TPI{MINN {94{40/T NUC {MINN {94{6/T HEP {MINN {94{1321 DOE/ER/40561-175-INT 94-00-78 January 1995

The G luon P ropagator in non {A belian W eizsacker{W illiam s Fields

A lejandro Ayala, Jam al Jalilian-M arian and Larry M cLerran

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455 Rajı Venugopalan

Institute for Nuclear Theory, University of W ashington, Seattle, W A 98195

A bstract

W e carefully com pute the gluon propagator in the background of a non {A belian W eizsacker{W illiams eld. This background eld is generated by the valence quarks in very large nuclei. W e nd contact terms in the small uctuation equations of m otion which induce corrections to a previously incorrect result for the gluon propagator in such a background eld. The well known problem of the H erm iticity of certain operators in Light C one gauge is resolved for the W eizsacker{W illiams background eld. This is achieved by working in a gauge where singular terms in the equations of m otion are absent and then gauge transform ing the small uctuation elds to Light C one gauge.

1 Introduction

In a recent work, two of the authors have argued that parton distribution functions m ay be computed for very large nuclei at sm all x [1]. They found that the valence quarks in these nuclei are the sources of non {A belian W eizsacker{W illiam s elds. This work is sim ilar in spirit to that of A . M ueller who considers the distribution functions generated by heavy quark charm onium {like bound states [2]. In reference [1] the general form of these elds was found. It was argued that to com pute the distribution functions, one should com pute the elds associated with these valence quarks and then color average over these elds treating the valence quarks as classical charges. The weight for this averaging was shown to be

$$\exp -\frac{1}{2^{2}}^{2} d^{2}x_{t}^{2}(x_{t})$$
(1)

where (x_t) is the distribution of transverse valence quark color charge and 2 is a parameter corresponding to the valence quark color charge per unit area.

The current for the valence quarks was found to be

$$J_{a}(x) = {}^{+}(x)_{a}(x_{t};x^{+}):$$
(2)

Here the Light C one variables are

$$y = (y^0 \quad y^3) = \frac{p}{2}$$
: (3)

The approximation which leads to this form of the current is that $x << A^{1=3}$ so that the small x partons see a nucleus which is essentially Lorentz contracted to a thin sheet. This is the origin of the factor (x) above. Further, since the small x partons are weakly coupled to the source, the source is \static" and only the + component of the current is important.

The charge distribution in transverse coordinates is essentially random with a Gaussian weight as described above. This is true so long as we look at transverse

resolution scales which are large enough that there are many valence quarks per unit area, and small enough that it is much less than the con nem ent scale of 1 fm. In momentum space, this requires that we only consider transverse momenta which are in the range

$$QCD << k_t << A^{1=6}$$
: (4)

The x^+ (Light C one time) dependence of the charge density is a consequence of the extended current conservation law

$$D J = 0$$
: (5)

The current m ay be taken to be time independent if we x the residual gauge freedom by the constraint that A (x = 0) = 0. This point will be discussed further later.

Under these conditions, it is easy to guess the form of the W eizsacker{W illiams eld. The charge distribution is connect to an in nitesimally thin sheet. Therefore, one expects that the elds on either side of the sheet m ight be a gauge transform of vacuum. We deduce therefore that [1]

$$A^{+} = 0$$

 $A = 0$
 $A^{i} = (x)^{i}(x_{t})$ (6)

where

$$j(x_t) = \frac{i}{g} U(x_t) r^{j} U^{\gamma}(x_t)$$
: (7)

The eld is a gauge transform of the vacuum. It generates zero magnetic eld. There is a transverse electric eld which is con ned to the sheet x = 0. Gauss's law shows that this eld solves

$$r = g :$$
 (8)

One can verify that the Light C one H am iltonian satis es

$$P = 0 \tag{9}$$

so that these classical elds have vanishing Light C one energy.

These elds are gauge transforms of vacuum elds with a discontinuity which is adjusted so as to reproduce the correct valence charge distribution. Because it is a pure gauge transform of the vacuum on either side of the in nitesim ally thin sheet, we expect that the propagators are simple. In a previous paper, two of the authors argued that the elds which generate the propagator are just gauge transforms of the ordinary sm all uctuation plane wave elds [3]. This argument is correct for matter elds such as scalars and fermions, but as we shall see is not quite correct for gluon elds.

The problem with the gluon elds is that there can be contact terms in the gluon small uctuation equations of motion associated with the thin sheet of valence charge. These contact terms can generate contributions to the gluon eld which are constant or grow linearly at large distances away from the sheet. The linearly growing terms will give rise to a constant electric eld. In any case, neither of these terms were allowed for in the previous analysis which claim ed to have determ ined the gluon propagator.

The term s which were ignored in the previous analysis are very singular. A direct consequence of their bad behavior at in nity is the non {self{adjoint character of the Light C one gauge equations of m otion.

The present work is organized as follows: in Section 2 we will see that the above mentioned terms are a consequence of the small uctuation equations of motion in Light C one gauge ($A^+ = 0$). To resolve the ambiguities inherently associated with the singular behavior of the small uctuation elds, we choose to work in A = 0 gauge. In Section 3, we show that this gauge is non{singular and that the propagator can be computed directly. It turns out that the propagator in this

gauge is precisely that previously computed by M cLerran and Venugopalan $[\beta]$. The propagator in Light C one gauge is derived from the propagator in the non{ singular gauge. D etails of this derivation are the subject of Section 4. In section 5, we discuss the regularization prescriptions which enable us to generate a properly de ned propagator in Light C one gauge. W e write down an explicit expression for this propagator. The propagator will be used in a sequel to this work to calculate the gluon distribution function to next order in $_{\beta}$.

In Section 6, we sum marize our results.

2 The sm all uctuation equations in Light Cone gauge

The conventional approach to computing a G reen's function is to write down the equations for the small uctuation eld in a xed gauge. Denoting the small uctuation eld by , the generic small uctuation equation is

$$G^{1} = ;$$
 (10)

and the propagator is

To derive the equations for the sm all uctuation eld in Light C one gauge ($A^+ = 0$) we rst look at equation (10) with = 0. Expanding around our background eld, we not that the equations for the gluon eld become

$$D(A)^2 g D(A) D(A) A 2F A = 0$$
: (12)

In this equation, A is the background eld which according to equation (6) is non { vanishing only for its transverse components. D (A) is the covariant derivative with A as the gauge eld (notice that D = @). We have assumed the gauge condition A (x = 0) = 0 so that we can ignore any time evolution of the source of valence quark charge. If this gauge condition were not chosen, then the extended current conservation condition would require that the source evolve in time, and this would generate a correction to the above equation. This background eld generates no magnetic eld, but it does generate a non{zero electric eld, F⁺ⁱ

$$F^{i+} = (x_{t})^{i} (x_{t}):$$
 (13)

On either side of x = 0, the background eld is a gauge transform of the vacuum . We might therefore think that the solutions to the above equation, in the two dimensions of x, are simply dimensions to the above equation, in the small uctuation eld one would get in zero background eld. Further, continuity at the origin could be assured by a matching condition. This is precisely what was done in the previous work of M cLerran and Venugopalan [3].

Unfortunately, this procedure will not work here due to the presence of the background electric eld term. Before seeing how this works, let us rst express the small uctuation equations in a slightly more transparent form (hereafter latin indices refer to transverse variables).

$$D^{2}(A) A D(A) D(A) A = 2^{+}(x)^{i} A_{i} 2^{j}(x)^{j} A :$$

The term s in the right {hand side of the above equation are called contact term s, they appear when the derivative @=@x acts on the classical eld which is proportional to (x). Notice that the last term vanishes in the gauge where $A = \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

The small uctuation equation for the plus component of the above equations becomes

This equation requires that

$$(\theta^{+} A D (A)^{i} A_{i} = 2 (x)^{i} A_{i} = \frac{1}{2} + h(x^{+};x_{t})$$
 (15)

where h is some as yet undeterm ined function. We see in mediately that this implies that the elds have singular behavior at in nity. We might remove the singular behavior at say x ! 1 but due to the step function, cannot remove it as x ! +1 . In fact, the elds will behave like x as x goes to in nity. This singular behavior is su cient to guarantee that the equations of motion are not self(adjoint on this space of functions. Further, the small uctuation elds are discontinuous at the origin (x = 0) and matching the elds there is more di cult. The singular behavior at in nity and the discontinuity of the elds at the origin were absent from the previous analysis of M cLerran and Venugopalan [3]. This was because they neglected to include the contact term at the origin which (as we have seen) is present in the equation of motion in Light C one gauge.

D ue to this lack of self{adjointness on this space of functions, we have found no consistent way of directly integrating the equations of motion in Light C one gauge. The most obvious problem is that the G reen's function will not solve the constraint in posed by symmetry and by the Hermiticity of the underlying elds. W ithout a better way of de ning the equations of motion, we are at a quandary and do not know how to properly construct the G reen's function in Light C one gauge. This problem with Light C one gauge is well known in the relevant literature and various schemes have been adopted to circum vent it [5].

However, and this is the core of the present analysis, we are compelled to compute the Green's function in Light cone gauge. There are several reasons for this:

It is well known that only in Light C one gauge does the parton m odel have the m ost direct and m anifest physical realization [6]. Only in Light C one gauge it is possible to construct a simple and intuitive Fock space basis on which our form alism relies heavily. Light C one gauge (like any other axial gauge) is free of ghosts and negative-norm gauge boson states which m akes the theory simpler [7].

In addition, there are more advantages in choosing the $A^+ = 0$ gauge. It can be

shown that in this gauge J^+ is the only large component of the ferm ionic current. The transverse and m inus components of the current are proportional to $\frac{1}{P^+}$ and are therefore sm all [1]. It is much easier to eliminate the dependent ferm ionic degrees of freedom in this gauge since we don't need to worry about inverting covariant derivatives. Finally, the gluon distribution function is most simply related to physical quantities measured in deep inelastic scattering experiments only in $A^+ = 0$ [8].

W e will dem onstrate in the following sections that the G reen's functions in Light C one gauge can be obtained by the following procedure: i) rst, solve the sm all uctuation equations in an alternative gauge (A = 0) and then ii) gauge transform the G reen's functions thus obtained to Light C one gauge. In the following section, we will show that the G reen's function m ay be constructed in A = 0 gauge and that the equations of m otion for the G reen's function are self(ad pint. In subsequent sections we discuss the mechanics of how the G reen's function may then be gauge transform ed to Light Cone gauge. W hen this transform ation is carried through, there is in general a singularity of the transform ation function. This singularity is requlated using the well known Leibbrandt {M and elstam prescription [4]. We nd that the G reen's function in Light C one gauge does not satisfy the constraints which arise from self(adjointness of the gluon eld. This lack of self(adjointness is not irretrievably dam aging and has been dealt with in the past for G reen's functions of gluon elds in Light C one gauge [9]. P resum ably the precise nature of the singularity is not in portant for gauge invariant quantities. W hen one chooses a prescription for regulating the singularity, if perform ed consistently in all quantities, it will give gauge invariant results for physical quantities. We are not aware that there is a proof of this, but believe it to be so.

3 The sm all uctuations propagator in A = 0 gauge

W hat is the virtue of writing down the equations of motion in A = 0 gauge? In the small uctuation equations for the transverse elds, the source term vanishes (the equation reduces to the sourceless K lein (G ordon eld equation)

^h
$$20^{+}0 + D_{t}^{2}(A)$$
 ⁱ $A_{i} = 0$: (16)

This equation is obtained by appropriately xing the residual gauge freedom in A = 0 gauge. One of the other equations is also sourceless, and is the Gauss law constraint which must be imposed on initial eld con gurations. This constraint commutes with the equations of motion. It is

W e m ust now check that the remaining equation of motion is properly satis ed. If we use the G auss law constraint that D (A) A = 0, this equation is

^h
$$20^{+}0^{+} + D^{2}(A)^{i} A^{+} = 2 (x)^{i} A^{i}$$
: (18)

Now from the Gauss law constraint,

$$A^{+} = \frac{1}{\varrho} \vec{D} (A) \quad \vec{A}:$$
 (19)

A lthough A^i is continuous at x = 0, the spatial covariant derivative operator is not. The discontinuity gives precisely the source term on the right hand side of the equation, and the equations are consistent.

We can now solve the above equations of motion in the following way. We assume that the transverse eld on the side where the background eld vanishes is a simple plane wave. On the other side of the boundary where the background eld is a gauge transform of the vacuum, we take the small uctuation eld to be a linear superposition of plane waves. The linear superposition is done in a manner to ensure that the elds are continuous across x = 0. This is possible because the equations above have no delta function singularity at x = 0.

The expression for the transverse components of the uctuation eld in A = 0 gauge is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{A}_{i} &= e^{ipx}_{i} (x) + (x)^{2} \frac{d^{2}q_{t}}{(2)^{2}} e^{iq_{t}x_{t}} \\
&= e^{ipx}_{i} \frac{2p_{t}q_{t} + q_{t}^{2}}{2p} x d^{2}z_{t} e^{-iq_{t}z_{t}} U(x_{t})U^{y}(z_{t}) U(z_{t})U^{y}(x_{t}) :
\end{aligned}$$
(20)

This solution is continuous at x = 0 and was fully discussed in an earlier paper by M cLerran and Venugopalan [3].

The above expression can be substituted in equation (11) to obtain the gluon propagator in A = 0 gauge. There are four separate pieces for G_{ij} ; (x;y) which depend upon the signs of x and y. The overall expression for G is

$$G_{ij}^{i} \circ \circ (x;y) = \sum_{ij}^{Z} \frac{d^{4}p}{(2)^{4}} \frac{e^{ip(x-y)}}{p^{2} i} (x) (y)_{a}^{a} e^{0} + (x) (y)F_{a}^{i} (x_{t})F_{a}^{i} \circ \circ (y_{t}) + \frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} \frac{d^{2}q_{t}}{(2)^{2}} d^{2}z_{t}$$

$$(x) (y)e^{i(p_{t} - q_{t})(z_{t} - x_{t})}e^{-i(q_{t}^{2} - p_{t}^{2})x - 2p}F_{a}^{i} (x_{t})F_{a}^{i} \circ (z_{t})$$

$$+ (x) (y)e^{i(p_{t} - q_{t})(y_{t} - z_{t})}e^{i(q_{t}^{2} - p_{t}^{2})y - 2p}F_{a}^{i} (z_{t})F_{a}^{i} \circ (y_{t}) (21)$$

where $F_a(x_t) = U(x_t)_a U^{\gamma}(x_t)$. It can be easily checked that this G reen's function satis es

^h
$$20^{+}0 + D_{t}^{2}(A)_{ik}^{j}G_{kj}(x;y) = _{ij}^{(4)}(x - y)$$

as it must. This construction of the G reen's function was previously performed by M cLeman and Venugopalan in reference [3]. However, they incorrectly interpreted it to be the result for $A^+ = 0$ gauge, while we have shown here that it applies to $A^- = 0$ gauge only.

For the pieces of the propagator which involve the component A^+ we have

$$< A^{i} A^{+} > = < A^{i} \frac{1}{2} D^{i} (A) \quad A >$$
(22)

and

$$< A^{+} A^{+} > = < \frac{1}{0} D^{\prime} (A) \quad \tilde{A} \frac{1}{0} D^{\prime} (A) \quad \tilde{A} > i G^{++}$$
(23)

where in our convention $\langle A A \rangle = iG$ and $\langle A A \rangle$ represents the correlation of elds in the path integral sense.

4 On deriving the A⁺ = 0 propagator from the A = 0 propagator

In the previous section we derived an explicit expression for the small uctuation G reen's function in A = 0 gauge. In this section, we discuss general arguments which allow us to compute expectation values of operators in one gauge in terms of the expectation values of operators in another gauge. The formal arguments will be illustrated by the speci c example of the free gluon propagator. We are of course interested in these arguments because we want to not the gauge transform which will relate the G reen's function in Light C one gauge to the G reen's function in A = 0 gauge.

Let O (A) be some function of the eld operators evaluated in Light C one gauge, $A^+ = 0$. W e will here consider QED and later generalize to the case at hand. W e have to evaluate the expectation value

$$< O(A) > = \frac{{}^{R}[dA](A^{+})e^{iS}O(A)}{[dA](A^{+})e^{iS}};$$
 (24)

We now introduce the identity in the form

$$1 = \frac{R [d] (A + 0)}{[d] (A + 0)};$$
(25)

Inserting this into the equation for O (A), we nd that

$$< O(A) > = \frac{{}^{R} [dA][d] (A + 0) (A^{+}) e^{iS} O(A)}{[dA][d] (A + 0) (A^{+}) e^{iS}};$$
(26)

W e now perform the gauge transform ation

$$A^{\sim} = A + Q$$
 : (27)

Since both the m easure and the action are invariant under the gauge transform ation, we see that

$$< O(A) > = \frac{{}^{R}[dA^{*}][d] (A^{*}) (A^{*}) (A^{*} (A^{*}) (A^{*} (A^{*}) (A^{*})$$

Now doing the integral over $% \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$< O(A) > = \frac{{}^{R}[dA^{\sim}] (A^{\sim}) e^{iS} O(A^{\sim} (0 \frac{A^{\sim +}}{0}))}{{}^{R}[dA^{\sim}] (A^{\sim}) e^{iS}};$$
(29)

Before proceeding any further, we will be more explicit and illustrate the above approach by an example: we show that the free propagator in the absence of a background eld in $A^+ = 0$ gauge can be obtained as a gauge transform ation of the same quantity in $A^- = 0$ gauge.

Let us rst review the construction of the propagator in $A^{\sim} = 0$ gauge. Henceforth all elds in this gauge will be denoted by a A^{\sim} to distinguish them from the corresponding elds in Light C one gauge. The action for the vector eld is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} (0 \quad A^{+} \quad r \quad A^{-})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} A^{-i}_{ij} 2 A^{-j}; \qquad (30)$$

where the operator 2 is the D'A lambertian in Light C one coordinates. From the above expression for the action, we distinguish two classes of sm all uctuation elds that satisfy the equations of motion. We can see this from the following argument. To nd the solution that minimizes the action let us perform the shift

$$A^{+} ! A^{+} + \frac{1}{0} r A^{-};$$
 (31)

to obtain a purely quadratic action in A^+ and K_i . Them in imum thus corresponds to the elds that make the action vanish. We label the elds as follows: type I elds satisfy

$$(0 \quad A^{+} \quad r \quad A^{-} = 0)$$

2 $A^{-i} = 0$ (32)

whereas type II elds satisfy

$$A^{-1} = 0$$

@ $A^{-+} = 0$: (33)

The contributions of di erent components to the free propagator are then

<
$$A^{i} A^{j} > = i \frac{i^{j}}{k^{2}}$$

< $A^{i} A^{+} > = i \frac{k^{i}}{k k^{2}}$
< $A^{+} A^{+} > = i \frac{k^{2}}{(k)^{2} k^{2}} \frac{1}{(k)^{2}}$; (34)

The second term in the parenthesis above corresponds to the contribution of the expectation value $\langle A_{II}^{+} A_{II}^{+} \rangle$ to $\langle A^{+} A^{+} \rangle$. Notice that the contribution from terms like $\langle A_{I}^{+} A_{II}^{+} \rangle$ vanish. If we now combine all the above terms together we obtain the familiar expression for the gluon propagator

$$G = \frac{1}{k^2} g \frac{n k + k n}{k n} ;$$
 (35)

where n = +.

Now perform the gauge transform ation

$$A = A^{\sim} + Q \quad : \tag{36}$$

Since we are gauge transform ing to Light C one gauge, we require

$$Q^+ = A^{+} : (37)$$

W e therefore obtain

$$A^{+} = 0$$

$$A = \frac{\theta}{\theta^{+}} A^{+}$$

$$A^{i} = A^{i} \frac{\theta^{i}}{\theta^{+}} A^{+} : \qquad (38)$$

W e now use equation (29) and the previous expression for the propagator in $A^{\sim} = 0$ gauge to derive

$$G = \frac{1}{k^2} g \frac{n k + k n}{k n}$$
(39)

where n = . This result is the well known expression for the free gluon propagator in Light C one gauge.

For the case at hand, sm all uctuations around a background eld, the analysis goes through alm ost exactly as before. There are two essential di erences. The rst is that for the type of background eld we consider, the gauge transform ation property of the transverse eld is

$$A^{i} = A^{i} + D^{i} (A) : \qquad (40)$$

One should note that the particular form of the gauge transform above is valid only because we are interested in small uctuations. We are throwing away terms which correspond to higher powers of the small uctuation eld. For the plus and m inus components, the transform ation involves ordinary derivatives. We see that the analysis above goes through in the same way it did for QED.

The other di erence from the QED case is that the structure of the small uctuations analysis is more complicated. There are modes (labeled type I) which involve both A^{-1} and A^{-+} as before but these modes now couple to the background eld (see equation (38) with Q^{-1} replaced by $D^{-1}(A)$). There are also modes (type II) which involve only A^{-+} and therefore do not couple to the background eld since

 $A^{i} = 0$. The contribution of the type I m odes to the propagator arises from the distortion of the small uctuation elds by the background eld. The contribution of the type II m odes to the gluon propagator in m om entum space is

$$G_{(II)}^{++} = \frac{1}{(k_{-})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k^{2}} \frac{k_{t}^{2}}{(k_{-})^{2}} - 2\frac{k^{+}}{k}^{!}$$
(41)

The second of the two expressions in the above equations is algebraically equivalent to the rst but corresponds to a di erent way of regulating the singular behavior of the type II m odes at k = 0. In the next section, we will see that the latter choice is the one aptly suited to regularization.

5 Regularization prescriptions and results

We shall now use the method described in the previous section to derive the gluon propagator in Light C one gauge from the propagator in $A^{\sim} = 0$ gauge (equation (21)). Note that the gluon propagator here is the gluon propagator in the background eld. It will shortly become clear that we need to choose an appropriate regularization prescription to obtain the nal expression for the propagator.

If we have a uctuation eld in $A^{-} = 0$ gauge, the elds in $A^{+} = 0$ gauge are given in terms of the tilde elds as

$$A^+ = 0 \tag{42}$$

$$A = \frac{\theta}{\theta^+} A^+$$
(43)

$$A^{i} = A^{i} D^{i} (A) \frac{1}{Q^{+}} A^{+} :$$
 (44)

To derive these equations, we note that a small gauge transform ation with a xed background eld A, where A has only transverse components, is

$$A^+ ! A^+ + 0^+$$
 (45)

$$A^{i} ! A^{i} + D (A)^{i} :$$
 (47)

W e have used the fact that in A = 0 gauge

$$\emptyset \quad A^{+} = D (A)_{i} A^{i}_{i}:$$
 (48)

The transverse uctuation eld in Light C one gauge is then equation (44) which we rewrite in matrix component notation as

$$A_{i}(\mathbf{x}) = _{ik} D_{i} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}_{+}} D_{k} \qquad A_{k}^{*}(\mathbf{x}):$$
(49)

To see how the covariant derivative acts on the eld, notice that $D_k A_k^r(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{O}_k A_k^r$ ig A_k ; A_k^r] where $A_k(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x}_k) \frac{1}{g} U(\mathbf{x}_k) \mathcal{O}_k U^y(\mathbf{x}_k)$ is the background eld. Then the commutator of the background eld with A_k^r will exactly cancel the derivative acting on U's. The result is that the covariant derivative will reduce to a simple derivative which acts only on the exponential part of the eld.

Following the discussion leading to equation (29), we obtain an implicit expression for the G reen's function in Light C one gauge which we can write as the sum of the contributions of the type I and type II m odes discussed in the previous section. The form er can be written as

$$G_{ij(I)}^{(i)}(x;y) = \sum_{ik jl}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{k} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{j} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{l} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{l} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{i} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{i} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{i} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \frac{1}{(0, 0)} D_{i} \sum_{ik}^{(i)} D_{i} \sum_{i$$

where $G_{kl(I)}^{;0}(x;y)$ is the G reen's function in A = 0 gauge given by equation (21). The subscript I in the above equation denotes the contribution of the type I class of sm all uctuation elds.

W e must add to this expression the contribution from the gauge transform of $G_{(II)}^{++}$. This can be expressed as

$$G_{ij(II)}^{;0}(x;y) = D_{i}\frac{1}{Q^{+}} D_{i}\frac{1}{Q^{+}} (y) = D_{i}\frac{1}{Q^{+}} (y) D_{i}\frac{1}{Q^{+}} (y) (G^{++})_{(II)}^{;0}(x;y); (51)$$

where

$$(G^{++})_{(II)}^{;00}(x;y) = (a)_{a}^{;00} (a)_{a}^{;00} \frac{d^{4}k}{(2)^{4}} \frac{e^{ik(x-y)}}{(k)^{2}} :$$
 (52)

It is now straightforward to construct sim ilar expressions for non {diagonal com ponents of the G meen's function. Even though only transverse components of the Light C one gauge G meen's function are needed to compute the gluon distribution function, we will give an implicit expression for all components for the sake of com pleteness. It is

"

In this expression ; = 1;2; while ; = 1;2; ;+ and again G' (x;y) is the G reen's function in A = 0 gauge. From here on, we will concentrate on the transverse components only.

It is evident from the above equations that in relating the elds in the two gauges, one has to de ne 1=0⁺ and 1=0⁻. These operators are not well de ned unless some prescription is adopted for treating the singularity at $k^+ = 0$ and at $k^- = 0$. Presum ably, there is no physics in these singularities (when one computes gauge invariant quantities, di erent prescriptions should give the same results. It has how ever proven m ost convenient to choose a Feynman like i prescription for regularizing this singularity, the so called Leibbrandt (M and elstam prescription [4]. This prescription how ever spoils the Herm iticity of the eld Aⁱ. This is not a new problem since even in the absence of our background eld, such a prescription will destroy the reality properties of A in A⁺ = 0 gauge. It is indeed unpleasant, but it does make for a G reen's function which is manifestly causal. The price to be paid for a sm all lack of Herm iticity of the elds is sm all compared to the price of dealing

with non{causalG reen's functions, albeit all such am biguities must com e to naught when com puting gauge invariant quantities.

W e must now establish our prescriptions for de ning the inversion of (. W e rst begin with $\frac{1}{k}$. For this operator we use the ordinary Leibbrandt{M and elstam prescription

$$\frac{1}{k} ! \frac{1}{k + i = k^{+}}:$$
(54)

For the operator $1=0^+$, we choose a prescription which guarantees that A $j_{x=0}=$ 0. This integration choice is in fact a further speci cation of the gauge and xes the residual gauge freedom completely. This is because if we work in A⁺ = 0 gauge, we can still make x independent gauge transform ations. We can therefore adjust the value of A at any xed value of x which we choose to be x = 0. The virtue of this particular gauge choice is that it makes the source of charge time independent, since in this case the extended current conservation law is

Our prescription will therefore be

$$\frac{1}{0} F(x) = \int_{0}^{Z_{x}} dx^{0} F(x^{0}):$$
(56)

Let us see what this corresponds to in momentum space. If we de ne

$$F'(k^+) = dx e^{ik^+ x} F(x)$$
 (57)

then

$$\overset{Z}{}_{0} x dx^{0} F (x^{0}) = \frac{Z}{2} \frac{dk^{+}}{2} \frac{1}{ik^{+}} (e^{ik^{+}x} 1)F'(k^{+}):$$
 (58)

We see that with this integration prescription, there is never any singularity in Fourier space as $k^+ \ ! \ 0.$

The reason why this prescription is not offen employed is because the types of elds one ordinarily considers are oscillatory at in nity, and therefore vanish in the R iem ann {Lebesgue sense. In such cases it is more convenient to choose $1=0^{+}=\frac{R_{x}}{1}$ or $1=0^{+}=\frac{R_{1}}{x}$ since in the sense described above one can ignore the contribution from in nity [10]. In the Leibbrandt-M and latam prescription, positive frequencies propagate forward in time and negative frequencies propagate backward in time. For our problem where we have a nucleus acting as a source of charge, the elds do not vanish at x = 1. There is therefore no advantage to choosing an integration prescription with one of its integration limits at either 1.

We can now combine terms together to get an expression for the propagator. The derivation of $G_{ij(II)}$ from equation (51) is rather subtle and we shall elaborate a little on it. We can easily do the k^+ and k_t integrations in equation (52) to get

$$G_{(II)}^{++}(x;y) = a a^{(2)}(x_t y_t)(x y_t) = a^{(2)}(x_t y_t) =$$

It is clear that we have locality in both transverse and m inus coordinates. Hence there will not be any contributions from the regions $(x \) \ (y \)$ and $(x \) \ (y \)$. Then

$$(D^{i})_{(x)} (D^{j})_{(y)} G^{++}_{(II)} = (x) (y)_{a a} (y)_{a a} (y)_{a a} (y)_{a} (x_{t} y_{t})$$

$$+ (x) (y)_{a} (x_{t})_{a} (y_{t}) (y)_{a} (y)_{a} (y)_{a} (y_{t}) (y_{t})$$

$$+ (x) (y)_{a a} (y)_{a a} (y)_{a a} (y)_{a} (y_{t}) (y_{t})$$

$$+ (x) (y)_{a a} ($$

We now write the {functions in their Fourier representations and replace

"

$$\frac{1}{(k_{\rm c})^2}! \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{k_{\rm t}^2}{(k_{\rm c})^2} 2\frac{k^+}{k}! \qquad (60)$$

Finally, making use of the identity

$$\frac{Z}{2} \frac{dk^{+}}{2} (2k^{+})e^{-ik^{+}(x-y)} = i \frac{Q}{Qx} \frac{Z}{2} \frac{dk^{+}}{2}e^{-ik^{+}(x-y)} \frac{dk^{+}}{2}e^{-ik^{+}(x-y)}; \quad (61)$$

we can write equation (51) as

$$G_{ij(II)}^{(i)}(x;y) = \frac{Z}{(2)^4} \frac{d^4p}{p^2} \frac{e^{ip(x-y)}}{i} \quad (x)(y)_a a^{0} + (x)(y)$$

$$F_a(x_t)F_a^{(i)}(y_t) \frac{p_ip_j}{p p^+} (e^{ip^+x} - 1) + \frac{p_ip_j}{p p^+} (e^{-ip^+y} - 1)$$

$$+ \frac{p_t^2 p_i p_j}{(p - p^+)^2} (e^{ip^+x} - 1) (e^{-ip^+y} - 1) ; \qquad (62)$$

The derivation of an analogous expression for $G_{ij(I)}$ in equation (50) follows directly from the prescription rules discussed above. The reader may con rm that each term in equation (62) cancels an identical term in $G_{ij(I)}$. Our nalexpression for the G reen's function in Light C one gauge is then

where $q^+ = p^+ + \frac{q_t^2 - p_t^2}{2p}$. Equation (63) is the main result of this paper. In a forthcom ing work, it will be used to compute the gluon distribution function in the W eizsacker{W illiam s background eld to O ($\frac{2}{s}$). There we will show explicitly that when computing the gluon distribution function from the expression (63), the only prescription needed to deal with poles is the Feynman (causual) i prescription. In other words, the nature of the additional p poles (besides the usual one in p^2 i) in the G reen's function is unimportant. Keep in mind that there are no

additional poles in p^+ since their residues vanish. As a result, we never have to use any additional i prescription to compute the gluon distribution function to this order. We are not sure if this happens in all orders of perturbation theory or if it is an amusing coincidence specie to 0 ($\frac{2}{5}$).

6 Summary

In this paper we have computed the propagator for transverse gluons in the Light C one gauge $A^+ = 0$ and in the presence of a background W eizsacker{W illiams eld produced by the valence quarks of a heavy nucleus. We have argued that such a propagator can be obtained from the propagator in the A = 0 gauge by a gauge transform ation. This method has the advantage of avoiding the di culties introduced by the singular behavior of the elds at x = 1 caused by the presence of the sources. The gauge transform ation requires a choice for the inverse of the operators $(0, 0^+)$. We chose these to be such that the gluon elds satisfy the boundary condition $A_{k}^- = 0 = 0$ and the usual Feynman causal behavior. The expression for the gluon propagator in $A^+ = 0$ gauge is necessary if we want to extract from it the inform ation about the gluon density which can be com pared to experimental measurem ents.

In the above analysis, there are still m any subtle questions remaining about the independence of physical quantities from the precise choice of the regularization prescription for the small k and k^+ singularities. We have shown that there is a gauge where there are no singularities and that the subsequent singularities in the Light C one gauge G reen's function arise from the gauge transform ation relations. Then, it is only sensible that the physical quantities com puted here will be independent of the nature of these singularities.

At the very least it seems we have a consistent prescription. It should be noted that because of the gauge choice, there is no am biguity about the lim it k^+ ! 0. The

propagator is smooth in this lim it as a consequence of the way we have specied the residual gauge degree of freedom. If it were only the free transverse propagator which was of interest, we would have a non {singular propagator at small k^+ . The problem we have with the distribution function is however at small k. These singularities have been regularized in the only causal way possible, by an i prescription. The question of physical interest is whether these small k, or correspondingly, large k^+ singularities cause any problem s at higher orders. It has been argued extensively in the literature that the prescription we chose for k is essential to prove the renorm alizability of Y ang {M ills theories in Light C one gauge [11].

A cknow ledgm ents

W e acknow ledge useful conversations with M ikhail Voloshin. R.V. would like to thank M athias Burkhardt for discussions. Two of us, A A. and J.J.M., would like to thank R.Rodr guez and R.M adden for their valuable comments. This research was supported by the U.S.D epartment of Energy under grants No.DOE H igh Energy DE {AC 02{83ER 40105, No.DOE Nuclear DE {FG 02{87ER {40328, No.DOE Nuclear DE {FG 06{90ER {40561, and by the DGAPA/UNAM /M exico.

References

- L.M cLerran, R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 49 2233 (1994); Phys. Rev. D 49 3352 (1994).
- [2] A.H.Mueller, Nucl. Phys. B 415 373 (1994).
- [3] L.McLerran, R.Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 50 2225 (1994).
- [4] G.Leibbrandt, Phys. Rev. D 29 1699 (1984); S.M andelstam, Nucl. Phys. B 213 149 (1983).

[5] A.C.Kalloniatis and H.C.Pauli, Heidelberg preprint MPIH-V15-1993.

- [6] A H.M ueller, Columbia University preprint CU-TP-658.
- [7] S.J. Brodsky and G.P. Lapage, in Perturbative Quantum Chromodynamics,
 P.93, edited by A.H. Mueller (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1989).
- [8] S.J.Brodsky and H.-C.Pauli, Lectures at 30th Schladming W inter School in Particle Physics, Schladming, Austria, M arch 1991.
- [9] A. Bassetto, M. Dalbosco, I. Lazzizzera and R. Soldati, Phys. Rev. D 31 2012 (1985).
- [10] J.B.Kogut and D.E.Soper, Phys. Rev. D 1 2901 (1970).
- [11] G. Leibbrandt, Rev. M od. Phys. 59 (1987) 1067; A. Bassetto, G. Nardelli and R. Soldati, Yang{M ills Theories in Algebraic non{Covariant Gauges, W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1991.