2 tends to in nity. They can be classified by the so called topological charge

$$n[F] = \frac{1}{16^{2}} d^{4}x tr(F F)$$
 (23)

which is an integer (the Pontryagin index). The Bianchi-identity

$$(P_{a}^{a} = (F_{a}^{a})^{ab}A^{b}; F^{a} = \frac{1}{2} F^{a};$$
 (24)

which holds for arbitrary gauge elds, in plies that any gauge eld which has an (anti-) selfdual eld strength (i.e. $F^{a} = ()F^{a}$), represents an instanton solution to the classical YM equation (22), in agreem ent with the standard variational result [20].

For a given gauge potential A^a (x) however, we do not know whether its eld strength F^a is self-dual until we actually calculate it. Thus in the search for instantons, it is much more convenient to consider the eld strength as an independent dynam ical variable instead of the gauge potential itself. This can be accomplished in the Fock-Schwinger gauge

$$x A (x) = 0$$
: (25)

In this gauge, the gauge potential can be entirely expressed in terms of the eld strength i.e.,

$$A^{a}(x) = \int_{0}^{2} d F^{a}(x) x :$$
 (26)

and the equation of motion (22) becomes an equation for F^{a} i.e.,

$$(2 F^{a}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{F}^{ab}(\mathbf{x}) \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d F^{b} \mathbf{x} :$$
 (27)

This equation is equivalent to the original equation of motion only for those F^{a} which are the eld strengths to some gauge potential. For an arbitrary F^{a} equation (26) yields a gauge potential $A^{a} \mathbb{F}^{0}$ whose eld strength $F^{a} \mathbb{A} \mathbb{F}^{0}$ divers in general from the initial F^{a} . We must therefore supplement (27) with the constraint

$$\mathbf{F}^{a} [\mathbf{A} [\mathbf{F}]] = \mathbf{F}^{a} : \tag{28}$$

W ith this constraint, (27) is completely equivalent to the classical YM equation of motion (22) that we started with.

We now solve (27) with the isotropic ansatz

$$F^{a} = G^{a} (x^{2});$$
 (29)

where G^a is a constant, (anti-) self-dualm atrix antisymm etric under the exchange of and . For this ansatz the gauge eld (26) becomes

$$A^{a} = G^{a} \times \frac{1}{2x^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z \times 2} du \quad (u) = : G^{a} \times (x^{2}) :$$
 (30)

and the equation of motion (27) reduces to

$$2G^{a} x^{0} + \hat{G}^{ab}G^{b} x_{!} (x^{2}) (x^{2}) = 0 : \qquad (31)$$

This equation makes it easy to separate the color and Lorentz structure from the space-time dependence, reducing (31) (uniquely up to unimportant rescaling of G ! G = and ! with an arbitrary constant) to

$$\hat{G}^{ab}G^{b} = 2G^{a}$$
(32)

$${}^{0}(\mathbf{x}^{2}) = (\mathbf{x}^{2}) (\mathbf{x}^{2}) :$$
 (33)

In order to solve the matrix equation (32) it is convenient to expand the antisym – metric color matrices G^a in terms of 't Hooft's -symbols i.e.,

$$G^{a} = G^{a i}_{i} + G^{a i}_{i} :$$
(34)

The ⁱ and ⁱ; (i = 1;2;3) are self-dual and anti self-dual space-time tensors, which generate the SU (2) SU (2) SO (4) symmetry group of Euclidean space, satisfying

$$[{}^{i};{}^{k}] = 2{}^{ikl l}; [{}^{i};{}^{k}] = 2{}^{ikl l}; [{}^{i};{}^{k}] = 0;$$
 (35)

$$f^{i}; {}^{k}g = 2 {}^{ik}; f^{i}; {}^{k}g = 2 {}^{ik}; trf^{i} {}^{k}g = 0:$$
 (36)

For the moment let us con ne ourselves to self-dual con gurations i.e., $G_i^a = 0$. Using (35) the relation (32) can be cast in the form

$$G_{1}^{a} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{lrs} f^{abc} G_{r}^{b} G_{s}^{c} :$$
 (37)

W e m ust also guarantee that the eld strength ansatz (29) is constructed from the gauge potential (30). So, our task is to solve the constraint (28) together with the e.o.m.'s (33) and (37) for F^{a} and A^{a} given by (29) and (30) respectively. D e-composing the constraint (28) into self-dual and anti self-dual parts one nds

$$G_{i}^{a}(2 + x^{2}) + \frac{1}{4} _{ik1}f^{abc}G_{k}^{b}G_{1}^{c}x^{2} = G_{i}^{a}$$
$$x^{i} _{k}x_{l} (G_{k}^{a}) \frac{1}{4} _{km1}f^{abc}G_{m}^{b}G_{1}^{c}) = 0:$$

U sing the relation (37) of the coe cients G a_i , we can reduce these to ordinary differential equations i.e.,

$$x^{2} + 2 + \frac{1}{2}x^{2} =$$
(38)

$${}^{0} \quad \frac{1}{2} {}^{2} = 0: \tag{39}$$

These equations are solved by

$$(x^{2}) = \frac{2}{x^{2} + 2};$$
 $(x^{2}) = \frac{4^{2}}{(x^{2} + 2)^{2}}$ (40)

where is an arbitrary parameter. It is not surprising that these solutions also satisfy (33), which follows from the classical YM equation (22) within the gauge (25) and with the ansatz (29). This is merely a consequence of the general fact that any (anti-) self-dual eld con guration satis as the classical YM equations. In fact,

the solutions (40) have precisely the space-time dependence of the gauge potential and the eld strength of the standard Polyakov-t'H ooft instanton.

W hat remains to be done is to solve the algebraic equation (37) which de nes the color and Lorentz structure of the instantons. Let t^a denote the generators of the gauge group G satisfying $[t^a; t^b] = if^{abc}t^c$ in the fundamental representation. Dening

$$G_{i} = G_{i}^{a}t^{a}; \qquad G_{i} = G_{i}^{a}t^{a} \qquad (41)$$

the algebraic equation (37) can be rew ritten as

$$[G_{j};G_{k}] = i_{jk}G_{l}; \qquad (42)$$

Since the ^{ijk} are the structure constants of the SU (2) group, any realization G_i of the SU (2) algebra yields an instanton solution.

For eld con gurations (29) with radial dependence (40) the winding number and the corresponding action become

$$n = \frac{1}{3}G_{i}^{a}G_{i}^{a} = \frac{2}{3}trG_{i}G_{i}; \qquad S = \frac{8^{-2}}{3q^{2}}G_{i}^{a}G_{i}^{a} = \frac{4^{-2}}{q^{2}}n: \qquad (43)$$

Noting that instanton solutions G_i are representations of the SU (2) spin algebra for som e spin s, the quantity G_iG_i represents the quadratic casim ir operator of the SU (2) group, i.e.,

$$G_{i}G_{i} = s(s+1) 1_{G} = : c(G) 1_{G} \text{ with } tr1_{G} = : d(G) :$$
 (44)

 $1_{\rm G}$ is the unit matrix in the spin representation de ned by the G_i, which need not to coincide with the N-dimensional unit matrix of the color representation but may have lower dimension. The winding number and action can therefore be expressed as

n =
$$\frac{2}{3}$$
c(G)d(G); S = $\frac{16^{-2}}{3q^2}$ c(G)d(G): (45)

3.2 Explicit construction of SU (N) instantons

Let us now construct explicit solutions to (42), which de ne the color structure of the instantons. In the fundam ental representation of SU (N) the t^a form a complete basis for the herm itean N N m atrices. Furtherm ore, the lowest dimensional irreducible spin s representation of SU (2) is realized by herm itean (2s + 1) (2s + 1) m atrices. Hence, the N-dimensional s = (N 1)=2 spin representation always provides an instanton G_i with non-trivial SU (N) color structure. We refer to this instanton

as the instanton of maximum spin (for given N). From (45) it follows that this instanton carries winding number

$$n = \frac{2}{3}N s(s+1) = \frac{2}{3}N \frac{N-1}{2} \frac{N+1}{2} = \frac{1}{6}N (N^2 1) :$$
(46)

Additional instantons will usually arise from N -dimensional realizations of lower spin s < (N 1)=2 representations. In particular, all embeddings of SU (N 1) instantons in SU (N) obviously represent SU (N) instanton con gurations.

For the gauge group SU (2) any representation t^a of the color generators naturally provides an instanton by identifying the color group with the spin group, i.e.,

$$G_{i}^{a} = {}_{i}^{a}$$
 (identity map): (47)

Eq.(29,34) then yields the standard Polyakov-'t Hooft instanton ($G^a = {}^a$). This is the instanton with maximal spin for SU (2). Obviously, in this case, there are (up to global color and Lorentz transform ations) no mappings of the SU (2) color group into the SU (2) spin algebra other than the identity map and therefore no other instantons of the type (29) exist.

In the case of a SU (3) gauge group the G_i (41) are herm it ian 3 3 m atrices. The SU (3) embedding of the SU (2) instanton form s a SU (3) instanton con guration

$$G_{i}^{a} = {}_{i}^{a}$$
; $a = 1;2;3$ $G_{i}^{b} = 0$; $b = 4;...;8$: (48)

This is the known SU (3) instanton and is the basis of existing instanton models of the QCD vacuum [20]. This instanton corresponds to the s = 1=2 representation of the spin group and is illustrated in gure (5). There also exists another non-trivial SU (3) instanton, corresponding to the s = 1 representation of the spin group, i.e.,

$$(G_{i})_{kl} = i_{ikl}$$

$$(49)$$

which is the maximal spin representation in N = 3 dimensions. These G_i are up to a phase the antisymmetric generators $t^a = 2;5;7$ of the fundamental SU (3) representation, which also forms the 3 representation of SU (3). For this SU (3) solution, the non-zero components read (see gure (5))

$$G_1^7 = G_2^5 = G_3^2 = 2$$
: (50)

This solution thus has a non-trivial SU (3) color structure and from (45) it carries winding number n = 4. Since in three dimensions (besides the trivial s = 0) only the s = 1=2 and s = 1 representations of SU (2) can be realized there are no further self-dual SU (3) instantons of type (29).

For a SU (4) gauge group the embeddings of the SU (3) instantons discussed above are trivially SU (4) instanton solutions. A SU (4) instanton with non-trivial SU (4)

color structure again arises from the identi cation of the G_i's with the maxim alspin s = 3=2 representation of the SU (2) spin group in N = 4 dimensions. A coording to (46) this solution carries winding number n = 10. Two further SU (4) solutions are provided by the two s = 1=2 representations of SU (2) in four dimensions given by the 't H ooff's symbols

$$G_{k} = \frac{i}{2}^{k}$$
 or $G_{k} = \frac{i}{2}^{k}$ (51)

when the ^k, ^k are now matrices in color space. These instantons correspond to the $(\frac{1}{2}; 0)$ and $(0; \frac{1}{2})$ representations of the SO (4) SU (2) SU (2) subgroup of SU (4). From (44) and (45) we see that these instantons carry winding number n = 2.

Of course, to any self-dual instanton solution with winding number n found above there exists an anti-self-dual instanton with winding number n constructed by exchanging G_i and G_i .

4 Confrontation of the FSA with the instanton picture

In this section we demonstrate, using the special class of instantons constructed in the previous section, that the hom ogeneous eld strength vacuum solutions of the FSA represent solids of condensed instantons. First, we show that two instantons with equal orientation posses an attractive interaction. Second, we proof that only constant solutions of the classical equation of motion exist in the FSA. We then argue that superpositions of instantons with uniform orientation, averaged over positions and sizes, form a hom ogeneous, constant eld strength vacuum solution.

4.1 Estimate of the instanton interaction

In order to investigate the e ect of quantum uctuations on the instantons we need to exam ine the e ect of the pre-exponential factor D et $^{1-2}\hat{T}$ in (6) and (7), treating it as a weight factor for instanton con gurations. This determ inant is divergent and needs regularization and renorm alization. We adopt a simplified renorm alization procedure motivated by physical arguments, and which turns out to be su cient at our level of approximation. In particular, for instanton con gurations $T^a = iF^a$, the renorm alized determinant yields the same -dependence as the standard uctuation determinant calculated by t'H ooff [11] (see also [12]). This -dependence in plies that instantons with large radii compared to the fundamental (renorm alization) scale 1= are preferred. This fact does not change if one considers two instantons and their binary interaction as we shall see.

We now investigate the interaction of two separated instantons within the FSA by comparing their tree level elective action to that of two isolated instantons. We con ne ourselves to the simplest case of two maximum spin instantons with the same radius and orientation. We nd that for medium radii the potential contains short-range repulsive and medium -range attractive parts, while for large instanton radii the potential becomes purely attractive. Since instantons with large radii are preferred, instantons will fuse and form a solid.

As discussed in section 2.1, once the uctuations of the T-ekl are included, the full instanton determ inant of the standard formulation is recovered. In this case there is no need to regularize D et $^{1=2}T$ separately. However, once this term is renormalized, it already contains quantum e ects which are physically relevant at low energies. In particular, it already describes the scale anomaly as we shall demonstrate shortly.

W e start from the generating functional for G reen's functions (6), where we now include the weight factor D et $^{1=2}\hat{T}$ in the action. A dopting lattice regularization we obtain

$$Det^{1=2}\hat{T} = \exp f \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{X} tr \ln \hat{T}(x_{i})g = \exp f \frac{1}{2} d^{4}x d^{4}x d^{4}(x) tr \ln \hat{T}(x) = d^{2}g;$$
(52)

where is a reference scale and $_{0}(\mathbf{x})$ is a divergent measure function rejecting the fact that the sum over space-time points has been replaced by a Riemann integral. For equally spaced lattice points the regulator is $_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = 1=a^{4}$ with a the lattice spacing. The ambiguity of the space-time dependence of the regulator function $_{0}(\mathbf{x})$ is due to the fact that one is dealing with the determ inant of a purely local operator. However, such ambiguity can be removed by including uctuations in the eld strengths which induce non-local terms. At our level of approximation it is su cient to remove this ambiguity on physical grounds. We demand that

rst the correct anomaly is generated by scale transformations, and second that the regularization preserves the individual particle picture of instantons. As an initial estimate we follow the renormalization adopted in the FSA [14]. One nds that $_0$ is related, in the classical approximation, to the gluon condensate in the vacuum. As our renormalization condition, we retain the relation between $_0$ and the eld strength for space-time dependent instanton con gurations and absorb the divergence of $_0$ by a rescaling of the eld strength. We therefore generalize to space-time dependent eld con gurations by setting the regulator function as

$${}^{4}_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = ! \mathbf{F}^{a}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{F}^{a}(\mathbf{x});$$
 (53)

where the constant ! must be chosen to produce the correct anom abus behavior under scale transform ations. The choice (53) m eans that each instanton contributes the same amount to the scale anom aly. The averaging process over the instanton ensemble can now be performed in a trivial manner. It is clear that the independent particle picture is preserved during renormalization which is performed in the standard way by rescaling T^a ! $T^a = Z_T$ corresponding to space-time independent counter term s.

Following the FSA, we include the determ inant (52) in the action and the generating functional for G reen's function then becomes

$$Z = DT \exp[S]$$
(54)
$$S[V] = d^{4}x f \frac{g^{2}}{4}T^{2} + \frac{i}{2}T^{a}F^{a}[V] + \frac{4}{0}(x) trln i\hat{T} = 2g;$$

where we have used the relation (15). F^{a} is the eld strength functional (2) and $V^{a}[\Gamma]$ is the counterpart of the gauge potential in the FSA, de ned by equation (11). We can ne ourselves to the investigation of instantons of the type (30) whose eld strength is given by (29,40). As shown in section 2.1, these instanton can gurations m inim ize the rst two term softhe action in (54), giving rise to the classical equation of motion (10). For any solution to this equation, in particular for the instantons of type (30), the eld external equation becomes

$$S[V] = \int_{a}^{Z} d^{4}x f \frac{1}{4q^{2}} F^{a}[V]F^{a}[V] + \frac{\int_{a}^{4} (x)}{2} tr \ln \hat{F}[V] = \int_{a}^{2} g \qquad (55)$$

and is a function of collective variables characterizing the instanton medium (e.g., the instanton radius or the average distance of two instantons). Substituting $_0(x)$ from (53), the renorm alized action becomes 2

$$S[V] = \frac{d^4x f \frac{1}{4g^2} F^a [V] F^a [V] + \frac{!}{2} F^2 tr \ln \hat{F} [V] = \frac{2}{g}$$
(56)

The dependence of this expression on the arbitrary scale can be removed by appealing to renorm alization group arguments, letting g depend on . From dS=d ln = 0 we obtain the -function

$$(g) = 8 (N^{2} 1)! g^{3}; (57)$$

which, in fact, agrees with the asymptotic behavior of (g) in perturbative QCD. So if the preexponential factor D et $^{1=2}T$ is the dom inant part of the determ inant arising from uctuations around an instanton, we may set ! $_{0}=8$ (N 2 1) with $_{0} = 11N = 48$ 2 for a pure SU (N) YM theory [34]. This choice of ! also yields the correct scale anom aly. This can easily be seen by examining the behavior of S under a scale transform ation, i.e.,

$$S = 4 (N^2 \ 1)! d^4 x F^2 (x) :$$
 (58)

 $^{^2}$ N ote that F does not depend on the coupling strength.

Here, the left hand side represents the trace of the energy-momentum tensor E , which sets the fundam ental energy scale in YM theories. The choice $! = _0=8$ (N 2 1) then gives

$$E = \frac{0}{2} F^{a} F^{a}; \qquad (59)$$

which is the correct result, rst obtained by Collins et al. [28].

Inserting the one instanton solution (29) into this equation we obtain the action as a function of the instanton radius , i.e.,

$$S = G^{2} d^{4}x f(\frac{1}{4g^{2}} + \frac{!}{2} \ln \det \hat{G})^{2} + 2! (N^{2} 1)^{2} \ln = {}^{2}g; \quad (60)$$

where $G^2 = G^a G^a$ with the matrix G^a de ned in (29). A straightforward calculation yields the following -dependence

$$S = \frac{8^{-2}G^{2}}{3} \left[\frac{1}{4g^{2}} - \frac{0}{2} \ln(0) \right];$$
(61)

where we have inserted the explicit value for ! and irrelevant num erical constants have been ignored. ³ Equation (61) gives the same -dependence of the statistical weight as obtained in the standard approach if one includes the full contribution from the G aussian uctuations around an instanton solution in the action [11, 12]. The di erence, however, is that we have obtained this result using physical argum ents im plying that $_0$ is undetermined at this level. Note that large instanton radii are preferred here since the coe cient of the logarithm in (61) is negative.

Up to now, we have only considered the weight factor $D et^{1=2}\hat{T}$. If we add the contribution from the uctuations of the eld T^a to the eld the eld to the eld the tree elevel to the eld to the eld the tree elevel to the eld to the eld to the eld the tree elevel to the eld the tree elevel to the eld the tree elevel the tree elevel to the eld to the eld the tree elevel the tree elevel the tree elevel. In the eld the eld the the eld the tree elevel the eld the eld the eld the the eld the tree elevel the eld the eld to the eld the tree elevel to the eld the eld to the eld the eld the tree elevel to the eld the tree elevel to the eld to the

$$F^{a(2)} = G^{a} [(x r) + (x)]:$$
 (62)

 $^{^3}$ N ote that due to the presence of the explicit scale $\,$, the zero m ode corresponding to scale transform ations is absent, in plying that there are no further contributions from treating this zero m ode.

with the action of two independent instantons, giving

$$S_{I} \coloneqq S[F^{(2)}] \quad 2S[F]:$$
(63)

The rst term in (56) yields a repulsive interaction when the shape of the instantons is kept xed. Adding the second term, arising from the integration over the gauge elds A^a, and thus including the quantum e ects of the standard approach, the potential becomes short-range repulsive and medium -range attractive for < c but . The instanton medium is thus infra-red unstable. The purely attractive for dependence of S_I on the instanton distance in term softhe instanton radius is shown at xed coupling strength = g^2 ()=4 = 0:4. in q. (1) for dierent values Fig. (2) investigates the critical radius $_{c}$ in terms of the coupling g(). A sum ing = 0:4 at a renorm alization point 1 G eV as suggested by perturbative Q C D the critical instanton radius is c 0:3 fm. It is seen that a medium of sm all instantons < 1:48) is stable for all values of q(). On the other hand, there are always (large instantons (for xed g()) which exceed the critical size $_{\rm c}$ and trigger a phase transition from an instanton gas to an hom ogeneous instanton medium.

From instanton liquid calculations [7], it is known that the the vacuum distribution of the instanton radius is sharply peaked. We have checked that for instantons with size larger than some critical value there is no stabilization mechanism preventing the classical instanton vacuum from collapsing when $(D \text{ etf})^{1=2}$ is included in the classical approximation. There are two major dimensions in our investigations as compared with instanton liquid simulations; rst, in instanton liquid models quarks, play an important role in the stabilization of the instanton medium, and we have neglected the in unce of quarks in our considerations so far. Second, in instanton liquid models one usually includes only the instanton-instanton interaction, which is already present at the tree level, while the instanton-instanton interaction, which arises only from quantum uctuations (and quarks), is discarded. In our case, order h-e ects yield the attractive instanton interaction causing the infra-red instability. F inally note that if one sticks to large but nite volum e L⁴, im plying that the instanton radius cannot exceed L, it is always possible to choose a renorm alization point at which the instanton medium is stable.

4.2 Constant FSA solutions versus instantons

In section 2.1 we saw that eld strength formulated YM theories of the form presented in [13, 27], with the weight factor D et $^{1=2}\hat{T}$ excluded from the eld ective action, have the same instanton solutions as exist in the standard approach. We now investigate whether the eld strength approach (FSA) to YM -theories in the form proposed in [14], where the weight factor D et $^{1=2}\hat{T}$ is included in the action still allows for instanton-type solutions of the classical equation of motion (21). Below we show that in the FSA, individual localized instantons do not exist, as one might already expect from the presence of an energy scale in the elective action. For the SU (2) gauge group, the absence of the standard instantons has already been proven in [14]. For simplicity we restrict ourselves to instantons of the type discussed in the previous section and use the ansatz (29), i.e.,

$$g^{2}T^{a}(x) = iG^{a}(x^{2});$$
 (64)

where G^a is a constant (anti-) self-dual matrix satisfying the relation (32). A surprising consequence of the property (32) of the instanton matrix G^a is that it in plies (see appendix A)

$$G^{a} = f^{abc} (\hat{G}^{1})^{bc}$$
(65)

where is some constant depending on the underlying gauge group and the dimension of space-time. Setting

$$\Gamma^{a} = i \frac{p}{g} G^{a}$$
(66)

(65) becomes precisely the classical equation of motion of the FSA (21) for space-time independent eld congurations. Therefore, any instanton of the type considered in section 3, generates a homogeneous solution (66) of the FSA with the same color and Lorentz structure. This is a major result of our paper.

Let us now go further and show that in the FSA these instantons only generate (up to gauge rotations) space-time constant solutions. For the con gurations (64) the equation of motion (21) becomes

$$G^{a} \left[-\frac{{}^{4}g^{2}}{-}\frac{1}{-} \right] F^{a} \left[V \right] = 0 :$$
 (67)

This equation only allows for constant (x^2) . To see this explicitly we take the covariant derivative $D^{ba} = {}^{ba} Q + f^{bca} V^c$ of (67), giving

$$G^{b}$$
 $@ [-\frac{{}^{4}g^{2}}{-}\frac{1}{}] + f^{bca}V^{c}G^{a} [-\frac{{}^{4}g^{2}}{-}\frac{1}{}] D^{ba}F^{b}[V] = 0:$ (68)

By assumption G^a is (anti-) self-dual and by (67) the same must be true for F^a [V]. The last term then vanishes by the Bianchi identity D F [V] = 0. Note, that any solution of (67) must also satisfy the reduced equation (68), although the converse is not true. Inserting the explicit form of V^a (11) into (68) and using (32), (67) m ay be simplified to

$$G_{!}^{a} x_{!} (\ln)^{0} = 0:$$
 (69)

This equation can only be satis ed by constant .

We have thus shown that when Det $^{1=2}\hat{T}$ is included in the elective tensor action, the instantons disappear and instead constant, how ogeneous solutions with the same color and Lorentz tensor structure emerge. In view of the results of the previous subsection we may thus conclude that the hom ogeneous vacuum solutions of the FSA represent coherent superpositions of uniform ly oriented instantons.

Note that the condition (32) on the matrix G^a of the instanton, is more restrictive than the equations of motion of the eld strength approach (21). A coordingly, the eld strength approach allows further solutions than those having the color and Lorentz structure of the maximum spin instanton, discussed above. For example, (21) allows solutions of mixed duality (see section 5). In the instanton approach such con gurations would correspond to a mixed solid like medium of self-dual and antiself-dual instantons, with di erent orientations and di erent localizations, but which is found to be hom ogeneous after averaging over all instanton positions and radii (but keeping color and Lorentz structure xed).

We conclude that the two instanton potential induced by the additional weight factor D et $^{1=2}\hat{T}$ in eld strength form ulated YM theory at tree level is responsible for the condensation of instantons, form ing the of hom ogeneous eld strength vacuum seen in the FSA.

5 Instanton solids

We have just seen that the trln \hat{T} term gives rise to a substantial instanton interaction and hence this term deserves a non-perturbative treatment. One should therefore include it in the action and thus in the de nition of the stationary point. But this is precisely the de nition the eld strength approach (FSA) of ref. [14] with the action (20).

W e now go on to exam ine the spectrum of hom ogeneous solutions to the classical equations of motion (21) of the FSA. For constant T^a congurations these reduce to

$$g^{2}T^{a} + {}^{4}f^{abc}(\hat{T}^{1})^{bc} = 0;$$
 (70)

which have the purely in aginary solutions [14]

$$ig^2 T^a = G^a : (71)$$

These solutions describe a hom ogeneous vacuum with real eld strength G^{a} , which m in in izes the electric potential [14]

$$(G) = \frac{1}{4g^2} G^a G^a \qquad \frac{4}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln (\hat{G}) : \qquad (72)$$

⁴ A lso note that in view of (10) the instanton solutions carry real eld strength $G_{Inst}^2(x) = (ig^2 T_{Inst})^2 = F^a [V]F^a [V]$:

From the equation of motion it follows that the constant solutions satisfy the relation

$$G^{a} G^{a} = 4 (G^{a}_{i}G^{a}_{i} + G^{a}_{i}G^{a}_{i}) = 4 (N^{2} 1)^{4} g^{2}$$
: (73)

If we consider the expansion ∞e cients G $_{i}^{a}$ and G $_{i}^{a}$ as cartesian ∞e rdinates of R $^{6 N^{2} - 1)}$, then the classical solutions are given by points on the unit sphere S $^{6 N^{2} - 1) - 1}$.

In ref. [14] the various classical solutions have been classified according to the topological quantum number

$$m = \frac{1}{2} (N_{+} N_{-});$$
 (74)

where N are the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of the matrix \hat{G} . Solutions with dierent m are separated by in nite energy barriers, since trln \hat{G} diverges when one of the eigenvalues crosses zero.

5.1 Lowest action solution of the FSA

It was shown in section 4.2 that any instanton solution of the type discussed in section 3 provides a hom ogeneous (anti-) self-dual solution to the equation of motion (21) of the FSA. Surprisingly, it is just the maximal spin (s = (N 1)=2) instanton of the SU (N) YM -theory that provides the lowest action solution of the FSA. More precisely, in SU (2) (in four space-time dimensions) it has been shown there exist only (up to gauge and Lorentz transform ations) six independent constant solutions, which are all degenerate. Four of them are (anti-) self-dual solutions arising from the maximal spin s = 1=2 SU (2) instantons. These solutions carry the topological quantum number (74) m = 2. There are two further solutions which are not (anti-) self-dual, carry m = 0, and are only accidentally degenerate with the self-dual solutions. The lowest action solution for SU (3), the maximal spin instanton (s = 1), which has m = 4, is non-degenerate (up to symmetry transform ations).

In order to get a better understanding of the hom ogeneous solutions, generated by instantons, of the FSA equation of motion we investigate the structure of the matrix \hat{G} . For self-dual eld con gurations we have

$$\hat{G}^{ab} = \hat{G}^{ab \ i}_{i} \qquad \hat{G}^{ab}_{i} = f^{abc}G^{c}_{i} :$$
(75)

For the classical FSA solutions which are generated by instantons, the G_k (41) de ned in the fundam ental representation of the SU (N) gauge group, form an SU (2) algebra (see eq.(42)) in some spin s representation. The same must be true for the matrices $i\hat{G}_k$ which are members of the adjoint representation of SU (N). These form an (in general reducible) SU (2) representation, i.e.,

$$\mathbf{L}_{k} \coloneqq \mathbf{i} \mathbf{G}_{k} \qquad [\mathbf{L}_{k}; \mathbf{L}_{i}] = \mathbf{i}_{kim} \mathbf{L}_{m}; \qquad (76)$$

with di erent spin L \in s. Furtherm ore, the S^k $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ ^k form the four dimensional s = 1=2 representation of SU (2). Hence for these solutions the matrix \hat{G} can be represented entirely in term s of SU (2) generators, i.e.,

$$\hat{G}^{ab} = 2 L_{ab} S \quad : \tag{77}$$

Due to the absence of anti self-dual components, i.e. of the spin $S^k = \frac{i}{2}^k$ all eigenvalues of \hat{G} are (at least) two-fold degenerate. Introducing the grand spin K = L + S, the matrix \hat{G} becomes

$$\hat{\mathsf{G}} = \mathsf{K}^2 \quad \mathsf{L}^2 \quad \mathsf{S}^2 \tag{78}$$

and its eigenvalues are

$$= k(k + 1) \quad l(l + 1) \quad s(s + 1);$$
(79)

where k = 1 $\frac{1}{2}$. If Γ is an irreducible representation of SU (2) the eigenvalues

are (2k + 1)(2s + 1) degenerate (s = 1=2). If L decays in irreducible SU (2) representations, the degree of degeneracy is $(2s + 1)_{=1}^{P}(2k_{()} + 1)$. Since the adjoint representation of SU (N) is (N² 1)-dimensional, we have the constraint

$$2 \sum_{\substack{k \in A \\ k \in A$$

Furtherm ore there are

$$N_{+} = 4 \sum_{=1}^{X} (L_{()} + 1)$$
(81)

positive eigenvalues (k = 1 + 1 = 2) and

$$N = 4 \sum_{i=1}^{X} L_{(i)}$$
(82)

negative eigenvalues (k = 1 1=2) of \hat{G} , so that the topological quantum number (74) becomes

$$m = \frac{1}{2}(N_{+} N_{-}) = 2$$
 : (83)

Since the number of irreducible representations is restricted by (80) their is a maximum topological quantum number for each SU (N) group. In the case of SU (2) the adjoint representation is 3-dimensional and can accome modate only a single spin representation (L = 1=2 or L = 1, the latter is realized for the maximum spin solution). Therefore = 1 and the maximal quantum number is m = 2, in agreement with the explicit solutions [14]. In the case of SU (3) the adjoint representation is eight dimensional and, for the maximum spin solution, may be decomposed into $L_{(1)} = 1$ and $L_{(2)} = 2$ irreducible SU (2) representations implying m 4, in agreement with numerical simulations (see section 5.2). It seems that this property is not restricted to the instanton like solutions, since up to now no conguration \hat{G} with m > 4 has been found numerically by choosing G^a random by.

5.2 Statistical weight of the constant vacuum solutions

In this section we investigate the weight with which each classical solution contributes to the path integral. We argue that the completely self-dual FSA solutions discussed in the previous subsection, should be statistically suppressed compared to the solutions with mixed duality. The reason is that the self-dual solutions can be interpreted as a coherent superposition of maximum spin instantons with unique orientation, whilst them ixed duality solutions represent superpositions of instantons and anti-instantons.

In the interesting case of an SU (3) gauge group, many classical solutions are known to exist. It is therefore of great practical interest to investigate which are the most important ones dom inating the quark interaction (see (7)). For this purpose we assume that the inclusion of the quarks modiles the gluonic sector only slightly, a fact which seems to be supported by the QCD sum rules [15].

Each classical solution contributes a weight factor $\exp[(G_c)=4]$ with (G) de ned by (72). Upon rescaling T^a ! T^a =g one can explicitly check, using (71) and (72), that this weight factor is independent of g and and therefore renorm alization group invariant. A second weight factor arises from the integration over the G aussian uctuations in the tensor eld around a classical solution. These weight factors have been evaluated for SU (2) in three dimensions [23]. For SU (3) in four dimensions how ever, the evaluation of these weight factors for all classical vacuum solutions is not feasible. We therefore take an alternative approach, motivated by the stochastical quantization method. This approach is not only feasible for SU (3) but also allows a deeper insight into the phase space structure of the FSA.

W e generate a series of eld strengths by the iteration

$$G^{a(n+1)} = G^{a(n)} \qquad \frac{@}{@G^{a}} [G^{(n)}] + {}^{a(n)};$$
 (84)

where $a^{(n)}$ are random numbers with a Gaussian distribution. From Parisi's work [32] it is well known that the G⁽ⁿ⁾ are distributed according exp[(G)] if the width of the -distribution, w and are related by w = 4. Putting $a^{(n)} = 0$, the iteration (84) reduces to a xed point equation and the G^{a(n)} converge to the

classical value G^a _c in the course of the iteration:

$$G^{a(n+1)} = G^{a(n)} \qquad \frac{@}{@G^{a}} [G^{(n)}]; \qquad (1):$$
 (85)

In order to go beyond the classical approximation, we decompose the G-space that is integrated over in the generating functional for gluonic G reen's functions

$$Z_{G} = DG \exp[(G)]$$
(86)

into dom ains D_i . Each dom ain is characterized by a single classical solution and all the iterations (85) with a starting point inside such a dom ain converge to this con guration. We therefore write

$$Z_{G} = \bigcup_{i \text{ fD}_{i}g} D G \exp[(G)]; \qquad (87)$$

where fD $_{i}$ g indicates that the integration extends only over the dom ain D $_{i}$. Performing a classical approximation in each dom ain we obtain

$$Z_{G} ' \exp \left[(G_{C}^{(i)}) \right] D_{fD_{ig}} D_{G} :$$
(88)

This implies that the additional weight factor is given by the volum e of a dom ain corresponding to an attractive xed point (classical solution). These dom ains posses a highly non-trivial, non-continuous structure. However, their volum es can be estimated by random ly choosing a starting con guration G^{a} and observing which solution the iteration (85) converges to. The result of 100000 sweeps is presented in table 5.2. The rst column gives the classical action of a particular solution and the second column gives the quantum number m de ned by (74). The third column lists the number of events a particular solution attained within 100000 sweeps. A lso given is the elective action including the statistical weight factor:

$$S_{eff} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Z \\ G_{c}^{(i)} & \ln D_{ig} D G \end{bmatrix}:$$
(89)

The spectrum of solutions classified by the elective action and m-sector quantum number is shown in gure (3). The classical homogeneous eld strength solution corresponding to the maximal spin s = 1 self-dual SU (3) instanton (50) carries m = 4. This solution is the only self-dual SU (3) eld strength solution found so far and has the lowest classical action (G $_{\rm c}$) = 1:3041 [16]. However, it is strongly statistically suppressed as expected since the con gurations of m ixed duality can be form ed in many more ways by superposing instantons and anti-instantons. The solution with the lowest elective action is an m = 0 con guration with S $_{\rm eff} = 2:1836$

Sc	m-sector	events	S _{eff}
1.3041	4	15	8.3943
2.1836	0	18003	2.1836
2,2714	2	6911	3,2288
2.4751	1	7463	3.3556
2,5421	2	433	6,2697
2.6382	0	16377	2.7779
2.6736	0	9290	7.1434
2.7402	0	9090	3.4236
2.7422	1	4414	4.1480
2.7662	0	6116	3.8458
2.7946	0	3435	4.4511
2.8039	1	10927	3.3032
2.8076	1	2736	4.6916
2.9978	0	1606	5.4146
3.0118	2	66	8.6204
3.0285	0	122	8.0228
3.0302	0	61	8.7176
3.1539	1	1545	5.6094
3.1834	0	1347	5.7760
3,2335	2	43	9,2706

found in [14]. The solution is eightfold degenerated and four of the orientations of the chrom o-electric and magnetic elds are shown in gure (4). The remaining four con gurations are obtained from gure (4) by relecting the magnetic eld B^a ! B^a .

6 Quark condensation in the gluonic background

So far, we have mainly con ned ourselves to the description of the ground state of pure Yang-M ills theory. We now turn to the investigation of the quarks in this gluonic vacuum. The quark ground state directly in uences the low energy hadron physics. It is generally believed that the SU $(n)_L$ SU $(n)_R$ chiral (avour) sym – metry is spontaneously broken to the diagonal SU $(n)_V$ avour group by quark-condensation. A similar mechanism of spontaneous symmetry breaking was rst proposed by Nam bu and Jona-Lasinio in a model with a chiral invariant four ferm ion contact interaction [30]. A more realistic model, based on QCD, was proposed by Callan et al. [5]. In this model a four-ferm ion interaction is induced by instanton

anti-instanton interactions which leads to quark condensates of the right order of magnitude.

In this section we rst investigate the quarks in an isolated instanton background and take the average over instanton positions and sizes. The results obtained are then compared with those of the eld strength approach, where the quarks move in a vacuum of constant eld strength representing a condensate of instantons. We argue that the averaging process over the instanton ensemble yields the same gap equation for the quark condensates as in the eld strength approach where the averaging is autom atically performed by including the weight factor in the action (see section 22).

6.1 Quarks in an isolated instanton eld

We wish to investigate the quark theory obtained in (6). To do so we assume that instantons of the pure Yang-M ills theory dom inate the T-functional integral and treat the additional pre-exponential factor D et ¹⁼²T as weight factor for each classical con guration. Identifying the external source with the quark color octet current $j^a = q t^a q$, the generating functional of the quark theory (6,7) in the lowest order stationary phase approximation $T^a = \frac{1}{q^2}F^a$ [V] (12) becomes

$$W = DqDqDet^{1=2}\hat{F}[V]G[j] exp[d^{4}xq(i\theta + im)q]$$
(90)

$$G[j] = \exp\left[d^{4}x f j^{a} V^{a} + \frac{g^{2}}{2} j^{a} (x) (f^{-1} [V] (x))^{ab} j^{b} (x) g\right];$$
(91)

Since the eld strength of an instanton vanishes asymptotically, them atrix $\mathbf{F}^{1}[V](\mathbf{x})$ diverges for large x, in plying that non-zero color currents $j^{a} \notin 0$ are localized near the instanton position. To get a feel for the quark ground state we investigate the quarks in a one instanton background eld, i.e.,

$$F^{a}[V] = G^{a} \frac{4^{2}}{(x^{2} + {}^{2})^{2}} = G^{a}_{i}^{i} (x^{2}) :$$
 (92)

The use of Feynman's variational principle he^F i $e^{hF i}$ where the angle brackets denote averaging over the collective coordinates of the instanton, in plies that the bilinear term in the quark current is more important than the linear term since hj^a i = 0. As an initial estimate we skip the linear term in j^a. This should in fact be a good approximation if one calculates static ground state properties like condensates, but will probably fail if dynamical properties like meson masses are required. A dopting the usual bosonization procedure, introducing mesonic elds, we insert the identity

$$1 = D \begin{bmatrix} ab \\ ik \end{bmatrix} iq_{i}^{a}(\mathbf{x})q_{k}^{b}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$Z \qquad Z$$

$$D D \exp[i d^{4}x \operatorname{tr}(iqq)]$$
(93)

into (90) and integrate over the quark elds. The classical equations of motion for the and elds are the Dyson-Schwinger equations. In the strong coupling approximation to the quark propagator [24, 25] they are

$$i(x) = {}_{0}(x)^{4} {}^{1}(x);$$
 (94)

$$i(x) m = g^2 t^a(x) t^b (\hat{F}_B^{1})^{ab}(x)$$
 (95)

where $_0(x)$ is the space-time dependent regulator (introduced in section 4.1). For large current masses m the solution of this system of equations is

$$(x) = \frac{\frac{4}{0}(x)}{m} :$$
 (96)

In order not to spoil the independent pseudo-particle picture (com pare section 4.1) we impose the asymptotic relation between the quark- and gluon-condensate [33], im h:qq: $i = \frac{1}{12}$ h:-GG: i, even with one instanton. This yields with ih:qq:i = tr and, using (96),

$$_{0}^{4}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{144} \frac{1}{4^{2}} F^{2}$$
: (97)

Up to an irrelevant constant, this is the sam e relation between the local regulator $_{0}(x)$ and the eld strength of the instanton F^a(x) found in section 4.1 (see eq.(53)). A gain the divergences of $_{0}(x)$ can then be absorbed by a eld renorm alization.

In the chiral limit (m = 0) an explicit solution of (96) and (97) in the one instanton background, with F^a given by (92), is

$$(x) = \int_{0}^{0} \frac{(x)^{2} q}{g} \frac{(x^{2})}{(x^{2})}$$
(98)

where the constant matrix 0 satis es the algebraic equation:

$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{a} \int_{0}^{1} t^{b} (\hat{G}^{1})^{ab} (\mathbf{x}) = 0; \qquad (99)$$

with G^{a} being the instanton matrix in (29). The contribution of the quarks localized in a single instanton (92) to the total condensate is

^Z
$$d^4x hiqqi_I = \frac{p_{\overline{G^2}}}{24 g} tr_0^Z d^4x^{3=2} (x^2) :$$
 (100)

The subscript I indicates that it is only the contribution of one instanton that is considered. A straightforward evaluation of the integral for the prole function (92) yields

$$\operatorname{him} \operatorname{qqi}_{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{P \frac{}{\mathrm{G}^{2}}}{6} \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{g}} \operatorname{tr}_{0}$$
(101)

The ratio of the quark-and gluon-condensate for the one instanton con guration is thus

$$\frac{\operatorname{him}\operatorname{qqi}}{\operatorname{h}_{-}^{\ast}\operatorname{GGi}} = \frac{p}{4} \frac{m}{\operatorname{G}^{2}} \frac{m}{g} \operatorname{tr}_{0} : \qquad (102)$$

Since we have preserved the independent instanton picture during renorm alization the total condensates are obtained by multiplying by the instanton density. This implies that the ratio (102) already displays the total value. However, the ratio is divergent if there is no stabilization of the instanton at a certain radius. This indicates that the quarks m ight play an important role in stabilizing the instanton m edium. Since the quark condensate is a renorm alization group invariant we observe that the renorm alized current m ass scales with g, which is the approxim ate behavior known from perturbative QCD.

6.2 Quark condensation in the eld strength approach

A s discussed in section 5, the eld strength approach provides a non-trivial gluonic vacuum with a non-vanishing gluon condensate. Furtherm ore, the non-vanishing classical eld strength mediates a Nam bu-Jona-Lasinio type of quark interaction. This quark interaction has been investigated in the literature for the gauge group SU (2) analytically in ref. [23] and for SU (3) numerically in refs. [24, 26]. U sing the gluonic con guration with the lowest elective action from section 5 (shown in gure (4), the quantitative results for the condensates agree with the experimental values [24]. Here we brie y review the mechanism leading to quark condensation in the eld strength approach in order to compare it with the quark condensation in the instanton approach discussed in the previous section.

The generating functional for quark G reen's functions in the FSA is obtained from (19,20) and (7). A ssum ing that the gluonic integral is dom inated by a classical con guration $g^2T^a = iG^a$ which are constant in a certain color and Lorentz frame, the Euclidean generating functional becomes

$$W = DqDq \exp\left[\frac{z}{d^4x} fq(i\theta + im)q - \frac{g^2}{2}j^a (\hat{G}^{-1})^{ab}j^b; \quad (103)$$

where we have used the fact that $V^a = 0$ for (in this fram e) hom ogeneous eld strength con gurations. Note that an average over all gauge and Lorentz equivalent

classical con gurations is understood in (103). We apply the same bosonization procedure as in the previous section, introducing the condensate variable , and meson elds . The classical condensate hi : $qq : i = tr_c$ in the zero momentum approximation (for details see [24]) can be calculated from the gap-equation, i.e.,

$${}^{4} {}_{c}{}^{1} {}^{t} {}^{a} {}_{c}{}^{t} {}^{b} {}^{g^{2}} (\hat{G}_{c}{}^{1})^{ab} = 0$$
(104)

where is a momentum cuto, rendered nite by a renormalization of the quark elds. This equation is the counterpart of (99) of the instanton picture in the eld strength formulation and obviously exhibits the same algebraic structure. However, the renormalization procedure in the eld-strength approach is greatly simplied, because we only have constant eld strength con gurations and so no averaging process is required. We only need to absorb the divergence of the momentum cuto by rede ning the quark elds. For num erical details we refer the reader to [24, 25].

7 Concluding rem arks

An alternative formulation of YM theories can be made in terms of eld strengths. Recently it was shown [27] that this eld strength form ulation yields the same sem iclassical description as the conventional form ulation in terms of gauge elds. Not only the same classical solutions (instantons) are obtained, but also the quantum uctuations around the instantons give the same result in both approaches. The eld strength form ulation is, how ever, superior to the standard approach at the tree level since the corresponding quantum transition amplitude already includes quantum e ects. In the standard approach these will only show up beyond the tree level. These quantum corrections give rise to an instanton-instanton interaction and are contained in a functional determ inant arising from integrating out the gauge potential in the eld strength formulation. In the FSA this functional determinant is included into an elective action of the eld strengths. This elective action does not adm it explicit instantons as stationary points but rather hom ogeneous eld strength con gurations. We have presented a class of SU (N) instantons, the maximum spin instantons, which give rise to the vacuum solution of lowest classical action in the FSA. These constant eld strength solutions mediate a quark interaction which leads to quark condensation very sim ilarly to the instanton induced quark interaction proposed by Callan et al.

We have further shown that there is an intrigueing connection between the FSA and the more traditional instanton physics. In particular our investigations have revealed that the hom ogeneous vacuum of the FSA can be interpreted as a solid of condensed instantons aligned in color and Lorentz space. In the instanton liquid approach, ferm ions (and in principle, quantum uctuations of the gauge eld too)

give rise to strong instanton correlations leading to a liquid like structure of the instanton vacuum . Recent investigations [35], where shape variations of instantons are included, indicate that the strongly correlated instantons m ight loose their identity tending to form a hom ogeneous ground state. This is precisely what one nds in the FSA, where one obtains hom ogeneous eld strength con gurations as a stationary solutions. Thus if su cient correlations are included, the instanton picture m ight lead to a sim ilar vacuum as the FSA. However, the FSA provides a more e cient description of the non-perturbative vacuum than the instanton approach. W hile in the latter case higher order correlations have to be included, in the FSA a strongly correlated vacuum is already obtained at tree level, which already includes a good deal of of the higher order quantum uctuations of the conventional form ulation.

A cknow ledgem ents:

The authors are grateful to F. Langbein for a careful reading of the manuscript and critical remarks.

A Instanton induced classical eld strength solutions

W e have to show that the instanton matrix G^a de ned by either (32), (37) or (42) also satis es

$$G^{a} = f^{abc} (\hat{G}^{1})^{bc}$$
 (105)

where is some constant. The crucial step is a calculation of the inverse of $\hat{G}^{ab} = f^{abc}G^{c}$. For this end we note that for instanton solutions the matrices

$$L_{k} = i\hat{G}_{k} = G_{k}^{a}T^{a}; \quad \text{and} \quad (T^{a})_{bc} = if^{abc}$$
(106)

de ne an SU (2) algebra as we have already seen in section 5.1, i.e.,

$$[\mathbf{L}_{k};\mathbf{L}_{1}] = \mathbf{i}_{klm} \mathbf{L}_{m} :$$
 (107)

For SU (N > 2) gauge groups, this SU (2) representation is, however, reducible. But since the matrix \hat{G} is assumed to be regular, the \hat{G}_{i} must be built up entirely from spin L^(k) \in 0; (k = 1;2;:::) irreducible representations. In particular, the \hat{IG}_{k} can be expressed in the form

$$i\hat{G}_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L_{k}^{(1)} & & 1 \\ B & L_{k}^{(2)} & & C \\ C & & C \\ C & & C \\ C & & C \\ L_{k}^{(r)} & C \\ L_{k}^{(r)} & C \\ C &$$

where the $L_k^{(i)}$ denote the $(2L_k^{(i)} + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representations. Since the \hat{G}_k have dimension (N² 1) we must have

$$X^{r}$$
 (2L^(p)_k + 1) = N² 1: (109)

Furtherm ore, since the \hat{G}_k are antisym m etric m atrices, only antisym m etric spin representations can enter (108). For the gauge group SU (2) (where only the m axim um spin instanton exist) the \hat{G}_k are three dimensional and must thus be given by the spin L = 1 irreducible representation, i.e.,

$$(\hat{G}_k)^{ab} = {}^{kab} :$$
 (110)

For SU (3) the matrices \hat{G}_k are eight dimensional and can be built up either from the two four dimensional antisymmetric spins = 1=2 representations (^k or, ^k) or from an L = 1 and an L = 2 representation. For the maximum spins = (N 1)=2 = 1 instanton, it turns out that the latter case is realized. In order to not the inverse of \hat{G} we calculate

$$\hat{G}^{ac}\hat{G}^{cb} = \hat{G}_{i}^{ac}\hat{G}_{l}^{cb} \left(\frac{1}{2}f^{i}; {}^{l}g + \frac{1}{2}[{}^{i}; {}^{l}]\right) = M^{ab} + \hat{G}^{ab} ; \qquad (111)$$

where we have used the algebra of the t'H ooft symbols (35) and the instanton properties (37, 107). The matrix M is the SU (2) Casim ir operator in the adjoint representation of SU (N), i.e.,

$$M \coloneqq L_k L_k ; \qquad (112)$$

which is symmetric by construction. From the representation (108) it is clear that this matrix is given by the direct sum of the quadratic Casim ir operators of the irreducible SU (2) representations $L_k^{(i)}$

$$M = \bigcup_{Q}^{0} L^{(1)} (L^{(1)} + 1) 1_{2L^{(1)} + 1} \qquad \qquad 1$$

$$M = \bigcup_{Q}^{0} L^{(2)} (L^{(2)} + 1) 1_{2L^{(2)} + 1} \qquad \bigcup_{A}^{C} H \qquad (113)$$

The matrix M is hence regular and commutes with \hat{G} from (108). We thus not that the inverse of \hat{G} is given by

$$(\hat{G}^{1})^{ab} = (M^{1})^{ac} [\hat{G}^{cb} \quad c^{b}]:$$
 (114)

For the FSA equation of motion, we only require the projection of \hat{G}^{-1} onto the SU (N) adjoint representation:

$$\mathbf{f}^{\mathrm{cab}}(\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^{1})^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathrm{trfT}^{\mathrm{c}}\mathbf{M}^{1} \, \mathrm{i}\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_{1}\mathbf{g}^{1} : \qquad (115)$$

Note that there is no contribution from the unit matrix on the right hand side of (114) since the matrix M is symmetric. If the SU (2) representation \hat{G}_k is irreducible the Casim ir operator M (as well as its inverse) is proportional to the unit matrix. In this case, (115) directly implies that the equations of motion of the FSA (105) are satisfied. Straightforward algebraic manipulation shows that

$$M^{ab}G_{l}^{b} = f^{am} G_{k}^{e} f^{m} G_{k}^{d}G_{l}^{b} = 2G_{l}^{a} = L (L+1)G_{l}^{a} \quad \text{for} \quad l = 1 ::: 3; (116)$$

which implies that the L_k always contain a spin L = 1 representation. The adjoint representation of SU (2) is 3-dimensional and hence irreducible. However, (116) also implies that the representation L_k is reducible for SU (N > 2) if we insist that the inverse matrix \hat{G}^{-1} exists (detM \in 0). In fact as we have already seen for SU (3), L_k must be given by the direct product of spin L = 1 and L = 2 representations. From (113) we not for the inverse

$$M^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B \\ 0 & \frac{1}{L^{(1)}(L^{(1)}+1)} \mathbf{1}_{2L^{(1)}+1} \\ \frac{1}{L^{(2)}(L^{(2)}+1)} \mathbf{1}_{2L^{(2)}+1} \\ \frac{1}{L^{(2)}(L^{(2)}+1)} \mathbf{1}_{2L^{(2$$

which is also diagonal. Since the matrices \hat{G}_k form an SU (2) algebra the non-zero coe cients G_i^a can be chosen to form an orthogonal 3 matrix. Dening $G_i^a = b_{ia}$ for the three values for which $G_i^a \in 0$ we express the generators

$$T^{c} = (b^{1})_{ck} L_{k} = b_{kc} L_{k} = G_{k}^{c} L_{k} :$$
 (118)

For these 3 generators we then nd

$$tr(T^{c}M^{-1}i\hat{G}_{1}) = G_{k}^{c}tr(L_{k}M^{-1}L_{1}):$$
(119)

Decomposing L_i into the irreducible representations and using (117) gives

$$tr(T^{\circ}M^{-1}i\hat{G}_{1}) = G_{k}^{\circ} k (2L^{()} + 1) = G_{1}^{\circ} const;$$
(120)

which provides the desired result.

References

- G.K. Savidy, PhysLett. B 71 (1977)133.
 S.G.Matinyan, G.K. Savidy, PhysLett. B134 (1978)539.
- [2] K.G.W ilson, PhysRev.D 10 (1974)2445.

- [3] M. Creutz, PhysRevLett. 45 (1980)313.
 M. Creutz, PhysRev. D 21 (1980)2308.
 G. Munster, PhysLett. B 95 (1980)59.
- [4] H. Pagels, PhysRev. D 15 (1977)2991.
 J. R. Finger, J. E. M andula, NuclPhys. B199 (1982)168.
 R. F. Cahill, C. D. Roberts, J. Praschifka, PhysRev. D 36 (1987)2804.
 L. v. Smekal, P. A. Am undsen, R. A lkofer, NuclPhys. A 529 (1991)633.
- [5] C.G.Callan, R.Dashen, D.J.Gross, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978)2717.
 C.G.Callan, R.Dashen, D.J.Gross, Phys. Rev. D 19 (1978)1826.
- [6] E.V. Shuryak, NuclPhys. B 203 (1982) 93.
- [7] E.V. Shuryak, Nucl.Phys. B 302 (1988) 259.
- [8] D. I. Dyakonov, V. Yu. Petrov, PhysLett. B130 (1983)385, NuclPhys. B245 (1984)259.
- [9] E.V. Shuryak, J.J.M. Verbaarschot, NuclPhys. B 341 (1990)1.
- [10] G.t'Hooft, NuclPhys. B190 (1981)455.
 G.t'Hooft, Phys.Scr. 25 (1981)133.
- [11] G.t'Hooft, PhysRev.D14(1976)3432.
- [12] C.Bernard, PhysRev.D 19 (1979) 3013.
- [13] M B.Halpern, PhysRev.D16(1977)1798.
- [14] M. Schaden, H. Reinhardt, P.A. Amundsen, M.J. Lavelle, NuclPhys. B 339 (1990) 595.
- [15] V.A.Novikov, M.A.Shifman, A.I.Vainshtein, V.I.Zakharov, PhysRep. 116(1984)103.
 V.P.Gusynin, V.A.Miransky, PhysLett. B198(1987)79.
- [16] R.A kofer, H.Reinhardt, JPhysG 17(1991)L121.
- [17] H.Reinhardt, PhysLett. B248 (1990)365.
- [18] P.A.Amundsen, M.Schaden, PhysLett. B 252 (1990) 265.

- [19] H.B.Nielsen, PhysLett. B 80 (1978)133.
 H.B.Nielsen, M.Ninomiya, NuclPhys. B156 (1976)1.
 H.B.Nielsen, P.Olesen, NuclPhys. B160 (1979)380.
 H.B.Nielsen, P.Olesen, NuclPhys. B144 (1978)376.
 P.Olesen, Physical scripta, Vol. 23 (1981)1000.
- [20] A.M. P byakov, PhysLett. B 59 (1975)82.
 A.A.Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.A.Schwartz, Yu.S.Tyupkin, PhysLett. B 59 (1975)85.
 A.A.Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, JETP Lett 22 (1975)245.
 G.t'Hooft, PhysRevLett. 37 (1976)8.
- M.F.Atiyah, R.S.W ard, Comm M ath Phys. 55 (1977)177.
 M.F.Atiyah, N.J.Hitchin, V.G.Drinfeld, Yu.I.Manin, PhysLett. A 65 (1978)185.
- [22] E.F.Corrigan, D.B.Fairlie, S.Tem pleton, P.G oddard, NuclPhys. B140 (1978)31.
- [23] H. Reinhardt, PhysLett. B 257 (1991) 375.
- [24] K. Langfeld, M. Schaden, PhysLett. B 272 (1991)100.
- [25] K. Langfeld, R. Alkofer, H. Reinhardt, PhysLett. B277 (1992)163.
- [26] R.Alkofer, H.Reinhardt, Z.PhysA. 343 (1992) 79.
- [27] H.Reinhardt, K.Langfeld, L.v. Smekal, in press by PhysLett.B.
- [28] J.C.Collins, A.Duncan, S.D.Joglekar, PhysRev.D16(1977)438.
- [29] E.V. Shuryak, J.J.M. Verbaarschot, NuclPhys. B341 (1990)1.
- [30] Y. Nambu, G. Jona-Lasinio, PhysRev. 122 (1961)345.
 Y. Nambu, G. Jona-Lasinio, PhysRev. 124 (1961)246.
- [31] H. Reinhardt, 'The e ective potential for Yang-Mills eld strength', Tubingen preprint (1991).
- [32] G. Parisi, W. Yongshi, Sci.Sin. 24 (1981) 483.
- [33] M A. Shifman, A J. Vainshtein, V J. Zakharov, NuclPhys. B147 (1979)385,448.
- [34] F.J.Yndurain, 'Quantum Chromodynamics', Springer Verlag, 1983.
- [35] J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl.Phys. B 362 (1991) 33.