DFUPG 70/92

CHIRAL DYNAM ICSAND FERM ION MASS GENERATION IN THREE DIMENSIONAL GAUGE THEORY

M.C.D iam antini¹ and P.Sodano¹

D ipartim ento di Fisica and Sezione IN FN., Universita di Perugia Via A. Pascoli, 06100 Perugia, Italy

G .W .Sem eno $^{\rm 2}$

Department of Physics, University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C., Canada V 6T 1Z1

A bstract

W e exam ine the possibility of ferm ion m ass generation in 2+1{dimensional gauge theory from the current algebra point of view. In our approach the critical behavior is governed by the uctuations of pions which are the G oldstone bosons for chiral sym m etry breaking. Our analysis supports the existence of an upper critical N_F and exhibits the explicit form of the gap equation as well as the form of the critical exponent for the inverse correlation length of the order param eter.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{T}\,hi\mathrm{s}\,w\,\mathrm{ork}$ is supported in part by a grant from the M U R S.T .

 $^{^{2}}$ T his work is supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. G.S. acknow ledges the hospitality of the Physics Department of the University of Perugia and IN F N., Sezione di Perugia where this work was completed.

The possibility of dynam ical generation of ferm ion masses is of fundamental importance to our present view of quantum chromodynam ics (QCD) as a theory of the strong interactions. Central to our understanding of this phenomenon is the existence of a critical coupling. When ferm ions have a su ciently strong, attractive interaction there is a pairing instability and the resulting condensate breaks some of the avor symmetries, generates quark masses and represents chiral symmetry in the Nambu-Goldstonem ode. This idea dates back to the earliest models for chiral symmetry breaking [1] and is prevalent in the modern literature [2].

Recently the issue of critical coupling has been investigated in 2+1 dim ensional gauge theories [3, 4, 5]. These theories provide toy models which exhibit simpler behavior than their 3+1{dimensional relatives [6, 7] and are also of interest as elective eld theories for some condensed matter systems [8]. Typically, their dimensionless expansion parameter is 1=N_F, where N_F is the number of quark avors [3]. Using Schwinger-Dyson equations in the 1=N_F approximation for QED and QCD, [3] have found that there is a critical N_F crit such that when N_F < N_F crit chiral symmetry is broken and when N_F > N_F it is not broken and quarks remain massless.

In the case of QED, this result has been the subject of som e debate [4, 5, 9, 10]. Either an improved ladder approximation [5, 10] or renormalization group computation [4] nd no critical behavior and that chiral symmetry is broken for arbitrarily large N_F . There are, how ever, numerical simulations [11] of 2+1{ dimensional QED which nd an N_F^{crit} remarkably close to that obtained by [3].

In this Letter we shall present further support for the existence of N $_{\rm F}^{\rm crit}$. We shall advocate a picture which is complementary to that of critical attractive quark-quark interactions and in which the critical behavior of the chiral sym metry breaking phase transition is governed by the uctuations of the pions which are the G oldstone bosons for broken continuous avor sym – metries. We shall argue that an upper critical N $_{\rm F}$ is natural since the order parameter is renorm alized by the N $_{\rm F}^2$ =2 pions with classical coupling constant

1=N $_{\rm F}$ N $_{\rm C}\,$ where N $_{\rm C}\,$ is the number of quark colors. Their $\,$ uctuations are strong enough to destroy the ordered state when N $_{\rm F}\,$ = N $_{\rm F}^{\rm crit}$ $\,$ N $_{\rm C}$.

W e are partially motivated by our recent study of strong coupling gauge theory [12] on the lattice. We showed that, in the strong coupling limit, a Ham iltonian lattice gauge theory with N_c colors and $N_F = 2$ lattice avors of staggered ferm ions (because of ferm ion doubling this corresponds to N_F

continuum avors of 2 {com ponent spinors in 2+1 {dimensions) is electively a U (N $_{\rm F}$ =2) quantum antiferrom agnet, with representations determined by N $_{\rm C}$ and N $_{\rm F}$. We also identied chiral symmetry breaking with the formation of either commensurate U (1) charge density waves or SU (N $_{\rm F}$ =2) spin density waves, i.e. Neel order. We found that the cases where N $_{\rm F}$ =2 is an odd or even integer are quite di erent.

W hen N_F=2 is odd the strong coupling limit necessarily breaks chiral symmetry, no matter how large N_F is and the condensate is a U (1) charge density wave. The ground state has a staggered structure with the SU (N_F=2) representations given by the Young tableau with N_C columns and N_F=4+1=2 rows (and U (1) charge 1/2) on even sites and N_C columns and N_F=4 1=2 rows (and U (1) charge -1/2) on odd sites. (This was strictly true for U (1) gauge theory, and likely for U (N_C) and SU (N_C) gauge theory where it could be proved only with some additional assumptions about translation invariance.)

W e found that when N_F=2 is an even integer, there is no U (1) charge density wave and the representation at each site was given by the Young tableaux with N_C columns and N_F=4 rows.

In either case of even or odd N_F =2, there could be Neel order, which also breaks chiral symmetry. Quantum antiferrom agnets with the kinds of representations we considered have been analyzed in [13] where they found that, for small enough N_F, the ground state is ordered. Also, in the generic case, when N_F is increased there is a phase transition with N_F^{crit} N_C to a disordered state. In this picture, the large N_C limit is the classical limit where the Neelground state is favorable and the smallN_C and large N_F limit is where uctuations are large and disordered ground states are favored.

For example, the SU (2) antiferrom agnet with spin j corresponds to 4avor QCD with color group U (2j) and in particular to QED when j=1/2. It has a Neel ordered ground state for any j corresponding to chiral symmetry breaking in the strong coupling limit of QCD. However, an SU (N_F=2) antiferrom agnet with N_F a large multiple of 4 and in a representation of SU (N_F=2) given by a Young tableau with a single column of N_F=4 boxes corresponds to strong coupling QED with N_F avors of fermions. It is known that the ground state of this system is disordered with several competing ux and dimer phases [8, 13]. For some intermediate N_F between 2 and 1 the antiferrom agnet has a phase transition where Neel order is lost.

It is tempting to conclude that this critical behavior of antiferrom agnets

is related to the critical behavior of continuum gauge theory found in [3] using Schwinger-Dyson equations. In the following we wish to exam ine this question further in the continuum by analyzing the dynamics of the eld theory for pions in the phase with broken chiral symmetry.

O ur lattice results seem to imply that there is a subtle di erence between the cases where N_F is an even or odd multiple of 2. It is not clear whether this is a fundam ental di erence in the continuum theory too, or merely an artifact of forcing gauge theory to live on a lattice. The numerical lattice simulations of QED in [11] use staggered Euclidean ferm ions and can therefore only study the case when N_F is a multiple of 4. For compact continuum QED we argued in [12] that, if one uses Polyakov's idea [18] of taking the Georgi-G lashow model with spontaneous symmetry breaking SO (3) ! U (1) to obtain a photon with compact U (1) gauge group, then it is known [15] that the minim alnum ber of 2-com ponent spinors the photons can couple to, consistent with gauge and parity invariance, is 4. Thus, if continuum QED is to be compact, N_F is a multiple of 4. This could also apply to U (N_C) gauge theory because of the U (1) subgroup. However, the only such restriction on QCD with SU (N_C) gauge group constrains N_F to be even.

W e shall consider QCD with gauge group SU (N $_{\rm C}$) and N $_{\rm F}~(=2~$ integer) avors of m assless 2-com ponent quarks in Euclidean space

$$S = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} Z & 0 \\ d^{3}x^{0} & \frac{1}{4e^{2}} \\ a=1 \end{array}} {\begin{array}{*{20}c} {}^{N}x^{2} & 1 \\ F^{a} & F^{a} \\ a=1 \end{array}} {\begin{array}{*{20}c} F^{a} & F^{a} \\ a=1 \end{array}} (i0 + A) A (1)$$

where e^2 is the dimensionless coupling constant and is the ultraviolet cuto. This action has U (N_F) global avor symmetry and also a Z₂ parity symmetry under the replacement (A₁;A₂;A₃)(x)! (A₁;A₂;A₃)(x⁰), (x)! ₁ (x⁰), (x)! ₁ (x⁰), (x)! (x⁰) ₁ with x⁰ = (x₁;x₂;x₂). We shall assume that the ultraviolet regularization preserves parity.

An order parameter for the U (N $_{\rm F}$) $\,$ Z $_2\,$ sym m etry breaking is the quark bilinear

$$M (x) = (x) (x)$$
 (2)

M is a Herm itean matrix and transform s under U (N_F) as M ! $gM g^y$ and under parity as M ! M. (This is in contrast with its counterpart in 3+1{dimensions, = L_R which is a complex matrix and transform s under $SU_R (N_F) SU_L (N_F)$ as $! g h^{Y}$.) F lavor sym m etry breaking is governed by the electric Landau-G insburg action

$$S_{eff} = d^{3}x \operatorname{tr} c_{1} @ M @ M + c_{2}M^{2} + c_{3}M^{4} + \dots$$
(3)

Note that, in the large N_c lim it, the coe cients c_i in (3) are ferm ion loops with m eson operator insertions which are naturally of order N_c [16].

We shall consder the sym m etry breaking pattern U (N $_{\rm F}$) $\rm Z_2$! U (n) U (N $_{\rm F}$ n). In this case, M has a constant vacuum expectation value

$$M_0 = \langle M \rangle = const diag (1;1;1;:::; 1; 1; 1)$$
 (4)

where there are n 1's and N_F -n -1's. W e shall show that the case where n = N_F =2, for which a residual parity symmetry can be de ned, is dynamically favorable.

We are interested in the dynamics of Goldstone bosons which are described by a sigm a model with target space the Grassm annian

$$\frac{U(N_F)Z_2}{U(n)U(N_F n)}$$
:

W ith the ansatz M $(x) = g(x)M_0g^{y}(x)$ we obtain the sigma model.

$$S_{e} = \frac{Z}{d^{3}x \frac{N_{c}}{2f^{2}}} \operatorname{tr} [g@ g^{y};M_{0}][g@ g^{y};M_{0}] + S_{WZ}$$
(5)

where we have renamed the coe cient, which here plays the role of coupling constant and we have extracted its natural order in N_C . S_{WZ} is a W ess-Zum ino term which must be added to the sigm a model action in order to break an unwanted discrete symmetry and to obtain a sensible current algebra [17]. (5) is equivalent to the gauged principal chiral model

$$S_{e} = \frac{Z}{d^{3}x \frac{N_{c}}{f^{2}}} \operatorname{tr} (Dg)^{Y} (Dg) + i (\tilde{g}g \ 1) + S_{CS} [V;W] \qquad (6)$$

where D = (P + i(V + W)) and V and W are Herm itian gauge elds which have components in the upper left n n block and in the lower right (N_F n) (N_F n) block respectively. In (6) the Chern-Sim ons action is

$$S_{CS}[V;W] = i \frac{2}{4} d^3 x tr V dV W dW + \frac{2}{3} V^3 \frac{2}{3} W^3$$
 (7)

and we have introduced an N_F N_F Herm itean Lagrange multiplier eld to enforce the constraint $gg^{y} = 1$. E lim inating V and W in (6) using their equations of motion yields (5), up to higher derivative term swhich come from approximating the W ess-Zum ino term by the Chern-Simons action. In [17] it was argued that, the model described by (6) has features remarkably similar to the commonly accepted features of the Skyrm emodel of 3+1-dimensional QCD, such as solitons which have Ferm i statistics and behave like baryons. They also argued that to obtain the correct current-current commutation relation, one must set $= N_{\rm C}$. To be general, we shall keep arbitrary in the follow ing analysis.

In order to study the quantum properties of thism odel, we rst note that the eld g appears quadratically and can be integrated to get the e ective theory

$$S_{e} = N_{F} TR \ln (D^{2} + i) \frac{Z}{d^{3}x \frac{i N_{C}}{f^{2}}} tr + S_{CS} [V;W] + S_{ghost}$$
 (8)

where, to x the gauge freedom and properly de ne the quantum problem we have added the Faddeev-P opov ghost action,

$$S_{ghost} = {}^{Z} d^{3}x tr \frac{1}{2} (@V)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (@W)^{2} + @c^{V} (@ + iV)c + @d^{V} (@ + iW)d$$

As in the standard approach to sigm a models [18, 19], the remaining integralover, U and V is done by saddle-point approximation. It is assumed that the gauge elds are zero at the saddle point. The saddle point value of

provides a mass for the chiral eld g in (6). W hen this mass is non-zero g uctuates about g=0 and the model is disordered. W hen the saddle point is at zero, we obtain the ordered phase. A ssum ing a constant saddle point and putting i = 2 , we obtain the gap equation

$$\frac{N_{F}N_{C}}{f^{2}} = \frac{N_{F}^{2}}{2^{2}} 1 - \arctan -$$
(9)

A solution of this equation exists and the model is disordered if

$$N_{\rm F} = 2 \frac{{}^{2} \frac{N_{\rm C}}{f^{2}}}{f^{2}}$$
 (10)

I

ı.

with the equality giving the condition for criticality. For xed f² and N_C we can interpret this as an equation for critical N_F. When N_F exceeds N_F^{crit} = 2 ²N_C = f², the chiral symmetry breaking condensate is unstable.

Note that, unlike the case of the more conventional (N) non { linear sigma model, the saddle point approximation in the present case is not controlled by any small parameter such as 1/N. This is because, even though the elective coupling constant of the saddle-point method is $1=N_F^2$, there remain of

 N_F^2 degrees of freedom. The correct large N_F expansion of (6) would involve the topological expansion where, like the large N_C expansion of QCD [16], it is necessary to sum all planar graphs to obtain the leading order.

In spite of this, we have two reasons to believe in the validity of (10). First of all, as we shall see in the following, corrections from the next order in the saddle point approximation are indeed smaller than the leading order. Secondly, alternative to the saddle point analysis, we could do a weak coupling expansion. If N_F is large enough, criticality in (10) is obtained in the weak coupling region.

The computation of the gap equation to next order is somewhat sophisticated. We must expand (8) to quadratic order in V;W;, drop linear terms [20] and perform the functional integral in the Gaussian approximation.

$$S_{e} = N_{F}^{2} V \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \ln (k^{2} + 2) \frac{N_{C} N_{F}^{2}}{f^{2}} V + N_{F}^{2} V \frac{d^{3}k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{k^{2} + 2} + N_{F} tr \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} F^{V} F^{V} + \frac{1}{4} F^{W} F^{W} + ::: + S_{CS} + S_{ghost} (11)$$

where

$$(k;) = \frac{1}{4 \text{ j} \text{ j}} \arctan \frac{\frac{1}{2j}}{2j \text{ j}};$$

$$(k;) = \frac{1}{8} \frac{2j \text{ j}}{k^2} + \frac{k^2 + 4^2}{\frac{1}{2} \text{ j}^2} \arctan \frac{\frac{1}{2j}}{2j \text{ j}};$$

;

V is the volume, we have set ! i² i² + and ² = 2² = ² is a counterterm which arises from an additive shift of and which is necessary to cancel a quadratic divergence. This in nite shift of the Lagrange multiplier eld is a standard feature of sigm a model renorm alization [19]. The result of integrating the G aussian uctuations is

$$S_{e} = V = \frac{{}^{2}N_{F}N_{C}}{f^{2}} + \frac{Z}{(2)^{3}} N_{F}^{2} \ln (k^{2} + {}^{2}) + N_{F}^{2} - \frac{1}{(k^{2} + {}^{2})} + N_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{(k^{2} + {}^{2})} + N_{F}^{2} + \frac{1}{(k^{2} + {}^{2})} + \frac{1}{(k^{2} + {}^{2})}$$

$$+ \frac{N_{F}^{2}}{2}\ln + \frac{n^{2} + (N_{F} - n)^{2}}{2}\ln k^{2} + (=4)^{2} + \cdots$$

$$= \frac{N_{C}}{N_{F}f^{2}} + \frac{1}{2^{2}} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{2}{2}\frac{1 + 2n^{2} + N_{F}^{2}}{1 + (8 - 2)^{2}} N_{F}^{2} N_{F}^{2} - \frac{2}{1 + (8 - 2)^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{2^{2}}{4}\ln - \frac{16}{4}\ln - \frac{16}{4}\frac{1 + 2n^{2} + N_{F}^{2}}{1 + (8 - 2)^{2}}\ln - \frac{1}{2}N_{F}^{2} + \frac{16}{2}\ln \frac{1}{2} + \frac{16}{2}\ln \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{2}\ln \frac{1}{2}\ln \frac{1}{2} + \frac$$

Here, and are (unknown) constants. The method for computing the integrals in described in the Appendix. If interpreted as an elective potential for , S_e is upside (down and apparently unstable. This originates with subtleties in dealing with complex saddle points. We refer the reader to the standard literature on the subject [21]. The corrected form ula for the critical line is

$$N_{F}^{\text{crit}} = 2 \frac{2N_{C}}{f^{2}} 1 \frac{2}{2} + \frac{4(1 + 2n^{2} = N_{F}^{2} 2n = N_{F})}{1 + (8 =)^{2}}^{2}$$

W hen (which is equal to N_c) is large, the right hand side di ers by about 20 percent from the leading order estimate. The largest N_F^{crit} occurs when $n = N_F = 2$. Thus, the rst and therefore most stable ordering which occurs as as we lower N_F is the parity symmetric phase $n = N_F = 2$.

We can also interpret the logarithm s in (12) as changing the exponent, $j j ! j j^{(1+)}$, where

$$= \frac{8}{3^{4}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{4} + \frac{1 + 2n^{2} = N_{F}^{2}}{1 + (8 =)^{2}}$$

and the gap equation has solution

$$(N_F N_F)^{1=(2+3)}$$

which exhibits the critical exponent (again a sm all correction of the leading order result 1/2) for the inverse correlation length of the order parameter.

In conclusion, we note that the beta function of the G rassmannian sigm a model has been computed using the $\{ expansion about 2 \text{ spacetime dimensions in } [22]$. In their notation it is

$$(t) = (d 2)t N_F t^2 :::$$

and has an ultraviolet stable xed point at t = (d 2)=N $_{\rm F}$. In our notation the coupling constant is t = f^2 =2 $^2N_{\rm C}$, and in the leading order, the critical point (10) occurs at the zero of the beta { function . This is an ultraviolet stable

xed point (it cannot be infrared stable since the ∞ to low momentum should be interrupted by mass generation).

Appendix: Calculation of Integrals

A san example of the integrations needed to nd the quantum corrections to the gap equation, consider the integral $I[=]= \begin{bmatrix} R & -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dx \ x^2 \ln \frac{2}{2} \arctan x$ which we want to evaluate in the lim it = ! 1 . To evaluate the integral, we rst extract the divergent parts as

$$I[=] = \int_{0}^{Z} dx x^{2} \ln \frac{2}{4} \arctan x + \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{2x^{2}} \frac{2^{2} 8}{3^{3}x^{2}(x+)} + \frac{2}{4^{2}} \frac{2}{4^{2}} \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2^{2} 8}{3^{3}} \ln (=2)$$

In the rst term the in nite cuto limit can safely be taken, to get a function of . However, is an arbitrary number, so the integral can be parameterized as

$$I[=] = \frac{2}{4^2} - \frac{2}{2} + \frac{2^2}{3^3} \ln(=2^{-2})$$

where ~ is a xed, but unknown (and irrelevant) constant.

References

- [1] Y Nambu and G Jona-Lasinio, PhysRev 122,345 (1961).
- [2] V M iransky, Nuov C in .90A ,149 (1985);
 - C N Leung, S Love and V M iransky, PhysRevLett.56,1230 (1986);
 - K.Yam awaki, M. Bando and K. M. atum oto, PhysRevLett.56,1335 (1986);
 - T Appelquist and L C R W ijewardhana, PhysRevD 36,568 (1987);
 - R Holdom, PhysRevLett.60,1233(1988);
 - W Bardeen, C N Leung and S Love, Nucl Phys B 323,493 (1989).
- [3] T Appelquist, D Nash and LCRW ijewardhana, PhysRev. Lett. 60, 2575 (1988); D Nash, PhysRevLett. 62, 3024 (1989); T. Appelquist and D Nash, PhysRevLett. 64, 721 (1990).

- [4] R P isarski, Phys Rev D 44, 1866 (1991).
- M R Pennington and D W alsh, PhysLettB253,245 (1991);
 D C. Curtis, M R Pennington and D W alsh, PhysLettB295,313 (1992).
- [6] R Jackiw and S.Tem pleton, PhysRev D 23,2291 (1981).
- [7] T Appelquist and R P isarski, PhysRev D 23,2305 (1981).
- [8] E Fradkin, Field theories and condensed matter systems, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co. 1991.
- [9] P.W. Johnson, Argonne Workshop on Non-Perturbative QCD, July, 1990.
- [10] D Atkinson, P Johnson and P M aris, PhysRev D 42,602 (1990).
- [11] E D agotto, JK ogut and A K ocic, PhysRev Lett.62,1083 (1988);
 JK ogut, Proceeding of Lattice '92, Am sterdam, June, 1992.
- [12] G Sem eno , M od PhysLett A Vol17, No 30 (1992), 2811;
 E Langm ann and G Sem eno , PhysLett B, in press, 1992;
 M C D iam antini, P Sodano, E Langm ann and G Sem eno , Quantum spin systems and the vacuum structure of strong coupling gauge theory with dynam ical ferm ions, P roc. of F ield T heory and C ollective P henom ena, Penugia, Italy, June 1992;
 M C D iam antini, P Sodano, E Langm ann and G Sem eno , SU (N) antiferrom agnets and the phase structure of QED in the strong coupling lim it, U niv. Penugia preprint, 1992.
- [13] N Read and S.Sachdev, PhysRevLett.62 (1989),1694; PhysRev. B 42 (1990),4568; NuclPhys. B 316 (1990),609.
- [14] A. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B120, 429 (1977).
- [15] A Niemi and G Semeno, PhysRevLett.51,2077 (1983); A Redlich, Phys. Rev. Lett.52, 1 (1984).
- [16] G t'Hooft, NuclPhysB72,461(1974).

- [17] G.Ferretti and S.G.Rajeev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2033 (1992); University of Rochester preprints UR 1255, UR 1256, 1992.
- [18] A M Polyakov, Gauge Fields and Strings, Harwood, London, 1988.
- [19] IA rafeeva, Ann Phys. 17, 393 (1987).
- [20] R Jackiw, PhysRevD 9,1686 (1974).
- [21] C M Bender and SA. Orszag, Advanced M athem atical M ethods for Scientists and Engineers, M cG raw -H ill, 1978.
- [22] E Brezin, S H ikam i and J Z inn-Justin, Nucl Phys B 165, 128 (1980).