

NUHEP-TH-93-6

UCD-93-9

April 1993

Perturbative QCD Fragmentation Functions for B_c and B_c Production

Eric Braaten and Kingman Cheung

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

Tzu-Chiang Yuan

Davis Institute for High Energy Physics

Department of Physics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616

Abstract

The dominant production mechanism for bc bound states in high energy processes is the production of a high energy b or c quark, followed by its fragmentation into the bc state. We calculate the fragmentation functions for the production of the S-wave states B_c and B_c to leading order in the QCD coupling constant. The fragmentation probabilities for $b \rightarrow B_c$ and $b \rightarrow B_c$ are approximately $2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $3.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$, while those for $c \rightarrow B_c$ and $c \rightarrow B_c$ are smaller by almost two orders of magnitude.

The study of the charm onium and bottom onium systems have played an important role in the development and eventual acceptance of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) as the field theory of the strong interactions. Bound states containing top quarks may not exist as identifiable states, because the widths of the states due to top quark decay may be larger than the splittings between the energy levels. Thus the real frontier for the study of heavy quark-antiquark bound states may be the bc system. To assess the prospects for the experimental study of bc mesons in present and future colliders, it is important to have accurate predictions for their production rates. The dominant production mechanism for high energy bc mesons is the fragmentation of b and c quarks. The fragmentation process is described by a universal fragmentation function $D(z)$ that gives the probability for the splitting of the parton into the desired hadron plus other partons. For a hadron composed of only heavy quarks, this fragmentation function can be calculated using perturbative QCD [1]. The fragmentation functions for the splitting of gluons and charm quarks into S -wave charm onium states have been calculated to leading order in the QCD coupling constant α_s [1, 2]. In this paper, we calculate the fragmentation functions for the splitting of b and c into the 1S_0 ground state B_c and into the 3S_1 state B_c^* . These universal fragmentation functions can be used to calculate the direct production rate of B_c and B_c^* in any high energy process.

We begin by summarizing previous calculations of the production rates for bc mesons. A perturbative QCD calculation of the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow B_c bc$ was carried out by Cavelli in 1982 [3]. The cross sections for $e^+e^- \rightarrow B_c bc$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow B_c^* bc$ were subsequently calculated incorrectly by Amiri and Ji [4] and then correctly by Chang and Chen [5]. Chang and Chen followed Amiri and Ji in expressing their results in terms of fragmentation functions $D(z)$, but they did not realize that these fragmentation functions are universal and can also be applied to the hadronic production of B_c and B_c^* . The total production rates for B_c in e^+e^- and hadron colliders, including those that are produced by cascade decays from B_c^* and other bc bound states, have been estimated using a modified version of the parton shower Monte Carlo HERWIG [6]. The modified version included two new parameters describing the probabilities for the production of cc pairs, and the results were sensitive to the values of the bottom and charm quark masses. The ratio of the cross section for B_c production and b -quark production was estimated to be $1:0.10^{-3}$ for e^+e^- collisions at LEP and $1:3.10^{-3}$ for

pp collisions at the Tevatron, consistent with a previous crude estimate [7] of the probability for a b to form a $b\bar{c}$ meson. Given the adjustable parameters in the Monte Carlo calculation of Ref. [6], it is difficult to estimate the errors in its predictions. It is clear that more reliable calculations of the production rates of $b\bar{c}$ mesons would be valuable in assessing the prospects for the discovery and investigation of these new particles.

The fragmentation contribution to the differential cross section for the direct production of a B_c of energy E in any high energy process is the term that survives in the limit $E \rightarrow m_b \rightarrow 0$. It has the general form

$$d\sigma(B_c(E)) = \sum_i \int_0^1 dz db(i(E=z); \mu) D_{i \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu); \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over partons of type i and z is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the B_c relative to the parton. The physical interpretation of (1) is that a B_c of energy E can be produced by first producing a parton i of larger energy $E=z$ which subsequently splits into a B_c carrying a fraction z of the parton energy. The expression (1) for the differential cross section has a factored form: all the dependence on the energy E is in the parton subprocess cross section b , while all the dependence on the heavy quark masses m_c and m_b is in the fragmentation function $D_{i \rightarrow B_c}$. The dependence on the arbitrary factorization scale cancels between the two factors. Large logarithms of $E \rightarrow m_b$ in the subprocess cross section b can be avoided by choosing μ on the order of E . Large logarithms of $E \rightarrow m_b$ then necessarily appear in the fragmentation functions $D_{i \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$, but they can be summed up by solving the evolution equations [8]

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln \mu} D_{i \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu) = \sum_j \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} P_{i \rightarrow j}(z=y; \mu) D_{j \rightarrow B_c}(y; \mu); \quad (2)$$

where $P_{i \rightarrow j}(x; \mu)$ is the Altarelli-Parisi function for the splitting of the parton of type i into a parton of type j with longitudinal momentum fraction x . For example, the $b \rightarrow b$ splitting function for a bottom antiquark with energy much greater than its mass is the usual splitting function for quarks and antiquarks:

$$P_{b \rightarrow b}(x; \mu) = \frac{2}{3} C_F \left(\frac{1+x^2}{1-x} \right)_+; \quad (3)$$

where $f(x)_+ = f(x) - (1-x) \int_0^1 f(x^0) dx^0$. The boundary condition on the evolution equation (2) is the initial fragmentation function $D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; 0)$ at some scale 0 of order m_b . The initial fragmentation function can be calculated perturbatively as a series in $\alpha_s(0)$. At leading order in α_s , the initial fragmentation function for $b \rightarrow B_c$ can be calculated using the expression [9]

$$D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^z ds \int_s^{\frac{(m_b+m_c)^2}{z}} \frac{m_c^2}{1-z} \lim_{q_0 \rightarrow 1} \frac{M_f^2}{M_0^2}; \quad (4)$$

where M is the matrix element for the production of a B_c and c with total 4-momentum q and invariant mass $s = q^2$ and M_0 is the matrix element for the production of a b with the same 3-momentum $\mathbf{q}$. If the momentum of the B_c is $p = (p_0; p_1; p_2; p_3)$ in a frame where $q = (q_0; 0; 0; q_3)$, then the longitudinal momentum fraction z is defined by $z = (p_0 + p_3)/(q_0 + q_3)$. The limit $q_0 \rightarrow 1$ in (4) can be taken in any Lorentz frame where $q_3 = q_0 \rightarrow 1$.

We proceed to calculate the matrix element M for the production of B_c in the fragmentation limit $q_0 \gg m_b$. If $q = (q_0; 0; 0; q_3)$, it is convenient to carry out the calculation in the axial gauge associated with the 4-vector $n = (1; 0; 0; 1)$. In this gauge, the fragmentation contribution comes only from the Feynman diagram for $b \rightarrow bcc$ shown in Figure 1. In covariant gauges, there are also contributions from other diagrams but Ward identities can be used to manipulate the sum of the diagrams into an expression equivalent to that obtained directly from Figure 1 in axial gauge. The amplitude for Figure 1 in this gauge can be reduced to

$$M = \frac{g_s^2 R(0)}{3^{\frac{1}{2}} 3 M} \frac{1}{r(s - m_b^2)^2} \frac{2(q + m_b)(\not{p} - 2M)}{n \cdot (q - (1-r)p)} \frac{1}{\not{p} + M} \not{n} \cdot \not{v}(p^0); \quad (5)$$

where $r = m_c/(m_b + m_c)$, $M = m_b + m_c$, and $R(0)$ is the nonrelativistic radial wavefunction at the origin for the B_c . The Dirac spinor is the matrix element for the production of a b of momentum $q = p + p^0$. Its explicit form is not needed to calculate the fragmentation function

(4). Summing over final spins and colors, the square of the matrix element (5) reduces to

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{64}{27M} \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)^2}{r^2 (s - m_b^2)^4} \text{tr} \left[\not{\epsilon} \not{p} \not{q} \not{p} \right]; \quad (6)$$

where $\not{\epsilon}$ is a Dirac matrix that depends on q and p . We need only keep the terms in that are of order m_b^4 . While q and p both have components of order q_b , the invariant mass $s = q^2$ is of order m_b^2 in the fragmentation region. Simplifying the Dirac matrix by dropping terms which are suppressed by powers of $m_b = q_b$, it reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &= s^2 (2(2 - 4r + r^2)M^2 s + (1 - r)(3 - r)(1 - 4r + r^2)M^4) \not{\epsilon} \\ &\quad s (2 - r)^2 M^2 - s (1 - r)^2 M^2 \not{p} \\ &+ 2 \frac{n \cdot p}{n \cdot q (1 - r)n \cdot p} (s - (1 - r)^2 M^2)^2 (\not{q} \not{p}) \\ &\quad 2 \frac{n \cdot p}{n \cdot q (1 - r)n \cdot p} M^2 (s - (1 - r)^2 M^2) ((1 - 2r) \not{q} - (1 - r) \not{p}) \\ &+ \frac{n \cdot p n \cdot (q \cdot p)}{(n \cdot q (1 - r)n \cdot p)^2} (s - (1 - r)^2 M^2)^2 \not{p} : \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since the coefficients of $\not{p}$ and $\not{q}$ in (7) are all manifestly of order m_b^4 , we can make the substitution $p = zq$ which is accurate to leading order in $m_b = q_b$. The Dirac trace in (7) is then proportional to $\text{tr}(\not{q})$. The square of the matrix element for the production of a real b of momentum q is $\mathcal{M}_0^2 = \text{tr}(\not{q} \not{m}_b)$, which reduces in the fragmentation limit to $\text{tr}(\not{q})$. Dividing $\mathcal{M}^2$ in (6) by $\mathcal{M}_0^2$ and inserting it into (4), we obtain the fragmentation function as an integral over s :

$$\begin{aligned} D_{b|B_c}(z) &= \frac{4}{27 m_c^3} \mathcal{R}(0)^2 \int_0^z ds \int_s^1 \frac{M^2}{z} \frac{m_c^2}{1 - z} \frac{(1 - z)(1 + rz)^2 r M^2}{(1 - (1 - r)z)^2 (s - m_b^2)^2} \\ &\quad \frac{(2(1 - 2r) - (3 - 4r + 4r^2)z + (1 - r)(1 - 2r)z^2) r M^4}{(1 - (1 - r)z)(s - m_b^2)^3} - \frac{4r^2 (1 - r) M^6}{(s - m_b^2)^4} : \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Evaluating the integral over s , we obtain our final expression for the fragmentation function at the initial scale μ_0 :

$$D_{b|B_c}(z; \mu_0) = \frac{2}{81 m_c^3} \mathcal{R}(0)^2 \frac{rz(1 - z)^2}{(1 - (1 - r)z)^6} - \frac{18(1 - 2r)z + (21 - 74r + 68r^2)z^2}{(1 - (1 - r)z)^6}$$

$$2(1-r)(6-19r+18r^2)z^3 + 3(1-r)^2(1-2r+2r^2)z^4; \quad (9)$$

where $r = m_c/(m_b + m_c)$. This agrees with the result of Chang and Chen [5] up to the overall normalization. Chang and Chen normalized their fragmentation functions so that they integrated to 1: $\int_0^1 dz D(z) = 1$. Setting $m_b = m_c$ in (9), we also recover the fragmentation function for $c \rightarrow c$ calculated in Ref. [2]. The incorrect result of Amiri and Ji [4] can be obtained by keeping only the term proportional to $1/(s - m_b^2)^2$ in the integrand of (8).

The initial scale μ_0 in the fragmentation function and in the running coupling constant in (9) should be chosen on the order of m_b and m_c . We would like a more specific prescription for μ_0 that remains physically sensible even when m_c/m_b is much less than or much greater than 1. In the Feynman diagram in Figure 1, the invariant mass of the gluon is $r(s - m_b^2)$ and the virtual b is on-shell by $s - m_b^2$. For generic values of z , s is of order m_b^2 , and the b and the gluon are on-shell by amounts of order $(m_b + m_c)^2$ and $m_c(m_b + m_c)$, respectively. However a large part of the fragmentation probability comes from the region where s is near its minimum value $(m_b + 2m_c)^2$ and the virtual b and the gluon are only on-shell by $4m_c(m_b + m_c)$ and $4m_c^2$. As a compromise between the various scales in the problem, we take $\mu_0 = \sqrt{4m_c(m_b + m_c)}$. In Ref. [5], Chang and Chen used the value $\mu_s = 0.15$ for the running coupling constant, which corresponds to a scale μ_0 of order M_Z . As shown by our analysis, the scale for the fragmentation process is definitely set by the heavy quark masses. Thus Chang and Chen underestimated the rates for production of B_c and $B_{\bar{c}}$ in Z^0 decay by about a factor of 2.

The fragmentation function for a b to split into the 3S_1 state $B_{\bar{c}}$ can be calculated in the same way as for B_c . The matrix element for the production of $B_{\bar{c}}c$ is

$$M = \frac{g_s^2 R(0)}{3 \cdot 3 \cdot M} (\mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{r(s - m_b^2)^2} 2M (q + m_b) \\ + \frac{s - m_b^2}{n \cdot (q - (1-r)p)} (\mathbf{p} + M) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{p}^0); \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ is the polarization vector for $B_{\bar{c}}$. Following the same path as in the B_c calculation, we obtain an expression for the fragmentation function as an integral over the invariant

masses:

$$D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z) = \frac{4}{27} \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)^2}{m_c^3} \int_0^z ds \left[\frac{M^2}{z} - \frac{m_c^2}{1-z} + \frac{(1-z)(1+2rz+(2+r^2)z^2)rM^2}{(1-(1-r)z)^2(s-m_b^2)^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(2(1+2r)-(1+12r-4r^2)z-(1-r)(1+2r)z^2)rM^4}{(1-(1-r)z)(s-m_b^2)^3} - \frac{12r^2(1-r)M^6}{(s-m_b^2)^4} \right] : (11)$$

Integrating over s , the final result for the fragmentation function for b to split into B_c is

$$D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; 0) = \frac{2}{27} \frac{(0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)^2}{m_c^3} \frac{rz(1-z)^2}{(1-(1-r)z)^6} - \frac{2(3-2r)z + 3(3-2r+4r^2)z^2}{2(1-r)(4-r+2r^2)z^3 + (1-r)^2(3-2r+2r^2)z^4} : (12)$$

This agrees with the result of Chang and Chen [5] up to the overall normalization. Setting $m_b = m_c$ in (12), we recover the fragmentation function for c calculated in Ref. [2]. The incorrect result of Amiri and Ji [4] can be obtained by keeping only the term proportional to $1=(s-m_b^2)^2$ in the integrand of (11).

The fragmentation functions for a charm quark to split into B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ can be obtained from (9) and (12) by interchanging the masses m_b and m_c :

$$D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; 0) = \frac{2}{81} \frac{(0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)^2}{m_b^3} \frac{(1-r)z(1-z)^2}{(1-rz)^6} - \frac{6 + 18(1-2r)z + (15-62r+68r^2)z^2}{2r(5-17r+18r^2)z^3 + 3r^2(1-2r+2r^2)z^4} ; (13)$$

$$D_{c \rightarrow \bar{B}_c}(z; 0) = \frac{2}{27} \frac{(0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)^2}{m_b^3} \frac{(1-r)z(1-z)^2}{(1-rz)^6} - \frac{2(1+2r)z + 3(5-6r+4r^2)z^2}{2r(5-3r+2r^2)z^3 + r^2(3-2r+2r^2)z^4} ; (14)$$

where $r = m_c/(m_b + m_c)$ and $0 = \frac{q}{4m_b(m_b + m_c)}$.

The fragmentation functions for $b \rightarrow B_c$ and $b \rightarrow \bar{B}_c$ are shown in Figure 2. The radial wavefunction at the origin for the B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ has been estimated from potential

models to be $\mathcal{R}(0) = (1.18 \text{ GeV})^3$ [10]. For the quark masses, we use $m_b = 4.9 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_c = 1.5 \text{ GeV}$. The solid curves in Figure 2 are the initial fragmentation functions at the scale $\mu = 6.2 \text{ GeV}$, where the running coupling constant has the value $\alpha_s(\mu) = 0.20$. The dotted curves are the fragmentation functions with the scale evolved up to 62 GeV using the Altarelli-Parisi equation (2). The average value of the momentum fraction for $b \rightarrow B_c$ is $\langle z \rangle = 0.68$ at $\mu = 6.2 \text{ GeV}$, and it shifts downward to $\langle z \rangle = 0.61$ when the scale is evolved up by a factor of 10. The process $b \rightarrow B_c$ has a slightly harder distribution with $\langle z \rangle = 0.73$ at $\mu = 6.2 \text{ GeV}$ and $\langle z \rangle = 0.66$ at $\mu = 62 \text{ GeV}$. The fragmentation functions for $c \rightarrow B_c$ and $c \rightarrow \bar{B}_c$ are shown in Figure 3. The solid curves are the initial fragmentation functions at the scale $\mu = 11.2 \text{ GeV}$, where the running coupling constant has the value $\alpha_s(\mu) = 0.17$. The fragmentation functions at the scale $\mu = 112 \text{ GeV}$ are shown as dotted curves in Figure 3. The average value of the momentum fraction z is initially 0.51 for $c \rightarrow B_c$ and 0.55 for $c \rightarrow \bar{B}_c$. When the scale is evolved up by a factor of 10, they shift downward by about 9%. The evolution of the fragmentation functions would shift the momentum distributions for the B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ produced in Z^0 decay calculated by Chang and Chen [5] to slightly smaller values of z .

The evolution equation (2) implies that at leading order in α_s , the fragmentation probability $\int_0^1 dz D(z; \mu)$ does not evolve with the scale μ . The evolution merely shifts the z distribution to smaller values of z . Therefore the fragmentation probabilities can be used to provide simple estimates of production rates. We need only multiply the production rates for bottom and charm quarks with energies much larger than their masses by the appropriate fragmentation probabilities. The fragmentation probabilities for the production of the B_c are

$$\int_0^1 dz D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu_0) = \frac{2 \alpha_s(\mu_0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)}{27 m_c^3} f\left(\frac{m_c}{m_b + m_c}\right); \quad (15)$$

$$\int_0^1 dz D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu_0) = \frac{2 \alpha_s(\mu_0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)}{27 m_b^3} f\left(\frac{m_b}{m_b + m_c}\right); \quad (16)$$

where the function $f(r)$ is

$$f(r) = \frac{8 + 13r + 228r^2 - 212r^3 + 53r^4}{15(1-r)^5} + \frac{r(1 + 8r + r^2 - 6r^3 + 2r^4)}{(1-r)^6} \log(r); \quad (17)$$

This function is almost constant, varying only from 0.496 at $r = 0.23$ to 0.464 at $r = 0.77$.

The fragmentation probabilities for the production of the B_c are

$$\int_0^1 dz D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; 0) = \frac{2 s(0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)^2}{27 m_c^3} g \frac{m_c}{m_b + m_c} ; \quad (18)$$

$$\int_0^1 dz D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; 0) = \frac{2 s(0)^2 \mathcal{R}(0)^2}{27 m_b^3} g \frac{m_b}{m_b + m_c} ; \quad (19)$$

where the function $g(r)$ is

$$g(r) = \frac{24 + 109r - 126r^2 + 174r^3 + 89r^4}{15(1-r)^5} + \frac{r(7 - 4r + 3r^2 + 10r^3 + 2r^4)}{(1-r)^6} \log(r) ; \quad (20)$$

It varies from 0.702 at $r = 0.23$ to 0.400 at $r = 0.77$. The fragmentation probability (18) agrees with the result for $e^+e^- \rightarrow B_c bc$ [3] obtained by Clavelli. The numerical values for the fragmentation probabilities are $2.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for $b \rightarrow B_c$, $3.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for $b \rightarrow B_c$, $4.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ for $c \rightarrow B_c$, and $3.9 \cdot 10^{-6}$ for $c \rightarrow B_c$. The fragmentation probabilities for c are smaller than those for b by almost two orders of magnitude, because they are proportional to $1/m_b^3$ instead of $1/m_c^3$.

It is interesting to compare our perturbative QCD fragmentation functions with the phenomenological Peterson fragmentation function [11] which is often used to describe the fragmentation of a b quark into a hadron. For the case of a bc hadron H , it is proportional to the energy denominator for the transition of a high momentum b into a bc pair with zero relative velocity and a c :

$$D_{b \rightarrow H}(z) = N_H \frac{z(1-z)^2}{(1-z)^2 + z} ; \quad (21)$$

where $\Delta = m_c^2 - m_b^2$ and N_H is a phenomenological parameter. The Peterson fragmentation function has the same qualitative behavior as the functions (9) and (12) predicted by perturbative QCD. The main difference is that the QCD calculation predicts the normalizations of the fragmentation functions, while they are given by arbitrary phenomenological parameters N_H in the Peterson formula. The Peterson formula (21) also has the same shape for all bc hadrons H , while the precise shape of the QCD fragmentation functions depends on the orbital and spin quantum numbers.

The only inputs into our perturbative QCD calculations of the fragmentation functions are the QCD coupling constant α_s , the quark masses m_b and m_c , and the nonrelativistic radial wavefunction at the origin $R(0)$. The potential model values for $R(0)$ should be quite reliable, since these models are tuned to reproduce the spectra of the charmonium and bottomonium systems and the bc system represents an intermediate case. Potential models should also give accurate results for ratios of quark masses such as $r = m_c/(m_b + m_c)$. The largest uncertainty in the fragmentation functions for $b \rightarrow B_c; \bar{B}_c$ should therefore come from the overall factor of $1/m_c^3$. Allowing for a variation of 0.2 GeV in the value $m_c = 1.5$ GeV, the uncertainty in the normalization is an overall multiplicative factor of 1.5. Similarly, the largest uncertainty in the fragmentation functions for $c \rightarrow B_c; \bar{B}_c$ comes from the overall factor of $1/m_b^3$. Allowing for a variation of 0.3 GeV in the value $m_b = 4.9$ GeV, the uncertainty is an overall multiplicative factor of 1.2 in the normalization.

The fragmentation functions $D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ and $D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ can be used to calculate the rate for the direct production of B_c in any high energy process. To obtain the total production rate for B_c , we also need the direct production rates for all the other bc states below the BD threshold, since they all decay ultimately into B_c with branching fractions near 100%. We have calculated the fragmentation functions for the production of the 3S_1 state B_c . Our fragmentation functions can also be applied directly to the production of the first radial excitations of the B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ simply by changing the wavefunction at the origin. From potential model calculations [10], the value for the first radial excitation of the S-wave states is $|R(0)|^2 = (0.99 \text{ GeV})^3$. Thus the production rates for these states should be about 60% of those for the B_c and $\bar{B}_c$. The second radial excitation is probably above the BD threshold, so its branching fraction into B_c will be negligible. Adding up all the S-wave contributions to the B_c production rate, the total fragmentation probabilities are about $8.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ from the splitting of the b and $1.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ from the splitting of the charm quark. Our lower bound of $8.5 \cdot 10^{-4}$ for the probability for a high energy b-quark to form a bc bound state is consistent with the Monte Carlo estimates obtained in Ref. [6].

An accurate calculation of the total production rate for B_c could probably be obtained by including also the two sets of P-wave bc states below BD threshold. In calculating the

production of the P-wave states, there are two distinct contributions that must be included at leading order in α_s . The P-wave state can arise either from the production of a collinear bc pair in a color-singlet P-wave state, or from the production of a collinear bc pair in a color-octet S-wave state [12]. There are two nonperturbative parameters that enter into the calculation. In addition to the derivative of the radial wavefunction at the origin for the P-wave state, we also require the probability density at the origin for the b and c to be in a color octet S-wave state accompanied by a soft gluon.

Our results should be useful for assessing the prospects for the discovery of the B_c in Z^0 decay at LEP or in pp collisions at the Tevatron. The branching fraction for $Z^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ is approximately 15.2%. Multiplying by the total fragmentation probability for the production of S-wave bc states, we obtain a lower bound on the inclusive branching fraction for the production of B_c of 1.3×10^{-4} . The contribution from $Z^0 \rightarrow c\bar{c}$ followed by fragmentation of the charm quark or antiquark is smaller by about a factor of 80. At the Tevatron, the cross section for the production of charm quarks at large p_T is a little larger than for bottom quarks, but not enough to make up for the difference in the fragmentation probabilities. Thus the dominant production mechanism for bc states will again be b fragmentation. The cross section for the inclusive production of B_c with $p_T > 20$ GeV at the Tevatron can be estimated by multiplying the cross section for the production of b quarks with $p_T > 20$ GeV, which is measured to be about 400 nb [13], by the fragmentation probability of 8.5×10^{-4} . Simple estimates of the production rates at future colliders can be obtained in the same way.

We have pointed out in this paper that the dominant mechanism for the direct production of high energy bc mesons is fragmentation, the production of a high energy b or c quark followed by its splitting into the bc state. We calculated the fragmentation functions $D(z)$ for production of the S-wave states B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ to leading order in α_s . These fragmentation functions are universal, so they can be used to calculate the rates and momentum distributions for the direct production of B_c and $\bar{B}_c$ in any high energy process.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of High Energy Physics, under Grant DE-FG 02-91-ER 40684.

References

- [1] E. Braaten and T. C. Yuan, Northwestern preprint NUHEP-TH-92-23 (November 1992).
- [2] E. Braaten, K. Cheung, and T. C. Yuan, Northwestern preprint NUHEP-TH-93-2 (February 1993).
- [3] L. Clavelli, Phys. Rev. D 26, 1610 (1982).
- [4] C. R. Ji and F. Amiri, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3318 (1987); F. Amiri and C. R. Ji, Phys. Lett. B 195, 593 (1987).
- [5] C. H. Chang and Y. Q. Chen, Phys. Lett. B 284, 127 (1992); Phys. Rev. D 46, 3845 (1992).
- [6] M. Lusignoli, M. Masetti, and S. Petrarca, Phys. Lett. B 266, 142 (1991).
- [7] S. S. Gershtein, V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, S. R. Slabospitsky, and A. V. Tkabladze, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 48, 327 (1988); V. V. Kiselev, A. K. Likhoded, S. R. Slabospitsky, and A. V. Tkabladze, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 49, 682 (1988).
- [8] R. D. Field, Applications of Perturbative QCD (Addison Wesley, 1989).
- [9] E. Braaten, K. Cheung, and T. C. Yuan, Northwestern preprint (in preparation).
- [10] E. Eichten and C. Quigg, Fermilab preprint (May 1993).
- [11] C. Peterson, D. Schlatter, I. Schmitt, and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. D 27, 105 (1983).
- [12] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten, T. C. Yuan, and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 46, R3703 (1992).
- [13] CDF Collaboration (F. Abe et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3403 (1992); Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3704 (1992); M. L. Mangano, Pisa preprint IFUP-TH 2/93 (January 1993).

Figure Captions

1. Feynman diagram for $b \rightarrow bcc$ which contributes to the fragmentation of b into B_c and B_c .
2. Fragmentation functions $D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ and $D_{b \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ as a function of z at $\mu = 62 \text{ GeV}$ (solid line) and $\mu = 62 \text{ GeV}$ (dotted line).
3. Fragmentation functions $D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ and $D_{c \rightarrow B_c}(z; \mu)$ as a function of z at $\mu = 112 \text{ GeV}$ (solid line) and $\mu = 112 \text{ GeV}$ (dotted line).