

Gravitational Laser Back-Scattering

S. F. Novaes⁽¹⁾ and D. Spehler^(1,2)⁽¹⁾ Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista

Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900 São Paulo, Brazil

⁽²⁾ Université Louis Pasteur, I.U.T.

3 rue St Paul, 67300 Strasbourg, France

A possible way of producing gravitons in the laboratory is investigated. We evaluate the cross section $\text{electron} + \text{photon} \rightarrow \text{electron} + \text{graviton}$ in the framework of linearized gravitation, and analyse this reaction considering the photon coming either from a laser beam or from a Compton back-scattering process.

04.30.+x, 04.80.+z

The attempts to detect gravitational radiation usually rely on the observation of astrophysical objects. These sources, like supernovae explosions or coalescing binaries, have in general very uncertain frequency, strength, and spectrum of gravitational waves. A considerable improvement in the search of the gravitational waves would occur if we succeed in producing them in the laboratory. In this case, the energy and propagation direction could, at least in principle, be controlled.

In this paper, a mechanism of graviton production in a linear electron-positron collider is analysed. Inspired by the Compton laser back-scattering process [1], we suggest that the collision of laser photons of few electron-volts, at small angle, with an energetic electron beam is able to generate a very collimated graviton beam.

We evaluate, in a first step, the cross section for the process electron + photon \rightarrow electron + graviton, and estimate the total radiated power assuming that the photons come from a laser beam. The scenario where the photons are harder, as a result of a Compton back-scattering process, is examined in a second step.

The coupling of the matter fields with gravity can be obtained using the weak field approximation [2]. The metric is assumed to be close to the Minkowski one ($\eta_{\mu\nu}$)

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}; \quad (1)$$

where $h_{\mu\nu}$ is the graviton field and $\kappa^2 = \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} = 8.211 \cdot 10^{-19} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ in natural units. The vierbein is expanded as

$$V^a_\mu = e^a_\mu + \frac{\kappa}{2} h^a_\mu - \frac{\kappa^2}{8} h^a_\nu h^{\nu\mu} + \dots; \quad (2)$$

The above expressions allow us to write the relevant part of the action as

$$S = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A^\mu \partial_\nu A^\nu - \frac{1}{2} m^2 A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu h^\mu_\nu \partial^\mu h^\nu_\rho - \frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} \Box h^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h^\alpha_\alpha - \frac{1}{4} h_{\mu\nu} \partial^\mu \partial^\nu h^\alpha_\alpha + \dots \right]; \quad (3)$$

where we omitted the free electron and photon Lagrangians, and the usual QED fermion-photon coupling, and neglected the $O(\kappa^2)$ terms.

In order to evaluate the cross section for the process electron + photon \rightarrow electron + graviton, we used the Weyl-van der Waerden spinor technique to describe the graviton helicity wave function [3] and the graviton couplings with bosons and fermions [2]. The cross section of the reaction $e(p) + \gamma(q) \rightarrow e(p') + g(k)$ is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{e^2}{16} \frac{1}{(s-m^2)^2} \frac{(us-m^4)}{t} \left[\frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} \right]^2 + \frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{s-m^2}{u-m^2} + \frac{u-m^2}{s-m^2} \right] \quad (4)$$

This expression can be written in a more compact form if we define new variables,

$$x = \frac{s-m^2}{m^2} = \frac{2E q_0}{m^2} (1 + \cos \theta) ;$$

$$y = \frac{t}{s-m^2} = \frac{k_0}{E} \frac{1 + \cos(\theta')}{1 + \cos \theta} ; \quad (5)$$

where m , E , and $\beta = (1 - m^2/E^2)^{1/2}$ are respectively the electron mass, energy, and velocity in the laboratory frame. The laser, of energy q_0 , is supposed to make an angle θ with the electron beam. The scattered graviton has energy k_0 ,

$$k_0 = q_0 \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{1 - \cos \theta + (q_0/E) [1 + \cos(\theta')]} \quad (6)$$

where θ' is the angle between the graviton and the incoming electron. Note that, for $\theta' = 0$, the variable y represents the fraction of the electron energy carried by the graviton in the forward direction ($\theta = 0$).

Equation (4) expressed in terms of x and y becomes

$$\frac{d}{dy} = \frac{e^2}{64} \frac{1}{y} \frac{x+1}{x} F(x;y) ; \quad (7)$$

with

$$F(x;y) = 1 - y + \frac{1}{1-y} - \frac{4y}{x(1-y)} + \frac{4y^2}{x^2(1-y)^2} ; \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) shows that the cross section for large values of y is suppressed by an overall factor $(1-y - 1/y_{\max})$ which makes the distribution very sharp for small values of y . This is a major difference from the Compton cross section $e + \gamma \rightarrow e + \gamma$, where γ_0 is the laser

(back-scattered) photon. In the latter case, the y -distribution assumes its maximum value for $y = y_{\max} = x/(x+1)$, giving rise to a hard photon spectrum.

Let us start by analysing our result when the initial photon originates from a laser beam. We consider four different designs of e^+e^- linear colliders [4]: SLC ($E = 50 \text{ GeV}$, $L = 5 \cdot 10^{29} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), Palmer-G ($E = 250 \text{ GeV}$, $L = 5.85 \cdot 10^{33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), Palmer-K ($E = 500 \text{ GeV}$, $L = 11.1 \cdot 10^{33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$), and VLEPP ($E = 1000 \text{ GeV}$, $L = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Assuming $q_0 = 1 \text{ eV}$, and $\theta = 0$, we compare the behavior of the graviton angular distribution $d = d \cos \theta$ for different electron beam energies. The angular distribution is peaked for very small angles, close to the electron direction [Fig. (1)]. By changing the value of θ we are of course able to shift this peak away from the electron beam.

Let us suppose that the laser has flash energy of 2.5 J, the same repetition rate as the electron pulse frequency, and a rms radius of 20 μm . In this case, the electron laser luminosity is $L_{e\gamma} = L$, L being the collider luminosity, and $\sigma = A_e N_\gamma = A_e N_e$. Here, $A_{e(\gamma)}$ is the electron (laser) beam cross section and $N_{e(\gamma)}$ is the number of electrons (photons) in the bunch (flash).

The radiation power emitted in solid angle of semi-angle (θ_0) due to the graviton emission is

$$P_{\gamma}(\theta_0) = L_{e\gamma} \int_{-\theta_0}^{\theta_0} d \sin \theta \frac{d}{d \cos \theta} (\theta) k_0(\theta)$$

In Fig. 2 we show the spectral distribution of the emitted radiation

$$\frac{dP_{\gamma}}{dk_0} / \frac{k_0}{E - q_0} = \frac{E - q_0}{k_0 - q_0} \frac{x+1}{x} F(x; \frac{k_0 - q_0}{E - q_0}) :$$

We note that this distribution is maximum for $k_0 = k_0^{\max} = q_0$, and is almost independent of the electron beam energy.

Another way of obtaining the reaction $e^+ \rightarrow e^+ g$ is taking advantage of the energetic photons produced by the Compton back-scattered process. The energy spectrum of back-scattered photons is [1,5]

$$F_{e\gamma}(x; z) = \frac{1}{C} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{C}{x} = \frac{1}{C} F(x; z) \quad (9)$$

where σ_c is the Compton cross section, and $z = q/E$ is the fraction of the initial electron carried by the photon. The function $F(x; z)$ was defined in Eq.(8), with x given by Eq.(5), and

$$C(x) = 1 - \frac{4}{x} - \frac{8}{x^2} \ln(1+x) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8}{x} - \frac{1}{2(1+x)^2} \quad (10)$$

Defining the ratio of electron-photon invariant mass squared ($\hat{s} = 4Eq$) to invariant mass squared of the collider,

$$\frac{\hat{s}}{s} = \frac{q}{E};$$

and assuming a conversion coefficient (the average number of converted photons per electron) $\epsilon = 0.65$ [6], the e_b luminosity can be written in terms of the machine luminosity as $L_{e_b} = \epsilon L$. The luminosity distribution of the back-scattered photons is

$$\frac{dL}{d\Omega} = L F_{e\gamma}(x; \theta) \quad (11)$$

In this case, the radiation power, emitted in solid angle of semi-angle (θ_0), is

$$P_b(\theta_0) = \int_0^{z_{max}} d\frac{dL}{d\Omega} \int_0^{\theta_0} d\theta \sin \theta \frac{d\Omega}{d\cos\theta}(\theta; \theta_0) k_0(\theta; \theta_0)$$

where $z_{max} = x/(x+1)$, and $\hat{\sigma}$ is the elementary cross section for the process $e^+ \gamma \rightarrow e^+ g$ evaluated at $s = \hat{s}$.

In Table I we present the total radiation power for different values of θ_0 , and for a laser energy (q_0) of 1 eV. Since x increases when we increase either the laser energy or the electron beam energy, the different choices of colliders considered here give a reasonable idea of the laser back-scattering capabilities to produce gravitons in the laboratory.

As pointed out before, gravitons can be produced via $e^+ \gamma \rightarrow e^+ g$, where γ is a laser photon, and via $e^+ e(\gamma) \rightarrow e^+ \gamma_b \rightarrow e^+ g$, where γ_b is the laser back-scattered photon. In the former case, the radiation power P_b is almost independent of the particular choice of θ_0 , since the angular distribution of the graviton is peak at very small angles relative to the electron beam direction. On the other hand, in the latter case, the back-scattered photon

is very hard, giving rise to a rather angular distribution and making the dependence of P_b on ω_0 more evident. The total forward emitted power becomes, therefore, small for hard photons.

In a recent paper, Chen [7] has examined the production of gravitons due to the interaction between the beams near the interaction point in an e^+e^- collider (gravitational beam strahlung). His results for the total radiation power, at SLAC, is roughly one order of magnitude below the one for the gravitational laser back-scattering process. For the next generation of linear e^+e^- colliders, the gravitational beam strahlung yields a larger radiation power. Nevertheless, the coherent contribution is again smaller than the one coming from the laser back-scattering.

We should stress that, in spite of the small emitted radiation power, the gravitational laser back-scattering process yields a very collimated beam of gravitational waves with a defined energy spectrum (see Fig. 2). These points could be of great help for the complex issue of gravitational wave detection [8].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to R. Aldrovandi, O. J. P. Eboli, and C. O. Escobar for useful discussions. This work was partially supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, CNPq (Brazil).

REFERENCES

- [1] F. R. Arutyunian and V. A. Tumanyan, *Phys. Lett.* 4, 176 (1963); R. H. Milburn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 10, 75 (1963); see also C. Akerlof, University of Michigan Report No. UM HE 81-59 (1981), unpublished.
- [2] R. Akhrovandi, S. F. Novaes and D. Spehler, preprint IFT-P.027/92, submitted for publication.
- [3] D. Spehler and S. F. Novaes, *Phys. Rev. D* 44, 3990 (1991).
- [4] Particle Data Group, *Review of Particle Properties*, *Phys. Rev. D* 45, S1 (1992); R. B. Palmer, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* 40, 529 (1990).
- [5] I. F. Ginzburg, G. L. Kotkin, V. G. Serbo and V. I. Telnov, *Nucl. Instrum. & Methods* 205, 47 (1983); *idem* 219, 5 (1984).
- [6] V. I. Telnov, *Nucl. Instrum. & Methods A* 294, 72 (1990).
- [7] P. Chen, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 6, 1069 (1991).
- [8] See for instance: "The Detection of Gravitational Waves", edited by D. G. Blair (U. P. New York, 1991).

FIGURES

FIG .1. The angular distribution $d = \cos$ in arbitrary units versus the angle in radians for the process $e + \gamma \rightarrow e + g$, where γ comes from a laser beam . We assumed $q_0 = 1 \text{ eV}$ and plot for different electron beam energies: $E = 50 \text{ GeV}$ (dashed), 250 GeV (solid), 500 GeV (dotted), and 1000 GeV (dot-dashed).

FIG .2. Spectral distribution of the graviton radiation power dP/dk_0 in arbitrary units as a function of k_0 , for $E = 50 \text{ GeV}$.

TABLES

TABLE I. Total graviton radiation power emitted in a solid angle of semi-angle θ_0 . P_\parallel refers to the direct laser photon process and P_b to the back-scattered photon one. We consider in both cases a laser photon with energy of 1 eV

Collider	P_\parallel (eV /s)	θ_0	P_b (eV /s)
SLC	$1.17 \cdot 10^{20}$	10^0	$1.69 \cdot 10^{28}$
		20^0	$4.48 \cdot 10^{28}$
		30^0	$7.50 \cdot 10^{28}$
Palmer-G	$2.32 \cdot 10^{19}$	10^0	$5.02 \cdot 10^{24}$
		20^0	$1.47 \cdot 10^{23}$
		30^0	$2.66 \cdot 10^{23}$
Palmer-K	$6.70 \cdot 10^{19}$	10^0	$1.51 \cdot 10^{23}$
		20^0	$4.57 \cdot 10^{23}$
		30^0	$8.47 \cdot 10^{23}$
VLEPP	$1.53 \cdot 10^{18}$	10^0	$2.26 \cdot 10^{24}$
		20^0	$6.94 \cdot 10^{24}$
		30^0	$1.32 \cdot 10^{23}$