An Estimate of the Proton Singlet Axial Constant

G .T . G abadadze

Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 117312 Moscow, Russia

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

A bstract

The value of the proton singlet axial constant is estimated. It has been shown that the axial anomaly plays a crucial role in this calculation. Obtained result is su ciently suppressed in comparison with the naively expected one. The magnitude of the strange quark contribution for the proton state is also computed approximately.

In the last time the problem of calculation of the nucleon singlet axial constant has intensively been discussed in the literature. On the classical level, this constant determ ines the value of spin carried by the valence quarks inside the nonrelativistic nucleon. However, recent experimental data [1] lead one to the quantity which is much smaller than the naive theoretical value. The authors of ref. [2] (see also [3]) have restly pointed out that it is the axial anomaly which su ers the naive interpretation at the quantum level. As a result, the apparent "spin crisis" was resolved [4].

In spite of this, the problem of calculation of the nucleon singlet axial constant is far from being solved successfully. Based on the detailed analysis [5]-[10], one can conclude that both perturbative and nonperturbative contributions are essential for this calculation.

In the present paper, we will try to estimate the value of the proton singlet axial constant taking into account characteristic peculiarities of the singlet axial channel.

Essentially, the problem is as follows: experimental measurements [1] have allowed one to calculate the integral over the B jorken variable of the rst structure function of polarized deep-inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering [1]. In accordance with

the Ellis-Ja e sum rule [11], this integral is related to the axial constants for the corresponding nucleon

$$\int_{0}^{Z} g_{1}^{p}(x;Q^{2}) dx = C^{NS}(1; _{S}(Q^{2})) \left[\frac{1}{12}g_{A}^{(3)} + \frac{1}{36}g_{A}^{(8)}\right] + C^{S}(1; _{S}(Q^{2})) \frac{1}{9}g_{A}^{(0)};$$
(1)

Here $C^{NS}(1; s(Q^2))$ and $C^{S}(1; s(Q^2))$ are the nonsinglet and singlet operator ∞ -e cient functions normalized to unity at the tree level. The perturbative expansion for the nonsinglet ∞ ecient function is known at the next-next-to-leading approximation of perturbation theory [12] whenever it is known at the next-to-leading order for the singlet one [13]. The axial ∞ stants in eq. (1) are normalized as follows:

$$< P; S; A^{(3)}; S> = < P; S; u d 5d; S> = g_A^{(3)}; S> = g$$

$$< P; S /A (8) /P; S > = < P; S /u _5u + d _5d _2s _5s /P; S > = g_A (8) S; (2b)$$

$$< P; S / A^{(0)} / P; S > = < P; S / ju _5u + d _5d + s _5s / P; S > = g_A^{(0)} S ;$$
 (2c)

with S being the proton spin four-vector. Here the state vectors are normalized in the Fock space in accordance with the following condition:

< P
$$(k_1)$$
 P (k_2) >= $(2)^3 2k_1^{0}$ (x_2) (x_2) (x_3) :

The renormalization group invariant quantity $g_A^{(0)}$ in eq. (1) can be written through the scale dependent axial constant $g_A^{(0)}$ [14]

$$g_{A}^{(0)} = e^{R_{s()} \frac{(x)}{(x)} dx} g_{A}^{(0)};$$

where (x) is the singlet axial current anom alous dimension known at the three-loop approximation [15] and (x) is the QCD {function determined at this order too [16]. Let us denote the rst and second addendum in the rhs. of eq.(1) as a^N and a^S , respectively. As a result, Ellis-Ja e sum rule will take the form

$$\int_{0}^{2} g_{1}^{p}(x;Q^{2}) dx = a^{NS}(1; _{S}(Q^{2})) + a^{S}(1; _{S}(Q^{2}));$$
(3a)

In these notations singlet part of the Ellis-Ja e sum rule in the leading order approxim ation can be written in the form

$$a^{S} = (1 \quad 0:3333(\frac{s(Q^{2})}{9}))\frac{1}{9}g_{A}^{(0)}:$$
 (3b)

Substituting the numerical values for the integral in the lhs., for the coecient function $C^{NS}(Q^2)$ [12] and also for the nonsinglet axial constants [17], one obtains a surprisingly small value for the singlet part a^S / 0.02 0.01. It is easy to see that the perturbative corrections presented in (3a) do not save the situation and the only possibility is to assume (in contrast with the naive expectation) that the proton singlet axial constant g^0_A itself is a small quantity. In what follows we will try to estimate the magnitude for g^0_A .

Let us begin with the consideration of the anomally equation for the avor singlet axial current with three active avors

$$0 A^{(0)} = \sum_{q=u,d;s}^{X} 2 im_{q} q_{5} q + \frac{s^{N}_{f}}{4} G G^{s}; \qquad (4)$$

Brief m ention should be made of an important role of the mass terms in this expression. At 1 rst glance it would seem that these terms are negligibly small due to the smallness of the corresponding quark masses. Mass terms are often dropped out in the chiral limit. However, it has been demonstrated in [18] [19] [20] that due to the fermionic zero modes over the instanton background the matrix elements of the operators like q $_5$ q have singular behavior in the limit of zero quark mass. So, only the whole quantity m $_q$ q $_5$ q has a strict sense in the chiral limit; moreover, this combination gives a nonzero contribution when the quark masses are set to be equal to zero after all calculations are performed.

On the other hand, one can assume that the mass term is absent in the Lagrangian from the very beginning. If so, the derivative of the axial current would be expressed through the gluon operator only. However, this is the case when the QCD Lagrangian is chiral invariant and consequently the corresponding generating functional could not be able to produce a nonzero value for the quark condensate (if the ordinary Feynman boundary conditions are assumed). The full liment of the axial Ward identities in this case becomes doubtful too (for the detailed discussion see ref. [20]). A nother way of putting it is that one must calculate quasiaverages instead of simple averages [21] going to the limit m_q ! O after this [22]. Such a procedure allows one to take into account consequences of the spontaneous breaking of the chiral symmetry in

the pure QCD. It has been demonstrated in [19] that the contributions coming from the mass terms in the anomaly equation lead one to the nonrenormalization of the {term in QCD. The mass terms play an important role in our calculation too.

Now we are in a position to consider the matrix element of the singlet axial current over the proton states

$$< P (k_1) / A^{(0)} / P (k_2) > = g_A^{(0)} (q^2) U (k_1)$$
 $_5 U (k_2)$ iq $g_P^{(0)} (q^2) U (k_1) _5 U (k_2);$ (5)

where $q=k_1$ k_2 ; U (k) is the proton spinor. It is well known that there are no massless excitations in the avor singlet axial channel even in the chiral lim it [23]. Consequently, the phenomenological expression for the pseudoscalar constant g_P^0 can be written in the form

$$g_{P}^{0} = {x \over q^{2} m_{P}^{2}};$$
 (6)

where m_n^2 are the mass squares of the corresponding pseudoscalar particles in the avor singlet case. These quantities are not equal to zero even in the chiral limit. This is an important point where the singlet and nonsinglet constant calculations drastically dier from each other. An analogous expression for the nonsinglet pseudoscalar constant could be presented as a sum of terms containing poles in q^2 and the terms containing the multiplier of an order of $o(m_q^2)$; as a result, the mixing between the axial and the pseudoscalar constants will take place within the corresponding sum rules. However, as we will see later, this is not true for the avor singlet case. Reason is that there are no poles in eq. (6) even in the chiral limit. The coe cients B_n in this expression are determined by the proton-meson interaction vertices. Using now eq. (4), the matrix element (5) takes the form

$$< P (k_1) \not B A^{(0)} \not P (k_2) > = (ig_A^{(0)} (q^2) 2m_p + q^2 g_P^{(0)} (q^2)) U (k_1)_5 U (k_2);$$
 (7)

where m $_{\rm p}$ is the proton mass. Our aim will be to estimate the value for $g_{\rm A}^{(0)}$ by means of the QCD sum rule method [24]. Following the ideology of this approach consider the three-point correlation function

where $p = (k_1 + k_2) = 2$; and (x) is an interpolating current for the proton state [25]

< 0j
$$(x)$$
 $\Re (k_1) > = {}_{p}U (k_1)$:

The phenom enological expression for this correlator can be written in the form

$$T (k_1; k_2) = \frac{\langle 0j \ \ ? \ (k_1) \rangle \langle P \ (k_1) ? \ (k_2) \rangle \langle P \ (k_2) j \ \ j \rangle \rangle}{(k^2 \ m_p^2)^2} + ::: (9)$$

where $k^2 = k_1^2 = k_2^2 = p^2 + q^2 = 4$ and the kinematical condition (pq)=0 is assumed for simplicity. Dots denote here the contributions with the one-pole term and the terms without poles in $(k^2 - m_p^2)$. Multiplying expression (9) over iq and using (7) we obtain

$$iq T (k_1; k_2) = \frac{i \frac{2}{p} g_A^0 (q^2) 2m \frac{2}{p} \hat{q}_5}{(k^2 m_p^2)^2} + \frac{i \frac{2}{p} g_A^0 (q^2) q^2 [\hat{q}=4 + m_p]_5 + \frac{2}{p} q^2 g_P^0 (q^2) (\hat{k}_1 m_p)_5 (\hat{k}_2 m_p)}{(k^2 m_p^2)^2} + ::: (10)$$

Let us consider now the operator product expansion (OPE) for our three-point correlation function. (Hereafter, we will follow the de nitions of [26]). The bilocal OPE for the correlator under investigation looks like

The rst sum in the rhs. of this expansion is determined by the vacuum expectation values of some bilocal operators and the second one gives the contributions of the local operator vacuum expectation values. The operators \hat{O}_n (0) are generated within the OPE for the proton interpolating currents

$$z$$
i $e^{ipx}T$ (x=2) (x=2)dx = $\sum_{n=0}^{x} C_{n}(p)\hat{O}_{n}(0)$

It is worthwhile to point out here that the bibcal VEVs play the crucial role in this approach, in fact, they give the dom inant contribution in the case of the sum rules for the proton pseudoscalar constants (for a detailed discussion see ref. [26]). However, we will demonstrate that the bibcal VEVs cancel each other in the singlet case and only the local part of the OPE determines the value for the proton singlet

axial constant. Let us turn to the consideration of the correlators presented in the rhs. of eq. (11)

$$P_n(q^2) = i e^{iqy} < 0 \text{ Tr} \hat{O}_n(0) \text{ A}^{(0)}(y) \text{ J} > dy$$
:

The dispersion relation for this quantity looks like

$$P_n(Q^2 = q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{Im } P_n(s) ds}{s + Q^2} + \text{subtractions:}$$

Some remarks concerning the peculiarities of this expression are in order here. It is well known that the correlator for two singlet axial currents < A $^{(0)}$ A $^{(0)}$ > contains the so-called K ogut-Susskind pole [27] beyond the intermediate physical particle contributions. This pole term is produced by the collective excitations over the complicated vacuum [28]. Within the momentum representation for < A $^{(0)}$ A $^{(0)}$ > the K ogut-Susskind pole gives an additional term proportional to $(q \ q) = q^2$. Due to singularities in q^2 , the existence of such a contribution makes it dicult to use the sum rule formalism. However, in our case, after multiplication of the corresponding correlator over q the K ogut-Susskind contribution becomes the polynomial in momenta and, consequently, could be absorbed in the subtractional part of the dispersion relation. Then, using the Borel transformation this polynomial disappears from the sum rules under investigation. Later on, we shall follow this way.

On the other hand, the phenom enological expression for the imaginary part of the correlator under consideration looks like

$$Im P_{n} (s) = (s) < 0j \hat{O}_{n} j > < 0j \frac{X}{q = u_{p}d_{p}s} 2im_{q}q_{5}q + \frac{s^{N}_{f}}{4}GG j > +$$

+
$$(s m_k^2) < 0 \hat{D}_n \hat{P}_k > < P_k \hat{J}_{q=u,rd;s}^{X} 2 \text{im }_q q_5 q + \frac{sN_f}{4} G G \hat{D} > ;$$

where (s) determ ines the complicated vacuum contribution. The second addendum in the rhs. of this relation gives the contribution only to the proton pseudoscalar constant g_P^0 and it is the rst term in the rhs. which is responsible for g_A^0 . However, following [18] [20] this last is equal to zero. Indeed, let us integrate over the ferm ionic elds in the path integral representation for < 0 jq $_5$ qj0 > with the subsequent calculation of the corresponding determ inant in terms of the gluon elds. As an answer one can obtain [18] [20]

$$<0$$
j q_{5} q j $0>=\frac{s}{i8}\frac{GG}{m_{q}}$:

C onsequently

$$<0j$$
 $\stackrel{X}{=} u_{i}d_{i}s$ $2im_{q}q_{5}q + \frac{s^{N}f}{4}GGJ> = 0:$

We can conclude that the bilocal operator VEVs do not a ect the sum rule for the proton singlet axial constant. It is in portant to point out that this statem ent is based on the assumption of absence of the massless excitations in the singlet channel in the chiral limit (relation with the U (1) problem, see ref. [29]). Now it is evident that the proton singlet axial constant must be small in comparison with the nonsinglet one. The point is that the local part of the OPE determining the value for g_A^0 gives the contributions of the order $\frac{2}{s}$ only. Let us turn to the consideration of these terms. For practical calculations of the local part it is convenient to introduce the following quantity

$$D = \left[\frac{0}{0q} (iq T)\right]_{p=0}^{2} = \frac{i \frac{2}{p} g_{A}^{0} (0) 2m_{p}^{2}}{(p^{2} m_{p}^{2})^{2}} + \frac{C}{(p^{2} m_{p}^{2})} + \dots;$$
(12)

where C is some unknown constant. The leading contributions to the sum rule for g_A^0 come from the three-loop perturbative diagram and the one-loop diagram with the four-ferm ion condensate. Our main assumption is that the perturbative three-loop contribution is suppressed by the loop-factor $1=(4^{-2})^3$ and could be neglected in the case of rough estimation. The only thing we have done is the calculation of the four-ferm ion condensate contributions. In such an approximation, the corresponding sum rule takes the form

$$\frac{{}_{p}^{2}g_{A}^{0}(0)2m_{p}^{2}}{(P^{2}+m_{p}^{2})^{2}}+\frac{iC}{(P^{2}+m_{p}^{2})}+::=C_{F}^{2}(-s)^{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{^{2}}{P^{2}}\ln(P^{2}=2);$$

where C_F is the Casim ir operator of the fundam ental representation of SU (3)_c group ($C_F=4=3$) and P²= p². Multiplying this expression over the quantity P²+ m²_p and using then the Borel transform ation, we obtain the following sum rule for the proton singlet axial constant

$$2 {}_{p}^{2}g_{A}^{0} (0)e^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{F}^{2} (\frac{-s}{2})^{2} \frac{1}{2} < uu > 2 (2);$$
 (13)

where $= M^2 = m_p^2$ and M^2 is the Borel parameter. Substituting the known values for the quark condensate and all parameters in eq. (13) [25] we obtain the following result

$$g_{\Delta}^{0}(0)\dot{j}_{=1G\,eV}e^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 0:025(²):

The relative stability in these sum rules is reached when '13 15 and consequently

$$q_{\Delta}^{0}$$
 (0) $\dot{J}_{=1G \text{ eV}}$ ' 0:02 0:03:

So our crude estimation leads to the value for the proton singlet axial constant which is su ciently small in comparison with unity and the nonsinglet constants.

Now, using the obtained value for the proton singlet axial constant one can estimate the s { quark sea contribution to the proton matrix element. Indeed, writing the relation $g_A^{(0)} = g_A^{(8)} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $sj_{10} = 3$ s and substituting the known value for $g_A^{(8)}$ [17], one can obtain that $g_A^{(8)}$ [18].

In conclusion, let us make remark concerning our consideration. The result obtained here is qualitative in its essence and could be considered as some crude approximation only. For a more precise calculation of the value for the proton singlet axial constant it is necessary to take into account both the three-loop perturbative contribution and the dimension-seven quark-gluon operator contribution within the QCD sum rule approach. However, one could not expect that these contributions will lead to su cient increasing in the value for the proton singlet axial constant. Missing contributions are of an order of $\frac{2}{s}$ only. We have demonstrated that correct treatment of the anomalous contributions leads to su cient numerical suppression for the value of the proton singlet axial constant (in terms of sum rules the cancellation of the bilocal contributions takes place). This statement is based on the assumption that there are no massless excitations in the avor singlet channel even in the chiral lim it.

So, we have estimated the value for the proton singlet axial constant. This value is su ciently suppressed in comparison with the naively expected one. The value of the s { quark sea contribution for the proton matrix element is also estimated.

The author is grateful to SA. Larin, AA. Pivovarov and AN. Tavkhelidze for conversations. AL. Kataev is acknowledged for useful suggestions. The work is partly supported by the Russian Fund of the Fundamental Research, Grants N 94-02-04548a, N 94-02-14428 and "Bazis Bank" graduate student fellowship.

R eferences

- J.Ashm an et al. (EMC), Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 364; Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 1;
 B.Adeva et al. (SMC), Phys. Lett. B 302 (1993) 533;
 P.Anthony et al. (E142), SLAC-PUB T/E-6101 (1993).
- [2] A.V. Efrem ov, O.V. Teryaev, Dubna preprint, JINR E2-88-287 (1988).
- [3] G.A. Larelli, G.G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 212 (1988) 381; R.D. Carlitz, J.C. Collins, A. H. Mueller, Phys. Lett. B 214 (1988) 229.
- [4] G. Veneziano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 4 (1989) 1605.
- [5] R L. Ja e, A. M anohar, Nucl. Phys. B 337 (1990) 509.
- [6] G M . Schore, G . Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B 381 (1992)23.
- [7] A E.Dorokhov, N L.Kochelev, Yu A. Zubov, Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. A 8 (1993) 603.
- [8] B L. To e, A Yu. K hodzham irian, Yad. Fiz. 55 (1992) 3045.
- [9] S.J. Brodsky, J. Ellis, M. Carliner, Phys. Lett. B 206 (1988) 309;J. Ellis, M. Carliner, Phys. Lett. B 213 (1988) 73.
- [10] S. Forte, Phys. Lett. B 224 (1989)189; Nucl. Phys. B 331 (1990)1.
- [11] J.Ellis, R.L.Ja e, Phys. Rev. D 9 (1974) 1444.
- [12] S.A. Larin, J.A.M. Verm aseren, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991) 345.
- [13] S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 334 (1994)192.
- [14] D.J.Kazakov, Pisma JETP 41 (1985)272;M.Gluk, E.Reya, Z.Phys. C39 (1988)569.
- [15] S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993)113;
 K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kuhn, Z. Phys. C 60 (1993)497.
- [16] O. V. Tarasov, A. A. V. ladim irov, A. Yu. Zharkov, Phys. Lett. 93B (1980)429;
 S. A. Larin, J.A. M. Verm aseren, Phys. Lett. B 303 (1993)334.
- [17] V M . Belyaev, B L. To e, Ya J. Kogan, Phys. Lett. 151B (1985)290.

- [18] L.Brown, R.D. Carlitz, T.Lee, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 417.
- [19] A A . Johansen, Yad. Fiz. 54 (1991) 576.
- [20] Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 270.
- [21] N. N. Bogoliubov, Physica S26 (1960)1.
- [22] B A . Arbuzov, R N . Faustov, A N . Tavkhelidze, D oklA kad N auk . 139 (1961) 345.
- [23] G.t'Hooft, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976)3432; Phys. Rep. 142 (1986)357.
- [24] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainstein, V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B147 (1979) 385.
- [25] B L. To e, Nucl. Phys. B 188 (1981)317.
- [26] K.G. Chetyrkin, S.G. Gorishny, A.B. Krasulin, S.A. Larin, V.A. Matveev, INR PREPRINT P-0337, Moscow (1984).
- [27] J. Kogut, L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 3594.
- [28] D. J. Dyakonov, M. J. Eides, JETP 81 (1981) 434; Nucl. Phys. B 272 (1986) 457.
- [29] A.V.Efremov, J.So er, O.V.Teryaev, Nucl. Phys. B346(1990)97.
- [30] J.Ellis, M. Karliner, Phys. Lett. B 313 (1993)131;
 J.Ellis, M. Karliner, PREPRINR CERN-TH-7324/94, TAUP-2178-94;
 LA. Ahrens et al., Phys. Rev. D 35 (1987)785.