The origin of the sphaleron dipole m om ent

M ark H indm arsh a)

and

M argaret Jam es

Department of Applied M athematics and Theoretical Physics University of C ambridge Silver Street C ambridge CB3 9EW U.K.

ABSTRACT

By providing a suitable de nition of the electrom agnetic eld o the Higgs vacuum, we show that within the sphaleron there is a monopole-antim onopole pair with quantized charges, and a loop of electrom agnetic current. On integration of the relevant charges and currents over the interior in the lim it of sm all $_{\rm W}$, we recover the standard form ula for the sphaleron dipole moment.

^{a)} P resent address: School of M athem atical and P hysical Sciences, U niversity of Sussex, B righton, BN 1 90 H, U K.

1. Introduction. The sphaleron is an unstable static solution of the bosonic sector of the standard m odel. K linkham er and M anton [1] studied the sphaleron setting the W einberg angle, $_{W}$, to zero, and then for sm all $_{W}$ by perform ing a perturbation expansion to rst order in tan $_{W}$. The sphaleron of the pure SU (2) gauge-H iggs theory is axisym metric and has a spherically symmetric energy density, the latter arising from a hidden SO (4) symmetry. For non-zero W einberg angle, the sphaleron has a large magnetic moment , which is of the order $e=_{W} M_{W}$, where $_{W} = g^2=4$. This is O ($_{W}^{-1}$) times the dipole moment of the W. Further studies [2,3,4] have con rm ed this result and have also shown that the energy density contours becom e prolate for non-zero $_{W}$.

In this paper we exam ine the internal structure of the sphaleron for physical values of the W einberg angle, in particular the way in which the gauge elds conspire to produce a long-range electrom agnetic dipole eld. W e build on work by N am bu [5] to show that the sphaleron owes its dipole m om ent not only to a loop of electric current but also to a m agnetic m onopole-antim onopole pair. W e are also able to use our know ledge of the inner structure of the sphaleron to gain som e qualitative understanding of its shape at nite m ixing angle. Roughly speaking, the m onopoles are joined by a tube of Z-ux: as $_{\rm W}$! =2, this tube gets thinner, resulting in the prolate shape. A lthough it is currently di cult to envisage experiments which probe the interior of the sphaleron, we expect our studies to be a useful contribution to the understanding of what we mean by the electrom agnetic eld o the vacuum.

N am bu [5] developed a procedure for solving the gauge eld equations in the H iggs vacuum . In order for the con guration to be interesting, the H iggs eld m ust have singularities, else it could be everywhere gauge transform ed to a constant. The physical content of the eld con guration is then derived by exam ining the (possibly singular) uxes through various surfaces. Then one appeals to the full dynam ics of the original eld equations to sm ear out the singularities. An essentially equivalent procedure was developed independently by M anton for the SO (3) H iggs theory [6].

Nam bu considered two specic cases. The rst represented an isolated magnetic monopole attached to an sem i-in nite electroweak Z-string. (The in nite electroweak Z-string was

1

rediscovered independently and shown to be a solution by Vachaspati [7].) He then considered term inating the string on an antim onopole and conjectured that the attractive force between the two could be balanced by spinning the two poles relative to each other, and a quasi-stationary solution obtained. The long range magnetic dipole eld of this con guration resembles the sphaleron, and so we are led to ask if there is a connection between this con guration and the sphaleron (an issue that has also been raised in references [8]). The answer turns out to be a qualited yes: in the limit that the length of the string tends to zero we recover the true vacuum, but we nevertheless in that part of the sphaleron dipole moment is due to two regions of opposite magnetic charge. We show that the magnetic charge is partly topological in origin, for a winding number emerges when we restrict ourselves to axisymmetric, parity invariant con guration. The poles do not fully explain the dipole moment, for we also ind a loop of electric current. We calculate the charge and current distributions for the small wishaleron and recover the correct value for the dipole moment calculated in [1].

2. The electroweak sphaleron. In the tem poral gauge the energy functional for static bosonic elds in electroweak theory is given by

$$E = \frac{1}{4}F_{ij}^{a}F_{ij}^{a} + \frac{1}{4}f_{ij}f_{ij} + (D_{i})^{y}(D_{i}) + (\frac{y}{2}v^{2})^{2} d^{3}x;$$
(1a)

where

$$F_{ij}^{a} = Q_{i}W_{j}^{a} \quad Q_{j}W_{i}^{a} + g^{abc}W_{i}^{b}W_{j}^{c}$$
(2a)

$$f_{ij} = \varrho_i a_j \quad \varrho_j a_i \tag{2b}$$

$$D_{i} = Q_{i} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ig}^{a} W_{i}^{a} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ig}^{0} a_{i} \qquad (2c)$$

We have taken $T^{a} = \frac{1}{2}i^{a}$ as our basis for L (SU (2)) with $[T^{a}; T^{b}] = {}^{abc}T^{c}$. The Weinberg angle is given by tan $_{W} = g^{0}=g$. The sem iclassical masses of the W, Z, and Higgs bosons are, respectively,

$$M_{W} = \frac{1}{2}gv; \quad M_{Z} = \frac{1}{2}g \sec_{W} v; \quad M_{H} = \frac{p}{2}v:$$
 (3)

The elds are in the Higgs vacuum if the Higgs eld is on its vacuum manifold $y = v^2 = 2$, and in addition its covariant derivative (2c) vanishes. (This is distinguished from the vacuum where we would further require $F_{ij}^a = 0$ and $f_{ij} = 0$:) Following Nambu [5], we give a covariant de nition of the mixing formula, in the Higgs vacuum, which reduces to the usual formula in the unitary gauge (where the upper component of the Higgs is zero and the lower component is one). De ning a normalised isotriplet eld

$$^{a} = Y^{a} = Y$$

we have

$$F_{ij}^{em} = \sin_{W} F_{ij}^{a^{a}} + \cos_{W} f_{ij};$$

$$F_{ij}^{Z} = \cos_{W} F_{ij}^{a^{a}} \sin_{W} f_{ij};$$
(4)

The eld equations are

$$D_{j}F_{ij}^{a} = \frac{1}{2}ig(Y^{a}D_{i} (D_{i})^{Ya})$$
 (5a)

$$\varrho_{j}f_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}ig^{0} \quad {}^{y}D_{i} \quad (D_{i})^{y}$$
(5b)

$$D_{i}D_{i} = 2$$
 ($Y_{i} = \frac{1}{2}v^{2}$) : (5c)

The sphaleron solution is axially symmetric and is invariant under parity (for details of the construction of the solution for 0 $_{\rm W}$ $_{\overline{2}}$ see [2]). First we concentrate on the sphaleron at small W einberg angle, treating it as a perturbation of the $_{\rm W}$ = 0 sphaleron, since the ansatz is very simple and most of the physics is incorporated. We shall indicate the extension of our arguments and results. Form ally we expand in tan $_{\rm W}$ and we give the sphaleron con guration to rst order in g⁰=g (we are taking g xed while g⁰ varies),

$$= h() \frac{v}{p} \frac{\cos}{2} e^{i'} \sin ; \quad g^{0}a_{i}dx^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^{0}}{g} p()^{2} \sin^{2} d'$$

$$gW_{i}dx^{i} = f() \frac{0}{e^{i'}} e^{i'} d + if() \frac{\sin}{\cos e^{i'}} \frac{\cos e^{i'}}{\sin} \sin d' \quad (6)$$

where = gvr:

Inserting this ansatz into the eld equations (5) yields the coupled equations

$${}^{2}\frac{d^{2}f}{d^{2}} = 2f(1 f)(1 2f) - \frac{2}{4}h^{2}(1 f)$$
 (7a)

$$2\frac{d^2p}{d^2} + 4\frac{dp}{d} = h^2(1 \text{ f})$$
 (7b)

$$\frac{d}{d} = {}^{2}\frac{dh}{d} = 2h(1 - f)^{2} + \frac{d}{g^{2}} + {}^{2}(h^{2} - 1)h;$$
(7c)

with boundary conditions $f ! ^{2}$, h !, and p ! a as ! 0. As $! 1, p ! b = ^{3}$, while f and h tend to 1 exponentially. The constants , , a, and b are all 0 (1), and are determ ined on integration of the equations.

A symptotically the magnetic vector potential is given, in the unitary gauge, by a = $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3}$, which is a dipole eld with moment $\sim = (0;0;$) and strength

$$=\frac{2}{3}\frac{g^{0}}{g^{3}v}\int_{0}^{2}h^{2}(1)(1-f(1))d$$
 : (8)

The energy density, to this order, is spherically symmetric. Further studies [2,3,4] show that, as $_{W}$ increases, the energy density of the sphaleron becomes prolate. A loo they indicate that, for quite a large range of $_{W}$ (certainly including the physical value $\sin^{2}_{W} = 0.23$), the magnetic dipole moment goes as $e=(_{W} M_{W})$ constant where the constant depends on the H iggs mass. For reasonable values it is of order unity. When $_{W} \in 0$ we must relax the symmetry restrictions and consider a general axisym – metric and parity invariant ansatz. The equatorial (= =2) slice in the gauge choice of [2] is

$$= h() \frac{v}{p} \frac{0}{2} e^{i'} \qquad g^{0}a_{i}dx^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^{0}}{g} p()^{2}d'$$

$$gW_{i}dx^{i} = f_{1}() \qquad \begin{array}{c} 0 & e^{i'} \\ e^{i'} & 0 & d + if_{2}() \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & d'; \end{array} \qquad (9)$$

We note that as far as the Higgs and Z elds are concerned this is also the ansatz for the electroweak Z-string [6,7]. There is also a super cial resemblance to the monopoleantim onopole con gurations studied by Nambu. 3. Monopole-antimonopole congurations. In [5]N ambu developed a procedure for solving the gauge eld equations in regions where the Higgs eld is in the vacuum. With his procedure, the conguration is only interesting if is singular somewhere, else it is gauge equivalent to the vacuum. Correspondingly, the gauge elds will also have singularities where the Higgs eld vanishes. The true solution of the constrained eld equations (a constraint must be imposed to ensure that continues to vanish on the singular points), smooths out the singularities. How ever, as N ambu asserted, much of the interesting physics, including what the conguration actually represents e.g. monopole, string can be deduced from the singular case by the computation of uxes through suitably chosen surfaces. N ambu's method is actually a way of choosing the gauge in the electrow eak Higgs vacuum, similar to one developed by M anton for SO (3) [6].

The procedure is to solve

$$D_{i} = 0$$
 (10)

to obtain expressions for the gauge potentials in terms of the Higgs eld. The result is

$$gW_{i}^{a} = {}^{abc \wedge b} \varrho_{i}^{\wedge c} \quad i \wedge a ({}^{y} \varrho_{i} \quad \varrho_{i} {}^{y}) \quad g \sin {}_{W} \wedge {}^{a} {}_{i};$$

$$q^{0} a_{i} = i ({}^{y} \varrho_{i} \quad \varrho_{i} {}^{y}) + q^{0} \cos {}_{W} {}_{i}$$
(11)

where + = 1. The vector function $_{i}$ is undeterm ined, for the abelian part of the gauge eld F_{ij}^{em} is not xed by $D_{i} = 0$ alone. Follow ing M anton [6] it is possible to show that setting $_{i} = 0$ is a gauge choice in which the isovector H iggs eld a is constant along the lines of electrom agnetic ux computed from the potentials (11): that is, $B_{i}^{em} Q_{i}^{a} = 0$. In this gauge we cannot write down an arbitrary form for the H iggs eld, for the resulting electrom agnetic eld m ust satisfy the vacuum M axwell's equations.

Nambu considered rst the con guration

$$= \frac{\cos \overline{2}}{\sin \frac{1}{2}e^{i'}}; \qquad (12)$$

which is singular on the line = . The resulting gauge potentials are

$$gW_{i}^{a} = {}^{aij}x_{j} = r^{2} + {}^{ij3}x_{a}x_{j} = r^{2} (r + z)$$

$$g^{0}a_{i} = {}^{ij3}x_{j} = r (r + z)$$
(13)

If one computes the SU (2) ux F_{ij}^{a} and the U (1) ux f_{ij} , both out of the origin and along the singularity, one nds that the SU (2) gauge eld is that of a magnetic monopole of charge 4 =g. A portion, 4 =g, of the ux spreads out and the rest, 4 =g, is con ned to the tube. The U (1) eld, while sourceless, gives rise to a spreading monopole eld, the ux 4 =g⁰ being precisely compensated by the returned ux 4 =g⁰ in the string. The net e ect is a spreading eld due to a magnetic charge Q = 4 =e, and a ux tube on the negative z-axis containing a mixture of an electrom agnetic ux and a quantised Z-ux, given respectively by

$$\frac{4}{e} (\sin^2 w + \cos^2 w); \quad \frac{4}{e} \sin w \cos w : \quad (14)$$

Note that in order to compute the ux along the singularity, N am bu uses Stokes' theorem and hence implicitly assumes the abelianization of the eld tensors within the singularity, i.e., where the elds are on the Higgs vacuum. This assumption is commonly made in the literature for strings, and we shall see its importance later. The Z-ux is quantised as a result of the 2 phase change of the Higgs eld around the string, while the electrom agnetic ux in the tube is taken to be zero (thus the singular line is essentially an electrow eak string [7]). Therefore the condition that there is no electrom agnetic ux in line singularities xes $= \sin^2_{W}$. Hence we have a monopole with magnetic charge

$$Q = \frac{4}{e} \sin^2 w = \frac{e}{w}$$
(15)

and a Z-string on the negative z-axis. This con guration has in nite energy, but N am bu envisaged constructing nite energy con gurations by taking a monopole-antimonopole pair of this charge separated by a nite length of Z-string. He then conjectured that a quasi-stationary solution to the bosonic sector of the Standard M odel could be constructed by spinning this dumb-bell' so that the string tension would be counteracted by the centrifugal force on the poles. We have in m ind a diment possibility, for if the poles were separated by a distance M_W^{-1} , the natural length scale of the problem, it would then have a dipolem on ent of the same order and with the same $_W$ dependence as the sphaleron. This leads us to investigate whether the sphaleron can be viewed as a monopole-antim onopole pair. In Nambu's gauge the singular Higgs eld representing a monopole-antimonopole pair situated on the z axis at z = d, and connected by a string, is

$$= \frac{\cos}{\sin e^{i'}}$$
(16)

where $\cos 2 = \cos_{+} \cos_{+} + 1$, and is the polar angle seen from the poles [8]. The eld is singular on the line joining the two points. The uxes, both SU (2) and U (1), out of the northern and southern hem ispheres at in nity are zero. The total U (1) ux through the equatorial plane is zero. The SU (2) ux downward through the equatorial plane is 4 = g, and so the electrom agnetic ux is $4 \sin_{-} g$ as expected. However, in the lim it d ! 0 where the singular line reduces to a point we recover the vacuum $(=(1;0)^{T}, W_{i}^{a} = 0 = a_{i})$, rather than the sphaleron, which has a long range dipole eld. Nevertheless, we are still prom pted to ask if there are any monopoles lurking in the sphaleron supplying its dipole moment. To answer the question we must equip ourselves with de nitions of the electrom agnetic and Z eld tensors in the interior of the sphaleron, where the elds are not in the Higgs vacuum.

4. The origin of the sphaleron dipole m om ent. Colem an [9] m akes it clear that there is no unambiguous de nition of the electrom agnetic eld o the Higgs vacuum. Dierent choices correspond to dierent idealised magnetom eters. Hence we are free to make our choice subject to the constraint that on the Higgs vacuum it reduces to (4) and that the eld tensor is gauge invariant. The choice we make is that (4) applies everywhere except where the Higgs eld vanishes. This appears to us to be natural; it gives rise to simple form ulae and the physics involves monopoles of quantised charge. 't Hooff [10] gives a dierent de nition with a non-physical singularity at the origin, which we shall discuss in the last section.

The usual mixing form ula arises from diagonalizing the mass matrix for the gauge elds in the background of the Higgs eld, on the vacuum manifold, in the unitary gauge. The electrom agnetic eld is then associated with the massless eld. However we may equally wellperform such a diagonalization in the background of any non-vanishing . The relevant

7

terms, arising from the (D) y (D) term in the lagrangian, are

$$y g^2 W_i^a W_i^a + 2gg^0 W_i^a a_i^a + g^{02} a_i$$
 : (17)

Equivalently we can note that for any non-zero , $(1 + a^{a})$ generates a U (1) sym m etry which leaves invariant. If we de ne the massive Z - eld and massless electrom agnetic eld by

$$Z_{i} = \cos_{W} W_{i}^{a^{a}} \sin_{W} a_{i}$$

$$A_{i} = \sin_{W} W_{i}^{a^{a}} + \cos_{W} a_{i}$$
(18)

then the gauge invariant eld strength tensors are given for all 60 by

$$F_{ij}^{Z} = \varrho_{i}Z_{j} \quad \varrho_{j}Z_{i} \quad \frac{1}{g} \cos w \quad (^{a}\varrho_{i} \partial_{\rho} \varrho_{j} c \quad ^{a}D_{i} \partial_{\rho} D_{j} c \quad ^{abc}; \quad (19a)$$

$$F_{ij}^{em} = Q_{i}A_{j} \quad Q_{j}A_{i} \quad \frac{1}{g} \sin_{W} (^{a}Q_{i}^{b}Q_{j}^{c} \quad ^{a}D_{i}^{b}D_{j}^{c})^{abc} :$$
(19b)

W e see explicitly that away from the Higgs vacuum the elds are non-abelian due to the SU (2) contribution. There, the usual M axwell equations are no longer satis ed. D e ning the SU (2) m agnetic eld

$$B_{i}^{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2} ijk (F_{jk}^{a}^{a})$$
(20)

then

$$r \qquad B^{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{2} i_{jk} F^{a}_{jk} D^{a}_{i} = m$$

is an SU (2) m agnetic charge density which will in general be non-vanishing. V is the mixing form ula an electrom agnetic m agnetic charge density is obtained,

$$m_{m}^{em} = \sin_{W} m$$
: (21)

U sing the eld equations (5) we obtain the electrom agnetic current

$$J_{i}^{em} = \varrho_{j} F_{ij}^{em} = \sin \psi F_{ij}^{a} D_{j}^{a} :$$
 (22)

The volume integral over z = 0 of the charge (21) may be written via Gauss's theorem as the integral of the related magnetic eld (20) over the northern hem is phere at in nity plus the integral over the equatorial plane. A sym ptotically the the gauge eld is a dipole and hence the integral over the hem isphere vanishes. For the integral over the plane, we nd that the eld abelianises there, and so

$$B_{i}^{SU(2)} = (r \wedge W^{3})_{i}$$
: (23)

Hence using Stokes' theorem the integral is written as the integral of W $\frac{3}{1}$ round the circle at in nity, which is just 4 =g times the winding number of the lower component of the Higgs, which is 1. Repeating the procedure in the lower hem isphere results in the integration being taken the other way around the circle. The fact that we obtain the 'correct' quantized value 4 =g for the total SU (2) charge in z 0 (and + 4 =g for z 0) indicates underlying topological considerations. In deriving this result we have assumed nothing except that the eld con guration is axisymmetric and parity invariant, so that the equatorial slice has the form (9) [2]. This class of con gurations encompasses the sphaleron at all values of w. We have found that for all such con gurations the magnetic charge is quantized: it is in this restricted sense that the charge is topological. The integral of $\frac{em}{m}$ in the upper (lower) hem isphere of the sphaleron is (+)4 sin w =g. Recalling N am bu's model of the isolated monopole and string we note that the implicit assumption of the abelianization of the elds o the Higgs vacuum is essential in using Stokes' theorem to compute the uxes.

We know the total charge in the lower and upper hem ispheres for the sphaleron but we need to nd the charge distribution and the current (22) in order to calculate the magnetic dipole moment. Again, we shall work with the small $_{\rm W}$ con guration (6). We obtain for the magnetic charge density, $_{\rm em}$, and the electrom agnetic current (to rst order in $g^0=g$)

$$em = 8g^0 \cos \frac{df}{dr} \frac{(1 f)}{g^2 r^2}; \qquad (24a)$$

$$\mathcal{J}^{em} = 8g^0 \frac{f(f-1)^2}{g^2 r^4} (y;x;0):$$
(24b)

As a check, integrating the magnetic charge density over the northern (southern) hem isphere gives $4 g^0=g^2$ as expected. (In Figure 1 we exhibit these charge and current densities, using a num erical computation of the function f [4].) The de nition of the dipole moment is

$$\sim = \mathbf{x}^{\text{em}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^{\text{am}} d^3\mathbf{x} : \qquad (25)$$

On substituting (24) we nd $\sim = (0;0;)$ where the strength is given by

$$= \frac{32}{3g^2} g^0 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} r \frac{df}{dr} (1 - f) + f (1 - f)^2 dr :$$
 (26)

The charge and the current contribute 70% and 30% respectively. Furtherm ore, on integration by parts and using the eld equations, we recover, after a little algebra, expression (8) for .

This result lends weight to our de nitions of m^{em} and \mathcal{J}^{em} , and with them we are able to build a physical picture of the source of the sphaleron dipole moment. The sphaleron contains a monopole-antim onopole pair encircled by a ring of current. The monopoles are joined by a short tube of Z ux, for in the equatorial plane

$$B_{i}^{Z} = \frac{2}{\mathrm{gr}} \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}r} {}^{3}_{i}; \qquad (27)$$

which is in the z direction, peaked at the origin, and decays exponentially away from it. 5. D iscussion. We can use our new picture of the sphaleron to gain a qualitative understanding of the sphaleron in the $_{W}$! =2 lim it, which is problem atic for num erical approaches [2]. There are various ways of taking this lim it: if we x g then we may keep xed either $g_{-}^{0}p_{-}^{-}$ or $g_{-}^{p_{-}}$.

Let us consider nst taking the Higgs mass to in nity with the Z mass. We have already noted that the sphaleron ansatz resembles the electroweak string in the equatorial plane, and that the gauge eld abelianizes there. Thus we expect that the width of the tube of Z ux and of the region where the Higgs leaves its vacuum manifold should behave in much the same way as for the string. As we take $M_{\rm H}$ and $M_{\rm Z}$ to in nity the width of the ux tube should shrink to zero (relative to $M_{\rm W}$). We conjecture that $M_{\rm W}$ sets the scale of the size of the monopoles, and thus for the size of the sphaleron in the z direction. Thus in the

 $_{\rm W}$! =2 lim it with M $_{\rm Z}$ =M $_{\rm H}$ xed we expect the sphaleron to become extremely prolate. Indeed, this lim it is equivalent to taking g ! 0, with g⁰ and xed, in which we obtain a theory with global symmetries, which has vortex solutions known as sem ilocal strings [11]. Thus we can say that in this lim it the sphaleron turns into a segment of sem ilocal string. If instead we take g⁰ to in nity while keeping the Higgs mass xed, then the width of the ux tube should again decrease, albeit much more slow by [12].

If our picture of the magnetic dipole moment is correct, we should expect that it remains proportional to 4 sin $_{W}$ =gM $_{W}$, although the shape distortion will change the overall constant. Indeed, to order (g⁰=g)³ it is found [4] that

$$' \frac{4}{gM_{W}} 7:0 \frac{g^{0}}{g} 0:42 \frac{g^{0}}{g}^{3} :$$
 (28)

The value for the coe cient of the cubic term compares reasonably well with the expected value 0.5. Numerically [2], it seems that the overall constant increases somewhat as g^0 is taken to in nity with the Higgs mass xed. A possible explanation is that the stronger electrom agnetic interactions between the poles and the loop are forcing them further apart. Our de nition of the electrom agnetic eld of the vacuum is not the only one: 't Hooft proposed that we take

$$F_{ij}^{em} = F_{ij}^{em} - \frac{1}{g} \sin_{W} \wedge a_{D_{i}} \wedge b_{D_{j}} \wedge c_{abc};$$

$$= \varrho_{i}A_{j} - \varrho_{j}A_{i} - \frac{1}{g} \sin_{W} \wedge a_{Q_{i}} \wedge b_{Q_{j}} \wedge c_{abc};$$
(29)

In the unitary gauge, where a is constant, this reduces to an appealing form, that of ordinary electrom agnetism, which of course has identically zero m agnetic charge. However, for the sphaleron we nd that we have to face an 0 (r²) singularity in the magnetic eld at the origin, which is not so attractive. It seems better to us to keep physical elds bounded in sm ooth con gurations like the sphaleron: hence our preference for (4).

W e are indebted to N ick M anton form any helpful discussions, and in particular for clarifying the issue of the gauge choice for the m onopole-antim onopole system and its distinction from the sphaleron. We thank also Stuart Rankin, Ana Achucarro and Anne-Christine Davis. This work is funded by SERC.

References

- [1] F.Klinkham er and N.M anton, Phys. Rev. D 30, 2212 (1984).
- [2] B.Kleihaus, J.Kunz and Y.Brihaye, Phys. Lett. B273, 100 (1991); B.Kleihaus, J.
 Kunz and Y.Brihaye, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3587 (1992).
- [3] F.K linkham er, R. Laterveer, Z. Phys. C 53, 247 (1992).
- [4] M. James, Z. Phys. C 55, 515 (1992).
- [5] Y.Nambu, Nucl. Phys. B130, 505 (1977).
- [6] N.Manton, Nucl. Phys. B126, 525 (1977).
- [7] T.Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1977 (1992).
- [8] T.Vachaspati, Tuffs University preprint TUTP-92-12 (1992); T.Vachaspati and M.
 Barriola, Tuffs University preprint TUTP-93-?? (1993).
- [9] S.Coleman, A spects of Symmetry', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1985).
- [10] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 79, 276 (1974).
- [11] T.Vachaspatiand A.Achucarro, Phys. Rev. D 44, 3067 (1991); M. Hindm arsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1263 (1992).
- [12] E.B.Bogom ol'nyiand A.Vainshtein, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.23, 588 (1976); C.T.Hill,
 H.M.Hodges and M.Tumer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 2493 (1987).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1a. The surface enclosing 75% of the magnetic charge of the sphaleron, in the lim it of sm all W einberg angle. The roughness of the surface is a num erical artefact. D istances are measured in units of $= 2M_W r$, where M_W is the mass of the W.

Figure 1b. The surface enclosing 75% of the electric current in the sphaleron, again in the small $_{\rm W}$ limit.



