

EXTENDED GAUGE SECTORS<sup>y</sup>

THOMAS G. RIZZO

Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University

Stanford, CA 94309, U.S.A.

E-mail: rizzo@slacvx.slac.stanford.edu

## ABSTRACT

Present and future prospects for the discovery of new gauge bosons,  $Z^0$  and  $W^0$ , are reviewed. Particular attention is paid to hadron and  $e^+e^-$  collider searches for the  $W^0$  of the Left-Right Symmetric Model.

## 1. Introduction

An extension of the gauge sector of the Standard Model (SM) would not only lead to the existence of new gauge fields, but will almost always require the introduction of exotic fermions<sup>1</sup> to cancel anomalies as well as new Higgs fields<sup>2</sup> to break the extended gauge symmetry. In addition, GUT scenarios leading to gauge extensions require the existence of SUSY in order to maintain the hierarchy of breaking scales and obtain coupling constant unification. Thus the phenomenology of extended gauge models (EGM) is particularly rich as is indicated by the rather extensive literature on this subject. Unfortunately, this implies that there are an enormous number of interesting models currently on the market which means that any overview of the subject is necessarily incomplete. Hence, we will be forced to limit ourselves to a few representative models and restrict our discussion to searches for new gauge bosons at hadron and  $e^+e^-$  colliders<sup>3</sup>. Regrettably, this leaves vast and fascinating territories untouched.

In what follows, we chose as examples the set of models recently discussed by Godfrey<sup>4</sup> so that we need say little here about the coupling structure of each scenario; curious readers are requested to consult Godfrey's paper and references therein for the details of each model. To be specific, we consider (i) the  $E_6$  effective rank-5 model (ER5M), which predicts a  $Z^0$  whose couplings depend on a single parameter  $\alpha = 2$  (with models  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ , and  $\alpha = 3$  denoting specific values); (ii) the Sequential Standard Model (SSM) wherein the new  $W^0$  and  $Z^0$  are just heavy versions of the SM particles (of course, this is not a true model in the strict sense but is commonly used as a guide by experimenters); (iii) the Left-Right Symmetric Model (LRM) and, lastly, (iv) the Alternative Left-Right Model (ALRM), arising from  $E_6$ , wherein the fermion assignments are modified in comparison to the LRM. In the ALRM, the  $W^0$  carries lepton number so that it cannot be produced via the ordinary Drell-Yan pro-

<sup>y</sup>Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515.

<sup>y</sup>Presented at the Fourth International Conference on Physics Beyond the Standard Model, Lake Tahoe, CA, December 13-18, 1994.

ness but only in association with a leptoquark thus making it difficult to observe over top quark backgrounds at hadron colliders. The LRM owes much of its survival over the last two decades to the plethora of free parameters it contains: (a) the ratio of the gauge couplings,  $0.55 \leq g_R/g_L \leq 2$  (naturalness??), the lower limit being forced upon us by the internal consistency of the model; (b) the masses of the right-handed (RH) neutrinos, (c) the elements of the RH CKM mixing matrix,  $V_R$ , which are a priori different than  $V_L$ , and (d) the  $W_R$ - $Z_R$  mass relationship,

$$\frac{M_{W_R}^2}{M_{Z_R}^2} = \frac{(1 - x_w)^2}{R(1 - x_w)^2} \frac{x_w}{2} \quad (1)$$

where  $x_w$  is the usual weak mixing angle and the parameter  $R$  takes on the value 1/2 if the  $SU(2)_R$  breaking sector consists solely of Higgs doublets (triplets). (The triplet scheme is favored in the see-saw scenario for neutrino masses.) From this we see that unless the  $SU(2)_R$  breaking sector is somewhat unusual, the  $Z_R$  will always be more massive than the  $W_R$ . This large set of parameters will return to haunt us when we examine  $W_R$  searches.

## 2. $Z^0$ : Then and Now

Since  $Z^0$  searches have been discussed by many authors<sup>4</sup>, our overview of this subject will be quite brief. At present, the Tevatron provides the best direct search limit for new gauge bosons<sup>5</sup>, corresponding to 505 GeV for the  $Z^0$  (and 652 GeV for the  $W^0$ ) of the SSM, from the run Ia electron data sample. Figs.1a-c show how the  $Z^0$  search reach of the Tevatron should evolve with time for several different models assuming no new particles are discovered; including  $\tau$ 's in the data sample should increase all of the results shown by  $\sqrt{s} \approx 35-40$  GeV. In all cases, we assume that the  $Z^0$  decays to only SM fermions and  $Z$ - $Z^0$  mixing is neglected. Apart from these assumptions, the limits depend only upon a single parameter,  $\tan\beta$  in the ERM and  $\tan\beta$  in the LRM. Pushing the Tevatron luminosity,  $L$ , up above  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  implies that  $Z^0$  masses of order 1 TeV are beginning to be probed. Figs.1d-f show the corresponding (electrons only!) results for the LHC (with  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}$ ) and the influence of additional decay modes on the search reach, i.e., decreasing the leptonic branching fraction of the  $Z^0$  by a factor of 2 reduces the reach by  $\sqrt{s} \approx 0.33 \text{ TeV}$ . For LHC luminosities above  $100 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ,  $Z^0$  masses in excess of 4 TeV become accessible. At the NLC,  $Z^0$  searches are performed by looking for systematic shifts in multiple observables, making full use of the anticipated high electron beam polarization. A 500 GeV machine with  $L = 50 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  probes  $Z^0$  masses in the 1.5-5 TeV range<sup>4</sup>, which nicely complements the direct production searches at the LHC. A machine with four times this energy and luminosity may extend this reach

by a factor of 3-4.

### 3. $W^0$ : Hadron Collider Search Caveats

Unlike  $Z^0$  searches at hadron colliders, the corresponding  $W^0$  searches via the Drell-Yan process have many subtleties; this is most easily demonstrated within the LRM context<sup>6</sup>. The CDF  $W^0$  search assumes that the  $q\bar{q}W^0$  production vertex has SM strength (i.e., (i)  $\kappa = 1$  and (ii)  $|V_{Lij}| = |V_{Rij}|$ ), that the RH neutrino is (iii) 'light' and 'stable', appearing as missing  $E_T$  in the detector, and that the  $W_R$  leptonic branching fraction ( $B_L$ ) is the SM value apart from contributions due to open top (i.e., (iv) no exotic decay channels are open). If any of these assumptions are invalid, what happens to the search reach? Assumptions (i) and (iv) are easily accounted for by the introduction of an effective parameter,  $\kappa_{eff} = B_L/B_L^{SSM}$  which simply adjusts the overall cross-section normalization with the resulting reach shown in Fig 2a. If assumption (ii) is invalid, a significant search reach degradation<sup>6</sup> occurs as is shown in Fig 2b for CDF run Ia; e.g., one finds via a Monte Carlo study that for 50 (10)% of the  $V_R$  parameter space the Tevatron run Ia  $W_R$  reach is reduced to less than 550 (400) GeV. This reduction is a result of modifying the weight of the various parton luminosities which enter into the calculation of the cross-section. At the LHC, surrendering (ii) does not cost us such a large penalty since the  $W_R$  production process occurs through the annihilation of sea valence quarks in pp collisions, whereas it is a valence valence process at the Tevatron. From Fig 2c we see that varying  $V_R$  modifies the reach no more than 20%. Life gets much harder if  $\nu_R$  does not appear as missing  $E_T$ . A massive  $\nu_R$  will most likely decay within the detector to  $\ell + jj$ , with either charge sign equally likely if  $\nu_R$  is a Majorana fermion. A parton level analysis of this scenario has been carried out by Datta et al.<sup>7</sup> for the LHC; they find a 'viable signal' for  $W_R$  masses below 2-3 TeV for the entire  $m_R < M_{W_R}$  range. (This analysis needs to be repeated including a full detector simulation and should also be done for the Tevatron.) Perhaps the worst case scenario is when  $\nu_R$  is more massive than  $W_R$  so that  $W_R$  has only hadronic (or exotic) decay channels open. Can  $W_R$  be seen as a bump in dijets? Clearly the chances are somewhat better at the Tevatron where  $S=B$  is perhaps manageable given reasonable statistics; CDF has already performed such an analysis with run Ia data<sup>8</sup> with somewhat limited results. At the LHC, where the dijet backgrounds have increased enormously due to the rise in the glue-gluon luminosity, a preliminary study by the ATLAS Collaboration indicates that such dijet searches might still be possible provided excellent energy resolution is available<sup>8</sup>. More analysis is necessary to clarify this case.

Additional help in such a pessimistic situation may be provided by the LRM's  $W_R$ - $Z_R$  mass relationship, i.e., if a  $Z_R$  is found but  $m_R > M_{W_R}$ , this relation tells us something about where to look in dijets for the  $W_R$ . If, instead, only a limit on the  $Z_R$  mass is obtained, the same mass relation can be used to get a relatively weak (but

conservative!) limit on the mass of  $W_R$ . Figs 2e-f show the result of this approach for the Tevatron using the curves in Fig 1b as input. Note the indirect limit on the  $W_R$  mass from run Ia with  $\sqrt{s} = 1$  is only 270 GeV assuming triplet  $SU(2)_R$  breaking, which is only about 45% of the canonical SSM value. When the integrated L increases to  $1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ , this bound grows to only 450 GeV. This indirect limit is substantially larger at the LHC, as shown in Fig 2f, but is still less than 50% of the usually claimed reach. Note that this limit is reasonably sensitive to the nature of  $SU(2)_R$  breaking but somewhat less sensitive as to whether the  $Z_R$  has exotic decay modes. If dijet  $W_R$  searches are impossible in practice, we need to turn to other production strategies.

#### 4. $W_R$ 's at the NLC

The NLC can also play a crucial role at unraveling the charged-current sector of EGM's.  $W_R$  production in  $e^+e^-$ ,  $e$ , and  $e e$  collisions<sup>9</sup> is insensitive to  $V_R$  and scales simply with  $\sqrt{s}$  thus immediately avoiding two of the above difficulties with hadron collider searches. All three processes can yield valuable information about both  $W_R$  and the mass spectrum of the LRM. Note that the like-sign  $e e$  process only occurs when  $W_R$  is a Majorana fermion. In addition, due to the relatively clean environment and high beam polarization, signatures are also easier to spot and backgrounds are readily reduced. Unfortunately, the sensitivity to  $m_R (= M_N)$  remains at some level in all cases and a dependence on the doubly-charged Higgs mass,  $M_{H^{\pm\pm}}$ , occurs in the  $e e$  case.

$W_R$  pair production occurs with a large  $\sigma$  yielding more than  $10^4$  events up to the kinematic limit as shown in Figs 3a-b; increasing the  $W_R$  mass in the t-channel graph generally reduces  $\sigma$  near threshold, where  $\sqrt{s}$  is largest, and flattens the angular distribution. For large  $\sqrt{s}$  it delays the unitarity cancellation between the amplitudes resulting in a bigger  $\sigma$ . Since the  $Z_R$  mass is less than twice that of  $W_R$  for most parameter values,  $\sigma$  does not show much sensitivity to the possible variations in  $M_{Z_R}$ . For reasonable L's,  $W_R(W_R)$  production allows for searches up to  $M_R \sim 0.8 \sqrt{s}$ . At the tree level, the  $W_R$  pair cross-section is insensitive to the Dirac or Majorana nature of the RH neutrino.

The single production of  $W_R$ 's in association with  $W_R$  in  $e e$  collisions via laser backscattering has been re-analyzed recently by Raidal<sup>9</sup> taking into account both  $e$  and  $\gamma$  beam polarization. Essentially the entire kinematic region is found to be accessible with polarization playing an important role in identifying the signal and reducing backgrounds.

The  $e e \rightarrow W_R W_R$  lepton-number violating process is perhaps the most interesting way of looking for  $W_R$ 's as both the Majorana nature of  $W_R(N)$  and the  $SU(2)_R$  symmetry breaking are probed simultaneously. The helicity-amplitude analysis for like-sign production has recently been performed by Helde et al.<sup>9</sup> As shown there, as well as in previous analyses (see Figs 3c-d), the cross-sections are quite large but

reasonably sensitive to both  $M_N$  variations. As a whole, larger values of  $M_N$  yield larger rates whereas the cross-section vanishes as  $M_N \rightarrow 0$ . It has recently been shown that allowing for one of the  $W_R$ 's to be on-shell still yields a reasonable rate for  $W_R$  masses as large as  $0.8 \sqrt{s}$  (see Figs. 3e-f). This analysis assumed that only the  $jj$  decay modes of the  $W_R$  were accessible thus allowing for the possibility of  $M_N > M_R$ . In either case, the  $W_R$  angular distribution is found to be relatively flat implying that acceptance cuts will not have any substantial impact on rates.

## 5. References

1. See A. D. Juadi, these proceedings.
2. See H. Haber, these proceedings.
3. For discussions of indirect searches at HERA and LEP I, see R. Miquel and S. Kartik, these proceedings. For an overview of the capability of future colliders to determine the couplings of new gauge bosons, see M. Cvetič and S. Godfrey, to appear in *The Electroweak Symmetry Breaking and Beyond the Standard Model Working Group Report of the APS Study on the Future of High Energy Physics*, to appear in 1995.
4. For a recent and thorough overview of some EGM's, see S. Godfrey, Ottawa-Carleton Institute report OCIP/C94-9, 1994, and references therein.
5. K. M. Aeshima et al., CDF Collaboration, talk presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Division of Particles and Fields, Albuquerque, NM, August 2-6, 1994.
6. See, for example, the discussion in T. G. Rizzo Phys. Rev. D 50, 325 (1994).
7. A. Datta, M. Guchiat and D. P. Roy, Phys. Rev. D 47, 961 (1993).
8. F. Abe et al., CDF Collaboration, Fermilab report PUB-94/405-E, 1994; D. Gingrich et al., ATLAS Collaboration Letter of Intent, CERN report LHCC/L2, (1992); A. Henriques and L. Poggioli, ATLAS Collaboration Note PHYS-NO-010, (1992); T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 48, 4236 (1993).
9. There has been a huge amount of work on this subject; see, for example, M. Raidal, Helsinki report HU-SEFT R 1994-16, 1994; p. Hilde et al., Helsinki report HU-SEFT R 1994-09, 1994; T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B 116, 23 (1982) and SLAC report SLAC-PUB-6475, 1994; D. London, G. Belanger, and J. N. Ng, Phys. Lett. B 188, 155 (1987); J. Maalampi, A. Pietila, and J. Vuori, Phys. Lett. B 297, 327 (1992) and Turku University report FL-R 9 (1992); M. P. Worah, Enrico Fermi Institute report EFI 92-65 (1992); C. A. Heusch and P. Minkowski, CERN report CERN-TH-6606-92 (1993).

Fig.1. Tevatron search reach for the  $Z^0$  in the (a)ER5M and (b)LRM for run Ia (lower curves, MRSA pdf's are dashdots while CTEQ3M pdf's are solid) and with increased  $L$ 's of 100, 250, 500, and 1000  $\text{pb}^{-1}$  (from bottom to top). (c) $L$  dependence of Tevatron search reach for the ALRM (dashdot), SSM (dots), LRM with  $\alpha = 1$  (dashes), and (solid)  $Z^0$ 's. (d) and (e) are the same as (a) and (b) but for the LHC with 100  $\text{fb}^{-1}$ ; the lower curve corresponds to a reduction of the naive leptonic branching fraction by a factor of 2. (f)Same as (c) but for the LHC.

Fig.2. (a)Tevatron  $W_R$  reach as a function of  $\alpha_{\text{eff}}$  as described in the text for the same  $L$  values as in Fig.1a. (b)Percentage of the  $V_R$  parameter space allowing the  $W_R$  below a given value from run Ia. (c)Maximum and minimum cross-sections for  $W_R$  production at the LHC due to  $V_R$  variations for  $\alpha = 1$ . Indirect  $W_R$  search limits for the Tevatron (d)run Ia and with (e) $L=1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  as well as (f)for the LHC. Doublet(triplet)  $SU(2)_R$  breaking corresponds to the dotted(dashdotted) curves. In (f), the lower curves correspond to a factor of 2 reduction in the  $Z^0$  leptonic branching fraction.

Fig.3. (a) $W_R$  pair production cross-section vs.  $M_N$  at a 1.5 TeV NLC assuming  $\alpha = 1$  and  $M_R = 700 \text{ GeV}$ . (b)Same as (a) but vs.  $\frac{P_-}{S}$  assuming  $M_N = 100(500,1000,2000) \text{ GeV}$  corresponding to the dotted(dashed,dashdotted,solid) curve. Cross-section for like-sign  $W_R$  production with  $\frac{P_-}{S} = 1 \text{ TeV}$  as a function of (c) $M_N$  and (d) $M$  for  $\alpha = 0.9$  and  $M_R = 480 \text{ GeV}$ . In [(c),(d)], the curves on the right(left)-hand side correspond, from top to bottom, to  $M = 800,1200,500,1500,200$ , and  $2000 \text{ GeV}$  [ $M_N = 1500,1200,800,500,200 \text{ GeV}$ ]. Event rates per 100  $\text{fb}^{-1}$  for  $W_R + jj$  production at a 1.5 TeV  $e^+e^-$  collider assuming  $\alpha = 1$  and  $M_R = 1 \text{ TeV}$  (e)as a function of  $M_N$  for  $M = 0.3(0.6,1.2,1.5,2) \text{ TeV}$  corresponding to the dotted(dashed, dash-dotted, solid, square-dotted) curve; (f)as a function of  $M$  for  $M_N = 0.2(0.5,0.8,1.2,1.5) \text{ TeV}$  corresponding to the dotted(dashed, dash-dotted, solid, square-dotted) curve.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig2-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>



This figure "fig3-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig3-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig2-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig3-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>



This figure "fig2-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig3-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig2-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig3-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig1-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig2-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>

This figure "fig3-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501261v1>