SLAC {PUB {6258 July 1993 T/E

Heavy Hadron W eak D ecay Form Factors to N ext-to-Leading O rder in $1/m_Q$

M atthias N eubert⁰ Stanford Linear A cœlerator C enter Stanford U niversity, Stanford, C alifomia 94309

Abstract

Based on the short-distance expansion of currents in the heavy quark e ective theory, we derive the exact expressions for the heavyto-heavy m eson and baryon weak decay form factors to order $1=m_Q$ in the heavy quark expansion, and to all orders in perturbation theory. We emphasize that the W ilson coe cients in this expansion depend on a kinem atic variable w that is di erent from the velocity transfer $w = v v^0$ of the hadrons. O ur results generalize existing ones obtained in the leading-logarithm ic approxim ation. Som e phenom enological applications are brie y discussed.

(submitted to Nuclear Physics B)

 $^{^{0}}$ Supported by the D epartm ent of E nergy under contract D E -A C 03-76SF 00515.

1 Introduction

The heavy quark e ective theory (HQET) is by now a well-established tool to investigate the properties of hadrons containing a single heavy quark [1, 2,]3, 4, 5, 6]. M ost in portant are its applications to the weak decay form factors describing the sem ileptonic transitions of the type H $_{\rm b}$! H $_{\rm c}$ ', from a study of which one can extract the element V_{cb} of the C abibbo-K obayashi-M askawa m atrix [7,8]. Here H_0 denotes a hadron containing a single heavy quark Q. Based on a short-distance expansion of the avor-changing weak currents, HQET provides a system atic expansion of the decay am plitudes in powers of $1=m_{c}$ and $1=m_{b}$. At leading order the elective current operators are of the form h_{v}^{c} h_{v}^{b} , where denotes some D irac matrix with the same quantum num bers as the current in the full theory, and h_v^Q are velocity-dependent elds that represent the heavy quarks in the e ective theory. Isgur and W ise have shown that hadronic matrix elements of such operators can be parameterized by universal form factors that are independent of the avor and spin of the heavy quarks and of the D irac structure of [9]. These so-called Isgur W ise functions only depend on the quantum num bers of the cloud of light degrees of freedom surrounding the heavy quarks. In particular, transitions between two ground-state heavy m esons (pseudoscalar or vector) or baryons are each described by a single Isqur-W ise function, which is usually denoted by (v $\sqrt[4]{}$ is the case of mesons, and (v $\sqrt[9]{v}$ in the case of baryons. Here v and v⁰ are the hadron velocities, which in the m $_{\circ}$! 1 lim it coincide with the velocities of the heavy quarks. The physical origin of this remarkable reduction of form factors is a spin-avor symmetry of the leading-order e ective Lagrangian of HQET.

At order $1=m_Q$ (we use m_Q as a generic notation form $_c$ orm $_b$), a complete set of dimension-four operators appears in the short-distance expansion of the weak currents and of the elective Lagrangian of HQET, which induce symmetry-breaking corrections to the heavy quark limit. Additional form factors are required to parameterize the matrix elements of these operators. Luke has shown that form eson decays one needs to introduce four additional functions as well as a mass parameter [10], which can be interpreted as the elective mass of the light degrees of freedom [11]. In the case of baryon transitions, the introduction of an analogous parameter and a single new function are su cient [12]. However, although the results of these papers are general and do in principle allow one to obtain the exact expressions for weak decay form factors to order $1=m_Q$, so far these expressions have not yet been derived. The reason is that at order $1=m_Q$ the short-distance expansion of the currents was not known beyond the leading-logarithm ic approximation, which when applied to the scaling between m_b and m_c is known to be a very crude approximation of at best pedagogical relevance [13]. To get reliable num erical results, a full next-to-leading order calculation is unavoidable. Such a calculation is already very tedious at leading order in the heavy quark expansion, how ever, and to go to order $1=m_Q$ seem ed very com plicated.

In a recent paper we have shown that a \hidden" symmetry of HQET, namely its invariance under reparam eterizations of the heavy quark momentum operator [14], leads to the surprising result that all the W ilson coe – cients appearing at order $1=m_Q$ in the short-distance expansion of the weak currents can be related to the coe cients appearing at leading order [15]. By virtue of this result one can construct the expansion of any current to next-to-leading order in $1=m_Q$, without even knowing the explicit structure of the W ilson coe cients. For instance, the most general form of the vector current is

$$c \quad b \; ! \; C_{1} (w;) h_{v^{0}}^{c} \; + \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{b}} \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{c}} \; h_{v}^{b} \\ + \; C_{2} (w;) h_{v^{0}}^{c} \; v \; + \; \frac{iD}{m_{b}} + v \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{b}} \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{c}} v \; h_{v}^{b}$$
(1)
$$+ \; C_{3} (w;) h_{v^{0}}^{c} \; v^{0} \; \frac{iD}{m_{c}} + v^{0} \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{b}} \; \frac{iD'}{2m_{c}} v^{0} \; h_{v}^{b} + O \; (1=m_{Q}^{2}):$$

A similar expansion with coe cients C $_{i}^{5}$ (w;), and with $_{5}$ inserted after whatever object carries the Lorentz index , obtains for the axial vector current. In these expressions, the kinematic variable w to be used in the W ilson coe cients is di erent from the velocity transfer w = v v^{0} of the hadrons. The relation is [15]

$$w = w + \frac{1}{m_{b}} + \frac{1}{m_{c}} (w - 1) + O(1 = m_{Q}^{2});$$
 (2)

where is de ned as the asymptotic mass di erence between a hadron H $_{\rm Q}$ and the heavy quark that it contains. In the m $_{\rm O}$! 1 lim it, this di erence

approaches a nite value, m $_{\rm H_{\,Q}}$ m $_{\rm Q}$! , which can be identied with elective m ass of the light degrees of freedom in the hadronic bound state.¹

The variable w can be interpreted as the velocity transfer of free quarks. Consider the weak transition H_b ! H_c + W . The bottom quark in the initial state H_{b} m oves on average (up to uctuations of order 1=m b) with the hadron's velocity. When the W boson is emitted, the outgoing cham quark has in general som e di erent velocity. Let us denote the product of these velocities by w. Since over short time scales the quark velocities rem ain unchanged, this is what is seen" by hard gluons. A fler the W -em ission, the light degrees of freedom still have the initial hadron's velocity. But they have to recombine with the outgoing heavy quark to form the nalstate H c. Thus, a rearrangem ent is necessary, which happens over much larger, hadronic tim e scales by the exchange of soft gluons. In this process the velocity of the cham quark is changed by an amount of order 1=m c (its momentum is changed by an amount of order $_{OCD}$). Hence the nalhadron velocity transfer w will dier from the \short-distance" quark velocity transfer w by an am ount of order $1=m_0$. The precise relation between w and w is determined by momentum conservation and is given in (2). In fact, this relation is nothing but the condition $(p_{H_{b}} p_{H_{c}})^2 = (p_{p} p_{c})^2$, i.e., that the momentum transfer to the hadrons equals the momentum transfer to the heavy quarks. At zero recoil, no rearrangement is needed, and indeed w = w = 1 in this limit.

In the case of avor-changing currents, the W ilson coe cients in (1) are very complicated functions of w, the heavy quark masses, and the renormalization scale . For the moment, the precise structure of these functions is not important. W hat will be relevant is that the -dependence can be factorized into a universal function K $_{\rm hh}$ (w;), which is independent of the heavy quark masses and is the same for any bilinear heavy quark current in HQET:

$$C_{i}^{(5)}$$
 (w;) = $\mathcal{O}_{i}^{(5)}$ (m_b;m_c;w) K_{hh} (w;): (3)

At zero recoil this function is independent of , and it is convenient to use a norm alization such that K $_{\rm hh}$ (1;) = 1. From now on we shall for simplicity write $\dot{c}_{\rm i}^{(5)}$ (w) and not display the dependence on the heavy quark masses.

In addition to the currents, one needs the elective Lagrangian of ${\tt HQET}$

¹This de nition of implicitly relies on a particular choice of the heavy quark mass, as discussed in detail in Ref. [11].

to order $1=m_0$. For a heavy quark Q with velocity v, it reads [1, 2, 3, 4]

$$L_{e} = h_{v}^{Q} \text{ iv } D \overset{R}{\oplus} + \frac{1}{2m_{Q}} L_{1}^{Q} + O (1=m_{Q}^{2}); \qquad (4)$$

where

$$L_{1}^{Q} = h_{v}^{Q}$$
 (iD)² $h_{v}^{Q} + C_{mag}$ () $\frac{g_{s}}{2} h_{v}^{Q}$ G h_{v}^{Q} : (5)

Sim ilar to (3), the W ilson coe cient of the chrom o-m agnetic operator can be written in the factorized form

$$C_{m ag}() = C_{m ag}(m_Q) K_{m ag}();$$
 (6)

where K_{mag} () is independent of m_Q . The stoperator in L_1^Q is not renormalized [14].

Explicit expressions for the short-distance coe cients can be found in the literature (see, e.g., Refs. [1, 4, 13]). With the exception of C_{mag} , they are known to next-to-leading order in renormalization-group improved perturbation theory. However, as written above the short-distance expansions (1) and (4) are completely general and true to all orders in perturbation theory. Given these results, one can derive the exact expressions for the weak decay form factors of heavy mesons or baryons to order $1=m_Q$. This is the purpose of this paper. The resulting expressions are presented in an explicitly renormalization-group invariant form by introducing —independent Isgur-W ise functions and W ilson coe cients. They generalize approximate results obtained in leading-logarithm ic approximation by Luke [10] and G eorgi et al. [12]. In Sec. 2 we discuss the form factors for the decay b_{1} c \cdot . The more complicated case of meson weak decays is considered in Sec. 3.

2 Baryon Form Factors

Consisting of a heavy quark and light degrees of freedom with quantum numbers of a spin-0 diquark, the ground-state $_{Q}$ baryons are particularly simple hadrons. In HQET they are represented by a spinor u (v;s) that can be identied with the spinor of the heavy quark. For simplicity, we shall from now on om it the spin labels and write u(v) u (v;s). The baryon matrix elements of the weak currents V = c b and A = c _5 b can be

parameterized by six hadronic form factors, which we write as functions of the baryon velocity transfer w = v $\sqrt[6]{2}$:

$$h_{c}(v^{0})jV \quad j_{b}(v)i = u(v^{0})^{h}F_{1}(w) + F_{2}(w)v + F_{3}(w)v^{0}u(v);$$

$$h_{c}(v^{0})jA \quad j_{b}(v)i = u(v^{0})^{h}G_{1}(w) + G_{2}(w)v + G_{3}(w)v^{0}_{5}u(v):$$
(7)

The aim is to construct an expansion of $F_i(w)$ and $G_i(w)$ in powers of $1=m_Q$, and to relate the coe cients in this expansion to universal, mass-independent functions of the velocity transfer. G iven the operator product expansion of the weak currents as in (1), this is achieved by evaluating the matrix elements of the elective current operators. The baryon matrix elements of the dimension-three operators can be parameterized in terms of a single Isgur-W ise function (w;) de ned by [16, 17, 18, 19]

$$h_{c}(v^{0}) jh_{v^{0}}^{c} h_{v}^{b} j_{b}(v) i = (w;) u(v^{0}) u(v) :$$
 (8)

As discussed by Georgi, Grinstein, and W ise [12], the power corrections of order $1=m_Q$ involve contributions of two types. The rst come from the dimension-four operators in the expansion of the currents. Their matrix elements can be related to the generic matrix element

$$h_{c}(v^{0})jh_{v^{0}}^{c}$$
 iD $h_{v}^{b}j_{b}(v)i = (v;v^{0};)u(v^{0}) u(v):$ (9)

The equation of motion iv D = 0 allows one to relate $(v;v^0;)$ to the leading-order Isgur-W ise function:

$$(v;v^{0};) = \frac{w v v^{0}}{w+1}$$
 (w;): (10)

The form factors also receive corrections from insertions of the higher-dimension operators of the elective Lagrangian into matrix elements of the leading-order currents. However, baryon matrix elements with an insertion of the chrom o-magnetic operator vanish, since the total spin of the light degrees of freedom is zero. Insertions of the kinetic operator preserve the D irac structure of the currents, hence electively correcting the Isgur-W ise function (w;). The total elect is

$$h_{c}(v^{0}) ji dx T f h_{v^{0}}^{c} h_{v}^{b}(0); L_{1}^{b}(x) g j_{b}(v) i = 2 \quad (w;) u(v^{0}) u(v): (11)$$

We have factored out to obtain a dimensionless form factor (w;). Insertions of L_1^c can be parameterized by the same function.

Given these de nitions, one can readily work out the explicit expressions for the hadronic form factors $F_i(w)$ and $G_i(w)$ at next-to-leading order in the $1=m_{Q}$ expansion. O f course, the physical form factors should be written in terms of renormalized universal functions rather than the -dependent functions that parameterize the matrix elements in the elective theory. A c-cording to (3), the -dependence of the W ilson coecients of any bilinear heavy quark current can be factorized into a universal function K _{hh} (w;), which is normalized at zero recoil. It is now important to recall that the variable w dilers from the hadron velocity transfer by terms of order $1=m_{Q}$. Using (2) we nd

$$K_{hh}(w;) = K_{hh}(w;) + \frac{1}{m_{b}} + \frac{1}{m_{c}}(w-1)\frac{\theta}{\theta w}K_{hh}(w;) + \dots$$
(12)

The second term gives an extra contribution to the renorm alization of (w;). We de ne

$$K_{hh}(w;)(w;) + \frac{1}{m_b} + \frac{1}{m_c}(w;)_{ren}(w) + \frac{1}{m_b} + \frac{1}{m_c}_{ren}(w);$$
(13)

so that

Note that, because of $K_{hh}(1;) = 1$, the renorm alized universal functions agree with the original functions at zero recoil.

For the presentation of our results we nd it convenient to introduce the dimensionless ratios $"_Q = = 2m_Q$, and to collect certain $1=m_Q$ corrections that always appear in combination with the Isgur-W ise function into a new function

Because of the dependence on the heavy quark masses this is no longer a universal form factor. However, the avor dependence is irrelevant as long as one considers $_{\rm b}$! $_{\rm c}$ transitions only. Furtherm ore, we shall see below that $^{\rm b}_{\rm bc}$ (w) and $_{\rm ren}$ (w) obey the same norm alization at zero recoil. Let us factorize the hadronic form factors according to

$$F_{i}(w) = N_{i}(w)_{bc}^{b}(w); \qquad G_{i}(w) = N_{i}^{5}(w)_{bc}^{b}(w): \qquad (16)$$

Then the exact next-to-leading order expressions for the correction factors are:

$$N_{1}(w) = \mathcal{O}_{1}(w) \ 1 + \frac{2}{w+1} ("_{c} + "_{b}) ;$$

$$N_{2}(w) = \mathcal{O}_{2}(w) \ 1 + \frac{2w "_{b}}{w+1} \qquad \stackrel{h}{\mathcal{O}_{1}}(w) + \mathcal{O}_{3}(w)^{i} \frac{2"_{c}}{w+1} ;$$

$$N_{3}(w) = \mathcal{O}_{3}(w) \ 1 + \frac{2w "_{c}}{w+1} \qquad \stackrel{h}{\mathcal{O}_{1}}(w) + \mathcal{O}_{2}(w)^{i} \frac{2"_{b}}{w+1} ;$$
(17)

$$N_{1}^{5}(w) = \mathcal{C}_{1}^{5}(w);$$

$$N_{2}^{5}(w) = \mathcal{C}_{2}^{5}(w) \quad 1 + \frac{2"_{c}}{w+1} + 2"_{b} \qquad \mathcal{C}_{1}^{5}(w) + \mathcal{C}_{3}^{5}(w)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2"_{c}}{w+1};$$

$$N_{3}(w) = \mathcal{C}_{3}^{5}(w) \quad 1 + 2"_{c} + \frac{2"_{b}}{w+1} + \mathcal{C}_{1}^{5}(w) \qquad \mathcal{C}_{2}^{5}(w)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2"_{b}}{w+1}:$$

It is remarkable that, up to an overall unknown function ${}^{b}_{bc}$ (w), the baryon form factors at order 1=m_Q are completely determined in terms of "_i and the short-distance coe cient functions. Notice that the W ilson coe cients are functions of the \short-distance" quark velocity transfer w, whereas the remaining kinematic expressions and the universal form factors depend on the hadron velocity transfer w. In leading-logarithm ic approximation, where ${}^{b}_{1} = {}^{b}_{1}{}^{5}$ and all other coe cients are set to zero, our exact expressions reduce to the approximate results obtained in Ref. [12].

For the num erical evaluation of the correction factors N_i⁽⁵⁾ (w) we use the next-to-leading order expressions for the W ilson coe cients from Ref. [13]. As input parameters we take $m_b = 4.80$ GeV and $m_c = 1.45$ GeV for the heavy quark masses, and $\frac{1}{MS} = 0.25$ GeV (for $n_f = 4$) in the two-loop expressions for the running coupling constant. The resulting values of the short-distance coe cients for di erent values of the quark velocity transferw are given in Table 1. For the physical processes of interest, we also show the

W	W _b ! _c	W _{B!D}	W _{B!D}	ල් 1	ල්	ල්	c_{1}^{5}	& ⁵ 2	æ3
1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.14	80 : 0	0:02	0.99	0:12	0.04
1.1	1.05	1.06	1.07	1.11	0:08	0:02	0.97	0:11	0.04
12	1.11	1.13	1.15	1.08	0:08	0:02	0.95	0:11	0.04
1.3	1.16	1.19	1,22	1.06	0 : 07	0:02	0.93	0:10	0.04
1.4	1.22	1.25	1.29	1.03	0 : 07	0:02	0.91	0:10	0.03
1.5	1.27	1.31	1.37	1.01	0 : 07	0:02	0.89	0:09	0.03
1.6	1.33	1.38	1.44	0.99	0:06	0:02	0.88	0:09	0.03
1.7	1.38	1.44	1.51	0.97	0:06	0:02	0.86	0:09	0.03
1.8	1.43	1.50	1.59	0.95	0:06	0:02	0.85	0:08	0.03

Table 1: Short-distance coe cients for b! c transitions.

corresponding values of the hadron velocity transfer w. They are obtained from (2) by using baryon = 0.84 GeV and m eson = 0.51 GeV, which give the correct hadron m asses. The corresponding values of the parameters $"_{0}$ for 0.29 and $"_{\rm b}$ 0:09. From (17) we then obtain the results $_{Q}$ baryons are "_c shown in Table 2. The correction factors $N_i^{(5)}$ are given in dependence of the baryon velocity transfer w over the kinem atic region accessible in $_{\rm b}$! decays. We nd that symmetry-breaking corrections can be quite sizable in heavy baryon decays. This is not too surprising, since " 0:3 sets the natural scale of power corrections, and the QCD corrections are typically of order $_{s}$ (m $_{c}) =$ 0:1. We note, however, that upon contraction with the lepton current the form factors F_2 (w) and G_2 (w) become suppressed, relative to F_3 (w) and G_3 (w), by a factor m $_{c}$ =m $_{b}$ 0:4. The apparently large corrections to these form factors thus become less in portant when one com putes physical decay am plitudes.

Vector current conservation in plies that $\Pr_{i_{P_{i}}(1)} = 1$ in the limit of equal baryon masses. Taking into account that $r_{i_{P_{i}}(1)} = 1$ in this limit [13], we conclude that $r_{ren}(1) + 4"_{Q_{ren}}(1) = 1$, which must be satisfied for any value of m_{Q} . Hence, we recover the well-known normalization conditions $r_{ren}(1) = 1$ and $r_{ren}(1) = 0$. It also follows that $r_{bc}(1) = 1$, which just ies the denition of this function in the rst place. At zero recoil, the normalization of the baryon form factors is thus completely determined by (17). The most important consequence of these relations is that the quantities $r_{i}F_{i}(w)$ and

W	P iNi	N ₂	N ₃	N $_1^5$	N $_2^5$	N $_3^5$
1.00	1.03	0 : 42	0:12	0.99	0 : 48	0.17
1.11	0.98	0:37	0:11	0.94	0 : 43	0.15
1.22	0.94	0:34	0:10	0.91	0:39	0.14
1.33	0.90	0:31	0:09	0.88	0:35	0.13
1.44	0.87	0:29	0:09	0.85	0:32	0.12

Table 2: Correction factors for the $_{\rm b}$! $_{\rm c}$ decay form factors.

 G_1 (w) do not receive any $1=m_Q$ corrections at zero recoil. This is the analog of Luke's theorem for baryon decays [12]. Because of this result, it m ight be possible to extract an accurate value of V_{cb} from the measurement of semileptonic $_b$ decays near zero recoil, where the decay rate is governed by the form factor G_1 alone. The deviations from the prediction $G_1(1) = \mathcal{C}_1^{5}(1)$ 0:99 are of order $1=m_Q^{2}$ and are expected to be sm all [20].

3 Meson Form Factors

The most important application of heavy quark symmetry is to derive relations between the form factors parameterizing the exclusive weak decays $B \ D \ and B \ D \ A$ detailed theoretical understanding of these processes is a necessary prerequisite for a reliable determination of the element V_{cb} of the quark mixing matrix. We start by introducing a convenient set of six hadronic form factors $h_i(w)$, which parameterize the relevant meson matrix elements of the avor-changing vector and axial vector currents $V = c \ b \text{ and } A = c \ 5 b$:

Here $w = v \quad \forall$ is the velocity transfer of the mesons. For simplicity we work with a nonrelativistic normalization of states. To obtain the standard relativistic normalization one has to multiply the right-hand sides of (18) by $P \frac{1}{m_B m_{D(1)}}$.

In $H Q \in T$, the doublet of the ground-state pseudoscalar and vectorm esons can be represented by a combined tensor wave function

$$M (v) = \frac{1 + 1}{2} / ; \quad \text{pseudoscalarmeson,} \quad (19)$$

We shall use a notation where M (v) represents B or B , and M $^{0}(v^{0})$ stands for D or D . M eson matrix elements of the leading-order currents can then be written as [5]

$$hM^{0}(v^{0})jh_{v^{0}}^{c}h_{v}^{b}M^{0}(v)i = (w;)Tr^{n}\overline{M^{0}}(v^{0})M^{0}(v); \qquad (20)$$

where (w;) is the Isgur-W ise function. The $1=m_Q$ corrections have been analyzed by Luke [10]. M atrix elements of the dimension-four current operators in (1) can be related to

$$hM^{0}(v^{0})jh_{v^{0}}^{c} \text{ iD } h_{v}^{b} M(v)i = Tr^{n} (v;v^{0};)M^{0}(v^{0}) M(v)^{o}: (21)$$

The tensor form factor $(v;v^0;)$ has components proportional to v, v^0 , and . The equation of motion yields two relations among these three, and the nalresult can be written in the form

$$(v;v^{0};) = \frac{1}{w+1}$$
 (w;) w (w) v 1+ (w) v^{0} (w+1) (w);
(22)

where (w) is a renorm alization-group invariant function [21]². A second class of $1=m_Q$ corrections comes from insertions of higher-dimension operators of the elective Lagrangian. The corresponding matrix elements have the structure

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

and similar for an insertion of L_1^c . Here $P_+ = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{2})$. A gain we have factored out in order for the form factors to be dimensionless. The kinetic operator

²In the notation of Ref. [10], one has $(w) = _{3}(w;) = (w;)$.

contained in L_1^b transforms as a Lorentz scalar. An insertion of it does not a ect the D irac structure of the matrix element. Hence, the corresponding function _1 (w;) e ectively corrects the Isgur-W ise function. The chrom omagnetic operator, on the other hand, carries a nontrivial D irac structure. An insertion of it brings a matrix next to the meson wave function M (v). In addition, a propagator separates this insertion from the heavy quark current, resulting in a projection operator P_+ to the right of . This explains the structure of the second trace in (23). Because of v P_+ M (v) = 0, the most general decomposition of the tensor form factor (v;v⁰;) is

$$(v;v^{0};) = i_{2}(w;)v^{0} + _{3}(w;)$$
 : (24)

The term s proportional to $_{3}$ (w;) in (23) can be simplied by means of the identity P_{+} M (v) = $2d_{M}$ M (v), where d_{P} = 3 for pseudoscalar and d_{V} = 1 for vector mesons. It follows that, irrespective of the structure of the current, the function $_{3}$ (w;) always appears in combination with the Isgur-W ise function, but with a coe cient that is di erent for pseudoscalar and vector mesons. It thus represents a spin-symmetry violating correction to the meson wave function.

W hat remains to be done is to introduce renormalized form factors. We de ne $_{ren}$ (w) and $_{1}^{ren}$ (w) in analogy to $_{ren}$ (w) and $_{ren}$ (w) in (14). For the remaining functions, we de ne

It is again convenient to introduce quantities N $_{\rm i}$ (w), which contain the sym – m etry-breaking corrections to the heavy quark lim it, by

$$h_{i}(w) = N_{i}(w) _{ren}(w)$$
: (26)

As in the baryon case we denote $"_Q = =2m_Q$. We stress, though, that the numerical values of these parameters are dierent in the two cases. It is useful to de ne three new functions $L_{P,V}$ (w) and L_3 (w) by

 L_P and L_V are corrections to the Isgur-W ise function which always appear for pseudoscalar and vector mesons, respectively, irrespective of the structure of the current [20]. We est present the results for N₊ and N_{A1}, which will play a special role in the analysis below. They are:

$$N_{+} (w) = \overset{()}{\oplus}_{1} (w) + \frac{w+1}{2} \overset{()}{\oplus}_{2} (w) + \overset{()}{\oplus}_{3} (w) \overset{n}{1} + \overset{n}{}_{c} L_{D} (w) + \overset{()}{\oplus}_{D} L_{B} (w) \overset{o}{} + \overset{()}{m} \frac{w}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{n} [1 \quad 2 \quad (w)] \overset{()}{\oplus}_{2} (w) + [3 \quad 2 \quad (w)] \overset{()}{\oplus}_{3} (w) \overset{o}{} + \overset{()}{m} \frac{w}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{n} [3 \quad 2 \quad (w)] \overset{()}{\oplus}_{2} (w) + [1 \quad 2 \quad (w)] \overset{()}{\oplus}_{3} (w) \overset{o}{};$$

$$N_{A_{1}} (w) = \overset{()}{\oplus}_{1}^{5} (w) \overset{n}{1} + \overset{n}{}_{c} L_{D} (w) + \overset{n}{}_{b} L_{B} (w) \overset{o}{} + \overset{o}{}_{c} \frac{w}{w+1} \overset{1}{m} \overset{o}{\oplus}_{1}^{5} (w) + 2 \quad (w) \overset{()}{\oplus}_{3}^{5} (w) \overset{o}{} + \overset{o}{}_{b} \frac{w}{w+1} \overset{n}{m} [1 \quad 2 \quad (w)] \overset{()}{\oplus}_{1}^{5} (w) + 2 \quad (w) \overset{()}{\oplus}_{2}^{5} (w) \overset{o}{} :$$

$$(28)$$

Notice again that the W ilson coe cients are functions of the quark velocity transfer w, whereas the universal form factors depend on the meson velocity transfer w. The expressions for the remaining four form factors are more lengthy. To display them we omit the dependence on w and w.We nd:

$$N = \frac{w + 1}{2} (\pounds_{2} \ \pounds_{3})^{n} 1 + "_{c}L_{D} + "_{b}L_{B}^{0}$$

$$"_{c} (1 2) \pounds_{1} \frac{w - 1}{2} (\pounds_{2} \ \pounds_{3}) + (w + 1) \pounds_{3}$$

$$+ "_{b} (1 2) \pounds_{1} + \frac{w - 1}{2} (\pounds_{2} \ \pounds_{3}) + (w + 1) \pounds_{2} ;$$

$$N_{v} = \pounds_{1}^{n} 1 + "_{c}L_{D} + "_{b}L_{B}^{0}$$

$$+ "_{c}^{n} \pounds_{1} 2 \pounds_{3}^{0} + "_{b}^{n} [1 2] \pounds_{1} 2 \pounds_{2}^{0} ;$$

$$N_{A_{2}} = \pounds_{2}^{b}^{n} 1 + "_{c}L_{D} + "_{b}L_{B}^{0} + \pounds_{3}^{b} + \pounds_{1}^{b} + (w - 1) \pounds_{2}^{b^{1}} :$$

$$(29)$$

$$N_{A_{2}} = \pounds_{2}^{b}^{n} 1 + "_{c}L_{D} + "_{b}L_{B}^{0} + \pounds_{3}^{b} + \pounds_{1}^{b} + (w - 1) \pounds_{2}^{b^{1}} :$$

$$(29)$$

$$+ \frac{2"_{b}}{w+1} e_{2}^{5} \frac{3w+1}{2} (w+2) ;$$

$$N_{A_{3}} = (e_{1}^{5} + e_{3}^{5})^{n} 1 + "_{c}L_{D} + "_{b}L_{B} e_{1}^{0} e_{1}^{5} (w-1) e_{3}^{5} "_{c}L_{3}$$

$$+ \frac{"_{c}}{w+1} (w-1-2) e_{1}^{5} e_{3}^{5} + 4w (1+-) e_{3}^{5}$$

$$+ "_{b} (1-2) e_{1}^{5} + e_{3}^{5} + \frac{2}{w+1} (w-1) e_{2}^{5} ;$$

These expressions are the main result of this paper. In leading-logarithm ic approximation, where $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_1^5$ and all other one cients are set to zero, they reduce to the approximate expressions obtained by Luke [10].

It is dicult to extract much information from the complicated expressions for N_i without a prediction for the subleading universal form factors

(w) and $\frac{1}{1}^{\text{ren}}$ (w). Recently, these functions have been investigated in great detail using the QCD sum rule approach. The interested reader is referred to Refs. [21, 22] for further discussion. Some important, general observations can be made without such an analysis, however. Vector current conservation implies that $L_P(1) = L_V(1) = 0$, from which one can derive the well-known normalization conditions $_{\text{ren}}(1) = 1$ and $_1^{\text{ren}}(1) = \frac{1}{3}$ (1) = 0. Inserting this into (28), one nds that the 1=m $_Q$ corrections in N $_+$ and N $_{A_1}$ vanish at zero recoil. This is Luke's theorem [10], which implies that the leading power corrections to the meson form factors $h_+(1)$ and $h_{A_1}(1)$ are of order 1=m $_Q^2$. In particular, it follows that

$$h_{A_1}(1) = \mathcal{B}_1^5(1) + O(1=m_0^2)$$
: (30)

This relation plays a central role in the model-independent extraction of V_{cb} from B ! D ' decays [8]. In this case, even the second-order corrections have been analyzed in detail and are found to be suppressed [20].

It has been emphasized in Ref. [23] that Luke's theorem does not protect the remaining form factors in (29). For instance, the B ! D ' decay amplitude at zero recoil is proportional to the combination

h₊ (1)
$$p_{-}$$
 h (1) = b_{1} (1) + b_{2} (1) + b_{3} (1) 1 + S K; (31)

where $S = \left(\frac{m_B m_D}{m_B + m_D}\right)^2$ 0.23. The $1=m_Q$ corrections enter this expression through h (1) and are contained in the quantity K. Using the analytic

expressions for the W ilson coe cients given in Ref. [13], we nd

$$K = {}_{1} + ("_{c} + "_{b})^{h} (1 + {}_{1}) 2 (1 + {}_{2}) (1)^{i};$$
(32)

with

$${}_{1} = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \frac{4_{s}(m_{c})}{3} - \frac{2_{s}(m_{b})}{3} + \frac{4_{s}(m)}{3} \frac{z}{1-z} \frac{\ln z}{1-z} + 1 ;$$

$${}_{2} = \frac{4_{s}(m_{c})}{3} - \frac{2_{s}(m_{b})}{3} :$$
(33)

Here $z = m_c = m_b$, and m denotes the geometric average of the heavy quark m asses (m 223 GeV). Note that $_1$ has a smooth limit as z ! 1. Num erically, we nd $_1$ 6% and $_2$ 9%, and thus

Recently, the function (w) has been calculated using the QCD sum rule approach, with the result that (w) = 0:6 0.2 essentially independent of w [21]. We observe that, by a fortunate accident, this leads to an almost perfect cancellation in (34), i.e., K 0:0 0:1. This means that the $1=m_Q$ corrections to the B ! D ' decay rate at zero recoil are highly suppressed.

Further predictions can be made for ratios of meson form factors, in which some of the universal functions drop out [24]. An important example is the ratio $R = h_V = h_{A_1}$, which can be extracted from a measurement of the forward-backward asymmetry in B ! D ' decays. From (28) and (29) it is readily seen that R is independent of the functions $\frac{ren}{i}$ (w). In fact, at order $1=m_Q$ the following simple expression can be derived:

$$R = F_{1}(w) \quad 1 + \frac{2"_{c}}{w+1} + \frac{2"_{b}}{w+1}^{h} \quad 2F_{2}(w) \quad (w)^{i} :$$
(35)

Note that the form factor (w) enters in the $1=m_b$ corrections only. The functions F_i (w) contain the short-distance corrections and are given by

$$F_{1}(w) = 1 + \frac{4 \text{ s}(m_{c})}{3} r(w);$$

$$F_{2}(w) = 1 + \frac{2 \text{ s}(m)}{3} \frac{(w^{2} 1)r(w) + (w z) \ln z}{1 2wz + z^{2}};$$
(36)

where $r(w) = \ln (w + \frac{p_{w^2}}{w^2} 1) = \frac{p_{w^2}}{w^2} 1$. The second function is almost independent of w over the kinematic range accessible in sem ileptonic de-0:9. A ssum ing that the sum rule estimate cays: F_2 (w) (w) 0:6 is at least approximately correct, we observe again a substantial cancellation: 1 $2F_2$ (w) (w) 0:1. This means that the $1=m_b$ corrections in R can be safely neglected, and up to term s of order $1=m_0^2$ the form factor ratio can be predicted in an essentially model independent way. Note that both the QCD and the $1=m_c$ corrections are positive. As a consequence, the deviations from the symmetry $\lim it R = 1$ are rather substantial. For instance, 0.2 GeV and neglecting the $1=m_b$ corrections we obtain using = 0:5 R = 1:33 0:08 at zero recoil.

4 Conclusions

Starting from the observation that the structure of the short-distance expansion of heavy quark currents is to a large extent determ ined by a reparam eterization invariance of HQET, we have derived the exact expressions for the meson and baryon weak decay form factors to next-to-leading order in $1=m_Q$. The results are presented in an explicitly renormalization-group invariant form by introducing renormalized Isgur-W ise form factors. The nal form ulas do not rely on explicit expressions for the W ilson coe cient functions and are thus valid to all orders in perturbation theory.

We have emphasized that beyond the leading order in $1=m_Q$ it is necessary to distinguish between the hadron velocity transfer w = v and a related variable w, which can be interpreted as the short-distance velocity transfer of the heavy quarks. Whereas the universal form factors of HQET are functions of w, the variable w appears in the short-distance coe cient functions.

Our nal expressions for the meson form factors in (28) and (29), and for the baryon form factors in (17), generalize approximate results derived in leading-logarithm ic approximation by Luke [10] and G eorgiet al. [12]. These new formulas should be used in further analyses of sem ileptonic decays of heavy mesons or baryons.

A cknow ledgements: It is a pleasure to thank M ichael Peskin for useful discussions. Financial support from the BASF A ktiengesellschaft and from the G emman N ational Scholarship Foundation is gratefully acknow ledged. This work was also supported by the D epartm ent of E nergy, contract D E -A C 03-76SF 00515.

References

- [1] E.Eichten and B.Hill, Phys. Lett. B 234, 511 (1990); 243, 427 (1990).
- [2] H.Georgi, Phys. Lett. B 240, 447 (1990).
- [3] T. Mannel, W. Roberts, and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B 368, 204 (1992).
- [4] A F.Falk, B.Grinstein, and M E.Luke, Nucl. Phys. B 357, 185 (1991).
- [5] A.F.Falk, H.Georgi, B.Grinstein, and M.B.W ise, Nucl. Phys. B 343, 1 (1990).
- [6] For a comprehensive review, see: M. Neubert, SLAC preprint SLAC { PUB {6263 (1993), to appear in Physics Reports.
- [7] M B. Voloshin and M A. Shifman, Yad. Fiz. 47, 801 (1988) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 47, 511 (1988)].
- [8] M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. B 264, 455 (1991).
- [9] N. Isgur and M.B. W ise, Phys. Lett. B 232, 113 (1989); 237, 527 (1990).
- [10] M E.Luke, Phys.Lett.B 252, 447 (1990).
- [11] A F.Falk, M. Neubert, and M. Luke, Nucl. Phys. B 388, 363 (1992).
- [12] H.Georgi, B.Grinstein, and M.B.W ise, Phys. Lett. B 252, 456 (1990).
- [13] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 46, 2212 (1992); Nucl. Phys. B 371, 149 (1992).
- [14] M. Luke and A.V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 286, 348 (1992).
- [15] M. Neubert, Phys. Lett. B 306, 357 (1993).
- [16] N. Isgur and M. B. W ise, Nucl. Phys. B 348, 276 (1991).

- [17] H.Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B 348, 293 (1991).
- [18] T.Mannel, W. Roberts, and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B 355, 38 (1991).
- [19] F.Hussain, J.G.Komer, M.Kramer, and G.Thompson, Z.Phys. C 51, 321 (1991); F.Hussain et al., Nucl. Phys. B 370, 259 (1992).
- [20] A F. Falk and M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 47, 2965 (1993); 47, 2982 (1993).
- [21] Z.Ligeti, M.Neubert, and Y.Nir, SLAC preprint SLAC {PUB {6146 (1993), submitted to Phys.Rev.D.
- [22] M.Neubert, Z.Ligeti, and Y.Nir, Phys.Lett.B 301, 101 (1993); Phys. Rev.D 47, 5060 (1993).
- [23] M. Neubert and V. Rieckert, Nucl. Phys. B 382, 97 (1992).
- [24] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 46, 3914 (1992).