

WIS-92/17/FEB-PH
March 24, 2024

Gauge Coupling Unification in Superstring Derived Standard-like Models

Alon E. Faraggi

Department of physics, Weizmann Institute of Science
Rehovot 76100, Israel

ABSTRACT

I discuss gauge coupling unification in a class of superstring standard-like models, which are derived in the free fermionic formulation. Recent calculations indicate that the superstring unification scale is at $O(10^{18} \text{ GeV})$ while the minimal supersymmetric standard model is consistent with LEP data if the unification scale is at $O(10^{16} \text{ GeV})$. A generic feature of the superstring standard-like models is the appearance of extra color triplets ($D; \bar{D}$), and electroweak doublets ($\psi; \bar{\psi}$), in vector-like representations, beyond the minimal supersymmetric standard model. I show that gauge coupling unification at $O(10^{18} \text{ GeV})$ in the superstring standard-like models can be consistent with LEP data. I present an explicit standard-like model that can realize superstring gauge coupling unification.

1. Introduction

Superstring theory is a unique candidate for the unification of gravity with the gauge interactions. As unified theories of the gauge interactions, superstring theories predict that the gauge coupling unification scale is at $O(10^{18} \text{ GeV})$ [1]. On the other hand, recent precision LEP data for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ and α_s , indicates that the unification scale of the minimal supersymmetric standard model is at $O(10^{16} \text{ GeV})$ [2]. Thus, two orders of magnitude separate the superstring unification scale and the successful unification scale of SUSY GUTS.

This problem is one of the challenges facing superstring theory. It does not seem to be possible to resolve this problem by invoking uncertainties in the low energy inputs, uncertainties in the large extrapolation due to higher loop effects or by inclusion of the effect of Yukawa couplings on the renormalization group equations. Several solutions have been contemplated to resolve this problem. In Ref. [3] the effects of string threshold corrections were examined in detail. From the results we may conclude that string threshold corrections are not likely to resolve the problem. In Ref. [4] possible extensions of the spectrum of the minimal supersymmetric standard model were speculated in the context of superstring GUT models.

An attractive alternative to superstring models which are based on intermediate GUT models is to derive the Standard Model directly from the superstring [5,6,7,8,9]. In these models the gauge couplings of the Standard Model must unify at the superstring unification scale. Among the superstring models, there is a unique class of standard-like models [7,8,9] with the following properties:

1. Three and only three generations of chiral fermions.

3. There are enough scalar doublets and singlets to break the symmetry in a realistic way and to generate realistic fermion mass hierarchy [8,9].
4. Proton decay from dimension four and dimension five operators is suppressed due to gauged $U(1)$ symmetries [9].
5. These models explain the top-bottom mass hierarchy. At the trilinear level of the superpotential, only the top quark gets a non-vanishing mass term. The mass terms for the bottom quark and for the lighter quarks and leptons are obtained from non-renormalizable terms. Thus, only the top quark mass is characterized by the electroweak scale and the masses of the lighter quarks and leptons are naturally suppressed [8,14]. The top-bottom mass hierarchy is correlated with the requirement of a supersymmetric vacuum at the Planck scale [7,8,9].

In this paper I address the question of gauge coupling unification in the superstring derived standard-like models. A generic feature of these models is the appearance of additional color triplets, $(D; \bar{D})$, and electroweak doublets $(\psi; \bar{\psi})$, in vector-like representations, beyond the minimal standard supersymmetric model. I show that gauge coupling unification at $O(10^{18})\text{GeV}$ can be consistent with LEP precision data for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ and α_s , provided that the additional states are found at the appropriate scales. I construct a specific standard-like model which can realize superstring gauge coupling unification.

2. The superstring model

The superstring standard-like models are derived in the free fermionic formulation [12]. In this formulation all the degrees of freedom needed to cancel the conformal anomaly are represented in terms of internal free fermions propagating on

set of boundary condition vectors, which satisfies the modular invariance constraints. The basis vectors, b_k , span a finite additive group $\sum_k n_k b_k$ where $n_k = 0; \dots; N-1$. The physical massless states in the Hilbert space of a given sector α , are obtained by acting on the vacuum with bosonic and fermionic operators and by applying the generalized GSO projections.

The superstring model is generated by a basis of eight vectors of boundary conditions for all the worldsheet fermions. The first six vectors in the basis consist of the NAHE set, $f_1; S; b_1; b_2; b_3$ [13,9]. In addition to the first six vectors, the basis contains three additional vectors. These vectors and the choice of generalized GSO projection coefficients are given in table 1, where the notation of Refs. [14, 9] is used. The basis vectors α, β, γ are the basis vectors of Ref. [8]. The following generalized GSO projection coefficients are modified

$$c_{\alpha}^1 = c_{\beta}^1 = 1: \quad (1)$$

The set $f_1; S; b_1; b_2; b_3$ gives an $N = 1$ supersymmetric, $SO(10) \times SO(6)^3 \times E_8$ gauge group with 3 + 2 copies of massless chiral fields $(16 + 4) + (16 + 4)$, two from each of the sectors $b_1; b_2; b_3$. The vectors $\alpha; \beta; \gamma$ break the horizontal symmetries to $U(1)^3 \times U(1)^3$, which correspond to the right-moving worldsheet currents $\frac{a}{2} \frac{a}{2}$ ($a = 1; 2; 3$) and $y_3 y_6; y_1 y_5; y_2 y_4$ respectively (I define $^1 \frac{1}{2} (y^3 + iy^6); ^2 \frac{1}{2} (y^1 + iy^5); ^3 \frac{1}{2} (y^2 + iy^4)$). The vectors $\alpha; \beta; \gamma$ break the $SO(10)$ symmetry to $SO(6) \times SO(4)$ and the hidden group from E_8 to $SO(16)$. The vector α breaks $SO(6) \times SO(4) \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_L$ and $SO(16) \times SU(5)_H \times SU(3)_H \times U(1)^2$. The weak hypercharge is given by $U(1)_Y =$

The full massless spectrum was derived by using a FORTRAN program. Here I list only the states which are relevant for gauge coupling unification. The full massless spectrum will be presented elsewhere. The following massless states are produced by the sectors $b_{1,2,3}$, $S + b_1 + b_2 + \dots$, O and their superpartners in the observable $[(SU(3)_C; U(1)_C); (SU(2)_L; U(1)_L)]_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$ sector, where $1, \dots, 6$ denote the charges under the six extra $U(1)$ s.

(a) The $b_{1,2,3}$ sectors produce three $SO(10)$ chiral generations. $G = e_L^c + u_L^c + N_L^c + d_L^c + Q + L$ ($= 1, \dots, 3$) where

$$\begin{aligned} e_L^c & [(1; \frac{3}{2}); (1; 1)]; & u_L^c & [(3; \frac{1}{2}); (1; -1)]; & Q & [(3; \frac{1}{2}); (2; 0)] & (2a; b; c) \\ N_L^c & [(1; \frac{3}{2}); (1; -1)]; & d_L^c & [(3; \frac{1}{2}); (1; 1)]; & L & [(1; \frac{3}{2}); (2; 0)] & (2d; e; f) \end{aligned}$$

of $SU(3)_C \times U(1)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_L$, with charges under the six horizontal $U(1)$ s. From the sector b_1 we obtain

$$(e_L^c + u_L^c)_{\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (d_L^c + N_L^c)_{\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (L)_{\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (Q)_{\frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0}; \quad (3a)$$

from the sector b_2

$$(e_L^c + u_L^c)_{0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (N_L^c + d_L^c)_{0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (L)_{0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (Q)_{0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0}; \quad (3b)$$

and from the sector b_3

$$(e_L^c + u_L^c)_{0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (N_L^c + d_L^c)_{0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (L)_{0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0} + (Q)_{0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0}; \quad (3c)$$

(b) The $S + b_1 + b_2 + \dots$ sector gives

$$h_{45} \quad [(1;0); (2; 1)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0} \quad h_{45}^0 \quad [(1;0); (2; 1)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0} \quad (4a;b)$$

$$45 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; 0; 0} \quad 45^0 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 1; 0; 0} \quad (4c;d)$$

$$1 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0} \quad 2 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; 0; 0; 0} \quad (4e;f)$$

(and their conjugates h_{45} , etc.). The states are obtained by acting on the vacuum with the fermionic oscillators $a^{4;5}; b^3; c^5; d^6$, respectively (and their complex conjugates for h_{45} , etc.).

(c) The Neveu-Schwarz 0 sector gives, in addition to the graviton, dilaton, antisymmetric tensor and spin 1 gauge bosons, the following scalar representations:

$$h_1 \quad [(1;0); (2; 1)]_{1; 0; 0; 0; 0} \quad 23 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{0; 1; 1; 0; 0} \quad (5a;b)$$

$$h_2 \quad [(1;0); (2; 1)]_{0; 1; 0; 0; 0} \quad 13 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{1; 0; 1; 0; 0} \quad (5c;d)$$

$$h_3 \quad [(1;0); (2; 1)]_{0; 0; 1; 0; 0} \quad 12 \quad [(1;0); (1;0)]_{1; 1; 0; 0; 0} \quad (5e;f)$$

(and their conjugates h_1 etc.). Finally, the Neveu-Schwarz sector gives rise to three singlet states that are neutral under all the $U(1)$ symmetries. $1; 2; 3 : \frac{1}{2}! \frac{3}{2}! \frac{6}{2}! \mathcal{D}i_0;$
 $\frac{34}{2} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^5! \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}i_0; \quad \frac{56}{2} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^2 Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^4 \mathcal{D}i_0:$

In addition to these states the model contains additional color triplets and electroweak doublets in vector-like representations. These states carry $U(1)$ charges under the hidden $U(1)$ symmetries. The sectors in the additive group, which produce these states are obtained from a combination of \dots , or $2 \dots$, with some combination of the other basis vectors. The additional color triplets, and electroweak

generic feature of the the standard like models. The model of Ref. [7] is one example in which this kind of doublets do not exist in the massless spectrum. All models have at least one pair of color triplets and one pair of electroweak doublets beyond the spectrum of the minimal supersymmetric standard model. I emphasize that all the color triplets and electroweak doublets, in table 2, are present in the massless spectrum of the model of table 1. These additional color triplets, and electroweak doublets, can affect the renormalization group equations in a way which enables gauge coupling unification at the string level.

The model contains three anomalous $U(1)$ symmetries: $\text{Tr}U_1 = 24$, $\text{Tr}U_2 = 24$, $\text{Tr}U_3 = 24$. Of the three anomalous $U(1)$ s, two can be rotated by an orthogonal transformation. One combination remains anomalous and is uniquely given by: $U_A = k \sum_j [\text{Tr}U(1)_j] U(1)_j$, where j runs over all the anomalous $U(1)$ s. For convenience, I take $k = \frac{1}{24}$. Therefore, the anomalous combination is given by:

$$U_A = U_1 + U_2 + U_3; \quad \text{Tr}Q_A = 72: \quad (6a)$$

The two orthogonal combinations are not unique. Different choices are related by orthogonal transformations. One choice is given by:

$$U_1^0 = U_1 - U_2; \quad U_2^0 = U_1 + U_2 - 2U_3: \quad (6b;c)$$

I now turn to the superpotential of the model. All the non vanishing trilevel and quartic level terms in the superpotential of the model were generated by a simple FORTRAN program. I list here only the relevant terms. At trilevel the following terms are obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
& + h_3 h_{45}^0 + h_3 h_{45}^0 + h_3 h_{45}^0 + h_3 h_{45}^0 \\
& + \frac{1}{2} (D_1 D_1 + D_2 D_2) + \frac{1}{2} (D_1 D_2 + D_2 D_1) g; \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

where a common normalization constant $\frac{1}{2g}$ is assumed.

The anomalous $U(1)_A$ is broken by the Dine-Seiberg-Witten mechanism [11] in which a potentially large Fayet-Iliopoulos D term is generated by the VEV of the dilaton field. Such a D term would, in general, break supersymmetry and destabilize the string vacuum, unless there is a direction in the scalar potential $V = \frac{1}{2} D^2$ which is flat and also D flat with respect to the non-anomalous gauge symmetries and in which $\sum_i Q_i^A j_i^2 < 0$. If such a direction exists, it will acquire a VEV, canceling the anomalous D term, restoring supersymmetry and stabilizing the vacuum. Since the fields corresponding to such a flat direction typically also carry charges for the non-anomalous D terms, a non-trivial set of constraints on the possible choices of VEVs is imposed. A particular example, in the model under consideration, is given by the set $f_{45} = \frac{1}{45} g$ with $j_{45}^2 = 3j_{45}^2 = \frac{3g^2}{16}$.

3. Gauge Coupling Unification

In this section I show that superstring gauge coupling unification can be realized in the standard-like model, provided that the additional color triplets and electroweak doublets exist at the appropriate scales. The one-loop gauge couplings beta functions are given by

$$\frac{d g_i}{dt} = -\frac{b_i}{2} g_i^2 \tag{8}$$

where $b_i = \frac{3}{4} c_i$ and $t = \ln \mu$ is the energy scale.

where $n_g = 3$ is the number of generations and $n_h = 2$ is the number of Higgs doublets.

2. For the additional color triplets and electroweak doublets

$$b_{D_1, P_1, P_2, P_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{B}{3} & 0 & \frac{C}{A} \\ \frac{1}{5} & & \end{pmatrix}; b_{D_3, P_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ \frac{B}{3} & 0 & \frac{C}{A} \\ \frac{1}{20} & & \end{pmatrix}; b_{\nu, \nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{B}{3} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{C}{A} \\ 0 & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (9b)$$

The renormalization group equations are integrated from the Z mass M_Z to the unification scale. In the presence of various mass thresholds, M_I ($I = 1; \dots; n$), the one-loop gauge couplings are given by [15]

$$\frac{1}{g_i} = \frac{1}{g_i(M_Z)} - \frac{1}{2} (b_i \ln \frac{M_G}{M_Z} + b_{i1} \ln \frac{M_G}{M_1} + b_{i2} \ln \frac{M_G}{M_2} + \dots) \quad (9c)$$

where b_{iI} , $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the contribution of the new thresholds to the beta functions.

I take the following values at the Z scale

$$\sin^2 \theta_W = 0.2334 \pm 0.001; \quad (10a)$$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1178 \pm 0.002; \quad (10b)$$

$$\alpha_s(M_G) = 0.115 \pm 0.015; \quad (10c)$$

Using the relations $\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{3 - \alpha_s}{3 + 5\alpha_s}$, $\alpha_s(M_G) = \frac{3 - \alpha_s(M_Z)}{3 + 5\alpha_s(M_Z)}$ and $\alpha_s(M_Z) = \alpha_s$, the initial

The unification scale is defined as the scale at which the gauge couplings are equal

$$g_3(M_U) = g_2(M_U) = g_1(M_U): \quad (12)$$

In the minimal supersymmetric model a unification scale at $O(10^{16} \text{ GeV})$ is consistent with LEP precision data. In the superstring standard-like models the unification scale is pushed to

$$M_U \approx 10^{18} \text{ GeV}, \quad \alpha_U = 0.059; \quad (13)$$

provided, for example, that the exotic color triplets and electroweak doublets masses are

$$M_{D_1, \bar{D}_1, D_2, \bar{D}_2} \approx O(10^8 \text{ GeV}); M_{D_3, \bar{D}_3} \approx O(10^{12} \text{ GeV}) \quad (14a)$$

and

$$M_{\nu_i} \approx O(4 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}); \quad (14b)$$

Clearly other possibilities for the masses of the additional states do exist, this being just a particular example which realizes superstring gauge coupling unification.

4. Conclusions

In this paper I have shown that in a class of superstring derived standard-like models, string gauge coupling unification can be consistent with precision LEP data for $\sin^2 \theta_W$ and α_s . I showed that the presence of exotic color triplets and electroweak doublets in the massless spectrum of superstring standard-like models can elevate the unification scale to $O(10^{18}) \text{ GeV}$, provided that the additional states exist at the appropriate mass scales. The particular model under considera-

!

In the model of Ref. [8], where the opposite sign for c_1 was taken, we obtain electroweak doublets instead of color triplets. Thus, the number of additional color triplets and electroweak doublets is model dependent. Consequently, whether or not superstring gauge coupling unification can be realized is highly model dependent. However, we may conclude that superstring gauge coupling unification in the standard-like models is possible. The model of table 1, being an explicit example that contains all the necessary massless states to achieve superstring gauge coupling unification.

Acknowledgments

I thank Uri Sarid for useful discussions. This work is supported in part by a Feinberg School Fellowship.

REFERENCES

1. V. Kaplunovsky, Nucl. Phys. B 307 (1988) 145; I. Antoniadis, J. Ellis, R. Lacaze and D. V. Nanopoulos, CERN (TH 6136/91 (1991).
2. J. Ellis, S. Kelley and D. V. Nanopoulos, CERN (TH 6140/91; P. Langacker, University of Pennsylvania preprint UPR (0435T (1990); U. Amaldi, W. de Boer and F. Furstenau, Phys. Lett. B 260 (1991) 447.
3. L. E. Ibanez, D. Lust and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 251.
4. I. Antoniadis, J. Ellis, S. Kelley, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 31; I. Antoniadis, G. K. Leontaris and N. D. Tracas, CPT (A 112.1291.
5. L. E. Ibanez et al., Phys. Lett. B 191 (1987) 282; A. Font et al., Phys. Lett. B 210 (1988) 101; A. Font et al., Nucl. Phys. B 331 (1990) 421; D. Bailin, A. Love and S. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B 194 (1987) 385; Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988) 75; J. A. Casas, E. K. Katsoulis and C. M. Unoz, Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989) 171.
6. A. E. Faraggi, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. Yuan, Nucl. Phys. B 335 (1990) 437.
7. A. E. Faraggi, Phys. Lett. B 278 (1992) 131.

12. I. Antoniadis and C. Bachas, NuclPhysB 298 (1988) 586; H. Kawai, D.C. Lewellen, and S.H.-H. Tye, NuclPhysB 288 (1987) 1; R. Blum, L. Dolan, and P. Goddard, NuclPhysB 309 (1988) 330.
13. A.E. Faraggi and D.V. Nanopoulos, Texas A & M University preprint CTP{TAMU}{78, ACT}{15; A.E. Faraggi, Ph.D thesis, CTP{TAMU}{20/91, ACT}{31.
14. A.E. Faraggi, WIS{91/83/}{PH}.
15. A. Giveon, L.J. Hall and U. Sarid, Phys. Lett. B 271 (1991) 138.

F	SEC	SU (3) _C	SU (2) _L	Q _C	Q _L	Q ₁	Q ₂	Q ₃	Q ₄	Q ₅	Q ₆	SU (5)	SU (3)	Q ₇	Q ₈
D ₁	b ₂ + b ₃ +	(3,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	(1,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{15}{4}$
D ₁	+ (I)	($\bar{3}$,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	(1,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{15}{4}$
D ₂	b ₁ + b ₃ +	(1,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	(1,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{15}{4}$
D ₂	+ (I)	($\bar{3}$,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	0	0	(1,1)		$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{15}{4}$
D ₃	1 +	(3,1)		$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0
D ₃	+ 2	($\bar{3}$,1)		$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_1	1 + b ₃ +	(1,2)		0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_1	2	(1,2)		0	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_2	1 + b ₂ +	(1,2)		0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_2	2	(1,2)		0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_3	1 + b ₁ +	(1,2)		0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0
Ψ_3	2	(1,2)		0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	(1,1)		1	0

Table 2. The additional color triplets and electroweak doublets, in the massless spectrum of the model of table 1, and their quantum numbers.

	$f^1; g^2; g^3$	$y^3y^6, y^4y^4, y^5y^5, y^3y^6$	$y^1!^5, y^2y^2, !^6!^6, y^1!^5$	$!^2!^4, !^1!^1, !^3!^3, !^2!^4$	$1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 1, 2, 3$	$1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$
0	$f0; 0; 0g$	1, 1, 1, 0	1, 1, 1, 0	1, 1, 1, 0	1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
0	$f0; 0; 0g$	0, 1, 0, 1	0, 1, 0, 1	1, 0, 0, 0	1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0
0	$f0; 0; 0g$	0, 0, 1, 1	1, 0, 0, 0	0, 1, 0, 1	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, 0, 1, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0$

Table 1. A three generations $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)^2$ model. The choice of generalized GSO coefficients is: $c_j = c_{b_j} = c_{1-b_j} = c_{1+b_j} = c_{1-2b_j} = c_{1+2b_j} = c_{1-3b_j} = c_{1+3b_j} = 1$ ($j=1,2,3$), with the others specified by modular invariance and spacetime supersymmetry.