C.P.BACHAS

Centre de Physique Theorique Ecole Polytechnique 91128 Palaiseau, France

and

T.N.TOMARAS

Physics Department, University of Crete and Research Center of Crete 714 09 Heraklion, Greece

ABSTRACT

W e present some evidence, based on the analysis of lower-dimensional models, for the possible existence of classically-stable winding solitons in the two-higgs electroweak theory.

The search for stable lumps in the Weinberg-Salam model has a long history. It has revealed a rich structure of classical solutions including the sphaleron $^{1;2;3;4}$, deform ed sphalerons $^{5;6;7;8}$ and vortex strings $^{9;10;11;12;13}$. Such solutions could play a role in understanding (B+L)-violation and structure formation in the early universe, but they are all classically-unstable or/and extended. They have therefore no direct present-day manifestation, contrary to long-lived particles whose relic density could at least in principle be detected.

The existence of particle-like excitations has, on the other hand, been argued for in the context of a strongly-interacting higgs sector $^{14;15;16;17;18}$. The advocated particles can be thought of as technibaryons of an underlying technicolor model. They are described in bosonic language by winding solitons of an elective non-renormalizable lagrangian for the pseudo-goldstone-boson (or technipion) eld, much like skyrmions of the elective chiral lagrangian of QCD. This is of course a phenomenological description, since the properties of such hypothetical particles cannot be calculated reliably within a semi-classical expansion. Furthermore, in view of the diculties facing technicolor models, the possibility of a strongly-interacting higgs sector is not theoretically appealing.

talk at the joint US-Polish workshop on Physics from the Planck scale to the electroweak scale, W arsaw, September 1994.

It would be clearly more interesting if classically-stable winding excitations could arise in a weakly-coupled scalar sector. To be more precise let us decompose the higgsdoublet eld into a real (positive) magnitude and a group-phase: = FU, and consider static con gurations with U (x) wrapping N times around the SU (2) manifold. These are potentially unstable for at least three distinct reasons: (a) because N is not conserved whenever the magnitude F goes through zero; (b) because N is not gauge—invariant and can, in particular, be non-vanishing even in a vacuum state; and (c) because scalar-eld con gurations can loose their energy by shrinking to zero size 20. We refer to these for short as the radial, gauge and scale instabilities. They can be elim inated form ally by (a) taking the physical-higgs mass m_H ! 1 , (b) decoupling the electroweak gauge elds, and (c) adding appropriate higher-derivative terms to the action. The question is whether classical stability can be maintained while relaxing the above conditions. This has been investigated num erically in the m in im alcase of one doublet: although one m ay indeed relax both the weak gauge coupling $^{16;5}$ and the higgs mass 21 up to som e nite critical values, stability cannot apparently be achieved without the non-renormalizable higher-derivative term s in the action. On the other hand, as we have demonstrated recently, metastable winding solitons do arise in renormalizable models in two 22 and three 23 space-time dim ensions. The way this happens is we believe instructive and could guide the search for such sem i-classical solitons in four dim ensions.

The sim plest context in which the radial instability is an issue is a two-dimensional model of a complex-scalar eld with mexican-hat potential: $V = \frac{1}{4}$ (V^2). To nd winding solitons we must take space to be periodic with period L^y . The condition for classical stability can in this case be derived analytically and reads²²: $m_H L > \frac{1}{5}$; where $m_H = \frac{1}{2} v$. The classically-relevant parameter is thus the radial-higgs mass in units of the soliton size. This follows also by comparing the loss in potential energy to the gain in gradient energy when trying to undo the winding by reducing the magnitude of the scalar. Note that the loop-expansion parameter L^2 , can be taken to zero independently so as to reach a semiclassical limit.

The above winding solitons become unstable classically if we gauge the U (1) symmetry of the model. The gauge instability is in fact more severe than in four dimensions, because no energetic barrier opposes the turning-on of a static space-like gauge eld, which is necessary to reach a winding-vacuum state. The minimal abelian-higgs model has thus only unstable (sphaleron) solutions 25 z. The situation changes, however, drastically if there are more than one complex scalars. The gauge-invariant relative phases of any two of them cannot in this case wind around non-trivially in a vacuum state. An explicit analysis of this extended abelian-higgs model 22 shows that winding solitons persist down to scalar masses close to the inverse soliton size: gauging and the extra higgs enhance the stability region found in the global model.

 $^{^{}y}A$ lternatively we may add a mass term: $V = ^{2}vRe()$, that lifts the vacuum degeneracy. Stable winding excitations, which reduce to the sine-Gordon solitons in the ! 1 limit, can be shown 24 numerically to exist for $v^{2} > 18:8$.

 $^{^{}z}$ It was claim ed erroneously in [22] that it has no static solutions whatsoever. This is only correct in the ! 1 \lim it.

The scale instability becomes an issue for the rst time in three space-time dimensions. To be more precise we consider a real-triplet scalar eld $_a$ (x) (a = 1;2;3) with mexican-hat potential: $V = \frac{1}{4}$ ($_{a a} V^2$). The limit! 1 corresponds to the O (3) non-linear -model. This is known to possess winding solitons, characterized by non-trivial mappings of the two-sphere onto itself, and having arbitrary size 26 . For nite on the other hand, or in the presence of a symmetry-breaking potential, Derrick's scaling argument 20 shows that these solitons are unstable to shrinking. One can of course again invoke higher-derivative terms to stabilize the scale 27 . The same result is however in this case achieved by a massive U (1) gauge eld with only renormalizable couplings 23 . This can be established by perturbing around the O (3) non-linear -model limit, or else by solving numerically the equations of motion.

What do these lower-dimensional solitons teach us? First, they are interesting in their own right, since they correspond to a new class of wall and string defects in renormalizable four-dimensional models. Second, they suggest by analogy that classically-stable winding solitons may exist in a weakly-coupled two-higgs extension of the standard model. These hypothetical solitons would: (b) be characterized by the non-trivial winding of the relative phase of the two doublets, and thus be immune to the gaugemode of decay; (c) have a scale stabilized by electroweak magnetic elds and hence of order $1=m_W$; and (a) hopefully stay stable for higgs masses near m_W and thus compatible with perturbative unitarity *. Mathematically the situation is the same as in the hidden-gauge-boson models $^{28;29}$ of strong and electroweak interactions, except that the role of the hidden gauge bosons is here played by Wand Z them selves. A Ithough previous numerical investigations $^{28;30}$ have shown no sign of stable solitons in these contexts, a systematic search is in our opinion necessary in order to settle de nitely the issue 31 .

A cknow ledgem ents

This research was supported in part by the EEC grants CHRX-CT94-0621 and CHRX-CT93-0340, as well as by the G reek G eneral Secretariat of R esearch and Technology grant 91E 358.

R eferences

- 1. R.Dashen, B. Hasslacher and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 4138.
- 2. C. Taubes, Comm. Math. Phys. 86 (1982) 257 and 299;
 - N.S.M. anton, Phys. Rev. D 28 (1983) 2019;
 - P. Forgacs and Z. Horvath, Phys. Lett. B 138 (1984) 397.
- 3. F.K linkham er and N.M anton, Phys. Rev. D 30 (1984) 2212.

 $^{^{}x}$ Though adm ittedly premature, some other physical properties of such would-be particles are funto contemplate: being classically stable they could easily have cosmological life times. They would have a mass in the 10~TeV region, zero charge and dipole moments in their ground state, and geometrical interaction cross sections of order $1=m_{W}^{2}$. Assuming maximum production at the electroweak phase transition, a rough estimate of their present abundance shows that they could be candidates for cold dark matter in the universe.

- 4. J. Boguta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 148; J. Burzla, Nucl. Phys. B 233 (1984) 262.
- 5. G. Eilam, D. Klabucar and A. Stem, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986) 1331; G. Eilam and A. Stem, Nucl. Phys. B 294 (1987) 775.
- 6. J. Kunz and Y. Brihaye, Phys. Lett. B 216 (1989) 353 and B 249 (1990) 90.
- 7. L. Ya e, Phys. Rev. D 40 (1989) 3463.
- 8. F.K linkham er, Phys. Lett. B 236 (1990) 187.
- 9. Y.Nambu, Nucl. Phys. B 130 (1977) 505.
- 10. M B. Einhorn and R. Savit, Phys. Lett. B 77 (1978) 295;
 V. Soni, Phys. Lett. B 93 (1980) 101;
 - K. Huang and R. Tipton, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 3050.
- 11. T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 1977 and Nucl. Phys. B 397 (1993) 648;
 - T. Vachaspati and M. Barriola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1867.
- 12. M. James, T. Vachaspati and L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) R 5232 and Nucl. Phys. B 395 (1993) 534.
- 13. L. Perivolaropoulos, Phys. Lett. B 316 (1993) 528; M. Eamshaw and M. James, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 5818.
- 14. JM .G ipson and H.C. Tze, Nucl. Phys. B 183 (1981) 524; JM .G ipson, Nucl. Phys. B 231 (1984) 365.
- 15. E.D 'Hoker and E.Fahri, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984) 104, and Phys. Lett. 134B (1984) 86.
- 16. V A. Rubakov, Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985) 509;
 J. Amb pm and V A. Rubakov, Nucl. Phys. B 256 (1985) 434.
- 17. R.MacKenzie, F.W ilczek and A.Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 (1984) 2203; R.MacKenzie, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 7 (1992) 293.
- 18. JW . Carlson, Nucl. Phys. B 253 (1985) 149, and B 277 (1986) 253.
- 19. T. H. R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A 260 (1961) 127.
- 20. G. H. Derrick, J. Math. Phys. 5 (1964) 1252.
- 21. Y. Brihaye, J. Kunz and F. Mousset, Z. Phys. C 56 (1992) 231; J. Baacke, G. Eilam and H. Lange, Phys. Lett. B 199 (1987) 234.
- 22. C. Bachas and T. N. Tom aras, Nucl. Phys. B 428 (1994) 209.
- 23. C. Bachas and T.N. Tomaras, CPTH-A323.0794 and Crete-94-14 preprint (September 1994).
- 24. K. Pallis, U. of Thessaloniki undergraduate thesis (1994).
- 25. Y. Brihaye, S. Giller, P. Kosinski and J. Kunz, Phys. Lett. B 293 (1992) 383.
- 26. A A. Belavin and A M. Polyakov, JETP Lett. 22 (1975) 245.
- 27. R.A. Leese, M. Peyrard and W. J. Zakrzewski, Nonlinearity 3 (1990) 773.
- 28. Y. Brihaye, J. Kunz and C. Sem ay, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 193; Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 250, and references therein.
- 29. A Dobado and J.J. Herrero, Nucl. Phys. B 319 (1989) 491, and references therein.
- 30. B. Kastening, R. D. Peccei and X. Zhang, Phys. Lett. B 266 (1991) 413.
- 31. C. Bachas, P. Tinyakov and T. N. Tomaras, work in progress.