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#### Abstract

O ur goal is to discover possible new 4 -din ensional euclidean solutions (instantons) in fundam entalSU (2) Yang-M illsfiggs theory, with a constraint added to prevent collapse of the scale. W e show that, m ost likely, there exists one particular new constrained instanton ( $I^{?}$ ) with vanishing Pontryagin index. This is based on a topological argum ent that involves the construction of a non-contractible loop of 4-dim ensional con gurations w ith a certain upperbound on the action, which we establish num erically. W e expect I? to be the lowest action non-trivial solution in the vacuum sector of the theory. There also exists a related static, but unstable, solution, the new sphaleron $S^{?}$. P ossible applications of I? to the electrow eak interactions include the asym ptotics of perturbation theory and the high-energy behaviour of the total cross-section.


## 1 Introduction

W e have con jectured [ī] the existence of a new constrained instanton in the vacuum sector of euclidean SU (2) Yang-M ills H iggs theory. O ur argum ent consists of two steps :
(1) the construction of a non-contractible loop of 4-dim ensional con gurations of the $Y$ ang -M ills and $H$ iggs elds, starting and ending at the classical vacuum ;
(2) the proofofa œertain upperbound on the action over this non-contractible loop, which is crucial for having a genuine new solution, as will be explained later on. For the last step, which is by far the $m$ ost di cult of the two, we have to resort to num erical $m$ ethods. The num erical results of our previous paper $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ were not entirely conclusive in establishing this upperbound on the actionpro le. Here, we present further num erical results that are conclusive, at least for certain values of the param eters in the theory.

C om pared to our earlier w ork we have $m$ ade the follow ing im provem ents. $F$ irst, the constraint on the scale is treated dynam ically, whereas before the scale was xed by hand. Second, the ansatz of the non-contractible loop is generalized, in order to give the elds m ore freedom to relax to a low er value of the action. Third, the $e$ ciency and accuracy of the num erical $m$ ethods for solving the variational equations from the ansatz were increased signi cantly. The com bined e ect of these three im provem ents allows us to establish the upperbound on the actionpro le, which tums out to be a rather delicate a air. H aving established this upperbound does not rigorously prove the existence of a new instanton, but makes it very likely in our opinion. In that case, we also have, from our results for the non-contractible loop, an approxim ation of the exact solution I?

The present paper is prim arily concemed w ith the existence of a new classical solution, and we refer the reader to ${ }_{[-1 /[i] ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ p h y s i c s ~ t h a t ~}^{m}$ otivates our search. The outline of the present article is as follow s. In sect. 2 we discuss the constraint on the scale and what solutions precisely we are after. In sect. 3 we outline a general strategy for the search of new solutions, which is to nd non-contractible loops in con guration space. O ne particular non-contractible loop is presented in sect. 4. First, we discuss, in subsect. 4.1, the basic ingredients of our construction; then, we give, in subsect. 42, the ansatz in full detail. The general behaviour of the action over this non-contractible loop is discussed in subsect. 4.3. The actual num erical results for the actionpro le are presented in subsect. 52. These results show that,
actionpro le holds, which is the $m$ ain result ofthis paper. A s a byproduct we obtain
 given in subsect. 5.1. Finally, we retum, in sect. 6 , to the possible existence of the I? solution in SU (2) Yang -M ills H iggs theory and com pare this $w$ th the situation in other theories. W e also discuss, very brie y, potential applications of I? to the physics of the electroweak interactions. There are two appendioes. In the rst of these, A ppendix A, we give an outline of the calculations behind the num erical results of sect. 5. In the second, A ppendix B, we describe a sim ilar calculation for a static, 3-dim ensional solution, the new sphaleron $S$ ? which is directly related to the new instanton $I^{?}$. The reader who is not interested in the details is advised to concentrate on sects. 2 and 3, possibly subsect. 4.1, and sect. 6.

## 2 C onstrained Instantons

W e consider a Yang -M illst iggs theory with SU (2) gauge elds $W$ and a single, com plex H iggs doublet. . The euclidean action of this theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Y M H}={ }_{R^{4}}^{Z} d^{4} X^{4} \frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} W^{2}+D j^{2}+j^{2} \frac{v^{2}}{2}{ }_{2}^{3} 5 ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where W @ W @ W + W ; W ],D @ + W and W W a ${ }^{\text {a }=(2 i), ~ w i t h ~}$ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the standard P aulim atrioes. H ere, and in the follow ing, G reek indioes run from 0 to 3 and Latin indioes from 1 to 3 . Them asses of the three $W$ vector bosons and the single $H$ iggs scalar $H$ are $M_{W}=g v=2$ and $M_{H}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{v}$. Finite action gauge eld con gurations can be classi ed by the P ontryagin index (or topologicalcharge), which takes on integer values and is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Q }{\frac{1}{8^{2}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{x} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad \text { : } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ple scaling argum ent show sthe absence of non-singular 4-dim ensional classicalsolutions for the action ( $\overline{1}$ ) : any con guration can low er its action by collapse to a point. For this reason one introduces a constraint on the scale and later integrates over the corresponding collective coordinate. A convenient way to im plem ent the constraint is to require $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4}]}\end{array}\right.$

$$
{ }^{z} d^{4} \times O_{d}=8^{2} c^{4 d} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathbb{W} ;)$ is a eld operator w th canonical m ass dim ension $\mathrm{d}>4$. W ith a Lagrange multiplier ~ one then looks for the stationary points of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{YMH}}+\sim \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{XO}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad 8^{2} \mathrm{C} \quad: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

C oncretely, we proceed as follow s. F irst, we have to chose the constraint operator $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}$. The actual choice is irrelevant in the end, as long as we integrate, in the path integral, over the collective coordinate (w ith the appropriate Jacobian). For purely technical reasons, to be explained later on, we have chosen to w ork w ith the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{8} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{~W}^{2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ aving $m$ ade this choice, we solve the eld equations that result from variations W and in the constrained action
for a given value of the dim ensionless coupling constant. Let us denote the elds of one particular solution by W ? and ? w th a corresponding constrained action A?. The scale of this solution is then determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
?={\frac{1}{8{ }^{2} C}}^{Z} d^{4} \times \mathrm{O}_{8}\left(\mathrm{~W}^{?}\right)^{1=4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its Y ang M ills H iggs action by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Y M H}^{?}=A_{Y M H}\left(\mathbb{N}^{?} ;{ }^{?}\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These values ? and $A_{Y M H}^{?}$ are functions of the coupling constant, as the elds W ? and ? are. Elim inating one obtains $A_{Y M H}^{?}$ as a function of ?
$T$ his procedure is entirely straightforw ard. The problem is to discover the solutions of the eld equations from the constrained action ( $\overline{6}$ ). A ny such 4 -dim ensional euclidean solution w illbe called a \constrained instanton". II The prototypicalconstrained instanton is the solution ofB elavin et al. and 't H ooft [ basic instanton by $I_{B P S T}$, or I for short. Its elds are given by (using di erential form s)

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{W} & =\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dU} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{U}_{0^{I}}^{1}}^{1} \\
& =\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{I}}{ }_{1}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{A}} \\
U_{I} & =\hat{x} ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{x} x, \hat{x} \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$ and $\left(1 ; \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The instanton has P ontryagin index $Q_{I}=1$. By re ection there is also an anti-instanton $I$, w ith equalaction but opposite topological charge $Q_{I}=1.0 n l y$ in the lim it ; ! 0 , is it possible to nd an analytical solution for the radial functions in the ansatz [

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{I}=\frac{x^{2}}{{\underset{q}{2}}^{x^{2}+2^{2}}} \\
& h_{I}=\frac{f_{I}}{} ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

w th a corresponding action

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Y M H}=1+\frac{1}{2}\left(M_{W}\right)^{2} \frac{8^{2}}{g^{2}}+O(): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In sect. 5.1 we will give som e num erical results for the action at nite values of and .

In this article we look for constrained instantons that are not related to the
 $M$ ills theory. In fact, our search is for solutions in the vacuum sector $(Q=0)$ of the constrained $Y$ ang $-M$ ills $H$ iggs theory $(\underset{-}{\bar{G}})$. N o such solutions are know $n$ at present.

## 3 Strategy

Explicit construction of instantons in the vacuum sector of the constrained theory is not feasible at present. Instead, we present a topological argum ent for the possible existence of at least one such solution. This topological argum ent involves the construction of a suitable non-contractible loop (NCL) of 4-dim ensional con gurations of the elds $W$ and , starting and ending at the classical vacuum. In addition, we $m$ ay hope to gain som e insight into the structure of this solution, prelim inary to an explicit construction of it.

The presence of non-contractible loops in con guration space im plies, as $T$ aubes [G] has shown in a som ewhat di erent context, the existence of new solutions of the classical eld equations. The intuitive idea is that by \shrinking" the NCL it gets \stuck" at a point in con guration space, which corresponds (or is close) to a stationary point of the action, i.e. a new solution of the eld equations. Speci cally, this is a m inim ax procedure, where we take the $m$ axim um action on the NCL and try to $m$ inim ize that action. It is essential for this topological argum ent that the euclidean actiondensity ( $(\bar{q})$, with positive coupling constants and, is a positive sem i-de nite functional of the elds, or, in other words, that it is bounded from below. In $m$ athem atics this general sub ject is called $M$ orse theory, which aim $s$ to relate the critical points of a functional to the topology of the function space on which the functional is de ned. The $m$ ethod of nding these stationary points by a m ini-m ax principle on non-contractible loops goes under the nam e of L justemikSnirelm an theory. R eferences to the $m$ athem atical literature can be found in [

For the case at hand there is, how ever, one obvious loophole in the argum ent. It could be, nam ely, that the m ini-m ax procedure leads to an approxim ate solution consisting of the BP ST H instanton solution Iand anti-instanton I at in nite separation. In that case there would be no genuine new solution. C learly, this possibility is ruled out if we are able to construct a particular NCL for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathrm{NCL}}(!)<2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! param etrizes the position along the loop. This upperbound on the actionpro $l e$ is a necessary condition for an existence proofof $I^{?}$ and the $m$ ain goalof this article is to establish it.

## 4 N on-contractible loop

In this section we present an ansatz for a non-contractible loop of con gurations. W e start w ith the basic ingredients that go into the construction, then give the details of the ansatz, and, nally, discuss the expected behaviour for the action over the loop. The actual pro le of the action over the NCL has to be determ ined num erically, these results w ill be presented in sect. 5 .

### 4.1 B asic ingredients

The rst step in the construction of the NCL is to give the structure of the elds at in nity ( xj ! 1 ). This will be based on a nontrivialm apping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{U}: S_{3} \quad S_{1}!\quad S U(2) \quad S_{3} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst $S_{3}$ refers to the hypersphere at in nity, $S_{1}$ to the loop of con gurations and $S U(2)$ to the gauge group. The mapping should belong to the non-trivial hom otopy class in ${ }_{4}\left(S_{3}\right)=Z_{2}$, so that, later on, we have a loop of con gurations that is indeed non-contractible. A speci c choige for the $S U(2) \mathrm{m}$ atrix $U$ is the follow ing

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{U}= & \exp \left[(!+=2) i_{3}\right](\hat{x} \quad) \exp \left[(!+=2) i_{3}\right](\hat{x} \quad y) \\
\hat{x} \quad & x=\dot{x} j \\
& (1 ; i \sim) ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

with a loopparam eter ! 2 [ $=2 ;+=2]$. The elds at in nity (pure gauge, of course) are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{W}]_{1} & =\mathrm{dU} \mathcal{U}_{0}^{1}  \tag{15}\\
]_{1} & =P^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{0}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}:
\end{align*}
$$

T he second step is to extend these elds inw ards. For this purpose we introduce two radial functions $\tilde{f}^{(x)}(x)$ and $\check{h}(x)$, which approach 1 at in nity and vanish at the orgin, in order to ensure continuity. A lso, we extend the range of the loop param eter ! to [ ; + ], and $m$ ake it into a realloop, starting and ending at the sam e point, i.e. the vacuum at ! = . In this way we arrive at the follow ing N CL of con gurations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ! } 2[\text {; }=2]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { [ }
\end{array} \text {; }\right] \text { : } W=0 \\
& =\left(\tilde{h} \sin ^{2}!+\cos ^{2}!\right) P_{\overline{2}}^{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{1}^{0} \int_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} \\
& \text { ! } 2[=2 ;+=2]: W=\tilde{f} d \widetilde{U_{0}^{1}}{ }_{0}^{1} \\
& =\pi \mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{U}^{@}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} \text {; } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the radial functions $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(x)$ and $\check{K}(x)$ have the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathrm{f}}(0)=\widetilde{\mathrm{n}}(0)=0: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The precise form of these radial functions $\tilde{f}(x)$ and $\check{\kappa}(x)$ is arbitrary in principle, but the $m$ inim ax idea is to optim ize them at the $m$ axim um point $(!=0)$ on the NCL.This is done by solving the variationalequations for $f(x)$ and $\check{K}(x)$, that result from inserting the ansatz (1]-1]) w ith ! = 0 in the constrained action ( (্َ-1) and $m$ aking variations $f$ and $\pi$.

The NCL ( $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{G}})$ is quite elegant, but not good enough for our punpose. The reason is that the actionpro leA (! ) hasam axim um value A (0) de nitely greater than 2A I. Henc, the inequality (12) does not hold for the sim plest possible N C L. This brings us to the third, and nal, step in the construction of a suitable NCL.W e start from the observation that there are really two \cores" in (1 $1 \overline{-1} 4)$, each of which resembles the (anti) instanton (I) I as given in $(\underset{-1}{(9)})$. The idea now is to separate these cores, in order to pro $t$ from the attraction of the long-range elds present in the theory, the Higgs eld in our case. This im proved NCL, param etrized by ! 2 [ $3=2$; $3=2$ ], has the follow ing structure


The explicit construction of the NCL is som ew hat involved and will given in the

### 4.2 A nsatz

T he con gurations of the NCL are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I }: W=0 \\
& =\left(h \cos ^{2}!+\sin ^{2}!\right) P^{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{0}{ }^{0} 0_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} \\
& \text { II; III : } W=f d U U_{0}^{1}{ }_{1} \\
& =h \mathrm{P}_{\frac{\mathrm{V}}{2}}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{U}{ }^{0}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{A}} \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the follow ing SU (2) m atrix

$$
U=\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
i & i
\end{array}\right](\hat{y} \quad) \exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
i_{3} \tag{19}
\end{array}\right]\left(\hat{y}_{+} \quad y\right)
$$

and notation

| $\hat{y}$ | $y=\dot{y} j$ | $(1 ; i m)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{m}$ | $g x_{m}$ | $y_{0}$ | $x_{0}$ | $x_{0} \quad D=2$ |
| $x^{2}$ | $x_{0}^{2}+r^{2}$ | $r^{2}$ | $x_{m}^{2}$ | $2+z^{2}$ |
| $z$ | $x_{3}$ | $t$ | $x_{0}:$ |  |

Here $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}(!)$ determ ines the core distance and $=(!)$ their relative isospin rotation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Ia : ! } 2[3=2 ; \quad \mathrm{D}=0 \quad=0 \\
& \text { Па : ! } 2[\quad ; \quad=2] \quad \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{nax}} \sin ^{2}!\quad=0 \\
& \text { III : ! } 2[=2 ;+=2] D=d_{n a x} \quad=!+=2  \tag{20}\\
& \text { IIb : ! } 2[+=2 ;+] \quad D=d_{n a x} \sin ^{2}!\quad= \\
& \mathrm{Ib}:!2[+; 3=2] \mathrm{D}=0 \quad=\text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $f, h$ and $g$ in ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{Q}}, \overline{1}, \overline{1} \overline{9})$ are taken to be axial functions $f(r ; t), h(r ; t)$ and $g(r ; t)$, w th the follow ing boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lim _{k \times j!1} f ; h ; g=1 \\
f(0 ; D=2)=h(0 ; D=2)=0 \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

and re ection sym $m$ etry

$$
f(r ; t)=f(r ; t)
$$

The Pontryagin index ( cause of this re ection sym $m$ etry of the ansatz. This com pletes the basic construction of the NCL.W e rem ark that the only di erence com pared to our previous paper $\left[\begin{array}{ll}11]\end{array}\right]$ is the presence of the functions $g$ in them atrix $U(\underset{-1}{19})$, but this change $w$ illtum out to be essential.

It rem ains to specify the four axial functions $f(r ; t), h(r ; t)$ and $g(r ; t)$ that enter the ansatz. Just as for the sim ple NCL of the previous subsection, the minim ax procedure would be to insert the ansatz ( $\overline{1} \bar{Q}$ ), for $!=0$ and $D=d_{m a x}$, into the action ( $(\underset{-}{\bar{\sigma}})$ and solve the variational equations for $f ; h$ and $g$. This tums out to be prohibatively di cult and, instead, we $m$ ake an explicit choioe for $g$. As will be explained in the next subsection, we want the cores to becom e independent for large values of their separation D. This can be achieved by the follow ing choice, for exam ple,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\left(x^{2} D^{2}=4\right)+(\quad I)^{4}}{\left(x^{2} D^{2}=4\right)+(x)^{4}+(D=2)^{4}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{x}^{2}$ de ned below (19-1) and the param eter values $=4: 0$ and $=1: 5$, obtained by trial and error. Here $I=I()$ is the scale for the BP ST H instanton $I$, see sect. 5.1 below. H aving xed the functions $g$, we can solve num erically the variational equations for f and h . Wewill do this for both ! = 0 and $=2$, and for anbitrary values of the distance D. These solutions w illbe called $f(!; D)$ and $h(!; D)$, where the dependence on the spatial coordinates $r$ and $t$ is im plicit. In order to keep the variational equations as sm all as possible, we have chosen the constraint operator (5-1), and not, for exam ple,

$$
\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrW} \quad \mathrm{~W}^{2} \text {; }
$$

which would give signi cantly larger expressions. Furthem ore, we set the constant c in the de nition of the scale ( $\bar{i}_{1}$ ) to the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { c } 288=21 ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order to m atch the scale of the BPSTH instanton, which $w$ ill be determ ined in sect. 5.1.
$W$ th the solutions $f(!; D)$ and $h(!; D)$ in hand, we can, at last, specify the functions $f$ and $h$ that enter the ansatz ( $1 \mathbf{1} \overline{8})$ for the $N C L$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I} ; \mathrm{II}: \mathrm{f} & =\mathrm{f}(=2 ; \mathrm{D}) \\
\mathrm{h} & =\mathrm{h}(=2 ; \mathrm{D})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { III : } \begin{align*}
\mathrm{f} & =\mathrm{f}\left(0 ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{max}}\right) \cos ^{2}!+\mathrm{f}\left(=2 ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{max}}\right) \sin ^{2}! \\
\mathrm{h} & =\mathrm{h}\left(0 ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{max}}\right) \cos ^{2}!+\mathrm{h}\left(=2 ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{max}}\right) \sin ^{2}!; \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the distance $D=D(!)$ given by $\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$. A ctually, we only need the ! $==2$ solutions $f$ and $h$ down to some sm all value $D=d_{m}$ in . $W$ e can then close the loop w th a simple deform ation of these functions and keep them non-singular, as explained in our previous paper $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ and in A ppendix B at the end of this one. By choosing $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in m allenough, the action can be kept arbitrarily sm all.

 for $!=0$ or $=2$ and $D \quad d_{n a x}$.

### 4.3 A ctionpro le

O urm ain interest lies in the actionpro le over the NCL. H ere, we will give a general discussion of the possible behaviour, in order to prepare the way for the num erical results to be presented in sect. 5 .

The pro le of the constrained action over the NCL is essentially determ ined by the behaviour of the constrained action $A(!; D)$ for the solutions $f$ and $h$ at ! $=0$ or $=2$. In fact, $f$ and $h$ solve the variationalequations, so that the action attains its low est value, w ithin the ansatz, precisely for these functions. On physical grounds, we expect the follow ing behaviour for A. For D! 1 the constrained action should approach tw ice that of the BPSTH instanton $I$, whereas for D! 0 the e ects of the $Y$ ang $M$ ills interactions should becom e im portant, which can be either repulsive $(!=0)$ or attractive $(!=2)$. The Yang $M$ ills interactions for large values of D get suppressed exponentially, w ith a length scale set by $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$. In this paper, we consider the case of vanishing $H$ iggs $m$ ass $M_{H}=0$ or $=0$. This means that at very large distances $D$, where the $Y$ ang $M$ ills interactions drop out, only the e ect from the Higgs eld rem ains. M oreover, the Higgs interaction at large distances is attractive and basically independent of the relative isospin rotation of the cores. So, the sim plest behaviour we expect is the one sketched in g. 1a. At this mom ent, we can also explain the need for the additional functions $g$ in the ansatz ( $1 \underset{-1}{ } \overline{1})$. For $g=1$, nam ely, there would be \tidal e ects" from one core on the other, which decrease rather slow ly $w$ ith the distance $D$. If, instead, $g_{+}$vanishes approxim ately near the $t=+D=2$, and $g$ near $t=D=2$, the cores becom e independent faster

A sm entioned before, the actionpro le over the NCL is essentially determ ined by the behaviour of $\mathrm{A}(0 ; \mathrm{D})$ and $\mathrm{A}(=2 ; \mathrm{D})$. This follow s from, in particular, $(\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{q}})$ and (25디) above. C oncretely, the actionpro le, which is an even function of ! , is obtained as follow. F e start in g . la on the $!==2$ curve at $\mathrm{D}=0$ and m ove out to $D=d_{m a x}$, then we go straight up to the $!=0$ curve and back again, and nally we retum in the same way to $D=0$. We have veri ed that the action over part
 From the curves of g. 1a, then, we would conclude that the maxim um action over the NCL can rem ain below 2A , provided we have a large enough value of $d_{m a x}$. In fact, the optim al choige (ie. low est possible maxim um action) would be to $m$ ove out to a distance $d^{?}$ w ith a corresponding $m$ axim um action $A^{?}$, both of which are indicated in g. la. H ow ever, another possible behaviour of A ( $0 ; \mathrm{D}$ ) and A( $=2 ; \mathrm{D}$ ) is sketched in $g .1 b$. In that case, the maxim um action would always stay above 2A i, regardless of the value of $d_{m a x}$. A priori, there is no way to decide betw een the two types of behaviour shown in $g .1$ and we need an explicit calculation to settle the $m$ atter.

## 5 N um erical results

W e present here som e num erical results for the NCL constructed in the previous section. These results are for the case of vanishing quartic Higgs coupling constant
$=0$. We also give some results for the BPSTH instanton I. D istances will be expressed in units of $M_{W}{ }^{1}$ and the action in units of $8^{2}=9^{2}$, which is the action of the BPST instanton in pure YangM ills theory. A brief outline of the num erical $m$ ethods is given in A ppendix A.

### 5.1 BPSTH instanton

W e have solved num erically the variational equations for the radial functions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{x})$ and $h_{I}(x)$, that result from inserting the BPSTH ansatz $(\underset{-1}{(9)})$ into the constrained action ( ${\underset{-}{l}}_{\overline{-})}$ ) and $m$ aking variations $f_{I}$ and $h_{I}$. As explained in sect. 2, these solutions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{I}}$ depend on the coupling constant of the constrained action A .

 ansatz $(\underset{-1}{-9}) w$ th the fiunctions $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{I}}$. This particular choioe for the num erical value of the constant $c$ in ( $\bar{i}_{1}$ ) reproduces the scale that enters the analytical solution ( (1믕) of pure Y ang $M$ ills theory. O ur num erical results are collected in Table 1 , where, for future reference, we also give results for $=g^{2}=1=8\left(M_{H}=M_{W}\right)$ and $=g^{2}=100=8\left(M_{H}=10 M_{W}\right)$. The $=0$ results for $A_{Y M H}$ vs. are compared, in g .2 , w th the expression ( $(\underline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$, which is valid in the lim it ! 0 . Forvalues $>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$ the radial functions of the num erical solution di er signi cantly from the analytical functions (110-1), resulting in a low er value of the action. A nother consequence is that, for nite values of the scale, the gauge elds are no longer self-dual.

The num erical results for the instanton I are relatively easy to obtain, as the variational equations for $f_{I}$ and $h_{I}$ are ordinary di erential equations (ODES). These results will serve as a check on those of the NCL, to which we tum now .

### 5.2 N on-contractible loop

$W$ e have solved num erically the variational equations for the axial functions $f(r ; t)$ and $h(r ; t)$, that result from inserting the ansatz of the NCL ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{-})$, for $!=0$ or $=2$, into the constrained action ( $\underset{-1}{\overline{-}})$ and $m$ aking variations $f$ and $h$. These variational equations consist of two coupled, non-linear partial di erential equations (PD E s),
solutions $f$ and $h$, we also determ ine the $Y$ ang $M$ ills $H$ iggs action $A_{Y \text { M }}$ and the scale
 the constant $c$ and the NCL con gurations for W ? and ?. A s discussed in subsect. 4.3, we are prim arily interested in the behaviour of the constrained action of the solution as a function of the core distance D.ForD! 1 we reproduce the results of the previous subsection for 2A I (Table 1). In g. 3 we give the num erical results for the constrained action, norm alized to its asym ptotic value, for a relatively large value of the constraint coupling constant, nam ely $=1$. Figure 4 show sthe sam e results on an expanded scale. These num erical results seem to agree, for $=1$, $w$ th the sim ple behaviour sketched in $g$. 1a. In contrast, the results for $=10{ }^{3}$, show $n$ in $g .5$, display the altemative behaviour of $g .1 \mathrm{~b}$. This di erent behaviour for sm all and large values of the scale was also seen in our previous results [ī1], but we cannot directly com pare the scale there with the scale here.

W e are reasonably con dent that the results of gs. $3\{5$ are reliable, for the follow ing reasons. First, the results are stable when the calculation is repeated on di erent grids (ranging from 2550 to 100200 points) and with di erent com pacti ed coordinates for r and $t$, see A ppendix A. The error of the data points in $g .4$ is estim ated to be less than $0: 1 \%$, which is about a factor of 10 better than the num erical accuracy of our previous results $\underline{1}_{11}^{1}$. . Second, the variationalequations for $f$ and $h$ are solved by relaxation, which im plies that the exact solution can have a som ew hat lower action. In other words, the \dip" of the $!=0$ curve in 9.4 can only get deeper. Third, and as is often the case w ith large num erical calculations, we beleive our results because they behave in the way we expect them to do. In particular, the dip of $g .4$ becom es more shallow with $=g^{2}$ increasing. A lso, we have an heuristic understanding of the origin, for sm all values of, of the \bum p" on the $!==2$ curve in g. 5. Fourth, a sim ilar calculation for static elds gives com parable results, see A ppendix B .

To sum m arize, we have constructed a N C L , forw hich the im portant upperbound (1]ī) holds, provided the scale is large enough. Speci cally, we obtained for $=9^{2}=$ 0 and $=1$ (se gs. 3-4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{maxA}_{\mathrm{NCL}}(!)=1: 994 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}}<2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{I}}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The optim alm axim um ( $!=0$ ) con guration of the NCL gives, m oreover, an approxim ation of the con jectured constrained instanton $I$ ? . This con guration leads to the follow ing estim ates for I?

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
d^{?} & 10 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{1} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{YMH}}^{?} & 4: 28^{2}=9^{2}: \tag{27}
\end{array}
$$

In $g .6$ we show the corresponding actiondensity $a_{Y M H}(r ; t)$ and $P$ ontryagin-density $q(r ; t)$, averaged over the polar angle, see A ppendix A. This show s that our conguration is still a very loose molecule and we expect the exact solution I? to be tighter and $m$ ore cigar-like perhaps. But it is also clear, from g. 4 especially, that the $Y$ ang $M$ ills cores are very hard and that $d^{?}$, which is in essence the distance betw een the points of vanishing H iggs eld, cannot be m uch sm aller than the w idth $\left(2^{?}\right.$ ) of the con guration.

## 6 D iscussion

W e have constructed in this paper a non-contractible loop (NCL) w ith a m axim um constrained action less than tw ige that of the BP ST H instanton, provided the length scale is xed at a large enough value. This was established for the case of vanishing $H$ iggs $m$ ass $M_{H}=0 . W$ e expect it to be possible to extend the result to all values $M_{H}<M_{W}$. N ote that in the full electrow eak theory there is also the photon eld, which can provide the necessary attraction if the $H$ iggs eld becom es too short of range. A s it stands, this upperbound on the actionpro le over the NCL is only a necessary condition in an eventualexistence proof. Still, we are optim istic about the existence of I? in SU (2) Yang-M ills H iggs theory. There exist, of course, analogous
 encouraging results on instantons in pure Yang -M ills theory, which we w ill now discuss.

There has been a long-standing con jecture $[\underset{9}{\overline{9}}]$, based on the analogy w ith har$m$ onic $m$ aps from $S_{2}$ to $S_{2}$, that all solutions in euclidean $S U$ (2) Yang $-M$ ills theory over $S_{4}$ are necessarily self-dual or anti\{self-dual ( $\mathrm{W}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~W}$ ). In the vacuum sector, in particular, there would be no other solutions besides the classical vacuum itself. This conjecture has been proven false recently. In fact, Sibner et al [1] 10$]$ showed the existence of in nitely $m$ any non \{self-dual solutions in the vacuum sector, w ith an action

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Y M}=m 16^{2}=g^{2}+A_{Y M} ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for integers $m \quad 2$ and $A_{\text {y }}<0$, which, most likely, depends on $m$ also. Later, several solutions were constructed explicitely by Sadun and Segert [ininil]. The existence proofofsibner et al. [ī10] goes by a m ini-m ax procedure over non-contractible loops, where an im portant ingredient is the inequality contained in ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$, which is analogous to ours (1]2̄). The other $m$ ain ingredient is an equivariant weak compactness theorem, where equivariant refers to an $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry of their ansatz. The integer $m$, which appears in ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{-})$, labels the em bedding in $S U(2)$ of this $U(1)$ sym $m$ etry (rotation angle $2[0 ; 2]$ ), nam ely by the $m$ atrix $\exp [m \quad 3=(2 i)]$ for the gauge eld transform ations.

Equivariance is know n to be a powerfiultool in M orse theory. For this reason, it $m$ ay be of im portance to note that our ansatz (1] $\overline{1}-1,1 \overline{1} \overline{1})$ also has an $U(1)$ sym $m$ etry, and precisely for the case $m=1$ excluded in the existence proof $[\underline{1} 0]$ I $]$ and the explicit construction $[\underline{[1]} \overline{1} 1]$ of the pure $Y$ ang $-M$ ills solutions. $T$ he di erence is that our theory
for the crucial upperbound（ $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ on the action．The constrained action $(\underset{1}{\overline{6}})$ of this theory now has three term s extra，com pared to the case of pure Yang $M$ ills theory． T he constraint term，in particular，is designed to prevent collapse and，naively，we see no way how a regular solution I？，related to the presence of non－contractible loops in con gurations space，could fail to exist．

Just as the sphaleron S $[\underline{i}, 1, \bar{i}]$ is associated w ith the BP ST H instanton I（loosely speaking $S$ is a constant time slice of $I$ ），we expect a new sphaleron $S$ ？［1］$\overline{1}]$ to be associated with I？．In Appendix B we give som e num erical results for a non－ contractible sphere of 3－dim ensional con gurations，which support the existence of this new sphaleron $S$ ？A fter these results w ere obtained，we succeeded in construct－ ing the solution $S$ ？，on which we w ill report elsew here．W e expect the construction of I？to proceed in the sam e way，only w ith greater technical com plications．H ence－ forth，we take for granted the existence of the new constrained instanton I？in SU（2） Yang $M$ ills $H$ iggs theory．

A $n$ interesting question is to see what happens when $m$ assless ferm ions are intro－ duced into the theory，or possibly ferm ions w ith Y ukaw a couplings to the Higgs．W e then expect $I^{?}$（and $S^{?}$ ）to have ferm ion zero－m odes．The reason is that，as explained in our previous paper $[\underline{i} 1 \mathrm{i}]$ ，there is spectral ow of the D irac eigenvalues along the NCL（ $1 \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ）．．This spectral ow was indirectly $m$ onitored in the num erical calculations of sect． 5 and our results support the clam that I？has ferm ion zero－m odes．C onse－ quently，there should be a new（ $B+L$ conserving）e ective ferm ion vertex from I？， w ith double the number of lines com pared to the one from the BPSTH instanton ［⿴囗玉I．W e will now tum to di erent instanton solutions，which $m$ ay be related to $I$ ？in one way or another．
 have counterparts in SU（2）Y ang -M ills $H$ iggs theory，i．e．there $w$ illbe corresponding constrained instantons．In general，these solutions will have a rather large action， since for them we have already that $A_{Y M}>322^{2}=g^{2}$ ，whereas $A_{Y M} \quad 166^{2}=9^{2}$ for $I^{?}$ ．W e expect I？to be the low est action non－trivial solution in the vacuum sector of the theory．M ore interesting，perhaps，is the inverse question，wether or not the constrained instanton $I^{?}$ of $S U$（2）Yang $-M$ ills $H$ iggs theory has a corresponding solution in pure Yang M ills theory．W e con jecture there to be no such counterpart in pure $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theory，because we see no obvious souroe of attraction．The fact that we found the upperbound on the action（ $\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ to be violated for sm all values of the constraint coupling constant（see g．5）is suggestive，but is not really conclusive，

D i erent Yang -M ills H iggs theories can also be considered. If W ? and ? are the elds of the $I^{?}$ solution in SU (2) Yang $-M$ ills $H$ iggs theory, then it is possible to em bed them into a larger theory, provided that $S U(2)$ is a subgroup of the gauge group $G$ and that the SU (2) H iggs doublet (together with its vacuum expectation value) can be em bedded in the larger $H$ iggs representation. A $n$ exam ple is $S U$ (3) Yang $M$ ills $H$ iggs theory $w$ ith a com plex triplet of $H$ iggs. The em bedding is then given by
where the crosses indicate, sym bolically, the SU (2) solution. $N$ ote that these em beddings can lead to unexpected solutions of the eld equations, since the larger theory $m$ ay not even have non-contractible loops ( ${ }_{4}(G)=1$, as is the case for $G=S U(3)$, for exam ple). The NCL was a tool to nd the SU (2) solution, but once we have found the solution, we can forget about the NCL and sim ply verify the fact that the ansatz solves the eld equations, which is then carried over to the larger theory.
$F$ inally, we com $m$ ent on possible applications of the con jectured new instanton solution I? . O fcourse, SU (2) Y ang-M illsH iggs theory is at the heart of the G lashow W einberg-Salam model for the electrow eak interactions. Evaluating euclidean path integrals for electrow eak processes, it $m$ ay be im portant to know that in addition to the classical vacuum there is a new stationary point, i.e. the constrained instanton $I^{?}$. It is well-known $[1 \overline{1} \overline{3}, \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1}]$ that such stationary points (and vacuum instability, in general) can play a role in the asym ptotics of perturbation theory. Furtherm ore, this new stationary point could contribute directly to the euclidean path integrals of certain forw ard elastic scattering am plitudes, which control the total cross-sections for the corresponding processes. In our previous paper [ī] we have shown that this contribution, evaluated classically ? suddenly becom es im portant as the parton center-ofm ass energy ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}$ increases. In fact, this threshold energy is determ ined by the structure of the I? solution, and from our approxim ation of that solution we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{S}_{)_{\text {threshold }}} \frac{A_{\dot{Y} M H}^{?}}{d^{?}}=\frac{A_{Y M H}^{?}}{8^{2}=g^{2}} \quad \frac{2}{d^{?} M_{W}} \quad E_{S} ;\right. \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 For a sem iclassical calculation we also need to know the negative m odes around the classical solution. In pure $S U(2) Y$ ang $M$ ills theory it has been shown [15] that there $m$ ust be at least tw o negative $m$ odes, instead of one; w ether or not the sam e holds in $Y$ ang $-M$ ills $H$ iggs theory is not know $n$ at the $m$ om ent. N ote that even a new, \non-perturbative" contribution to the real part
where we have used the de nition

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}}{{ }_{\mathrm{w}}} \text {; }
$$

which is close to the true value $3: 04 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}={ }_{\mathrm{w}}$ for the sphaleron energy at $=0 . \mathrm{W}$ ith the num erical estim ates given in (2̄-1) we nd, not unexpectedly perhaps, that the threshold in the parton center-ofm ass energy is of the order of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad 10 \mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{We}$ intend to discuss the applications of $I^{?}$ in a separate publication.

## A cknow ledgem ents

It is a pleasure to thank the experim ental colleagues at N $\mathbb{K} H E F H$ for access to the A pollo w orkstations, the sta of the C om puter $G$ roup for technical assistance, $J$. Sm it for a valuable suggestion conceming the num erics and M.B onapart for help with the gures.
$T$ his research hasbeen $m$ ade possible in part by a fellow ship ofthe $R$ oyalN etherlands A cadem y of A rts and Scienœes (K N AW ).

## A ppendix A : N um ericalm ethods

W e decribe in this appendix the algebraic and num erical calculations for the results reported in sect. 5. T hese calculations are straightforw ard, but cum bersom e. H ence, we w ill give the $m$ ain points only and leave $m$ any technical details out. In the rst part of this appendix, we review the algebraic calculation of the actiondensity for our ansatz. In the second part, we discuss the num erical solution of the variational equations from this actiondensity.

## A 1 : A lgebraic calculation

Themain algebraic calculation consists of two steps. The rst step is to insert the ansatz for the NCL into the constrained action. W e use axial coordinates

and $m$ ake all distances dim ensionless $w$ ith $M_{W}{ }^{1}$. The total constrained action ( $\overline{(\bar{q})}$ ) for the ansatz ( $(1-10)$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1^{g^{2}}}{z_{1}} d t \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} d r r^{2} \int_{0}^{z} d \sin \int_{0}^{Z_{2}} d a ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a rotationally invariant actiondensity

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a(z ; \quad \text { t }): \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a m atter of fact, it is already clear from the ansatz ( $1 \overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1} \overline{1})$ that a rotation of $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ can be com pensated by a global gauge transform ation.

The second step is to perform two of the integrals in the action $\left(\bar{A} \bar{A}_{-}^{-} \overline{2}\right)$. The one over the azim uthal angle is trivial, of course. T he integral over the polar angle can also be perform ed, since the functions $f$; $h$ and $g$ depend, by construction, on $r$ and $t$ only. In addition, we have the re ection sym metry $t$ ! $t$, so that the nal expression of the constrained action for our ansatz takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{8^{z^{z}}}{g^{2}} 0_{0}^{1} d t \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d r- \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The averaged actiondensity $a=a(r ; t)$ has the follow ing structure

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{Y M}=Z_{Y M 20}\left(@_{\mathrm{f}} f\right)^{2}+Z_{Y M 02}\left(@_{r} f\right)^{2}+Z_{Y M 11}\left(@_{\mathrm{f}} f @_{r} f\right)+Z_{Y M 00}(f(1 \quad f))^{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{H}}=2\left(\mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~h}\right)^{2}+2\left(@_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~h}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{H} 00}(\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{f}))^{2}+4 \bar{g}^{2} \mathrm{~h}^{2} 1^{2} \text {; } \\
& a_{C}=Z_{C 20}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C} 02}\left(@_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{C} 11}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f} @_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{f}\right) \quad(\mathrm{f}(1 \quad \mathrm{f}))^{2} ; \tag{A.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varrho_{r}$ and $\varrho_{t}$ denote partial derivatives $w$ ith respect to $r$ and $t$. The eight co$e$ cients $Z$ are complicated rational functions of the variables $r$ and $t$, together $w$ th the functions $g(r ; t)$ and their various partial derivatives. These coe cients
 $m$ anifestly positive de nite form. W e have used the sym bolic m anipulation program


## A 2 : N um erical calcu lation

W e now have to solve num erically the variational equations from the action $(\bar{A}-\bar{A} \bar{A})$. W e proceed in three steps. The nst step is to com pactify the coordinates $r$ and $t$ to the variables $x$ and $y$, respectively. Since we are interested in the long-range behaviour of the $H$ iggs eld, we choose for $y(t)$ a rather slow dependence on $t$, speci cally

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
y= & y_{c}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & y_{c}
\end{array}\right) \frac{t t_{c}}{1+j \quad t_{c} j} \\
y_{c} & t_{c}=\left(1+2 t_{c}\right) \\
t & t=t_{\text {scale }}
\end{array}
$$

and sim ilarly for $x(r)$. Furtherm ore, we let $t_{c}$ correspond to the core position $t_{c}=$ $D=2$ and set, typically, $r_{C}=\quad$ I and $r_{\text {scale }}=t_{\text {scale }}=2 \quad$ I, where $\quad I=\quad I()$ is the scale of the instanton $I_{\text {r }}$ see sect. 5.1. It is straightforw ard to $m$ ake these changes of variables in the action $(\bar{A}(\bar{A}-\overline{-} \overline{-})$, and we w rite the result as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A={\frac{8}{g^{2}}}_{0}^{z^{2}} d y{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x a ̀ \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the Jacobians absorbed into $a=a(x ; y) . W$ e look for the two functions $f(x ; y)$ and $h(x ; y)$ that $m$ inim ize this action, w ith $m$ ixed $D$ irichlet and $N$ eum ann boundary conditions as indicated in 9.7 .

The second step is to discretize the integral $\left(\bar{A}_{-1}^{-} \bar{i}_{1}\right)$. W e use a rectangular grid for $x$ and $y$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=i x & i=0 ;::: ; I \\
y=j y & j=0 ;::: ; J \tag{A..8}
\end{array}
$$

and w rite for the functions at the lattice points

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{f(i x ; j y)!} f_{i ; j}: \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, we use central di erences for the partial derivatives of $f$ and $h$, for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{y} f(i x ; j y)!\frac{f_{i ; j+1} f_{i ; j 1}}{2 y}: \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting discretized action is, how ever, num erically unstable : m in im ization leads to functions $f_{i ; j}$ and $h_{i ; j}$ that take on altemating values of approxim ately 0 and 1. W e have chosen to em ploy the follow ing two counterm easures. First, we \double" the boundary conditions at in nity, nam ely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} ; J}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{J}} \mathrm{I}=1 \\
& \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{I} ; j}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{I}{ }_{i j}}=1 \quad ; \tag{A.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $f$. Second, we \sm ear" the constraint term $a_{C}(x ; y)$ in the action-


$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{Z}_{\mathrm{C} 20} \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j+1} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j 1}!_{2}}{2 \mathrm{y}} \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j+1}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j 1}{ }^{!_{2}}}{2} 1 \frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j+1}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; j 1}}{!_{2}}{ }^{2} \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for the other term s . In this way we end up with a discretized actiondensity $a_{i, j}$ at the gridpoint $(i ; j)$. For the total constrained action we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{8}{2}_{g^{2}}^{x^{I}=0} x_{j=0}^{J} \quad x y w i ; j a_{i ; j} ; \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{i} ; j}$ the $w$ eightfactors of, for exam ple , the extended trapezoidal rule.
The third, and nal, step is to solve num erically the variational equations for $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}}$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j}}$ com ing from the discretized action ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{-1})$. These equations are highly non-linear, especially for the case $=1$ we are interested in, and we need a m ethod that can handle this. W e have succesfully em ployed the $m$ ethod of non-linear overrelaxation (NLOR) [ī工 program starts $w$ th some trial functions for $f_{i ; j}$ and $h_{i ; j}$, which are then relaxed, rst w ith N LO R , but in the end also with som e sw eeps of underrelaxation, if necessary. In this way we obtain $s m$ ooth con gurations $f$ and $h$, $w$ th a de nite value for

## A ppendix B : N on-contractible sphere

W e consider in this appendix static, 3-dim ensional con gurations of the gauge eld W and the $H$ iggs eld . The energy functional for these elds is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{Y M H}={ }_{R^{3}}^{z} d^{3} x^{4} \frac{1}{g^{2}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrW}{\underset{m n}{2}+D_{m} j^{2}+j j^{2}{\frac{v^{2}}{2}}^{!}{ }^{3} ; ~ ; ~}_{5}^{2} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the sam e notation as in (1기). H enceforth, we set the quartic H iggs coupling constant $=0$ and, for brevity, refer to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{YM}}$ as E .

It is well-know $n$, by now, that a non-contractible loop ( $\mathbb{N C L}$ ) of static con gurations leads to the existence of a static, but unstable, classical solution, the sphaleron S [ַT, con gurations [1]ī]. A m ini-m ax procedure over this NCS suggests the possible existence of a new sphaleron $S^{?}$, provided we exclude the case of two sphalerons $S$ at in nite separation. This loophole is closed if we are able to construct a NCS, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{NCS}}(;)<2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}} \text {; } \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and param etrize the position on the sphere. Evidently, this discussion parallels the one for the new instanton $I$ ? in the $m$ ain part of this paper. M oreover, the ansatz of sect. 4 can easily be adapted to the present case. W e w ill sim ply state the resulting ansatz for the NCS and give our num erical results, which establish the im portant inequality $(\bar{B}-\overline{-})$. These explicit results support the som ew hat heuristic argum ents given in our previous paper [ī-2 ].

TheNCS is param etrized by the square ; 2 [ ;+ ],w ith theboundary $j j=$ or $j \mathrm{j}=$ corresponding to the classical vacuum . W riting [ ] max (j j; j $)$, the con gurations of the NCS are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2<[\quad \text { : } \mathrm{W}=0 \\
& \left.=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (1 & h
\end{array}\right) \sin [\quad]\right) P_{\overline{2}}^{V}{ }^{\mathrm{V}}{ }_{1}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith the follow ing $S U(2) \mathrm{m}$ atrix $U$ for ; 2 [ $=2 ;+=2]$

$$
\begin{align*}
U= & \exp \left[(+=2) i_{3}\right] \exp [(+=2) \hat{y} \quad \mathrm{i} \sim] \exp \left[(+=2) \dot{i}_{3}\right] \\
& \exp \left[(+=2) \hat{y}_{+} \quad \mathrm{i} \sim\right] \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and notation

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{m} & \left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3} \quad D=2\right) \quad(\sin ; \cos ; z \quad D=2) \\
y_{m} & (g \sin ; g \text { cos } ; z \quad D=2): \tag{B.5}
\end{array}
$$

The core distance $D=D()$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
=2<j j & : & D=0 \\
0 & j j & =2 & D=d_{\text {nax }} \cos \quad ; \tag{B}
\end{array}
$$

w ith > 0 a free param eter. The axial functions $\mathrm{f}(; z), \mathrm{h}(; \mathrm{z})$ and $\mathrm{g}(; z)$ have the follow ing boundary conditions and re ection sym m etry

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\mathrm{k} j!~}^{\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{g}} & =1 \\
\mathrm{f}(0 ; \mathrm{D}=2) & =\mathrm{h}(0 ; \mathrm{D}=2)=0 \\
\mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{f}(; \mathrm{z}) \\
\mathrm{h}(; \mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{h}(; \mathrm{z}) \\
\mathrm{g}(; \mathrm{z}) & =\mathrm{g}(; \mathrm{z}): \tag{B.7}
\end{align*}
$$

For $g(; z)$ we take again the functions $[\overline{2} \overline{3})$, w ith the sam e coe cients and,
 and $\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{W}$ ewill give tw o altemative constructions, both of which lead to the desired inequality (

The rst construction for the functions $f$ and $h$ in the ansatz ( $\left.\bar{B}-\mathbf{B}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ parallels the procedure followed for the new instanton in sect. 4, w ith the loop param eter ! there corresponding to the sphere param eter here. T he procedure is to solve the variational equations for f and h at $=0$ and $=0$ or $=2$, for arbitrary values of the core distance $\mathrm{D} . \mathrm{We}$ denote these solutions by $\mathrm{f}(0 ; 0 ; \mathrm{D})$ and $\mathrm{f}(0 ;=2 ; \mathrm{D})$, and sim ilarly for $h$, where the dependence on the spatial coordinates and $z$ is im plicit. In g. 8 we show the corresponding energy values. For $=0$ there is a clearm inim um ${ }_{1-1}^{13}$ at a core distance $d^{?} \quad 7 M_{w}{ }^{1}$. $W$ ith these solutions $f$ and $h$ we

3 The dip for the instanton case ( g. 4) is m ore shallow, one reason being the fact that the
can specify the functions f and h in the ansatz ( $\left(\overline{\mathrm{B}}{ }_{-}^{-} \overline{\mathbf{N}_{1}}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \quad j j=2: & f=\cos ^{2} f(0 ; 0 ; D)+\sin ^{2} f(0 ;=2 ; D) \\
& h=\cos ^{2} h(0 ; 0 ; D)+\sin ^{2} h(0 ;=2 ; D) \\
& D=d_{m a x} \cos \\
=2<j j \quad & h=h(0 ;=2 ; 0): \tag{B.8}
\end{align*}
$$

C hoosing $d_{m a x}=d^{?}$ and the param eter su ciently sm all, we have veri ed that the energy stays everyw here below $2 \mathrm{E}_{S}$. In particular, the energy over slices of the N C S at constant values of have theirm axim um at $=0$ and drop to zero $m$ onotonically for ! . The energy surface, how ever, is very steep for $\quad=2$, and we prefer to show it for a som ew hat di erent construction.

This second, altemative construction for the functions $f$ and $h$ in the ansatz $\left(\bar{B}_{-}^{-} \bar{S}^{3}\right)$ is as follow s. The procedure is to solve the variational equations for $f$ and $h$ at $=0$ for the whole range of values $2[\quad=2 ;+=2]$, varying the core distance sim ultaneously $D=d_{m a x} \cos$. A ctually, we do not need the com plete range for, only the interval [ m in $;+{ }_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $]$, so that D runs from $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax to som e smaller value $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }} \mathrm{Cos} \mathrm{m}\right.$ in $)$. We denote these solutions by $\mathrm{f}(0$; ; $\mathrm{D} ;$; z ) and
 explicit. For 2 [ $=2$; min ][ $[+\mathrm{m}$ in $;+=2]$ we use a sim ple deform ation of the functions $f$ and $h$ at $=m$ in, in order to $m$ ove the zeros of $f$ and $h$ appropriately. W e denote the three segem ents of the NCS by

$$
\begin{array}{ccccr}
\text { III }: & 0 & j j & m \text { in } \\
\text { II } & : & m \text { in }< & j j & =2 \\
I & : & =2 & j j &
\end{array}
$$

and take for the functions $f$ and $h$ in the ansatz (

$$
\text { II : } f=\frac{x_{+}^{2} f\left(0 ; m \text { in } ; d_{m} \text { in } ; ; z+d_{n \text { in }}=2 \quad D=2\right)+x^{2} f\left(0 ; m \text { in } ; d_{m \text { in }} ; ; z \quad d_{n \text { in }}=2+D=2\right)}{x_{+}^{2}+x^{2}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{h}=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{+} \mathrm{h}\left(0 ; \mathrm{m} \text { in } ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in } ; ; \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{nn}} \text { in }=2 \quad \mathrm{D}=2\right)+\mathrm{x} \mathrm{~h}\left(0 ; \mathrm{m} \text { in } ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in } ; ; \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{n} \text { in }}=2+\mathrm{D}=2\right)}{\mathrm{x}_{+}+\mathrm{x}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III : f = f ( } 0 \text {; ; } \mathrm{D} \text {; ; } \mathrm{z} \text { ) } \\
& \text { h = h (0; ; } \quad \text {; ; } \quad \text { ) } \\
& \text { D }=d_{m a x} C O S
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D } \quad=d_{m \text { ax }} \cos \\
& \\
& d_{m \text { in }}=D(m \text { in })  \tag{B.9}\\
& I \quad: \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

This construction leads to a sm ooth energy surface over the NCS, shown in $g$. 9 for one particular set of param eters. The energy surface over the NCS is, by construction, invariant under ( ; ) ! ( ; ). A gain, the m axim um energy over the NCS is reached at $=\quad=0$ and its value ( $1: 94 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}}$, for the param eters chosen in $g .9$ ) obeys the inequality ( $\left.\bar{B}_{-}^{-} \mathbf{N}_{1}\right)$.

To sum $m$ arize, we have constructed in SU (2) Yang -M ills H iggs theory noncontractible spheres of static con gurations, w th energies everyw here below 2 Es. $T$ his suggests the existence of a new sphaleron $S$ ? Furtherm ore, we have, for the case of vanishing $H$ iggs $m$ ass, an approxim ation of that solution from the optim al $m$ axim um con guration on the NCS, with a core distance and energy given by (see g. 8)

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{d}^{?} & 7 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{1} \\
\mathrm{E}^{?} & 1: 92 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}} ; \tag{B.10}
\end{array}
$$

where $E_{s}$ takes the num erical value $3: 04 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}={ }_{\mathrm{w}}$. In g . 10 we show the energy density of this con guration. F inally, we note that the 3-dim ensional con guration $(\bar{B}-\overline{-3})$ at $=0$ is essentially equivalent to the slice $x_{3}=0$ of the instanton conguration $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{9})$ at $!=0$. It is in this sense that the new sphaleron $S^{?}$ corresponds to a constant tim e slice of the new instanton $I^{?}$.
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|  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{\#}^{\text {W }}$ W $=0$ |  |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=1$ |  |  | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=10$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {YM H }}$ |  | A | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{H}}$ |  | A | $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{YM} \mathrm{H}}$ |
| $=10{ }^{4}$ | 0:39 | 1:10 | 1:07 | 0:39 | 1:10 | 1:07 | 0:37 | 1:11 | 1:08 |
| $=10^{3}$ | 0:58 | 1:19 | 1:13 | 0:57 | 120 | 1:14 | 0:54 | 1.24 | 1:16 |
| $=10^{2}$ | 0:87 | 1:39 | 1:27 | 0:85 | 1:41 | 1:28 | 0:80 | 1:51 | 1:34 |
| $=10^{1}$ | 1:30 | 1:79 | 1:55 | 1:26 | 1:86 | 1:58 | 1:19 | 2:07 | 1:73 |
| $=10^{+0}$ | 1:93 | 2:61 | 2:11 | 1:85 | 2:80 | $2 \cdot 21$ | 1:75 | $3: 26$ | 2:52 |
| $=10^{+1}$ | 2:85 | 4:30 | $3: 26$ | 2:69 | 4:88 | 3:56 | 2:58 | 5:77 | $4: 21$ |

Table 1: N um erical results for the BPST H instanton ( $\overline{9}_{1}$ ) in the constrained YangM ills $H$ iggs theory $\left(\frac{(\bar{\sigma})}{-1}\right)$. The classical theory has two dim ensionless coupling constants and $=9^{2}$, which control, respectively, the strength of the constraint term in the action and the $m$ ass ratio of the $H$ iggs scalar $H$ and vector bosons $W$. The scale of the instanton is given in units of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$, the constrained action A and the standard $Y$ ang $-M$ ills $H$ iggs action $A_{Y M ~}$ in units of $8{ }^{2}=g^{2}$.

## F igure captions

Fig. 1 : (a) Sketch of the constrained action $A$ as a function of the core distance D , for the case of repulsive ( $!=0$ ) or attractive ( $!==2$ ) Y ang $-M$ ills interactions. The H iggs $m$ ass vanishes and for large distances there is attraction betw een the cores. The horizontal dashed line corresponds to tw ice the action of the BPSTH instanton. A lso indicated are the minim um value $A$ ? on the $!=0$ curve and the corresponding distance $d^{?}$.
(b) A ltemative behaviour of A vs. D .

Fig. 2 : Yang -M ills H iggs action $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{YM}} \mathrm{H}$ (in units of $8{ }^{2}=9^{2}$ ) of the num erical so\left. lution of the variational equations for the BPSTH ansatz ( ${\underset{\sim}{\mid}}_{(9)}^{9}\right)$, as a function of the scale (in units of $M_{W}{ }^{1}$ ). The quartic $H$ iggs coupling constant vanishes. The dashed curve represents the analytical result (īinin), valid for sm all values of .

Fig. 3 : C onstrained action A (norm alized to its asym ptotic value) of the num erical solution of the variational equations for the con gurations of the non-contractible loop ( $\overline{1} \overline{-}$ Q $)$, as a function of the core distance $D$ (in units of $M_{W}{ }^{1}$ ). C losed and open sym bols correspond to, respectively, repulsive ( $!=0$ ) and attractive (! = =2) Yang $M$ ills interactions. The coupling constants and in the constrained action (ब্-1) have the values 0 and 1 , respectively.

Fig. 4 : Sameas g. 3, but with an expanded scale for the constrained action A.

Fig. 5 : Same as g. 3, but for a sm aller value of the constraint coupling constant

Fig. 6 : Actiondensity $a_{Y M \text { н }}(r ; t)$ and Pontryagin-density $q(r ; t)$ for the optim al $m$ axim um con guration of the non-contractible loop (! = 0; $D=10 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$; $=$ 0 ; $=1$ ), see $g .4$. Both densities have an arbitrary norm alization of their $m$ axim um to 100. The coordinates $r$ and $t$ are in units of $M_{W}{ }^{1}$. The complete con guration is obtained by re ection $t$ ! $t$, under which the actiondensity is invariant, but the P ontryagin-density changes sign.

Fig. 7 : B oundary conditions for the functions $f(x ; y)$ and $h(x ; y)$, de ned over the
and 1 at spatial in nity ( $x=1$ or $y=1$ ). Neum ann boundary conditions, for $x=0$ or $y=0$, are indicated by $N$.

Fig. 8 : E nergy E (nom alized to its asym ptotic value) of the num erical solution of the variationalequations for the con gurations of the non-contractible sphere ( $\left.\bar{B}-\overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, as a function of the core distance $D$ (in units of $M_{W}{ }^{1}$ ). C losed and open symbols correspond to di erent param eters of the ansatz, respectively $(=0 ;=0)$ and ( $=0$; $=2$ ). The quartic H iggs coupling constant vanishes.

Fig. 9 : Energy E (w ith arbitrary norm alization) over the non-contractible sphere
 $m$ etry ( ; ) ! ( ; ). The param eters for these results are $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ax}=10 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{1}$, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{in}}=7=16$ and $=1$.

Fig. 10: Energydensity e( ; z) (w ith arbitrary nom alization) for the optim alm aximum con guration of the non-contractible sphere ( $\left.==0 ; D=7 M_{w}{ }^{1} ;=0\right)$, see g. 8. The coordinates and $z$ are in units $\circ \mathrm{FM}_{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{1}$. The com plete con guration is obtained by re ection $z!\quad z$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In pure $Y$ ang -M ills theory \instantons" are som etim es $m$ eant to refer exclusively to solutions of the rst-order self-duality equations, which then solve the second-order eld equations by the

