

The Large N Limit and the High Temperature Phase Transition for the ϕ^4 Theory

M. Reuter, N. Tetradis

*Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Gruppe Theorie,
Notkestr. 85, D-2000 Hamburg 52*

and

C. Wetterich

*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg,
Philosophenweg 16, D-6900 Heidelberg*

Abstract

We study, with various methods, the high temperature phase transition for the N -component ϕ^4 theory in the large N limit. Our results fully confirm a previous investigation of the problem, for arbitrary N , with the method of the average potential. The phase transition is of the second order with an effectively three-dimensional critical behaviour.

1. Introduction

The N -component ϕ^4 theory is the prototype for investigations concerning the question of symmetry restoration at high temperature. Following the original argument of Kirzhnits and Linde on the restoration of gauge symmetry [1], it was considered in all subsequent investigations of the problem [5, 3, 4]. The physical importance of the theory arises from the fact that, for $N = 4$, it describes the scalar sector of the electroweak standard model in the limit of vanishing gauge and Yukawa couplings, and is relevant for the QCD phase transition for vanishing up and down quark mass [6]. For a negative bare mass term the theory can exhibit spontaneous breaking of the $O(N)$ symmetry to $O(N - 1)$. It was demonstrated in [5]-[3] that the original symmetry is restored at sufficiently high temperature. This was achieved through the perturbative calculation of the effective potential [7], and its generalization for non-zero temperature. However, it was realized in the original works that the perturbative expansion breaks down near the critical temperature. This is due to the presence of infrared divergencies which destroy the convergence of the perturbative series. As a result the details of the phase transition cannot be discussed in any finite order in perturbation theory, and even the order of the transition cannot be predicted. An amelioration of the situation was achieved in [4] with the summation of an infinite subclass of diagrams (the “daisy” graphs) in the large N limit, but for small N the question remained open. Different perturbative calculations led to predictions of a first order phase transition [8], while improved treatments reconfirmed the breakdown of the perturbative expansion near the critical temperature [9]. The situation was eventually resolved in [10] through the method of the average potential which employs renormalization group ideas [11, 12, 13]. This method leads to an evolution equation for the dependence of the effective potential on a variable infrared cutoff, which provides a good handling of the problematic infrared regime by effectively resumming all the relevant classes of diagrams of perturbation theory. The high temperature phase transition for the four-dimensional ϕ^4 theory was shown to be of the second order belonging to the same universality class as the phase transition of the three-dimensional $O(N)$ -symmetric σ -models. A detailed quantitative picture was obtained, including the critical exponents for the behaviour in the vicinity of the critical temperature.

The main purpose of this work is to confirm the results of [10] through the use of alternative methods. As it was explained before, such methods should go beyond any finite order in perturbation theory. It is for this reason that the large N limit is particularly useful. In this limit the functional integral which defines the effective potential can be explicitly evaluated. In an alternative approach the Schwinger-Dyson equations of the theory can be solved in the critical region. In this way we shall be able to obtain a completely satisfactory picture of the phase transition in order to verify the results of [10]. We shall compare with the work of ref. [14], where the large N limit of the ϕ^4 theory has also been discussed. Going beyond the leading $1/N$ result is more difficult and the use of the renormalization group becomes indispensable. We shall demonstrate the power of the technique employed in [10] by using it in order to calculate the critical exponents for small N .

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we first summarize the formalism of the average potential for the N -component ϕ^4 theory. Then we use standard large N techniques in order to obtain a set of equations which permit a straightforward calculation of the average potential. As a result we show how the average potential interpolates between the classical and the effective potential. Subsequently we obtain an exact renormalization group equation for the average potential, which can be used in order to solve for the effective potential with the classical potential as a boundary condition. This equation is identical to the one employed in [10] in the large N limit. We also generalize our formalism to the case of non-zero temperature. In section 3 we compute directly the large N limit of the effective potential in the critical region (temperatures close to the critical one) without using the concept of the average potential. In section 4 an alternative approach is followed, which solves the Schwinger-Dyson equations in order to reproduce the results of section 3. Sections 3 and 4 are self-contained and can be read independently of the rest of the paper. In section 5 we demonstrate the exact agreement of the results of the previous two sections with [10]. Then we make use of the renormalization group equation in order to go beyond the leading order in $1/N$ and obtain the critical exponents for small N . Our conclusions are given in section 6.

2. The average potential in the large N limit

We consider a theory of N real scalar fields χ^a , $a = 1 \dots N$, with an $O(N)$ -invariant action of the form

$$S[\chi] = \int d^d x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \chi^a \partial^\mu \chi_a + V(\xi) \right\}, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2} \chi^a \chi_a. \quad (2.1)$$

For the time being the dimensionality of the Euclidean space-time, d , is kept arbitrary. Following ref. [11], we obtain from the above fundamental (or “microscopic”) action S an average (or “block spin”) action Γ_k

$$\exp\{-\Gamma_k[\phi]\} = \int \mathcal{D}\chi P_k[\phi, \chi] \exp\{-S[\chi]\}. \quad (2.2)$$

The constraint operator $P_k[\phi, \chi]$ enforces the average of χ over a volume with size $\sim k^{-d}$ to equal the average field ϕ up to small fluctuations. It is given by the expression

$$P_k[\phi, \chi] = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^d x [\phi^a - f_k(-\square)\chi^a] P(-\square) [\phi_a - f_k(-\square)\chi_a]\right\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $f_k(q^2)$ is the Fourier transform of the function used in order to implement the averaging of χ and different “averaging schemes” correspond to different choices of f_k . We consider the two parameter family of schemes

$$f_k(q^2) = \exp\left\{-a \left(\frac{q^2}{k^2}\right)^b\right\}, \quad (2.4)$$

(with $a \sim 1$ and $b > 2$ [11]). P is given by

$$P(q^2) = q^2 [1 - f_k^2(q^2)]^{-1}, \quad (2.5)$$

and has a minimum

$$\min P(q^2) \sim k^2. \quad (2.6)$$

In eq. (2.3) q^2 is replaced by $-\square$ and the resulting operator acts on $\chi^a(x)$ in position space. The right-hand-side of eq. (2.3) contributes a factor $q^2 f_k^2 (1 - f_k^2)^{-1}$ to the term quadratic in χ^a . Combining this term with the kinetic term from the classical action (2.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\{-\Gamma_k[\phi]\} &= \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \int d^d x \phi^a P(-\square) \phi_a\right\} \\ &\cdot \int \mathcal{D}\chi \exp\left\{-\int d^d x \left(\frac{1}{2} \chi^a P(-\square) \chi_a - \chi^a f_k(-\square) P(-\square) \phi_a + V(\xi)\right)\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

In order to implement the large N approximation we introduce two (scalar, $O(N)$ -singlet) auxiliary fields $B(x)$ and $C(x)$ and write [15]

$$\exp\left\{-\int d^d x V(\xi)\right\} = \int \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}C \exp\left\{-\int d^d x (C(\xi - B) + V(B))\right\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Here we have used a rotated integration contour for the delta-functional

$$\delta[X] = \int \mathcal{D}C \exp\left\{-\int d^d x C X\right\}. \quad (2.9)$$

By inserting (2.8) into (2.7) the action becomes quadratic in χ and the integration can be performed easily. We obtain

$$\exp\{-\Gamma_k[\phi]\} = \int \mathcal{D}B \mathcal{D}C \exp\left\{-S_{aux}[B, C; \phi]\right\}, \quad (2.10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} S_{aux}[B, C; \phi] &= \\ &\int d^d x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \phi^a \left(f_k(-\square) P(-\square) \frac{1}{P(-\square) + C} f_k(-\square) P(-\square) - P(-\square) \right) \phi_a + V(B) - BC \right\} \\ &+ \frac{N}{2} \ln \det [P(-\square) + C]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

The last term of eq. (2.11) originates in the internal χ -loops and is proportional to N . The other terms in (2.11) are not manifestly proportional to N , but this can be achieved by assuming an appropriate N dependence for the fields: $\phi \sim \sqrt{N}$, $B \sim N^*$. For a polynomial potential of the form

$$V(B) = \sum_p g_{2p} B^p, \quad (2.12)$$

* Formally one has to rescale $B \rightarrow B/N$ and consider $S_{aux}[B, C; \sqrt{N}\phi]$ instead of $S_{aux}[B, C; \phi]$. Our naive assumption for the N dependence of ϕ and B reproduces the effects of this procedure, while simplifying the counting of the N dependence of the various terms.

the contribution of the potential is $\sim N$ if the generalized couplings g_{2p} have an N dependence

$$g_{2p} \sim N^{1-p}. \quad (2.13)$$

More specifically, the quartic coupling $g_4 \equiv \lambda/2$ must scale as $g_4 \sim 1/N$ for large N [16].

Since now $S_{aux} \sim N$, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the functional integral (2.11) is dominated by its stationary point. Therefore

$$\Gamma_k[\phi] = S_{aux} [\bar{B}(\phi), \bar{C}(\phi); \phi], \quad (2.14)$$

where $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{C}$ are the ϕ -dependent solutions of the saddle point conditions

$$\frac{\delta S_{aux}}{\delta \bar{B}}[\bar{B}, \bar{C}] = 0, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\frac{\delta S_{aux}}{\delta \bar{C}}[\bar{B}, \bar{C}] = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

We are interested in translationally invariant states of the theory which correspond to constant ϕ , $\bar{B}$, $\bar{C}$. For such states the average action Γ_k is related to the average potential U_k through the expression [†]

$$\Gamma_k[\phi] = \int d^d x U_k(\rho). \quad (2.17)$$

Here ρ is an abbreviation which we shall frequently use in the following

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \phi^a \phi_a. \quad (2.18)$$

For constant ϕ and $\bar{C}$ the first term in eq. (2.11) simplifies considerably since

$$-\frac{1}{2} \phi^a \left[f_k P \frac{1}{P + \bar{C}} f_k P - P \right] \phi^a = \rho \bar{C}. \quad (2.19)$$

In order to derive the above expression we have exploited that $P(q^2)$ diverges $P(q^2) \sim (q^2)^{1-b}$ for $q^2 \rightarrow 0$. We also notice that for constant $\bar{C}$ the operator $P(-\square) + \bar{C}$ is diagonal in momentum space. Combining all of the above we find

$$U_k(\bar{B}, \bar{C}; \rho) = V(\bar{B}) + (\rho - \bar{B})\bar{C} + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \ln [P(q^2) + \bar{C}]. \quad (2.20)$$

An ultraviolet cutoff Λ is implied for the momentum integration in the previous expression, and $\bar{B}, \bar{C}$ are assumed to be solutions of the saddle point conditions (2.15), (2.16), which read

$$\bar{C} = V'(\bar{B}), \quad (2.21)$$

$$\bar{B} = \rho + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{P(q^2) + V'(\bar{B})}. \quad (2.22)$$

[†] The wavefunction renormalization is zero in the large N limit. For this reason we do not explicitly discuss the derivative terms in the effective action.

The last equation gives $\bar{B}$ as a function of ρ . Eliminating $\bar{C}$ from the expression for U_k , we finally obtain for the average potential in the large N limit

$$U_k(\rho) = V(\bar{B}) + (\rho - \bar{B})V'(\bar{B}) + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \ln [P(q^2) + V'(\bar{B})], \quad (2.23)$$

with $\bar{B}(\rho)$ determined through the “gap equation” (2.22). For $k = \Lambda$ eqs. (2.22), (2.23) assume the simple form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{B} &= \rho, \\ U_{\Lambda}(\rho) &= V(\rho). \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

In this limit the average potential coincides with the classical potential. For $k \rightarrow 0$ the modified inverse propagator $P(q^2)$ of eqs. (2.4), (2.5) approaches the standard form $P(q^2) = q^2$. In the same time the average potential U_k approaches the convex effective potential U [11, 12]. In this limit eqs. (2.22), (2.23) coincide with the well known [15] results for the effective potential in the leading order of the $1/N$ expansion. An interesting formula holds for the ρ -derivative of U_k . Differentiating (2.23) and making use of (2.22) we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} U_k(\rho) \equiv U'_k(\rho) = V'(\bar{B}(\rho)). \quad (2.25)$$

We now proceed to derive a renormalization group equation for the average potential U_k , which is exact in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. We shall see that this equation coincides with the one obtained through the “renormalization group improved” one-loop calculation [11, 12, 10]. We start by investigating how U_k responds to a change of the scale k . Taking the logarithmic derivative with respect to k of eq. (2.23) and making use of the “gap equation” (2.22) we find

$$k \frac{d}{dk} U_k(\rho) = \frac{N}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{P(q^2) + V'(\bar{B})} k \frac{d}{dk} P(q^2). \quad (2.26)$$

Combining eqs. (2.25), (2.26) leads to the following integro-differential equation

$$k \frac{d}{dk} U_k(\rho) = \frac{N}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho)} k \frac{d}{dk} P(q^2) = N v_d \int_0^{\Lambda^2} dx \frac{x^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}{P(x) + U'_k(\rho)} k \frac{d}{dk} P(x), \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$v_d = \left[2^{d+1} \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \right]^{-1}. \quad (2.28)$$

This is the desired exact evolution equation for U_k . It describes how the average potential evolves as the infrared cutoff is lowered from $k = \Lambda$ to $k = 0$ and successive modes are integrated out. With the initial condition $U_{k=\Lambda}(\rho) = V(\rho)$, it provides the means for calculating the effective potential $U(\rho) = U_{k=0}(\rho)$. The form of the inverse propagator $P(q^2)$, given by eqs. (2.4), (2.5), (2.6), provides for an effective infrared cutoff for all the

χ -modes with $q^2 < k^2$. Also for modes with $q^2 > k^2$ the inverse propagator is essentially independent of k . As a result, only the modes with $q^2 \sim k^2$ contribute to the integral in (2.27). Eq. (2.27) can be compared to the evolution equation obtained through the “renormalization group improved” one-loop calculation and used for investigations of the average potential in [11, 12, 10]. The two equations are identical in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

We finally consider a specific classical potential $V(\xi)$

$$V(\xi) = -\bar{\mu}^2 \xi + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda} \xi^2, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2} \chi^a \chi_a, \quad \bar{\mu}^2 > 0. \quad (2.29)$$

For the above form of the classical potential (2.22), (2.23), (2.25) result in the following equation for the average potential

$$U'_k(\rho) = -\bar{\mu}^2 + \bar{\lambda} \rho + \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho)}. \quad (2.30)$$

For $k = 0$, where $P(q^2) = q^2$, this is the well known result for the effective potential in leading order in the $1/N$ expansion [15]. Here it is generalized to the average potential for arbitrary values of the scale k . By performing a first mass renormalization

$$\mu_1^2 = \bar{\mu}^2 - \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{q^2} \quad (2.31)$$

we find

$$U'_k(\rho) = -\mu_1^2 + \bar{\lambda} \rho + \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{q^2 - P(q^2)}{q^2 P(q^2)} - \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} U'_k(\rho) \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{P(q^2) (P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho))}. \quad (2.32)$$

The integrals in the above expression are ultraviolet and infrared finite in three dimensions. We can, therefore, take $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.32). (In four dimensions additional logarithmic renormalizations must be performed.) Evaluation of the integrals gives an implicit equation for $U'_k(\rho)$ as function of ρ , k , μ_1^2 and $\bar{\lambda}$. This can be used as a check of results obtained through different approaches in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, we find for the k dependence of the quartic coupling

$$U''_k(\rho) = \bar{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho))^2} \right)^{-1}. \quad (2.33)$$

In order to extend our discussion to the non-zero temperature problem we only need to recall that, in Euclidean formalism, non-zero temperature T results in periodic boundary conditions in the time direction (for bosonic fields), with periodicity $1/T$ [17]. This leads to a discrete spectrum for the zero component of the momentum q_0

$$q_0 \rightarrow 2\pi m T, \quad m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \quad (2.34)$$

As a consequence the integration over q_0 is replaced by summation over the discrete spectrum

$$\int \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \rightarrow T \sum_m \int \frac{d^{d-1} \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}. \quad (2.35)$$

With the above remarks in mind we can easily generalize our results in order to take into account the temperature effects. Eq. (2.30) is replaced by

$$U'_k(\rho, T) = -\bar{\mu}^2 + \bar{\lambda}\rho + \frac{N}{2}\bar{\lambda}T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^{d-1}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) + U'_k(\rho, T)} \quad (2.36)$$

in an obvious notation. (The cutoff Λ is taken $\Lambda \gg T$.) Again, the usual temperature dependent effective potential [5]-[3] obtains from $U_k(\rho, T)$ in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. The generalization of the evolution equation (2.27) reads

$$k \frac{d}{dk} U_k(\rho, T) = \frac{N}{2} T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^{d-1}\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{1}{P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) + U'_k(\rho, T)} k \frac{d}{dk} P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2). \quad (2.37)$$

The results of this section can be summarized in eqs. (2.22), (2.23) and (2.27). The first two determine the average potential $U_k(\rho)$ for any value of the scale k in the large N limit. The third one provides an exact equation for the evolution of the average potential as a function of k in the same limit. We also point out the simple relation between the derivative of the average potential and the classical one (2.25). In the specific case of the classical potential (2.29), eqs. (2.22), (2.23) are replaced by (2.30). For non-zero temperature eqs. (2.30), (2.27) take the form (2.36), (2.37) respectively. In the following sections we shall use these results in order to study the high temperature phase transition for the four-dimensional, $O(N)$ -symmetric ϕ^4 theory.

3. The high temperature phase transition in the large N limit

From this point on we restrict our discussion to four dimensions and to the classical potential (2.29). We first consider eq. (2.30) for $k = 0$. In this limit the average potential U_k is equal to the effective potential U and the inverse propagator $P(q^2)$ assumes its standard form $P(q^2) = q^2$. We thus obtain the well known result for the effective potential in the leading order of the $1/N$ expansion [15]

$$U'(\rho) = -\bar{\mu}^2 + \bar{\lambda}\rho + \frac{N}{2}\bar{\lambda} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{q^2 + U'(\rho)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where we remind the reader that $\rho = \frac{1}{2}\phi^a\phi_a$ and the derivatives of U are taken with respect to ρ . The generalization of the above expression for non-zero temperature follows (2.36) and reads

$$U'(\rho, T) = -\bar{\mu}^2 + \bar{\lambda}\rho + \frac{N}{2}\bar{\lambda}T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2 + U'(\rho, T)}. \quad (3.2)$$

It is convenient to define the functions

$$I(T) = T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^3\vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2} \quad (3.3)$$

$$I_n(w, T) = T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2 + w)^n}. \quad (3.4)$$

Then eq. (3.2) can be written as

$$U'(\rho, T) = \left[\frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} I(T) - \bar{\mu}^2 \right] + \bar{\lambda} \rho + \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} [I_1(U'(\rho, T), T) - I(T)]. \quad (3.5)$$

We are interested in solving the above equation near the phase transition. This corresponds to a temperature region in which typically $U'(\rho, T) \ll T^2$ near the minimum of the potential. We can, therefore, use a high temperature expansion in terms of $\frac{w}{T^2}$ for the evaluation of $I_n(w, T)$ in this regime. In the opposite limit of zero temperature the discrete summation can be replaced by an integration over a continuous q^0 (see (2.34)) and the integrals become four-dimensional. Integrals similar to $I(T)$, $I_n(w, T)$ have been discussed extensively in the literature [4, 17]. We briefly review here the results which are relevant for our investigation. $I(T)$ is given by

$$I(T) = \frac{\Lambda^2}{16\pi^2} + \frac{T^2}{12}. \quad (3.6)$$

The first term corresponds to the quadratic divergence of the zero temperature theory, while for the evaluation of the second term we have made use of $\Lambda \gg T$. Notice that $I(T)$ receives contributions from all values of m in the infinite sum. In this sense it incorporates effects of the effective three-dimensional theory (modes with $m = 0$) as well as the four-dimensional one ($m \neq 0$). For the difference $I_1(w, T) - I(T)$ we find [4]

$$I_1(w, T) - I(T) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} T \sqrt{w} - \frac{w}{16\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + w} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

with $\tilde{c} = \exp(\frac{1}{2} + \ln(4\pi) - \gamma) \simeq 11.6$. For $w \ll T^2$ the above expression gives the first two terms of the high temperature expansion. The leading term in the high temperature expansion $\sim T \sqrt{w}$ comes entirely from the $m = 0$ term in the infinite sum. As a result eq. (3.7) in the leading order for small $\frac{w}{T^2}$ reflects only the dynamics of the effective three-dimensional theory. In contrast, the logarithmic term is typical for the four-dimensional renormalization effects from the momentum range between $\tilde{c}T$ and Λ . Notice that we have included a w -dependence in the argument of the logarithm which is negligible for small $\frac{w}{T^2}$. As a result (3.7) is also exact for $T = 0$. (The first term in (3.7) is not present for large but finite $\frac{w}{T^2}$, but since this term is small in this region it does not affect our discussion.) Eq. (3.7) is therefore valid both for the high and low temperature regime. The corrections to (3.7) only affect an intermediate “threshold” region where $w \simeq (\tilde{c}T)^2$. The integrals $I_n(w, T)$ for $n > 1$ can be obtained by differentiation of eq. (3.7) with respect to w . For later use we give the next two integrals

$$I_2(w, T) = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{T}{\sqrt{w}} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + w} \right) - \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{w}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + w} \quad (3.8)$$

$$I_3(w, T) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \frac{T}{w^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{2(\tilde{c}T)^2 + w}{((\tilde{c}T)^2 + w)^2}. \quad (3.9)$$

Again the first term in the above expressions is determined by the effective three-dimensional theory.

Combining eqs. (3.5), (3.6) and keeping only the leading contribution in (3.7) we obtain for $T^2 \gg U'(\rho, T)$

$$U'(\rho, T) = N\bar{\lambda}\frac{T^2}{24} - \left[\bar{\mu}^2 - N\bar{\lambda}\frac{\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2} \right] + \bar{\lambda}\rho - N\bar{\lambda}\frac{T}{8\pi}\sqrt{U'(\rho, T)}. \quad (3.10)$$

The ultraviolet divergences can be absorbed in the ($T = 0$) renormalization of the mass parameter and coupling. We define the renormalized parameters[‡] for the zero temperature four-dimensional theory [16]

$$\frac{\mu_R^2}{\lambda_R} = \frac{\bar{\mu}^2}{\bar{\lambda}} - N\frac{\Lambda^2}{32\pi^2}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\lambda_R = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1 + \frac{N\bar{\lambda}}{32\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2}\right)}, \quad (3.12)$$

where M is an appropriate renormalization scale $M \ll \Lambda$. Eq. (3.10) now reads

$$U'(\rho, T) = \bar{\lambda} \left[N\frac{T^2}{24} - \frac{\mu_R^2}{\lambda_R} \right] + \bar{\lambda}\rho - N\bar{\lambda}\frac{T}{8\pi}\sqrt{U'(\rho, T)}. \quad (3.13)$$

The critical temperature T_{cr} is defined as the temperature at which $U'(0, T_{cr}) = 0$. This gives the well known result [5]-[3]

$$T_{cr}^2 = \frac{24}{N} \frac{\mu_R^2}{\lambda_R}, \quad (3.14)$$

We concentrate on temperatures $T \sim T_{cr}$ and $\rho \ll T^2$, for which we obtain from eq. (3.13) in leading order

$$U'(\rho, T) = \left(\frac{\pi}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T} + \frac{8\pi}{N} \frac{\rho}{T} \right)^2. \quad (3.15)$$

This result justifies a posteriori the high temperature expansion for the integrals I_n . Notice how any reference to the bare parameters has disappeared in the above expression. Simple integration gives for $U(\rho, T)$

$$U(\rho, T) = \frac{\pi^2}{9} \left(\frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T} \right)^2 \rho + \frac{1}{N} \frac{8\pi^2}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T^2} \rho^2 + \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{64\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{T^2} \rho^3. \quad (3.16)$$

The last equation gives the effective potential in the critical region $T \sim T_{cr}$, for large N and including the ρ^3 (ϕ^6) term. Eq. (3.16) is in agreement with [14] in the leading order

[‡]Note that these parameters correspond to $T = 0$ and do not coincide with the quadratic and quartic term in the temperature dependent effective potential.

of the $1/N$ expansion. It is also completely analogous to results obtained in the context of three-dimensional field theory [15]. We observe an N dependence for the renormalized couplings in agreement with the general remarks of section 2 (See eq. (2.13).) Notice that the only surviving reference to the parameters of the four-dimensional theory exists in the definition of the critical temperature (3.14). According to our discussion of the integrals I, I_n , the critical temperature is determined by the dynamics of both the four-dimensional and three-dimensional theory. On the contrary, the form of the potential in the critical region (and therefore the critical behaviour) reflects only the effective three-dimensional theory.

Eq. (3.16) predicts a **second order** phase transition. For $T \geq T_{cr}$ the theory is in the symmetric phase with the minimum of the potential at $\rho_0 = 0$. As the critical temperature is approached from above the mass term is given by

$$m_R(T) \equiv U'(0, T) = \frac{\pi}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T}, \quad (3.17)$$

a result first obtained in [4]. In the language of critical exponents, the behaviour of m_R corresponds to an exponent

$$\nu = \lim_{T \rightarrow T_{cr}} \frac{d [\ln m_R(T)]}{d [\ln(T - T_{cr})]} = 1. \quad (3.18)$$

This result is in agreement with calculations of ν in the context of the three-dimensional zero temperature theory [15]. The quartic coupling in the symmetric phase is given by

$$\lambda_R(T) \equiv U''(0, T) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T^2}. \quad (3.19)$$

It goes to zero as the critical temperature is approached with an exponent

$$\zeta = \lim_{T \rightarrow T_{cr}} \frac{d [\ln \lambda_R(T)]}{d [\ln(T - T_{cr})]} = 1. \quad (3.20)$$

The dimensionless ratio $\frac{\lambda_R(T)T}{m_R(T)}$ approaches a temperature independent value at T_{cr}

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow T_{cr}} \frac{\lambda_R(T)T}{m_R(T)} = \frac{16\pi}{N}, \quad (3.21)$$

a result which has an analogue in the language of three-dimensional field theory. (For an extensive discussion of this point we refer the reader to [10, 15, 18].) It is interesting to recall at this point that the ratio $\frac{\bar{\lambda}T}{m_R}$ diverges near T_{cr} and this is the reason for the breakdown of the standard perturbative calculations. We see how this divergence disappears when the bare quartic coupling is replaced by the renormalized one. At T_{cr} the ρ^3 (ϕ^6) term is the first non-zero one, with a coefficient given in (3.16). For $T < T_{cr}$ the potential has a minimum at a non-zero value

$$\rho_0(T) = \frac{N}{24} (T_{cr}^2 - T^2), \quad (3.22)$$

and assumes the form

$$U(\rho, T) = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{64\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{T^2} (\rho - \rho_0(T))^3, \quad (3.23)$$

after the addition of a ρ -independent constant. Notice that the minimum of the potential (the order parameter for the phase transition) approaches continuously zero as the critical temperature is approached from below (justifying our conclusion for a phase transition of the second kind). Expression (3.22) predicts a critical exponent

$$\beta = \lim_{T \rightarrow T_{cr}} \frac{d [\ln \sqrt{\rho_0(T)}]}{d [\ln(T_{cr} - T)]} = 0.5 \quad (3.24)$$

again in agreement with the large N results of three-dimensional field theory. Another interesting point is the zero value of the second ρ -derivative of the potential at the minimum for $T < T_{cr}$. This reflects the fact that the ϕ^4 theory is infrared free in the spontaneously broken phase due to the Goldstone modes. At non-zero temperature the dependence of the running coupling on the infrared cutoff k is linear [10] (compared to the logarithmic running at zero temperature). This results in a zero renormalized coupling $\lambda_R(T) = U''(\rho_0, T)$ in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. We see how this physical effect is naturally incorporated in our result (3.23).

A remark is due at this point about the form of the potential (3.23), since, obviously, the requirement of convexity is not satisfied. The paradox is resolved if one realizes that our discussion, and therefore the result (3.23), is valid only in the “outer” region of the potential $\rho \geq \rho_0$. For $\rho < \rho_0$ the true saddle point of the functional integral (2.10), (2.11) corresponds to $\tilde{C} = 0$ and $\tilde{B}$ given by the minimum of $V(B)$. This saddle point is closely related to the spin wave solution of ref. [11, 12]. It results in the convex envelope of the non-convex part of (3.23).

The calculation of terms with higher powers of ρ in the effective potential requires additional terms in the high temperature expansion. Inserting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5) and using (3.11), (3.12) for the definition of μ_R^2 and λ_R , one obtains

$$U'(\rho, T) \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_R} + \frac{N}{32\pi^2} \ln \left(\frac{M^2}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + U'(\rho, T)} \right) \right] = \left[N \frac{T^2}{24} - \frac{\mu_R^2}{\lambda_R} \right] + \rho - N \frac{T}{8\pi} \sqrt{U'(\rho, T)}. \quad (3.25)$$

Differentiation with respect to ρ gives the ρ - and T -dependent quartic coupling

$$U''(\rho, T) = \frac{\lambda_R}{1 + \frac{N\lambda_R}{32\pi^2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{M^2}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + U'(\rho, T)} \right) - \frac{U'(\rho, T)}{(\tilde{c}T)^2 + U'(\rho, T)} + \frac{2\pi T}{\sqrt{U'(\rho, T)}} \right]}. \quad (3.26)$$

It is interesting to study its dependence on the effective infrared cutoff $U'(\rho, T)$. With $\tilde{t} = \frac{1}{2} \ln U'$ one finds

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{t}} U'' = \frac{N}{16\pi^2} (U'')^2 \left[\frac{U'(U' + 2(\tilde{c}T)^2)}{(U' + (\tilde{c}T)^2)^2} + \pi \frac{T}{\sqrt{U'}} \right]. \quad (3.27)$$

We observe the transition from the usual logarithmic four-dimensional running[§] for $U' \gg (\tilde{c}T)^2$ to the effective linear three-dimensional running [10, 19] for $U' \ll (\tilde{c}T)^2$.

The critical temperature is again given by (3.14). For T near T_{cr} and small ρ we can solve (3.25) approximately. Including the next to leading term we find, with $M = \tilde{c}T$,

$$U(\rho, T) = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{64\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{T^2} \left(\rho + \frac{N}{24}(T^2 - T_{cr}^2) \right)^3 - \frac{1}{N^3} \frac{1}{\lambda_R N} 2048\pi^4 \frac{1}{T^4} \left(\rho + \frac{N}{24}(T^2 - T_{cr}^2) \right)^4, \quad (3.28)$$

with higher order terms involving larger powers of $\frac{8\pi^2}{N\lambda_R T^2} \left(\frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{3} + \frac{8\rho}{N} \right)$. The second term in (3.28) introduces corrections to the effective potential (3.16) which are not universal with respect to the three-dimensional effective theory. These corrections are sensitive to the properties of the full four-dimensional theory: they depend on λ_R . We note that the range where the pure three-dimensional behaviour dominates

$$|T^2 - T_{cr}^2 + \frac{24}{N}\rho| \ll \frac{3N\lambda_R T^2}{8\pi^2} \quad (3.29)$$

is proportional to λ_R and, thus, very small for small λ_R . In the symmetric phase ($T \geq T_{cr}$) and in the critical region $T \rightarrow T_{cr}$, the corrections to the first three terms in the ρ expansion are suppressed by additional powers of $\frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T^2}$. Keeping the leading contribution we obtain for the ρ^4 (ϕ^8) coupling

$$U^{(4)}(0, T) = -\frac{49152\pi^4}{N^4 \lambda_R T^4}. \quad (3.30)$$

The negative sign of the ϕ^8 term does not imply the presence of a second minimum. It is obvious from eq. (3.25) that above T_{cr} there is only one minimum at $\rho = 0$. For $T < T_{cr}$ the minimum of the effective potential is given by (3.22) and the potential assumes the form

$$U(\rho, T) = \frac{1}{N^2} \frac{64\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{T^2} (\rho - \rho_0(T))^3 - \frac{1}{N^3} \frac{1}{\lambda_R N} 2048\pi^4 \frac{1}{T^4} (\rho - \rho_0(T))^4. \quad (3.31)$$

Eqs. (3.28), (3.31) can be directly compared to (3.16), (3.23). We conclude that the universal behaviour near the critical temperature (which determines the nature of the transition and the critical behaviour of the system) is effectively three-dimensional and is given by the first three derivatives of the potential around its minimum (the “relevant” terms). Higher derivatives of the potential do not affect the universal behaviour. They simply involve secondary non-universal corrections to the effective potential. One should keep in mind, however, that the non-universal corrections (which reflect the four-dimensional nature of the theory) must be taken into account for temperatures away from the critical one.

In summary, the universal part of the effective potential for the ϕ^4 theory in the critical region is given by eq. (3.16) for large N . In the following section, we shall reproduce this result by solving the Schwinger-Dyson equations for the high temperature theory near the phase transition.

[§]Note that, for $U' \gg (\tilde{c}T)^2, |\mu_R^2|$, one has approximately $U' \simeq \lambda_R \rho$ and (3.27) reproduces the result of [7] in leading order.

4. The Schwinger-Dyson equations

In this section we are interested in verifying the results of our previous discussion through a method more closely connected to the standard perturbative evaluation of the effective potential [7, 5, 3, 4]. It is well known [4] that the Schwinger-Dyson equation for the propagator, for a ϕ^4 theory in the large N limit, can be reproduced by the summation of an infinite class of diagrams, usually characterized as “daisy” or “cactus” graphs [4, 5]. The solution of this equation gives the value of the critical temperature and the temperature dependence of the mass term in the critical region. We have seen in the previous section that the first three terms of the effective potential in the critical region (3.16) do not involve the parameters of the four-dimensional theory, but are fully determined by the dynamics of the effective three-dimensional theory. Moreover, the form of the potential predicts a characteristic temperature dependence for its second and third ρ -derivatives (which correspond to the renormalized four and six point vertices). These results have so far no analogue in the context of perturbation theory at non-zero temperature, since the renormalization of the quartic coupling has not yet been considered [8, 9]. It is interesting to investigate whether the behaviour predicted by eq. (3.16) can be reproduced through the study of the relevant Schwinger-Dyson equations, since a solution of these equations automatically accounts for the renormalization of the couplings.

In fig. 1 we give a graphical representation of the first three Schwinger-Dyson equations for the $O(N)$ ϕ^4 theory in the symmetric phase for large N . (For a derivation of the Schwinger-Dyson equations in the functional integral formalism see [20].) Only the leading terms in $1/N$ have been included. (We remind the reader that the vertices come with an power of N given by (2.13).) The left-hand-side of the equations involves the first three ρ -derivatives of the potential at zero external momentum (the renormalized mass and four and six point vertices). Simple vertices denote bare quantities, and lines with a circle full propagators. The representation is schematic and the signs and combinatoric factors for the various terms have not been included. The incorporation of non-zero temperature effects in the formalism proceeds exactly as in the previous section. By recalling eqs. (3.3), (3.4) we can express eq. (a) of fig. 1 as

$$U'(0, T) = \left[\frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} I(T) - \bar{\mu}^2 \right] + \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} [I_1(U'(0, T), T) - I(T)]. \quad (4.1)$$

For the solution of the above equation we proceed as for the solution of (3.5): We use eqs. (3.6) and (3.7), introduce the renormalized quantities μ_R^2, λ_R according to (3.11), (3.12), define the critical temperature (3.14), and finally obtain the standard result [4]

$$U'(0, T) = m_R^2(T) = \left[\frac{\pi}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T} \right]^2. \quad (4.2)$$

Eq. (b) of fig. 1 reads in our notation

$$U''(0, T) = \bar{\lambda} - \frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} U''(0, T) I_2(U'(0, T), T), \quad (4.3)$$

where I_2 was defined according to (3.4). Making use of the high temperature expansion (3.8) we find

$$U''(0, T) = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{1 + \frac{N}{16\pi} \bar{\lambda} T [U'(0, T)]^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \quad (4.4)$$

In the critical region $T \rightarrow T_{cr}$ the second term in the denominator of the last expression (which comes entirely from the effective three-dimensional theory) diverges. Substitution of (4.2) gives

$$U''(0, T) = \lambda_R(T) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{16\pi^2}{3} \frac{T^2 - T_{cr}^2}{T^2}. \quad (4.5)$$

Notice that all reference to $\bar{\lambda}$ has again disappeared in the above equation. In a similar way we obtain for eq. (c) of fig. 1

$$U'''(0, T) = -\frac{N}{2} \bar{\lambda} U'''(0, T) I_2(U'(0, T), T) + N \bar{\lambda} [U''(0, T)]^2 I_3(U'(0, T), T). \quad (4.6)$$

Through use of (3.8), (3.9), (4.2), (4.5) we find

$$U'''(0, T) = \frac{1}{N^2} 128\pi^2 \frac{1}{T^2}. \quad (4.7)$$

Reconstruction of the effective potential in the symmetric phase, through a Taylor expansion around the origin, results in eq. (3.16). It should also be noted that eqs. (4.1), (4.3), (4.6) can be obtained from (3.2) by differentiation with respect to ρ . We have, therefore, shown that the solution of the Schwinger-Dyson equations in the large N limit verifies the results of the discussion of the previous section. Moreover, it provides helpful insight on how the first three terms of the effective potential for $T \rightarrow T_{cr}$ become independent of the four-dimensional parameters, due to the singular infrared behaviour of the effective three-dimensional theory. In the following section we shall use the evolution equation for the effective potential in order to derive results beyond the leading order in $1/N$.

5. Beyond leading order in $1/N$

The picture for the high temperature phase transition for the $O(N)$ -symmetric scalar theory is completely satisfactory for large values of N . In order to deal with realistic theories, e.g. $N = 4$ for the scalar sector of the electroweak standard model or the QCD phase transition for vanishing up and down quark mass [6], one has to go beyond the leading order approximation and include corrections $\sim 1/N$. Near the phase transition the three-dimensional behaviour is dominant and any useful method should account for a proper treatment of the three-dimensional $O(N)$ -symmetric scalar theory. In particular, one expects the critical exponents ν, β, ζ to deviate from their simple values (3.18), (3.24), (3.20), so that the effective potential becomes non-analytic in $T - T_{cr}$.

Going beyond the leading result in $1/N$ is not as straightforward as it may seem at first sight. The difficulty lies in the infrared behaviour of the three-dimensional theory. At the

critical temperature, this leads to the infrared divergencies of standard high temperature perturbation theory. A systematic expansion of (2.10), (2.11) beyond the saddle point approximation is not easy, even for $k = 0$, $P(-\square) = -\square$ and $\phi^a = \text{const}$. As far as the universal behaviour at the critical temperature is concerned, one can rely, of course, on results of the purely three-dimensional $1/N$ expansion [15]. This should correctly predict the first $1/N$ correction to the critical exponents. However, for an estimate of either the critical temperature, or the behaviour of $U(\rho, T)$ away from the critical temperature, or the proportionality constants in relations such as $m_R \sim (T - T_{cr})^\nu$, the properties of the underlying four-dimensional theory play a role. For these questions the four-dimensional $1/N$ expansion at non-vanishing temperature is needed. The same remark applies to other standard methods employed in three-dimensional field theory such as the $4 - \epsilon$ or $2 + \epsilon$ expansions or the strong coupling results on the lattice. They give reliable results for the critical behaviour but cannot be employed for the behaviour away from T_{cr} , where the matching with the four-dimensional behaviour is needed.

One could, in principle, consider the Schwinger-Dyson equations and include terms subleading in $1/N$. These terms, however, turn the Schwinger-Dyson equations into a complicated coupled system, as illustrated in fig. 2. The computation of the two point function involves now the full four point vertex and this difficulty propagates to all vertices. As we have seen before, a replacement of the full four point vertex by the bare coupling $\bar{\lambda}$ does not reflect properly the infrared behaviour near T_{cr} , where the appropriate renormalized coupling $\lambda_R(T)$ vanishes. More precisely, the last diagram in fig. 2 with $\bar{\lambda}$ instead of the full four point vertex is infrared divergent at $T = T_{cr}$, whereas the correct expression is infrared finite.

All these effects are naturally incorporated in the evolution equation (2.27), which has a simple generalization [11, 10] for arbitrary N . When wavefunction renormalization is neglected, the generalized evolution equation reads ¶

$$\begin{aligned} k \frac{d}{dk} U_k(\rho) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} \left(\frac{N-1}{P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho)} + \frac{1}{P(q^2) + U'_k(\rho) + 2U''_k(\rho)\rho} \right) k \frac{d}{dk} P(q^2) \\ &= v_d \int_0^{\Lambda^2} dx x^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \left(\frac{N-1}{P(x) + U'_k(\rho)} + \frac{1}{P(x) + U'_k(\rho) + 2U''_k(\rho)\rho} \right) k \frac{d}{dk} P(x) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where v_d is given by (2.28). One can easily identify in the above expression the contributions of the $N - 1$ Goldstone modes and the radial mode. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the contribution of the Goldstone modes dominates and the evolution equation becomes identical to (2.27). The generalization of the last equation in order to include non-zero temperature effects is straightforward and follows the discussion in the end of section 2. For the four-dimensional theory one finds

¶ This equation can also be interpreted as a truncation of an exact evolution equation [21] (for arbitrary N) which is related to an improved average action [22].

$$k \frac{d}{dk} U_k(\rho, T) = \frac{1}{2} T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{(2\pi)^3} k \frac{d}{dk} P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) \cdot \left(\frac{N-1}{P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) + U'_k(\rho, T)} + \frac{1}{P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) + U'_k(\rho, T) + 2U''_k(\rho, T)\rho} \right) \quad (5.2)$$

For $k \gg T$ the summation over the discrete values of m in the above equation is equal to an integration over a continuous range of q^0 , up to exponentially small corrections. In this limit eq. (5.2) is identical to (5.1) with $d = 4$. In the opposite limit $k \ll T$ the summation over m is dominated by the $m = 0$ contribution and the evolution becomes effectively three-dimensional. The full solution of (5.2) interpolates between the two regimes and, thus, matches the four-dimensional behaviour with the three-dimensional one.

For the study of the phase transition we are interested in solving (5.2) near the minimum of the potential for different temperatures T . In order to do so we parametrize $U_k(\rho, T)$ in terms of the location of its minimum $\rho_0(k, T)$ and its successive ρ -derivatives at the minimum $U'_k(\rho_0, T), U''_k(\rho_0, T) \dots$. This turns the partial differential equation (5.2) into an infinite system of coupled differential equations, which can be solved approximately by truncation at a finite number of equations. We have seen in the previous sections that the order of the phase transition as well as the critical behaviour of the system are determined by the “relevant” first three ρ -derivatives of the effective potential. It is sufficient, therefore, to keep the first three coupled differential equations of the infinite system. We give these equations without presenting the details of their derivation here, since they have been repeatedly derived elsewhere [11, 10, 12]. In the spontaneously broken regime ($\rho_0 \neq 0, U'_k = 0$) the equations for $\rho_0(k, T)$, $U''_k(\rho_0, T)$ and $U'''_k(\rho_0, T)$ read

$$\frac{d\rho_0}{dt} = -v_4 k^2 \left\{ \left(3 + \frac{2U'''_k \rho_0}{U''_k} \right) L_1^4(2U''_k \rho_0, T) + (N-1)L_1^4(0, T) \right\} \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU''_k}{dt} = & -v_4 [U'''_k]^2 \left\{ \left(3 + \frac{2U'''_k \rho_0}{U''_k} \right)^2 L_2^4(2U''_k \rho_0, T) + (N-1)L_2^4(0, T) \right\} \\ & + v_4 k^2 \left(2U'''_k - \frac{2[U'''_k]^2 \rho_0}{U''_k} \right) L_1^4(2U''_k \rho_0, T) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dU'''_k}{dt} = & 2v_4 k^{-2} [U'''_k]^3 \left\{ \left(3 + \frac{2U'''_k \rho_0}{U''_k} \right)^3 L_3^4(2U''_k \rho_0, T) + (N-1)L_3^4(0, T) \right\} \\ & - 3v_4 U''_k U'''_k \left\{ 5 \left(3 + \frac{2U'''_k \rho_0}{U''_k} \right) L_2^4(2U''_k \rho_0, T) + (N-1)L_2^4(0, T) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

In the symmetric regime ($\rho_0 = 0$) the corresponding equations for $U'_k(0, T)$, $U''_k(0, T)$ and $U'''_k(0, T)$ read

$$\frac{dU'_k}{dt} = v_4 k^2 (N+2) U''_k L_1^4(U'_k, T) \quad (5.6)$$

$$\frac{dU_k''}{dt} = -v_4(N+8)[U_k''']^2 L_2^4(U_k', T) + v_4 k^2 (N+4) U_k''' L_1^4(U_k', T) \quad (5.7)$$

$$\frac{dU_k'''}{dt} = 2v_4 k^{-2} (N+26)[U_k'']^3 L_3^4(U_k', T) - 3v_4 (N+14) U_k'' U_k''' L_2^4(U_k', T). \quad (5.8)$$

The dimensionless integrals $L_n^4(w, T)$

$$L_n^4(w, T) = -n k^{2n-4} \frac{2}{\pi} T \sum_m \int_{\Lambda} d^3 \vec{q} \left(P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) + w \right)^{-(n+1)} k \frac{d}{dk} P(\vec{q}^2 + 4\pi^2 m^2 T^2) \quad (5.9)$$

have been extensively discussed in [10]. (Notice also that these integrals are proportional to the logarithmic derivative with respect to k of the integrals I_n discussed in section 3, with q^2 replaced by $P(q^2)$ in the propagator.) They exhibit a behaviour as a function of $\frac{T}{k}$ which interpolates between being purely four-dimensional for $T \ll k$ and effectively three-dimensional for $T \gg k$. This induces a continuous transition from four to three for the effective dimensionality of the evolution equations.

The high temperature phase transition for the $O(N)$ -symmetric ϕ^4 theory was investigated in detail in ref. [10]. We shall not repeat the technical discussion here and we refer the reader to [10] for a thorough presentation. We briefly summarize the main results in order to point out the consistency with the conclusions of the previous sections. The evolution equation was studied through the parametrization of the average potential in terms of its minimum and its derivatives at the minimum, as explained earlier in this section. The resulting infinite system of differential equations was truncated after two equations and only the first two derivatives of the potential were considered. This amounts to solving the equations (5.3), (5.4) and (5.6), (5.7) without the terms involving U_k''' . The evolution equations were solved at various temperatures in order to obtain the parameters of the theory in the limit $k \rightarrow 0$. In this limit the average potential becomes equal to the effective potential, and its minimum gives the ground state of the theory and its derivatives the renormalized mass and couplings. The phase transition was studied by defining the renormalized theory in the spontaneously broken phase at $T = 0$, and following the evolution of the minimum of the effective potential for increasing temperature. The phase transition was found to be of the second order with a critical temperature given by (3.14) for small values of the quartic coupling, independent of N . The theory was shown to have a vanishing quartic coupling at and below the critical temperature for any $N \neq 1$, in agreement with (3.23). The universal critical behaviour was found to be determined by a fixed point corresponding to a second order phase transition. The same fixed point determines the critical behaviour of the effective three-dimensional theory, in exact agreement with the discussion in sections 3 and 4. An extrapolation of the numerical results for the critical exponents in [10], to large values of N , indicates agreement with (3.18), (3.20), (3.24). Evaluation of the analytic expressions for the exponents in [10] for $N \rightarrow \infty$ reproduces the same values. Finally the numerical values for the ratio $\frac{\lambda_R(T_{cr}) T_{cr}}{m_R(T_{cr})}$ are consistent with (3.21) for large values of N .

We have repeated the calculation of the critical exponents by considering the first three derivatives of the potential. This was done by solving the system of evolution equations

(5.3)-(5.5) and (5.6)-(5.8). In this way all the parameters “relevant” for the universal critical behaviour (apart from the wavefunction renormalization which is non-zero for finite N) were taken into account. The results of this calculation for the exponent β (for a value $b = 3$, see (2.4)) are presented in table 1. The results for the other exponents satisfy $\nu = 2\beta$ (in agreement with the scaling law for zero wavefunction renormalization) and $\zeta = \nu$. In the same table we list values for the exponent β obtained through the $1/N$ expansion to order $1/N^2$ for the three-dimensional theory [23]. We observe exact agreement for large values of N , which becomes bad for small values of N . For $N = 3$ we also give the value for the same critical exponent obtained through other methods (ϵ -expansion, summed perturbation theory in three dimensions, lattice calculations) [15]. It is clear that our result is in good agreement with this value, while the $1/N$ expansion fails for small N . The small remaining discrepancy is due to the omission of the wavefunction renormalization from the evolution equations. The study of the wavefunction renormalization effects is under way [24].

We summarize this section by concluding that the solution of the evolution equation fully confirms the results of the previous two sections, while it provides the means for the detailed study of the phase transition for small values of N . We also emphasize that this method gives a reliable description for temperatures away from the critical one [10].

6. Conclusions

We have studied the high temperature phase transition for the N -component ϕ^4 theory in the large N limit, in order to confirm the results of ref. [10] in which the method of the average potential was employed. The investigation was carried out through use of different methods, such as the standard large N evaluation of the functional integral for the effective potential and the solution of the Schwinger-Dyson equations. Our results fully confirm the results of [10]. The phase transition is of the second kind and falls in the same universality class as the phase transition of the three-dimensional $O(N)$ -symmetric σ -models. The critical behaviour is determined by the first three derivatives with respect to ϕ^2 of the effective potential around its minimum, and it is effectively three dimensional. Explicit expressions have been obtained for the critical temperature and the effective potential in the critical region. As the critical temperature is approached from above, the renormalized mass term $m_R(T)$ in the potential approaches zero with a critical exponent $\nu = 1$. The renormalized quartic coupling $\lambda_R(T)$ approaches zero also, with a critical exponent $\zeta = 1$. The ratio $\frac{\lambda_R(T)T}{m_R(T)}$ remains finite and, as a result, no infrared divergencies arise. At the critical temperature the first non-zero term in the potential is the ϕ^6 term, whose coefficient has been calculated. Below the critical temperature the minimum of the potential (which gives the ground state and, therefore, the order parameter of the theory) moves continuously away from zero, with a temperature dependence characterized by an exponent $\beta = 0.5$. All the above is fully consistent with the results of ref. [10] and three-dimensional field theory.

Going beyond the leading $1/N$ result, in order to discuss small, physically relevant

values of N , is more difficult and the renormalization group becomes an indispensable tool. We have demonstrated the power of the method of the average potential by calculating the critical exponents for various values of N . We have found perfect agreement with calculations using the $1/N$ expansion in three dimensions. Moreover, we have shown that the average potential gives the correct answer even for small values of N , where the $1/N$ expansion fails. The final ingredient for the complete description of the phase transition is the wave function renormalization, and this investigation is under way [24].

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank W. Buchmüller for discussions.

References

- [1] D.A. Kirzhnits and A.D. Linde, Phys. Lett. **42B**, 471 (1972).
- [2] D.A. Kirzhnits and A.D. Linde, JETP **40**, 628 (1974); Ann. Phys. **101**, 195 (1976).
- [3] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3357 (1974).
- [4] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3320 (1974).
- [5]
- [6] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, preprint IASSNS-HEP-92/60.
- [7] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 1888 (1973); R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1686 (1974).
- [8] K. Takahashi, Z. Phys. C **26**, 601 (1985); M.E. Carrington, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 2933 (1992); A. Linde, preprint SU-ITP-92-18.
- [9] J.R. Espinosa, M. Quiros and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **291B**, 115 (1992).
- [10] N. Tetradis and C. Wetterich, preprint DESY-92-093.
- [11] C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B352**, 529 (1991); C. Wetterich, preprint DESY-91-088, in press Z. Phys. C.
- [12] A. Ringwald and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B334**, 506 (1990); N. Tetradis and C. Wetterich, Nucl. Phys. **B383**, 197 (1992).
- [13] C. Wetterich, Z. Phys. C **48**, 693 (1990); M. Reuter and C. Wetterich, preprint DESY-92-037, in press Nucl. Phys. **B**; Heidelberg preprint HD-THEP-92-62; S. Bornholdt and C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. **282B**, 399 (1992).
- [14] V. Jain, preprint MPI-PH-92-41; H. Meyer-Ortmanns and A. Patkos, Heidelberg preprint HD-TVP-92-10.
- [15] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum field theory and critical phenomena, Oxford Science Publications (1989); D.J. Amit, Field theory, the renormalization group, and critical phenomena, World Scientific (1984).
- [16] H.J. Schnitzer, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 1800 (1974); S. Coleman, R. Jackiw and H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 2491 (1974); L.F. Abbott, J.S. Kang and H.J. Schnitzer, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 2212 (1976); W.A. Bardeen and M. Moshe, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1372 (1983).
- [17] J. Kapusta, Finite temperature field theory, Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [18] G. Parisi, J. Stat. Phys. **23**, 49 (1980); Statistical field theory, Addison-Wesley (1988).

- [19] D. O'Connor and C.R. Stephens, Nucl. Phys. **B360**, 297 (1991); D. O'Connor, C.R. Stephens and F. Freire, preprint DIAS-STP-92-02.
- [20] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill (1980).
- [21] C. Wetterich, Heidelberg preprint HD-THEP-92-61, in press Phys. Lett. **B**.
- [22] C. Wetterich, Heidelberg preprint HD-THEP-92-64.
- [23] I. Kondor and T. Temesvari, J. Physique Lett. (Paris) **39**, L99 (1978).
- [24] N. Tetradis and C. Wetterich, in preparation.

Tables

N	β	
100	0.50	$0.50^{(a)}$
20	0.48	$0.48^{(a)}$
10	0.46	$0.46^{(a)}$
4	0.41	$0.34^{(a)}$
3	0.40	$0.25^{(a)} \ 0.38^{(b)}$

Table 1: Critical exponent β for various N ($b = 3$). For comparison we have listed the results of calculations of the same exponent in three-dimensional field theory:

a) From $1/N$ expansion to order $1/N^2$ [23].

b) Average value of results from ϵ -expansion, summed perturbation theory in three dimensions and lattice calculations [15].

Figures

Fig. 1 Schwinger-Dyson equations in the large N limit.

Fig. 2 Schwinger-Dyson equation for the two point function.