

# LEFT-RIGHT SYMMETRY AND NEUTRINO STABILITY

EUGENIK h. AKHMEDOV<sup>y</sup>

ANJAN S. JOSHIPURA<sup>z</sup>

STEFANO RANFONE<sup>x</sup>

and

JOSE W. F. VALLE<sup>{</sup>

Instituto de Física Corpuscular – C.S.I.C.

Departament de Física Teòrica, Universitat de València

46100 Burjassot, Valencia, SPAIN

## Abstract

We consider a left-right symmetric model in which neutrinos acquire mass due to the spontaneous violation of both the gauged  $B-L$  and a global  $U(1)$  symmetry broken by the vacuum expectation value (VEV) of a gauge singlet scalar boson  $h_1$ . For suitable choices of  $h_1$  consistent with all laboratory and astrophysical observations neutrinos will be unstable against majoron emission. All neutrino masses in the keV to MeV range are possible, since the expected neutrino decay lifetimes can be short enough to dilute their relic density below the cosmologically required level. A wide variety of possible new phenomena, associated to the presence of left-right symmetry and/or the global symmetry at the TeV scale, could therefore be observable, without conflict with cosmology. The latter includes the possibility of invisibly decaying higgs bosons, which can be searched at LEP, NLC and LHC.

---

On leave from National Research Center Kurchatov Institute, 123182 Moscow, Russia

<sup>y</sup>Present address: SISSA, Via Beirut 2-4, 34014, Trieste, Italy

<sup>z</sup>Permanent address: Theory Group, Physical Research Lab., Ahmedabad, India

<sup>x</sup>E-mail 16444:RANFONE

<sup>{</sup>E-mail VALLE at vm.ciuv.es or 16444:VALLE

# 1 Introduction

One of the most attractive extensions of the standard electroweak theory is based on the gauge group  $G_{LR} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  [1, 2]. Apart from offering a possibility of understanding parity violation on the same footing as that of the gauge symmetry, these models incorporate naturally small neutrino masses. The magnitude of these masses is related in these theories to the scale at which the  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry gets broken. If this breaking occurs at a low ( $\sim 10$  TeV) scale, then the neutrino masses are expected near their present laboratory limits, at least for sizeable values of the Dirac neutrino masses.

Such high values of the neutrino masses may be more than a theoretical curiosity, they may have quite important implications. For example, a tau neutrino with a mass in the MeV range is an interesting possibility first because such a neutrino is within the range of the detectability, for example at a tau-charm factory [3]. On the other hand, if such neutrino decays before the matter dominance epoch, its decay products could then add energy to the radiation thereby delaying the time at which the matter and radiation contributions to the energy density of the universe become equal. This would reduce density fluctuations on smaller scales [4] purely within the framework of the standard cold dark matter model [5], and could reconcile the large scale fluctuations observed by COBE [6] with the earlier observations such as those of IRAS [7] on the fluctuations at smaller scales [8].

It is well known that, if stable, neutrinos would contribute too much to the energy density of the universe if their mass lies in the range [9]

$$60 \text{ eV} < m < \text{few GeV} \quad (1)$$

Thus the interesting possibility of heavy neutrino masses can be consistent with cosmology only if there are new neutrino decay and/or annihilation channels absent in the standard model. Many neutrino decay modes have been suggested but all are quite unlikely to breach the forbidden range given above [10]. For example, neutrino radiative decay modes  $\bar{\nu}^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \nu^0$  and  $\bar{\nu}^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \nu^+ + \nu^-$  are disfavored, because they have a very long lifetime [11]. Moreover, such visible decays are very constrained by astrophysics [12] as well as laboratory searches [13]. What one needs are invisible decays such as  $\bar{\nu}^0 \rightarrow 3 \nu$ . It was noted long ago that such decays take place in models where isodoublet and isosinglet mass terms coexist, due to the peculiar structure of the neutral current in these models [14, 15] and this is the case in the left-right models. In contrast to the visible decays, these are almost unconstrained. However, in the simplest models of the seesaw type even if neutrino masses are close to their laboratory limits, the expected lifetimes tend to be too long to allow for sufficient redshift of the heavy neutrino decay products, and thus forbidden by cosmology [15]. Moreover, for  $m_\nu > 1 \text{ MeV}$  the  $3 \nu$  decay would also be accompanied by the visible channel  $\bar{\nu}^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ . This would, in turn suggest a  $\gamma$ -ray burst from a supernova explosion, the photons arising

from subsequent annihilation and/or bremsstrahlung processes. The non-observation of such a burst from SN1987 disfavors this possibility [16].

Although not possible in the simplest models [15], fast invisible neutrino decays can, under certain circumstances, naturally occur in many models where neutrino masses are induced from the spontaneous violation of a global  $B - L$  symmetry [17, 18, 10]

$$\nu^0 \rightarrow \nu + J; \quad (2)$$

where here  $J$  denotes the massless Nambu-Goldstone boson, called a *majoron* [19], which follows from the spontaneous nature of lepton number violation. These decays could have important implications in cosmology and astrophysics [10].

Unfortunately this possibility does not arise naturally in the left-right symmetric framework since the global symmetry associated with the conventional *majoron* is gauged in this case. Thus in order to obtain a *majoron* one needs to impose an additional symmetry which is different from the  $B - L$  symmetry, but which nevertheless plays a role in generating the neutrino masses and decays.

In this paper we propose a variant of the left-right symmetric model with an additional spontaneously broken  $U(1)$  global symmetry, acting nontrivially on some new isosinglet leptons which mix with the ordinary neutrinos. Thus we extend the fermion sector in order to accommodate the required global symmetry whose spontaneous breaking will yield the *majoron*. This allows us to incorporate the idea of invisibly decaying neutrinos in the framework of a theory with gauged  $B - L$ . The additional singlet fermions used in our model may arise in various attempts to unify quarks and leptons in a superstring framework [20].

*Majoron* decays of neutrinos in the left-right symmetric model has also been considered in ref. [21]. However, our model has a more economical Higgs sector and makes use of a different fermion content. Therefore, in a sense, it is complementary to that of [21].

In fact, our model is a left-right embedding of a previously suggested model [18] but has some noticeable differences which we study. We investigate the issue of neutrino stability in this model and demonstrate that, for reasonable choices of the breaking scales,  $v_R \gg v_L$  ( $v_R$  is the  $B - L$  and parity breaking scale while  $v_L$  characterizes the breaking of the global  $U(1)_G$  symmetry), the neutrino decay amplitude for the *majoron* decay mode of eq. (2) is of order  $(m_D/M)^4$  where  $M = g_5 v_R$  with  $g_5$  being the appropriate Yukawa coupling. This is in full agreement with previous studies within the  $SU(2)_C \times U(1)$  theory [15, 17]. Nevertheless, for appropriate choices of parameters, this simplest model yields *majoron* emission decay lifetimes which can be fast enough to dilute the relic density to acceptable levels for all values of the mass. For most typical parameter choices, the *majoron* is light enough as to lie outside the range in eq. (1) and be stable, as required in order to be hot dark matter.

We also propose a very simple variant of this left-right symmetric model where the global  $U(1)_G$  symmetry is of the horizontal type, as originally used in ref. [17]. This substantially enhances the neutrino decay amplitude for the majoron decay mode of eq. (2) to order  $(m_D/M)^2$ . In this case the majoron is a pure gauge singlet, as in the original proposal [19], and therefore both scales  $h_i$  and  $v_R$  may be chosen to be at the TeV scale quite naturally. This opens up a very wide phenomenological potential for left-right extensions of the standard electroweak theory, free of cosmological problems.

## 2 The simplest model

We consider a model based on the gauge group

$$G_{LR} = SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$$

in which an extra  $U(1)_G$  global symmetry is postulated. The matter and higgs boson representation content is specified in table 1. In addition to the conventional quarks and leptons, there is a gauge singlet fermion in each generation<sup>k</sup>. These extra leptons might arise in superstring models [20]. They have also been discussed in an early paper of Wyler and Wolfenstein [23]. We will not use the more conventional triplet higgs scalars, which are absent in many of these string models. Instead we will substitute them by the doublets  $\phi_L$  and  $\phi_R$ . This could in fact play an important role in unifying this model in  $SO(10)$ , while keeping left-right symmetry unbroken down to the TeV scale [22].

The Yukawa interactions allowed by the  $G_{LR} \times U(1)_G$  symmetry are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y = & g_1 Q_L Q_R + g_2 Q_L \tilde{Q}_R + g_3 \phi_L \phi_R + g_4 \phi_L \tilde{\phi}_R + \\ & g_5 [\phi_L \phi_L S_R^c + \phi_R \phi_R S_L] + g_6 S_L S_R^c + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $g_i$  are matrices in generation space and  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi^c = \epsilon \phi^*$  denotes the conjugate of  $\phi$ . This Lagrangean is invariant under parity operation  $Q_L \leftrightarrow Q_R, \phi_L \leftrightarrow \phi_R, S_L \leftrightarrow S_R^c, \psi \leftrightarrow \psi^c$  and  $\phi_L \leftrightarrow \phi_R$ .

The symmetry breaking pattern is specified by the following scalar boson VEVs (as-

---

<sup>k</sup>Although the number of such singlets is arbitrary, since they do not carry any anomaly, we add just one such lepton in each generation, while keeping the quark sector as the standard one. Further extensions can be made, as recently discussed in ref. [22].

[illegible]
$$J = \left(1 + \frac{v_L^2 v^2}{V^2}\right)^{1/2} f! \quad \frac{v_L v}{V^2} \left[ \frac{v_L}{v} (k_2 - k_4) \right] g \quad (5)$$

Note that the  $m$  a $\pi$ ron has no component along the imaginary part of  $\varphi_R$  despite the fact that  $\varphi_R$  is nontrivial under the global symmetry. Clearly, as it must, the  $m$  a $\pi$ ron is orthogonal to the Goldstone bosons eaten-up by the  $Z$  and the new heavier neutral gauge boson present in the model. The latter acquires mass at the larger scale  $v_R$ .

$$\frac{V_R}{V} = \frac{!}{V_L} \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, in order that a  $\mu$ on emission does not overcontribute to stellar energy loss one needs to require [24]

One sees that eq. (6) and eq. (7) allow for the existence of right-handed weak interactions at accessible levels, provided  $v_L$  is sufficiently small, i.e.  $0 < v_L < 100 \text{ keV}$ .

Note, however that the astrophysical bound in eq. (7) is hard to reconcile with the low-scale right-handed weak interactions in the case where  $v_L \ll v$ . For example,  $v_L \sim 1$  GeV would require  $v_R > 10^7$  GeV,  $! > 10^5$  GeV with  $v^2 = k^2 + k'^2$  fixed by the masses of W and Z bosons. As we will show later, it is possible to avoid this bound altogether in a simple variant of this model (see below).

### 3 Neutrino Masses and Majoron Couplings

Once all gauge and global symmetries get broken a mass term is generated for the electrically neutral leptons, of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{L}_L M_L R_L + \text{h.c.};$$

where  $L_L = (\bar{L}_L; \bar{L}_L^c; S_L)$  and  $R_L = (\bar{R}_L^c; R_L; S_R^c)$ . It may be written in block form as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & 0 & M \\ 0 & M^T & M^Y \end{pmatrix}; \quad (8)$$

where the various entries are specified as

$$m_D = g_5 v_L; \quad M = g_5 v_R; \quad m_D = g_3 k + g_4 k^0; \quad M^Y = 2g_6 \phi; \quad (9)$$

Here the matrix  $m_D$  is the Dirac mass term determined by the standard higgs bidoublet VEV  $h$  responsible for quark and charged lepton masses, and  $M$  are  $G$  and  $B-L$  violating mass terms determined by  $v_L$  and  $v_R$ , while  $M^Y$  is a gauge singlet  $G$ -violating mass, proportional to the VEV of the gauge singlet higgs scalar carrying 2 units of  $G$  charge. Note the zeroes in the first two diagonal entries. They arise because there are no higgs fields to provide the usual Majorana mass terms [20] which would be required in the seesaw mechanism [25, 2, 15].

In order to determine the light neutrino masses and majoron couplings we will work in the seesaw approximation, which we define as  $M \gg m_D$ . In this case the mass matrix in eq. (8) can be brought to block diagonal form via a transformation  $U$  (with  $U^Y U = U U^Y = 1$ ),

$$\hat{M} = U M U^T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & M_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}_1 &= (m_D; ) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & M \\ M^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ B \\ B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_D^T \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} T & T \end{pmatrix} (T^T + T^T); \quad m_D M^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

denotes the effective light neutrino mass matrix, determining the masses of  $\nu_e$ ,  $\nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$ . Notice that the light neutrino masses are generated due to the interplay of the violation of the global  $G$  as well as the gauged  $B-L$  symmetry. Due to the relation in eq. (6) the two contributions to the neutrino masses in the last line of eq. (11) will be typically comparable.

The heavy sector is characterized by a  $6 \times 6$  mass matrix given as

$$\hat{M}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ C & M \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Finally, the matrix  $\hat{M}$  is further diagonalized by a block diagonal unitary matrix  $T$

$$T \hat{M} T^\dagger = M_{\text{diag}} = \text{diag}(m_1; \dots; m_9) : \quad (12)$$

which can be written as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ C & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} ; \quad (13)$$

The total diagonalizing matrix  $A$  can then be written as

$$A = TU = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ C & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_1 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon) & V_1 \\ V_2 \epsilon & V_2 (1 - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon) \end{pmatrix} + O(\epsilon^3); \quad (14)$$

where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are the matrices that diagonalize the light and heavy neutrino mass matrices respectively, and

$$(m_D; ) M_2^{-1} = ( ( ) M^{-1}; ) : \quad (15)$$

Note that  $\epsilon \neq 0$  as  $M \neq 1$ . This parameter plays the same role in the present model as the expansion parameter introduced in the  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  context in ref. [15]. The relation between weak and mass eigenstates may then be written as

$$(\begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix})^T = A^T (\begin{smallmatrix} \nu^0 \\ \nu^0 \end{smallmatrix}; \begin{smallmatrix} \nu^0 \\ \nu^0 \end{smallmatrix})^T ;$$

where the prime refers to the mass eigenstate basis. The Majoron-neutrino interaction Lagrangean, obtainable using eqs.(3) and (5), may be written in terms of weak eigenstates as

$$\mathcal{L}_J = \frac{iJ}{2} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 + \frac{v_L v}{V}} \left( \frac{1}{2} S_L \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix}^c + \frac{v}{V} \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix}^c \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix} + \frac{v_L}{V} \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix}^c \begin{smallmatrix} \nu \\ \nu \end{smallmatrix} \right) + h.c. : \quad (16)$$

## 4 Neutrino Decays and Cosmology

Relic neutrinos will overcontribute to the present-day energy density of the universe unless there are decay and/or annihilation channels. The cosmological density constraint on the neutrino decay lifetime for a  $m < 1$  MeV neutrino is given as [26, 27]

$$m \frac{1}{t_0} < 100 h^2 \text{ eV} \quad (17)$$

where  $t_0$  and  $h$  are the present age of the universe and the normalized Hubble parameter. The above constraint follows from demanding that an adequate redshift of the heavy neutrino decay products occurs.

In the present model, even though  $B-L$  is a gauge symmetry, neutrino masses following from eq. (8) are accompanied by the existence of a massless Majoron  $J$  given by eq. (5). This will lead to invisible neutrino decays with Majoron emission, eq. (2).

To determine the neutrino decay rates we are interested in those Majoron couplings to light neutrinos that are nondiagonal in the mass eigenstate basis. These couplings can be determined by rewriting explicitly eq. (15) in terms of mass eigenstates. This procedure is straightforward but subtle. There are, here too, the same tricky cancellations first discovered in the context of the standard  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  model in ref. [15]. The result is that Majoron couplings to light neutrinos are still diagonal to  $O(\epsilon^2)$ , and therefore can not induce neutrino decay to this order.

In order to see this more clearly and, at the same time, determine the required nondiagonal couplings we prefer, instead of directly using eq. (15), to use a more general and powerful method based on the use of Noether's theorem for the global  $G$ -current. The method was given in the Sec. VI of ref. [15] and subsequently used, e.g., in the first paper of ref. [17]. It has the advantages of being simpler and more systematic.

Using it one can easily determine the coupling matrix of the Majoron to the light mass eigenstate neutrinos in the present model as

$$g_{ab} = \frac{1}{h} [m_a R_{ab} + m_b R_{ba}]; a \neq b \quad (17)$$

where  $m_a$  denote the light neutrino masses and the matrix  $R$  is determined by the three light entries of the  $9 \times 9$  matrix

$$R = A Q_1 A^T; \quad (18)$$

where  $Q_1$  is a diagonal matrix related to the  $G$  charges of the leptons  $L = (L; \bar{L}; S_L)$ . Since only the gauge singlet leptons transform under  $G$ , the matrix  $Q_1$  can be written as

$$Q_1 = \text{diag}(0; 0; 1); \quad (19)$$

The matrix  $A$  was previously defined as that which diagonalizes the full neutrino mass matrix. Using eq. (13) we can rewrite the part  $R_L$  of the matrix  $R$  connecting light neutrinos as the  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$R_L = V_1 \hat{Q}_1^T V_1^T = V_1^T V_1^T; \quad (20)$$

where the  $6 \times 6$  diagonal matrix  $\hat{Q}_1$  is defined as  $\text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$ . This shows explicitly that the nondiagonal entries of the Majoron coupling matrix in eq. (17) arise manifestly at  $O(\epsilon^4)$ , in agreement with results found in the  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  theory [15].



In order to get an idea of the expected neutrino decay rates in this model we first make a crude estimate of the magnitude of the neutrino masses following from eq. (11). Using eq. (6) and eq. (7) one sees that

$$m_i \leq \frac{g_6}{g_5^2} \frac{m_{q_i}^2}{v_R^2} < 2 \frac{g_6}{g_5^2} \frac{m_{q_i}}{G \text{ eV}}^2 \text{ eV} : \quad (21)$$

In estimating this upper limit we have assumed the Dirac neutrino masses to be of the same order as the corresponding up-quark masses. Assuming a reasonable choice of parameters where the ratio  $(2g_6/g_5^2)$  lies in the range 1 to  $10^3$  we get  $m_i < 10 \text{ keV}$  to  $10 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $m_i < 1 \text{ eV}$  to  $1 \text{ keV}$  and  $m_e < 10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-2} \text{ eV}$ . Thus the  $\nu_\mu$  may well be stable, as required in order to be dark matter, while the  $\nu_\tau$  is expected to violate the cosmological limit eq. (1) and has to decay with lifetime obeying eq. (16).

We now make a simple numerical estimate of the lifetime. From eq. (20) we can parametrize the nondiagonal coupling responsible for  $\nu_\tau$  decay to the lighter neutrinos plus a proton as

$$g_{3a} = \frac{m_3}{h_i} (g_s + g_c); \quad (22)$$

where  $m_3$  denotes  $m_{\nu_\tau}$  and we have set

$$g_s = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{U}^T)_{22} - (\mathbf{U}^T)_{11}] \sin 2\theta_L; \quad (23)$$

$$g_c = (\mathbf{U}^T)_{12} \cos 2\theta_L; \quad (24)$$

In obtaining these formulas we have assumed for simplicity that  $\nu_\tau$  mixes with only one of the two lighter neutrinos, with mixing angle  $\theta_L$ , and that  $\mathbf{U}$  is real. For small values of  $\theta_L$  we may only keep the second term. Assuming a simple scaling ansatz  $m_D = M$  we get

$$g_c = \frac{m_D}{M}^2 \quad (25)$$

One can now easily see that the neutrino decay lifetime becomes

$$(\tau_3)^{-1} + \Gamma = \frac{16}{g_{3a}^2} \frac{1}{m_3} \approx 3 \cdot 10^6 (\text{keV} = m_3)^3 \frac{h_i}{10^6 \text{ GeV}}^2 \frac{m_D}{M}^4 \text{ sec} \quad (26)$$

The lifetime in eq. (26) can be short enough to obey the cosmological constraint in eq. (16). Indeed, from eqs. (21)-(26) one can readily find the following dependence between  $(\tau_3)$  and  $m_3$  in our model:

$$(\tau_3) \approx 1.4 \cdot 10^6 \left( \frac{g_6}{G \text{ eV}} \right)^4 \left( \frac{\text{keV}}{m_3} \right)^5 \text{ sec} :$$

This dependence is plotted for two illustrative values of  $\frac{g_6}{G \text{ eV}}$  in Fig. 1 alongside with the cosmological bound of eq. (16). It can be seen from this figure that for  $\frac{g_6}{G \text{ eV}} = 35$  GeV cosmology does not constrain the model form  $m_3 > 1 \text{ keV}$ . For  $\frac{g_6}{G \text{ eV}} = 10^3$  GeV cosmological

bound excludes values of  $m_\nu$  below 100 keV. Thus in this case cosmological considerations provide a lower limit on the  $m_\nu$  mass.

One can rewrite the cosmological constraint eq. (16) in terms of the VEVs  $v_L$  and  $v_R$  instead of  $(g_3)$  and  $m_\nu$ :  $(v_L = G \text{ eV})^{1/2} (v_R = G \text{ eV})^3 < 6 \cdot 10^9 A$ , where  $A = \frac{p}{g_5^3} \frac{g_6}{g_5} h^2$ . The astrophysical constraint of eq. (7) can be written using eq. (6) as  $(v_L = G \text{ eV}) (v_R = G \text{ eV})^2 < 10^9$ . These two constraints are plotted in Fig. 2 for  $A = 10^5$ . The region below both straight lines illustrates what is allowed for this representative choice of parameters. For example, we can see from this figure that  $v_L$  cannot exceed  $6 \cdot 10^5 \text{ G eV}$ , corresponding to a value of  $v_R = 2.4 \cdot 10^3 \text{ G eV}$ .

This generalizes to our left-right symmetric model the results obtained in the analysis of the question of neutrino stability in majoron models given in ref. [15]. The tau neutrino is expected to be in the keV to MeV range with a lifetime that can be as short as 1 sec.

## 5 A Model with Enhanced Neutrino Decays

We now briefly sketch a variant of the previous model with exactly the same particle content, but with the global  $U(1)_G$  symmetry  $G$  assigned in a nonsequential way. The model may be seen also as a left-right symmetric variant of the original horizontal lepton number models [17].

The  $G$  charges of the lepton doublets of the first two generations can be assigned as 1 and -1 respectively. Similarly, under the global  $U(1)_G$  symmetry the gauge singlets transform with charges +1 ( $S_{1L}$  and  $S_{2R}^c$ ) and -1 ( $S_{2L}$  and  $S_{1R}^c$ ). Finally the third generation leptons carry no  $G$  charge.

Another important difference with respect to the model discussed in the previous sections, insofar as the  $G$  assignments of the Higgs scalar bosons are concerned, is that now  $H_L$  and  $H_R$  carry no  $G$  charge and therefore the resulting majoron will be a pure gauge singlet, as in the original model [19]. This has a very important phenomenological implication, namely that one now avoids the astrophysical constraint of eq. (7), allowing for very low values of the  $G$  breaking scale  $h$  which may naturally lie at the electroweak scale.

The quantum numbers are summarized in Table 2. Since the same Higgs multiplets are used the mass matrix has the same general structure as in eq. (8). However, as a result of the horizontal assignment of the global charges of the leptons, the entries in eq. (8) now have special textures in generation space.

To find these textures, let us first notice that our present  $G$  charge assignment supports the discrete parity symmetry of the model, provided the parity operation for the gauge-singlet

leptons of the first two generations is modified:  $S_{Le} \rightarrow S_R^c$ , the rest of the fields transforming as before. The  $g_5$  term in the Yukawa Lagrangean of eq. (3) will now read

$$(g_5)_1 [\bar{L}_{Le} L_S^c + \bar{e}_R S_{Le}] + (g_5)_2 [\bar{L}_L L_{S_R^c} + \bar{e}_R S_L] + (g_5)_3 [\bar{L}_L L_S^c + \bar{e}_R S_L] \quad (27)$$

One can now readily find the entries of the neutrino mass matrix in eq. (8). They are given by diagonal forms for both  $m_D$  and  $M$ , while the remaining entries take on the following forms

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} \quad (28)$$

and

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (29)$$

where in the last equation the 12 and 33 entries are bare masses, allowed by the  $G$  symmetry, while the 11 and 22 are proportional to the VEVs of  $\phi$  and  $\chi$  respectively.

The horizontal nature of the  $G$  assignments removes the additional  $O(2)$  suppression in the neutrino decay rate. To see this note that now the matrix  $R_L$  of eq. (20) is replaced by

$$R_L = V_1 \hat{Q}_2 V_1^T \quad (30)$$

where  $\hat{Q}_2$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix given by  $\text{diag}(1, -1, 0)$  dictated by the  $G$  charge assignments. Note that in the above equation there is no  $O(2)$  suppression.

In summary, the main features of this second model are

1. The majon is a pure gauge singlet, allowing for the  $G$  breaking scale to be as low as the electroweak scale;
2. Since eq. (7) need not hold in this model, the left-right symmetry can be realized at the TeV scale;
3. Majon emission neutrino decay amplitudes are enhanced to  $O(2)$ .

The combined effect of the above features is to provide a tremendous enhancement of the neutrino decay amplitude, leading to a lifetime shorter than eq. (26) by as much as 20 orders of magnitude.

The existence of models such as this opens a very wide phenomenological potential for left-right extensions of the standard model, consistent with all cosmological observations.

## 6 Discussion

We have examined the issue of neutrino stability in a class of left-right symmetric models where neutrinos may acquire mass from the spontaneous violation of both the gauged  $B-L$  symmetry and a global  $U(1)$  symmetry broken by the vacuum expectation value of a gauge singlet scalar boson. For suitable choices of  $h_i$  consistent with all laboratory and astrophysical observations neutrinos will be unstable against majoron emission. We have considered two models. In the simplest one the global symmetry is flavour blind, while in the second it distinguishes between leptons of different type. In the first model the tau neutrino may be heavy and unstable against majoron emission, with decay amplitude of order  $(m_D/M)^4$ . Despite such strong suppression, neutrino decay rates are consistent with the cosmological requirements in a wide range of the parameters of the model. The parity violation scale can be as low as a few TeV if the left-handed doublet higgs VEV  $v_L$  is  $< 100$  keV, but should be  $> 10^9$  GeV for  $v_L$  of the order of the electroweak scale. In the second model, neutrino decay amplitudes are substantially enhanced by a combined effect of low values for both global and left-right symmetry breaking scales  $h_i$  and  $v_R$ . These scales may be naturally chosen to be at the TeV scale. This opens up a very wide phenomenological potential for left-right extensions of the standard electroweak theory, consistent with cosmology. First of all, our models allow neutrino masses in the keV to MeV range, with potential effects related to neutrino masses and mixing, such as enhanced neutrinoless decay rates. Moreover, they allow for the existence of neutral heavy leptons with masses at the weak scale. If lighter than the Z boson, these may give rise to quite striking signatures at LEP [28]. In addition, there are the potential effects due to the presence of right-handed weak currents at the TeV scale, including neutrinoless decays and many other effects. Finally, there may be effects associated to the global symmetry violation at the TeV scale, such as the unusual possibility of an invisibly decaying higgs boson [29]  $h \rightarrow JJ$ , which can be searched at future colliders such as LEP, NLC and LHC [30].

Acknowledgements This work was supported by DGICYT under grant number PB92-0084, by the sabbatical grants SAB 93-0090 (E.A.) and SAB 94-0014 (A.J.), and by the EC fellowship grant number ERBCHBICT-930726 (S.R.). We thank G. Senjanovic for fruitful discussions.

	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(2)_R$	$U(1)_{B-L}$	$U(1)_E$
$Q_{Li}$	2	1	1/3	0
$Q_{Ri}$	1	2	1/3	0
$L_i$	2	1	-1	0
$R_i$	1	2	-1	0
$S_{Li}$	1	1	0	1
	2	2	0	0
$L$	2	1	-1	1
$R$	1	2	-1	-1
	1	1	0	2

Table 1:  $SU(2)_L$   $SU(2)_R$   $U(1)_{B-L}$   $U(1)_E$  assignments of the quarks, leptons and higgs scalars.

	$SU(2)_L$	$SU(2)_R$	$U(1)_{B-L}$	$U(1)_E$
$Q_{Li}$	2	1	1/3	0
$Q_{Ri}$	1	2	1/3	0
$L_e$	2	1	-1	1
$L$	2	1	-1	-1
$L$	2	1	-1	0
$R_e$	1	2	-1	1
$R$	1	2	-1	-1
$R$	1	2	-1	0
$S_{Le}$	1	1	0	1
$S_L$	1	1	0	-1
$S_L$	1	1	0	0
	2	2	0	0
$L$	2	1	-1	0
$R$	1	2	-1	0
	1	1	0	2

Table 2:  $SU(2)_L$   $SU(2)_R$   $U(1)_{B-L}$   $U(1)_E$  assignments of the quarks, leptons and higgs scalars in the model of section 5. Notice the nonsequential assignment of the global charge.

## References

- [1] J.C. Pati, A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1975) 275; R.N. Mohapatra, J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 566; 2558.
- [2] R.N. Mohapatra, G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 165.
- [3] J. Gomez-Cadenas, M.C. Gonzalez-Garcia, Phys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 1370; J. Gomez-Cadenas et al., Phys. Rev. D 41 (1990) 2179; and SLAC reports SLAC-PUB-5009 and SLAC-PUB-5053; Third Workshop on the Charm Tau Factory, Marbella, Spain, June 1993, (World Scientific, 1994), Ed. J. Kirkby and R. Kirkby.
- [4] J. Bardeen, J. Bond, G. Efsthathiou, Astrophys. J. 321 (1987) 28; J. Bond, G. Efsthathiou, Phys. Lett. B 265 (1991) 245; M. Davis et al., Nature 356 (1992) 489.
- [5] For a review see talks by C. Frenk and J. Primack, proceedings of the International School on Cosmological Dark Matter, Valencia Oct. 1993, (World Scientific, 1994), p. 7-18, edited by J.W.F. Valle and A. Perez, pages 65 and 81.
- [6] G.F. Smoot et al., Astrophys. J. 396 (1992) L1-L5; E.L. Wright et al., Astrophys. J. 396 (1992) L13.
- [7] R. Rowan-Robinson, proceedings of the International School on Cosmological Dark Matter, op. cit. p. 7-18.
- [8] H. Kikuchi, E. Ma, U. California at Riverside preprints UCRHEP-T131 and UCRHEP-T126; S. Dodelson, G. Gyuk, M. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 3754; A.S. Joshipura, J.W.F. Valle, Valencia preprint hep-ph/9410259.
- [9] S. Gerstein, Ya.B. Zeldovich, Z. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. Pisma. Red. 4 (1966) 174; R. Cow sik, J. McClelland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 669; D. Dicus, et al., Astrophys. J. 221 (1978) 327; B.W. Lee, S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 165.
- [10] J.W.F. Valle, Gauge Theories and the Physics of Neutrino Mass, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 26 (1991) 91-171 and references therein.
- [11] P. Pal, L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 766.
- [12] M. Takahara, H. Sato, Phys. Lett. 174B (1986) 373, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 2 (1987) 293; A. Dar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 2146; S. Sarkar, A.M. Cooper, Phys. Lett. 148B (1984) 347.
- [13] L. Oberauer et al., Phys. Lett. 198B (1987) 113.
- [14] J. Schechter, J.W.F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2227.

- [15] J. Schechter, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 774.
- [16] A. Dar, A. Dado, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 2368; de Rosier et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 1868.
- [17] J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 131 (1983) 87; G. Gelmini, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 142 (1984) 181; A. Joshipura, S. Rindani, PRL-TH /92-10; for more examples, see ref. [10].
- [18] M .C. Gonzalez-Garcia, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 216 (1989) 360.
- [19] Y. Chikashige, R. Mohapatra, R. Peccei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 (1980) 1926; Phys. Lett. 98B (1981) 265.
- [20] R. N. Mohapatra, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 1642; R. N. Mohapatra, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Lett. 177B (1986) 47; I. Antoniadis et al., Phys. Lett. 208B (1988) 209; E. Papageorgiou, S. Ranfone, Phys. Lett. 282B (1992) 89.
- [21] A. Kumar, R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. 150B (1985) 191; R. N. Mohapatra, P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 2226.
- [22] E. Ma, preprint UCRHEP-T124, 1994.
- [23] D. Wyler, L. Wolfenstein, Nucl. Phys. B 218 (1983) 205.
- [24] D. Dearborn, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 26; M. Fukugita et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1522; Phys. Rev. D 26 (1982) 1841; J. Ellis, K. Olive, Nucl. Phys. B 223 (1983) 252; for a review see J. E. Kim, Phys. Rep. 150 (1987) 1.
- [25] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, R. Slansky, in Supergravity, ed. D. Freedman et al., (1979); T. Yanagida, in KEK lectures, ed. O. Sawada et al., (1979).
- [26] E. Kolb, M. Tumer, The Early Universe, Addison-Wesley, 1990.
- [27] P. B. Pal, Nucl. Phys. B 227 (1983) 237.
- [28] M. Dittmar, M .C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Santamaria, J.W .F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 332 (1990) 1; M .C. Gonzalez-Garcia, A. Santamaria, J.W .F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 342 (1990) 108.
- [29] A. Joshipura, J.W .F. Valle, Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993) 105; J. C. Romao, F. de Campos, J.W .F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 292 (1992) 329; A. S. Joshipura, S. Rindani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 3269; R. Barbieri, L. Hall, Nucl. Phys. B 364, 27 (1991); G. Jungman, M. Luty, Nucl. Phys. B 361, 24 (1991); E. D. Carlson, L. B. Hall, Phys. Rev. D 40, 3187 (1989).



[30] A .Lopez-Fernandez, J.Rom ao, F .de Campos, J.W .F .Valle, Phys. Lett. B 312 (1993) 240; B .Brahmachari, A .Joshi, S.Rindani, D .P.Roy, K .Sridhar, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 4224; O .Eboli et al., Nucl. Phys. B 421 (1994) 65; J.W .F .Valle, invited talk at Neutrino 92, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 31 (1993) 221-232; J. C .Rom ao, F .de Campos, L.Diaz-Cruz, J.W .F .Valle, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994) 817; J.Gunion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 199; D .Choudhury, D .P.Roy, Phys. Lett. B 322 (1994) 368.

## FIGURE CAPTIONS


Fig. 1: Typical expectations for the tau neutrino lifetime as a function of the neutrino mass in the model of Sec. 2{4. The dotted line corresponds to the cosmological limit of eq. (16) (the region below the line is allowed). Solid (dashed) line is the relation between the mass and lifetime for two typical choices of the parameter  $\frac{P}{g_6} = 35 \text{ } (10^3) \text{ GeV}$ .

Fig. 2: Constraints on the singlet ( $\nu$ ) and right-handed doublet ( $\nu_R$ ) VEVs following from cosmological limit eq. (16) (solid line) and from the red giant constraint eq. (7) (dashed line) for an illustrative choice of the parameter  $h^2 \frac{P}{g_3} = 10^5$ . The region below both lines is allowed.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501248v1>

Fig. 1



This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501248v1>

Fig. 2

