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#### Abstract

W e review the current status of $\quad!m$ ixing and discuss its im plication for our understanding of charge-sym $m$ etry breaking. In order to place this work in context we also review the photon-hadron coupling within the fram ew ork of vector m eson dom inance. This leads naturally to a discussion of the electrom agnetic form -factor of the pion and of nuclear shadow ing.
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## 1 Introduction

C harge sym $m$ etry isbroken at them ost fundam entallevelin strong interaction physics through the $s m$ allm ass di erence betw een up and down quarks in the QCD Lagrangian. A s a consequence the physical and!m esons are not eigenstates of isospin but, for exam ple, the physical contains a sm all adm ixture of an $I=0$ qq state. $T$ his phenom enon, known loosely as ! m ixing, has been observed in the charge form-factor of the pion, which is dom inated by the in the tim e-like region. Indeed, vector $m$ eson dom inance (VM D ) was constructed to take advantage of this fact.

Nuclear physics involves strongly interacting system swhich are not yet am enable to calculations based directly on QCD itself. Instead the nucleon-nucleon (NN) force is often treated in a sem i-phenom enologicalm anner using a one-or (two-) boson exchange $m$ odel. W ithin such a fram ew ork, ! m ixing gives rise to a charge sym m etry violating (CSV) NN potentialwhich has been rem arkably e ective in explaining m easured CSV in nuclear system s \{ notably in connection w ith the O kam oto-N olen-Schi er anom aly in $m$ irror nuclei. H ow ever, the theoretical consistency of this approach has been challenged by recent work suggesting that the ! m ixing am plitude changes sign betw een the pole and the space-like region involved in the NN interaction.

O ur aim is to provide a clear, up-to-date account of the ideas of VM D as they relate particularly to the pion form -factor and to ! m ixing. W e begin $w$ ith an historical review of VMD in Sec. 2. The evidence for ! mixing at the pole is presented in Sec. 3 along w ith the standard theoretical treatm ent. In Sec. 4 we brie y highlight the role played by ! m ixing in the traditional form ulation of the CSV NN force. M ore $m$ odem theoretical concems about the theoretical consistency of the usual approach are sum $m$ arised in Sec. 5, while in Sec. 6 these new ideas are tested against the form-factor data. In Sec. 7 we m ake a few rem arks conceming shadow ing in the light of our new appreciation of VM D, sum $m$ arise our conclusions and outline som e open problem s.

## 2 Vector M eson D om inance

The physics of hadrons was a topic of intense study long before the gauge eld theory ofquantum chrom odynam ics (Q CD ) now believed to describe it com pletely was invented. H adronic physics was described using a variety ofm odels and inconporating approxim ate sym $m$ etries. It is a testim ony to the insight behind these $m$ odels (and the inherent di culties in solving non-perturbative Q CD ) that they stillplay an im portant role in our understanding.

O ne particularly im portant aspect of hadronic physics which concems us here is the interaction between the photon and hadronic $m$ atter $\left.{ }_{[1]} 1\right]$. This has been rem arkably well described using the vector $m$ eson dom inance (VMD) m odel. $T$ his assum es that the hadronic com ponents of the vacuum polarisation of the photon consist exclusively of the know $n$ vector $m$ esons. This is certainly an approxim ation, but in the regions around the vector $m$ eson $m$ asses, it appears to be a very good one. A $s$ vector $m$ esons are believed
 a connection between the old language of VMD and the Standard M odel $\left.{ }_{5}^{\circ}\right]$. In the Standard M odel, quarks, being charged, couple to the photon and so the strong sector contribution to the photon propagator arises, in a manner analogous to the electronpositron loops in QED, as shown in $F$ ig. 1

$\bar{q}$
Figure 1: O ne-particle-irreducible Q CD contribution to the photon propagator.

The diagram contains dressed quark propagators and the proper (i.e., one-particle irreducible) photon-quark vertex (the shaded circles include one-particle-reducible parts, while the em pty circles are one-particle-irreducible [-़्ف刂]). In Q ED we can approxim ate the photon selfenergy reasonably well using bare propagators and vertiges without w orrying about higher-order dressing. H ow ever, in Q CD , the dressing of these quark loops can not be so readily dism issed asbeing ofhigher order in a perturbative expansion. (A though for the heavier quarks, higher order e ects can be ignored as a consequence of asym ptotic freedom [ $\left.\bar{\eta}_{i}\right]$, one must be careful about this $\frac{\left.\left.l_{1}^{-}\right] .\right)}{} \mathrm{N}$ o direct translation between the Standard M odel and VM D has yet been $m$ ade.

### 2.1 H istorical developm ent of V M D

 distribution of the proton and neutron, as determ ined by electron scattering, could be accounted for by a heavy neutral vector $m$ eson contributing to the nucleon form factor. This isospin-zero eld is now called the!.
$T$ he anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of the nucleon was believed to be dom inated by a two-pion state [10]]. The pion form-factor, $F\left(q^{2}\right)$, (to be discussed later in som e detail) w as taken to be unity in these initial calculations | i.e., the pions were treated as pointlike ob jects. By 1959 Frazer and Fulco [ī1] concluded (after an investigation of analytic
structure) that the pion form -factor had to satisfy the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(q^{2}\right)=1+\underline{q}_{4 m^{2}}^{Z} d r \frac{\operatorname{Im} F(r)}{r\left(r q^{2} i\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that to be consistent w ith data a suitable peak in the pion form -factor was required, which they believed could result from a strong pion-pion interaction. The analytic structure of the partialw ave am plitude in the physical region could be approxim ated as a pole of appropriate position and residue (a successful approxim ation in nucleon-nucleon scattering). A $n$ analysis determ ined that the residue should be positive, raising the possibility of a resonance, which we now know as the ${ }^{0}$.

It was Sakurai who proposed a theory of the strong interaction m ediated by vector $m$ esons [1] $12 \overline{2}]$ based on the non-A belian eld theory of $Y$ ang and $M$ ills [1] troubled by the problem of the $m$ asses of the $m$ esons in such a theory, as they would destroy the local ( avour) gauge invariance. He published his work with this matter unresolved in the hope that it would stim ulate further interest in the eld.

K roll, Lee and Zum ino did pursue the idea of rep roducing VM D from eld theory [1] $\overline{1} 1]$. W thin the sim plest VM D m odel the hadronic contribution to the polarisation of the photon takes the form of a propagating vectorm eson (see F ig.' $\mathrm{i} \overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ). This now replaces the QCD contribution to the polarisation process depicted in Fig.


Figure 2: A sim ple VM D -picture representation of the hadronic contribution to the photon propagator. T he heavier vector $m$ esons are included in generalised VM D m odels.

This form arises from the assum ption that the hadronic electrom agnetic current operator, $j^{E M}$, is proportional to the eld operators of the vector $m$ esons ( $m$ ultiplied by their m ass squared). This is referred to as the the eld-current identity. This is then included in the general structure of the hadronic part of the Lagrangian, giving a precise form ulation of VMD in term s of a local, Lagrangian eld theory. O ne starts with the identity for the neutral $m$ eson

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{j}^{E M}(\mathrm{x})\right]_{\mathrm{I}=1}=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}}{ }^{0}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then generalises [15] to an isovector eld, $\sim(x)$, ofwhich ${ }^{0}(x)$ is the third com ponent [i.e., $\left.{ }^{0}(x) \quad{ }^{3}(x)\right]$. Eq. ( interaction, which is just the usualP roca condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ ~ = } 0 \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a massive vector eld coupling to a conserved current. The resulting Lagrangian for the hadronic sector is the sam e as the ( avour) Y ang $M$ ills Lagrangian $1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$, but also has a mass term which destroys the local gauge invariance. A though gauge invariance is necessary for renom alisability $\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$, K roll et al were unconcemed by this; stating that the non-zero value for the $m$ ass $m$ ade it possible to connect the eld conservation equation, Eq. ( $\overline{3}$ ), w ith the equation of $m$ otion of the eld. The case of a global SU (2) massive vector eld (the - eld) interacting with a triplet pion eld and coupled to a conserved current is treated in detail by Lurie ${ }_{10}^{1} \overline{i n}_{1} 1$.

### 2.2 G auge invariance and V M D

Sakurai's analysis of VM D ory. A though a $m$ ass term in the Lagrangian breaks gauge sym $m$ etry, Sakurai viewed the generation of interactions by $m$ inim al substitution in the Lagrangian to be interesting enough to ignore this problem. Lurie [1]ī1] has discussed the ; ; N system using coupling to conserved currents which reproduces Sakurai's results. A s it only assum es the Lagrangian to be invariant under gbbalSU (2), the appearance ofm ass term s causes no di culty. O ne can then exam ine how to include the photon in this system. Lurie's prim ary concem was to have the couple to a conserved current, and he did this by constructing a Lagrangian whose equation ofm otion had the N oether current associated w ith the global SU (2) sym $m$ etry appearing on the right hand side. In doing this, he arrives at the standard non-A belian Lagrangian (given in p. 700 of Ref. [2̄-1]), which is where we start.

W e begin w ith the Lagrangian (while Sakuraiand Lurie w orked in a Euclidean m etric, we follow the conventions of $B$ jorken and $D$ rell

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {full }}=\frac{1}{4} \sim \quad \sim+\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \sim \quad \sim+\frac{1}{2} D \sim D \sim \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \sim \sim ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim \quad=@ \sim \quad @ \sim \quad g \sim \quad \sim \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and ${ }^{-2 \cdot}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} \sim & =(@ \quad \text { ig~ } \quad \mathrm{T}) \sim ;  \tag{6}\\
& =@ \sim \quad \mathrm{~g} \sim \sim: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]$T$ his Lagrangian is sym $m$ etric under the transform ation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim!\sim+\sim \sim ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where～represents the isovector elds of the～and～．The generation of interactions from $m$ inim alsubstitution is used by Sakuraiand Lurie to $m$ otivate universality（i．e．，the coupling constant of the introduced via the covariant derivative，$D$ ，is the sam e for all particles）．H ow ever，as a slight violation to this rule is seen experim entally，we shall distinguish between $g$ and the constant $g$ appearing in Eq．（ $(\underset{-}{2})$ ，which Sakurai equates in order to satisfy a constraint on the pion form－factor（to be discussed later）．

From Eq．（ $\bar{i}$, ）it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} D \sim D \sim=\frac{1}{2} @ \sim \quad @ \sim \quad g \sim \quad(\sim \quad @ \sim)+\frac{1}{2} g^{2}(\sim \quad \sim)^{2}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter som e algebra we obtain the equation ofm otion for the eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ } \sim+m^{2} \sim=g \mathcal{J}_{\text {N oether }} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the N oether current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {Noether }}=\frac{@ L}{@(@ \sim)} \sim \frac{@ L}{@(@ \sim)} \sim \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {N oether }}=\sim \quad \sim+\sim \quad @ \sim+g(\sim \quad \sim) \quad \sim: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s the $N$ oether current is necessarily conserved，Eq．（ $\overline{1} \overline{0} \mathbf{-})$ tells us that the eld is diver－ genceless，as in Eq．（


$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ (@ } \sim @ \sim)+m^{2} \sim=g\left(J_{\text {N other }}+@(\sim \sim)\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain using the fact that the eld is divergenceless（Eq．（⿳亠二口̄）），we can rew rite the equation of $m$ otion in the inverse propagator form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \sim=g \mathcal{J} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is also a divergenceless current given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{J} & =\mathcal{J}_{\text {Noether }}+@(\sim \sim) \\
& =J_{\text {N oether }}+\sim \quad @ \sim \text { : } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

A s Lurie notes，the presence of the eld itself in $J_{\text {N oether }}$ prevents us from writing the interaction part of the Lagrangian in the simple $\sim \mathcal{J}$ fashion（which is possible for the ferm ion－vector interaction）．A sim ilar situation for scalar electrodynam ics is discussed by Itzykson and Zuber

O ur task now is to include electrom agnetism in this $m$ odel, and to do this we shall allow Eq. ( $\overline{2}$ ) to guide us. Eqs. $(\underline{2})$ and ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{i})$ imply (as @ ! iq ) a corresponding $m$ atrix elem ent relation for the electrom agnetic interaction ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =e \frac{m^{2}}{g} h B j \frac{g J^{3}}{q^{2}} m^{2}-\mathcal{A} i  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{\text { iem }^{2}}{g} \frac{i}{q^{2} m^{2}} h B \text { jgJ }^{3} \text { AA i: } \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

This is to say that the photon appears to couple to the hadronic eld via a meson, to which it couples w ith strength $\mathrm{em}^{2}=\mathrm{g}$. ( T his m odel is illustrated in F ig.'itib, below .)

Before proceeding, we shall $m$ ake, as Sakurai does, the sim plifying assum ption that one can neglect the self-interaction (from now on we shall refer only to the $0 \quad{ }^{3}$ ), i.e., the parts of the current given by Eq. (19.9) involving term s , and concem ourselves only w th the piece of the current that looks like

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=(\sim \quad @ \sim)_{0} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we shall refer to now sim ply as J . Changing from a C artesian to a charge basis, we can rew rite Eq. ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{-}$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=i(@+\quad+@ \quad): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s the ${ }^{0}$ decays alm ost entirely via the tw o-pion channel, this is a reasonable approxim ation for the current. $W$ e can then w rite the sim ple linear coupling term in the Lagrangian, and we shall choose to w rite $g$ as $g$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{~J}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The im portant problem now is to ensure that after adding electrom agnetism we still have a gauge invariant theory. The naive vertex prescription usually seen in discussions of VM D,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{em}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}}
$$

as $m$ otivated by Eq. (īi), suggests a coupling term in the e ective Lagrangian of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{\mathrm{em}^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is suggested by the substitution of the eld current identity (Eq. (i) )) into the interaction piece of the electrom agnetic Lagrangian, ejem $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{H}$ ow ever electrom agnetism cannot be inconporated into Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{U}_{1}\right)$ sim ply by adding Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} 1\right)$ ) and a kinetic term for the photon. This would result in the photon acquiring an im aginary mass $[1 \overline{2} \bar{i}]$ when one considers the dressing of the photon propagator in the $m$ anner of $F$ ig. 'in using vertices determ ined by Eq. $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$.

[^1]noon

Figure 3: VM D dressing of the photon propagator by a series of inter$m$ ediate propagators.

H ow ever, we can nd a term that em ulates Eq. ("1in), but ensures that the photon rem ains $m$ assless. Such a term is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{e}{2 g} F \quad: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e need to re-express this in $m$ om entum space which can be done using integration by parts to transform @ A @ to @ @ A and then send @ ! iq giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad!2 q^{2} \mathrm{~A} \quad: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other term in $F$ can be discarded because it contains a piece that can be w ritten as $q$ and thus vanishes as the eld is divergenœless.

H ow ever, the interaction Lagrangian ofEq. (2̄2̄) is not su cient as it would decouple the photon from the (and hence then from hadronic $m$ atter) at $q^{2}=0 . W$ hat is needed is another term which directly couples the photon to hadronic $m$ atter. This is
eA J ;
$w$ here $J$ is the hadronic current to which the couples, the pion com ponent of which is given in Eq. ( 1 I-1 $\overline{-}$ ). T hus we have an interaction between the photon and hadronic $m$ atter of exactly the sam eform as that between the and hadronic $m$ atter (though suppressed by a factor of $e=g$ ). This term is $m$ ost noticeable at $q^{2}=0 w$ here the in uence of the m eson in the photon-pion interaction vanishes.

To sum $m$ arise the argum ents just given, the photon and vector $m$ eson part of the Lagrangian we require is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{VMD} 1}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~F} F \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{~J} \quad \text { eA } J \quad \frac{e}{2 g} \mathrm{~F} \quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shall refer to this as the rst representation ofVM D.W e note that this representation has a direct photon $\mid m$ atter coupling as well as a photon | coupling which vanishes at $q^{2}=0$.

Sakurai also outlined an altemative form ulation of VM D, which has survived to becom e the standard representation. In $m$ any ways it is not as elegant as the rst; for instance, the Lagrangian has a photon $m$ ass term. D espite this it has established itself as the $m$ ost popular representation of VM D :
$L_{\text {VMD } 2}=\frac{1}{4}\left(F^{0}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{4}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m^{2}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2} \quad g \quad{ }^{0} J \quad \frac{e^{0 m}{ }^{2}}{g}{ }^{0} A^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{0!}}{g} m^{2}\left(A^{0}\right)^{2}:$

In the lim it of universality $(g=g$ ) the two representations becom e equivalent and one can transform between them using

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =+\frac{e}{g} A ;  \tag{27}\\
A^{0} & =A^{\text {u }} \frac{\text { u }}{\mathrm{u}} 1 \frac{e^{!2}}{g} ;  \tag{28}\\
e^{0} & =e^{\text {u u }} 1 \frac{e^{!^{2}}}{g}: \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting for ${ }^{0} ; \mathrm{A}^{0}$ and $e^{0}$ in Eq. $\left.\overline{2} \overline{\underline{G}}\right)$ gives Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{5})+O\left((e=g)^{3}\right)$. We shall refer to Eq. (2̄ $\overline{1} \overline{1})$ as the second representation of VM D.
$T$ he appearance of a photon $m$ ass term at rst seem s slightly troublesom e. H ow ever, when dressing the photon in the $m$ anner of $F$ ig.'ī-1, we se that the propagator has the correct form as $q^{2}!$ 0. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i D\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{i}{q^{2} \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}}}+\frac{i}{q^{2} \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}}} \frac{i e m^{2}}{g} \frac{i}{q^{2}} m^{2} \quad i e m^{2} \frac{i}{g} \frac{i}{q^{2} \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}}}+ \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sum $m$ ing this using the general operator identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{~B}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~B} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~B} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~B} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~A}}+ \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain (m m )

$$
\begin{align*}
i D\left(q^{2}\right) & =i q^{2} \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}} \frac{e^{2} m^{4}}{g^{2}\left(q^{2} m^{2}\right)} \\
& =i q_{1}^{\#^{2}} \frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}}+\frac{e^{2} m^{2}}{g^{2}\left(1 q^{2}=m^{2}\right)}  \tag{32}\\
& !\frac{i}{q^{2}\left(1+e^{2}=g^{2}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

as $q^{2}!0 . W$ e are thus left $w$ ith a m odi cation to the coupling constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2}!e^{2}\left(1 \quad e^{2}=g^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and interestingly we see that the photon propagator is signi cantly modi ed aw ay from $q^{2}=0$.

W e conclude this discussion with a com parison of the use of the two models by describing the process ! + . We can identify the relevant term $s$ in the Lagrangian


$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
L_{1} & =\frac{e}{2 g} F & & \text { eJ A } \quad \mathrm{J} ; \\
\mathrm{L}_{2} & =\frac{e^{2}}{g} & A & \mathrm{~g} & J: \tag{36}
\end{array}
$$

If the photon coupled to the pions directly, then the Feynm an am plitude for this process would be (as in scalar electrodynam ics $\left.{ }_{(2-0}^{0} 1\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}!+=\mathrm{h}^{+} \text {j } \mathrm{m}^{-j 0 i=} \mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{p}^{+} \mathrm{p}\right) ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

W here $J$ is given in Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{9})$. H ow ever, in the presence of the vector $m$ eson interactions
 which represents the contribution from the interm ediate steps connecting the photon to the pions, is de ned by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}:+=\mathrm{e}\left(\mathrm{p}^{+} \mathrm{p}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(q^{2}\right) ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now M ! + is the full am plitude inchuding all possible processes. The form factor is the multiplicative deviation from a pointlike behaviour of the coupling of the photon to the pion eld. W e discuss F ( $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ ) in detail later.

To lowest order, we have for $L_{1}$ (see Eq. (2̄3̄) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(q^{2}\right)=1 \frac{q^{2}}{q^{2} m^{2}} \frac{g}{g} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\mathrm{L}_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{m^{2}}{q^{2} m^{2}} \frac{g}{g}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the lim it of zero $m$ om entum transfer, the photon \sees" only the charge of the pions, and hence we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(0)=1: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader $m$ ay notice that Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) is autom atically satis ed by the dispersion relation ofFrazer and Fuloo, Eq. ( $\left.\underline{1}_{1}\right)$ and by VM D 1 (Eq. ( result (Eq. ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ ) by dem anding $g=g$.
$T$ his is the basis of Sakurai's argum ent for universality m entioned earlier, i.e., that the photon couples to the as in Eq. $\cdot(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~B}} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ and that therefore g must equalg. This is a direct consequence of assum ing com plete dom inance of the form-factor (ie., VM D 2). The second part of universality, nam ely that $g=g_{\mathrm{NN}}=:::=9$ results from the assum ption that the interactions are all generated from the gauge principle (ie., by m inim al substitution for the covariant derivative given in Eq. (ब্- )) .

A s Sakuraipoints out, the two representations ofVM D are equivalent in the lim it of
 $m$ aintains the condition $F(0)=1$. D ue to the popularity of the second interpretation, though, $F(0)=1$ is $m$ ore often view ed as a constraint on various introduced param eters [2]2̄]. W e illustrate the di erence betw een the two representations in $F$ ig. 'A'i.'.

Interestingly, $C$ aldi and $P$ agels $[\underline{[2]-1]}]$ arrived at a sim ilar expression for the pion form factor to Eq. ( $\overline{3} 9 \overline{9})$ from a direct photon contribution and a xed vertex. Their coupling of the to the pion eld, though, is $m$ om entum dependent, and it is because of this that they reproduced the rst representation.


Figure 4: C ontributions to the pion form -factor in the tw o representations of vector meson dom inance a) VM D 1 b) VM D 2.

### 2.3 The as a dynam ical gauge boson

Bando et al have succeeded in constructing a local gauge model which reproduces VM D ${ }_{[1 / \overline{1} \overline{-1}] .}$ This $m$ odel is based on the idea of a hidden local sym $m$ etry originally developed in supergravity theories. The meson appears as the dynam ical gauge boson of a hidden local sym $m$ etry in the non-linear, chiral Lagrangian. The mass of the is generated by the $H$ iggs $m$ echanism associated w ith the hidden local sym $m$ etry.

W e begin w ith the Lagrangian of the non-linear sigm a-m odel [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{f}^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{U} @ \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right] ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the pion decay constant ( 93 M eV ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\exp [2 i \quad(x)=f]: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ( $x$ ) are the pion elds $(x)={ }^{a} T^{a}$, where $T^{a}$ are the generators of SU (2) (see footnote'ī̌ on page 7). The eld U transform sunder chiralSU (2) $)_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \operatorname{SU}(2)_{R}$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)!g_{L} U(x) g_{R}^{y} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} 2$ SU (2) $)_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}$ :
A $s$ it stands this particular Lagrangian is invariant under global SU (2) $)_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{R}}$ • H ow ever, it can be cast into a form which possesses, in addition, a local (and hidden) SU (2) ${ }_{V}$ sym $m$ etry. W e can separate $U(x)$ into two constituents which transform respectively under left and right SU (2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x) \quad{\underset{L}{L}}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{x})_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the (x) are SU (2) m atrix-valued entities transform ing like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R}!\quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R} g_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever，the interesting part com es in supposing these com ponents also possess a local SU（2）v sym m etry，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R}!\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(x)=e^{\mathrm{i} \sim(x)}$ I．The im portant point here is that the eld $U(x)$ does not $\backslash$ see＂this localSU（2）${ }_{\mathrm{V}}$ transform ation（because it is invariant under it，even though its com ponents are not），and thus we say it is a hidden sym $m$ etry．
The SU（2）invariant Lagrangian can now be rew ritten［2］

$$
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{f}^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{L}  \tag{48}\\
\mathrm{~L} \\
\mathrm{Y}
\end{array} @_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{2}:
$$

H ow ever，if we now introduce a gauge eld

$$
V=\nabla \quad T ;
$$

and covariant derivative（c．f．Eq．（īi））

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}=@_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{igV} \quad \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{R}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can w rite the original Lagrangian as terín
which is easily seen to revert to Eq．（4̄⿱二厶力－$)$ upon substitution for the covariant derivatives． W e now sim ilarly construct

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{2}=f^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{2 i}\left(\begin{array}{l}
D \\
\mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~L}
\end{array} \underset{\mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}}+\mathrm{D} \quad \underset{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{2} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

which is invariant under the local SU $(2)_{V}$ transform ation $h(x)$ provided that $V$ trans－ form s under SU（2）${ }_{V}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V!h(x) V h^{y}(x)+\frac{i}{g} h(x) @ h^{y}(x): \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly，the Euler－Lagrange equation for $V$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ L}{@ V} @\left(\frac{@ L}{@(@ V)}\right)=0 ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies that $L_{2}=0$ ．Thuswe need to do som ething to enable us to keep our vector eld，$V$（ $x$ ）．B ando et al．assum ed that quantum（ordynam ical）e ects at the \com posite
level＂（where the underlying quark substructure brings QCD into play）generate the kinetic term of the gauge eld $V$（ x ）

$$
\frac{1}{4} F^{r} \quad F^{2}
$$

where，like～in Eq．（⿶⿴囗大心），

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{r}=@ \nabla \quad @ \nabla \quad g \nabla \quad \nabla: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we construct a new Lagrangian of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{aL}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~F}^{r} \quad \mathrm{~F}^{r} ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is an arbitrary param eter．W e now $x$ the $S U(2)_{v}$ gauge（Eq．（ $\left.\overline{4} \overline{-1} \overline{1}\right)$ ）by im posing the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{L}{y}(x)={ }_{R}(x)=(x)=e^{i \sim(x) T=f}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Approxim ating by（ $1+i \sim T=f$ ）our Lagrangian now has the form ${ }^{\text {ein }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{f^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr@U@U^{\mathrm {y}}]+\frac {1}{2}\mathrm {ag}^{2}f^{2}\nabla \quad \nabla \quad \frac {1}{2}ag\nabla \quad \sim \quad @\sim \quad \frac {1}{4}F^{r}\quad F^{r};} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

to order ${ }^{2}$ ．We can identify，by com parison w ith Eq．（ī），

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=a g^{2} f^{2} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Eq．（ $(\overline{1} \overline{2})$ we recognise the current

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{V}=\sim \quad @ \sim ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and henœe，

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{v}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ag}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step towards reproducing VMD is to incorporate electrom agnetism．We extend the hidden gauge group to a larger group， $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{V} U(1)_{Q}$ where $U(1)_{Q}$ is not a hidden sym m etry as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}!\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{U} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{x}): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transform ation $b(x) 2 U(1)_{Q}=\exp \left(i e_{0} Q(x)\right)$ ，where $Q$ is the generator of the one－param eter $U(1)$ group（analogous to $T^{a}$ for $S U(\mathbb{N})$ ）．The EM eld couples to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{2} Y+T_{3} ; \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where $Y$ is the hypercharge, which is zero in this case. B ando et al draw attention to the com plete independence of the and photon source charges, which produces a sim ple picture.

The transform ation given in Eq. ( $(\overline{6} \overline{-} \overline{1}) m$ eans that the elds transform like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R}!\quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e therefore require for a covariant derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}=@_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} \quad \operatorname{ig} \nabla \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} \quad \text { ie }_{0 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~T}^{3} ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is essentially the photon eld. W ith this we nd that the relevant parts of the Lagrangian, nam ely

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{D} & \mathrm{~L} & \underset{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{Y}} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{R}
\end{array} \frac{\mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{R}}
$$

are invariant under $U(1)_{Q}$, provided $B$ transform $s$ like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B } \quad!\quad \text { B } \quad \frac{i}{e_{0}} @ b^{y} b: \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inconporating our new covariant derivative (Eq. (6̄5్) ) we have as the new Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{aL} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~F}^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{F}^{v} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~B} ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B$ is the strength tensor of the eld $B$. W e now once again $x$ the gauge in the


Expanding once again to rst order in ~, the new Lagrangian becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.L=\frac{f^{2}}{4} \operatorname{Tr} @ U @ U^{\mathrm{Y}}\right] \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~F}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~F} \quad \frac{1}{4}(@ \mathrm{~B} \quad @ \mathrm{~B})^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \nabla \quad \nabla \quad \frac{1}{g} e_{0} m^{2} V^{3} B \quad+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{e_{0}}{g}\right)^{2} m^{2} B \quad B \\
& \left.\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{ag} \boldsymbol{( @ \sim} \sim\right) \quad \Theta\left(1 \quad \frac{a}{2}\right) \mathrm{B} \quad(@ \sim \sim)_{3}: \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

We are now free to choose a value for a. Choosing a $=2$ both reproduces the VM D 2 Lagrangian given in Eq. (2̄̄̄̄) and im poses universality as $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{ag}=2=\mathrm{g}$. O ne would then be free to $m$ ake the transform ations given by Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) to obtain VM D 1 (Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ ).

H ow ever, instead ofdoing this B ando et al. follow the procedure for rem oving them ass of the U (1) eld in the Standard M odel ${ }_{\text {Whin }} \mathbf{1}$, where an alm ost identical situation occurs for the photon and the $Z^{0}$. O ne says that the states $V_{3}$ and $B \quad m$ ix, spontaneously breaking the $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{V}} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{Q}$ down to $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}} . W$ e set, as opposed to Eqs. $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})-(\underline{2} \overline{9})$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{1}{g^{2}+e_{0}^{2}}\left(g B+e_{0} V^{3}\right)  \tag{69}\\
& V^{0}=\frac{1}{g^{2}+e_{0}^{2}}\left(g V^{3} \quad e_{0} B\right) \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

and the photon $m$ ass vanishes as required. The relevant part of the resulting Lagrangian is now

$$
L=\frac{1}{4}\left(A \quad A+V^{0} V^{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{A} \tag{71}
\end{array}\right)^{2} V^{0} V^{0} \quad\left(g \quad V^{0}+e A \quad\right)_{3 a b} @ a^{b} ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{0}^{2} & =a\left(g^{2}+e_{0}^{2}\right) f^{2} ; \\
e & =\frac{e_{0} g}{g^{2}+e_{0}^{2}} ; \\
g & =\frac{g e}{e_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mathrm{a}=2$.
W e note that this Lagrangian has no explicit coupling betw een the photon and the ${ }^{0}$, although there is a direct coupling of the photon to the hadronic current. They can $m$ ix, how ever, via a pion-loop, which results in a $q^{2}$ dependent $m$ ixing between the photon and the $m$ eson. Because we are working to lowest order in the pion eld Eq. ( $\overline{\text { In }} \overline{1} 1)$ lacks the seagull term (i.e., one of the form of the nalterm in Eq. (9, (9) ) the resulting $m$ ixing am plluude will be neither transverse, nor vanish at $q^{2}=0$ (see section '5. this is a departure from the usual form ulations ofVM D which contain an explicit $m$ ixing term in the Lagrangian. B hadurim erely notes that once this transform ation is $m$ ade the physical photon now has a hadron-like part through Eq. (ī0]
 (as the photon is $m$ assless and gauge invariance is preserved) and argues that the vector $m$ eson supplies a $q^{2}$ correction to the pion form factor, rather than giving the whole thing.
$H$ ung has extended this $m$ odel to inchude the weak bosons $\left[\overline{k N}_{-1}^{7}\right]$. W hat is especially interesting about his work in light of our presentation is his reproduction of the rst representation ofVMD, which he dem onstrates is equivalent to \precisely the old vector $m$ eson dom inanœ" (by which he $m$ eans the second representation), as universality is a consequence of his m odel.

### 2.4 Sum m ary

W e have described how the interactions of the photon $w$ ith hadronic system $s$ can be usefully $m$ odelled using vector $m$ esons. This idea was then $m$ oulded into a Lagrangian eld theory, but the $m$ asses of the vector $m$ eson prevented one from having a gauge invariant theory. T wo equivalent form ulations of VM D were developed, VM D 1 in which the coupling of the photon to the is $m$ om entum dependent (vanishing at $q^{2}=0$ ), and VM D 2 where it is not. If universality is in posed these representations produce the sam e physics.

In an attem pt to put VM D on a m ore solid theoretical footing, Bando et al were able to w rite down a gauge invariant theory which reduces (c.f. Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) to the VM D 2 Lagrangian when one expands to second order in the pion eld.

A uni ed picture of these above $m$ entioned phenom enological approaches is a orded by the bosonised $N$ am bu \{Jona-Lasinio $(\mathbb{N} J)$ m odel point quark interaction. B osonising this chirally invariant m odelautom atically yields the eld current identity of Eq. (Gㅡㄴ) and, from this, VMD. The bosonised N J m odel also contains the hidden local sym $m$ etry of $B$ ando et $a l$ and one can dem onstrate, through a chiral rotation, that the two e ective $m$ eson Lagrangians are equivalent. In the full quantum theory, the two representations, VMD1 and VMD2 are related by a simple change ofm esonic integration variables [1]

## 3 ! mixing

W e shall discuss here how ! m ixing was seen experim entally and the challenge it presented to physicists to explain the $m$ echanism driving it. The im portance of ! $m$ ixing in the conventional understanding of charge sym $m$ etry violation (CSV) in nuclear physics (c.f. Sec. ${ }_{-1}^{1 / 1}$ ) has made it crucial for us to im prove our understanding of this phenom enon.

### 3.1 The electrom agnetic form -factor of the pion

O ne problem in which VMD found particular success was the description of the electrom agnetic form -factor of the pion [ $\left[\frac{1}{3} 0\right.$ understanding of $\quad!m$ ixing it is useful to outline what we $m$ ean by it and how the theoretical predictions are com pared w th experim ental data.

W e are concemed w ith the s-channel process depicted in Fig. 'iv, in which an electronpositron pair anninilate, form ing a photon which then decays to two pions. W e de ne the


F igure 5: E lectron-positron pair annihilating to form a photon which then decays to a pion pair.
form-factor, F ( s ), by Eq. ( $\left(\frac{3}{3} \overline{-1}\right)$. The form -factor represents allpossible strong interactions occurring w ithin the circle in Fig.'-1., which we m odelusing VM D.

In the tim e-like region, $F\left(q^{2}\right)$ is $m$ easured experim entally in the process $e^{+} e$ ! + , which, to low est order in $\mathrm{e}^{2}$, is given by the process show n in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{-1} . \mathrm{T}$. m m om enta
of the electron and positron are $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ respectively, and $p_{3}$ and $p_{4}$ are the $m$ om enta of the ${ }^{+}$and . The di erential cross-section is given by
where $\hat{p}$ is the unit vector in the direction of $p$. W e are thus interested in calculating the Feynm an am plitude, $M$ fi, for this process. The leptonic and photon part of the diagram are com pletely standard. The interesting part of the diagram concems the coupling of the photon to the pion pair represented by $F$ ig. '51.'. The form of this part of the diagram, M ! + , is given in Eq. ( $\mathbf{3}_{-1}^{-1}$ ). In full, the am plitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{f i}=\bar{v}(2) \text { ie } \quad u(1) \text { iD } \quad(q) e F \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\left(p_{4} \quad p_{3}\right) ; \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the photon propagator being given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { iD } \quad(q)=\frac{(i)}{q^{2}} g+(1){\frac{q q}{q^{2}}}^{\#}: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Particular choioes of correspond to particular covariant gauges. The second term in Eq. (īíl) vanishes because the phton couples to conserved currents.

In the centre ofm ass fram e in which we set jpj=p, we have E ${ }^{2} p^{2}=m_{e}^{2}, E^{2} p^{@}=$ $m^{2}$, and $\rho \quad \beta=p^{0} \cos \cdot U \operatorname{sing}^{\rho} \bar{s}=2 E$ the di erential cross-section becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d}{d}=\frac{e^{4}}{s^{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{4} s m^{2}\right)^{1=2}}{\left(\frac{1}{4} s^{2}\right.} \mathrm{sm}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)^{1=2} \frac{\left(E^{4} \quad E^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \quad\left(\left(\mathbb{E}^{4} \quad E^{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right) \cos ^{2}\right)\right) F(\mathrm{~s}) \mathcal{J}}{\bar{s} 64{ }^{2}}: \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \ll \mathrm{~m}^{2}<\mathrm{s}$, we can sim plify the above form ula to

$$
\frac{d}{d}=\frac{e^{4}}{s^{2}} \frac{\left(s \quad 4 m^{2}\right)^{1=2}}{s^{p}} \frac{1}{8^{2}}\left(E^{4} \quad E^{2} m^{2}\right)\left(1 \quad \cos ^{2}\right) F(s) \jmath^{2}:
$$

From this we obtain the total cross-section

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left(\mathrm{~s} \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)^{3=2}}{\mathrm{~s}^{5=2}} F(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{J}^{2}: \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Early experim ents $m$ easuring this cross-section produced enough data around the resonance to enable the extraction of $F(s) f$ and led to the developm ent of the VM D m odeldiscussed earlier. In the second representation ofVMD the $e^{+} e!+{ }^{+}$reaction is given by the process illustrated in $F$ ig. ${ }_{-}-1 /$, which leads to the expression for the pion


$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad(s)=\frac{m^{2}}{s m^{2}+i m}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The readerw ill notioe Eq. ( $\left.\bar{\eta} \bar{n}_{1}\right)$ di ens slightly from what w ould be naively expected from Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ as the width of the $m$ eson hasbeen included. This will.be fully discussed later.


Figure 6: VMD description of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! + .

### 3.2 The observation of ! mixing

A sm ore data was collected (for the reaction $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! + and other related reactions such as ${ }^{+}+\mathrm{p}$ ! + $+{ }^{++}$) and the resolution of the resonance curve im proved, it becam e clear that there was a kink in the otherw ise sm ooth curve observed around the $m$ ass of the ! $m$ eson $\left[\frac{1}{3} \overline{1} 1\right]$. The strong interaction was not believed to allow an ! to decay to the pion pair, as to do so would violate G parity. G lashow suggested in 1961 [了ై̄̄] that EM e ects m ixed the two states of pure isospin, ind and resulting in the $m$ ass eigenstates, and !, being superpositions of the two initial elds. The m ost obvious possibility, as this e ect is only very sm all, was via the process show $n$ in Fig. in.i. He also com mented that other EM m ixing processes such as I! $+{ }^{0}!!_{I}$ could not be ignored. H ow ever, calculations revealed that the process shown in Fig. ${ }_{-1}$ is


Figure 7: Electrom agnetic contribution to the !-resonance of $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! + .
suppressed too much to account for what was seen in the experim ent. Being a second order electrom agnetic e ect it contributed only around 8 keV to the observed partial width !! $2=186 \mathrm{keV}$.

Hence it becam e necessary to abandon strict conservation of G-parity in the strong interaction. The explanation for the kink in the data was that the decay ! ! 2 was interfering. It was even suggested [ $[3 \overline{3} \overline{1}]$ that, as the $m$ asses are so close, perhaps the and ! are just decay modes (one to two pions and the other to three pions) of the one particle, which, like the photon, did not possess a well-de ned isospin.

H ow ever, a concerted e ort to exam ine the decay!! 2 conchuded that there was not
signi cant statistical evidence for the direct decay ${ }_{[1 / 2}^{[1]}$. It w as suggested that perhaps, despite a possibly substantial direct decay rate, som e process produced a cancellation giving a zero result. This argum ent for ignoring the direct decay was given a $m$ athem atical footing [ 3 5̄5

A way out of this problem seem ed at hand with the strong sym $m$ etry breaking theory
 the quantity $h{ }^{0}{ }_{j} \mathrm{j}$ ! i , where M denotes the m ass $m$ ixing operator which was taken to be a free param eter $\left.{ }^{13} \bar{\delta}_{1}\right]$. U ltim ately, this $m$ assm ixing has its origins in the quark $m$ ass di erences and EM e ects, but there is as yet no de nitive derivation from QCD.


Figure 8: ! m ixing contribution to $e^{+} e$ !

### 3.3 Q uantum mechanicalview of ! mixing

 inally due to Colem an and Schnitzer [īion. A though such $m$ ethods are not usually em ployed today in the discussion of ! m ixing, they contributed signi cantly to the developm ent of the sub ject.
$T$ he vector $m$ eson propagator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D } \quad\left(q^{2}\right)==^{z} d^{4} x e^{i q \times} h 0 j \llbracket \mathrm{fV}(x) V(0) g j 0 i \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we can rew rite using the spectral representation $\left[\underline{1 i}_{-1}{ }_{-1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D } \quad\left(q^{2}\right)=\int_{s_{0}}^{z_{1}} d r \frac{(r)}{q_{1}^{2}}\left(g \quad \frac{q q}{r}\right) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( r ) is the spectral density of the vector states. From Eq. ( $\left(\overline{\bar{\prime}} \overline{-}_{1}\right)$ we can de ne the propagator function, $D\left(q^{2}\right)$, such that $[$ 스﹎]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \quad D\left(q^{2}\right) g+\frac{1}{q^{2}}(D(0) \quad D(s)) q q \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we de ne for convenience heres $q^{2}$. We now write the propagator function in the follow ing w ay

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(s)=\frac{1}{s W^{(s)}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, in what follow S , we shall regard $D$ and W as operators. The m ass-squared operator, $W$, is a function of $s$ in general and we will later use the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2}+\quad(\mathrm{s}) ; \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $s$ ) is the selfenergy operator $w$ ith com plex $m$ atrix elem ents and is related to the physical interm ediate states (we shall discuss this in section '5.'.'.')). The poles of the $m$ atrix elem ents ofD correspond to the physical vector $m$ eson states.

If we restrict our attention to the region near the ! mass, which corresponds to a sm allenergy range (of order ! orm! $m$ ), we can safely neglect the s-dependence of W. The decay widths can thus be taken as independent of $s$ in this region.

The physical states can be taken to be linear com binations of the pure isospin states, $\dot{\jmath}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}_{1}, \mathrm{a}=;!$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j_{I I} i & j 1 ; 0 i \\
j!I_{I} i & j 0 ; 0 i
\end{array}
$$

in the isospin basis, $\bar{J} ; I_{3} i . W$ and $D$ would be diagonal if there were no isospin-violating e ects, but the existence of such e ects produces $m$ atrix elem ents which are not diagonal and the o-diagonalelem ents contain the inform ation about ! m ixing. A ssum ing tim e reversal invariance these $m$ atrix elem ents are sym $m$ etric, though not real (and hence not necessarily herm itian). The physical states are those which diagonalise $W$ and we denote them by $\dot{\beta} i$. E ther representation, the physical states jai or the isospin states $\dot{j}_{I} i$ form a com plete orthonorm albasis; ie.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I={ }_{a}^{x} \quad \dot{\text { aihaj }}={ }_{a_{I}}^{x} \dot{\operatorname{A}}_{I} \text { iha }_{I} j \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ab}=\text { ha } \mathrm{fbi}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the two bases can be related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j} i={\underset{b_{I}}{x} b_{I} \dot{\operatorname{lh}} b_{I} \dot{\beta} i}^{x} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

W e note here that we de ne the left eigenvectors hajby these de nitions. W ew ill see later
 $N$ aturally, $D(s)$ can be represented in either basis, for exam ple in the physical or $m$ ass basis
with a sim ilar expression using the basis $\dot{\mu}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{i}$. Since the physical states are those that diagonalise $D$ and $W$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hativ foi }=\mathrm{ab}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Eq. ( $\bar{B}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}$ ) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
D={ }_{a}^{x} \frac{\text { jaihaj }}{S z_{a}} \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $m$ ixing is observed to be $s m$ all, we approxim ate the transform ation betw een the tw o bases given in Eq. ( (8̄5), by

$$
\begin{align*}
& j i=j_{I} i  \tag{90}\\
& j!!_{I} i  \tag{91}\\
& j!i=j!!_{I}+j_{I} i
\end{align*}
$$

where is a sm all, com plex $m$ ixing param eter. H ere and in the follow ing we alw ays w ork to rst order in . In m atrix form, we w rite

$$
C \quad \begin{align*}
& h_{I j} i h_{I j} i  \tag{92}\\
& h_{I j}!i h!_{I}!i
\end{align*}=1 \quad 1
$$

and
where the script letters are used to denote $m$ atrioes. The physical basis $j i, j!$ i diagonalises $W$ so we have

$$
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{CW}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{C}^{1}=\begin{array}{rc}
\mathrm{z} & 0  \tag{94}\\
0 & \mathrm{z}_{!}
\end{array}
$$

from which we deduce, neglecting all term sof order ${ }^{2}$ and $h_{I}$ iv $j!I_{I}$ and observing


$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{h_{I} \ddagger j \mathrm{j}{ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{z}_{!} \mathrm{z}}: \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{a}$ corresponds to the square of the com plex $m$ ass, it is convenient to write [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}4 \overline{1} \overline{1}]\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{a} & =\left(m_{a} \quad i_{a}=2\right)^{2} \\
& , m_{a}^{2} \quad m_{a} a i \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

where a is the decay width of particle a which was seen in the form-factor given in Eq. (Ī7ָ) • H ence we have
$N$ ow we recall that $W$ is in general $m$ om entum dependent, and that we neglected the m om entum dependence as a sim pli cation (as we were concemed with only a sm all region around the ! mass). Hence, Eq. (9̄-1 ${ }_{-1}$ ) and therefore ! m ixing will, in general, be m om entum dependent. Interestingly, is seen to have the form (neglecting the ! width) of a propagator evaluated at $s=m_{!}^{2}$, which we can compare $w$ th the discussion surrounding Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{6} \overline{2}_{2}^{2}\right)$.

The am plitude for any process involving interm ediate vector states (which mix) will involve $m$ atrix elem ents of the vector $m$ eson propagator function, $D$, and can now be


For the case of $e^{+} e$ ! + , using the $m$ ore popular second representation of VMD (Eq. (2̄-1 $\overline{-1})$ ) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{f i} & =h 2 j(s) j^{+} e i \\
& =\frac{h 2 j \text { ih 芦e i}}{s m^{2}+\dot{m}}+\frac{h 2 j!\text { ih! je } j^{+} e i}{s m_{!}^{2}+i m!}: \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

It is from this that we can determ ine the O rsay phase, phase of the! and Breit-w igner amplitudes for $e^{+} e$ ! 2 .

C om paring w ith Eq. (1) we can identify the pion form factor to be

$$
\begin{align*}
F(s)= & \frac{g g}{s m^{2}+\mathrm{m}}+\frac{g!g!}{s m_{!}^{2}+i m!!} \\
& g \mathrm{~g} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}+\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{e}^{j} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}_{!}^{2}+\mathrm{im}!!} ; \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g=\frac{m^{2}}{g}:
$$

H ence the quantity é $\quad\left(g_{!}=g \quad\right)(g!\quad=g \quad)$ govems the shape of the interference and hence of the cross-section around the! mass.

In the rem ainder of the paper, we $m$ ake use of the follow ing notational conveniences, all valid only when term sof order ${ }^{2}$ and $h_{I}$ fN j! I i can be neglected:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
W & h_{I} \ddagger j_{I} i=h \not W j i=z \\
W!! & h!_{I} \ddagger j!_{I} i=h!\ddagger N j!i=z_{!} \\
W! & h_{I} \neq j!_{I} i: \tag{101}
\end{array}
$$

### 3.4 The contribution of direct om ega decay

A s had been suggested $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{4} \overline{4}} \\ \overline{-}\end{array}\right]$ the existence of a direct decay of the pure isospin state, ! I ! 2 m ay have little e ect on the decay of the real!. An argum ent was given for
this $\left[\frac{10}{3} \overline{5},[\overline{3} \bar{q}]\right.$, and $m$ ost $m$ odem calculations do not include the contribution of the direct decay of the !. It is usefil to outline these argum ents and exam ine whether they still hold for recent exam inations of ! m ixing.

The coupling of the physical ! to the two pion state can be expressed as (from Eq. (9̄1i))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{1}=\mathrm{M}_{!_{\mathrm{I}}}+\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}} \text {; } \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is given by Eq. $1(\overline{9} \bar{\eta})$. $N$ eglecting the $m$ all $m$ ass di erence of the tw m esons and the decay w idth of the ! allow s us to approxim ate , given in Eq. $\cdot(\overline{9} \overline{9})$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=i \frac{\operatorname{ReW}!+i \operatorname{mW}!}{m}: \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assum ing the ! I is able to couple to two pions (afterall, some mechanism is required for CSV), i.e., $M$ ! 0 , we would have the $m$ ixing interaction, show $n$ in Fig. ${ }^{1} 9$, , contributing to W !, and hence also to .


Figure 9: Physical interm ediate states contributing to !mixing.
We can determ ine the contribution to $W$ ! from ! ! ! To do this, how ever, it is rst usefulto consider the analogous case for the sim pler system. T he selfenergy of the,$W$, is generated by a virtual pion loop as in Fig. 1100 , which m odi es the


Figure 10: C ontribution of a pion loop to the selfenergy.
propagator in the follow ing way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{q^{2} \quad m_{0}^{2}}!\frac{1}{q^{2}} \quad W \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { , } \frac{1}{q^{2} m^{2}+i m} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m_{0}$ is the bare $m$ ass, $m$ the renom alised $m$ ass and the $w$ idth of the $m$ eson. W e now have the de nition of the im aginary part off ig. ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{I}} \underline{\underline{1}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} W \quad m \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \text {; } \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(m^{2}\right)$ is the decay width of the. Sim ilarly we can determ ine the im aginary part of the one-loop diagram shown in Fig. 'I, 1 , which contributes to $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{W}$ !. If follow ing the analysis of $R$ enard

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad:=\frac{g_{!_{I}}}{g_{I}} M \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad=\frac{g_{!_{I}}}{g_{I}} W \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im} W: & =\frac{g_{!_{I}}}{g_{I}} \operatorname{Im} W \\
& =\frac{g_{!_{I}}}{g_{I}} m \quad: \tag{109}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Eq. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \bar{O})$ into Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{3})$ and then substituting that into Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{!} \quad=g_{!_{I}} \quad i \frac{R e W}{m} g_{I}+\frac{\operatorname{ImW}!}{m} g_{I} \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{!}=g_{!_{I}} \quad i \frac{R e W}{m} g_{I} \quad g_{!_{I}}: \tag{111}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s can be seen the contribution from the decay of the $!_{\text {I }}$ is cancelled.
So, in sum mary, we allowed CSV through ! I ! (in the same form as I! ), which contributed to the $m$ ixing param eter, , through the process depicted in $F$ ig! 9. . We then found that the im aginary part of the single pion loop actually cancelled the decay of the ! I in the process ! ! . Hence the decay of the the ! I can be ignored.

H ow ever, the approxim ation of neglecting the ! width and the ! mass di erence in Eq. (1]0] approxim ation it has been found that the $g_{!}$contribution survives and can contribute signi cantly.

In this section we have concemed ourselves with the initial discovery of the G-parity violating interactions of the! m eson which could not be explained by electrom agnetism alone. W e also reviewed the early theoretical attem pts to explain these processes. W e described the developm ent of the notion of ! m ixing, a process which is still not entirely understood at a fundam ental level.

It is our purpose in the rem ainder of this report to develop a sim ple fram ew ork for handling ! m ixing and show how to use it in practical calculations.

## 4 C harge sym m etry violation in nuclear physics

Before proceeding to discuss ! m ixing in greater detail it is im portant to brie $y$ review its im portance in nuclear physics.

There are a number of ne review s of charge sym $m$ etry and the insight which the sm all violations of it can give us conceming strongly interacting system s [ would be inappropriate to go over that $m$ aterial at length. O ur ob jective here is sim ply to recall a few key exam ples where ! m ixing is believed to play an im portant role. In this way we provide a fram ew ork within which our consideration of $m$ eson $m$ ixing and VMD may be viewed.

The charge sym $m$ etry breaking interaction of $m$ ost interest in nuclear physics has typically been the so-called class-III force $[\underline{\overline{4}} \overline{4}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}^{\text {III }}(1 \mathrm{z}+2 \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{3}\left(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{r}} ;{\underset{\sim}{1}} ;{\underset{\sim}{2}}_{2}\right): \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is responsible for the di erence between the nn, (C oulom.b corrected) pp and np scattering lengths. It also contributes to a di erence betw een the $m$ asses ofm irror nuclei,
 three-body problem, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}{ }^{3} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{m}$ ass di erence is the m ost precisely studied exam ple. A fter correcting for the EM interaction and the free $n \quad p m$ ass di erence there rem ains som e 70 keV to be explained in term $s$ of a charge sym $m$ etry violating force thī class-III foroe associated w th ! m ixing predicts 9014 keV [ $[\overline{4} \overline{8}]$ which is in good agreem ent.

For heavier nuclei the EM corrections are $m$ uch $m$ ore di cult to calculate accurately. N evertheless, after the best estim ates have been $m$ ade a CSV m ass di erence rem ains which grow $s$ w th nuclear $m$ ass number, A. A s illustrated in Table 'i-1 of the results of
 e ects, can account form ost of this discrepancy | at least for low j. O nce again, ! $m$ ixing appear to be responsible for the $m$ a jority (roughly 90\%) of the calculated e ect.

There has also been considerable experim ental activity in the past few years $[5 \overline{15} \overline{1}, \underline{N} \overline{2} \overline{2}]$ conceming the class-IV force:

| N uclear Level |  | R equired CSV (keV) |  | C alculated C SV (keV) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | D M E | SkII | total | - | ! |
| 15 | $\mathrm{p}_{3}{ }^{1}$ | 250 | 190 | 210 | 182 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{p}_{1=2}^{1}$ | 380 | 290 | 283 | 227 |  |
| 17 | $\mathrm{d}_{5}=2$ | 300 | 190 | 144 | 131 |  |
|  | $1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{l}=2}$ | 320 | 210 | 254 | 218 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{d}_{3=2}$ | 370 | 270 | 246 | 192 |  |
| 39 | $1 \mathrm{~s}_{1=2}^{1}$ | 370 | 270 | 337 | 290 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{d}_{3=2}^{1}$ | 540 | 430 | 352 | 281 |  |
| 41 | $\mathrm{f}_{7}=2$ | 440 | 350 | 193 | 175 |  |
|  | $1 p_{3=2}$ | 380 | 340 | 295 | 258 |  |
|  | $1 \mathrm{p}_{1=2}$ | 410 | 330 | 336 | 282 |  |

Table 1: Sum m ary of CSV in the single particle levels for several light

where vectors in isospin-space have been denoted by overhead arrow $s$, and those in position space by underlining. Such a force only a ects the np system where it mixes the
 $m$ easurem ent is insensitive to ! m ixing and agrees well with the theoretical expectations [5] theoretical prediction m ixing. Unfortunately, the experim ental error is such that this is only a $1: 5$ standard deviation e ect. It would be very inform ative to reduce the errors by a factor of 2-3 in the IUCF energy region.

C learly there are a num ber ofexam ples where C SV in nuclear physics seem sto require the contribution to the $N N$ force arising from ! m ixing. In order to calculate such a force one m ust take the Fourier transform of the Feynm an diagram shown in Fig. 'ī1i.


Figure 11: CSV in the nuclear potential resulting from ! m ixing.


$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {C SV }}(r) / \frac{1}{r}_{Z_{1}}^{l_{1}} d q q \frac{\sin (q r)!\left(q^{2}\right)}{\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right)\left(q^{2}+m_{!}^{2}\right)} \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!\left(q^{2}\right)$ is the $!m$ ixing am plitude in the space-like region. Traditionally this has been evaluated using contour integration and keeping only the poles associated $w$ th the vector $m$ eson propagator. That is, $V_{C S v}$ is proportionalto ! $\left(m^{2}\right)$, the $m$ ixing am plitude at the (or the !) pole. If ! were to vary rapidly between the tim e-like and space-like regions, as rst suggested by G oldm an et al $\overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{]}]$, this w ould be a very bad approxim ation. Indeed, if the behaviour found by G oldm an et al. (discussed in the next section) were correct, ! m ixing would contribute little or nothing in the exam ple we

 re-exam ine the understanding of nuclear $m$ atter at a fairly fundam ental level.

## 5 The behaviour of ! mixing

The various proposed $m$ echanism s for ! m ixing (as, for exam ple, the pion loops of Fig. $\left.{ }_{1} \overline{9}\right)$ w ould have inescapably led to the conclusion that it was a m om entum dependent process. H ow ever no direct calculations were ever m ade of these loop diagram s.

In the early studies of $!m$ ixing the $m$ ixing param eter (c.f. Eqs.' ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{9} \overline{0})$ and ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) was never prechuded from being $m$ om entum dependent. Unfortunately, experim ental lim itations $m$ eant there was little hope that $m$ uch could be known about aw ay from the mass. Faced w ith this constraint it seem ed sensible to devote ones energies to nding out as $m$ uch as possible about the $m$ ixing process at $q^{2}=m_{!}^{2}$. The inform ation cam e exclusively from the decay ! ! + , i.e. two pion production at the ! m ass point, which (as we discussed) was believed to be entirely due to $m$ ixing. (Note, though, that recently there has been som e discussion of the experim entally $m$ ore di cult ! 3
 in Eq. ( $\overline{8} 2 \bar{i})$. He explains that there were two approxim ations $m$ ade for the $m$ om entum dependence. The rst was to ignore any mom entum dependence, the second was to


A stimewent by any thought of being anything other than a xed param eter that could be cleanly extracted from processes involving the two pion decay of the ! simply fell by the wayside ( $m$ uch like the rst representation of VM D).

W hile this has little e ect for som ething such as the EM form factor of the pion, its eventual application [ 6 [6] ] to the spaœlike w orld of nuclear physics where it has been incorporated into the $m$ eson exchange $m$ odelw as cause for ifnot concem, at least caution. H ow ever, the success of this assum ption (outlined in Sec. ${ }_{2}^{(4)}$ ) has.been seen as a com pelling justi cation.

The question ofm om entum dependence in ! m ixing was rst asked by G oldm an, Henderson and Thom as (GHT) [E-1] and has generated a signi cant am ount of work.

The initial GH T m odel was relatively simple. The vector mesons were assum ed to be quark-antiquark com posites, and the m ixing w as generated entirely by the sm all m ass di erence between the up and down quark $m$ asses. The $m$ esons coupled to the quark loop via a form-factor $F\left(k^{2}\right)$ where $k$ is the free $m$ om entum of the quark loop, which $m$ odels the nite size of the $m$ eson substructure. Free D irac propagators were used for the quarks, thus ignoring the question of con nem ent. M ore recent work $\left[\overline{6} 9 \overline{9}_{1}, \overline{1} 01\right]$ has m odelled con nem ent by using quark propagators which are entire (i.e. they do not have a pole in the com plex $q^{2}$ plane and thus the quarks are never on $m$ ass-shell). The vector $m$ esons couple to conserved currents which, as will be show $n$ later, leads to a node in the $m$ ixing when the $m$ om entum squared ( $q^{2}$ ) of the $m$ eson vanishes $\left.\underline{t}_{\bar{j}}^{1} 1\right]$. A gauge invariant m odel, w ill produce a node at $\mathrm{q}^{2}=0$ (see next section). H ow ever the form-factors used in the GHT m odel spoil gauge invariance, and thus their node is shifted slightly aw ay from $q^{2}=0$.

The use of an interm ediate nucleon loop [1] $2 \overline{1}]$ as the $m$ echanism driving ! m ixing (relying on the $m$ ass di erence between the neutron and proton) avoids the worries of quark con nem ent, as well as enabling one to use well-known param eters in the calculation ( m asses, couplings, etc). This m odel has a node for the m ixing at $\mathrm{q}^{2}=0 . \mathrm{M}$ itchell et al. $[\overline{0} 0$ ites of quark operators, e.g. ! (x;y) $\bar{q}(y) i \quad q(x))$ the quark loop $m$ echanism alone generates an insigni cant charge sym $m$ etry breaking potential and suggest a pion loop contribution should be exam ined [ (Sec. 3.4) about the contribution of the direct! decay. Subsequent calculations using the N am bu\{Jona-Lasinio m odel $\underline{\underline{T}}_{-1}$ nules $[$ Thân its m om entum dependence.

Iqbal and $N$ iskanen ${ }_{[5]}^{5}$ proton scattering. U sing a model for the variation [ī] signi cantly alter our understanding of how to $m$ odel the charge-sym $m$ etry breaking e ects in the strong nuclear interaction.

### 5.1 G eneral C onsiderations

W e review our proof [īi] ] that the mixing am plitude vanishes at $q^{2}=0$ in any e ective Lagrangian model (e.g., L ( ; ! ; ~; ; ; )), where there are no explicit mass mixing terms (e.g., $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ! ${ }^{0}$ ! or ${ }^{0}$ ! with some scalar eld) in the bare Lagrangian and where the vector $m$ esons have a local coupling to conserved currents which satisfy the usual vector current com mutation relations. The boson-exchange model of $R$ ef. [ַ̄̄̄] $w h e r e, ~ e . g ., J_{!}=g_{!} N \quad N$, is one particular exam ple. It follow $s$ that the $m$ ixing tensor (analogous to the full selfenergy function for a single vector boson such as the $\left.{ }_{[10]}^{[8]}\right]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { C } \quad(q)=i \quad d^{4} x e^{i q} \times h 0 j T\left(J \quad(x) J_{!}(0)\right) j D i: \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

is transverse. H ere, the operator $J_{!}$is the operator appearing in the equation ofm otion for the eld operator! | c.f. Eq. (1]
@ $J_{!}=0$, which ensures that the $P$ roca equation leads to the sam e subsidiary condition as the free eld case, © ! = 0 (see, e.g., Lurie, pp. 186\{190 畒 $\overline{-}$ i], or other eld theory
 in the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \quad(q)=g \quad \frac{q q}{}_{q^{2}}^{!} C\left(q^{2}\right): \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follow s that the one-particle-irreducible self-energy orpolarisation, (q) (de ned through Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} 2 \overline{2} \overline{0})_{1}\right)$ below), must also be transverse $[\overline{8} \overline{2} \overline{2}]$. The essence of the argum ent below is that since there are no $m$ assless, strongly interacting vector particles
cannot be singular at $q^{2}=0$ and therefore ( $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ ) (see Eq. ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) below ) m ust vanish at $q^{2}=0$, as suggested for the pure case [1] $\left.\overline{1}\right]$. . A s we have already noted this is som ething that w as approxim ately true in allm odels, but guaranteed only in Ref. $\underline{i 7} 2 \overline{2}]$.

Let us brie y recall the proof of the transversality of C (q). A s shown, for exam ple,
 divergence of $C$ leads to a naive com $m$ utator of the appropriate currents

$$
\begin{align*}
& q C \quad(q)=\quad d^{4} x e^{i q} x^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f} \quad\left(\mathrm{x}^{0}\right) h 0 j J \quad(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{J}_{!}(0) j 0 i \\
& +_{z}\left(x^{0}\right) h 0 j J_{!}(0) J(x) j 0 i g  \tag{117}\\
& =\quad d^{3} x e^{\text {ig } x h 0 j\left[J^{0}(0 ; x) ; J_{!}(0)\right] j 0 i_{\text {naive }}: ~} \tag{118}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, there is a cancellation between the seagull and Schw inger term s. T hus, for any $m$ odelin which the isovector-and isoscalar-vector currents satisfy the sam e com m utation relations as QCD we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
q C \quad(q)=0: \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by Lorentz invariance, the tensorm ust be of the form given in Eq. (İ1్̄̄).
For sim plicity we consider rst the case ofa single vectorm eson (e.g. a or !) w thout channel coupling. For such a system one can readily se that since C is transverse the one-particle irreducible self-energy, , de ned through [8̄2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=C \quad D^{0} \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $D$ and $D^{0}$ are de ned below) is also transverse. H ence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=g \quad \frac{q q}{q^{2}} \quad\left(q^{2}\right): \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are now in a position to establish the behaviour of the scalar function, ( $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ ). In a general theory of $m$ assive vector bosons coupled to a conserved current, the bare propagator has the form (com pared to Eq. (1-1) for the photon)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{0}=g+\frac{q q}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{q^{2} m^{2}} \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D^{0}\right)^{1}=\left(m^{2} q^{2}\right) g+q q: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polarisation is incorporated in the standard way to give the dressed propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
i D=i D^{0}+i D^{0} i \quad i D^{0}+ \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
D^{1} & =\left(D^{0}\right)^{1}+ \\
& =\left(m^{2} q^{2}+\left(q^{2}\right)\right) g+1 \frac{\left(q^{2}\right)}{q^{2}} q q: \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the full propagator has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D } \quad(q)=\frac{g+\left(1 \quad\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{2}\right)\left(q q=m^{2}\right)}{q^{2} m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}: \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ aving established this form for the propagator, we wish to com pare it w th the Renard spectral representation of the propagator given by Eq. ( $\overline{8} \bar{O} \bar{\prime})$. By com paring the coe cients of $g$ in Eqs. (112 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{q^{2} m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)} ; \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

while from the coe cients of q $q$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left.(1)\left(q^{2}\right)=q^{2}\right)}{\left(q^{2} m^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\right) m^{2}}=\frac{1}{q^{2}}\left(D(0) \quad D\left(q^{2}\right)\right) \\
&=\frac{1}{q^{2}} \frac{q^{2}+(0)}{\left(m^{2}+(0)\right)\left(q^{2}\right.} \mathrm{m}^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)  \tag{128}\\
&\left.\left(q^{2}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(0)}{q^{2}}\left(q^{2} \quad m^{2} \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\right)=0 ; 8 q^{2} \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=0: \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an im portant constraint on the selfenergy function, nam ely that ( $\mathrm{q}^{2}$ ) should vanish as $q^{2}!0$ at least as fast as $q^{2}$.

W hile the preceding discussion dealt w th the single channel case, for ! m ixing we are concemed w th tw o coupled channels. O ur calculations therefore involve m atrices. A s we now dem onstrate, this does not change our conclusion.

The $m$ atrix analogue of Eq . ( $1 \overline{2} \overline{5}$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D^{1}=m^{2} g+\underset{!\left(q^{2}\right) T}{\left(q^{2}\right)} q^{2}\right) T \quad m^{2} g+\left(!\left(q^{2}\right) T \quad\left(q^{2}\right) q^{2}\right) T \quad \text { ! } \tag{131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned $T \quad g \quad\left(q q=q^{2}\right)$ forbrevity. By obtaining the inverse of this we have the two-channel propagator
where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cllll}
s! & q^{2} & !! & \left(q^{2}\right) & m^{2}  \tag{133}\\
s & q^{2} & \left(q^{2}\right) & m^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the uncoupled case [ ! $\left.\left(q^{2}\right)=0\right]$ Eq. ( $\left.1 \mathbf{1} \overline{3} \bar{z}\right)$ clearly reverts to the appropriate form of the one particle propagator, Eq. (1̄2̄ $\bar{q})$, as desired.

We can now $m$ ake the com parison between Eq. (1̄3̄2̄) and the Renard form the propagator, as given by Eq. ( $\overline{8} 0 \bar{O})$. The transversality of the o -diagonalterm $s$ of the propagator, dem ands that $!(0)=0$. A sim ilar analysis leads one to conclude the sam e for $\left(q^{2}\right)$ and !! ( $q^{2}$ ). N ote that the physical ${ }^{0}$ and ! m asseswhich arise from locating the poles in the diagonalised propagatorm atrix D no longer correspond to exact isospin eigenstates (as in the discussion of the historical treatm ent of $!\mathrm{m}$ ixing, Sec. $\overline{3} \mathbf{3}=\mathbf{3}$ ). To low est order in CSV the physical $m$ ass is given by $\left.\left.m^{\text {phys }}=m^{2}+\left(m^{\text {phys }}\right)^{2}\right)\right]^{1=2}$, i.e., the pole in D . T he physical! $m$ ass is sim ilarly de ned.

In conclusion, it is im portant to review what has and has not been established. T here is no uniquew ay to derive an e ective eld theory including vectorm esons from QCD.O ur result that ! (0) (as well as (0) and !! (0)) should vanish applies to those e ective theories in which: (i) the vectorm esons have localcouplings to conserved currents which satisfy the sam e commutation relations as QCD [ie., Eq. (īil) is zero] and (ii) there is no explicit $m$ assm ixing term in the bare Lagrangian. This includes a broad range of com $m$ only used, phenom enological theories. It does not include the $m$ odel treatm ent of $R$ ef. [̄̄̄̄] for exam ple, where the $m$ esons are bi-local ob jects in a truncated e ective action. H ow ever, it is interesting to note that a node near $q^{2}=0 \mathrm{w}$ as found in this m odel in any case. The presence of an explicit $m$ assm ixing term in the bare Lagrangian $w$ ill shift the $m$ ixing am plitude by a constant (i.e., by $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ !) . W e believe that such a term w ill lead to di culties in $m$ atching the e ective $m$ odel onto the known behaviour of $Q C D$ in the high m om entum lim it.
$F$ inally the fact that $\left(q^{2}\right)$ is $m$ om entum-dependent or vanishes everyw here in this class of $m$ odels im plies that the conventional assum ption of a non-zero, constant ! $m$ ixing am plitude rem ains questionable. This study then lends support to those earlier calculations, which we brie y discussed, where it was concluded that the m ixing m ay play a $m$ inor role in the explanation of CSV in nuclear physics. It rem ains an interesting challenge to nd possible altemate $m$ echanism $s$ to describe charge-sym $m$ etry violation in


### 5.2 The m ixed propagator approach to ! mixing

D i erent authors param eterise the ! m ixing contribution to the pion form -factor in one of two ways. $U$ sing the $m$ atrix $m$ ethod we shall show here the connection betw een these tw o $m$ odels, both of which are rst order in charge sym $m$ etry breaking.

U sing a m atrix notation, the Feynm an am plinude for the process ! , proceeding via vector m esons, can be written in the form
where them atrix D is given by Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{2}$ ) and the other Feynm an am plitudes are derived
 the vectorm esons to conserved currents, the term sproportionalto $q q$ in the propagator (Eq. ( $1 \mathbf{1} \overline{3} \overline{2})$ ) can alw ays be neglected. If we assum e that the pure isospin state ! I does
 just

Expanding this just gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r}!\quad=M_{I}!\quad \frac{1}{S} M \quad!_{I}+M_{I!} \quad \frac{1}{S} \quad \frac{1}{S!} M \quad!!_{I} \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we recognise as the sum of the two diagram s shown in Fig.'İ


Figure 12: The contribution of $\quad!m$ ixing to the pion form-factor.
 ways involve the unphysical pure isospin states $I$ and $!_{I}$. How ever, we can re-express

Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9})$ in term $s$ of the physical states by rst diagonalising the vector $m$ eson propagator. Follow ing the sam e procedure as in Sec.inat, we introduce a diagonalising $m$ atrix

$$
C=l^{1} \begin{array}{ll} 
 \tag{140}\\
& \\
\end{array}
$$

where, to low est order in the $m$ ixing,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{!}{\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{~S}!}: \tag{141}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now insert identities into Eq. (1]
where we have identi ed the physical am plitudes as

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{!}! & =M_{I!} ;  \tag{143}\\
M_{I!} & =M_{I!} ;  \tag{144}\\
M! & =M!M_{I}!!_{I} ;  \tag{145}\\
M!! & M_{I}+M!!_{I}: \tag{146}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{M}!\quad & =\mathrm{M}!\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{M} \quad!+\mathrm{M}!!\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}!} \mathrm{M}!! \\
& =\mathrm{M}_{!}!\frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{M} \quad!+\mathrm{M} \quad!  \tag{147}\\
\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{~S}! & \frac{1}{\mathrm{~S}!} \mathrm{M} \quad!
\end{align*}
$$

which is the usually seen in older works. At rst glance there seem $s$ to be a slight
 the coupling of the vector $m$ eson to the photon. The rst, Eq. ( 1 그의), uses couplings to pure isospin states, the second, Eq. (1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{-} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ uses \physical" couplings (i.e., couplings to the mass eigenstates) which introduce a leptonic contribution to the O rsay phase,
 M ! I $=3 \mathrm{M} \quad!!_{\mathrm{I}}$ and de ne the leptonic phase by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M \quad!!}{M \quad!}=\frac{1}{3} e^{i} \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, to order ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan =\frac{10 \quad!}{3 m}: \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

 contribution to the $O$ rsay phase is the principalm anifestation of diagonalising the ! propagator.

## 6 Phenom enological analysis of $F$

In this section we discuss various $m$ ethods for both tting the pion form factor and obtaining the num erical value of ! . W e extract ! w ith a $t$ to the pion form-factor (using VM D 1), but, asw illbe seen, this is not the m ethod used to obtain the m ost w idely quoted value.

Recent analysis of the $e^{+} e$ ! + data give us an insight into how successful the second form ulation has been in describing the process. W e nd that in both cases studied a non-resonant contribution has been included to optim ise the $t$, in direct contrast w ith the spirit of the second form ulation. Follow ing this we present an exam ple of the use of the rst form ulation to plot the curve for the cross-section of $e^{+} e$ !

### 6.1 Recent ts

 process ${ }^{0}$ ! + , which requires a thorough understanding of physics, ie. how to e ectively param eterise it, and whether to include any non-resonant contributions to the process. They are concemed prim arily w th tting the data, relying on as much experim ental input as possible, rather than trying to test the behaviour of a particular m odel for the process (which is our intention).

Their expression for the am plitude takes the form described in Eq. (ī3), w ith
"

$$
\begin{align*}
F(s)\left(p_{3} p_{4}\right) & =A\left(q^{2}\right)_{j}^{j}()^{j}()+g \frac{1}{m^{2} q^{2} \underset{\#}{i m}\left(q^{2}\right)} G j^{j}()^{j}() \\
& +g_{!} \frac{e^{i}}{m_{!}^{2} q^{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}!!} G!j^{j}(!)^{j}(!)\left(p_{3} p_{4}\right) ; \tag{150}
\end{align*}
$$

where $j$ is the index associated w th the helicity of the polarisation vectors. The rst term, A $\left(q^{2}\right)$, introduces their proposed non-resonant contribution to $F\left(q^{2}\right)$. N ote the use of the $m$ om entum dependent width for the but not the! (as its major decay channel, 3 , is not included in the 2 data analysed, and one can, as an approxim ation, ignore the $m$ om entum dependence of the width). The width was taken to be $[\overline{8} \overline{-} \overline{4}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{2}\right)={\frac{k\left(q^{2}\right)}{k\left(m^{2}\right)}}^{!^{3}} \sum_{\bar{q}^{2}}^{!} \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a param eter for tting,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}^{q} \overline{q^{2}} \quad 4 m^{2} ; \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{v}=\frac{1}{k^{3=2}\left(q^{2}\right)} \overline{6 m_{v}^{q} \overline{q^{2}} v^{+}}: \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

 im aginary below threshold, ie., $\mathrm{q}^{2}=4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} . \mathrm{C}$ onsidering Eq. (1̄ $\left.\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{-}\right)$, the w idth contribution to the denom inator of the propagator (Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} 5 \overline{\bar{j}} \bar{i}_{\prime}\right)$ ) w ill actually becom e real and add to the $m$ ass term below threshold. The use of a term such as ( $q^{2} 4 m^{2}$ ) in Eq. (1151in) would spoil the analytic continuation of the propagator below threshold. The width of the is alm ost entirely due to the two pion decay, and thus the full width can be used in Eq. (1] $1 \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ ) to determ ine G. H ow ever this is not the case for the ! , so one has to $m$ ake the appropriate $m$ odi cation

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\left(q^{2}\right)=B R(!!+\quad)!{\frac{k\left(q^{2}\right)}{k\left(m_{!}^{2}\right)}}^{!}{\stackrel{m}{P} \frac{!}{q^{2}}}^{!} \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{BR}(!!+\quad)$ is the branching ratio for the decay. $N$ ote that Eq. ( $\overline{1} 5 \overline{0} \overline{0})$ uses the full width of the ! , rather than the branching fraction as in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{5} \overline{3} \overline{3})$. This is because the width appearing in the propagator $m$ easures the $u x$ loss due to the decay of the particle irrespective of its decay channel. C onversely, $G$ ! describes the coupling of the ! to two pions only, so the partialw idth $m$ ust be used.
$T$ he form-factor is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(q^{2}\right)=A\left(q^{2}\right) \quad \frac{g}{S} G \quad \frac{g!}{S!} e^{i} G!: \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

 (i.e. the sum of the contribution and an O rsay-phased! contribution). This can now be used in Eq. (ī్̄-) to com pute the cross-section.

Benayoun et al. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{6} \overline{4} \overline{4}] \text { now proceed along tw o paths, using the accepted gures for the }\end{array}\right.$ ! as well as both leptonic decay widths (which are assum ed to be fairly well understood) :
a) tting the param eters and the $O$ rsay phase, , assum ing $A=0$;
b) tting $A$ and leaving the m ass xed at the world average, 768.70 .7 M eV , as it is believed to be less sensitive than the width to param etrisation.

For the rst case they arrive at values for the $m$ ass and $w$ idth slightly higher than usually found using the G ounaris-Sakuraim odel [h]

For the second case, $A$ is assum ed to take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(q^{2}\right)=\left(c_{0}+c_{2} q^{2}+c_{4} q^{4}+\quad\right): \tag{156}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion is stopped as soon as the e ect of the next term is negligible. At this point Benayoun et al pause to relect on the condition $\mathrm{F}(0)=1$. A (0), as determ ined by their $t$, would contribute 0.607 to $F \quad$ ( 0 ). They dism iss the relevance of this as they are using \an expansion valid in the range $(\overline{\mathrm{p}}=)[2 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{m} \quad 0]$. ." They go on to point out that a good $t$ (which, in addition, reproduces values for the param eters closer to the usualones) can be obtained using

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{1+q^{2}=m^{2}} \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, of course, would contribute 1 to F ( 0 ). They attem pt to get around this problem by com $m$ enting how it show $s$ that values obtained using extrapolation cannot be trusted. $T$ his serves to highlight the confusion that surrounds the second representation of VM D aw ay from m ass-shell, and m ore speci cally, at $\mathrm{q}^{2}=0$.
$T$ hey conclude their investigation by saying that either the m ass is nearly degenerate $w$ th the !, or evidence strongly suggests a non $-V M D$ contribution to $F\left(q^{2}\right)$. Interestingly, they say that the latter is suggested by the work of $B$ ando et al $\left.\underline{L K}_{2}^{-1}\right]$.

Bemicha, Lopez C astro and P estieau (BCP) thē2̄] obtain, conversely, signi cantly low er values than the world average form and. Their aim is to determ ine these tw o quantities in as m odel-independent a way as possible. Their concem is that the values given by the $P$ article $D$ ata $G$ roup (a slightly $m$ ore recent list than referred to by Benayoun et al),
$768.1 \quad 0.5$ and $151 \quad 12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ are obtained from di erent sources. Them ass is obtained from photo-production and ${ }^{+} \mathrm{N}$ ! N , and the width from $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! ${ }^{+}$. Thus it is possible that there is som e inconsistency due to di erent B reit-W igner param etrisations. To rectify this they attem pt to derive both from the available data of the cross-section


They assum e the pion form-factor can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{A}{s s}+B(s) \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is the position of the pole, A the residue of the pole, and B (s) the non-resonant background nears $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{im}$. To include the contribution of the! m eson they m odify Eq. ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{5} \bar{q})$, in two ways:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{A}{s \quad s}+B(s) \quad 1+y \frac{m_{!}^{2}}{s!} s_{!}^{!} \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad(s)=\frac{A}{s s} 1+y{\frac{m!}{s!}{ }_{s!}^{!}+B(s): ~}_{s}^{s}+ \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is taken to be a constant, $A=a m^{2}$. W ith $B(s)=0$ these equations reduce to the usual form, used, for exam ple, by $B$ arkhov et al [ $\left[\overline{8} \bar{\delta}_{1}\right]$.

Initially B too is set to a constant, b , and the curve is tted with ve param eters. B oth param eterisations (Eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{5} \overline{\mathrm{~g}})_{\text {) }}$ ) and ( $(\overline{1} \bar{\sigma} \overline{0})$ ) lead to essentially the sam e set of values for the param eters that optim ise the $t$, so it is concluded that the ! m ixing and background term s are only very weakly coupled.

Interestingly, they $t$ the space-like data (obtained from e scattering [ form-factor given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~s})={\frac{\mathrm{am}}{} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}_{\mathrm{s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}} 1+\mathrm{b}{\frac{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{!\#_{1}}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}} \text {; } \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contains no contribution from the!. They say that it is negligible for $\mathrm{s}<4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. W hether this is because ! m ixing itself is much sm aller in this region, or m erely because the! pole does not appear in this region is uncertain.

The calculations are then redone im posing $F(0)=1$. There is little di erence to the results, as would be expected; if $F(0)=1$ is a necessary condition, then any good $t$ should at least com e very close to full ling it.

They then exam ine the ! m ixing contribution $m$ ore closely and consider the factor aym ${ }^{2}=\left(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{im} \quad\right.$ ) being \frozen" at a particular value of $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}$ say. This reproduces the type of form -factorw e encountered in Eq. (1100 looks sim ply like the sum of tw o B reit-w igner am plitudes (one from the the other from the !) attenuated by the $O$ rsay phase, . $T$ his results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=\frac{a m^{2}}{s m^{2}+\dot{m}}+(s) e^{i} \frac{m_{!}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}_{!}^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}!!}+\mathrm{b} ; \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s) e^{i}(s)=\frac{a m^{2}}{s m^{2}+i m}: \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is little theoretical reason to do this, but it does explain the origin of the O rsay phase, , and is a reasonable approxim ation (as the mixing is only notioeable around resonance). The reader fam iliar w ith Sec. 1 'I2 can recall the relationship betw een the two form ulations of the $m$ ixing, as outlined in Eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{9})$ and ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{\bar{N}_{1}}\right) . F$ iting this they obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
12: 23 & 1: 2
\end{array}\right) \quad 10^{3} \\
& =(116: 7 \\
& 5: 8)^{\circ}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Rearranging Eq. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ using $e^{i}=\infty$. $+i \sin$ results in

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{\overline{\mathrm{s}}} & =\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~m} \quad \cot \right)^{1=2}  \tag{164}\\
\mathrm{y} & =\frac{\cos \left[\left(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{2}\right]}{\mathrm{m}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)}: \tag{165}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ his gives $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}=792: 18 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, close, but not identical, to the $!\mathrm{m}$ ass. Substituting $\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{m}$ ! in Eq. (1 $\overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{4} \mathbf{4})$ reproduces the expression for the O rsay phase obtained by C oon et al. (Eq. (12) of Ref. ${ }^{\text {FI }}$ phase di erence between the couplings of the vector $m$ esons to the photon (as discuissed in Sec. '5̄2').

The value of $y$ obtained, ( $2: 16 \quad 0: 35) \quad 10^{3}$, gives a value for the $!\mathrm{m}$ ixing param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=4: 225 \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{eV}^{2} \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees well w th the value ( $4: 52 \quad 0: 6$ ) $\quad 10^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ obtained by C oon and $B$ arrett $[\overline{6} \overline{8} \bar{i}]$, despite the fact that quite di erent values for the $m$ ass and $w$ idth are used. The initial param eterisations of BCP, though, yield a m uch lower value, closer to
(3:7 0:3) $\quad 10^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. From this we see that the value of ! is quite sensitive to the param eterisation of the form-factor.

### 6.2 The pion form -factor

To m ake our argum ents com pletely transparent, we shall use the rst form of VM D


For the simplest case of only m esons and pions we would have from Eqs. ( $\overline{(1 \overline{9}}{ }^{-1}$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(q^{2}\right)=1 \quad \frac{q^{2}}{g} \frac{1}{q^{2}} \operatorname{m+im} \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \mathrm{g} \quad: \tag{167}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have followed standard assum ptions arising from unitarity considerations $\{\overline{0} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$ for the m om entum dependenc of the $w$ idth, using the form given in Eq. ( 1 (15in $)$ w ith $=1$. O ne could, how ever, sim ply include a term of the form ( $\mathrm{q}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) to the standard B reitW igner im aginary piece, $m$. This is su cient to $m$ odel the square root branch point
of the pion loop selfenergy at threshold $\left(q^{2}=4 m^{2}\right)$, and to ensure that the im aginary part of the selfenergy is zero below this point. H ow ever, in practice we do not actually show results below threshold. W e take the m odem values [ $\bar{\theta} \bar{\square} \overline{]}]$

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
g^{2}=4 & 2: 9 ; \\
g^{2}=4 & 2: 0 ; \tag{169}
\end{array}
$$

com ing respectively from (! ) 149 MeV and (! $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ) $6: 8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. Equating these two constants actually ruins our $t$ to data.

To include the contribution of the! , we shallnow use them atrix elem ent ofEq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{7}$, ) determ ined in Sec. 1 representation of VM D, this will provide us w th the vector $m$ eson contribution to the form factor in the CSV analogue of the second term on the right hand side of Eq. ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{6} \overline{\bar{T}_{1}}\right)$. So, including the non-resonant contribution from the direct coupling of the photon to the pion pair and replacing the Feynm an am plitudes appearing in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{\overline{9}})$ ) or ( $\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{\overline{4}})$ i i ith expressions derived from the VM D 1 Lagrangian (Eq. (2]))) we have either

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=1 \quad g \quad \frac{1}{s} \frac{q^{2}}{g_{I}} \quad g \quad \frac{!}{s s_{!}} \frac{q^{2}}{g_{!_{I}}} ; \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=1 \quad g \quad \frac{1}{s} \frac{q^{2}}{g} \quad g \quad \frac{!}{s} \frac{1}{s!} \frac{q^{2}}{g!} ; \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on whether one wishes to use the couplings of the pure isospin states to the photon, as in Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, or that of the physical states to the photon, as in Eq. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. U se ofE q. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0}) \mathrm{m}$ eans that we understand the ! ! + decay, before diagonalisation, as proceeding via the process illustrated in Fig. 'i $\overline{2}$, rather than as an ! which decays exactly like a , but modi ed by a factor $!=\left(\begin{array}{ll}s & s_{!}\end{array}\right)$, which is the interpretation of Eq. (19171).

W e shall use Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ to $t$ to the form-factor data. The explicit expression we use is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\left(q^{2}\right)=1 \quad \frac{q^{2} g}{g\left[q^{2} \quad m^{2}+i m\right.} \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\right] \quad \frac{q^{2} g}{g!\left[q^{2} \quad m^{2}+i m!!\right]} \tag{172}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (as in Eq. (1]īin)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{!}{\left.m^{2}!m^{2} \quad \text { i(m! ! } \quad m \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\right)} \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the m a jor decay channelof the! is the three pion state, we have taken the width of

$=1$. $T$ his approxim ation is unlikely to seriously a ect our results since the w idth of the ! is so much sm aller than that of the . W e use the Particle D ata G roup's (PD G) " value of $!=8: 43 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. For sim ilar reasons, any $m$ om entum dependence in ! m ixing is of little consequence for the tim e-like pion form-factor. H ence for now we take !
to be a constant. O f course, from the argum ents presented in Sec.' m om entum dependence of ! to be crucial in extrapolations into the space-like region.

It is of som e interest now, to com pare our form for the form-factor, Eq. (1] $\overline{1} \overline{2})$ to that used by D onges et al. $[\underline{\underline{9} 0} \mathrm{O}]$ w ho also use the rst representation of VM D. In contrast to what we have done, though, they couple the ! directly to the pion state (in the sam e way as the ) and neglect !m ixing. Their form factorw ould be equalto ours iftheg used by them where equal to our $g$. However, because is a complex quantity in general, using real num bers, as $D$ onges et $a l$. do for $g!$, is insu cient. They adknow ledge this by stating that \phases could be chosen to correctly describe ! interference."

The coupling of the om ega to the photon has long been considered to be approxim ately $1 / 3$ that of the to the photon ['[] $\overline{3}]$, and this is supported in a recent QCD based


$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{g_{!}}{g} & =\frac{\stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{u}}}{\underline{\mathrm{u}} \frac{\left(!e^{+} e\right)}{\mathrm{m}\left(!!e^{+} e\right)}}  \tag{174}\\
& =3: 50: 18: \tag{175}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ ith $9!$ xed in one of these ways, the only rem aining free param eter is the ! $m$ ixing param eter !. It is therefore a simple $m$ atter to $t$ it to the $e^{+} e$ ! cross-section. The follow ing graphs show the results of this $t$ using the form factor of Eq. ( $1 \overline{1} 12 \overline{2})$. Since the form factor given in Eq. ( $1 \overline{1} 12 \overline{2}$ ) depends only on the ratio $!=g_{!}$, the
 we have, w ith ${ }^{2}=$ d.o.f. $=14.1 / 25$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=3800 \quad 370 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{176}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this analysis there are two principle sources of error in the value of $!$. The rst is a statistical uncertainty of $310 \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ for the $t$ to data, and the second ( $200 \mathrm{MeV}^{2}$ ) is due to the error quoted in Eq. (ī̄5). These errors are added in quadrature. The result of our $t$ to data is shown in $F$ ig. 'isind and resonance region is shown in close-up in $F$ ig.

It is now of interest to com pare our value for ! w ith the other values obtained. Firstly, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{!} \quad=\frac{!}{\left.m^{2}!m^{2} \quad \text { i(m! ! m } \quad\left(q^{2}\right)\right)} \mathrm{g} \quad: \tag{177}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation enables us to relate the width for ! ! + to the width via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!\quad!\quad{\left.\frac{1}{m_{!}^{2} \quad m^{2} \quad i(m!\quad m}\left(m_{!}^{2}\right)\right)}^{2}(!\quad)\right. \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!!)=0: 157 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{179}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 13: C ross-section of $e^{+} e!+\quad$ plotted as a function of $s^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
where we have used $!=3800 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ corresponding to the experim ental value of 3.5 for the ratio $g_{!}=g$. This corresponds to a branching ratio $B R(!!)=1: 86 \%$, com pared w th the PD G value of $2: 21 \quad 0: 30$.
 phase to be given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\arg \frac{!}{\left.m_{!}^{2} m^{2} \text { i(m! ! m }\left(m_{!}^{2}\right)\right)} \\
& =101: 0 \tag{180}
\end{align*}
$$

independently of the value of ! .

### 6.3 P revious determ inations of !

It is now of interest to com pare our value for ! w ith the other values obtained.



$$
\begin{equation*}
(!!\quad)=\frac{!}{i m}^{2}(!): \tag{181}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14: C ross-section for $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! + in the region around the resonance where ! m ixing is m ost noticeable.

Their answer is thus determ ined by BR (! ! ), as is the phenom enological plot by Benayoun et al. (see their Eqs. (A.6)-(A.10)), who also take account (as they have to to plot the cross-section, som ething not done by $C$ oon and $B$ arrett) of the relative strengths
 v ( $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ )). O ur calculation of F ( $q^{2}$ ) does not explicitly feature BR(! ! ), and although all other param eters used by us are com pletely standard (PDG), we obtain a di erent value for !. The 1974 data gave the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=3400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees com pletely w ith the result we initially obtained from tting the form-factor to the cross-section data.
$M$ ore recently, how ever, C oon and B arrett repeated this calculation $[\bar{\sigma} \bar{Z} \bar{Z}]$, using the data from B arkhov et al. $[8$
), from 1.7 to $2.3 \%$ giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=4520 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{183}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that while this is the typically quoted value for the ! m ixing am plitude '[ $[\underline{4} \overline{4} \overline{9}]$, it is not the value which provides the optim al $t$ to the pion EM form-factor.

### 6.4 C onclusion

$W$ e have shown that it is possible to obtain a very good $t$ to the pion form factor data using a $q^{2}$ dependent coupling for (corresponding to the rst representation of VM D ), for which $F(0)=1$ irrespective of any values taken by param eters of the $m$ odel.

O ur extraction of ! given by Eq. (Ī1్̄̄) agrees w ith early values, but is in disagree$m$ ent $w$ th the $m$ ore recent evaluation by other $m$ eans (Eq. ( $1 \mathbf{1} \overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ ). These di erences are essentially a consequence of the choice of $g=g_{!} . W$ th the $m$ ost recent value of this ratio, our preferred value for $!\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ is $3800370 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{2}$ (where the error re ects the uncertainty in $g=g_{!}$).

## 7 C oncluding $R$ em arks

W e have provided a com prehensive review of the ideas ofvectorm eson dom inance with partioular application to the pion form-factor. The less com $m$ only used representation of VMD, which naturally inconporates a $q^{2}$-dependent photon vectorm eson coupling, seem $s$ to be $m$ ore appropriate in the $m$ odem fram ew ork of strong-interactions where quarks are the fundam ental degrees offreedom (we em phasise that the tw o representations of VMD are only equivalent when exact universality is assum ed). In this context the recent work suggesting that the ! m ixing am plitude should also vanish at $q^{2}=0$ in a large class of $m$ odels is not in the least surprising. A re-analysis of the pion form-factor using this form ulation gave an excellent $t$ to the data, while careful re-analysis near the !-pole gave a value of $\quad!\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)=3800 \quad 370 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{2}$. This di ers from otherm odem ts $m$ ainly because we used the $m$ ost recent value of $g=g$ ! $\sqrt{6} \overline{9} 9]$.

W hile ! m ixing is of interest in its ow n right, in the usual fram ew ork of nuclear physics it is vitalto our understanding ofcharge sym $m$ etry violation. The rapid variation of this $m$ ixing am plitude from the -pole (where it is $m$ easured) to the spacelike region (where it is needed for the nuclear force) com pletely underm ines the assum ption of $q^{2}$ independence and generally leads to a very sm all C SV potential. O nem ust then look for altemative, possibly quark-based, explanations

It has been suggested that a strong $q^{2}$-variation of the ! m ixing am plinude would contradict VM D. Our discussion of the original form ulation of VM D and the corresponding $t$ to the pion form-factor resolves this confiusion. It has also been suggested that having the photon- coupling go like $q^{2}$ would be in con ict $w$ th data on nuclear shadow ing. By now it is clear that shadow ing in photo-nuclear interactions is appropriately described in the rst representation of VM D [9]ī1]. At $q^{2}=0$ the photon decouples from the and interacts directly w ith a nucleon '[9] $[9]$ to produce a which is then shadowed by its hadronic interaction.

O f course, it could be argued that no-one has rigorously derived either the ! or $m$ ixing amplitude from QCD. Until that is done it is possible to im agine that Q CD $m$ ight generate a contact interaction proportional to !. H ow ever, such an interaction would lead to a constant $m$ ixing amplitude as $\dot{\mu}^{2} j$ ! 1 , which is a contradiction with
 in that lim it. A s the natural scale in the problem is the vector $m$ eson $m$ ass it is clear that even in this case one would expect a substantial variation in $!\left(q^{2}\right)$ between the tim elike and space-like regions.

In conclusion we $m$ ention som ematters needing fiurther work. A s the vector $m$ esons are not point-like, the $m$ ixing am plitudes $m$ ust deviate from the sim ple VM $D$ form eventually. Thus, even the expressions we have given for the pion form -factor (for exam ple) have a lim ited range of validity. F inally we retum to the question of the C SV N N foroe. A though we have argued strongly against the usual assum ptions surrounding the ! $m$ ixing $m$ echanism, we note that ifthe ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~N} N$ (or! $\mathrm{N} N$ ) vertex were to have a sm allC SV (com ponent behaving like 1 rather than 3) one would obtain a sim ilar force (a Yukaw a
 am bitious quark-based $m$ odels of nuclear C SV .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In general there are only two cases in which a m assive vector eld is renorm alisable, see Ref. $\left.{ }^{-1}{ }^{-}{ }^{-1}\right]$, p. 61:
    a) a gauge theory $w$ ith $m$ ass generated by spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking;
    b) a theory with a massive vector boson coupled to a conserved current and w ithout additional selfinteractions.
    ${ }^{2} W$ e use hem itian $T$ 's given by the algebra $\left[T^{a} ; T^{b}\right]=\quad i c^{a b c} T^{c}$ and norm alised by $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} T^{b}\right)=a b=2$. $T$ hus, in the adjoint representation, $\left(T^{C}\right)_{b a}=\quad i^{c a b}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~W}$ e take e to be positive, $\mathrm{e}=\dot{\text { ej }} \mathrm{j}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ For SU（2）$f T^{a} ; T^{b} g=a b=2$ hence $T^{a} T^{b}=\quad i^{a b c} T^{c}=2+\quad a b=4$ ．

