# Q CD sum rules w ith $n$ ite $m$ asses 


#### Abstract
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The concept of QCD sum rules is extended to bound states com posed of particles w ith nite $m$ ass such as scalar quarks or strange quarks. It tums out that $m$ ass corrections becom e im portant in this context. T he num ber of relevant corrections is analyzed in a system atic discussion of the $\mathbb{R}$ - and UV-divergencies, leading in general to a nite num ber of corrections. The results are dem onstrated for a system of tw o $m$ assless quarks and tw o heavy scalar quarks.


## 1 Introduction

In the last fteen years the concept of Q CD sum rules invented by Shifm an, Vainshtein and Zakharov 信] has been very well developed. T he intention was to include non-perturbative e ects in QCD calculations, which naturally becom e im portant when dealing w ith hadron physics. The concept of vacuum condensates is best illustrated in the case ofchiralsym $m$ etry, which is spontaneously broken forhadrons as one can see by com paring the $m$ asses ofdi erent $m$ esons $w$ ith identicalquantum num bers (i.e. and $a_{1} m$ eson). A direct consequence of spontaneous breakdow $n$ of any sym $m$ etry is the appearance of non-vanishing vacuum condensates. T he idea of QCD sum rules is to param etrize the non-trivial QCD vacuum $w$ th vacuum condensates, including the chiral condensate $<\bar{q} q>$, and in this w ay to realize a param etrization of non-perturbative e ects in QCD.

T he condensates appear as $m$ atrix elem ents in the operator-product-expansion [2] (OPE) of a physical function (i.e. the polarization function) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{2}\right) \quad x_{j=1}^{x^{2}}<0-B_{j}(0) j 0>d^{Z} x E_{j}(x) e^{i q x} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expansion separates perturbative ( $W$ ilson coe cents $E_{j}(x)$ ) and non-perturbative (condensates < $0 \beta_{j}(0) j 0>$ ) parts, being thus com pletely calculable w ith perturbative Feynm an rules. It can be related to the hadronic spectnum through a dispersion relation $w$ ith one subtraction $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{OPE}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{q}{}_{Z_{1}^{2}}^{0} d s \frac{\operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{Had}(s)}{s\left(s q^{2}\right)}+C \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the im aginary part of the function $\quad \mathrm{H}$ ad is connected to the hadronic spectrum. In that way it is possible to get hadronic quantities like the $m$ ass of a bound state as a function of a few vacuum condensates, which are tted to a large num ber of hadronic data.

The kind of condensates being relevant in the OPE depends strongly on the avour com position and the quantum num bers of the bound state considered. In the case of light quarks (up or down) there is strong evidence for the presence of quark-antiquark pairs in the vacuum, so that apart from the gluon-condensates the quark-condensates have to be taken into account. If the particles are heavy quarks (charm or heavier) the value of the corresponding condensates becom es very sm all and $m$ ay be neglected, so that the calculation $m$ ay lead to a reasonable result by including the ghon-condensate only. T he strange quark, how ever, poses a problem because it is neither light nor heavy. T herefore its condensate can not be neglected a priori, and in order to take it into account, it is necessary to treat m assive quarks in $Q C D$ sum rules | a problem unsolved up to now. W e derive several results necessary to approach this goal and elucidate the problem s occuring.

This article is organized as follow s. W e shall illustrate the problem of nite $m$ ass sum nules $w$ ith a speci c exam ple (introduced in section 2), a detailed study of which will be published elsew here. In the third section we give an expression for the $m$ atrix elem ents of nom al-ordered, nonlocal eld products | which occurs in the expansion of the correlator under consideration |, dem onstrating that the
nite $m$ asses cause corrections to the com $m$ only used expressions. A fterw ards som e of the $W$ ilson coe cients introduced above will.be discussed w ith special attention to the appearing infrared and ultraviolett divergencies and to the relevance for the sum rule.

## 2 The system under consideration

For studying the in uence of $m$ ass term $s$ in $Q C D$ sum nules calculations we will consider a four-particle bound state com posed of two light ferm ionic quarks and two heavy scalar quarks. The last ones are hypothetical particles introduced in QCD phenom enologically. Scalar quarks are predicted by the GUT -theory supersym $m$ etry [⿶凵1] (they are called squarks), such that we are not $m$ erely dealing $w$ ith a toy $m$ odel. The physical problem $m$ otivating this $m$ odel is wether or not the 2 quark \{ 2 squark bound state $m$ ay be energetically $m$ ore favourable than a 2 squark
 squark bound state can be calculated | using QCD sum rules | in dependence of di erent new condensates and of the squark $m$ ass. T hese param eters are unknow $n$,
but if one could nd a reasonable choige of them, such that the 2 quark \{ 2 squark bound state $m$ ass becom es $s m$ all, this w ould be m ost interesting for supersym $m$ etry phenom enology. The results of these calculations w ill be published elsw here.

In the follow ing calculation the quark $m$ asses are neglected and the scalar quarks are treated as $m$ assive particles. In order to calculate the $m$ ass of the low est lying bound state of this system, the OPE of the polarization-finction corresponding to the diagram in $F$ igure 'iי1 has to be considered (see eq. ( $\mathbb{1} \mathbf{1}$ ) ), which is given by the two-point-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k^{2}\right)=\frac{i g^{2}}{3} d^{4}(x \quad y) e^{i k(x y)} g<0 \jmath f J \quad(x) ; J \quad(y) g j 0> \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ denotes the tim e ordered product. The current $J(x)$ contains an incom ing and outgoing ferm ionic and scalar quark:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(x)=g^{-}(x) \quad(x)^{-}(x) \quad(x) \quad: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The present sum rule is calculated in lowest order of QCD perturbation theory. $T$ hus the exchange ofgluons and the coupling to the gluon condensate are neglected.

U sually QCD sum rule calculations are carried out in con guration space. In the case ofm assless particles this choige sim pli es the calculations: the propagators are as sim ple as in $m$ om entum space, but the num ber of integrations is reduced to one, while in $m$ om entum space the num ber of integrations is equal to the num ber of loops in the corresponding Feynm an diagram. H ow ever, in the case of particles $w$ ith non-vanishing $m$ asses the propagators becom $e$ very cum bersom $e$, so that in m ost cases calculations in m om entum space w ill be m ore convenient.

The next step is to nd the explicit form of the OPE for the polarization function $(\overline{\mathrm{G}})$. The tim e-ordered product has to be expanded into norm al-ordered products w ith attention to the non-vanishing vacuum condensates. In this way one gets sixteen term $s$, each of them corresponding to one diagram : rst there is the perturbative diagram (see gure, $\overline{-}$ ), which corresponds to the fully contracted term w thout any condensates
where $S\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right)$ is the Feynm an-propagator of the quarks and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y\end{array}\right)$ is the scalar quark propagator. T he traces are taken over color-and D irac-indioes in the case of ferm ionic propagators and in color space only in the case of scalar propagators.

There are eight term s containing one non-contracted pair of ferm ionic eld operators of the form

$$
+i<0 j()_{i k} S_{k 1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & x \tag{6}
\end{array}\right)()_{1 j}: Z_{i}(y) \quad j(x): \operatorname{Trf} \quad(y \quad x) \quad(x \quad y) g j 0>
$$



Figure 1: Perturbative Feynm an diagram with two scalar (nom al lines) and two ferm ion propagators (heavy lines)
which all vanish independently of the scalar part of the term : the condensate of $m$ assless ferm ions is proportional to ij, such that a trace over an odd num ber of m atrices appears in the ferm ionic part of the expression.

The term without any contraction is vanishing as well, because it contains no propagator, so that there is no m om entum ow through the diagram.

Six diagram s are left w th one or two non-contracted pairs of scalar eld operators, for exam ple the contribution from gurè $\uparrow$ ?

$$
i<0 j \operatorname{rff}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y}) & \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{x}) & \mathrm{g} & (\mathrm{x}  \tag{7}\\
\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{y}) & :^{-}(\mathrm{x}) \quad(\mathrm{y}): j 0>\quad:
\end{array}\right.
$$

W hen expanding expressions like that given in eq. ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}$ ) one quite generally encounters nonlocal norm al ordered product of scalar or ferm ionic eld operators. In these products the eld operators are taken at di erent points in M inkow ski space, while the vacuum condensates of scalar or ferm ionic elds are de ned at the sam e points. N evertheless, the nonlocal norm al ordered products com $m$ only are identi ed with the vacuum condensates. W e clam that this procedure is correct only in zeroth order in the $m$ ass of the particles under consideration.

## 3 M ass corrections to the condensates

### 3.1 C onden sates of scalars

In the previous section we have show $n$, that vacuum expectation values of nom al ordered products of scalar elds at di erent space-tim e points of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { < } 0 \text { j: } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

occur in the operator product expansion. H ere the greek letters denote the color space indioes im portant in the follow ing. $W$ ith the ob ject of establishing a relation between the expression $(\underset{\text { g }}{\mathbf{-}})$ and the vacuum condensates de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
<->:=^{x}<0 j:^{-}(x) \quad(x): j 0> \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

we w ill have to expand one of the elds in eq.'( $(\overline{1})$ w ith respect to the space-time point y belonging to the second eld. The corresponding Taylor series is

$$
-(x)=x_{n=0}^{x^{A}} \frac{1}{n!}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)^{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & y \tag{10}
\end{array}\right)^{-} @_{1} \quad @_{n} \quad(y) \quad:
$$

To preserve gauge invariance the ordinary derivatives must be replaced by the covariant ones.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(x)=x_{n=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{1}{n!}(x \quad y)^{1} \quad\left(x x^{n} y\right)^{-} D_{1} \quad D_{n} \quad(y) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The replacem ent is allow ed if there exists a gauge for which the above two expressions are equivalent. The coordinate gauge $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{A}^{a}=0$ has exactly this property (see for exam ple [15]

U sing this expansion we will derive an expression of the fill series describing the nonlocal eld product in eq. ${ }^{\prime}(\beta)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { < 0j: (x) (y): } \mathrm{j}^{-} \gg \\
& =\left\langle 0 j:^{-}\right. \text {(y) (y):j0> } \\
& +\left\langle 0 j:-D_{1} \quad \text { (y) } \quad \text { (y): } \boldsymbol{j} 0>1\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\langle 0 j:-{ }_{D_{1}} D_{2} \quad(y) \quad(y): j 0>\quad 1 \quad 2\right. \\
& + \\
& +\frac{1}{m!}<0 j:^{-D_{1}} D_{m} \quad(y) \quad(y): j 0>\quad{ }^{1} \quad{ }^{m} \\
& +
\end{aligned}
$$

where $=\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y}$.
The terms in eq. (1-2̄) can contribute to the series only if the corresponding operators do not have any uncontracted Lorentz index, for the vacuum state is a scalar state. C onsequently only the term $s$ w ith an even number of covariant derivatives are of interest (the rem aining term sw illbe renum bered using $n:=m=2$ ). A llcolor and Lorentz indigesm ust be contracted in this term s , so that the structure
of the vacuum expectation value in color and Lorentz space is xed a priori:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <0 j:^{-} \text {(y) (y):j0> }=C_{0} \\
& \left\langle 0 j:{ }^{-} D_{1} D_{2} \text { (y) (y):j0>=C} C_{12} g_{12}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.<0 j^{-} D_{1} \quad D_{2 n}(y) \quad(y): j\right\rangle=C_{n}\left\{\frac{\left(g_{12} g_{34}\right.}{(2 n 1)!!}\left\{\sum_{\text {tem } S}^{2 \mathrm{~g}_{12 \mathrm{n}}+\text { perm }}\right)\right\} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are to be calculated by contracting the equations ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3}\right)$ w th the $m$ etric tensor $g^{1}{ }^{2}$, using the $K$ lein-G ordon eld equation $\left(2+m^{2}\right)(y)=0 \mathrm{w}$ ith $2: g \mathrm{D} D$, and sum m ing over all rem aining indioes. By this procedure one gets the condensates $(\underset{9}{-9}) \mathrm{m}$ ultiplied $w$ ith the $m$ ass in the corresponding dim ension on the left hand side and the coe cient of interest w th a num erical factor on the right hand side. For instance:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <{ }^{-}>=^{x}<0 j:^{-} \text {(y) (y) : j0 }> \\
& =C_{0}{ }^{x} \quad=N_{C} C_{0} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad C_{0}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}}<->  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{m}^{2}<->={ }^{\mathrm{X}}<0 j:-\mathrm{g}^{12} \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2} \text { (y) (y): j0> } \\
& =C_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~g}_{12}=4 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad C_{1}=\frac{m^{2}}{4 N_{c}}<->  \tag{15}\\
& m^{4}<->={ }^{x}<0 j:-g^{12} g^{3}{ }^{4} D_{1} D_{2} D_{3} D_{4} \quad(y) \quad(y): j 0> \\
& =C_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{1^{2} g^{3}{ }^{4}\left(g_{1_{2}} g_{34}+g_{1_{3}} g_{24}+g_{14} g_{2}\right)} \\
& =\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}_{2} 16+\mathrm{g}_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{2}^{3}+\mathrm{g}_{4}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{2}{ }^{4}=24 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}_{2} \\
& \Rightarrow \quad C_{2}=\frac{m^{4}}{24 N_{c}}<-> \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the num ber of colors.

The general form of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}>2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2 \mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}}<->; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}:=\mathrm{g}^{12} \quad{ }^{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~g}^{12 n} \quad \mathrm{~g}_{12} \quad{ }_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~g}_{12 \mathrm{n}}+\text { perm utations }: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each contraction of two covariant derivatives one gets a factor $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ com ing from the $K$ lein-G ordon eld equation, all term s contain the sam e factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ from the sum $m$ ation in color space, and the factor $G_{n}$ is appearing by construction. O ne can easily verify that the relation $G_{n}=2^{n}(n+1)$ ! holds for all $n$.

In this way the coe cients $C_{n}$ of eq. ( $(\overline{1}$ found an expression for all the vacuum expectation values ofeq. (13). Inserting this into the expansion (12) we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <0 j:-(x) \quad(y): j 0>={ }_{n=0}^{x^{1}}(1)^{n} \frac{1}{(2 n)!2^{n} N_{c}(n+1)!}<m^{2 n}> \\
& \left\{\frac{\left(g_{12} g_{34}\right.}{(2 \mathrm{n} 1)!!}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{12 \mathrm{n}}+\text { perm }}{\text { term } \mathrm{S}}\right)\right. \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

The ( $2 \mathrm{n} \quad 1$ )!! term s contract the 2 n dim ensional tensor ${ }^{1} \quad{ }^{2 n}$ yielding ( 2 n 1)! ! $\left({ }^{2}\right)^{n}$, so that we are left w th the fiull expression of the nonlocal eld product in eq. ( $(\overline{1})$ ) to all orders in the m ass:

$$
<0 j:-\quad(x) \quad(y): j>=\frac{1}{N_{c}}<>_{n=0}^{x^{1}}(1)^{n} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} \frac{m}{2}_{4}^{n} \quad ; \text { (20) }
$$

The sum can be perform ed giving:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0 j:-(x) \quad(y): j 0\rangle=\frac{1}{N_{c}}<->\frac{2}{m j} J_{1}(m j j) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is not so surprising, because there is a connection between the scalar propagator and the B essel functions. T he left hand side ofeq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ has the structure of a scalar propagator as well. In this sense it $m$ ay be meaningful to call the vacuum expectation value of a nonlocal nom al ordered product of two elds a non-perturbative propagator.

In the case of vanishing $m$ ass the series reduces to the com $m$ only used relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0 j:^{-}(x) \quad(y): j 0>=\frac{1}{N_{c}}<-> \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For nonvanishing $m$ ass the higher-order term $s$ of the series have to be taken into account. T he question arises wether the series is convergent and which order still is im portant in a speci c calculation? The answ er depends strongly on the value $m^{2}{ }^{2}$ where ${ }^{2}$ is the distance betw een the two vertioes at x and y , which is inversely proportional to the $m$ ass $M^{2}$ of the incom ing state to be evaluated by QCD sum rules. So the ratio $m=M$ of the com posite particle $m$ ass and the bound state $m$ ass determ ine the im portance of the higher-order term s . For instance $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{M}=1$ leads to a 10\% correction in rst order, whilem $=\mathrm{M}=2$ blow sup the rst order correction to $50 \%$ and the second order term m akes another $10 \%$ contribution.

To conclude: the higher-order corrections $m$ ay be neglected for system $s$ where a heavy bound state is constructed from light particles. H ow ever, the higher-order corrections becom e dom inant if the bound state is lighter than its constituent particles. For instance this could happen in the supersym $m$ etric system introduced in the second section. In the last case the exact form ( $\left.\underline{2}-\bar{O}_{1}\right)$ or (2̄11) has to be used.

### 3.2 C ondensates of ferm ions

A treatm ent analogous to eq. ( $\overline{-1})$ ) is possible also for ferm ion elds instead of the scalar elds used in the previous section. This is especially im portant if the interest lies in the treatm ent of nite $m$ asses in $Q C D$ sum nules em erging in $m$ ass calculations of strange baryons [बब̄].

The follow ing nonlocal norm al-ordered product of ferm ion eld operators is to be expanded

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 0 j:{ }_{i}(x)_{j}(y): j 0>\quad:\right. \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the elds carry a D irac index (latin letter) in addition to the color index (greek letter). The ferm ion condensate is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
<->={ }_{i j}^{x}<0 j:_{i}(x)_{j}(x): j 0> \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding expansion of one ferm ion eld preserving gauge invariance reads (see eq. ( $\left.\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)\right)$

$$
i(y)=x_{n=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{1}{n!}(y \quad x)^{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.{ }^{n} x\right) D_{1} \quad{ }_{n} D_{i}(x) \tag{25}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This series can be evaluated in close analogy to the case of the scalar eld treated

the expansion of the eld with an even num ber of derivatives give a contribution to the series (see discussion after eq. (12i)), while in the case of ferm ion elds the term $s$ w ith an odd num ber of derivatives are non-vanishing, for the $D$ irac equation (in m ) $=0$ is of rst order in the derivatives.

The Lorentz structure of the even term $s$ is the one shown in eq. (1301) w ith an additional factor ${ }_{i j}$. E ach odd term has the sam e structure as the corresponding even term $w$ ith one $m$ ore derivative, except for the replacem ent of one $g$ by It tums out that the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ( $\mathrm{n} 20 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ ) corresponding to eq. (1]ī) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{(\mathrm{im})^{\mathrm{n}}}{4 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}}<-> \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the even coe cients $G_{2 n}$ coincide with those for scalar elds while the odd coe cients are given by $G_{2 n}=G_{2 n}$. This means that the coe cient of a term w ith an odd num ber of derivatives is equal to the coe cient of the follow ing term w ith an even num ber of derivatives (except a factor im ), which are determ ined by $\mathrm{G}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=2^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}+1)$ ! like in the case of scalar elds. A fter som e algebra the w hole series corresponding to eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{0})$ ) reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle 0 j:_{i}(x) \text { j }(y): j 0\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{i j}{4 N_{c}}<>^{P}{ }_{n=0}^{1}(1)^{n} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!}{\frac{m^{2}}{4}}^{n}  \tag{27}\\
& +\frac{\mathrm{im}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{ij}}{4 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}<->6^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}=1}(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{n} 1)!(\mathrm{n}+1)!}{\frac{m^{2} 2}{4}}^{\mathrm{n} 1}
\end{align*}
$$

This result can be veri ed by acting with ( $+i Q+m$ ) on the series '( $\overline{2}(\overline{0})$ for scalar elds

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0 j: \square_{i}(x)_{j}(y): j 0>\quad!\quad(i \in+m)<0 j:-\quad(x) \quad(y): j 0> \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the replacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
m<->!<->\frac{i j}{4} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

necessary on dim ensional grounds. So that the above series can be rew ritten:

$$
\begin{align*}
& <0 j:_{i}(x)_{j}(y): j 0> \\
& =\frac{i j}{4 N_{c}}<->\frac{i 6+m}{m^{P}}{ }_{n=0}^{1}(1)^{n} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} \frac{m^{2} 2^{n}}{4}  \tag{30}\\
& =\frac{i j}{2 N_{c}}<->\frac{i 6+m}{m^{2} j j} J_{1}(m j j)
\end{align*}
$$

A gain the com $m$ only used form ula can be recovered as low est order term in the $m$ ass expansion looking at eq. $(\underline{2 \overline{2} \overline{-1})}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 0 j:_{i}(x)_{j}(y): j 0\right\rangle=\frac{i j}{4 N_{c}}<->; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h i c h$ rem ains correct for vanishing $m$ ass. In the case of non-vanishing $m$ ass the higher-order contributions of eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}^{\prime}\right)$ should be taken into account. Belyaev and Io e tried to explain the $m$ ass splitting of strange and nonstrange baryons using QCD sum rules $\left[\frac{1-1]}{-1}\right.$. It would be interesting to calculate the im portance of these $m$ ass corrections to their results.

## $4 \quad \mathbb{R}$-divergencies and $h$ igher-order $m$ ass term $s$

The relevant equation in QCD sum nules calculations is a dispersion relation with one subtraction (see eq. (2̄))), which gives a relation between the polarization function on the quark level| expandedw ith the use of the OPE (eq. (1])) | and the hadronic spectrum. The sum rule is obtained by taking the B orel transform ation ofeq. ( $\underline{i}_{1}^{2}$ ), which has the property of isolating the low est state of the hadronic spectrum (the calculation of $m$ om ents is an altemative $m$ ethod of isolating the lowest state $\left.\left[\frac{1}{1}, 1\right]\right)$. The further the second state in the spectrum is separated from the low est state, the better the isolation works. A typical form ula giving an explicit value for the $m$ ass of the low est state is
$w$ here $t$ is the B orel transform ation param eter.
The main point in this formula is the sole appearance of the im aginary part of ope, so that one needs only to calculate the term $s$ being relevant for the im aginary part. T he typical stnucture of a dim ensional-regularized Feynm an graph $G\left(q^{2}\right)("=4 \quad d)$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(q^{2}\right) \quad \frac{2}{"}+\text { onst: }+\ln \quad \frac{4}{2}_{q^{2}}^{l)} P(q) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(q)$ is a purely polynom ial expression and is the renom alization param eter. $R$ em em bering the analytic continuation to $q^{2}>0$ the whole im aginary part of such a graph is hidden in the logarithm :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{42^{!}}{q^{2}}=\ln \frac{42^{2}}{q^{2}}+i \quad\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the previous section a com plete expression for nonlocal eld products to all orders in the $m$ ass was derived and the notion of a nonperturbative propagator was introduced. In the follow ing we show that in general it is justi ed to restrict the calculation of a Feynm an diagram containing a condensate to a nite num ber of
higher-order corrections in them ass. To ilhustrate the argum ent we treat the case of one condensate of scalar elds, which represents a large class of graphs of the sam e structure. The corresponding graph is show in gure i . The broken line represents


F igure 2: Feynm an diagram with a scalar propagator, a condensate of scalar elds and an arbitrary additional part
the condensate, the full line is a scalar propagator and the hatched area represents an arbitrary additionalstructure. T his could be a m assless ferm ion loop, for exam ple, which would occur in the sum rule of the 2 quark $\{2$ squark system introduced in the second section and which corresponds to eq. ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ) . The corresponding algebraic expression $G\left(k^{2}\right)$ including the $m$ ass corrections to all orders reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(k^{2}\right) \quad<^{-}>^{z} \frac{d^{4} q}{(2)^{4}} f(k \quad q)^{2} \\
& \underbrace{\frac{d^{4} p}{(2)^{4}} \quad(p \quad q) x_{n=0}^{x^{4}} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} \frac{m^{2} 2_{p}{ }^{!}{ }^{n}}{4}(p)}_{I_{p}=} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where the Fourier transform ation of eq. ( $2 \overline{0} \overline{0})$ has been used and the sym bol $2 \mathrm{p}=$ $\frac{\varrho}{@ p_{0}} ; r_{p} \frac{e}{\varrho_{\mathrm{p}}} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{p}}$ was introduced. The function $f$ represents the hatched area in gure ${ }^{2}$ and ( $p$ q) is the scalar propagator.

To evaluate the integral $I_{p}$ it is useful to re ect once $m$ ore on the signi cance of the notion of the nonperturbative propagator np given by the series ( $(\underline{2} \mathbf{O}-)$. The nonperturbative propagator satis es the free $K$ lein-G ordon equation so that the relation $\quad$ Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{Z} d^{4} p g\left(p^{2} ; p ;::\right)_{N P}(p)={ }^{Z} d^{4} p g\left(m^{2} ; p ;:::\right)_{N P}(p) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for an arbitrary function $g\left(p^{2} ; p ;:::\right)$ in lowest order of perturbation theory. N ote that the calculations already before were restricted to low est order of perturbation theory, so that this is no additional restriction. By applying the replacem ent rule (
( $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q}$ ) $=\left[\mathrm{q}^{2} 2 \mathrm{pq}+\mathrm{i}\right]^{1}$. The n -th order di erentiation of the distribution
(p) is carried out by n di erentiations of the sm pli ed propagator and after som e algebra eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(k^{2}\right) \quad<^{-}>^{Z} \frac{d^{4} q}{(2)^{4}} f \quad(k \quad q)^{2}{ }_{n=0}^{x^{3}} \frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!} \frac{m^{2 n}}{\left(q^{2}+i\right)^{n+1}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar argum ent can be repeated for any other function $g$ instead of $g(p)=$ (p q). In particular a ferm ion propagator would lead to a sim ilar result.

The infrared and ultraviolet behaviour of eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{7} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is determ ined by the low est and highest power ( $m_{1}$ and $m_{h}$ ) of $q$ in the function $f\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & \left.q)^{2}\right) \text {. So } G\left(k^{2}\right) \text { is } U V-1 . ~\end{array}\right.$ divergent if $n \quad \frac{m_{h}+2}{2}$ is ful lled, while it is $\mathbb{R}$-divergent if $n \quad \frac{m_{1}+2}{2}$ is ful lled. O ne im portant conclusion can be draw $n$ : only a nite num ber of tem s containing UV -divergencies exists. There is a problem region $\frac{m_{1}+1}{2} \quad n \quad \frac{m_{h}+1}{2} w$ th both $U V$ - and $\mathbb{R}$-divergencies occuring, and nally an in nite number of tem $s w$ ith possible $\mathbb{R}$-divergencies exists.

To illustrate the above rules, the 2 quark \{ 2 squark system introduced in the second section is treated explicitly. In this case the function $\left.f\left(\begin{array}{ll}(k \quad q)\end{array}\right)^{2}\right)$ in eq. ( $\left.3 \overline{\mathrm{I}} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ becom es the regularized $m$ assless ferm ion loop diagram, so that eq. ( 3 극) now reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G\left(k^{2}\right)=g^{2} \frac{8 N_{c}{ }^{2}}{3(2)^{4}}<>_{n=0}^{x^{4}} \frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!}\left(m^{2}\right)^{n} \\
& \underbrace{\left.i \frac{d^{4} q}{(2)^{4}} \ln \frac{(k \quad q)^{2}}{4{ }^{2}} \frac{(k \quad q)^{2}}{\left(q^{2}+i\right)^{+1}}\right\}}_{I=} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where all proportionality factors have been reintroduced. The constant term in eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ is om itted, because it does not contribute to the im aginary part of $G\left(k^{2}\right)$. The above rule to analyze the divergencies occuring in this expression leads to the follow ing expectation: $\left.f\left(\begin{array}{ll}(\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{q}\end{array}\right)^{2}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{q}\end{array}\right)^{2}$ has the low est and highest power $m_{l}=0$ and $m_{h}=2$, so that $U V$-divergencies occur for $n \quad 2, \mathbb{R}$-divergencies occur forn 1, and double divergencies occur forn $2 \mathrm{fl} ; 2 \mathrm{~g}$. This is exactly the result of the straightforw ard regularization of the last integral in the expression ( $3 \overline{8} \overline{8})$, w hich can be veri ed by counting the num ber ofdivergent -functions in the lim it "! 0:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G\left(k^{2}\right)=g^{2} \frac{8 N_{c}^{4}}{3(2)^{8}}<>_{n=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!}\left(m^{2}\right)^{n}\left(k^{2}\right)^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

The double divergent term s for $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$ lead to a divergent im aginary part, for the integral I in eq. ( $3 \bar{\square} \overline{)})$ is $\mathbb{R}$-and UV -divergent at the sam e tim $e$, which leads to
$m$ eaningless results for the sum rule. A $m$ ore detailed exam ination of this integral is unavoidable. D ecom posing I in three parts, in which the integrand is proportional to $\mathrm{k}^{2}, \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{q}$ and q respectively, one can easily verify that the only part having $\mathbb{R}$ - and UV-divergencies is the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ \{term. It tums out that the $\mathbb{R}$-divergency in this part can be regularized by introducing a sm all $\mathbb{R}$-cuto param eter à into the scalar propagator (or equivalently using a lower integration lim it), reading:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k^{2}}=i^{z} \frac{d^{4} q}{(2)^{4}} \ln \frac{(k \quad q)^{2}}{4} \frac{k^{2}}{\left(q^{2} a^{2}+i\right)^{+1}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his integral has no $\mathbb{R}$-divergent part any $m$ ore, so that it is possible to regularize the rem aining $U V$-divergency in the dim ensional regularization schem $e$. The result to order $O$ (") (where " = 4 d) depends on the cuto param eter $\mathrm{a}^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=\quad \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}}{(2)^{4}} \frac{4}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}}(1 \quad)+\text { const: }+\frac{"}{2}^{2} \ln \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{16^{2}} \\
+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{"}{2} \quad \ln ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{16^{2}} \quad \frac{"}{2} \ln ^{2} \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{16^{2}} \tag{41}
\end{array}
$$

The essential point of this result is the exact cancellation of all term $s$ to the order O (1) or lower which depend on the cuto param eter $\mathrm{a}^{2}$. The im aginary part relevant for the sum rule in this way rem ains cuto param eter independent. The whole im aginary part of eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} f G\left(k^{2}\right) g=\frac{8 N_{c}{ }^{5} g^{2} m^{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}}{3(2)^{8}}<->\frac{3}{2}+\ln \frac{162^{2}}{k^{2}} \quad\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This con ms the expectation that the $\mathbb{R}$ divergencies are unphysical.
Independently of the choine of the function $f$ in eq. ( rid of all $\mathbb{R}$-divergent term s . This becom es clear when we look back to the very beginning. The OPE is the basic form ula ofQ CD sum rules and its idea is to separate the long-and short-distanœe ects of nonperturbative QCD. The short-distance e ects are com pletely em bodied in the $W$ ilson coe cients just calculated. So any rem aining $\mathbb{R}$-divergency occuring while calculating $W$ ilson coe cients proves an adm ixture of long-distance e ects, which indicates that the OPE did not really separate the two scales of Q CD . N ovikov et al argued [副] that for the consistent use of PPE one has to divide the integration dom ain (while calculating the W ilson coe cients) into two parts by introducing the norm alization point. In this way one can get rid of all $\mathbb{R}$-divergencies, for only the high $m$ om entum part rem ains relevant for the $W$ ilson coe cients, like it was dem onstrated in the above exam ple. The rem aining question is wether or not the resulting coe cients depend on the nor$m$ alization point. A meaningfulOPE or a meaningfulsum rule can be guaranteed only if the result is not or weakly dependent on the norm alization point.

Supposing that the $W$ ilson coe cients are independent of the norm alization point separating the scales, one has the possibility to restrict the calculation of the coe cients to the term scontaining an UV-divergent part. A nd the above rule $n \quad \frac{m_{h}+2}{2}$ shows that this is a nite number of term s . A confrontation $w$ ith $\mathbb{R}$ divergent term $s$ is inevitable only ifw e calculate the double divergent term $s \frac{m_{1}+1}{2}$
$\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}}+1}{2}$ where the $U V$ and $\mathbb{R}$ divergent parts have to be separated carefully (for exam ple by introducing an $\mathbb{R}$-cuto, like it was done in the last exam ple).

Sum m arizing, there is only a nite num ber ofm ass corrections in eq. (2̄Ō) to be included in the calculation of the $W$ ilson coe cients, for only a nite number of term $s$ is $U V$-divergent and the $\mathbb{R}$-divergent term scannot contribute to the $W$ ilson coe cient if | and only if | the OPE is meaningful. But this last question leads us to the $m$ ain problem of enlarging the concept of $Q C D$ sum rules to nite $m$ ass particles. A particle of several 100M eV like the strange quark introduces a new scale in the theory so that the separation of long-and short-distance e ects in an OPE becom esmuch $m$ ore di cult than in the $m$ assless case and rem ains a delicate task.

## 5 C onclusions

The application of $Q C D$ sum rules to bound states com posed of particles with nite $m$ ass leads to a correction of the vacuum expectation value of the nonlocal norm al-ordered eld product, which does not rem ain sim ply a vacuum condensate (eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ for scalar elds and eq. '( $(\overline{2} \overline{7} \overline{7})$ for ferm ions) like it does in the $m$ assless case. W e derived higher-order corrections and the results are given in eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{0})$ ) for scalars and eq. ( $(2 \bar{\sigma} \overline{-})$ for ferm ions to allorders in the $m$ ass. $T$ he im portance of higher-order term $s$ grow $s$ in the sam $e m$ easure as the $m$ ass ratio of the constituent particles and the bound state $m$ ass. These investigations are of great im portance for the application of $Q C D$ sum rules to $m$ esons and baryons with strangeness.

A nalysing the structure of the $W$ ilson coe cients corresponding to the higher order $m$ ass corrections, we found that in general a restriction to a nite num ber of corrections leads not to any neglection ofm ass e ects, for only a nite number of corrections is UV divergent. On the other hand, the num ber of $\mathbb{R}$ divergent term $s$ is unknow $n$ and unlim ited. The problem of $\mathbb{R}$ divergencies $m$ ay be circum vented by introduction of an $\mathbb{R}$-auto provided that a seperation of short and long distance e ects in the operator product expansion is assured to a good degree of accuracy and provided that the nal expressions does not depend strongly on the cuto .

W e w ish to thank D r.Lech M ankiew icz for very helpfuldiscussions. This w ork was supported by D FG (G .H ess program).
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