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A bstract
W e study dynam ical sym m etry breaking in the Standard $M$ odel including the next-to-leading order term s. W e introduce at a high, but nite, energy scale a top quark condensate $H$ hti and derive, using path integral methods, the e ective potential including quadratic uctuations in the scalar eld H.W e neglect the contributions of all com ponents of the $m$ assive electrow eak gauge bosons. The existence of a non-trivialm in im um in the e ective potential leads to the condition that
 the 4-ferm ion coupling $a=\left(G N_{c}^{2}\right)=\left(8^{2}\right)^{>}$1:60. Sim ilar results are obtained if we dem and, instead, that the next-to-leading order contributions not shift the location $z=\left(m_{t}^{b a r e}=\right)^{2}$ of the $m$ inim um drastically, e.g. by not $m$ ore than a factor of 2 . T he results are reproduced diagram $m$ atically, where the leading phus all the next-to-leading order diagram $s$ in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion are inchuded. D om inant QCD e ects are also included, but their im pact on the num erical results is show n to be sm all. PACS num ber(s) : 11.15 P g, 12.50 Lr

## 1 Introduction

Inspired by the pioneering work of N am bu and Jona-Lasinio ( $\mathbb{N}$ JL) [1] $\left.{ }_{11}^{1}\right]$, $m$ any studies appeared in the past which consider the H iggs m esons as bound states (condensates) of heavy quark pairs (
references therein) . U sually, the 1-loop e ective potential of the $H$ iggs condensate is calculated starting w ith e ective 4-ferm ion interactions, whose origin is a new as yet unknown physics at higher energies. W hile the $H$ iggs eld is assum ed to be auxiliary (non-dynam ical) at the outset, it becom es dynam ical through (1-loop) quantum e ects. In order to investigate qualitative features of condensation and to avoid additional technical di culties, the calculation is frequently perform ed by ignoring the e ects of the electrow eak gauge bosons and taking into account only the e ects of ferm ionic loops - this is frequently called the large- $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ approxim ation. T he vacuum expectation value (VEV) is the value of the $H$ iggs eld at the $m$ inim um of the e ective potential. This condition is a relation between the $m$ ass of the heavy quark (usually the top quark), the 4-ferm ion coupling param eters and the energy cut-o where the condensation occurs. T he relation is called the 1-loop gap equation.

The dynam ical sym $m$ etry breaking (D SB ), as m anifested by the condensation, is essentially a non-perturbative phenom enon. N onetheless, the e ective potential can be calculated perturbatively. At 1-loop level, the sum $m$ ation of an in nite num ber of the relevant diagram $s$, for any $x$ ed value of the intemal 4-m om entum $k$, leads to an analytic function which gives naturally the analytic continuation into regions of low energy ( $\mathrm{k}^{2}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}$ ) where the original pertunbative series does not converge [101] . These analytic functions can be subsequently integrated over the intemal $m$ om enta $k$, inconporating in this way the non-perturbative regions.

H ere we em phasize that we consider the e ective potential as a function of only a hard mass term 0 of the top quark, param etrized by the expectation value 0 of a com posite (initially auxiliary) scalar eld. On the other hand, we note that there exists a m ore general form alism for the D SB developed by C omw all, Jackiw and Tomboulis [ $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$, which is variational and studies the general functional form ( $(\phi)$ of the expectation value of the proper selfenergy part in the quark propagator.

In this article, we derive the e ective potential $V_{e}$ beyond the one loop by the path integral $m$ ethod, and later on we reproduce the results diagram $m$ atically, sum $m$ ing up the leading plus the next-to-leading order diagram $s$ in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion. W e w ork w ithin the fram ew ork of the N JL-inspired Top-m ode Standard M odel (T SM ) [4] [1] and neglect the e ects of all com ponents of the electrow eak ( $m$ assive) gauge bosons. A though path integralm ethods are to a certain extent know $n$ in the literature of the $N J$-type $m$ odels (cf. $[\overline{[0]}]$ and references therein), we decided, for reasons of clarity, to present in Section 2 a com prehensive derivation of the e ective potential $V_{e}$ using these $m$ ethods. We include there the contribution of $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ produced by quadratic quantum uctuations of the $H$ iggs condensate around its \classical" value $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. Technical details of this calculation are given in A ppendioes A and B. In Appendix C , we rederive $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ diagram $m$ atically and dem onstrate that it represents the
contributions of all those ( $\quad+1$ )-loop diagram s ( $=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;:::$ ) which are next-to-leading order (O (1)) in the form all $=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion $n_{1}^{I_{1}}$. W e then calculate in Section 3 the dom inant part of the Q CD contributions to $V_{e}$ using the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod and dem onstrate that their num erical im pact is sm all. In Section 4 we investigate the $m$ in $\mathrm{m} u m$ of $V_{e}$ and arrive at an improved gap equation $\{$ an im proved relation betw een $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}$, and the 4-ferm ion coupling param eter G . T he requirem ent of the existence of a non-trivialm inim um (non-zero VEV) in $V_{e}$ leads to an additional restriction (upper bound) on the value of the physically interesting param eter $=m t . W$ e dem onstrate explicitly that the existence of a non-trivialm inim um of the e ective potential, w ithin the present fram ew ork, im plies an upper bound for $=m$ tof $\left(10^{1}\right)$. Sim ilar results are obtained when the requirem ent of the existence of the $m$ inim um is replaced by the requirem ent that the next-to-leading order contributions, discussed in this paper, not shift the location of the $m$ inim um drastically. C onsequently, the energy , where the top and antitop condense to form the $H$ iggs, cannot be very high. W ithin the present fram ew ork we discuss in Section 5 renorm alization corrections to the $m$ ass $m_{t}$. The new result of the present analysis is an upperbound for of the order of TeV , which is absent in previous studies of $T$ op m ode Standard M odel using the 1-loop gap equation.

## 2 C alculation of the e ective potential beyond one loop - contributions of the scalar sector

The Topm ode Standard M odel (T SM ) [1] is a fram ew ork w ith a truncated gauge-invariant 4-ferm ion interaction at a high energy scale E

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{kin}}^{0}+G \quad{ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}_{R a} \quad \underset{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{C}} \underset{\mathrm{Lb}}{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{E} \quad ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the color indices $(a, b)$ are sum $m$ ed over, $\underset{L}{T}=\left(L_{L} ; b_{L}\right)$, and $L_{k i n}^{0}$ represents the fam iliar gaugeinvariant kinetic term $s$ of ferm ions and gauge bosons. The Lagrangian ( $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ can be rew ritten in term $s$ of an as yet auxiliary scalar eld $H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=i^{a} \otimes \quad \text { a } \quad \frac{P_{\overline{2}}^{1}}{2} M_{0}^{P} \bar{G} H t^{a} t_{a} \quad \frac{1}{2} M_{0}^{2} H^{2} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $H$ is the real low er com ponent of an auxiliary com plex isodoublet eld, and $M$ is the bare $m$ ass of the eld H (at ). This eld becom es a physicalH iggs through quantum e ects. W e consider

[^0]this as a prototype model and do not include electroweak gauge bosons. Furtherm ore, we do not include in ( $\overline{2}$ ) the rem aining degrees of freedom of the $H$ iggs isodoublet \{ they represent (after the condensation) the non-physical Goldstones which are gauged aw ay into the longitudinal parts of the gauge bosons in the unitary gauge ${\underset{1}{2}}_{Z_{1}}$. In a m ore realistic fram ew ork, we should inchide either G oldstone boson s or the entire $m$ assive electrow eak vector bosons. T his en larged problem requires new com putational $m$ ethods and has not been solved yet. W e are presently studying the next-to-leading order corrections from Goldstone bosons and hope to present their im pact in the future. W e have also studied m odi cations from QCD, which are described in Section 3.

W e sim plify the notation by de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M_{0}}{\frac{2}{2}} H \quad ; \quad={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{G}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~L}=i^{\mathrm{a} Q} \quad \mathrm{a} \quad t^{a} t_{a} \quad 2: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The e ective potential is the energy density of the ground state when the order param eter $0=h$ i is kept xed. In the path integral form ulation, this condition is incorporated by inserting a -function in the generating functional for the Lagrangian $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[8]}\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{c}{[9} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\exp \frac{i}{h} V_{e}(0)=Z_{0}{ }^{Z} \quad D \quad D \quad D \quad{ }^{Z} \quad(x) \quad 0\right) d^{4} x \exp \frac{i^{Z}}{h} L d^{4} x \quad ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the 4-dim ensional volum e (form ally in nite) and 0 is a constant value of the ( x )-eld. In the generating functional $\mathrm{Z}_{0}, \mathrm{~m}$ entioned above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}=D^{Z} \quad D \quad D \quad \exp \frac{i}{h}^{Z} L d^{4} x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

appears the Lagrangian of eq. (2) $\mathbf{( 2 )}$, and is independent of 0 . The -function can be w ritten in its exponential form

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=(2)^{1^{Z}+1} d J e^{i J} ; \quad \text { where: } \quad{ }^{Z} d^{4} x((x) \quad 0) \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which brings the elds and 0 to the exponential. Then, going to the Euclidean space by perform ing W idk's rotation ( $i d^{4} x \quad 7 \quad d^{4} x$ ) and using the units in which $h=1$, we rew rite $(\underline{4} \overline{4})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left[V_{e}(0)\right]=\text { const } \quad Z+1 \quad \text { dJ } D^{Z} \quad D \quad D \\
& \left.\exp \quad d^{4} x^{h} i^{a} \not Q_{a}+(x) t^{a} t_{a}+(x)^{2}+i J(x) \quad 0\right)^{i}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]The bars over space-tim e com ponents and over derivatives indicate the Euclidean quantities ${ }_{1}^{131}$, is the Euclidean 4-dim ensional volum e , \const" is a 0 -independent quantity. N ote that $\mathbb{Q}=\mathbb{Q}$ $\left({ }^{0}=i^{0} ;^{j}={ }^{j}\right)$. The form $(\underline{(T)}$ ) is convenient for $G$ aussian integrations. We integrate out the ferm ion ic degrees of freedom

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D D } \exp \quad d^{Z} x^{h} i^{a} \not a_{a}+t^{a} t_{a}^{i}=\operatorname{det} \hat{B}[]=\exp { }^{n} \operatorname{Trln} \hat{B}[)^{\circ} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\hat{B}$ is de ned by its $m$ atrix elem ent in the $x$-basis
the indioes $j, k(=1 ; 2)$ being the isospin and $a, b(=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ the color indices. $W$ e are searching for the e ective potential at values oof the scalar eld close to the minim um. Fluctuations around this clasical value 0 are denoted by $1(x)$, which, of course, $m$ ust be sm all

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=0+1(x) ; \quad 0=\frac{\mathrm{M} 0}{P} \frac{0}{2} \mathrm{H}_{0} \quad: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can now perform in ( $\overline{(\underline{\theta})}$ ) the expansion in powers of $1(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\ln \hat{B}[] & =\ln \hat{B}[0]+\ln 1+{ }_{j 1} \hat{B}[0]^{1} \hat{\wedge}_{1}^{i} \\
& =\ln \hat{B}[0]+j 1 \quad \hat{B}[0]^{1} \wedge_{1}  \tag{11}\\
\frac{1}{2} & { }^{2} \hat{B}[0]^{1} \wedge_{1}^{2}+
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the dots represent quantum uctuations of higher order than quadratic. The ${ }^{\wedge}{ }_{1}$-operator is



$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left[\quad V_{e}(0)\right]=\text { const } \exp \quad d^{4} x\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
0
\end{array}\right]+\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{B}[0] \\
& { }_{1}^{Z+1} d J \quad D{ }_{1} \exp \quad d^{4} x_{1}(x)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{\left(B^{\prime}[0]^{1} \wedge_{1}\right)^{2}} \quad J^{i} \quad d^{4} x_{1}(x) \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where we neglected the e ects of cubic and higher quantum uctuations. The rst factor in (12) gives us the usual tree level and 1-loop contribution to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(1)}\right)(0)=0_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{B}[0]=\int_{0}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{k} \ln (\mathrm{k}+0): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral on the rh s. is derived in A ppendix A. The factor $N_{c}$ com es from the trace over colors, and the rem aining $t r_{f}$ denotes tracing in the 4 -dim ensional spinor space. By expanding the logarithm

[^2]in $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{3}_{1}\right)$ in powers of $\left[0(\mathrm{k})^{1}\right]$, we obtain
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{e}^{(0)}+V_{e}^{(1)}\right)(0)=\sum_{0}^{2} \frac{N_{c}}{\left(8^{2}\right)}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dk}^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \ln 1+\frac{{ }^{2} 0_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The expression (14) can also be obtained by calculating the relevant 1-P I diagram s w ith one loop of the top quark and zero $m$ om entum $H$ iggs particles as the outside legs. This calculation is described, for exam ple, in ref. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ w here the notation of eq. $(\underline{Z})$ w as used.

To go beyond one loop, we m ust integrate the path integral in (12 $\overline{1}$ ) containing the quantum uctuations ${ }_{1}^{2}$ and $J_{1}$ of the scalar in the exponent. This can be done, by using known form ulas for path integrals of $G$ aussian distributions (e.g. ref. [1] $\overline{1} 1]$ ]). W e consider the general expression

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D } 1_{1} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{4} x d^{4} x^{0}{ }_{1}\left(x^{0}\right) \hat{A}\left(x^{0} ; x\right) \quad 1(x) \text { iJ } \quad d^{4} x_{1}(x)= \\
& \exp \frac{1}{2} T r \ln \hat{A} \exp \frac{1}{2} J^{2} d^{4} x d^{4} x^{0} \hat{A}^{1}\left(x^{0} ; x\right) \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}^{1_{1} / 1}$ for our case
as inferred from (12). H ere, tr stands for the trace over the color, isospin and spinor degrees of freedom.

Furtherm ore, the integration over $J$ in $\underline{1}_{1}^{12}$ ) of the exponential factor containing $J^{2}$ of $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ (i.e., the e ect of the -function) can also be explicitly perform ed

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z+1 \quad d J \exp \frac{1}{2} J^{Z} d^{4} x d^{4} x^{0} \hat{A}^{1}\left(x^{0} ; x\right)=\frac{r}{\underline{2}} ;  \tag{17}\\
\text { where } \quad=\quad d^{4} x d^{4} x x^{0} \hat{A}^{1}\left(x^{0} ; x\right)=\frac{}{\AA^{\sim}(p=0)}:
\end{gather*}
$$

W e note that $>0$ for all interesting regions of values of 0 (see A ppendix B), hence the integral
 the e ective potential the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{n}+1)}(0)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{A} \quad \frac{1}{2} \ln \widehat{A^{r}} \mathrm{p}=0 ; 2_{0}^{2} 2_{0}^{\mathrm{i}}+ \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]where the superscript \ntl" stands for the \next-to-leading" order (beyond one loop) and the dots represent irrelevant 0 -independent term s . The rst term on the r.h.s. of eq. (19 $\left.\overline{1} \bar{\eta}^{\prime}\right)$ is -independent in the lim it $\left(={ }^{R} d^{4} x\right)!1$ (see below). A (p) in the second term willbe calculated below, with a nite energy cut-o , and this integral is nite. Thus the second term goes to zero as ! 1. Hence, this term, which originates from the -function of the path integral ${ }_{(1)}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$, drops out. The -function in the path integral (4) tums out to have the sole e ect of ensuring that the quantum uctuations linear in $1(x)$ do not contribute to the e ective potential.

The tracing in $T r \ln \hat{A}$ can be perform ed in the $m$ om entum basis. For this we need the Fourier transform $\widehat{A}(p)$ of the operator $\hat{A}$ (see A ppendix $A$ for details)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h x^{0}-\hat{A} \hat{x} \dot{x} i=\hat{A}\left(x^{0} ; x\right)=(2)^{4} \quad d^{4} p e^{i p(x} x^{0} A^{\wedge}(p) ; \quad \overparen{A}(p)=2\left[\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 2^{2} N_{C} K_{H}\left(p^{2} ;\right. & 2 & 2
\end{array}\right] \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral over $k$ would be in general over an in nite volum e. H ow ever, at the tt-condensation scale E we expect that a new dynam ics cuts o the integral. We stress that we are working all the tim $e$ in an e ective theory of eq. (in), i.e., in the TSM. For this reason, we introduced in the above integral an energy cut-o ffor the ferm ionic (top quark) Euclidean $m$ om etum $k$. For sim plicity, the cut-o was chosen to be spherical. This $f$ is to be recognized as being approxim ately the energy of (11) at which the tt-condensation takes place. Sim ilarly, the tracing in $\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{A}$ in the $m$ om entum basis involves a second integral \{ over the bosonic (Higgs) mom enta p. By the argum ents just discussed, we introduce for these $m$ om enta a second spherical cut-o $b$, where $b \quad . T$ he tracing in $\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{A}$ in the m om entum basis is perform ed in A ppendix A, by using the expression $\left[\overline{2}_{-1}\right)$. T hen we rescale all the Euclidean 4 m om enta $\mathrm{fk}^{2} ; \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~g} \boldsymbol{7} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{f}}^{2} \mathrm{fk}^{2} ; \mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$ and end up w th the follow ing result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where we denote: } \quad \quad^{2}=\frac{2}{2} \underset{\mathrm{f}}{2} \underset{0}{2}=\frac{G M_{0}^{2}}{2 \underset{\mathrm{f}}{2}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2} \text {; } \quad \mathrm{a}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{GN} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)}{\left(8^{2}\right)} \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is the $m$ ain result of the analysis so far, giving a closed form of the next-to-leading e ective potential. As just argued, we expect: f b , where is from (i). O ur num erical results depend on the ratio $b=f$. H ow ever, since we are interested prim arily in the qualitative features of the next-to-leading order corrections, we will assum e the equality of the two cut-o s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{b}=: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The param eter a in (2 $\underline{2}_{1}^{1}$ ) can be easily expressed through the ratio $z_{1}=\left(m_{t}^{(1)}=\right)^{2}, m_{t}^{(1)}$ being the solution of the 1-loop gap equation for the $m$ ass of the top quark. The 1-loop gap equation follow $s$ from

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{@}{@ N^{2}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(1)}{ }_{\mathrm{N}^{2}=\mathrm{z}_{1}}=0 ; \quad \text { which gives } \\
a=\frac{\mathrm{GN}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}}{\left(8^{2}\right)}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\mathrm{z} \ln \left(\mathrm{z}_{1}^{1}+1\right)\right]^{1}(=0(1)) ; \quad \text { where: } \mathrm{z}_{1}=\underline{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{(1)}!_{2}:
\end{array}\right. \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

The new ly introduced dim ensionless param eter a is $0(1)(a>1)$. Furtherm ore, the integral $J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)$ can be integrated analytically (see A ppendix B). The e ective potential has the follow ing term s

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{e}\left({ }^{2}\right)=\left(V_{e}^{(0)}+V_{e}^{(1)}+V_{e}^{(n+1)}\right)\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

It is now evident that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{(n+1)}$ is next-to-leading order in the $1=\mathrm{N}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}$-expansion.
$T$ he e ective potential can also be derived by the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod. The derivation of $V_{e}^{(1)}$ is described, for exam ple , in ref. [1] diagram stum out to be those shown in Fig . 3. It is also show $n$ in the A ppendix that the diagram s of Fig .3 are all of O ( 1 ) in the $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}$-expansion, and that there are no other contributing diagram s of O (1). An im portant feature is the fact that each top quark loop involves an arbitrary num ber of extemal scalar lines $w$ ith zero $m$ om enta. The $\left(a J_{H}\right)$ 'term in the expansion of the last logarithm in $\left.\underline{2}_{2} \bar{S}_{-1}\right)$ is in fact the contribution of the ( $(+1)$-loop diagram of $F$ ig. 3. For exam ple, the rst elem ent in this expansion, $\left(a J_{H}\right)^{1}$, is the selfenergy of the scalar particle from the top quark loop, joined together in a closed ring $\{$ the $2-\mathrm{loop}$ version of F ig. 3. From this F igure, it becom es evident why we have identi ed in ( $\overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{0})$ the Euclidean 4 m om entum k as the ferm ionic (top quark) m om entum w th a cut-o $\quad \mathrm{f}$, and p as the bosonic (Higgs) $m$ om entum with a cut-o b.

Finally, we em phasize that the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod involves tedious com binatorics and sum $m$ ations. H ow ever, its pictorial transparency $m$ akes it possible to adapt it easily to other interactions, like the QCD interaction between the ghon and the top quark. The latter results in contributions of $Q C D$ to the e ective potential, which are studied in the nect Section.

## 3 Q CD contributions to the e ective potential

Asmentioned already, the dom inant part of the ghonic contributions to $V_{e}$ can be calculated in a straightforw ard way by a generalization of the diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod, in com plete analogy to the
calculation presented in A ppendix $C$ for the contribution of the $H$ iggs sector to $V_{e}$. The relevant graphs are those of F ig. 3, where now all the intemal dotted lines represent a gluon of a speci c
 coupling ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{GM}}{ }_{0}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} 7 \quad \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}=2$ (where: $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}=\left(4\right.$ ) $=$ s; ${ }^{a}=2$ are the generators of the $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{c}}-$ group). In addition, we replace the non-dynam icalH iggs propagator (i) $=\mathrm{M}_{0}^{2}$ by the ghon propagator (i) ${ }^{a b} g \quad \mathrm{pp}=\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{p}^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are color indices). For the ghons we use the Landau gauge, in which there is no (1-loop) Q CD contribution to the quark wave function renorm alization. In close analogy to A ppendix C, we obtain for the contribution of the ghonic diagram $s$ (in the Eucl. $m$ etric)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { where: } K_{g l} \mathrm{p}^{2} ;{ }^{2}{\underset{0}{2}=K}^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2} ;{ }_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

W e point out that the contributions of those diagram s of Fig .3 w hich contain sim ultaneously scalar and ghonic intemal propagators are zero. This is so because in such diagram s there is at least one top quark lop which has one scalar and one gluon propagator attached to $i$, thus resulting in a factor proportional to the trace over colors $\operatorname{tr}\left({ }^{a}\right)=0$.

The integral over the top quark $m$ om entum in $K$ can be regularized by several $m$ ethods, provided they respect the symm etries of the QCD gauge theory (Lorentz invariance, etc.). QCD being a renorm alisable theory, the selfenergy of the ghons, and thus K , have only logarithm ic cut-o dependence. T his is in strong contrast w ith the scalar sector, whidh has ${ }^{2}$-term s under any regularization -cf. eq. (2, $\overline{1} \overline{1})$. T he integral for $K$ thus obtained is well-know $n$ in the literature. $U$ sing the well-known technique of exponentiating the denom inators as integrals of exponentials, it can be w ritten in the \proper tim e" form (and in Euclidean m etric)
where $f(; f)$ is a regulator for the ferm ionic (top quark) $m$ om enta, $w$ ith som e e ective cut-o $f$. N ote that $f=1$ for $\quad 1=$, and $f=0$ for $\quad 1=$. The regulator elim inates the $\underset{f}{2}$ term in K . Am ong the $m$ any choides, we select two di erent regulators $f$ : the sim ple Pauli-V illars (P .V .) subtraction; and the $\backslash$ proper tim e" cut-o $\left(f\left(;{ }_{f}\right)=\left(1={ }_{f}^{2}\right)\right)$

B oth of these integrals can be integrated analytically. $T$ his then leads to the follow ing dom inant part of the ghoonic contributions ${ }_{15}^{151}$ to $V_{e}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{e}^{(g 1)} n^{2}=\frac{4}{2(4)^{2}} N_{c}^{2} \quad 1{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d p^{2} p^{2} \ln 1 \quad a_{g 1} J_{g l} p^{2} ; "^{2} \quad \text {; }  \tag{31}\\
& \text { where: } J_{g l} p^{2} \boldsymbol{;}^{2}=\frac{8^{2}}{3} K_{g l}{ }^{2} p^{2} ;{ }^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}={ }^{2} \boldsymbol{n}^{2} \text {; } \\
& \left.a_{\mathrm{gl}}=\frac{3}{4^{2}} g_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}=\frac{3 \mathrm{~s}}{} \quad 0: 105 \quad \text { (for } \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \quad 0: 11\right): \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he explicit expressions for $J_{g 1}$ for the case of one sim ple P auli-V illars subtraction and for the case
 expression ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ represents in a sense the \leading-logarithm ic" Q CD contribution to $V_{e}$. Evidently, it includes the tw o loop contribution, represented by the follow ing sim ple diagram integrated over the quark and ghon $m$ om enta: tt-loop and a ghon propagator across it ( 2 -loop version of F ig. 3). This leading tw o-loop contribution of $\mathrm{h} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{D}}$ to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ is obtained from $(\underline{\overline{3}} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ by replacing $\ln 1 \quad a_{g l} J_{g l} \mathrm{p}^{2} ; "^{2}$ by its leading term $a_{g 1} J_{g l} p^{2} ; "^{2}$.

It is not possible to identify the expression (1311) as corresponding to a next-to-leading contribution in the $1=N_{C}$-expansion of $V_{e}=N_{C}$ in the case of the form al lim it $N_{C}$ ! 1 . Unlike the expression $\left(\underline{2} \overline{11}_{1}\right)$, or the last term in $(\underline{2} \overline{5})$, it contains also the factor $\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}^{2} \quad 1\right)$, ie., the num ber of ghons (cf. also eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ below). It is not clear w hether the Q C D contributions can be organized in a system atic way into an $1=N_{C}$-expansion series w hose $n$ 'th expansion term would have, for exam ple, a factor $\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{C}^{2} \quad 1\right)=N_{C}^{n}$ in front of it. Such a series would probably be for $N_{C}=3$ a convergent or at least an asym ptotic
 in the present paper refers to the contributions from the electrow eak (or: scalar, H iggs) sector only, i.e., to the contributions corresponding to the diagram swhich contain the top quarks and com posite scalars, but no ghons. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}(=3$ ) represents in this case the num ber of top quark types contributing in loops separately to the condensation. T he related expansion of these contributions in pow ers of $1=3\left(=1=N_{C}\right)$, as discussed in the previous Section and in A ppendix $C$, should therefore be regarded as independent of a particular structure of QCD and of the num ber of ghons. On the other hand, what is the most sim ple way to organize the QCD contributions to $V_{e}$, so that they would result in a
${ }^{5}$ Just like in Section 2, we equate for sim plicity the bosonic and the ferm ionic cut-o $\mathrm{s}: ~ \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{f}=$; and rescale the $m$ om enta and the $m$ asses ( $q^{2} 7 q^{2}={ }^{2} ; \|^{2}=\begin{array}{ll}2 & 2 \\ 0\end{array}{ }^{2}$ ).
convergent or at least an asym ptotic series? Such a series appears to be the direct loop expansion in powers of s . In this context, we point out that expression ( $\bar{\beta}_{\underline{1}} \overline{1}_{1}$ ), unlike ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$, tums out to be alm ost equal to the two-loop approxim ation, i.e., to the rst term from the expansion of the logarithm, the di erence being only a fraction of a percent. This is connected to the fact that, at the considered scales $\left(m_{t}<E<\right)$, QCD can be treated perturbatively. The smalness of the couplings $s$ (or: $a_{g l}$ ) at these scales $m$ akes the total ghonic contribution $V_{e}^{g l}$ sm all despite a relatively large coe cient $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \quad 1=8$.

## 4 A nalysis of the im proved gap equation

Sum $m$ arizing the results of the previous sections, we w rite dow $n$ the e ective potentialw hidh includes the ( $0+1$ )-loop contributions, the next-to-leading contributions $\left(V_{e}^{(n t l)}\right.$ ) and the dom inant ghonic contributions $\left(V_{e}^{g l}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{e}\left({ }^{2}\right)=V_{e}^{(0)}+V_{e}^{(1)}+V_{e}^{(n t l)}+V_{e}^{(g 1)}\left({ }^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{N_{C}{ }^{4} 8^{!} n^{n^{2}}}{\frac{Z^{2}}{a}} 0_{0} d p^{2} p^{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{n^{2}}{p^{2}}\right)+\frac{1}{4 N_{C}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d p^{2} p^{2} \ln 1 \quad a J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;^{2}\right)^{i}+ \\
& +\frac{\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \quad 1\right)^{\mathrm{Z}}}{4 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{dp}^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2} \ln 1 \quad a_{g l} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{gl}}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)^{\text {io }} \text { : } \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

W e obtain an im proved gap equation by $m$ inim izing this entire e ective potential. This gap equation gives us an im proved value $z=\left(m_{t}=\right)^{2}$ as a function of the input param eter (G ${ }^{2}$ ) $(=$ $8^{2} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ )

In Appendix B we give analytic expressions for $J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{\prime 2}\right)$ and $@ J_{H}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right)=@ "^{2}$ (spherical cut-o ), as well as for $J_{g l}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)$ and im plicitly for $@ J_{g l}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right)=@ "^{2}$ (for the case of the sim ple Pauli-V illars subtraction, and for the case of the \proper tim e"cut-o). U sing these expressions in ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4})$, we calculate the integrals num erically (for a given $a$ and for $N_{C}=3$ ) and obtain solutions for $"^{2}=z=\left(m_{t}=\right)^{2} a s$ a function of the input param eter $a$, that is, of the input param eter $G{ }^{2}=8^{2} a=N N_{c}$. The results are tabulated in the third colum $n$ ( $w$ ith gluonic contributions included) and in the fth colum $n$ (w ithout gluonic contributions) of Table 1, and are depicted in Figs. 1a-1e (for speci c input values G ${ }^{2}$ ) as full lines and long-dashed lines, respectively. For ghons we chose the \proper tim e" cut-o ( $\overline{3} \overline{0} \mathbf{0})$. The
results for ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ in the third colum n of T able 1 change m ostly by less than 3 percent if we choose for the gluonic contributions the sim ple Pauli-V illars subtraction ( $\underline{2}_{\underline{-}}^{(\underline{9})}$ instead.

W hen solving eq. (3-4) for $z=z\left(G^{2}\right)$, we must alw ays check: that the integrands in (3 3 in) and ( $\left.{ }^{(3-3}=1\right)$ ) have no singularities near the $m$ in $\frac{m}{} "^{2} \quad z$ and, in addition, that the stationary point $\mathrm{n}^{2}=\mathrm{z}$ of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}\left({ }^{\left({ }^{2}\right)}\right.$ is really a localm in im um. The calculations show that there are no singularities for $"^{2}$ lying near the value of $z$ for the $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ of $V_{e}$ (cf. discussion in A ppendix B). Furtherm ore, the calculations show an interesting phenom enon: as $a=\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(8{ }^{2}\right)$ decreases beyond a critical value of approxim ately 1:50 (1:60 w ithout ghons), the localm in m um of the e ective potential $V_{e}\left({ }^{(12}\right)$ disappears at a non-zero value $"^{2}=0: 277^{2} \quad 0: 08\left(0: 309^{2} \quad 0: 095 \mathrm{w}\right.$ thout ghons), i.e., the dynam ical sym $m$ etry breaking disappears (see Figs. 1d-1e). This is also seen in Table 1 (rst, third and th colum n). H ence, the calculations suggest an upper bound on the energy cut-o (r E condensation), and this bound is expressed in term $s$ of the bare $m$ ass $m_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m_{t}}>0: 277(0: 309) \quad<3: 61 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}\left(3: 24 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}\right): \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere and for the rest of this paper, the values in parentheses indicate values when the QCD contributions are ignored. At the sam e tim e, these num erical results give a low er bound on the 4 -ferm ion coupling $G$ ofeq. (İI)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{2}}{8^{2}}>\quad \text { aerit. }=1: 499(1: 597): \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that the 1-loop gap equation (2-4) gives a $m$ uch w eaker bound on $G$, and no relation analogous to (135)

$$
\mathrm{a}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{G}^{2}}{8^{2}}>1 ; \text { and } 1 \text { (or: }{ }^{<} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{P} \text { lanck }} \text { ): }
$$

W e also note from Table 1 (third colum $n$ ) that, as $G$ goes down to $G_{\text {crit. }}{ }^{\prime} 1: 50 \quad 8^{2}=\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{2}\right.$ ) (for $N_{C}=3$ ), the di erence between ( $m_{t}=$ ) and the 1-loop solution ( $m_{t}^{(1)}=$ ) becom es as large as 34 percent. W e can also take the follow ing point of view : if we require that the calculated corrections beyond 1-loop do not change the solution $z_{1}=\left(m_{t}^{(1)}=\right)^{2}$ of the 1-loop gap equation drastically ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}{ }^{1 / 1}$ e.g. not by $m$ ore than a factor of 2 , we arrive at very sim ilar results to those of eqs. $\left(\overline{3} \bar{\sigma}_{-1}\right)-\left(\overline{3} \bar{\sigma} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{z}{z_{1}}>0: 5\right) \quad \underline{m_{t}}>0: 299(0: 332) ; a^{>} 1: 506(1: 605): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, singularities (cuts) do occur in $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ in the region of sm all $"^{2}$ ( ${ }^{2}<0: 25 z_{1}$, for all a $a_{\text {crit. }}$ ), and the (filli) lines in Figs. la-le were not continued into these regions. In these

[^4]regions, the expression in the logarithm of the integrand for $V_{e}^{(n t 1)}$ becom es negative (cf. A ppendix $B$

 is a divergent series for such sm all $"^{2}$. These regions of $"^{2}$ do not represent any problem, since the region of our interest is around the $m$ inim um of $V_{e}\left(\begin{array}{lll}\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & z\end{array}\right) \text { which lies a safe distance aw ay from }\end{array}\right.$ the singularities, even in the critical case a 1:50 (z 0:27ף, cf. Figs. 1d-1e). Stated otherw ise: as long as we require that the calculated next-to-leading corrections to the 1-loop gap solution $z_{1}$ do not change this solution drastically (e:g:; $\mathrm{z}>0: 3 \mathrm{z}_{1}$ ), i.e., that the $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}$-expansion of the scalar contributions (for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=3$ ) m ake sense, we do not encounter any singularities near the m in $\dot{m} u m$ of $v_{e}^{(n t 1)}$.
 tums out to be negative in the entire region of integration. In addition, this $J_{g l}$ is num erically very sm all, and the corresponding logarithm in ([ $\bar{B}_{1} \overline{1}$ ) is in addition suppressed by the sm all coupling $a_{g l} 3 \mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=0: 105$. The sm allness of $a_{g 1}$ is connected $w$ th the perturbative nature of $Q C D$ at our relevant energies $E>m_{t}$. Therefore, the contributions of gluons are playing a num erically inferior role w hen com pared to those of the next-to-leading H iggs contributions to the gap equations (cf. Table 1 and eqs. $(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{=})-(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}}))$. A consequence of the relative sm all ghonic contributions is the fact that the
 contribution, i.e., when we replace ln $1 \quad a_{g 1} J_{g 1} p^{2} ; "^{2}$ by its leading tem $\quad a_{g 1} J_{g 1} p^{2} ;{ }^{2}$. This substitution brings a di erence of a fraction of a percent. For the next-to-leading H iggs contributions, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(n+1)}$, the situation is di erent, the 2-loop approxim ation of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{(n t))}$ di ers notably from the full version of $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ (cf. Figs. 1a-1c, dash-dotted and long-dashed lines). For illustration, in $F$ igs. 1a-c we included also the e ective potential calculated up to 1-loop (short-dotted line).

## 5 M ass renorm alization corrections and conclusions

 fth colum n) is not the physicalm ass of the top quark in the present fram ew ork, but rather the \bare" $m$ ass of the top quark in the e ective theory with an energy cut-o :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{t}=m_{t}^{\text {bare }}=m_{t}() \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, we have calculated essentially the contribution of the tadpoles to $m$, i.e., the value of the condensate h i $\{$ in such a fram ew ork, the relation betw een the condensate and the physical $m$ ass has been investigated by P olitzer [1] $\overline{2}]$. The physicalm ass $m{ }_{t}^{\text {ren. }}$ is calculated, $w$ ithin the present
fram ew ork, by adding up the diagram s off igs. $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$. T he dashed lines represent the (com posite) H iggs propagator (this results in the next-to-leading in $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ correction to $\mathrm{m}_{t}$ due to the scalar sector). In addition, we include the usual 1-loop QCD correction $\left(m_{t}\right)^{Q C D}$ which is obtained by the diagram of Fig. 5a, where now the dashed line represents the gluonic propagator. W e do not include the QCD e ects that are higher than this 1-loop QCD renorm alization e ect. This is justi ed, because these higher QCD e ects are quite negligible, as suggested also by the $m$ entioned sm allness of the function $a_{g l} J_{g l}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{\prime 2}\right)$. T he second part of A ppendix C contains details of the calculation of these diagram $s$.


$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{x} w^{q} \frac{\left.w^{(w}+4 x^{2}\right)}{i}+\frac{\left(m_{t}\right)^{Q C D}}{} \text {; } \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where we em ployed the notation

$$
\mathrm{x}=\underline{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {bare }}}{} ;
$$

and $w$ is the square of the $4-m$ om entum $p=o f$ the (non-dynam ical) $H$ iggs $H$ appearing in $F$ igs. $5 a-c$ (cf. $\left.\left(\bar{C} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$. The $\left(m_{t}\right)^{Q C D}$ can be obtained from $(\bar{C} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. For the case of the sim ple Pauli-V illars subtraction this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{t}\right)_{P . V}^{Q C D}=s_{m} \ln \frac{2}{m_{t}^{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the case of the \proper tim e" cut-o this gives

T he logarithm ic term $s$ in ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ can be reproduced by using the 1-loop renorm alization group equation of the Standard $M$ odel for the top quark Yukaw a coupling, by ignoring all the term $s$ contributing to the running of that coupling except those of Q CD .

The renorm alized quantities ( $m{ }_{t}^{\text {ren }}=$ ), which correspond to the fiullbare values ( $m t_{t}=$ ) of the third colum $n$ of $T a b l e 1$, were calculated from $\left(\underline{3} \bar{g}_{1}\right)$ num erically, using for $\left(m_{t}\right)$ CCD again the $\backslash$ proper tim e" cut-o ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. T hey are given in the adjacent fourth colum $n$ of $T a b l e 1$. For the case w thout the QCD contributions (the $f t h$ colum $n$ ), the corresponding renorm alized quantities are in the adjacent sixth colum $n$ - calculated from $\left(\underline{3} \overline{9} \overline{9}_{1}\right)$ w thout the $\left(m_{t}\right)^{\text {QCD }}$ term. The $(0+1)$-loop bare $m$ ass $m_{t}^{(1)}$ (second colum n) does not get renom alized at this level, since we rem ain in this case only at the leading order level in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion.

The result ( $(3 \overline{3} \overline{5})$ and Table 1 im ply, w ithin our fram ew ork, that the sym $m$ etry breaking (condensation) occurs only for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {ren. }}}{}>0: 217(0.213) \quad<4: 6 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {ren. }}\left(4: 7 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {ren. }}\right): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values in brackets correspond to the case when no QCD e ects are inchuded. For $m$ ren. with the value of 180 GeV [ $[\underline{Z} \overline{3}]$, we obtain $w$ thin the present fram ew ork the $m$ ain result of our paper: there is an upper bound for the energy cut-o $=\mathrm{E}$ condensation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { < } 0: 830 \mathrm{TeV}(0: 845 \mathrm{TeV}): \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he value in the brackets corresponds to the case when the QCD e ects are ignored. W e see that the inclusion of the leading part of the QCD e ects does not a ect appreciably this upper bound. Furthem ore, if we use in the QCD contributions instead of the \proper tim e" cut-o the simple P auli-V illars subtraction, the results ( $a_{\text {crit }} \quad 1: 48, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{ren}=>00.215$ ) di er very little from the \proper tim e case" for the ghonic contributions ( $a_{\text {crit }} .1: 50, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {ren }}=\quad>00217$ ).
 conservative point of view, nam ely, the requirem ent that the calculated corrections to the 1-loop gap equation solution $z_{1}$ should not drastically change it (not by more than factor 2 , cf. $\left(3 \bar{j}_{1}\right)$ ), then the resulting upper bound on is quite close to the above result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.z>\frac{z_{1}}{2}\right) \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{ren}}}{}>0.241(0: 237)\right)<0: 75 \mathrm{TeV}(0: 76 \mathrm{TeV}): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ther authors $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ have studied next-to-leading order corrections in the $1=N_{c}$-expansion to the gap equation in four dim ensions. They have considered only the two-loop contributions, which by them selves do not represent all the next-to-leading order contributions. T hey did not obtain an upper bound for . The authors of [1] dealt $m$ ainly $w$ th the question of renorm alizability of the $m$ odel, i.e., of the viability of the $\lim$ it ! 1 . The related question of $w$ hether the quadratic divergences cancel exactly in the T SM has recently been investigated $[\overline{1} \overline{5}]$

D ealing w ith the cut-o as a physical nite quantity was crucial in the present paper. We used for the integrals in the non-QCD sector (e.g. in calculating $J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)$ ) the sim ple spherical cut-o, corresponding to the follow ing regions of integration for the diagram $s$ of $F i g .3$ and $F$ igs. 5: pj; $\mathrm{k}^{(1)} \mathbf{j}::: ; \mathrm{k}^{(`)} \mathrm{j}$. It is a general fact that changing the cut-o prescription (e.g. using Pauli$V$ illars cut-o $s$ in $J_{H}$ ), or im posing the simple cut-o on som e other combinations of the $m$ om enta (e.g. $\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}^{(j)} \mathrm{j} \quad$, instead of $\mathrm{k}^{(j)} \mathrm{j} \quad$ ), would modify num erical results [1] $\left.\overline{1}\right]$. This would affect quantitatively also the num erical results presented here. H ow ever, the $m$ ain qualitative features
(e.g. $=O(T e V))$ seem to rem ain independent of the details of the cut-o prescription. This is suggested also by the fact that the calculated QCD contributions (which are num erically less im portant than those of the non-Q CD, i.e., the scalar contributions) are only mildly dependent of whether we


The e ects of the loops ofm assive electrow eak gauge bosons (i.e., their transverse and longitudinal degrees of freedom ), the scalar contributions of even higher order in $1=N_{C}\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}=3\right)$, as well as dynam icale ects of the new physics beyond this relatively low, have not been investigated here. $T$ he present results im ply that QCD is playing a subordinate role in the condensation mechanism, and suggest that in the Topm ode Standard M odel (T SM ) there are the follow ing tw o possibilities:

T he 1=N-expansion of the contributions from the scalar sector ${ }_{1-1}^{7 / 1}$ to $V_{e} y$ ields a convergent or at least an asym ptotic series, for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=3$. In this case, the next-to-leading order does not drastically change the gap equation. C onsequently, as show $n$ in the present paper, in such a case the decom position of the htti condensate into the constituent quarks should occur at a relatively low energy $=0(1 \mathrm{TeV})$.

The second possibility occurs when $>\mathrm{O}\left(1 \mathrm{TeV}\right.$ ). Then $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion (for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=3$ ) m entioned above is neither convergent nor asym ptotic, and already the next-to-leading order drastically changes the gap equation. C onsequently, the leading order approxim ation loses its significance. W e then appear to be in a strongly interacting region \{ the htti condensates appear to interact strongly. T he traditionalm ethods of investigation (e.g. the method of calculating $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$, tadpole approach, etc.) are insu cient in this case.
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## A ppendix A Tracing in the $m$ om entum space

In the 4-dim ensional Euclidean space we have the follow ing coordinates and $m$ om enta: $x=\left(i x^{0} ; x^{j}\right)$;
 T hen the follow ing relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \quad Z \\
& d^{4} \times \dot{x} \times i h x j=\quad d^{4} q \dot{q} \dot{\text { inh }}{ }^{2} j=1: \tag{A.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The trace of an operator $\hat{C}$ can then easily be rew ritten in the $m$ om entum space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \hat{C}=d^{Z} x h x \hat{\mathfrak{C}} \dot{x} \dot{i}=d^{z} q h q \hat{C} \dot{\mathcal{q} i}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form any operators it is easier to work in the $m$ om entum space than in the $x$-space-e.g. the operator $\ln \hat{A}$, if $\hat{A}$ is translationally invariant and non-diagonal in the $x$-space. Then the operator $\ln \hat{A^{\wedge}}$ is diagonal in the $m$ om entum space and easier to $w o r k w i t h$ in this space. W hen calculating the trace of such operators, we use $\left(\bar{A}_{-}^{-} \overline{-}_{-1}\right)$ in the $m$ om entum basis. A $l l$ those operators $\hat{C}$ which are translationally invariant in the $x$-space are diagonal in the $m$ om entum space

The trace of such an operator can be obtained from $[\bar{A}-\overline{2})$, once we know the Fourier-transform $C$ (q)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \hat{C}=(2)^{4} \quad d^{4} q C(q) ; \quad \text { where: } \quad=(2)^{4} \lim _{q!}{ }_{0}^{(4)}(q)=^{Z} d^{4} x: \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to check that for any power of such an operator $\hat{C}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hq}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{n}} \dot{\mathcal{q} i}={ }^{(4)}\left(\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{q}^{0}\right)(\mathbb{C}(\mathrm{q}))^{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{n}=0 ; \quad 1 ; \quad 2 ;:::): \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he operator $\hat{B}[0]$, as de ned by ( $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{( 1 )}$ ) and ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{O})$, is translationally invariant. Therefore

In order to calculate $\operatorname{Tr}(\ln \hat{B}[0])$ of eq. (1-3), we note rst that $\ln \hat{B}[0]$ can be written as a Taylor series in powers of $(\hat{B}[0] 1)$. A pplying to these powers relation

$$
\text { hqi;j;ajln } \hat{B}[0] \dot{q} ; k ; b i={ }^{(4)}\left(q \quad q^{0}\right) \text { ab } j k \ln \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\notin+ & 0 \tag{A.7}
\end{array}\right):
$$


Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{B}[0]==(2)^{4} N{ }_{c} \operatorname{tr}_{f} \quad d^{4} q \ln (\notin+0)+ \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots represent an 0 -independent term (corresponding to the isospin index $j=2$ ), which is irrelevant for $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{o})$. The factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (the num ber of colors) com es from sum m ing over the color




$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{Z} d^{4} x_{1}(x)^{2}+{ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\hat{B}[0]^{1} \wedge_{1}\right)^{2}\right]={ }^{Z} d^{4} x d^{4} x^{0}{ }_{1}\left(x^{0}\right) h x^{0} \hat{A}^{\wedge} \hat{x}^{\prime} i_{1}(x): \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$




Here, we im plicitly assum e integrations over allm om enta ( $q, q^{(1)},:::$ ) and coordinates ( $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}, \mathrm{x}^{(2)}$, :: :). Furtherm ore, the color, isospin and spinor degrees of freedom were om itted in the notation of (A. 10 d ${ }^{-1}$ ); sum $m$ ation over these indiges and tracing over spinor degrees of freedom ( $\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ) is im plicitly assum ed.




Here, the factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ cam e from tracing over the color degrees of freedom. D ots represent a term independent of 0 (corresponding to the isospin indices $j=k=2$ ), i.e., this term is irrelevant for
 obtained expression $w$ ith the relation $\left(\bar{A}_{\bar{A}}^{-\overline{-}}\right)$, and introducing a physical energy cut-o $\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=2$, we im m ediately obtain the result of eq. ( $\left.\overline{2}_{\bar{\prime}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, i.e., the explicit expression for the $m$ atrix elem ent
 is the kemel for the quadratic quantum uctuations of the $H$ iggs eld around the $m$ in im um .

Finally, according to eq. (1]-19), Trln $\hat{\bar{A}_{1}}$ rem ains to be calculated in order to obtain $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$. This can be done now, by using the sam e approach as in (AA- (q) for calculating $\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{B}[0]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \ln \hat{A}=(2)^{4} \quad d^{4} p \ln \AA \simeq(p)=(2)^{4} \quad d^{4} p \ln \left[1 \quad 2^{2} N_{C} K_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2} 2_{0}^{2}\right)\right]+ \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dots represent an irrelevant o-independent term. This tim e the trace is perform ed only over the 4 m om entum space, because the operator $\hat{A}$ (eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$ ) has no color, isospin or spinor degrees of
 introducing a physical energy cut-o $\left(p^{2}\right)_{m a x}=2$, and rescaling the $m$ om entum $p^{2} 7 \quad{ }^{2} p^{2}$, leads directly to the nalresult $\left(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\underline{L}_{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$. This is the next-to-leading order (beyond 1-loop) contribution of the com posite H iggs sector to the e ective potential.

## A ppendix B J functions for the e ective potential

$T$ he function $J_{H}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right)$, which is needed for calculating the $V_{e}^{(n t 1)}$ in eq. (2̄11 $\left.\underline{1}_{1}\right)$ and is given in an integral form in eq. (2̄2̄1), can be calculated analytically. In the 4-dim ensional Euclidean space, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \mathrm{k}=\dot{\mathrm{p} j \mathrm{j} k j \cos \quad ; \quad \mathrm{d}^{4} k=(2) k \jmath^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d} \mathfrak{k}^{2} \jmath \mathrm{~d} \quad(0 \quad): ~} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integration over in (2) (2) can be perform ed by using (cf. R yzhik and G radshteyn, 3.644/4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0} \frac{d \sin ^{2}}{(A+B \cos )}=\frac{A}{B^{2}}{ }^{4} 1 \quad{\left.\overline{(1} \frac{B^{2}}{A^{2}}\right)^{5}}_{3}^{s} \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Subsequently, the radial integration over $\mathrm{k}^{3}$ in $(2 \overline{2})$ is perform ed by using well-known form ulas (e.g. Ryzhik and G radshteyn, 2 261, 2 266, 2 267) . It leads to the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}\left(p^{2}+4 \boldsymbol{n}^{2}\right) 1+\frac{\left(1+n^{2} \quad B\right)}{p^{2}}+(1 \quad A) \ln \left("^{2}+1\right)+A \ln \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}+\ln \frac{a_{3}}{2^{2}} \quad 0 \quad \text {; }  \tag{B3}\\
& \text { where: } \quad A=\overline{1+4 \frac{\mathbf{N}^{2}}{p^{2}}} ; \quad B=\frac{q}{\left(1 p^{2}+"^{2}\right)^{2}+4 p^{2} \mathbf{N}^{2}} \text {; } \\
& a_{1}=\left(p^{2}+3^{n^{2}} \quad 1+A B\right) ; a_{2}=p^{2}+3^{n^{2}}+\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) A ; a_{3}=1 \quad p^{2}+n^{2}+B: \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

T he partial derivative $@ J_{H}=@ "^{2}$, which appears in an integrand of the gap equation ( $\left.3-3 / 2\right)$, is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{a_{3}}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} A \ln \frac{a_{1}}{a_{2}}+\frac{3}{2}(A \quad 1) \ln \left(1+A^{R}\right)+\frac{3}{2}(2 \quad A) \ln \mathbb{R}^{\circ} ; \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where the notations of $(\bar{B}_{-}^{-} \overbrace{}^{\prime})$ are used. This expression can be obtained by the sam e m ethod as


$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathbf{n}^{2} / 1={ }^{2} \text { ) is } \\
& J_{H}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right)={ }^{n} 1 \quad 2^{n}+3^{\prime \prime} \ln \|^{2}+p^{2} \quad \frac{3}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \ln "^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} "^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{2} p^{2} \quad 1+\frac{4^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}}}{p^{2}} \quad \ln 41+\frac{p^{2}}{2^{2}} \quad \frac{s}{\boldsymbol{m}^{2}} \quad \frac{p^{2}}{\boldsymbol{N}^{2}} 1+\frac{p^{2}}{4^{2}}{ }^{3} 5+0 \quad\left\|^{4} \ln \right\|^{2} \quad: \tag{B.6}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{H}\left(p^{2}=0 ; ;^{2}\right)=1 \quad 3^{n} \ln \left("^{2}+1\right)+\frac{2^{n^{2}}}{1+"^{2}} \quad: \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression for (eq. (1) $\left.\overline{1} \underline{\theta}_{1}\right)$ ) was assum ed to be positive, because otherw ise the path integral derivation of $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ presented there would not be $m$ athem atically justi able

$$
\left.\left.=\frac{}{A^{\sim}(p=0 ;} \begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2  \tag{B.8}\\
0
\end{array}\right) \quad>0 \quad\right) \quad a J\left(p^{2}=0 ;^{2}\right)<1 \quad\left(a=\frac{G N_{C}^{2}}{8^{2}} \quad 1\right):
$$

It can be checked num erically that for ( $0 \quad \mathrm{p}^{2} ;{ }^{\prime 2} \quad$ 1) the function $J_{H}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ;{ }^{\prime 2}\right)$ has the follow ing properties: $J_{H}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right) \quad 1$, where the equality holds only at $p^{2}="^{2}=0 ; J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)$ is a m onotonously


$$
\begin{equation*}
a J_{H}\left(p^{2} ; \|^{2}\right)<1 \text { for all: }\left(0 \quad p^{2} \quad 1\right): \tag{B.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, this is precisely the condition that the expression $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ in eq. (2 $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ does not contain any singularities in the integrand. T herefore, the condition $>0$, whidh w as needed so that the derivation of $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ by path integral $m$ ethod $w$ as $m$ athem atically consistent, guarantees also that the obtained result is $m$ athem atically well-behaved. From the diagram $m$ atic point of view (A ppendix C), the condition $\left(B_{-}^{-} . \bar{d}_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ eans that the diagram m atic sum of the next-to-leading order term s in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}^{-}}$

 holds safely for all such " ${ }^{2}$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
"^{2}>0: 25 z_{1} \quad z_{1}=m_{t}^{(1)}=2 \text {; } \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $z_{1}$ is the solution of the $(0+1)$-loop gap equation. The $m$ entioned singularities appear in Figs. 1a-1e as poles of $V_{e}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ (full lines) in these regions of $s m$ all $"^{2}$ \{ features which are not physical, but represent som e artifacts of the $m$ athem atical approach of the present paper $\left\{\right.$ nam ely, the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}^{-}}$ expansion in the electrow eak sector. In any way, if the calculated $1=N_{c}$-corrections to $V_{e} w$ ere to change the position of the $m$ inim um $z$ as drastically as by factor 4 or $m$ ore, ie., if singularities were to
appear near the new $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ (cf. ( $\bar{B}$. $\bar{d}$ )), then the expansion of the electrow eak contributions to $V_{e}$ in powers of $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ would be a highly divergent and senseless series, anyw ay. Since these singularities alw ays lie safely aw ay from them inim a of the e ective potential ( $\left(l l l^{2} \quad\right.$ z) given in Table 1, they do not in uence the conclusions about the value and the existence of this minim um in any appreciable way, and are hence irrelevant for the punpose of the present paper. In $F$ igs. 1a-1e we have not continued the (full) lines of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ into these singular sm all-12 regions.
 explicit solutions. The resulting function $J_{g 1}\left(c f .\left(\underline{2} \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)-(\underline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})\right)$ is in the case of one sim ple Pauli-V illars cut-o

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{6} 2 \frac{\boldsymbol{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \quad 1 \mathrm{D} \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}+\frac{1}{6} \ln \boldsymbol{n}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \quad 2 \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \quad \text {; } \tag{B.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and in the case of the $\backslash$ proper tim e" cut-o

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\mathrm{gl}}^{(p . t .)} \mathrm{p}^{2} ;^{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}}=\frac{1}{6} 2 \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}} \quad 1 \mathrm{D} \quad \frac{\mu^{2}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}+\frac{1}{6} \ln \boldsymbol{\mu}^{2}+\frac{2}{9} \\
& \frac{1}{6} \frac{p^{2}}{5}+"^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{p^{4}}{140}+\frac{p^{2} \boldsymbol{n}^{2}}{15}+\frac{"^{4}}{6}+0 \quad p^{6} ;^{6} \quad: \tag{B.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we denoted by D the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(w)=z_{0}^{z_{1}} d z \ln 1+\frac{z(1 \quad z)}{w}=2+{ }^{q} \frac{" p \frac{(4 w+1)}{(4 w+1)}+1^{\#}}{{ }^{(4 w+1)} 1}: \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding derivatives $@ J_{g 1}\left(p^{2} ; "^{2}\right)=@ "^{2}$ are obtained in a straightforw ard $m$ anner from the above expressions, by using also the follow ing integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d D(w)}{d w}=\frac{1}{w}+\frac{2}{\frac{2}{(4 w+1)}} \ln \frac{p\left(\frac{1 w}{(4 w+1)}+1\right.}{\frac{(4 w+1)}{1}}: \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e note that, unlike $J_{H}$, the gluonic function $J_{g 1}$ is negative in the entire region of $p^{2}$ and $"^{2}$ of our interest, and therefore does not lead to any possible singularities in the logarithm of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{(\mathrm{gl1})}$ in (31121).

## A ppendix C D iagram $m$ atic derivation of $V_{e}^{(n t)} ; m$ ass renorm alization

 In this A ppendix we show that $v_{e}^{(n t 1)}$ of eq. $\left[\underline{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ can be rederived by a diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod and, in addition, that it represents precisely all the e ects of loops which are next-to-leading order in theform al $1=N_{c}$-expansion (these are term s beyond one loop). It is evident from eq. (2, $\left.\overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$ that the 4ferm ion coupling $G$ is of order $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (for a xed ${ }^{2}$ ). This fact $w$ ill be used repeatedly throughout this A ppendix.

W e will use the original notations of the Lagrangian $(\underline{2})$, in order to see explicitly that the dependence of the results on the undeterm ined barem assM 0 drops out. Furtherm ore, wew illintroduce $g=M_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ which is dim ensionless. W e em ploy the usual diagram $m$ atic $m$ ethod for $V_{e}\left[\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$, which has been applied in ref. $[1] \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ to the 1-loop graphs.

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e}\left(H_{0}\right)=i_{m=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{(2 m)!} \sim_{H}^{(2 m)}\left(p_{1} ;::: ; p_{2 m}\right)_{\left(f p_{k} g=f 0 g\right)} H_{0}^{(2 m)}: \tag{C.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\underset{H}{\sim}(2 \mathrm{~m})$ 's are 1-P I G reen functions corresponding to the diagram $s$ of the Lagrangian ( $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}) \mathrm{w}$ ith 2 m outer legs of the (yet non-dynam ical) $H$ iggs $H_{0}$ elds w ith zero m om entalal, The 1-loop diagram s
 loop 1P I diagram s contributing to $\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\sim}(2 \mathrm{~m})$ are depicted in Fig . 3. W e note that no other ( +1 (1)-loop 1P I diagram s are next-to-leading order in the form al $1=N_{c}$-expansion. For exam ple, the 3-loop and 4-loop 1P I diagram s of $F$ igs. 4a-4b (w hen the extemal legs are cut aw ay) are not proportional to $\left(G^{2} N_{C}^{2}\right),\left(G{ }^{3} N_{C}^{3}\right)(=O(1))$, but rather to $\left(G^{2} N_{C}\right),\left(G{ }^{3} N_{C}^{2}\right)\left(=O\left(1=N_{C}\right)\right)$, respectively. O $n$ the other hand, the diagram $s$ in $F$ ig. 3 (when the extemal legs are cut out) are proportional to $O$ (1), i.e., they are next-to-leading order in the $1=N_{c}$-expansion. W e can understand this by counting the num ber of quark loops and of Yukaw a couplings. In $F$ ig. 3, the total num ber of loops is ( $\mathbf{~}+1$ ), and the num ber of (top) quark loops am ong them is $n_{q}=$. In all other 1 PI diagram $\mathrm{s} w$ th the total num ber of loops ( ${ }^{(+1)}$ (e.g. those in F igs. 4a-4b) is the num ber of (top) quark loops less than ${ }^{\prime}: \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}<$ '. On the other hand, the num ber of Yukaw a couplings is 2 ' in all 1PI diagram $s w$ th the total num ber of loops $(`+1)$, and therefore the Yukaw a couplings yield a factor $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}\right)^{2}$. E ach quark loop gives a factor $N_{c}$ by tracing over the color degrees of freedom. The 2 m extemal H iggs lines give the
 $\left(G{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)(\mathrm{gH} 0)^{2 \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\left.{ }^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)(\mathrm{gH}} \mathrm{o}\right)^{2 \mathrm{~m}}$. In the case of the diagram s of F ig. $3, \mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{q}}}\right)$ is O (1),
 1P I diagram swith ( $\quad+1$ ) loops and ' 1 , other than those of $F$ ig. 3 , give contributions to $V_{e}\left(g H_{0}\right)$ which are of low er order than the next-to-leading order tem $s$ in in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$-expansion. Therefore, we will ignore all such diagram s. We note in passing that there is only one 1P I diagram with two loops, and it is of the type depicted in Fig. 3, ie., of the next-to-leading order in the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion.

[^6]The 1P I G reen function resulting from the ('+1)-loop diagram $s$ w ith ( 2 m )-outer H iggs legs of Fig. 3 can be w ritten as

Here, the ( 2 m ) extemal $H$ iggs lines are distributed am ong the quark loops as follow s: eadh term $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}$, mfepresents the contribution of those diagram s offig. 3 w hich have on their ' loops ofm assless (top) quarks $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1} ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$, outer $\mathrm{H}_{0}$-legs w th zero m om enta, respectively. H ence:

Here, $k^{(j)}$ and ( $p+k^{(j)}$ ) are the tw o $m$ om enta in the $j^{\text {th }}$ ( $m$ assless top) quark loop; $p$ is the $m$ om entum of the ' intemal $H$ iggs propagators, and each such propagator is sim ply ( $i=M_{0}^{2}$ ) ( H iggses are nondynam ical); ( $g=\overline{2}$ ) is the Yukaw a coupling (cf. eq. $\left.\overline{\underline{Z}}) ; g=M_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{G}}\right)$; (1) is the Ferm istatistical factor from the 'quark-loops; $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ' is the factor arising from tracing over the colors of the 'quark loops; $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{in}}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {out }}, \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ are the num bers of possible contractions betw een the intemal H iggs elds, betw een the extemal $H$ iggs elds, and betw een the intemal (top) quarks, respectively (in the fram ew ork of the form alism of the $W$ idk theorem ). W e label each quark loop by the number $j\left(j=1 ;::: ;{ }^{`}\right)$. The $j^{\text {th }}$ quark loop has altogether $2 m_{j}$ extemal $H$ iggs legs ofm om entum zero and two legs of intemal H iggs propagators of $m$ om entum $p$ attached to it. The extemal Higgs legs are distributed on this loop so that there are $n_{j}$ legs attached to $\mathbb{I}$ outside the chain and ( $2 m_{j} \quad n_{j}$ ) legs attached to it inside the chain (see Fig. 3). W hen perform ing the trace, we have to sum over all possible distributions of the extemal $H$ iggs lines, i.e., varying $n_{j}$ from 0 to $2 m_{j}$. Incidentally, it follow $s$ from the above expression (C-A) that the num bers $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1} ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~m}$, (and their sum 2 m , i.e., the num ber of extemal legs) m ust be even, because trace of a product of an odd num ber of $m$ atriges is zero.

Next, we m ust count carefully the contractions $N_{H}^{\text {in }}, N_{H}^{\text {out }}$ and $N_{q}$, as dictated by the $W$ idk theorem. W e nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\text {out }}=(2 \mathrm{~m})!; \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}=(2 \mathrm{~m})!\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V}^{\prime} & 1) 2^{\wedge}: \\
& \text { : }
\end{array}\right. \tag{C.4}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing $\left(\bar{C}_{-}^{-} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, $\left(\bar{C} \bar{C}_{-} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{C}_{-}^{-} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, this gives us the follow ing expression for those contributions of the $(`+1)$-loops ( 1 ) to the e ective potential which are next-to-leading order in $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$

It is straightforw ard to see that for the expansion in pow ers of ( $\mathrm{gH}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{2}$ ) the follow ing crucial identity holds:

This identity can be checked in a straightforw ard w ay by expanding the corresponding fractions on
 only as long as these two quantities have norm smaller than one. For sm all intemal momenta $k$ and/or $(p+k)$ (sm aller than $\left(\mathrm{gH}_{0}=\overline{2}\right)$ ) ${ }_{1}^{101}$, ie., in the region of non-perturbative intemalm om enta, the above identity is, strictly speaking, not valid, because the perturbative $\backslash m$ assless" sum on the r.h.s. is form ally divergent in such a case. H ow ever, the \m assive" lh s. is nite even for such sm all m om enta, and it represents in this case the analytic continuation of the r.h s. T his identity, applied to (C-j), represents the $w$ indow through which we get from a perturbative (diagram $m$ atic) approach into the nonperturbative physics of the dynam ical sym m etry breaking - through analytic continuation.

Therefore, we can rew rite (C- $\overline{-1})$

Perform ing $W$ idk's rotation into the Euclidean metric ( $\left.d^{4} k T \quad i d^{4} k, \nmid 7 \nmid k\right)$, we can rew rite the above integral over $k$ in the Euclidean and cut-o regularized form

[^7] yields the integral
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(++1)}{ }^{\text {loops }}\left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{4} \mathrm{p}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{2^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{GN}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{2}}{8^{2}} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathbf{"}^{2}\right) \text {; ; } \tag{C..9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where we em ployed the notation ofeq. (2 $\overline{2} \overline{2})$ for $J_{H}$ and ". Sum $m$ ing nally over ${ }^{`}=1 ; 2 ;::$ : yields the logarithm ic series and the result identical to $V_{e}^{(n t l)}$ of eq. (2 $\left.\underline{2}_{1} \overline{1}^{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{X^{A}} V_{e}^{(Y+1) \text { loops }}\left(H_{0}\right)=\frac{4}{2(4)^{2}} p^{2} d_{1} d^{2} p^{2} \ln 1 \frac{\left(G N_{c}{ }^{2}\right)}{\left(8^{2}\right)} J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;{ }^{2}\right)^{\#} \quad V_{e}^{(n+1)}: \tag{C.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can really interpret the $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(\mathrm{ntl)}}$ of $\left(\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ diagram m atically as the contribution of all those diagram s beyond the one loop which are next-to-leading order in the form all $=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion, provided $\left(G N_{C}{ }^{2}\right)=\left(8^{2}\right)=O(1)$ as suggested by the 1-loop gap equation $\left(\underline{L}_{2} \overline{4}\right)$. On the other hand, the $V_{e}^{(n t)}$ in eq. ( $\overline{2}_{2} \overline{1}_{-1}$ ) was derived there by path integralm ethod as the contribution of the quadratic uctuations $1(\mathrm{x})^{2}$ (cf. eq. $[\underline{1} \underline{1} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$ ) of the H iggs eld around its \classical" value $\mathrm{H}_{0}$.

By argum ents very sim ilar to those at the beginning of this A ppendix, we can dem onstrate that the dom inant (in the $1=N_{c}$-expansion) $1 P I$ diagram $s$ contributing to the $m$ ass renorm alization of $m$ are those of F igs. $5 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ - these are all the 1 P I diagram s of order $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c} w$ th two top quark outer legs. H ow ever, unlike the diagram s in Figs. 2-4, th is tim e the top quark propagators in the loops have the non-zero bare $m$ ass $m_{t}\left(=m_{t}()\right)$-ie., the $m$ ass obtained from the gap equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{4}\right)$ (cf. Table 1, th colum $n$, or third colum $n$ when QCD e ects included). These graphs lead to the corrected propagator
$W$ ith $\sim_{t}^{('+1)}(d f)$ we denote the contribution of the ( $(+1)$-loop $1 P I$ diagram of $F$ igs. 5 , ie., the one containing ' top quark loops $w$ ith bare $m$ ass $m_{t}$. U sing an approach sim ilar to the one em ployed earlier in this A ppendix for deriving the G reen functions $\underset{\mathrm{H}}{\sim}{ }_{\mathrm{H}}^{(2 m+1)}$, and again carefully counting the num ber of possible contractions of $H$ and $t t$ (in the form alism of the $W$ idk theorem ), we obtain
where we used again the E uclidean $m$ etric $w$ ith the sim ple spherical cut-o, and the notation ( $\overline{2} \overline{0}$ ) for the function $K_{H}$. Sum $m$ ing up all these contributions, rescaling the $m$ om entum $p \eta \quad p$, and using
the notations of $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and $(\underline{2} \overline{4})$ ), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\tilde{v}_{t}(\phi)}{}=\frac{(1)}{N_{C}} 4{ }^{2} a_{p^{2}}^{Z} \frac{d^{4} p}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{[1} \quad a J_{H}\left(p^{2} ;\left(m_{t}=\right)^{2}\right)\right] \frac{\left[\phi+(\phi=)+\left(m_{t}=\right)\right]}{\left[(p+(q=))^{2}+\left(m_{t}=\right)^{2}\right]}: \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angular integration in this expression can be perform ed in a straightforward way by using 4dim ensional spherical coordinates in the coordinate system in which $q=(0 ; 0 ; 0 ;$ qi) () $p \quad q=$
 are left w th radial integration alone
where $\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}=\right.$ ), and we took into account the on-shell condition ( $\phi_{1}=\phi_{1}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}, q^{2}=q^{2}=m_{t}^{2}$ ).
 e ects.

The inclusion of the leading (ie., 1-loop) QCD e ects to the renom alization of $m_{t}$ can be obtained from the diagram of Fig. 5a, where the dotted line now represents the ghon. Thise ect is well-know $n$ in the literature. It results in the follow ing expression
where and are the color indices of the top quark, ${ }^{a}=2$ are the $S U(3)_{c}$-generators, $g_{s}$ is the QCD gauge coupling ( $\left.s=g_{s}^{2}=(4)\right)$, and the top quark is taken on-shell $\left(q_{\mathrm{f}}=m_{t}\right)$. It tums out that the gauge-dependent part of the ghon propagator (proportional to (1)) does not contribute to $\left(m_{t}\right)^{Q C D} \cdot U$ sing the know relation of $Q C D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \\
& \mathrm{a} ; \\
& \quad a \quad a=\frac{2\left(\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \quad 1\right)}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}} ; ~
\end{aligned}
$$

and the well-know $n$ techniques of rew riting the denom inators as integrals of exponentials, we end up after som e algebraic $m$ anipulations $w$ ith the follow ing (1-loop) result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(m_{t}\right)^{Q C D}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}\left(N_{C}^{2} 1\right)^{2}}{16^{2} N_{C}} m_{t}^{z_{1}} d z(1+z)_{0}^{Z_{1}} \underline{d}_{f}(; f) \exp \quad m_{t}^{2} z \quad: \tag{C.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we inserted the usual regulator $f($; $f$ ) to $m$ ake the integral nite. For exam ple, $f m$ ay $m$ ean that we $m$ ake a simple $P$ auli-V illars subtraction (w ith $m$ ass $f$ replacing $m e$ ${ }_{t}$. We can also choose the usual $\backslash$ propertim e" cut-o : $f=0$ for $<1={ }_{f}^{2}$, and $\underset{f}{ }=1$ otherw ise. In the results of this paper,
we have, for sim plicity, alw ays equated the cut-o s for the quark and the bosonicmom enta: $\quad \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{b}=$
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Table 1

| $a=\frac{\mathrm{NCG}^{2}}{8^{2}}$ | $\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{z}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{t}^{(1)}}{}$ | $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{t}^{\left({ }_{t}+\mathrm{gl}\right)}}{}$ | $\underbrace{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{gl)}}}$ | $\underline{m}_{\text {m }}^{(\text {(t) }}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\text {(H) }}$ ( ren. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.198 | 0.70 | 0.669 | 0.575 | 0.657 | 0.532 |
| 1.918 | 0.60 | 0.555 | 0.477 | 0.538 | 0.429 |
| 1.673 | 0.50 | 0.432 | 0.368 | 0.399 | 0.303 |
| 1.605 | 0.469 | 0.389 | 0.328 | 0.332 | 0237 |
| 1.5970 | 0.46543 | 0.384 | 0.323 | 0.309 | 0213 |
| 1.5969 | 0.4654 | 0.384 | 0.323 | - | - |
| 1.564 | 0.45 | 0.359 | 0.300 | - | - |
| 1.523 | 0.43 | 0.321 | 0264 | - | - |
| 1.506 | 0.4215 | 0299 | 0241 | - | - |
| 1.503 | 0.42 | 0293 | 0235 | - | - |
| 1.4988 | 0.41785 | 0277 | 0217 | - | - |
| 1.4987 | 0.4178 | - | - | - | - |

## 4 Table and gure captions

Table 1: The solutions ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{z}}=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}=\right.$ ) (3rd colum n ) of the im proved gap equation ( $\overline{(3 \overline{4}} \overline{\mathrm{L}}$, for a given input $a=\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{C}^{-2}\right)=\left(8^{2}\right)$ (1st colum $n$ ), where $G$ is the 4-ferm ion coupling of the TSM (see (ili)) and is the upper energy cut-o (i.e., roughly the energy at which the condensation takes place). The last entry in a colum $n$ corresponds to the disappearance of the non-trivialm in $\dot{m} u m$. The 4th colum $n$ contains the corresponding renom alized top quark $m$ asses. The 5th and the 6th colum ns contain the solutions ( $m_{t}=$ ) and their renom alized values, respectively, for the case when the QCD e ects are ignored. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=3 \mathrm{w}$ as taken.

Figs. 1 (a)-1 (e): E ective potential $V_{e}$ as function of $"^{2}=H_{0}^{2}\left(G M{ }_{0}^{2}\right)=\left(2^{2}\right)$. For convenience, $V_{e}$ is multiplied by $=8^{2}=\left(\mathbb{N} C^{4}\right)$. The notation $\backslash n t l(H+g h o n s) " m$ eans that all the next-to-leading $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{C}}$ contributions of the H iggs sector and the dom inant part of the Q CD contributions are inchuded; \ntl(H )" m eans the sam e, but w thout Q C D.W here possible, we also included the curves for the case of ( $0+1+2$ )-loop (w thout QCD) and (0+1)-loop calculation. W e took $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=3$ and the input values $a=\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(8^{2}\right)^{\prime} 2: 198,1: 673,1: 564,1: 5031,1: 4982$, respectively (corresponding to the 1-loop m inim $a^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{z}_{1}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}}^{(1)}=0: 7,0: 5,0: 45,0: 42,0: 4175$, respectively $)$.
 incidentally, they give at the sam etim e the leading order term s in the form al $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}$-expansion of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (of order $O\left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{C}\right)$ ). Full lines represent $m$ assless top quarks, and dotted lines the scalar non-dynam ical H iggs of the Lagrangian ( ${ }_{(2 \overline{2})}^{(1)}$ (sam e notation also in Figs. 4, 5, 6).

Fig. 3: The ( ${ }^{\prime}+1$-loop 1PI diagrams which contribute to the $1 P I$ green functions $\sim_{\mathrm{H}}^{(2 \mathrm{~m}} ;^{+1)}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) \dot{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{p} k} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{fog}$ and which yield the next-to-leading order term s (beyond 1-loop) in the form al $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (of order $\left.\mathrm{O}\left(\left(\mathrm{GN}_{\mathrm{C}}\right){ }^{`}\right)=\mathrm{O}(1)\right)$. The diagram s contain ${ }^{\text {' loops of }}$ ( $m$ assless) top quarks. These loops are connected into another circle by 'propagators of the (nondynam ical) H iggs. The $j^{\text {th }}$ ferm ionic loop ( $\left.j=1 ;::: ;\right)^{\prime}$ has ( $2 \mathrm{~m}_{j}$ ) outer legs of H iggses w th zero $m$ om enta attached to it ( $n_{j}$ and ( $2 m_{j} \quad n_{j}$ ) on the \outer" and the \inner" halves, respectively). The total num ber of outer legs $w$ ith zero $m$ om enta is $2\left(m_{1}+\quad\right.$ tm $2 m$.

Figs. 4 (a)-(b) : E xam ples of3-loop and 4-loop 1P I diagram swhich, while in principle contributing to $\underset{H}{\sim}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right)$, do not contribute to the next-to-leading order term $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(1))$ of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the form al $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$-expansion, but rather to term so $\left(\mathrm{G}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{G}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) . \mathrm{Th}$ is is so because the colors of all the ( $m$ assless) top quarks in a given quark loop are forced to be equal and the num ber of quark loops is sm aller than that in the 3-loop and 4-loop diagram s of $F$ ig. 3, respectively.

Figs. $5(\mathrm{a})-(\mathrm{c})$ : the 1 P I diagram s w th tw o outer top quark legs whidh give the leading ( $\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ ) contribution to the renorm alization of the $m$ ass $m_{t}$. Unlike the diagram $s$ of $F$ igs. 2-4, the top quark propagators here contain the non-zero bare $m$ ass $m_{t} w h i d h$ as the solution to the gap equation in the next-to-leading order in $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$.
$\angle \cdot 0=(V /(\nu) u) 86 l^{\prime} \cdot \tau=D$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The supenscript \ntl" stands throughout the paper for the next-to-leading order in the $1=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}$-expansion. $\mathrm{The} \mathrm{V} \mathrm{e}^{(1)}$, originating from the 1-loop 1PP I diagram $s$, represents at the sam e tim e all the leading order ( $O\left(N_{c}\right)$ ) contributions to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$ in the $1=\mathrm{N}$ c-expansion.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Stated otherw ise, we will be looking only at the e ects of the purely scalar part ( $(G=4) t^{a} t_{a} t^{b} t_{b}$ ) of the 4-ferm ion interaction [1] $_{1}^{1}$ ). O nly this part is responsible, w ith in the present fram ew ork where the e ects of the loops of ( m assive) electrow eak gauge bosons are neglected, for the condensation of a tt-pair into a scalar H iggs H.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Factor i survives at $J$ in the exponent in $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$. This is so because the -fiunction constraint, which excludes the contributions of the constant $m$ odes of $\quad 0, \mathrm{~m}$ ust rem ain valid also in the Euclidean $m$ etric.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Form ula (151) can be obtained from its well-known special case of $J=0$ by a sim ple substitution of variables: $\hat{R}^{1} \eta \hat{R}_{1} \quad i J \hat{A}^{1}$. Furtherm ore, an identity has to be used whose 1-dim ensional analogue reads: $\mathrm{R}_{+1} \mathrm{dz} \exp \left[\mathrm{z}^{2}\right]=$ $\mathrm{R}_{+1} \exp \left[(z+i y)^{2}\right]$, where $y$ is any real constant. This identity applies also in our case, because $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A} 1$ are sym $m$ etric and real in the $x$-basis, as show $n$ in A ppendix $A$ and this Section.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ It is $m$ anifestly apparent from the expression for $V_{e}$ that the natural param eter that should be regarded as the solution of the gap equation is $z=\left(m_{t}=\right)^{2}$, and not ${ }^{p} \bar{z}=\left(m_{t}=\right)$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ H ere we keep QCD contributions aside; they are sm aller and can apparently be organized into a convergent or at least asym ptotic lop series in powers of $s\left(m_{t}\right)$; cf. last paragraph of Section 3.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ It will be shown later in this A ppendix that diagram $s w$ ith an odd num ber of $H_{0}$-outer legs do not contribute.
    ${ }^{9}$ The param eter $"^{2}=\left(\mathrm{gH}_{0}\right)^{2}=\left(2^{2}\right)$ (cf. eq. (22) ) tums out to be the natural variable of $O\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{0}\right)$ in $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ In the physically interesting region, i.e., near the $m$ inim um of $V_{e}$, we have: ( $g H_{0}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ ) $m_{t}$.

