## Nonoblique Corrections in Technicolor Theories Revisited

Guo-Hong Wu

Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520

December 23, 2021

## Abstract

In extended technicolor (ETC) theories, while the sideways ETC boson exchange decreases the width  $\Gamma_b \equiv \Gamma(Z \to b\bar{b})$ , the flavor-diagonal ETC boson exchange tends to increase it, and the ETC-corrected  $R_b \equiv \Gamma_b/\Gamma_{\text{had}}$  value could agree with recent measurements. The  $\tau$  asymmetry parameter may also increase in a way consistent with experiment. The weak-interaction  $\rho$  parameter receives a correction from diagonal ETC exchange which is just barely acceptable by experiments.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Nz, 13.38.Dg

Technicolor (TC) theories with their characteristic nondecoupling effects, often have sizable corrections to various low energy observables that are now under scrutiny by precise electroweak measurements. The recent measurement of  $R_b \equiv$  $\Gamma_b/\Gamma_{had}$  ( $\Gamma_{had}$  is the Z hadronic width) at the CERN  $e^+e^-$  collider LEP [1],  $R_b =$  $0.2202\pm0.0020$ , already differs by more than two standard deviations from the value  $R_b^{SM} = 0.2157\pm0.0004$  predicted by the minimal standard model with the top quark mass in the range  $m_t = 163 \sim 185$  GeV [2]. Previous studies [3–7] indicate that extended technicolor (ETC) models with ETC bosons carrying no standard model quantum numbers give negative corrections to  $R_b^{SM}$  and therefore have been ruled out at high confidence-level. Noncommuting ETC models [8] (ETC bosons carrying weak charge) have been proposed to give a positive correction to the standard model prediction. More recently it has been found [9] that an extra gauge boson existing in certain type of models [10] of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking can give positive corrections to both  $R_b^{\text{SM}}$  and the  $\tau$  asymmetry parameter  $A_{\tau}$ , as well as corrections to other standard model predictions, all in agreement with recent experimental data.

In this letter, we reconsider ETC models with ETC bosons transforming as singlets under the standard model gauge group. We find that while the sideways ETC boson exchange (connecting technifermions to ordinary fermions within the same ETC multiplet) decreases  $\Gamma_b$ , the diagonal boson exchange (connecting technifermions, and ordinary fermions, only to themselves) increases the width, contrary to the result obtained in ref. [6]. Furthermore, the two corrections are of the same order of magnitude, and the ETC-corrected  $R_b$  value could lie in a range consistent with recent LEP measurements. We also find that if technielectrons are much lighter than techniquarks, the dominant contribution to the  $\tau$  asymmetry parameter  $A_{\tau}$  comes from diagonal ETC boson exchange, and that the ETC corrections may increase  $A_{\tau}$  in a way consistent with experiment. It is worth noticing that the diagonal ETC boson considered here plays a similar role in electroweak radiative corrections as the recently discussed [9] extra gauge boson existing in some other models [10] of dynamical symmetry breaking.

The ETC models we consider have separate ETC scales for different quarklepton families [11]. The third family containing the heaviest fermions has the lowest ETC scale and gives the largest ETC corrections to various physical observables. We subsequently focus on the third family and neglect the first two. The "nonoblique" [12] effects due to ETC boson exchange can be conveniently studied in  $R_b \equiv \Gamma_b/\Gamma_{had}$ , where the "oblique" (and QCD) corrections nearly cancel in the ratio.

We consider a one-family TC model with technifermions belonging to the fundamental representation of an  $SU(N)_{\rm TC}$  technicolor group and carrying the same color and electroweak quantum numbers as their standard model counterparts. The ordinary fermions couple to the technifermions via ETC interactions with strengths  $g_{E,L}, g_{E,R}^U$  and  $g_{E,R}^D$  for respectively the left-handed techniquark-quark weak doublets, the right-handed techniup  $(U_R)$  and  $t_R$ , and the right-handed technidown  $(D_R)$  and  $b_R$  (plus couplings between the leptons and technileptons). The ETC gauge symmetry is assumed to break down to  $SU(N)_{\rm TC}$  below the third-family ETC scale  $m_{\rm ETC}$ , generating both sideways and diagonal ETC bosons with masses  $m_{X_S}$  and  $m_{X_D}$  respectively. The hermitian, traceless generator for the diagonal ETC boson respects the  $SU(N)_{TC}$  TC symmetry and can therefore be normalized as diag $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(N+1)}}(1,\cdots,1,-N)$ . The resulting effective ETC lagrangian can thus be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{ETC}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{TC}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_{S}^{i,\mu} J_{S,i,\mu} + X_{S,i,\mu} J_{S}^{i,\mu}) - X_{D,\mu} J_{D}^{\mu}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{TC}$  is the technicolor interaction, *i* is the technicolor index,  $X_S^{i,\mu}$  and  $X_{D,\mu}$ stand for the sideways and diagonal ETC bosons respectively, and  $J_{S,i,\mu}$  and  $J_D^{\mu}$ denote their corresponding currents. The sideways and diagonal ETC currents are given by

 $\tau^{i,\mu}$ 

$$J_{S,i,\mu} = g_{E,L}\bar{Q}_{iL}\gamma_{\mu}\psi_{L} + g_{E,R}^{U}\bar{U}_{iR}\gamma_{\mu}t_{R} + g_{E,R}^{D}\bar{D}_{iR}\gamma_{\mu}b_{R}, \qquad (2)$$

$$J_{S}^{i,\mu} = (J_{S,i}^{\mu})^{\dagger},$$

$$J_{D}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(N+1)}} g_{E,L}(\bar{Q}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{L} - N\bar{\psi}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}\psi_{L})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(N+1)}} g_{E,R}^{U}(\bar{U}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}U_{R} - N\bar{t}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}t_{R})$$
(3)

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2N(N+1)}}g^D_{E,R}(\bar{D}_R\gamma^\mu D_R - N\bar{b}_R\gamma^\mu b_R),$$

where  $Q \equiv (U, D)$  is the techniquark doublet,  $\psi \equiv (t, b)$  is the quark doublet, and summation over color (and technicolor) indices is implied. The ETC currents in the lepton-technilepton sector are not displayed but can be similarly written down. The ETC corrections to the left-handed and right-handed *b* couplings to Z ( $g_L^b$  and  $g_R^b$ ) can now be computed. As  $\delta\Gamma_b$  is more than five times as sensitive to  $\delta g_L^b$  as to  $\delta g_R^b$ , only ETC corrections to  $g_L^b$  will be considered.

It has been suggested [13] that in a realistic one-family TC model, the technileptons could be much lighter than the nearly degenerate techniquarks in order to keep the electroweak S parameter [14] small or even negative while not to violate the experimental bound on the T parameter [14]. The dominant contribution to the weak scale v = 246 GeV would therefore come from the techniquark sector,

$$v^{2} = N_{C}f_{Q}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f_{E}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f_{N}^{2} \simeq N_{C}f_{Q}^{2},$$
(4)

where  $N_C = 3$  is the number of colors,  $f_Q$ ,  $f_E$  and  $f_N$  are the Goldstone boson (GB) decay constants for the techniquark, technielectron and technineutrino sectors respectively, and  $N_C f_Q^2 \gg (f_E^2 + f_N^2)/2$  has been used in arriving at the second expression. We will assume this technifermion mass spectrum which makes our computations simple and transparent, though our conclusions are not sensitive to this assumption.

The effective chiral lagrangian method was first used to estimate the sideways ETC exchange contribution to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex in a one-doublet TC model by Chivukula *et al.* [3], the same procedure will be followed here. For the assumed technifermion mass spectrum, the technilepton's contribution to the weak scale and similarly to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex can be neglected to first approximation.

The sideways ETC boson exchange gives rise to the following four-fermion operators below the ETC scale  $m_{X_S}$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f}^{S} = -\frac{1}{2m_{X_{S}}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J_{S}^{i,\mu} J_{S,i,\mu} = -\frac{g_{E,L}^{2}}{2m_{X_{S}}^{2}} (\bar{Q}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} \psi_{L}) (\bar{\psi}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} Q_{L}) + \cdots, \qquad (5)$$

where four-fermion operators not contributing to  $g_L^b$  have been dropped, and summation over color and technicolor indices is implied in the second expression. The above four-fermion operator can be Fierzed into the form

$$-\frac{g_{E,L}^2}{2m_{X_S}^2}\frac{1}{2N_C}\sum_{a=1}^3(\bar{\psi}_L\gamma_\mu\tau_a\otimes 1_3\psi_L)(\bar{Q}_L\gamma^\mu\tau_a\otimes 1_3Q_L)+\cdots,$$
(6)

where  $\tau_a$ 's are the Pauli matrices, and  $1_3$  denotes the three by three unit matrix in color space. The dots stand for the weak-singlet and/or color-octet pieces that do not couple to Z and thus have no contributions to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex.

Below the TC chiral symmetry breaking scale, the techniquark current is replaced by the corresponding sigma model current [15] of an  $SU(2N_C)_L \otimes SU(2N_C)_R$ chiral symmetry of the techniquark sector

$$\bar{Q}_L \gamma^\mu \tau_a \otimes 1_3 Q_L \to i \frac{f_Q^2}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma^\dagger \tau_a \otimes 1_3 D^\mu \Sigma) \stackrel{\Sigma=1}{=} -\frac{g}{c} Z^\mu N_C f_Q^2 \frac{\delta^{3a}}{2} + W^{\pm,\mu} \text{ piece,} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Sigma$  is the  $2N_C$  by  $2N_C$  exponentiated Goldstone boson matrix transforming as  $\Sigma \to L\Sigma R^{\dagger}$  under  $SU(2N_C)_L \otimes SU(2N_C)_R$ , and  $D_{\mu}\Sigma$  is the electroweak covariant derivative. In the last expression we set the Goldstone fields to zero to project out the Z field, g is the  $SU(2)_L$  gauge coupling, and  $c = \cos \theta_W$  ( $\theta_W$  is the Weinberg angle).

Upon substituting Eq. 7 into Eq. 6, we can read off the correction to  $g_L^b$  from

sideways ETC exchange,

$$\delta g_L^b(\text{sideways}) = \frac{g_{E,L}^2 f_Q^2}{8m_{X_S}^2}.$$
(8)

Since the standard model tree level value  $g_L^b = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3}s^2$  ( $s^2 \equiv \sin^2 \theta_W$ ) is negative, the sideways ETC exchange decreases  $\Gamma_b$  relative to the standard model prediction. It is worth emphasizing [16] that the result of Eq. 8 is of complete generality rather than a low energy effective lagrangian approximation, and that it is directly related to whatever (TC) dynamics contributes the Z self energy (the weak scale). The chiral lagrangian used above is nothing more than one convenient way of providing this connection. The same applies to Eq. 10 below.

Below the ETC scale  $m_{X_D}$ , the diagonal boson exchange gives rise to the following four-fermion operators,

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f}^{D} = -\frac{1}{2m_{X_{D}}^{2}} J_{D,\mu} J_{D}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{4m_{X_{D}}^{2}} \frac{1}{N+1} g_{E,L} (g_{E,R}^{U} - g_{E,R}^{D}) (\bar{Q}_{R} \tau_{3} \gamma^{\mu} Q_{R}) (\bar{\psi}_{L} \gamma_{\mu} \psi_{L}) + \cdots,$$
(9)

where color and technicolor summation is implied, and we have retained only the dominant techniquark contribution to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex. Below the TC chiral symmetry breaking scale, the right-handed techniquark current is replaced by the corresponding sigma model current

$$\bar{Q}_R \tau_3 \otimes 1_3 \gamma^\mu Q_R \to i \frac{f_Q^2}{2} \text{Tr}(\Sigma \tau_3 \otimes 1_3 (D^\mu \Sigma)^\dagger) \stackrel{\Sigma=1}{=} \frac{g}{c} Z^\mu \frac{N_C f_Q^2}{2}.$$
 (10)

Substituting Eq. 10 into Eq. 9, the correction to  $g_L^b$  from diagonal ETC boson exchange can be read off,

$$\delta g_L^b(\text{diagonal}) \simeq -\frac{f_Q^2}{8m_{X_D}^2} \frac{N_C}{N+1} g_{E,L}(g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D).$$
 (11)

The result of Eq. 11 differs by a minus sign from the loop estimate of ref. [6], and it arises from the opposite-sign couplings of the diagonal boson to techniquarks and quarks (we have checked the sign by doing the loop calculation). It is noted that the masses of t and b are related to the techniquark condensates by  $m_t = \frac{g_{E,L}g_{E,R}^U \langle \bar{U}U \rangle}{2m_{X_S}^2}$  and  $m_b = \frac{g_{E,L}g_{E,R}^D \langle \bar{D}D \rangle}{2m_{X_S}^2}$  respectively, and that  $m_t \gg m_b$  can be explained only if  $g_{E,R}^U > g_{E,R}^D$  (we take the ETC couplings  $g_E$ 's to be positive for simplicity, though they are only required to be of the same sign). The diagonal ETC exchange therefore gives a negative correction to  $g_L^b$  and increases the width  $\Gamma_b$ , contrary to the sideways ETC exchange. Note that the size of the diagonal ETC exchange correction becomes smaller with increasing number of technicolor.

Summing up the sideways and diagonal ETC exchange contributions gives

$$\delta g_{L,\text{ETC}}^{b} \simeq -\frac{f_{Q}^{2}}{8} \left[ \frac{g_{E,L}(g_{E,R}^{U} - g_{E,R}^{D})}{m_{X_{D}}^{2}} \frac{N_{C}}{N+1} - \frac{g_{E,L}^{2}}{m_{X_{S}}^{2}} \right]$$
(12)  
$$\stackrel{N=2}{\simeq} -\frac{v^{2}}{24m_{X_{S}}^{2}} \left[ \frac{m_{X_{S}}^{2}}{m_{X_{D}}^{2}} g_{E,L}(g_{E,R}^{U} - g_{E,R}^{D}) - g_{E,L}^{2} \right].$$

It is seen from the above expression that the two contributions are of comparable magnitude, and that for large N the sideways ETC exchange contribution dominates and the width  $\Gamma_b$  decreases. Both the electroweak S parameter and current LEP result of  $R_b$  favor small values of N. We have therefore taken N = 2 in arriving at the second expression and will assume this value throughout this paper. The size of the ETC couplings  $g_E$ 's and the ratio of the masses of the sideways and diagonal ETC bosons are ETC-model-dependent. It is therefore possible for ETC exchange to give a positive correction to  $R_b$ .

So far the focus has been on the "high energy" ETC contributions to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex from "integrating out" technifermions, there are also "low energy" con-

tributions coming from the pseudo-Goldstone-bosons (PGB's). For a one-family QCD-like TC model and including only color contributions to the masses of coloroctet PGB's, these effects have been found to decrease  $R_b$  by a few percent [7]. In realistic ETC models, masses of the PGB's could be significantly enhanced by whatever dynamics enhances the technifermion condensates, as in "walking" TC theories [17] and in strong-ETC models [18], and the PGB correction to  $R_b$  may significantly be reduced.

We now use Eq. 12 for an estimate of the TC correction to  $R_b$ , taking N = 2 and assuming the dominant contributions to the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex come from ETC exchange. Denoting the generic ETC couplings by  $g_E$  and ETC boson masses by  $m_{\rm ETC}$ , we have  $\delta g_{L,\rm ETC}^b \sim -\frac{v^2}{24} \frac{g_E^2}{m_{\rm ETC}^2}$  from diagonal ETC exchange. The diagonal ETC correction to  $R_b$  is then

$$\frac{\delta R_b}{R_b} \simeq (1 - R_b) \frac{2g_L^b \delta g_L^b}{g_L^b + g_B^b} \sim 0.9\% \times \frac{g_E^2}{(m_{\rm ETC}/{\rm TeV})^2},\tag{13}$$

where the value  $s^2 = 0.232$  has been used. In order for the diagonal exchange alone to result in an effect as large as seen at LEP, it is necessary that  $g_E^2/m_{\rm ETC}^2 \sim$  $(2 \pm 1)/{\rm TeV}^2$ . In strong-ETC models [18] where the large t mass is generated with a near-critical ETC coupling  $(g_E^2/4\pi^2 \simeq 1)$ , LEP result of  $R_b$  would require  $m_{\rm ETC} \sim 3 - 6$  TeV. In this case, the strong ETC dynamics contributes an essential part of the t mass, whereas to a large extent the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex is only sensitive to the TC dynamics, and unlike QCD-scaled-up TC models [3] there is no direct connection between  $R_b$  and  $m_t$  [5].

The sensitivity of the  $\tau$  asymmetry  $A_{\tau} \equiv \frac{g_L^{\tau^2} - g_R^{\tau^2}}{g_L^{\tau^2} + g_R^{\tau^2}} (g_L^{\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} + s^2 \text{ and } g_R^{\tau} = s^2$ are the left-handed and right-handed  $\tau$  couplings to Z) to new physics can be appreciated by noting that

$$\frac{\delta A_{\tau}}{A_{\tau}} = \frac{4g_L^{\tau 2} g_R^{\tau 2}}{g_L^{\tau 4} - g_R^{\tau 4}} (\frac{\delta g_L^{\tau}}{g_L^{\tau}} - \frac{\delta g_R^{\tau}}{g_R^{\tau}}) = -25.5 \ \delta g_L^{\tau} - 29.5 \ \delta g_R^{\tau}, \tag{14}$$

where  $s^2 = 0.232$  has been used and the large coefficients are due to the  $1/(1-4s^2)$ enhancement factor. Like the  $Zb\bar{b}$  vertex,  $Z\tau\bar{\tau}$  vertex receives corrections from both sideways and diagonal ETC exchange (for the assumed technifermion mass spectrum [13] where the resulting PGB's after TC chiral symmetry breaking contain no techni-leptoquarks, the PGB corrections to the  $Z\tau\bar{\tau}$  vertex will be suppressed by the square of the small  $\tau$  mass and can thus be neglected). The sideways ETC exchange involves technielectrons whereas for diagonal ETC exchange the dominant contribution comes from techniquarks. A similar calculation to that of the  $Zb\bar{b}$ vertex gives

$$\delta g_{L,\text{ETC}}^{\tau} \simeq -\frac{f_Q^2}{8} \left[ \frac{g_{E,L}^{\tau} (g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D)}{m_{X_D}^2} \frac{N_C}{N+1} - \frac{g_{E,L}^{\tau}^2}{m_{X_S}^2} \frac{f_E^2}{f_Q^2} \right]$$
(15)

$$\delta g_{R,\text{ETC}}^{\tau} \simeq -\frac{f_Q^2}{8} \left[ \frac{g_{E,R}^{\tau} (g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D)}{m_{X_D}^2} \frac{N_C}{N+1} + \frac{g_{E,R}^{\tau}^2}{m_{X_S}^2} \frac{f_E^2}{f_Q^2} \right]$$
(16)

where  $g_{E,L}^{\tau}$  and  $g_{E,R}^{\tau}$  are the ETC couplings for  $\tau_L$  and  $\tau_R$  respectively.

It is seen from Eqs. 14 – 16 that ETC exchange in general increases  $A_{\tau}$ relative to the standard model prediction. For our assumed technifermion mass spectrum where  $f_E^2 \ll f_Q^2$  [13], the diagonal ETC exchange dominates over the sideways exchange and a simple estimate of  $\delta A_{\tau}/A_{\tau}$  can be made by assuming that the ETC couplings are of comparable magnitude (the fermion mass spectrum could partly arise from the hierarchy in the technifermion condensates [11]). We then get (taking N = 2 and  $g_E^2/m_{\rm ETC}^2 \sim (2 \pm 1)/{\rm TeV}^2$ ),

$$\delta g_{L,\text{ETC}}^{\tau} \sim \delta g_{L,\text{ETC}}^{b} \sim -(5.0 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}, \qquad \delta g_{R,\text{ETC}}^{\tau} \sim \delta g_{L,\text{ETC}}^{\tau}. \tag{17}$$

And the ETC exchange correction to  $A_{\tau}$  is then  $\delta A_{\tau}/A_{\tau} \sim 0.28 \pm 0.14$ . Note that the ETC correction to  $A_{\tau}$  could be significantly reduced if  $\tau$  couples to the technifermion sector at a higher ETC scale than the *t* quark. Experimentally,  $\delta A_{\tau}/A_{\tau}$ can be extracted [9] from lepton asymmetry measurements at LEP [19]: assume  $e, \mu$  universality, and average  $A_{\text{FB}}^{0\,\tau}/A_{\text{FB}}^{0\,e,\mu}$  from lepton forward-backward asymmetries and  $3P_{\tau}/4P_{\tau}^{\text{FB}}$  from  $\tau$  polarization (both are equal to  $A_{\tau}/A_{e}$  and are insensitive to oblique corrections). Comparing the average to unity gives  $\delta A_{\tau}/A_{\tau}(\exp) =$  $0.14 \pm 0.10$ . Thus future experimental improvements on the precise lepton asymmetry measurements will start constraining ETC models which could give large positive corrections to  $A_{\tau}$ .

On dimensional grounds, diagonal ETC exchange could contribute significantly to the precisely constrained  $\rho$  parameter just like to  $Zb\bar{b}$  coupling [20]. The dominant contribution comes from the four techniquark operator [21],

$$\mathcal{L}_{4f}^{\Delta\rho} = -\frac{1}{16N(N+1)} \frac{(g_{E,R}^{U} - g_{E,R}^{D})^{2}}{m_{X_{D}}^{2}} (\bar{Q}_{R}\tau_{3}\gamma^{\mu}Q_{R}) (\bar{Q}_{R}\tau_{3}\gamma_{\mu}Q_{R}), \quad (18)$$

where the prefactor comes from the diagonal ETC coupling strengths. The correction to the  $\rho$  parameter can then be read off after substituting Eq. 10 into the above expression,

$$\Delta \rho_{\rm ETC} \simeq \frac{v^2}{8N(N+1)} \frac{(g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D)^2}{m_{X_D}^2} \simeq 0.13\% \times \frac{(g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D)^2}{(m_{X_D}/\text{TeV})^2}.$$
 (19)

For  $(g_{E,R}^U - g_{E,R}^D)^2 / m_{X_D}^2 \sim g_E^2 / m_{\text{ETC}}^2 \sim (2 \pm 1) / \text{TeV}^2$ , this gives  $\Delta \rho_{\text{ETC}} \sim (0.26 \pm 0.13)\%$ . Combined with the technicolor-sector contributions estimated in the one family TC model [13], this is barely consistent with recent global fits to data [22]. On the other hand, the ETC correction to the *S* parameter is found to be negligible compared to the TC contributions.

In conclusion, diagonal ETC exchange with  $g_E^2/m_{\rm ETC}^2 \sim (2 \pm 1)/{\rm TeV}^2$  could explain the LEP  $R_b$  measurement if it is the dominant contribution in conventional ETC models, this in turn gives a positive correction to the  $\rho$  parameter which is just barely acceptable by experiments. The  $\tau$  asymmetry parameter  $A_{\tau}$  could receive a large and positive correction from diagonal ETC exchange if the  $\tau$  couples at the same ETC scale as the top quark, and further improvements on precision lepton asymmetry measurements at LEP should provide very interesting information on the lepton sector in ETC theories.

## Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Prof. T. Appelquist for suggesting this topic, reading the manuscript, and for his valuable discussions and suggestions. I would like to thank W. Marciano for interesting and illuminating conversations and for bringing ref. [19] to my attention. Helpful discussions with N. Evans and S. Selipsky are deeply appreciated. I would also like to thank B. Holdom for clarifying comments. This work was supported by DOE Grant No. DE-AC02ERU3075.

## References

- D. Schaile, Precision Tests of the Electroweak Interaction, plenary talk presented at the 27th International Conference on High Energy Physics, Glasgow, Scotland, July, 1994.
- [2] For a recent review on  $Z \to b\bar{b}$ , see R.S. Chivukula, Boston University preprint BUHEP-94-22, talk presented at DPF '94, Albuquerque, New Mexico, August,

1994, and references therein.

- [3] R.S. Chivukula, S.B. Selipsky, and E.H. Simmons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 575 (1992).
- [4] R.S. Chivukula, E. Gates, E.H. Simmons, and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B 311, 157 (1993).
- [5] N. Evans, Phys. Lett. B **331**, 378 (1994).
- [6] N. Kitazawa, Phys. Lett. B **313**, 395 (1993).
- [7] Z. Xiao *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5949 (1994).
- [8] R.S. Chivukula, E.H. Simmons, and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B 331, 383 (1994).
- [9] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B **339**, 114 (1994).
- [10] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. B **336**, 85 (1994); *ibid.* **314**, 89 (1993).
- [11] T. Appelquist and J. Terning, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2116 (1994), and references therein.
- [12] B.W. Lynn, M.E. Peskin, and R.G. Stuart, Report No. SLAC-PUB-3725, 1985 (unpublished); in *Physics at LEP*, Yellow Book CERN 86-02 (CERN, Geneva, 1986), Vol. I, p.90.
- [13] T. Appelquist and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B **315**, 139 (1993).
- [14] M.E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 964 (1990); M. Golden and L. Randall, Nucl. Phys. B 361, 3 (1991); B. Holdom and J. Terning, Phys. Lett. B 247, 88 (1990); W.J. Marciano and J.L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2963

(1990); D.C. Kennedy and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2967 (1990); A.Dobado, D. Espriu, and M.J. Herrero, Phys. Lett. B 255, 405 (1991).

- [15] H. Georgi, Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory, Benjamin-Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [16] This point has been stressed to me by T. Appelquist.
- [17] B. Holdom, Phys. Lett. **150B**, 301 (1985); T. Appelquist, D. Karabali, and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 957 (1986); K. Yamawaki, M. Bando, and K. Matumoto, *ibid.* **56**, 1335 (1986); T. Akiba and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **169B**, 432 (1986); T. Appelquist and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. D **35**, 774 (1987); **36**, 568 (1987).
- T. Appelquist, M. Einhorn, T. Takeuchi, and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Lett. B 220, 223 (1989); V.A. Miransky and K. Yamawaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4, 129 (1989); V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi, and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Lett. B 221, 177 (1989); V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi, and K. Yamawaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4, 1043 (1989); R.S. Chivukula, A. Cohen, and K. Lane, Nucl. Phys. B343, 554 (1990); T. Appelquist, J. Terning, and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. D 44, 871 (1991).
- [19] A. Kounine, talk presented at the Third Workshop on Tau Lepton Physics, Montreux, Switzerland, Sept. 19-22, 1994.
- [20] We thank R.S. Chivukula for bringing this point to our attention.

- [21] The importance of this four techniquark operator to the  $\rho$  parameter was first studied by T. Appelquist, M. Bowick, E. Cohler, and A. Hauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 1523 (1984).
- [22] See for example, J. Erler and P. Langacker, University of Pennsylvania report number UPR-0632T, hep-ph/9411203, 1994.