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#### Abstract

In three-din ensional QED with a Chem \{Sim ons term we study the phase structure associated with chiral-sym $m$ etry breaking in the fram ew ork of the Schw inger\{D yson equation. W e give detailed analyses on the analytical and num erical solutions for the Schw inger\{D yson equation of the ferm ion propagator, where the nonlocal gauge- xing procedure is adopted to avoid wavefunction renorm alization for the ferm ion. In the absence of the $C$ hem \{Sim ons term, there exists a nite critical num ber of four-com ponent ferm ion avors, at which a continuous (in nite-order) chiral phase transition takes place and below which the chiral sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken. In the presence of the Chem \{Sim ons term, we nd that the spontaneous chiral-sym $m$ etrybreaking transition continues to exist, but the type of phase transition tums into a discontinuous rst-order transition. A sim ple stability argum ent is given based on the e ective potential, whose stationary point gives the solution of the $S c h w$ inger-D yson equation.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

This paper is the detailed exposition of our previous letter 畒] on chiral-sym m etry breaking in (2+1)-dim ensional QED w ith N avors of four-com ponent D irac ferm ions (hereafter called QED 3). Such a m odel of QED 3 is chirally sym $m$ etric in the absence of a bare ferm ion $m$ ass term, $m_{0}$, in shanp contrast to the $(2+1)$-dim ensional gauge theory $w$ ith
 four-dim ensional case [5] $[$, the chiral sym $m$ etry of Q ED 3 m ay be broken spontaneously due to the dynam icalgeneration of a ferm ion $m$ ass. H ow ever the pattem ofdynam icalsym $m$ etry breaking is show $n$ to be qualitatively di erent from the four-dim ensional countenparts.

R ecently, Q ED 3 has found a vast region of application in condensed $m$ atter physics as an e ective theory in the long wavelength (or low-energy) lim it of a m ore realistic m icroscopic model $\left[\eta_{i}^{i}\left\{\frac{1}{-1}\right]\right.$. Especially, since the discovery of high $-T_{c}$ superconductivity and fractional quantum Halle ect, a peculiarity of three-dim ensional gauge theory, i.e., the existence of a Chem $\{$ Sim ons (CS) term $[-\underset{-1}{-1}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{2} \quad \mathrm{~A} @ A \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been a basic ingredient in these applications. The CS term gives the gauge eld a $m$ ass w ithout destroying the gauge invariance. And, even if there is no bare CS term in the original Lagrangian, a CS term can be generated by radiative corrections in three dim ensions. In the presence of a CS term, parity is broken explicitly, but the Lagrangian still keeps the chiral sym $m$ etry when $m_{0}=0$. The parity violation is a very im portant factor for the anyonic $m$ odel to be a candidate theory of high $-T_{c}$ superconductivity [ivi, A eld-theoretic realization of such an anyonic model consists of ferm ions interacting $w$ ith an abelian statistical gauge eld whose dynam ics is govemed by a CS term. In contrast to the chiral sym $m$ etry, it is known that the dynam icalbreakdow $n$ of parity does not occur in


In this paper we pay attention to the breaking of chiral sym $m$ etry in the presence of a
 space, the $S D$ equation for the fill ferm ion propagator $S(p)$ is w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}(p)=p \quad m_{0} \quad e^{z} \frac{d^{3} q}{(2)^{3}} \quad S(q) \quad(q ; p) D \quad(k) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should be solved self-consistently and sim ultaneously w ith other SD equations for the fullphoton propagator D (k) and the full vertex function ( $q ; p$ ). In order to solve these sets of SD equations actually, how ever, we adopt som e kind of approxim ations as exem pli ed below.

There are several quantities we m ust specify to w rite down the closed SD equation for the ferm ion propagator $S(p)$, nam ely, the fiull photon propagator $D(k)$ and the vertex function (p;q), and we also have to choose a suitable gauge. In general, both the photon propagator and the vertex satisfy their own SD equation, and both depend on the gaugexing param eter $a$, if the covariant gauge- xing Lagrangian $\frac{1}{2 a}(@ A)^{2}$ is taken. There are also additional constraints on these n-point functions, com ing from relations such as the W ard\{Takahashi (W T) identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q}) \quad(\mathrm{p} ; q)=\mathrm{S}^{1}(\mathrm{p}) \quad S^{1}(q): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow one of the problem sin Q ED 3 is to nd a consistent truncation schem e for the SD equation: as a consequence oftheW T identity the wave-fiunction renorm alization should be equal to the vertex renom alization, which puts a constraint on the bare vertex approxim ation. By using

$$
S(p)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
p A(p) & B(p) \tag{4}
\end{array}\right]^{1} ;
$$

the $S D$ equation for $S(p)$ is decom posed into two integral equations for $A(p)$, the wavefunction renorm alization, and B(p). A though w ith a bare vertex it is not possible to satisfy the W T identity exactly, we should $m$ ake sure to satisfy the constraint A (p)' 1 when using the bare vertex approxim ation.

First of all, we restrict our attention to QED w thout CS term. In the quenched case, in which the vacuum polarization to the photon propagator is com pletely neglected, the simplest treatm ent is to choose the Landau gauge $a=0$ and to take the bare vertex
(p;q) . This quenched approxim ation is equivalent to taking the $N$ ! 0 lim it in the $S D$ equation for the ferm ion propagator, since this lim it elm inates the radiative correction to the photon propagator com ing from the intemal ferm ion loops (w ith N species). $T$ hen the above $S D$ equation leads to no wave-fiunction renorm alization: A (p) 1, irrespective of $B(p)$, see e.g. $1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. In this case, it has been shown [1] $\overline{1}]$ that quenched QED 3 resides in only one phase where chiral sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken, in agreem ent w th the $M$ onte C arlo sim ulation of non-com pact lattice Q ED 3 [120]

In the quenched case, the bare vertex approxim ation is justi ed in the Landau gauge $\mathrm{a}=0$, because this choioe is approxim ately consistent w ith the W T identity and satis es the requirem ent that the wave-function renom alization and the vertex renorm alization are equal. In gauges other than the Landau gauge however, we can not take the bare vertex, since A (p) deviates from one. In order to study the SD equation in a general gauge, we m ust m odify the vertex in such a way that the result is gauge-oovariant, i.e., independent of the gauge- xing param eter $a$. This problem persists in the three-dim ensional case $\left[\underline{2} 11_{1}^{1}, \overline{2} 2 \overline{2}\right]$ as well as in four dim ensions $\underline{\underline{2}} \mathbf{3}\{\{12 \overline{1} 5]$. Such a m odi ed vertex has to satisfy the W T identity exactly.

N ow we can raise the question whether the chiral sym $m$ etry restores at a certain nonzero value of $N$. In the presence of the one-loop vacuum polarization $(\mathbb{N} \in 0)$ the sim ple procedure $m$ entioned above can not be applied, since there is no sim ple gauge choice a such that A (p) 1. Nevertheless, under the bare vertex approxim ation in the Landau gauge, A ppelquist, $N$ ash and $W$ ijew ardhana ( $A N W$ ) " num ber of avors $N_{c}$, above which the chiral sym $m$ etry restores. B ased on a leading-order $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion $[\overline{-} \overline{-1}]\left(e^{2}=8=\mathrm{N}\right.$ with being kept $\left.x e d\right)$ for the vertex and the photon propagator in the $S D$ equation, they gave the critical value $N_{c}=32={ }^{2}=3 \cdot 2$. Furtherm ore, N ash ${ }_{20} \mathbf{2} \overline{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ claim ed that the leading-order gauge-invariant critical num ber of avors is given by $N_{C}=128=3^{2}$ and that, when $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ corrections are included, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ coincides approxim ately w ith this result.

H ow ever, such a sim ple treatm ent of the vertex function and the wave-function renor$m$ alization function $A$ was criticised by Pennington and $W$ ebb [2] and Atkinson, Johnson


W T identity, and the coupled equations for A (p) and B (p) are solved together, the nite critical num ber of avors does not exist. T his im plies that the chiral sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken in QED 3 for all values of N , i.e., $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=1$. On the other hand, the M onte C arlo sim ulation ${ }_{1}^{I_{1}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad 3: 50: 5$, also ${ }_{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}{[31]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. H ow ever, it is num erically very di cult to con m the exponential decrease of the dynam icalm ass for increasing $N$ found in $[2 \overline{1} 1,10 \overline{0}]$.
$T$ he origin of this controversy stem sfrom the fact that in the unquenched case A (p) 1 can not be deduced as a sim ple consequence of the SD equation by choosing the Landau gauge, in sharp contrast w ith the quenched case. $N$ akatan $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\overline{3}} \\ \bar{Z}\end{array}\right]$ hasproposed to use a nonlocal and $m$ om entum -dependent gauge function, instead of the usual gauge- xing term, in order to keep A (p) 1 and thus to overcom e the inconsistency one has using the bare vertex approxim ation in the Landau gauge. A ctually the nonlocalgauge found in [ exactly the sam e role as the Landau gauge in the quenched case, in the sense that A (p) 1 follow s by choosing the appropriate nonlocal gauge in the bare vertex approxim ation and that the nonlocal gauge $a(k)$ as a function of the photon $m$ om entum $k$ reduces to the Landau gauge in the quenched lim it N! 0. Taking into account only the leading and next-to-leading order tem s in the infrared region, which is the essential region in QED 3 [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=128=3^{2}=4: 3 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sam e as the leading-order result obtained by $N$ akatani, and coincides w th the result obtained by N ash in a di erent way derived in [ī̄].

In the present paper the existence of a nite critical number of avors is con m ed by solving num erically the nonlinear SD equation, using a bare vertex and the nonlocal gauge as derived in [1] $[\overline{1}]$ in order to keep $A(p)$ 1, without further approxim ation. T he parityconserving and chiral-sym $m$ etry-breaking ferm ion $m$ ass is dynam ically generated in QED 3 and there occurs a chiral-sym $m$ etry-restoring phase transition at $N_{c}=128=\left(3^{2}\right)$. The order of the chiral phase transition is in nite in the sense that the dynam ical ferm ion m ass $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and the chiral order param eter $h \quad i$ exhibit an essential singularity at the critical point $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}}{2} \quad \underline{m}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{3=2} \quad \exp ^{4} \quad \frac{3}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}}{ }^{2} \quad 5: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of CS term, as already reported in [in $]$, the chiral phase transition tums into a rst-order transition, in sharp contrast to the $=0$ case. This result is obtained by both a num erical study of the full SD equation and an analytical study of approxim ated equations using the sam e scheme as in the absence of CS term. W e have also determ ined the critical line $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}($ ) for this transition in the $\mathbb{N} ; ~)$ plane, the two-dim ensional

[^0]phase diagram．In this paper we give the detailed exposition of this result $\dagger 1 \overline{1} 1 \mathrm{i}$ based on the fram ew ork of tī $\overline{1} \overline{1}]$ ．The rst－order transition im plies that the chiral order param eter as well as the dynam ical ferm ion $m$ ass show a discontinuous change at the critical point on the whole critical line extending from $(\mathbb{N} ;)=\left(\mathbb{N}_{c} ; 0\right)$ in the phase diagram $(\mathbb{N} ;)$ ．M oreover， the critical num ber of avors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{)}$ decreases as the CS coe cient increases．
$T$ his paper is organized as follow S ．In section＇İ⿱丷三一 we give a description of QED 3 w ith a CS term．We start from the form ulation of QED 3 using four－com ponent ferm ions in the reducible representation of the C li ord algebra for the m atrices in（2＋1）－dim ensions．We de ne the chiral and the parity transform ations for this theory，and our decom position of the ferm ion propagator into scalar functions．We also discuss the structure of the gauge boson propagator w the nonlocal gauge and give an explicit expression for the vacuum polarization in the leading order of $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion．A fter these prelim inaries，we rst dis－ cuss QED w ithout the CS term and subsequently study the e ect of the explicit CS term． In section SD equation is solved both analytically and num erically．Next，in section＂INV，we study the e ect of the explicit C S term by solving the nonlinear SD equation num erically．In section $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$＇ detailed com parison of num erical and analytical result is given and the num erical results in section＂IVVI，are con $m$ ed by the analytical treatm ent．In order to study the stability of the chiral－sym $m$ etry－breaking solution，we evaluate the e ective potential of C omw all\｛ Jadkin \｛
 A ppendix A，we give integration form ulae which are necessary to perform the angular inte－ gration to obtain the integration kemel in the $S D$ equation．In A ppendix B，we supplem ent details on the calculation of the ultraviolet boundary condition．In A ppendix C，it is shown that at the stationary point the e ective potential obtained in section Nivactually gives the $S D$ equation for the ferm ion $m$ ass function in the nonlocal gauge．

## II．QED 3 W ITH CHERN $\{\mathrm{S}$ IM ONSTERM

In Euclidean space the Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\left(i Q+e+m_{e}+m_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4} F^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} i \quad A @ A+L_{\text {gauge } x i n g}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e use four－com ponent spinors for the ferm ions，and accordingly a four－dim ensional repre－ sentation for the $m$ atrioes of the $C$ li ord algebra $f$ ；$g=2$ ：

$$
\begin{array}{llcllclllcll} 
& i^{3} & 0 & & i^{1} & 0 & & i^{2} & 0  \tag{8}\\
0 & 0 & i^{3} & ; & 1 & 0 & i^{1} & ; & 2 & 0 & i^{2} & ;
\end{array}
$$

with ${ }_{a}(a=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ being the Paulim atrioes；furtherm ore we have the $m$ atrix ，de ned by

$$
=\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 &  \tag{9}\\
0 & 1
\end{array}:
$$

W ith such a representation we can de ne chirality just as in four－dim ensionalQ ED ，but now there are two independent chiral transform ations possible，which are de ned by the 44 m atrices ${ }_{3}$ and ${ }_{5}$ ：

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll} 
& 0 & 1  \tag{10}\\
3 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \quad ; \quad 5 \quad 0 \quad 123=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}:
$$

$W$ ithout an explicit $m$ ass $m e$ for the ferm ions, the Lagrangian is chirally sym $m$ etric, but the $m$ ass term $m_{e}$ breaks chiral sym metry. $N$ ote that the other $m$ ass term, $m_{0}$, is chirally invariant.

In this representation, the parity transform ation is de ned by $\left(x_{0} ; x_{1} ; x_{2}\right)$ !
 term $\mathrm{m}_{\circ}$ is odd under a parity transform ation. A lso the CS term is odd under this parity transform ation, so in the presence of a (bare) CS tem parity is alw ays broken, even ifm $o=0$. The other term $s$ in the Lagrangian, including the chiral-sym $m$ etry-breaking $m$ ass term, are invariant under a parity transform ation.

## A. The Ferm ion $P$ ropagator

T he inverse fill ferm ion propagator can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{1}(p)=A_{e}(p) \varnothing+A_{\circ}(p) \quad \varnothing \quad B_{e}(p) \quad B_{0}(p): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions A (p) and B (p) are scalar functions of the absolute values of the $m$ om enta, and their bare values are $A_{e}=1, A_{0}=0, B_{e}=m e$, and $B_{0}=m_{o}$. In order to study the ferm ion propagator nonperturbatively it is useful to de ne the $m$ atrix (pro jection operator)

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows us to rew rite the fullpropagator as

$$
\begin{align*}
S(p) & =S_{+}(p)++S(p) \\
& =\frac{A_{+}(p) p+B_{+}(p)}{A_{+}^{2}(p) p^{2}+B_{+}^{2}(p)}+\frac{A(p) p+B(p)}{A^{2}(p) p^{2}+B^{2}(p)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{e} \quad A_{0} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B_{e} \quad B_{0}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we study the dynam icalbreaking of chiral sym $m$ etry, using the $S D$ equation for the ferm ion propagator. Perturbatively this $w$ ill not happen, but just as in pure QED (w thout CS term ), chiral sym m etry can be broken dynam ically due to nonperturbative e ects, starting w ith a chirally sym $m$ etric Lagrangian. So in the rem ainder we have put both explicit $m$ asses $m_{e}$ and $m_{\circ}$ equal to zero ${\underset{1}{1}}_{z_{1}}^{z_{1}}$ and study the behavior ofB nonperturbatively.

[^1]$N$ ote that in term $s$ of $B_{+}$and $B$, chiral symmetry $m$ eans $B_{+}(p)=B(p)$, which gives $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{p})=0$.

The order param eter connected w ith the chiral phase transition is the chiral condensate. In the presence of a CS term there are two types of condensates: a parity even condensate $h$ i and a parity odd condensate $h \quad$ i. U sing the decom position of the propagator in term s of , we can w rite these condensates as

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
h & i=h & i_{+}+h & i \\
h & i=h & i_{+} & h  \tag{17}\\
i
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{1}{2}^{z}{ }_{0}^{1} d k \frac{k^{2} B(k)}{A^{2}(k) k^{2}+B^{2}(k)} \text { : } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generalSD equation is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { B } \quad\left(p^{2}\right)=e^{2} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
S & (k) & (p ; k) D & (p) & k) & ] ;
\end{array}\right.  \tag{19}\\
& \text { A } \quad\left(p^{2}\right)=1+\frac{e^{2} p^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{~S} & (\mathrm{k}) & (\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k}) D & (\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{k})
\end{array}\right]: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

In QED 3, the usual truncation scheme for the ferm ion SD equation is based on the $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion [2] $N$ lim it in such a way that $e^{2} \# 0$ and the product $N e^{2}$ rem ains xed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{2}=8=\mathrm{N} \text {; } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th xed. In this approxim ation schem e, the full vertex is replaced by the bare vertex, because that is the leading-order contribution in $1=\mathrm{N}$. In order to be consistent w ith the $\mathrm{W} T$ identity, or at least w ith the requirem ent that the vertex renom alization and the ferm ion w ave-fiunction renom alization are equal, we use a suitable nonlocal gauge function.

## B. The G auge Boson Propagator

W e use a Lagrangian with a so called nonlocal gauge- xing term for the gauge eld, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(A ; f(x \quad y))=\frac{1}{4} F^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} i \quad A @ A+\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{3} y f(x \quad y) @ A \quad(x) @ A \quad(y) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has certain advantages above the norm algauge- xing term : it allow sfor a $m$ om entum dependent gauge param eter in the gauge boson propagator.

It is easy to show that this Lagrangian leads to the follow ing inverse bare photon propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{0}{ }^{1}(q)=q^{2}\left(\quad \frac{q q}{q^{2}}\right)+\quad q+a^{1}(q) q q ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned the $m$ om entum -dependent gauge param eter in the follow ing way

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{1}(q)=d^{z} x f(x) e^{\text {iqx }}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if we can sim plify the actual calculations by choosing a speci c mom entum -dependent gauge a (q), we can justify this afterw ards by specifying the gauge- xing term as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2}^{z} d^{3} y f(x \quad y) @ A \quad(x) @ A \quad(y) ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{z}{(2)^{3} q} a^{1}(q) e^{i q x}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conventional (local) gauge can be recovered by the choioe $f(x)=(x)=a$, as can easily be seen from the above form ulae.

W e can show that identities relating the di erent $G$ reen's fiunctions, like the $\mathrm{W} T$ identity, hold w th this nonlocal gauge in exactly the sam e way as with a constant gauge param eter. For the (filll) photon propagator this im plies in $m$ om entum space

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2} q D \quad(q)=a(q) q: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that also with this nonlocal gauge the gauge-dependent part of the photon propagator is not a ected by the interactions, and that the longitudinal part of the vacuum polarization is zero. T herefore we can write the full photon propagator as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \quad(q)=D^{T}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(\quad \frac{q q}{q^{2}}\right)+D^{0}\left(q^{2}\right) \quad \frac{q}{\dot{q} j}+a(q) \frac{q q}{q^{4}} ; \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\quad{\frac{q ~ q}{q^{2}}}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q})+\frac{q}{\dot{q} \dot{j}}^{\circ}(\mathrm{q}): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $1=\mathrm{N}$ expansion the one-loop vacuum polarization has to be taken into account, because this vacuum polarization is of order one: there are $N$ ferm ion loops contributing to the vacuum polarization and each loop is of the order $e^{2} \quad 1=\mathrm{N}$. Starting $w$ ith $m$ assless ferm ions, e.g. both the parity odd and parity even $m$ ass equal to zero, there is no parity odd part of the vacuum polarization. The transverse part of the vacuum polarization is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { т }(q)=\quad \dot{\mu} \dot{q} j: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore the inclusion of this vacuum polarization leads to the follow ing gauge boson propagator

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{T}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{q^{2}+\dot{q} \dot{q}}{q^{2}\left(\left(q^{q} j+\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)} ;  \tag{33}\\
& D^{\circ}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{\dot{q j}}{q^{2}\left(\left(\text { quj }^{2}+\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)}: \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is the photon propagator we will use in this paper, with a suitable choice for a (q) in order to keep the wave-function renorm alization equal to one.
III. DYNAM ICALSYMMETRY BREAK ING IN PUREQED

W ithout the CS term in the Lagrangian, we have no explicit parity breaking term S , and the full ferm ion propagator $w$ ill also be parity even: there $w$ ill be no spontaneous breaking
 equations for $A(p)$ and $B$ ( $p$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(p^{2}\right)=1+{\frac{8}{N p^{2}}}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{A(k)}{k^{2} A^{2}(k)+B^{2}(k)} \\
& D^{T}(q) \quad \frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} \frac{2(p r q)(k}{q^{2}}+\frac{q(q) p}{q^{2}}{ }^{k} ;  \tag{35}\\
& B\left(p^{2}\right)=\frac{8}{N}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{B(k)}{k^{2} A^{2}(k)+B^{2}(k)} 2 D^{T}(q)+\frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} ; \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $q=k \quad p$.
The condition that the wave-fiunction renorm alization is equal to one leads to [ī̄]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(q)=2 q^{2} D^{T}(q)+\frac{4}{\dot{q} \dot{j}} \quad 2 \quad \frac{4^{2}}{q^{2}} \ln +\frac{\dot{\mu} \dot{j}}{!}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $S D$ equation for the ferm ions thus reduces to only one nonlinear integral equation for the dynam icalm ass function, which we callm (p). A fter the angular integration, whidh can be done analytically (see appendix A), the radial integration kemelbecom es

$$
\begin{align*}
K(p ; k)= & \frac{2}{\max (k ; p) k^{2} \operatorname{pj} j}+\frac{1}{k p} \ln \frac{+j k+p j}{+k j p j} \\
& \frac{2}{k p(k \quad p)^{2}} \ln 1+\frac{\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{pj}}{}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{kp(k+p)}^{2} \ln 1+\frac{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{pj}}{}} ; \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

and the equation for the $m$ ass function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)={\frac{4}{{ }^{2} N}}_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k \frac{k^{2} m(k)}{k^{2}+m^{2}(k)} K(p ; k): \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his nonlinear integralequation can be solved num erically without further approxim ations, or it can be solved approxim ately by using a series expansion for the logarithm s . T his last $m$ ethod $m$ akes it possible to convert the integral equation into a second-order di erential equation, and to study dynam ical chiral-sym $m$ etry breaking analytically.

In order to solve the equation analytically we $m$ ake the replacem ent $k^{2}+m^{2}(k)$ ! $k^{2}+m^{2}(0)$, which is a good approxim ation both for sm allm om enta (where $m$ ( $k$ ) is alm ost constant), and for relatively largem om enta (w here both $m(k)^{2}$ and $m(0)^{2}$ are negligiblew r.t. $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ ). O ne can also show that this replacem ent is in agreem ent $w$ ith bifurcation analysis, see
 denom inator com pletely.

A nother approxim ation, which is com $m$ only $m$ ade $[\underline{2}]$, uses the fact that the integral is heavily dam ped for $m$ om enta larger than the $m$ ass scale. All the essential physics com es from the infrared part of the nonlinear interal equation. Therefore one uses a series expansion for the logarithm $s$ in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \bar{B}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ for $m$ om enta $p ; k$, and introduce a cuto at $\mathrm{k}=. \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, we are interested in the behavior of the m ass function form om enta $\mathrm{p}>$ as well, especially w hen we include the C S term. T herefore we w ill adopt a slightly di erent approxim ation, whidh takes into account the ultraviolet tail of the integral as well.

For this punpose we expand the integration kemel $K(p ; k)$ in powers of $m$ in $(p ; k)=m$ ax $(p ; k)$. To leading order this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p ; k)=2 \frac{\max (p ; k)^{2}+2^{2}+\max (p ; k)}{\max (p ; k)^{3}(+\max (p ; k))} \quad \frac{4^{2}}{\max (p ; k)^{4}} \ln 1+\max (p ; k)=\quad: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiating Eq. (스은) w ith this kemel leads to a second-order di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p) m^{\infty}(p)+g(p) m^{0}(p)=\frac{4}{{ }^{2} N} \frac{p^{2} m(p)}{p^{2}+m^{2}(0)} ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(p)=\frac{1}{K^{0}(p ; 0)} ;  \tag{42}\\
& g(p)=\frac{K^{\infty}(p ; 0)}{\left(K^{0}(p ; 0)\right)^{2}} ; \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

and two boundary conditions, infrared and ultraviolet ones, just as in the usual approxim ation schem $e$. It is easy to show that the behavior of this di erential equation in the infrared region is exactly the sam e as one would obtain by expanding $f$ and $g$ for sm all mom enta directly.

Form om enta p we expand the functions f $(p)$ and $g(p)$, which gives to leading order in p

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{3} m^{\infty}(p)+3 p^{2} m^{0}(p)+\frac{16}{{ }^{2} N} m(p)=0: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To solve this we substitute a solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)=p^{x^{1}} C_{i} p^{i} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and again taking into account leading order only we nd $a=0$ or $a=2$. It is easy to see that $a=0$ is the solution corresponding to explicit chiral-symmetry breaking, since
this leads to $m(p)!m_{0} 0$ in the ultraviolet region. W thout a bare $m$ ass, the correct solution is $a=2$, which show sthat the dynam icalm ass function falls o very rapidly in the ultraviolet region. This is also consistent w ith the ultraviolet boundary condition, but it does not provide a norm alization condition.

W ith this know ledge we now consider integral equation in the infrared region, without neglecting the ultraviolet tail of the integral: we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)=\frac{2 m()}{p^{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>$, based on the ultraviolet behavior we have just found, and norm alized in such a $w$ ay that the solution is continuous at $p=$ (we do not require the continuity of derivative, $\mathrm{m}^{0}(\mathrm{p})$, at $\left.\mathrm{p}=\right)$. U sing the usual approxim ation equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)={\frac{32}{3{ }^{2} N}}_{0}^{Z} d k{\frac{k^{2} m(k)}{k^{2}+m^{2}(0)} \frac{1}{m a x(k ; p)}+{\frac{8}{{ }^{2} N}}^{{ }^{2}}{ }^{1} d k \frac{m(k)}{k^{2}} ;, ~ ; ~}_{\text {m }} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

which nally reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)={\frac{32}{3^{2} N}}_{0}^{z} d k \frac{k^{2} m(k)}{k^{2}+m^{2}(0)} \frac{1}{\max (k ; p)}+\frac{8 m()}{3^{2} N}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his leads to exactly the sam e second-order di erential equation in the infrared region

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} m^{\infty}(p)+2 p m{ }^{0}(p)+\frac{32}{3^{2} N} \frac{p^{2} m(p)}{p^{2}+m^{2}(0)}=0 ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

as that obtained by neglecting the ultraviolet tail com pletely, w ith also the sam e infrared boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{0}(0)=0: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of Eq. ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{9})$, satisfying the infrared boundary condition, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)=m(0)_{2} F_{1}\left(a_{+} ; a ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad p^{2}=m(0)^{2}\right) ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a=\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} i^{q} \overline{N_{c}=N \quad 1}$ and a critical num ber of ferm ion avors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{128}{3^{2}} \text {; } \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

above which there is no chiralsym m etry breaking. The only di erence is the \ultraviolet" boundary condition at $p=$. Thisboundary condition now becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
m()+m^{0}()=\frac{8 m()}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}} ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

due to the inclusion of the ultraviolet tail of the integral. This boundary condition leads to a slightly di erent norm alization of the infrared $m$ ass $m$ ( 0 ) (see appendix B form ore details)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\mathrm{m}(0)}=\exp \frac{2+2}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}} 1 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\arg \left(1+\frac{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{q}}}{2} \overline{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}} \quad \text { 1) }(\mathrm{a})^{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{a} \quad:\right. \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

C lose to the critical num ber of avors this can be expanded to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}(0)=\exp ^{4} \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}} 1 \mathrm{l}=3 \ln 2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2^{3}}{7} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is alm ost the sam e as if one neglects the ultraviolet tail, in which case the term $\frac{2}{7}$ in the exponent is absent.

H ow ever, this is not the only solution for the boundary condition; it is known that there are in nitely m any solutions if $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ which behave in general as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}(0)=\exp ^{4} 9 \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1}+3 \ln 2+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2^{3}}{7} ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

close to the criticalnum ber of ferm ion avors. The solution $w$ th the largest value ofm $=<1$ corresponds to the ground state, since this has the low est energy. That m eans $n=1$ in the above equation, and one can also show that the other solutions have an oscillating behavior at large $m$ om enta; only the solution w ith $\mathrm{n}=1$ is a nodeless solution.

## B. Integral Equation

A ltematively, we can solve the nonlinear integralequation for them ass function, Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{9} \overline{9})$, num erically, w thout further approxim ations. The integral can be calculated num erically w ithout any cuto, since the integrand falls o rapidly for large $m$ om enta and the integral is nite. Solving the integral equation iteratively leads to qualitatively the sam e result as the previous analysis.

There is a critical num ber of ferm ion avors below which there is a chiral-sym metrybreaking solution. T he critical num ber is the sam e as we have just found analytically

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{128}{3^{2}}=4: 32 \text {; } \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also the behavior of the infrared $\mathrm{m} \frac{\text { ass } \mathrm{m}(0)}{}$ is sim ilar as before. ${ }_{\mathrm{q}} \frac{\mathrm{In} \mathrm{F} \text { ig. }{ }_{1} \text { il we have }}{}$ plotted the infrared $m$ ass, or actually $N_{c}=N \quad 1 \ln (m(0)=)$, versus $N_{c}=N \quad 1$ for the full integral equation together w ith the analytical result. $W$ e can see that in both cases the m ass behaves like

$$
\mathrm{p}^{4} \neq \frac{21}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{I}^{2}+\begin{gather*}
3  \tag{59}\\
2^{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

the only di erence is the value of the constants ${ }_{i}$. The analytical result is ${ }_{1}=$ and $2=3: 94$, whereas the fiull nonlinear equation gives $1=3: 1$ and $2=1: 8$. So the $m$ ain di erence betw een the analytical solution of the approxim ated equation and the num erical solution of the fullequation is an overall nom alization factor. This di erence is due to the fact that in the rst case we have $m$ ade several approxim ations, but these approxim ations tum out not to be essential for the behavior of the infrared $m$ ass near the critical point. B oth in the infrared and in the ultraviolet region the num erical and analytical solutions have the sam e behavior, $F$ ig. ${ }_{2}$. O f course, these approxim ations do $m$ ake a di erence in the region where $p$ is of order, but this is to be expected since we expand to leading order only in $p=$ and $=p$ respectively.

## C.Chiral C ondensate

The order param eter of the chiral phase transition is the chiral condensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{2^{2}}{2}{ }_{0}^{1} d k \frac{k^{2} m(k)}{k^{2}+m^{2}(k)}: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to get an explicit analytical form ula for the condensate, we can use Eq. (4̨̄ $\bar{q})$, which allow $s$ us to write the condensate in term $s$ of the $m$ ass function at $p=$. U sing the sam $e$ linearization as before we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{2}{2} \frac{3}{4}+{\frac{3{ }^{2} N}{32}}^{!} m(): \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this equation we can calculate how the chiral condensate behaves close to the critical number of ferm ion avors, see appendix B,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}}{2}=\frac{608}{7^{2}} \frac{(1=2)}{(1=4)^{2}} \exp ^{4} \frac{3}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1}+\frac{9}{2} \ln 2+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{3}{7}^{5}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ltematively, we can calculate the condensate num erically, using the num erical solution of the full nonlinear integralequation, whidh show s a sim ilar behavior, see Fig.'

## IV.EXPLICIT CHERN\{SIMONSTERM

In this section we add a CS term for the gauge eld to the Lagrangian. This breaks the parity explicitly, and gives rise to a parity odd $m$ ass term for the ferm ions, as well as a parity odd part of the gauge boson propagator. We use the gauge boson propagator as discussed in section $i \overline{L I} \bar{B}$, w w the the incion of the leading-order vacuum polarization.

A gain we will use a nonlocal gauge- xing term. For the $m$ om entum-dependent gauge function we use [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
a(q)= & 2 q^{2} D^{T}(q)+2 \frac{2}{\dot{\mathcal{q}} \dot{j}} \quad 1+\frac{4}{q^{2}} \arctan \frac{\dot{\operatorname{q} j}}{{ }^{2}+\frac{\dot{q} \dot{q} j}{}+{ }^{2}} \\
& +\frac{{ }^{2} \quad{ }^{2}}{q^{2}} \ln \frac{{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}}{\left(+\dot{\mathcal{q}} \bar{\eta}^{2}+{ }^{2}\right.} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to a wave-function renorm alization alm ost equal to one, the deviation of one is proportional to B , which can be kept very sm all.
A. Schw inger\{D yson Equation
$W$ ith the above gauge boson propagator and gauge function, the $S D$ equation can be rew ritten into two decoupled sets of two coupled integral equations for $A_{+}$and $B_{+}$, respectively for A and B. This leads to the follow ing set of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { B } \quad(\mathrm{p})=\frac{8}{N}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{k}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathrm{k})}  \tag{64}\\
& \text { B } \\
& \text { (k) } 2 D^{T}(q)+\frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} \quad 2 A \quad(k) D^{\circ}(q) \frac{k}{j \dot{q j}}{ }^{q}: \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

The even and odd parts of the scalar function $B$ (p) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{e}(p)=(B+(p)+B \quad(p))=2 ; \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \circ(p)=(B+(p) \quad B \quad(p))=2 ; \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof $B$ ( p ), and sim ilar for $A(p)$. In analyzing these equations it is im portant to observe that once we have found a solution for $A_{+}(p)$ and $B_{+}(p)$, we autom atically have also a solution for $A(p)$ and $B \quad(p)$ : nam ely the set $A \quad(p)=A_{+}(p)$ and $B \quad(p)=B_{+}(p)$. That $m$ eans that we can alw ays construct a chirally sym $m$ etric (but parity odd) solution of the $S D$ equation, $w$ ith $B_{e}(p)=0$. The question of dynam ical chiral-sym $m$ etry breaking tums into the question whether or not there exist two (or m ore) solutions of the set of integral equations.

W thout the CS term there is dynam ical chiralsym metry breaking only for $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=$ $128=\left(3^{2}\right)$ as we have just seen. W e therefore expect a sim ilar situation in the presence of the CS term, at least if the param eter is small. That means that for $N>N_{c}$ we have only the chirally sym $m$ etric solution of the above equations, but for $N<N_{c}$ we expect that there are (at least) two solutions for both $B_{+}$and B possible, which can be distinguished by their behavior under the chiral and parity transform ations and by their behavior in the lim it \# 0 .

It is also essential to note that in the presence of an explicit C S term for the gauge eld in the Lagrangian there is no trivial solution $B=0$, as there would be w ithout the explicit CS term. D ue to the explicit breaking of parity, the ferm ions alw ays acquire a parity-odd $m$ ass $B_{o}$, even if the explicit odd $m$ ass $m o$ is zero. At large $m$ om enta the CS term in the SD equation dom inates (at least if there is no explicit $m$ ass term present), which leads to an ultraviolet behavior of the $m$ ass functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{+}(\mathrm{p}) \quad B(\mathrm{p}) \quad \overline{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

at a perturbative level, whereas in the absence of the CS term the $m$ ass function $m$ ( $p$ ) falls - like $1=p^{2}$, as we have seen in the previous section. Wewill discuss this point in $m$ ore detail when we are studying the breakdown of chiral sym $m$ etry analytically.

## B. N um erical R esults

W e have rst solved the set of coupled integral equations Eq. ( $6 \overline{4} \overline{4})$ and ( $\left.\overline{6} 5{ }_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ num erically w ithout further approxim ations. Depending on the values of the num ber of ferm ion avors $N$ and the CS coe cient, there is only one (chirally sym $m$ etric) solution in $m$ ost param eter space, but we found two solutions, allow ing for a chiral-sym m etry-breaking solution, for sm all and $s m$ all $N$, in agreem ent w ith the above expectations. U sing the notation $B$ ( $p$ ) and $B^{\leftrightarrows}$ (p) for the tw o di erent solutions of the above equations, we have found the follow ing solutions:

1. $B_{+}(0)=B(0)=O(m(0))>0$, with $B_{+}(p)=B(p)>m(p)$, $B_{e}(p) \quad 0$ and $B_{0}(0)=0(m(0))$
for $N<N_{C}(=0)$ we nd that $B(p)$ and thus $B_{\circ}(p)$ go towards the nontrivial solution $m$ (p) in the lim it \# 0;
for $N>N_{C}(=0)$ we nd that $B_{+}(p)=B_{0}(p)=O()$, which vanishes in the lim it \# 0 .
2. $\mathrm{B}_{+}(0) \quad \mathrm{Br}(0)=O(m(0)), w$ th $B_{+}(\mathrm{p})>m(\mathrm{p})>\mathrm{B}^{n}(\mathrm{p})$
$B_{e}(p)=O(m(p))$ and $B_{\circ}(p)=O()$
This solution exists for values of $N<N_{C}(=0)$ and sm all (com pared to ) values of only. There is a critical num ber $N_{C}()<N_{C}(0)$ for given , or critical $c(\mathbb{N})$ for given $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}(0)$. In the lim it \# 0 both B and thus $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}$ go towards the nontrivial solution $m(p)$ : $B_{+}(p) \# m(p)$ and $B(p) " m(p)$ in this lim it. The parity breaking solution $\mathrm{B}_{\circ}(\mathrm{p})$ vanishes in this lim it.
$3 . B_{+}(0)=B^{n}(0)=O(m(0))<0$
The chirally sym $m$ etric combination, which exists for $s m$ all values of $N$ and $s m$ all values of only.
3. $\mathrm{B}_{+}(0) \quad \mathrm{B}(0)=O(m(0))$

The fourth possible combination ofB + and $B$, also existing forsm allvalues of $N$ and sm all values of only.

The typical behavior of the num erical solutions for $A$ and $B$ is shown in $F$ ig. . expected, A is indeed very close to one, due to our choice of the gauge function. The solution B (p) exists for all values of both $N$ and , allow ing only a chirally sym metric solution. The other solution, $\mathrm{B}^{r}$ (p), is the interesting one, leading to dynam ical chiralsym $m$ etry breaking. The iterative process of solying the integral equation num erically does not converge to $a$ (second) stable solution $B^{r}$ for all values of $N$ and : we can nd this solution only for $N$ below som e critical value (depending on ) and below some critical value (depending on $N$ ), thus show ing a chiral phase transition at som ecritical and $N$.

O ur num erical results all indicate strongly that this phase transition is a rst-order phase transition, in contrast to the in nite-order phase transition in pureQED $(=0)$, although it
is num erically very di cult to establish the type of phase transition at the critical values of $N$ and . For xed and sm allN, there exists a second solution, $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{B}}$ (p), as can be seen from $F$ ig. ${ }_{i}^{14}$. The value at the origin $B(0)$ decreases rapidly for increasing $N$ and this solution \disappears" (w ithout B (0) going to zero) at som e critical value $N<N_{C}(=0)$, and also $B_{e}(0)$ does not go to zero at this critical value. C onsidering $B_{e}(0)$ as the order param eter for the chiral phase transition, this corresponds to a rst-order phase transition, in contrast to the in nite-order phase transition at $=0$.

Ifwe look at the behavior ofBr ( 0 ) and $\mathrm{B}_{e}(0)$ at xed $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N}_{C}(=0)$ and increase , we see a sim ilar situation. For (very) sm allvalues of we nd the two sohutions $B$ and $B$ leading to chiral-symmetry breaking. For increasing we nd that $B^{5}(0)$ decreases, and disappears at som e critical value c $(\mathbb{N})$, w ithout going to zero at this critical value, see Fig. ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{F}_{1}$.

## C. C ondensate

A theough both functions B (p) behave like $=\mathrm{p}$ in the ultraviolet region, leading to divergent integrals for the condensates $h i$, the chiral condensate is convergent due to the fact that the leading-order contributions in $B_{+}$(p) and B (p) (or Br (p)) cancel. So the chiral order param eter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d k \frac{k^{2} B_{+}(k)}{A_{+}^{2}(k) k^{2}+B_{+}^{2}(k)}+\frac{k^{2} B^{\sim}(k)}{\mathbb{A}^{2}(k) k^{2}+B^{2}(k)} \quad: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chirally sym m etric com bination, ( $B_{+}(\mathrm{p})$; $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{p})$ ) with $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}=0$, givesh $\mathrm{i}=0$, as would be expected from a chirally sym $m$ etric solution. N ote that the other condensate, $h$ i, is actually logarithm ic divergent because the leading-order contributions add up. O nce we have the num erical solutions, it is straightforw ard to calculate this chiral condensate as well, $\sec \mathrm{F}$ ig.'ī. The behavior of the chiralcondensate also indicates a rst-order phase transition.

H ow ever, as we m entioned before, it is num erically very di cult to establish such a
rst-order phase transition. In order to con $m$ that it is indeed a rst-order transition and to determ ine the critical param eters $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{c}}$, we have to study the phase transition analytically. W e can do this by solving the integralequations analytically, after som e further approxim ations analogously to the approxim ations leading to analytic solution in the pure Q ED case.

## V.ANALYTICALSTUDY

In order to see whether there is indeed a rst-order phase transition, we have analyzed the SD equation analytically, after som em ore approxim ations. B ased on the fact that A (p) 1 exactly if is zero, and very close to one for sm all values of (sm all com pared to ), we replace A (p) by one, so we get the follow ing equation for $B$

$$
\begin{align*}
B \quad(p)= & \frac{8}{N}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+B^{2}(k)} \\
& B \quad \text { (q) } 2 D^{T}(q)+\frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} \tag{70}
\end{align*} \quad 2 D^{\circ}(q) \frac{k}{\dot{q} j}{ }^{(q)}: l
$$

The error we m ake in neglecting the e ects of the wave-function renorm alization (even if we use the nonlocal gauge) is of the order of $B$, which is (close to the critical num ber of ferm ion avors in the absence of the CS term) of the order of ${ }^{2}$, because the parity odd m ass, generated by the CS term, is of order. So for a consistent approxim ation in order to get an analyticalsolution of the equation, it is enough to expand the kemel in and neglect all term s of order ${ }^{2}$ and higher. ${ }_{1}^{131}$ Expanding the nonlocal gauge in gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(q)=\frac{2 q}{+q}+\frac{4}{q} \quad 2 \frac{4^{2}}{q^{2}} \ln \frac{+q}{}+o\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

So to order it is the same as the nonlocal gauge $w$ thout the C $S$ term, see Eq. $\overline{(3 T} \overline{7})$. By inspection of the transverse part $D^{T}\left(q^{2}\right)$ of the photon propagator it is easy to see that up to order this is also exactly the sam e as w thout the C $S$ term. That m eans that the second term on the RHS ofEq. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{T}} \overline{-}_{1}\right)$, proportionalto $\mathrm{D}^{\circ}(\mathrm{q})$, is the only O() contribution in the SD equation for $B$, and that for the other term $s$ we can use the sam e kind of approxim ations as in section iIII on pure QED.

So in order to study the problem ofdynam ical chiral-sym m etry breaking analytically, we replace $k^{2}+B^{2}(p)$ by $k^{2}+M^{2}$, where $M \quad B(0)$, in the denom inator of the integrand. In general we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { B }(p)={\frac{32}{3^{2} N}}_{0}^{Z} d k \frac{k^{2} B \quad(k)}{k^{2}+M^{2}} K(p ; k) \\
& \left.\frac{4}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{dk}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2}}{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dz} \frac{\mathrm{k}}{(\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{p}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{pj}+ & )^{2}
\end{array} ;\right. \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith the kemel given by Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{8} \bar{B}_{1}\right)$, and $z=C O S, w$ th the angle betw een the vectors $p$ and $\mathrm{k}: \mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{pk}$ cos. Form om enta $\mathrm{p}<$ this reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& B \quad(p)={\frac{32}{3^{2} N}}_{0}^{Z} d k \frac{k^{2}}{k^{2}+M^{2}} \frac{B(k)}{\max (p ; k)}+{\frac{8}{{ }^{2} N}}^{Z^{2}} d k \frac{B(k)}{k^{2}} \\
& \frac{4}{{ }^{2} N}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{k^{2} d k}{k^{2}+M^{2}}{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{k}{(k} \quad p^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
k & p j+ & )^{2} & (k
\end{array}\right. \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to get this equation, we have used the sam e approxim ations as in section ilili for the rst term of the integral, which is independent of .

## A. Explicit C hern $\{\mathrm{Sim}$ ons C ontribution

The last term on the RHS in Eq. (7̄3̄), proportional to,

$$
\text { I (p) } \frac{4}{{ }^{2} N}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{k^{2} d k^{k_{1}}}{k^{2}+M^{2}}{ }_{1} d z \frac{k}{(k} \mathrm{p}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
(\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{pj}+ & )^{2} \tag{74}
\end{array}\right. \text {; }
$$

[^2]can be calculated analytically, see appendix A. For the radial integration we expand the integration kemel for $p<q$ and $p>q$ and take into account only the leading-order term $s$ in $m$ in $(p ; q)=m$ ax $(p ; q)$. Furthem ore, we neglect $M$ with respect to , which is justi ed close to the critical num ber of ferm ion avors and for sm all values of. So in the far infrared region the leading contribution com ing from the explicit CS term behaves like
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=\frac{8}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}+O(\mathrm{p}) ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and in the far ultraviolet region the leading-order behavior is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=\frac{32}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \bar{p}+O\left(1=p^{2}\right): \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $m$ eans that in the ultraviolet region the C S term will dom inate, since we know that the $m$ ass function $B(p)$ in the absence of the $C S$ term behaves like $1=p^{2}$ in the far ultraviolet. $H$ igher order contributions in $m$ in ( $p ; q$ ) $=\mathrm{m}$ ax ( $p ; q$ ) willchange this result only quantitatively, but not a ect the general behavior.

Based on these expansions, we use an analytical (continuous) approxim ation for the contribution from the term

$$
I(p)=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{8}{2_{N}} &  \tag{77}\\
\frac{32}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \bar{p} & \text { for } p< \\
4 p^{2} & \text { for } p>
\end{array}
$$

for sm all values of com pared to .

## B. U ltraviolet B ehavior

The next thing we have to calculate before we solve the integralequation in the infrared regoin, is the ultraviolet tail

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{8}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{dk} \frac{\mathrm{~B} \quad(\mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{k}^{2}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

but for this purpose we have to know the ultraviolet behavior of the $m$ ass function. From the integralequation we can derive a second-order di erentialequation form om enta $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)\left(B^{\infty}(p) \quad I^{D}(p)\right)+g(p)\left(B^{0}(p) \quad I^{0}(p)\right)=\frac{4}{{ }^{2} N} B \quad(p) ; \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $f(\mathrm{p})$ and $g(\mathrm{p})$ given by Eq. ( $4 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and ( $\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$ respectively. In the ultraviolet region, these functions behave like

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(p)=\frac{p^{3}}{4} ; & g(p)=\frac{3 p^{2}}{4} ; \\
I^{0}(p)=\frac{32}{3^{2} N p^{2}} ; & I^{\infty}(p)=\frac{64}{3^{2} N p^{3}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The leading ultraviolet behavior of the solution of this equation is either constant (which would correspond to an explicit $m$ ass term in the original integral equation), or

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \quad(p)=I(p)=\frac{32}{3^{2} N} \bar{p} ; \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the correct solution in this case, and also in agreem ent w ith the ultraviolet boundary condition. This is the sam e as the perturbatively dom inant behavior, as could also be seen directly from the originalintegralequation, assum ing that the $m$ ass function falls o rapidly enough in the ultraviolet region. In order to have a continuous solution at $p=$, we use the next-to-leading order term, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \quad(p)=\frac{32}{3^{2} N} \bar{p}+\frac{2}{p^{2}} B \quad() \frac{32}{3^{2} N} ; \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p>$.
C. A nalytical R esults

So we arrive at the integralequation form om enta $\mathrm{p}<$
which we can now solve analytically by converting it to a second-order di erential equation w ith boundary conditions.

The second-order di erentialequation is the sam e as w thout the C S term, Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{9} \overline{1})$, and also the infrared boundary condition is the sam e, the only (but essentiall) di erence is the \ultraviolet" boundary condition at p =

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}(1)+\mathrm{B}^{0}()=\frac{8}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \quad \frac{16}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}}+\mathrm{B}() \quad \frac{8}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}} ; \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should be com pared w ith Eq. ( $\overline{(5 \overline{3})} \overline{1})$. It is also im portant to keep in $m$ ind that the norm alization condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B } \quad(0)=M \quad \text {; } \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the $S D$ equation does determ ine the sign of the $m$ ass function as well. $T$ his is obviously not the case if $=0$.

The general solution of the di erential equation satisfying the infrared boundary condition and the norm alization condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { B } \quad(p)=M \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; a ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad \mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right) ; \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { i } \\ \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N} & 1\end{array}\right)$. The ultraviolet boundary condition leads to the condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{MM}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{8}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{M} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(a_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \\
&=\frac{8}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}} 1+\frac{16}{9^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \quad: \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to determ ine $M$, we can plot the LHS of the above equation divided by $\frac{8}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}} \quad 1+\frac{16}{9^{2} \mathrm{~N}}$ as function of M for a given value of N , whidh gives us autom atically as function of M, see Fig.i'dia. From this gure we can see that there are three solutions possible for B + and B . Two of them correspond to the two solutionswhich we have found num erically, and the third is an oscillating solution which in the lim it of vanishing corresponds to the rst oscillating solution in pure QED, $n=2$ in Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{L}} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) . For extrem ely sm all values ofM and there are m ore oscillating solutions, in the region around the origin, but these are num erically unstable, and correspond to higher excites states, just as in pure QED.
$U$ sing the sam e notation as for the num ericalsolution, we have $B_{+}(0)>0$ and $B_{+}(0)<0$, and for $B$ the opposite signs. The set $\left(B_{+} ; B\right)$ is the chirally sym $m$ etric solution $w$ hich is present for all values of $(\mathbb{N}$; ). The chiral-sym m etry-breaking solution is given by the set $\left(\mathrm{B}+; \mathrm{B}^{\sim}\right)$ (orvige versa, the set $\left(\mathrm{B}_{+} ; \mathrm{B}\right)$ ). In the $\lim$ it ! 0 , this chiral-sym m etry-breaking solution behaves in the follow ing way

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}!\mathrm{m} ;  \tag{87}\\
& \mathrm{B}_{\circ}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{r}: \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

If we increase from zero at xed $N$, then the absolute value of the second solution, $\mathrm{B}^{2}$, decreases (whereas the other solution increases), until at a critical value c this solution coincides w ith a third solution, and disappears, but does not becom e zero at the critical point, see Fig. 'íqa. T his clearly signals a rst-order phase transition, as was also suggested by the num erical results. For com parison, we also showed our num erical data in the sam e gure, where we use a di erent value of for our num erical and analytical calculation, num and an respectively, in order to have equal num erical and analytical values of $m(0)=$ num in the absence of the CS term (note that the axes are $=$ num and $\beta(0)$ num respectively). $T$ his show s clearly that both our num erical and our analytical results are in good agreem ent w ith each other.

W e can also plot $M$ versus $N$ forsome xed values of, see Fig.' íd. From that gure we can see that if we increase $N$ for xed, the chiral-sym m etryłoreaking solutions disappear if $N$ exceeds som e critical value $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$, which decreases rapidly as a function of. This gure show s that the chiral phase transition is rst order in this direction as well: increasing N beyond $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{)} \mathrm{~m}$ akes the second (and third) solution disappear, but at the phase transition neither $B^{\sim}$ nor $B_{e}=\left(B_{+}+B\right)=2$ (which can be regarded as the order param eter of the chiralphase transition) becom es zero. In this gure we can also see that in the lim it ! 0 the critical value $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ goes tow ards $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}(0){ }^{\prime}$ ( $4: 32$.

The critical param eters $N_{c}$ and c can be calculated by di erentiating the ultraviolet boundary condition, Eq. ( $\overline{8} \overline{6} \overline{1})$, w ith respect to $M$. $T$ his leads to an equation for $M$ c as function of $N_{C}$ (rem ember that $M$ does not vanish at $N_{C}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)+
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\frac{8}{3{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) \\
 \tag{89}\\
\frac{16}{3{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)=0 ;
\end{array}
$$

whidh can be used as input for the ultraviolet boundary condition itself in order to calculate c. A though we do not have an explicit form for cas function of $N$, we can calculate it
num erically, and have shown the critical line in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}$. In this gure we also show som e estim ates of the critical param eters based on our num erical calculation. T his show s that the num erical and analytical results are qualitatively in good agreem ent w ith each other, and the only di erence is an overall scale factor (just as in pure QED).

Forsm allvalues ofM ${ }_{c}$ and $N_{c}()$ close to $N_{C}(0)$ ' $4: 32$, we can expand the above equation in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}(0)=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{c}=\exp ^{4} q^{2} \frac{2}{N_{c}(0)=N}+\frac{1}{2}+3 \ln 2 \frac{8}{21}^{3} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see appendix $B$ ), which can be inserted into the series expansion for. To leading order in $N_{c}(0)=N \quad 1$, this gives the follow ing expression for $c$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{\prime} \frac{448 \quad(1=2)}{75 \quad(1=4)^{2}} \exp ^{4} \frac{3}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1}+\frac{3}{4}+\frac{9}{2} \ln 2 \frac{4}{7}_{7}^{5}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

A smentioned before, we can also calculate the chiral condensate which is well-de ned, even w ithout cuto. The chiral condensate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{1}{2}^{2} \int_{1}^{d} d k \frac{k^{2} B_{+}(k)}{A_{+}^{2}(k) k^{2}+B_{+}^{2}(k)}+\frac{k^{2} B^{\Upsilon}(k)}{A^{2}(k) k^{2}+B^{2}(k)} \text { : } \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the approxim ations we are using here, this reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \int_{0}^{d k} \frac{k^{2} B_{+}(k)}{k^{2}+M_{+}^{2}}+{\frac{k^{2} B(k)}{k^{2}+M^{2}}}^{!}+\frac{1}{2}^{Z_{1}} d k\left(B_{+}(k)+B^{\widetilde{ }}(k)\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be calculated analytically, using Eq. ( $8 \overline{2} \overline{2}$ ) at $p=$ and the ultraviolet expansion Eq. $1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1$, for B . This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad i=-B_{+}()+B^{r}() \quad \frac{3^{2} N}{32}+\frac{3^{!}}{4} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

 chiral condensate (together w ith the $\left.B_{e}(0)\right)$ as obtained both num erically and analytically for $=0$ and $=10^{5}$ as a function of N . A gain, they are qualitatively in good agreem ent $w$ ith each other, and show a discontinuity in both the chiral condensate and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}(0)$ at the critical point.

In Fig. ${ }^{1} \overline{9}_{1}$, we show both $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathrm{p})$ and $\mathrm{B} \circ(\mathrm{p})$, as obtained num erically and analytically. The num erical and the analytical solutions have the sam e behavior in both the infrared and the ultraviolet region; around $p=$ there is of course a kink in the analytical solution due to the approxim ations we have $m$ ade. There is a scale di erence between the analytical and the num erical solution for the chiral-sym m etry-breaking solution, just as in pure QED ; in fact, if we com pare this gure w ith $F$ ig. 2 , we can see that the parity-even $m$ ass function is alm ost the sam e as the dynam icalm ass fiunction in pure QED. Furtherm ore, this gure show s very well the di erence in the ultraviolet betw een the even and the odd $m$ ass finction: the odd $m$ ass function behaves like $=p$, whereas the even $m$ ass function $B_{e}(p)=\left(B_{+}(p)+B \quad(p)\right)=2$ behaves like $1=\mathrm{p}^{2}$, due to the cancellation of the term sproportional to $=\mathrm{p}$.

W e consider the e ective action of omw all\{ Jackiw \{Tom boulis [10 by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[S]=0[S]+{ }_{1}[S] ; \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0[\mathrm{~S}] \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left[\mathrm{S}^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right]+\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~S} \quad 1\right] ;  \tag{96}\\
& 1[\mathrm{~S}] \quad \frac{e^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\mathrm{~S} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{~S}] ; \tag{97}
\end{align*}
$$

where D is the full photon propagator, and $S_{0}$ and $S$ are the bare and the full ferm ion propagator respectively. At the stationary point $[S]=S=0$, this e ective action actually gives the $S D$ equation: $S^{1} \quad S_{0}{ }^{1}={ }^{2} \operatorname{Tr}[\quad D \quad S]$, as is shown explicitly in appendix C. N ote that we have chosen the bare vertex to write the e ective action, which can be justi ed by taking the nonlocal gauge. Furtherm ore we rem ark that the e ective action is norm alized so that $0\left[S=S_{0}\right]=0$ : For a review of the e ective potential, see e.g.
$W$ e can rew rite the above expression in term $s$ of the ferm ion propagators $S_{+}$and $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{+}++S \quad: \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the fact that + and areprojection operators and the relation $\operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Ln}=\mathrm{Ln} D$ et, it is not di cult to show that both $0[S]$ and $1[S]$ are decom posed into two parts

$$
0 ; 1[S]=0 ; 1\left[S_{+}\right]+0 ; 1\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{[S] ;} \tag{99}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
0\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{S} \tag{100}
\end{array}\right] \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left[\operatorname{Ln}\left[\mathrm{S}^{1} \mathrm{~S}_{0}\right]+\mathrm{S}_{0}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~S} \quad 1\right] ;
$$

and

$$
{ }_{1}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
S & e^{2}  \tag{101}\\
2 & {\frac{d^{3} p}{}}_{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\begin{array}{llllll} 
& S & (p) & S & (k) & D
\end{array}(k\right.
\end{array} \quad p\right):
$$

$N$ ow we de ne the e ective potential $V$ [ S$]$ by dividing the e ective action by the spacetim e volum e $\mathrm{d}^{3} \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{V}[\mathrm{S}] \quad[\mathrm{S}]=^{R} d^{3} x$. In $m$ om entum space, $V_{0}[\mathrm{~S}]$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{0}[S \quad]= & \frac{N^{2^{2}}{ }_{0}^{2} p^{2} d p \quad \ln \frac{p^{2} A^{2}(p)+B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}}}{} \\
& +2 \frac{p^{2} A}{}(p) \mathbb{A}  \tag{102}\\
p^{2} A^{2}(p)+B^{2}(p) & 1]+B^{2}(p)
\end{align*}
$$

For $V_{1}[S]$, after calculating the trace in the integrand, we arrive at the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{1}[S \quad]=e^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{d^{3} p^{2}}{(2)^{3}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{\left.\left[\mathfrak{p}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}(\mathrm{p})+\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathrm{p})\right] \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~A}^{2}(\mathrm{k})+B^{2}(\mathrm{k})\right]} \\
& 2 D_{T}(q) \frac{(q \quad p)(q}{q^{2}}+\frac{k)(q)}{q^{4}} 2(q \quad p)(q \quad k) \quad p A k(p) A(k) \\
& 2 D_{T}(q)+\frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} B \quad(p) B \quad(k) \quad \frac{D_{0}(q)}{\dot{q j} j}\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
q & p A & (p) B & (k) & q & k B(p) A
\end{array}(k)\right] ; \tag{103}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{p}$. At this stage we adopt the nonlocal gauge $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{q})$ which leads to no wavefunction renom alization for the ferm ion: A (p) 1 . Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.V \mathbb{B}]=V[S]_{A} \quad=V_{0} \mathbb{B}+\right]+V_{0} \mathbb{B} \quad\right]+V_{1} \mathbb{B}_{+}\right]+V_{1} \mathbb{B} \quad\right] ; \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{0} B \quad\right]={\frac{N}{2^{2}}}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{1} p^{2} d p \quad \ln \frac{p^{2}+B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}}+2{\frac{B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}+B^{2}(p)}}^{\#} ; \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathbb{B} \quad\right]=e^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}}}^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{\left.\left[\mathfrak{p}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathrm{p})\right] \mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathrm{k})\right]} \\
& 2 D_{T}(q) \frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} B \quad(p) B \quad(k) \quad \frac{D_{\circ}(q)}{\dot{q} j}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(q) & p) B(k) \quad(q) & k) B(p)
\end{array}\right]: \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

A ctually this reproduces the $S D$ equation for $B$ in the nonlocal gauge at the stationary point $V[\mathbb{B}=B=0$ as show $n$ in appendix $C$.

At the stationary point of $V \mathbb{B}], B$ satis es the $S D$ equation and hence $B$ is given by the solution to the $S D$ equation: $B=B^{\text {sol }}$. Therefore, at the stationary point, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{1} \mathbb{B}\right]=\quad \frac{z}{(2)^{3}} \frac{d^{3}}{p^{2}+B^{2}(p)}: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the e ective potential at the stationary point is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.\left.\mathrm{V} \mathbb{B}_{\text {sol }}\right]=V \mathbb{B}_{+}^{\mathrm{sol}}\right]+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathbb{B}^{\mathrm{sol}}\right] \text {; } \\
& V B]=\frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \ln 1+\frac{B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}} \quad \frac{B \quad(p) B(p)+m]^{\#}}{p^{2}+B^{2}(p)}: \tag{108}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that the function $g(x)=\ln (1+x) \quad \frac{x}{1+x}$ is positive and $m$ onotonically increasing in $x(>0)$. Therefore, when $m=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left[B_{\text {sol }}\right]={\frac{1}{2^{2}}}_{0}^{Z_{1}} p^{2} d p g{\frac{B_{+}^{2}(p)}{p^{2}}}^{!}+g{\frac{B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}}}^{!\#} \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

is non-positive, $\left.V \mathbb{B}_{\text {sol }}\right] \quad 0$, and allthe nontrivialsolutions have low er energy than the trivial ones (in the case of pure QED). Therefore we can determ ine the ground state, nam ely the solution which $m$ inim izes the e ective potential, by using Eq. (10

W ithout actually calculating the integral, we can easily conclude that in the presence of an explicit CS term, the chirally symmetric solution ( $\mathrm{B}_{+}, \mathrm{B}$ ) gives a lower e ective potential and is thus favored above the chiral-sym m etry-breaking solution ( $\mathrm{B}_{+}, \mathrm{Br}$ ). Since the e ective potential is the sum of two non-positive integrals, each of which depends on $B_{+}^{2}$ or $B^{2}$, this e ective potential ism inim ized by a sym $m$ etric set of solutions ( $B+B$ ) and not by ( $B_{+}, B^{\Omega}$ ). So in the presence of an explicit CS term, the chirally sym $m$ etric phase is the ground state, even if the number of ferm ion avors $N$ and the CS param eter are below their critical values for dynam ical chiral-sym $m$ etry breaking. T his is quite surprising, since it is well-known that w thout the explicit CS term the chiral sym m etry is broken for N below the critical num ber, as can also be seen from the e ective potential, Eq. (10090).

In solving the $S D$ equation we have put the extemal source $m_{e}$ and $m_{\circ}$ to zero from the beginning. As a result, the solution for the hom ogeneous $S D$ equation has no speci c direction for the dynam icalm ass to be generated. A ctually, ifa solution is found for $\mathrm{B}_{+}$, then another solution $B=B_{+}$is autom atically obtained, and it is this solution which gives the low est e ective potential. In order to study the spontaneous breaking of a sym $m$ etry in general, one can introduce an extemal source JO which breaks the sym $m$ etry in question and subsequently consider the lim it of rem oving the extemal source. If the sym $m$ etry is broken even in this lim it, which is signaled by the non-vanishing order param eter $=\lim { }_{\mathrm{J}}$ ! ${ }_{0} \mathrm{hO} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{J}}$, then it is said that the sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken. In taking this lim it one $m$ ust specify from which direction the extemal source is decreased to zero, J ! 0.

In this case we must consider the two lim its: $m_{e}!0, m_{\circ}!0$. For simplicity we keep $m_{e}$ and $m_{\circ}$ positive, $m_{e} ; m^{2} \quad 0$ and consider the lim it: $m_{e} \# 0, m_{\circ} \# 0$, without loss of generality. Then there are ve cases to be exam ined, which are given below .


Therefore the two solutions ( $B_{+} ; B$ ) and ( $B_{+} ; B^{5}$ ) are realized in two di erent lim its: the lim it $m_{+}=m$ \# 0 leads to the solution ( $B_{+} ; B$ ), whereas the lim it $m_{+}=m \quad \# 0$ yields the solution ( $B_{+} ; B^{r}$ ). This last set of solutions is of course only possible if the param eters N ; are in the chirally broken phase.

From this consideration, the two sets of solutions cannot be realized sim ultaneously. The result which solution is realized depends on the ordering of taking the vanishing lim it keeping the relation between $m_{e}$ and $m_{\circ}$. So in the presence of the CS term, taking the lim it $m_{e}>m_{\circ}$ \# 0, we will get the solution ( $B_{+} ; B^{r}$ ) whidh has a higher value for the CJT e ective potential than that of the \trivial" solution ( $\mathrm{B}_{+}$; B ). That $m$ eans that this solution is a quasi-stable solution of the SD equation, and $w$ ill eventually decay into the \trivial" solution. This quasi-stable solution does no longer exist beyond a certain value of , the critical value $c(\mathbb{N})$ depending on $N$. At this point the system jumps directly to the state expressed by the solution ( $\mathrm{B}_{+}$; B ) , which is energetically m ore favored, in a discontinuous way.

In (2+1)-dim ensional QED w ith N avors of four-com ponent D irac ferm ions, we have solved the SD equation for the ferm ion propagator in the nonlocal gauge both analytically and num erically. In the absence of the bare CS term, we have shown the existence of a nite critical num ber of avors $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=4: 3$, below which the chiral sym m etry is spontaneously broken, in agreem ent w ith previous analyses we have obtained the critical line extending from the critical point $(\mathbb{N} ;)=\left(\mathbb{N}_{C} ; 0\right)$ in the two-dim ensional phase diagram $\mathbb{N}$; ). H ere a quite rem arkable point is that, no $m$ atter how sm all is, this phase transition tums into a rst-order transition when $\in 0$, although the critical point ( $\mathbb{N}_{c} ; 0$ ) in the absence of CS term is a continuous (in nite-order) phase transition point. Therefore the point $\left(\mathbb{N}_{c} ; 0\right)$ is the only one point on the critical line which exhibits continuous phase transition.

We have shown that the chiral-sym $m$ etric solution ( $B_{+} ; B$ ) and the chiral-sym $m$ etry ${ }^{-}$ breaking one ( $\mathrm{B}_{+} ; \mathrm{B}^{r}$ ) of the SD equation are stationary points of the e ective potential of the C JT type. Here it should be rem arked that the trivial solution ( $\left.B_{+} \quad 0 ; B \quad 0\right)$ as a trivial stationary point (equivalently the trivial solution of the $S D$ equation) is possible only when $=0$. A though the sym $m$ etric solution ( $B_{+} ; B$ ) gives lower e ective potential than the sym $m$ etry-breaking solution ( $B_{+} ; B^{r}$ ), we have shown that it is possible to take the lim it of rem oving the extemal source, $m_{e} ; m_{0} \# 0$, so that the spontaneously chiralsym $m$ etry-breaking solution ( $B_{+} ; B^{\check{ }}$ ) is realized. H ow ever it is not yet clear whether they give localm inim a, localm axim a, or possibly a saddle point of the e ective potential and whether or not ( $B_{+}$; $B$ ) gives the absolute $m$ inim um. In order to con $m$ this issue, it is necessary to calculate the second functional derivative:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{~B}}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{B} \quad(\mathrm{q})  \tag{110}\\
\mathrm{V}_{\text {C JT }} & \left.\mathrm{B}_{+} ; \mathrm{B} \quad\right]
\end{array}
$$

at the respective stationary point $\left.{ }_{[1 \overline{4}}^{2} \bar{\sim}\right]$. The system at an excited state ( $\mathrm{B}_{+} ; \mathrm{B}^{\circ}$ ) m ight be quasi-stable and $m$ ight decay into the $m$ ore stable state ( $B_{+} ; B$ ) in a nite time interval. $T$ he stability of the stationary point $w$ ill.be discussed in $m$ ore detail in a subsequent paper.

It is interesting to see our result from the view point of the lattioe gauge theory where the lattioe spacing a corresponds to the inverse of the UV cuto , a $1=$. It is well known [tīíi] that the nontrivial continuum lim it can be possibly taken only at the secondand higher-order transition point where the correlation length diverges (in units of the lattice spacing). H ence our result implies that the meaningful continuum lim it from the broken phase in the nonperturbatively regularized three-dim ensional gauge eld theory can be taken only at the point $\left(N_{c} ; 0\right)$, i.e. in the absence of the bare CS term. This is a novel feature of the three-dim ensional gauge eld theory with a bare CS term, which seem sto be overlooked so far. O ur result $m$ ay have im portant im plications in the application of the three-dim ensional gauge theory in condensed-m atter physics as a long-wavelength e ective theory of the $m$ icroscopic $m$ odel. $T$ his $w$ ill be discussed in a forthcom ing paper.

This result is obtained by using a consistent expansion of the fillgauge boson propagator and the vertex in $1=\mathrm{N}$. In order to satisfy the W T identity (up to term s of order $1=\mathrm{N}$ and of order of the dynam ically generated $m$ ass), we have adopted the nonlocal gauge function which allow s us to neglect e ect from the wave-function renorm alization. A m ore accurate
approxim ation would include a full $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ calculation, sim ilar to the analysis in $\left[\underline{2} \bar{\delta}_{1}\right]$ in pure Q ED . Since in pure Q ED these $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ corrections do not change the result qualitatively, but only quantitatively (leading to a slightly di erent critical num ber of ferm ion avors), we do not expect that those corrections will change our present result essentially. The question of gauge covariance can (in principle) be recovered by applying the Landau \{K halatnikov transform ation rules to the various $G$ reen's functions results to hold also in a $m$ ore elaborate approxim ation of the $S D$ equation.
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## APPENDIX A: INTEGRATIONS

1. A ngular Integration Form ulae
$F$ irst we note the follow ing relation
$w h e r e q^{2}=(p \quad k)^{2}=p^{2}+k^{2} \quad 2 p k z w$ th $z=\infty s . T$ hen we obtain the follow ing form ulae

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{dz} \frac{1}{\mathrm{q}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m} \text { in }(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{k})}{\mathrm{pk}} ;  \tag{A2}\\
& { }_{1}^{1} d z \frac{1}{q^{2}}=\frac{1}{p k} \ln \frac{p+k}{p} \quad j^{\prime}  \tag{A3}\\
& { }_{1}^{z_{1}} \mathrm{dz} \frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{3}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{pk}}{ }_{n} \frac{1}{\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{k}} j \frac{1}{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{k}} \text {; }  \tag{A4}\\
& z_{1}^{1} d z \frac{1}{q^{4}} \ln 1+\frac{q}{}=\frac{1}{p k} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\dot{p} \quad k j} \frac{1}{p+k} \quad \frac{1}{2^{2}} \ln \frac{1+\frac{p}{p j}}{1+\frac{1}{p+k}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \dot{p} k_{j}^{j}} \ln 1+\frac{\dot{p} \quad k j^{j}}{} \frac{1}{2(p+k)^{2}} \ln 1+{\underline{p}+k^{!}}^{!} \text {; }  \tag{A5}\\
& z_{1} d z \frac{1}{q(q+)}=\frac{1}{p k} \ln \frac{p+k+}{p} \quad \text { j }{ }^{2}+ \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

For the calculation of the explicit C S term it is m ore convenient to use

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}^{1} d z \frac{1}{q^{2}+p^{2}+2 p q z+M^{2}}=\frac{1}{2 p q} \ln \frac{(p+q)^{2}+M^{2}}{(p q)^{2}+M^{2}} ;  \tag{A7}\\
& z_{1}^{1} d z \frac{p q z}{q^{2}+p^{2}+2 p q z+M^{2}}=1+\frac{p^{2}+q^{2}+M^{2}}{4 p q} \ln \frac{(p+q)^{2}+M^{2}}{(p q)^{2}+M^{2}}: \tag{A}
\end{align*}
$$

In pure $Q E D$ this gives for the angular integration

$$
\begin{align*}
& K(p ; k)=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z^{@} \frac{2}{q(+q)}+\frac{2}{q^{3}} \quad \frac{1}{q^{2}} \frac{2^{2} \ln +q^{1}}{q^{4}}{ }^{1}  \tag{A9}\\
& =\frac{2}{\max (p ; k) k^{2} \beta^{3} j}+\frac{1}{k p} \ln \frac{+k+p j}{+k \quad p j} \\
& \frac{{ }^{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\mathrm{k} p \mathrm{p}}{}\right)}{\mathrm{kp}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p})^{2}}+\frac{{ }^{2} \ln \left(1+\frac{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{pj}}{}\right)}{\mathrm{kp}(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{p})^{2}}: \tag{AA0}
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Explicit C hern $\{\mathrm{S}$ im ons T erm

The explicit C S tem, proportional to

$$
\text { I (p) } \begin{align*}
& Z_{1}{\frac{k^{2} d k}{k^{2}}}^{k_{1}+M^{2}}{ }_{1}^{1} d z \frac{k}{\left(k p^{2}(k) p j+p^{2}\right.} \\
= & z_{1} \frac{d q}{(q+)^{2}}{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{(q+p)}{(q+p)^{2}+M^{2}} ; \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

can be calculated analytically. The angular integration gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=\sum_{0}^{z_{1}} \frac{d q}{(q+)^{2}} 1 \quad \frac{p^{2} q^{2}+M^{2}}{4 p q} \ln \frac{(p+q)^{2}+M^{2}}{(p q)^{2}+M^{2}} ; \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we can calculate approxim ately by expanding the logarithm for $p<q$ and $p>q$. Taking into account only the leading-order term $s$ in $m$ in $(p ; q)=m a x(p ; q)$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=\frac{3 M^{2}+p^{2}}{\left.3 M^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z^{p}} \frac{2 q^{2} d q}{(q+)^{2}}+{ }_{p}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d q}{(q+)^{2}} \frac{2 q^{2}}{M^{2}+q^{2}} ; \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can easily be calculated. Expanding the result for M gives

$$
\begin{align*}
I(p)= & 2 \frac{3 M^{2}+p^{2}}{3\left(M^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{p^{2}+2 p}{+p} \quad 2 \ln (1+p=) \\
& +2 \frac{1}{(+p)} \quad \frac{M}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \arctan \frac{p}{M} \quad+\frac{M^{2}}{3} \ln \frac{(+p)^{2}}{\left(M^{2}+p^{2}\right)}: \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

H ow ever, we are only interested in the leading-order behavior in the infrared and ultraviolet region, so we nally get for $p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=2+O(p=)+O(M=) ; \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $p$
M

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(p)=\frac{8}{3 p}+O\left({ }^{2}=p^{2}\right)+O(M=p): \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

APPENDIX B: UVEXPANSION OFTHEHYPERGEOMETRICFUNCTION

## 1. Pure Q ED

In pure Q ED , the ultraviolet boundary condition, Eq. 15

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)=\frac{8}{3^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) ; \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a=\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{1}{4} i^{q} \overline{N_{c}=}=1$ and $N_{C}=128=\left(3^{2}\right)$. T he derivative w.r.t. of the hypergeo$m$ etric function gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)+{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{0}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)={ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)=\mathrm{a}_{+} \mathrm{a} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right) ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $8=\left(3^{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)=a_{+} \mathrm{a}$. To derive the behavior of the m ass m close to the critical value, we expand Eq. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ in $=\mathrm{m}$. Taking into acoount leading order only, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)=\frac{(1=2)(\mathrm{a}}{(\mathrm{a})} \begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{a})(1=2 \\
\left.\mathrm{a}_{+}\right)
\end{array} \bar{m}^{2 a_{+}}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}: ;  \tag{B4}\\
& \left.{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}\right)=\frac{(3=2)(\mathrm{a}}{(\mathrm{a})} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\left(3=2 \mathrm{a}_{+}\right) \quad-\quad 2 a_{+}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:: \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

W e are interested in $m=$, so we rew rite the boundary condition into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m}^{i!}=\frac{\left(1+\frac{i}{2}!\right)(a)^{2}\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{2} a\right)}{\left(1 \quad \frac{i}{2}!\right)\left(a_{+}\right)^{2}\left(1 \frac{1}{2} a_{+}\right)} ; \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the notation $!=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}}$ 1. Since the absolute value of the RHS is equal to one, we can write it as $e^{2 i(n)}$, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\arg ^{h}\left(1+\frac{i}{2}!\right)(a)^{2} 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} a^{i} ; \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n$ integer. $T$ his leads to the equation for $m=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m}=\exp \frac{2 n+2}{!}: \tag{B8}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ext, we expand leading order in !, using a Taylor expansion and the Euler constant

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1 \quad \frac{i}{2}!\right) & =1 \quad \frac{i}{2}!+O\left(!^{2}\right)  \tag{B9}\\
(a) & =(1=4) 1 \quad\left(+\frac{1}{2}+3 \ln 2\right) \frac{i}{4}!\quad+O\left(!^{2}\right) \tag{B10}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2} \ln 2+\frac{1}{7}!: \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way we arrive nally at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m}, \exp \frac{2 n}{!}+\frac{1}{2}+3 \ln 2+\frac{2}{7}: \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is only valid for $m$, which $m$ eans that $n$ has to be positive. U sing the e ective potential, one can show that the largest value form = gives the low est energy, therefore the ground state corresponds to $\mathrm{n}=1$, and higher excited (oscillating) solutions are given by $n=2 ; 3 ; 4 ;::$ :

For the chiralcondensate we need to know the $m$ ass function at $m$ om entum $p=, m()$. U sing the sam e expansions as above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m()=m \frac{2 i(3=2)(1}{\left.\frac{i}{2}!\right)} \bar{a}^{2 a_{+}}+h:\left(3=2 \quad a_{+}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $m$ given by Eq. ( $\left.\bar{B}_{-1}\right)^{\prime}$. C lose to the critical coupling we can expand this in !, using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m}^{\frac{i}{2}!}=e^{i(+)}=\quad(\cos +i \sin ) ; \tag{B14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m()}, \quad 8 \frac{(1=2)}{(1=4)^{2}} \underline{m}^{3=2} \quad 1+\frac{-}{4}+\frac{3}{2} \ln 2 \cos \quad \frac{\sin }{!}: \tag{B15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, using the expansion for , we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m()}, \frac{64}{7} \frac{(1=2)}{(1=4)^{2}} \underline{m}^{3=2} ; \tag{B16}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{m}=$ given by Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{B}^{-12} \mathbf{1 2}^{\prime}\right)$.

$$
\text { 2. } \mathrm{C} \text { hern }\{\mathrm{S} \text { im ons } \mathrm{Term} \text { : criticalm ass as function of } \mathrm{N}
$$

The equation for $M_{c}$ as function of $N, E q \cdot$. 1 으﹎, is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right)+\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{a} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right) \\
2 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{a} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \mathrm{a} ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}\right)=0: \tag{B17}
\end{array}
$$

W e can expand this as usual for sm all $M_{c}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.2 \mathrm{a} a \frac{(3=2)(\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{a}}\right)^{1}(3=2 \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{a}\right) \mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:=0 ; \tag{B18}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{M_{c}} \quad \frac{2 a_{+}}{(a)^{2}}\left(1+a_{+}+a_{+} a\right)+h: c:=0 ; \tag{B19}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{M}_{c}^{i!}=\frac{\left(1+\frac{i}{2}!\right)(a)^{2}\left(1+a+a_{+} a\right)}{\left(1 \frac{i}{2}!\right)\left(a_{+}\right)^{2}\left(1+a_{+}+a_{+} a\right)}: \tag{B20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, we can w rite this as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}}{}=\exp \frac{2 \mathrm{n}+2}{!} \tag{B21}
\end{equation*}
$$

but now w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\arg \left[\left(1+\frac{i}{2}!\right)(a)^{2}(1+a+a+a)\right]: \tag{B22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we expand in !

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{2} \ln 2 \quad \frac{4}{21} \quad! \tag{B23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{c}}{}=\exp \frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{!}+\frac{1}{2}+3 \ln 2 \frac{8}{21} ; \tag{B24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;:$; the term $2 \mathrm{n}=$ ! arises just as in pure QED , and also here there are in nitely m any solutions for each value of N . T he interesting one is the one corresponding to the ground state in pure QED , $\mathrm{n}=1$.

## 3. Chern $\{\mathrm{Sim}$ ons Term : critical as function of $N$

$U$ sing the above approxim ation for $M_{c}$ as function of $N$, we can now calculate $c$ as function of $N$, see Eq.i'8̄. In generalwe have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{c}=\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}}{8\left(1+\frac{16}{9^{2} \mathrm{~N}}\right)} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; \boldsymbol{a} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) \quad \text { a a } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c} 2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{a}_{+} ; a \quad ; \frac{3}{2} ; \quad{ }^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) \quad \text {; } \tag{B25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we can expand

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{c}=\frac{{ }^{2} N(1=2)}{8\left(1+\frac{16}{9^{2} N}\right)} \quad \frac{M_{c}}{}{ }^{3=2}{ }^{0} \underline{M}_{c}{ }^{\frac{i}{2}!} \frac{(a \quad a)}{(a)^{2}}\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{2} a_{+}\right)+h: c A^{A} \quad: \tag{B26}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e expand the functions as before in ! and use

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{M}_{c}{ }^{\frac{i}{2}!}=e^{i(+)}=(\cos +i \sin ) ; \tag{B27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we have just derived, to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { с , } \quad \frac{448}{75} \frac{(1=2)}{(1=4)^{2}} \quad \underline{M}_{c}^{3=2} ; \tag{B28}
\end{equation*}
$$

to leading order in $!$, using the expression for , Eq. ${ }^{[12} 23$.

APPENDIX C: STATIONARYPOINTOFTHEEFFECTIVEPOTENTIAL

The e ective potential given in section $\operatorname{Nin}^{\boldsymbol{I}}$ reproduces the SD equation for B at the stationary point as follows. The variation with respect to $B$ of the e ective potential is calculated as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\frac{B(p)}{} V_{0} B\right]=\frac{z^{2}}{B(p)} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \quad \ln 1+\frac{B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}}+2 \frac{B^{2}(p)}{p^{2}+B^{2}(p)} \\
&=\frac{1}{(2)^{3}} 2 B  \tag{C1}\\
&(p) \frac{\left[p^{2}\right.}{\left[p^{2}+B^{2}(p)\right]^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{B(p)}{} V_{1}[B]=\frac{2 e^{2}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{\left[p^{2} B^{2}(p)\right]}{\left[p^{2}+B^{2}(p)\right]_{!}^{2}} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+B^{2}(k)} \\
& 2 D_{T}(q)+\frac{a(q)}{q^{2}} B \quad(k) \quad 2 \frac{(k \quad q)}{\dot{q j}} D_{\circ}(q): \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{B \quad(p)} V \mathbb{B}]=\frac{2}{\left(2_{n}\right)^{3}} \frac{\left[p^{2} \quad B^{2}(p)\right]}{\left[p^{2}+B^{2}(p)\right]^{2}} \quad B \quad(p) \quad e^{2} \quad \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+B_{\#}^{2}(k)} \tag{C3}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that the $S D$ equation for $B$ is obtained from the stationary condition $V \mathbb{B}=B=0$ of the $C J T$ e ective potentialV $\mathbb{B}]$.
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## FIG URES

FIG.1. Scaling of the ferm ion $m$ ass and the chiral condensates: the quantities ! $\ln (m(0)=)$ and ! $\ln \left(\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}={ }^{2}\right.$ ) as functions of ! $={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1 \text { for the linearized equation (analytical solution, }}$ dashed line) and the full nonlinear integral equation (num erically, solid line) in pure QED .

FIG.2. The ferm ion $m$ ass function $m$ ( $p$ ) in pure QED: the analytical solution (dashed line) and num erical one (solid line) for $N=2$ and $N=3 w h e r e$ both the horizontal and the vertical axes are logarithm ic.

FIG.3. The num erical solutions for wave-fiunction renorm alization functions, $A_{+}(p)$ and $\not A^{\sim}(p)$, and $m$ ass functions, $B+(p), B^{\sim}(p), B_{e}(p), B_{\circ}(p)$ at $=0: 410{ }^{5}$ and $m(p)$ at $=0$ for $N=3$. (a) $1 A+(p), 1 \not A^{\sim}(p),(b) m$ ass functions in the $\mathbb{R}$ region, (c) the sam $e$ as (b) in the interm ediate region.

FIG.4. The infrared values $B+(0)$ (dashed line) and $\mathrm{B}^{\Upsilon}$ ( 0 ) (solid line) as functions of N for som e di erent values of , obtained num erically by solving the two sets of coupled integral equations. The dotted line indicates $m$ ( 0 ) in the case of $=0$.

F IG.5. The infrared values for $B+(0), B^{\sim}(0), B_{e}(0), B_{\circ}(0)$, and the chiral condensate $h$ i as functions of for $N=3$, obtained num erically by solving the tw o sets of coupled integralequations. $N$ ote that the scale for the condensate is di erent.

FIG.6. The infrared values $B_{+}(0)$ (dashed lines) and $B^{\sim}$ ( 0 ) (solid lines) as obtained analytically: (a) as function of for $N=3$, rescaled and com pared with our num erical solutions, and (b) as function of $N$ for som e di erent values of , which should be com pared $w$ th the num erical results plotted in $F$ ig. $1-\overline{i T 1}$.

FIG.7. Phase diagram in the $(\mathbb{N} ;)$-plane: the critical line for the chiral phase transition obtained analytically and som e num erical estim ates for the critical point.

FIG.8. The chiral condensate and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{e}}(0)$ as functions of N for $=10^{5}$ and for pure Q ED ( $=0$ ), obtained num erically and analytically. $N$ ote that the scale for the condensate and $B_{e}(0)$ is di erent.

F IG . 9. T he analytical solution of the linearized equation and the num erical solution of the full integral equation for $N=3$ and $=0: 410{ }^{5}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The N ! 1 lim it (w ith being kept nite) corresponds to the weak-coupling lim it := $1=\left(e^{2}()\right)!1$ in the lattice gauge theory de ned on a lattice $w$ ith lattice spacing .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}$ e will reconsider this procedure in section VI.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ his should be com pared w th the analysis by $H$ ong and $P$ ark $[\overline{4} \overline{0} \overline{1}]$ where the order term was neglected from the beginning.

