

AMES-HET-95-14

December 1994

Non-Universal Correction To $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ And Flavor Changing Neutral Current Couplings

X. Zhang and B.-L. Young

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Iowa State University,

Ames, Iowa 50011

ABSTRACT

A non-universal interaction, which involves only the heavy quarks (t_L , b_L) and t_R , modifies the neutral current couplings and induces flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC). The size of the FCNC effect depends crucially on the dynamics of the fermion mass generation. In this paper, we study the effect of the non-universal interaction on $Zb\bar{b}$, $Zb\bar{s}$, $Zd\bar{s}$ and $Zd\bar{b}$, by using an effective lagrangian technique and assuming the quark mass matrices in the form of a generalized Fritzsche ansatz. We point out that if fitting $R_b = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})/\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{Hadrons})$ to the LEP data within 1σ , the induced FCNC couplings are very close to the allowed bounds of several rare decays.

Recently the CDF collaboration[1] at FNAL presented evidence for a top quark with a mass $m_t \sim 175$ GeV. Since m_t is of the order of Fermi scale, the top quark couples strongly to the electroweak symmetry breaking sector and will play a key role in probing new physics beyond the standard model. This kind of new physics (*i.e.* non-universal interaction since it acts on only the top quark) can become manifest in top quark production processes at the hadron and next generation linear colliders. It can also affect the partial width of $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ measured at LEP because the $SU(2)_L$ group places (t_L, b_L) into a common doublet. The experimental observed value for the ratio $R_b = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b})/\Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{Hadrons})$ is slightly higher than the standard model expectation. This may be an indication of the non-universal interaction, if it is more than a statistical fluctuation.

It is known that a non-universal interaction will induce flavor changing neutral currents (FCNC) among the light fermions[2-5]. However, the size of the FCNC effect depends crucially on the quark mass mixing matrices. So one can not predict quantitatively the induced FCNC effect without specifying the mass matrices. At present it seems far too early to attempt an actual solution to the issue of mass generation. However, there has been a great amount of activities in looking for the relation between fermion masses and their mixing matrix elements, as commonly referred to as texture studies. One expects that a “successful” ansatz can provide clues to the dynamics of the fermion mass generation.

In recent years, most studies on the implication of fermion mass ansatz were focused on grand unification theories with and without supersymmetry. In this paper we take a phenomenological, model independent approach to new physics beyond the standard model, *i.e.*, the effective lagrangian technique, and consider the implication of the fermion mass ansatz on the induced FCNC effect. Specifically, we will use one variation of the Fritzsche[6] ansatz to study the correlated

effects of new physics on $Zb\bar{b}$ and $Zb\bar{s}$, *etc.* We will point out that when fitting R_b to the LEP data within 1σ , the induced FCNC couplings are very close to the allowed bounds of several rare decays. Our results show that the new physics associated with top quark may be revealed by the presence of FCNC processes.

We first discuss $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$. Following the general approach, we assume that anomalous, non-universal interaction is $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant. Hence the b quark will participate in any t quark interactions when the left-handed doublet is involved. This can result in a modification of the $Zb\bar{b}$ vertex. We can parametrize the modification by introducing a parameter κ_j , which shifts the standard model tree level coupling, g_j , to effective coupling g_j^{eff} ;

$$g_j^{eff} = g_j(1 + \kappa_j) \quad , \quad (1.a)$$

where $j = L(R)$ denotes the left-(right) hand, and g_j are the standard model coupling strengths of the neutral current,

$$g_L = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \quad ; \quad g_R = \frac{1}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \quad . \quad (1.b)$$

The contributions of the new physics to the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ width are proportional to g_L^2 and g_R^2 . Since $g_L^2 \gg g_R^2$, we will neglect the modification to the right-handed interaction in this article. Defining $\delta\Gamma$ to be the purely non-universal correction of the new physics beyond the standard model to the $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$ width, $\Gamma_{b\bar{b}}$, we have

$$\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\Gamma_{b\bar{b}}} \simeq 2 \frac{g_L^2 \kappa_L}{g_L^2 + g_R^2} \simeq 2 \kappa_L \quad . \quad (2)$$

Then the R_b becomes

$$R_b \sim R_b^{SM} \left(1 + \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\Gamma_{b\bar{b}}} \right) \sim R_b^{SM} (1 + 2\kappa_L) \quad , \quad (3)$$

where the standard model value $R_b^{SM} = 0.2157$ for $m_t = 175\text{GeV}$ and $m_H = 300\text{ GeV}$. The experimental value of R_b measured at LEP is $R_b = 0.2192 \pm 0.0018$ [7], which is roughly within 2σ of the standard model expectation. A positive κ_L would improve the situation.

In general, κ_L can be viewed as functions of q^2 [8], where q is the 4-momentum of the Z-boson, and at LEP, $q^2 = m_Z^2$. Expanding κ_L in terms of q^2 , we have

$$\kappa_L = \kappa_L^0 + q^2 - \text{dependent terms} \quad . \quad (4)$$

Gauge invariant operators describing κ_L have been constructed explicitly in effective lagrangian with a non-linear[2] realization of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$. In this paper we use an effective lagrangian with a linear realization[9] of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ for the discussion. The new physics effects are parametrized by a set of higher dimension operators \mathcal{O}^i , which are required to be invariant under the standard model gauge symmetry and contain only the standard model fields. The new physics effects on the light fermions are assumed to be negligible, so the higher dimension operators involve only (t_L, b_L) , t_R , the gauge and scalar bosons. For dimension 6, there are two operators which generate directly [F.1] a κ_L^0 in eq.(4)[10],

$$\mathcal{O}^1 = i [\phi^\dagger D_\mu \phi - (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger \phi] \bar{\Psi}_L \gamma^\mu \Psi_L \quad ; \quad (5.a)$$

$$\mathcal{O}^2 = i [\phi^\dagger \vec{\tau} D_\mu \phi - (D_\mu \phi)^\dagger \vec{\tau} \phi] \bar{\Psi}_L \gamma^\mu \vec{\tau} \Psi_L \quad , \quad (5.b)$$

where ϕ is the doublet Higgs field of the standard model and $\Psi_L^T = (t, b)_L$. Let us introduce the effective lagrangian, \mathcal{L}^{eff} , containing higher dimension operators given in eqs.(5):

[F.1] We are not considering the operators which can affect $Zb\bar{b}$ indirectly by loop effects.

$$\mathcal{L}^{eff} = \mathcal{L}^{SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} (c_1 \mathcal{O}^1 + c_2 \mathcal{O}^2) \quad , \quad (6)$$

where c_i , $i = 1, 2$, are real parameters, which determine the strength of the contributions of the operators, \mathcal{L}^{SM} is the standard model lagrangian, Λ is the cutoff of the effective theory.

After the electroweak symmetry breaking, the anomalous couplings for $Zb\bar{b}$ and $Zb\bar{s}$, *etc.*, from \mathcal{L}^{eff} are contained in

$$\frac{g}{\cos \theta_W} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} \\ \bar{s} \\ \bar{b} \end{pmatrix}_L^T U_L^{\dagger(d)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & \delta_L \end{pmatrix} U_L^{(d)} \gamma_\mu \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}_L Z^\mu \quad , \quad (7)$$

where

$$\delta_L = \frac{v^2}{\Lambda^2} (c_1 + c_2) \quad ; \quad (8)$$

and $v \simeq 250$ GeV, $U_L^{(d)}$ is unitary rotation matrix on the left-handed down quarks. The Cabibbo-Kabayashi-Maskawa (CKM) mixing matrix for the charged weak current is

$$V = (U_L^{(u)})^\dagger U_L^{(d)} \quad , \quad (9)$$

where $U_L^{(u)}$ is the unitary rotation matrix for the left-handed up quarks. Note that in the standard model, which corresponds to \mathcal{L}^{eff} in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the individual $U_{L,R}^{(u)}$ and $U_{L,R}^{(d)}$ are not measurable, but only V in eq.(9) is. Furthermore, the universality of the weak interaction in the standard model also guarantees the vanishes of the FCNC at tree level.

The relative size of the $Zb\bar{b}$ to the FCNC couplings, $Zb\bar{s}$, *etc.*, in eq.(7) depends on the rotation matrix $U_L^{(d)}$. The elements of $U_L^{(d)}$ can be evaluated once

the corresponding mass matrix is given. In the literature a widely used ansatz is the one suggested by Fritzsche[6] and its variations. The latter is given by

$$M^{(q)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_q e^{i\alpha_q} & 0 \\ x_q e^{-i\alpha_q} & \omega_q & y_q e^{i\beta_q} \\ 0 & y_q e^{-i\beta_q} & z_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where x_q , y_q , ω_q and z_q are real parameters and $q = u$ (d) denotes the up (down) type quarks. The original Fritzsche ansatz is given by putting $\omega_q = 0$, which predicts a too small top quark mass $m_t \leq 90$ GeV[11]. Here we consider one variation[12] which can have an acceptable top quark mass $m_t \leq 190$ GeV, and fits the current experimental data on the CKM matrix. In the variation[12], the rotation matrix, $U^{(q)}$ ($= U_L^{(q)} = U_R^{(q)}$) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)^{1/2} & \left(\frac{m_1 m_2 (m_2 + w_q)}{m_3^3}\right)^{1/2} \\ \left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\alpha_q} & e^{-i\alpha_q} & \left(\frac{m_2 + w_q}{m_3}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i\alpha_q} \\ -\left(\frac{m_1 (m_2 + w_q)}{m_2 m_3}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i(\alpha_q + \beta_q)} & -\left(\frac{m_2 + w_q}{m_3}\right)^{1/2} e^{-i(\alpha_q + \beta_q)} & e^{-i(\alpha_q + \beta_q)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

where m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 correspond to m_u , m_c and m_t for $q = u$, and m_d , m_s and m_b for $q = d$, and $w_u = m_c$, $w_d = 0$, α_q and β_q are responsible for the CP violation phase in the CKM matrix.

In table I, we give the theoretical values of FCNC couplings and the corresponding experimental upper limits. One can see that if fitting R_b to LEP data within 1σ , the induced FCNC couplings are close to the allowed bounds of several rare decays[F.2]. For example, assuming a positive κ_L and fitting R_b to the ex-

[F.2] We realize that there are uncertainties in the numerical values of the rotation

perimental data within 1σ , we have $|\tilde{\kappa}_L^{ds}| \geq (1.2 \sim 2.6) \times 10^{-5}$, which lies in the experimental limit of $K_L \rightarrow \bar{\mu}\mu$.

In our calculations we have not considered the q^2 dependent terms in eq.(4), which are generally proportional to m^2/Λ^2 where m is a typical mass of a process under consideration. The operators in eqs.(5) give rise to terms proportional to v^2/Λ^2 . Therefore the momentum dependent terms are generally suppressed at low energies. We should point out that if a different ansatz from that in (11) is taken, the relative size of anomalous $Zb\bar{b}$ to $Zb\bar{s}$, *etc.*, may be changed. Thus the future data on $Zb\bar{b}$ and $Zb\bar{s}$, *etc.*, will provide an experimental test on various fermion mass ansatz.

XZ is grateful to G. Valencia for discussions and to Zhi-Zhong Xing for useful correspondence on the Fritzsch ansatz. This work is supported in part by the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy (Grant No. DE-FG02-94ER40817).

matrix elements caused by the uncertainties in the values of fermion masses, CKM mixing angles and the analytical approximation used in ref.[12].

Table Caption

Table I: Theoretical prediction on FCNC couplings, and corresponding experimental upper limits taken from Ref.[13]. $\tilde{\kappa}_L = U_L^{\dagger(d)} \text{diag}[0, 0, \delta_L] U_L^{(d)}$. The elements of $U_L^{(d)}$ are calculated by taking the central values of the down quark masses evaluated at $\mu = 1$ GeV, $m_s/m_b = 0.033$, $m_d/m_s = 0.051$. For $Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$, $\tilde{\kappa}_L^{bb} = \delta_L$, and using definition of κ_L in eq.(1.a) we have $\delta_L = g_L \kappa_L$, so $\frac{R_b - R_b^{SM}}{R_b^{SM}} = \frac{2\delta_L}{g_L}$.

$ \tilde{\kappa}_L^{ij} $	Predictions	Limits and Processes
$ \tilde{\kappa}_L^{ds} $	$ 7.5 \times 10^{-3} \times \delta_L $	3×10^{-4} ($K^0 - \bar{K}^0$ mixing)
$ \tilde{\kappa}_L^{bs} $	$ 7.5 \times 10^{-3} \times \delta_L $	2×10^{-5} ($K_L \rightarrow \bar{\mu}\mu$)
$ \tilde{\kappa}_L^{db} $	$ 0.041 \times \delta_L $	4×10^{-4} ($B_d - \bar{B}_d$ mixing)
$ \tilde{\kappa}_L^{bs} $	$ 0.182 \times \delta_L $	2×10^{-3} ($B \not\rightarrow l^+ l^- X$)

Table I.

References

- [1] F. Abe, et al., Phys. Rev. **D50**, 2966 (1994).
- [2] R.D. Peccei and X. Zhang, Nucl. Phys. **B337**, 269 (1990).
- [3] C.T. Hill, FERMILAB-PUB-94/395-T, November (1994).
- [4] B. Holdom, Preprint of University of Toronto, UTPT-94-20, hep-ph/9407311.
- [5] H. Georgi, L. Kaplan, D. Morin, A. Schenk, Harvard University Preprint, hep-ph/9410307.
- [6] H. Fritzsch, Phys. Lett. **73B**, 317 (1978); Nucl. Phys. **B155**, 189 (1979).
- [7] R. Batley, talk presented at DPF '94, Albuquerque, New Mexico, Aug. 2-6, 1994.
- [8] C.T. Hill and X. Zhang, FERMILAB-PUB-94/231-T, July (1994), to appear in Phys. Rev. **D**.
- [9] For example, see, W. Buchmüller, D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. **268**, 621 (1986).
- [10] The operator \mathcal{O}^1 and \mathcal{O}^2 modify also $Zt_L\bar{t}_L$ and $Wt_L\bar{b}_L$ vertices. There exists a operator similar to \mathcal{O}^1 with Ψ_L being replaced by t_R . This third operator gives rise to a correction to the $Zt_R\bar{t}_R$ vertex. A full discussion on the implication of the FCNC effects, such as $Zt\bar{c}$, associated with these anomalous gauge couplings will be presented in a future publication.
- [11] C.H. Albright, B.A. Lindholm and C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. **D38**, 872 (1988); Y. Nir, SLAC Report No. SLAC-PUB-5676 & WIS-91/73/Oct-PH, 1991.
- [12] Dongsheng Du and Zhi-Zhong Xing, Phys. Rev. **D48**, 2349 (1993), and references therein.
- [13] C.P. Burgess et al., Phys. Rev. **D49**, 6115 (1994); E.W.J. Glover and J.J. van der Bij, in *Z-physics at LEP I*, CERN yellow report CERN 89-08, Vol. 2, P.42, ed. G. Altarelli, R. Kleiss and C. Verzegnassi.