# Baryogenesis from Long Cosm ic Strings

#### M asoud M ohazzab<sup>1</sup>

Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and M athematics, P.O. Box 5746, Tehran 19395, Iran.

#### A bstract

Based on the mechanism of cusp production on long cosmic strings, the baryon asymmetry caused by cusp annihilation has been calculated. The result is compatible with observation and stronger than the results from loops.

 $<sup>^{1}\,</sup>$  e-m ail addresses m asoud@ netware2.ipm ac.ir and m asoud@ iream bitnet

#### Introduction 1

Grand Unied Theories (GUT) are possible fram eworks to explain the baryon asymmetry of the universe where the Sakharov's conditions [1] i.e. 1) baryon number violation, 2) C and CP violation and 3) departure from thermal equilibrium are satis ed. GUTs predict that the universe underwent a series of phase transitions during its early stage of evolution. Cosm ic strings are one dim ensional topological defects that are generated during the phase transition [2], [3]. Cosm ic strings have to be in the form of loops or in nitely long with the im portant parameter, mass per length of the strings and are very desirable in cosmology.

- 10 6 is compatible with
- 1) the scale of GUT sym metry breaking
- 2) structure form ation models and
- 3) them al uctuations of the background radiation (the recent COBE results).

The oscillations of cosm ic strings typically lead to the formation of cusps. A cusp is a point where two segments of the strings overlap and the point reaches the speed of light. There is no topological barrier to conserve cusps from decaying into bursts of Higgs or superheavy particles. The rate of decay and total energy of cusp annihilation has been worked out in [4] and [5], respectively. The starting point for the perturbative calculation is to consider the interacting lagrangian as [3]

$$L_{I} = j j^{2}$$
 (1.1)

is the coupling constant of the M exican hat potential where

$$U() = \frac{1}{4} (j j^{2})^{2}$$
 (1.2)

is the outgoing particles, is the scale of GUT sym metry breaking and is the complex eld con guration of the string.

The dimensionless value that most authors try to derive is the ratio of the net baryon num ber density, denoted by  $n_B$   $n_B$ , to the entropy density of the universe, s, or  $=\frac{n_B-n_B}{s}$ . The observational value for based on the measurm ents of aboundances of prim ordial D, 10 11 .  $^{3}$ He and  $^{7}$ Li is in the range (6 10)

Cusp annihilation on cosmic strings has long been considered as a mechanism to describe the baryon asymmetry of the universe [6]. The annihilation of cusps produce superheavy particles. Furthere decay of these particles results in the baryon asymmetry of B 10 13 [7]. In [6] loops of ordinary cosm ic strings are considered and the baryon number production from kinks and cusps evaporation has been calculated. The result of [6] is independent of era shows cusp annihilation on loops results in a compatible value for . K ink evaporation, on the other hand, cannot describe the observed value of baryon asymmetry. Another mechanism to be accounted for baryogenesis is the collapse of the

topological defects such as cosm ic string loops or textures [8]. In the form er approach, oscillations of cosm ic string loops reduce their radius due to gravitational radiation. The loops shrink to their minimum size  $\frac{1}{2}$  when they have zero winding number and can decay into superheavy particles. The decay of these superheavy particles are accounted for the baryon number asymmetry. The other mechanism of [8] is the shrinking of the loops by cusp annihilation. In each period of oscillation of a loop, equivalent to its length, a cusp form s and radiates causing the loss of energy and therefore the decrease of the radius of the loop.

The ratios of baryon number generation of loops due to the decrease of its radius by gravitational radiation and cusp annihilation are respectively

$$gr:= \max_{m \text{ ax }} (G)^{\frac{3}{4}} (\frac{T_F}{T_C})^3$$
 (1.3)

$$_{\text{ca:}} = _{\text{m ax}} \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{T_{\text{F}}}{T_{\text{C}}} \right)^{3=2}$$
 (1.4)

where  $_{m \text{ ax}}$  '  $:03\frac{N_x}{N}$  B (10  $^{13}$  < B < 10  $^2$ ) and N  $_x$  is the helicity of spin and N is the number of states.  $T_F$  is the temperature at the time  $t_F = (G)^1 t_F^0$  where  $t_F$  is the time that loops reaches to its m in im um size length and decays and  $t_F^0$  is the time when the loop is formed.

In [9] a mechanism has been suggested that produces cusps from colliding traveling waves on long strings. In this work, based on the same mechanism, we calculate the baryon asymmetry caused by long cosmic strings from cusp annihilation.

In the next section, we calculate the amount of baryon number from cusp annihilation on long strings.

# 2 Baryon number production from long strings

A coording to a num erical simulation, long strings are 80% of the total cosm ic strings form ed at the GUT phase transition [3]. Therefore they may have important contribution to radiation from cosm ic strings.

Long strings do not shrink to a point and the only possibility for them to radiate superheavy particles is through cusp annihilation. Cusps, on long strings, are formed, up to a probability, when two wiggles traveling along the string collide. If we assume the wiggles have random shapes we can not the probability of formation of a cusp is 50% [9]. The probability of formation of more than one cusp in each collision is also non-zero. Of course it is clear that the shapes of wiggles are not random since the fractal dimension of cosmic strings is 12 (and not 2 for the random shape) [10]. Due to this mechanism and the possibility of

superheavy particle production from cusps annihilation, long strings could contribute to the baryon number generation.

The scaling distribution of long strings is given by [3]

$$n_{lss:}^{scaling} = \frac{1}{+3}$$
 (2.5)

where is a constant of the order 100 and t is the cosm ological time. The distribution before the scaling solution, however, is dierent and is given by [11]

$$n_{l:s:} = \frac{0}{(G )^{\frac{3}{2}} m_{pl}^{\frac{3}{4}} t^{3+\frac{3}{4}}}$$
 (2.6)

where  $^{0}$  is constant.

The distribution of traveling waves on long strings can roughly be written [9] as

$$K(1;t) = \frac{t}{12}$$
 (2.7)

where l is the size (the order of wavelength) of the traveling wiggle and is a constant.

The total number of cusps per volume will be

$$n_{c}(t) = P_{c} \int_{t_{min}}^{z_{t}} dt^{0} n_{l:s:} \int_{t_{min}}^{z_{t^{0}}} dlK (l;t^{0}) \frac{1}{1} z(t^{0})^{3}$$
 (2.8)

where z (t) is the red shift factor at the time t,  $\frac{1}{1}$  represents the frequency of the impacts of the wiggles. The probability of cusp formation on long string upon each collision is denoted by  $P_c$ .

In the integration (2.8)  $l_{m \ in}$  is a m in im um for the size of traveling waves, beyond which gravitational radiation sm oothes out the wiggle

$$\underline{I}_{n \text{ in }}$$
 G t (2.9)

where ' 100.

The minimum time  $t_{m \text{ in}}$  is close to the time when cosm ic string is formed, i.e.  $t_{c}$ , the time when GUT phase transition occurs.

It should be noted that we have neglected the e ect of friction and the fact that the traveling waves do not change their shape when they travel along the string [12].

To implement the third Sakharov condition, i.e. out of equilibrium condition, we should have

where is the decay rate of the superheavy particle and H is the expansion rate of the Universe. Here we consider the decay of superheavy particles and don't consider the inverse

decay or collisions. Therefore the m in im um time can be approximated by  $t_{m in} = t_s$  10m <sup>1</sup> [6], when the inverse decay is suppressed by boltzm an factor.  $t_s$  is given by

$$t_{s} = 100 \frac{3}{16^{3}g} (\frac{m}{m_{pl}})^{1} t_{pl}$$
 (2.11)

U sing the number density (2.6), the total number of cusps at the timet, before the scaling solution era, will be

$$n_c(t) = \frac{7 {^{0}P_c}}{2 (G)^{2} (G)^{\frac{3}{2}m_{pl}^{\frac{3}{4}}}} \frac{1}{t^{2}} \frac{1}{t_s^{\frac{7}{4}}}$$

The net baryon number from cusp evaporation is

$$n_{\rm B} = n_{\rm c} B \tag{2.12}$$

where B is the amount of baryon number violation due to CP violation from the decay of the superheavy particles and is in the range of 10 $^2$  10 $^{13}$ , depending on the model [7].

The baryon number asymmetry will then be

$$\frac{n_{B}}{s} = \frac{7 {}^{0}P_{c} B}{2 (G)^{2} (G)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{1}{(30118q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{45}} \frac{T}{2} \frac{1}{s} \frac{T}{m_{pl} t_{s}}^{\frac{1}{4}}}$$
(2.13)

s is the entropy density  $s = \frac{2}{45}$   $^2g_sT^3$ ,  $g_s$  is the number of states and T is the tem perature of the universe at the time t.

By (2.13) it is seen that the baryon asymmetry produced by long strings depends on the era. It is easy to see, however, that the asymmetry produced in the early era is much more important. In fact by using (2.5) instead of (2.6), in the integration (2.8), and performing the integration up to the present time it can be seen that the value of asymmetry is 30 order of magnitude less than the observed value. As a result we just consider the pre-scaling era. A nother result of (2.13 is that the suppression factor (i.e. the exponent of T) is 1 while in the case of loops it is more severe (equations (1.3) and (1.4)[8]). For  $^{0}$  1, G =  $10^{4}$ , G =  $10^{6}$ , g =  $g_{s}$  = 100 and using (2.11) we will have

= 
$$\pm 566$$
  $10^{11}$  P<sub>c</sub> B  $\frac{T}{m_{pl}} (\frac{m}{m_{pl}})^{\frac{7}{4}}$  (2.14)

The exact value for  $P_c$  and is not known yet, but it is surely  $P_c < \frac{1}{2}$ . In [9] it was assumed = 1 which is an upper estimate for and we should have < 1.

Therefore, form  $10^{15} \rm G\,eV\,$  [5], P<sub>c</sub> = :5 [9], T  $10^{13} \rm \,G\,eV\,$  and m<sub>pl</sub> 12  $10^{19} \rm \,G\,eV\,$  we see that for the value B  $10^{-8}$ , the baryogenesis from long cosm ic strings is consistent with the observed value.

#### 3 Conclusion

Long strings are able to radiate superheavy particles via production and annihilation of cusps. Cusps may form on long strings when two traveling wiggles collide. The annihilation of cusps could be accounted for baryon asymmetry of the universe. By calculating the total number of cusps on long strings, as a function of time, we have calculated the amount of baryon asymmetry from them. It is assumed that one (or a pair of) superheavy gauge particle(s) is produced from each cusp annihilation. The suppression factor for long strings is less than that of loops. As a result long strings have more important contribution than loops to the baryon asymmetry.

# A cknow ledgm ents

I am grateful to Farhad Ardalan for useful comments.

#### R eferences

- [1] A.D. Sakharov, ZhETF Pisma 5 (1967) 32 [JETP Lett. 5 (1967) 24.]
- [2] T.W.B.Kibble Phys.Rep. 67 (1980) 183.
- [3] A. Vilenkin Phys. Rep. 121 (1985) 263.
- [4] M. Serendicki and S. Theisen, Phys. Lett. B 189 (1987) 397; R. H. Brandenberger, Nucl. Phys. B 293 (1987) 812.
- [5] M.M. ohazzab, Int. J. M. od. Phys. D (1993).
- [6] M . Kawasaki M . and K . M aeda Phys. Lett. B 209 (1988) 271.
- [7] D. Nanopoulos and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 2484; A. Yildiz and P. H. Cox, Phys. Rev. D 21 (1980) 906; P. Langacker in "CP violation" edited by C. Jorlskog, World Scientic, 1989.
- [8] R.H.Brandenberger, A.C.Davis and M.Hindemarsh Phys. Lett. B 263 (1991) 239.
- [9] M.Mohazzab and R.H.Brandenberger Int. J.Mod. Phys. D 2 (1993) 185.
- [10] F.R.Bouchet, "The form ation and evolution of cosm ic strings", editted by G.G ibbons, S.Hawking and T.Vachespati, Cambridge University Press 1990; D.P.Bennett, ibid; N.Turok, ibid.

- [11] A.Everett, Phys.Rev D 24 (1981) 858; T.W.B.Kibble, Acta Phys.Pol.B 13 (1982) 723.
- [12] Vachespatiand T. VachespatiPhys. Lett. B 238 (1990) 41; Grankle and T. VachespatiPhys. Rev. D 42, (1990) 1960.