N JL m odel with vector couplings vs. phenom enology

Chr.V. Christov ^y, K. Goeke^z

Institut fur Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universitat Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

M .Polyakov^x

Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, St.Petersburg 188350, Russia

We study the pseudoscalar, vector and axial current correlation functions in SU (2)-N JL m odel with scalar and vector couplings. The correlation functions are evaluated in leading order in num ber of colors N_c. As it is expected in the pseudoscalar channel pions appear as G oldstone bosons, and after xing the cuto to reproduce the physical pion decay constant, we obtain well-known current-algebra results. For the vector and axial channels we use essentially that at spacelike m om enta the correlation functions can be related to the experim entally known spectral density via dispersion relations. We show that the latter im poses strong bounds on the strength of the vector coupling in the m odel. We m d that the common only used on-shell treatment of the vector and axial mesons (identi ed as poles at large tim elike m om enta extracted from the physical spectral density. The param eters of the N JL m odel xed by the correlation functions at sm all spacelike m om enta di er noticeably from those of the on-shell treatment.

PACS number(s): 12.39 Fe,11.15 Pg,11.55 Fv

The correlation functions of di erent colorless hadronic currents built of quarks and gluons o er a general tool to study the structure of the QCD vacuum and the hadron spectrum [1]. One can obtain information about the physical intermediate states from the pole structure of the correlation functions in the timelike region as well as from its behavior at spacelike m om enta. For an exact theory both ways should lead to identical results. This, however, is not necessarily true for the e ective m odels, which in the present lack of non-perturbative solution to QCD attract an increasing interest. Am ong them, the Nam bu { Jona-Lasinio m odel, proposed [2] in early sixties in analogy with the BCS theory of superconductivity, plays an essential role. This model incorporates the basic sym metries of QCD and in particular, the chiral symmetry. It is able to manifest the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking and, as a natural consequence, the appearance of the pseudoscalar m esons as G oldstone particles. A s such it o ers a sim ple but quite successfulworking scheme to study the role of the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking mechanism in hadron physics (for review see refs. [4 [7]). However, the model su ers from two in portant drawbacks. First, it is not renorm alizable and to make the theory nite one needs a nite cut o xed in a consistent way (usually to reproduce the pion decay constant) and the limit of large N_c (number of colors). The typical value of the cut o is about 1 GeV. The second problem is the lack of con nem ent. O bviously, these draw backsm ake the so far used on-shell treatm ent of the (axial) vector m esons questionable [8{10,12,13]. In the present work we will show that the proper way to overcom e this problem is to consider the corresponding current correlation function at small spacelike momenta. In this case we do not face the problem of the strong coupling to the qq continuum and we apply the model at sm all momentum region where it is designed for. To this end we make essentially use of the fact that the correlator at sm all spacelike m om enta can be related via dispersion relations to well-established rich phenom enology without detailed know ledge of the dynam ics at large tim elike m om enta. W e will show that such a schem e allows to describe the vector m odes in the meson sector of the NJL model in a consistent way.

The simplest SU (2) Nambu (Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model is de ned by lagrangean [2]:

$$L_{N JL} = (i \theta m_0) + \frac{G_s}{2} [()^2 + (i \sim 5)^2] \\ \frac{G_v}{2} [(\sim)^2 + (\sim 5)^2]; \qquad (1)$$

Perm anent address:Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, So a, Bulgaria

^ychristov@neutron.tp2.ruhr-uni+bochum.de

^zgoeke@hadron.tp2.ruhr-uni-bochum.de

^xm axpol@ lnpi.spb.su

which contains chirally invariant local four-ferm ion interactions with scalar G_s and vector G_v couplings¹. The current quark mass m₀ stands for both up and down quarks (assumed to be degenerated in mass).

Applying the well-known bosonization procedure [14] we arrive at the model generating functional expressed as a functional integral over auxiliary boson elds S; P; V; A:

$$Z_{N JL} = D SD P D \nabla D A e^{N_{c} Tr \log D [S;P;\nabla;A] + I_{m} [S;P;\nabla;A]};$$
(2)

where the quarks are already integrated out. To simplify our notations we adopt for P,V and A that P P' \sim etc. The euclidean D irac operator D is given by

$$D = i\theta + S + iP_5 + V + A_5$$
(3)

and the trace over colors is explicitly done. The sym m etry breaking term is included in the boson part:

$$I_{m} = \frac{2}{d^{4}x} \frac{n}{2G_{s}} (S^{2} + P^{2}) + \frac{1}{2G_{v}} (\nabla^{2} + A^{2}) - \frac{m_{0}}{G_{s}} S^{\circ} :$$
(4)

In the large N $_{\rm c}$ lim it the integral in eq.(2) is given by its saddle point value. For the translational invariant case (vacuum) we have a non-trivial stationary meson con guration given by

$$S = M$$
; $P = 0$; $\nabla = 0$; $K = 0$; (5)

which m eans that the chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken. The quarks acquire a constituent m ass M which is related to the scalar coupling constant G_s via the stationary condition (saddle point):

$$M = 2G_{s}M N_{c}Tr \frac{1}{D[M]} + m_{0} = m_{0} \quad G_{s} < > :$$
(6)

Here < > is the chiral quark condensate. As it was mentioned the model is not renormalizable and in order to make the ferm ion determinant nite it must be regularized. Thus, the model has four parameters, namely two coupling constants G_s and G_v , the current mass m_0 and the cut o . U sually they are xed reproducing the physical pion decay constant and the physical masses of pion and rho meson. It leaves one parameter free which is commonly chosen to be the constituent mass M because with eq.(6) one can eliminate G_s in favor of M. In principle, one can use the empirical value for the quark condensate < > to constrain M. However, being quadratically divergent < > is very sensitive to the details of the regularization scheme.

In this paper we suggest an alternative way of xing the model parameters G_s , G_v , m_0 and \cdot . To this end we study the correlation functions of the pseudoscalar, vector and axial-vector currents:

$$P^{a}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{x})i_{5}\frac{a}{2}$$
; (7)

$$V^{a}(x) = (x) - \frac{a}{2};$$
 (8)

$$A^{a}(x) = (x) \quad 5\frac{a}{2};$$
 (9)

in the NJL model. The correlation functions are de ned by the vacuum -to-vacuum matrix elements of the currents and can be expressed in terms of invariant functions as follows:

$$_{P} (Q^{2}) = d_{4}xe^{iqx}h0 \text{ Jr fP}^{a}(x)P^{a}(0)\text{ gj0i};$$
 (10)

¹This lagrangean with vector couplings was considered st by Kikkawa [3]

$$(q q q^2 g)_v (Q^2) = d_4 x e^{iqx} h_0 f f V^a (x) V^a (0) g j_0 i;$$
 (11)

$$(q q q^{2}g)^{t}_{a} (Q^{2}) + q q^{1}_{a} (Q^{2}) = d_{4}x e^{iqx} h0 \text{ Jr } fA^{a} (x)A^{a} (0)gj0i;$$
 (12)

where as usual Q is de ned as $Q^2 = \hat{q}$. In contrast to the vector current in the real world the axial-vector current is not conserved and the correlation function in the axial channel has an additional longitudinal part $\frac{1}{a}(Q^2)$ which vanishes in the chiral lim it m₀! 0. In the NJL model² the matrix element of this of eqs.(10-12) can be expressed as a path integral using the model generating functional (2). In the leading order in N_c only two diagrams (shown in g.1) contribute to the matrix elements. The rst one is a simple one-quark loop whereas the second includes a boson line. For the pseudoscalar function we get the follow ing result:

$${}_{a}(Q^{2}) = \frac{Q^{2}Z_{p}(Q^{2})f_{a}(Q^{2})}{G_{s}f_{a}(Q^{2})Z_{p}(Q^{2})} \frac{\langle \rangle}{M} \frac{1}{Q^{2} + \frac{m_{0}}{M}G_{s}\frac{1}{B_{p}(Q^{2})f_{a}(Q^{2})}};$$
(13)

where

$$f_{a}(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + 4M^{2}G_{v}Z_{p}(Q^{2})}$$
(14)

Function $Z_p (Q^2)$ corresponds to the quark-loop diagram with two pseudoscalar-isovector vertices (i_{5 a}). As already mentioned, the model is not renorm alizable and in order to make the quark loop nite we need a regularization. In our calculations we use the proper-time as well as the Pauli-V illars regularization scheme. Both schemes preserve the symmetries of the model. Here we present only the proper-time regularized expression for $Z_p (Q^2)$ [12]:

$$Z_{p}(Q^{2}) = \frac{4N_{C}}{(2)^{4}} \int_{1}^{Z^{1}} \frac{du}{2} \int_{1}^{Z^{2}} \frac{ds}{s} e^{s M^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}}{4}(1 u^{2})}; \qquad (15)$$

and for the condensate:

< >=
$$\frac{N_{C}}{2^{2}}M$$
 $\frac{ds}{s^{2}}e^{sM^{2}}$: (16)

D etails as well as regularized expressions for the quark condensate and the function $Z_p (Q^2)$ in the case of P auli-V illars regularization can be found in ref. [15].

In the chiral lim it the pseudoscalar correlation function (13) develops the expected G oldstone pole at $Q^2 = 0$:

$$_{a}(Q^{2}! 0) ! \frac{\langle \rangle^{2}}{Q^{2}f^{2}}$$
 (17)

where the pion decay constant f^2 is given by the residue of the pole:

$$f^2 = M^2 f_a(0) Z_p(0)$$
: (18)

The non-zero current m ass m₀ shifts the pion pole in eq.(17) to $Q^2 = m^2$ and one recovers the G ell-M ann -O akes -R enner relation:

$$f^2m^2 = m_0 < > + O(m_0^2)$$
: (19)

In the vector channel the correlation function $_{v}$ (Q²) is given by

$$_{v}(Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z_{v}(Q^{2})}{1 + G_{v}Z_{v}(Q^{2})Q^{2}};$$
(20)

 $^{^{2}}$ U sing di erent techniques the invariant functions are evaluated also in ref. [13]

where, similarly to $Z_p(Q^2)$, the function $Z_v(Q^2)$ corresponds to the quark-loop diagram with two vector vertices (^a) (lhs of g.1). In particular, in the proper-time scheme it has the following form :

$$Z_{v}(Q^{2}) = \frac{4N_{c}}{(2)^{4}} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{du}{2} (1 - u^{2}) \int_{1}^{2} \frac{ds}{s} e^{s - M^{2} + \frac{Q^{2}}{4} (1 - u^{2})} :$$
(21)

For the transverse invariant function of the axial current correlator in the NJL m odel we have:

$$Q^{2} \stackrel{t}{_{a}} (Q^{2}) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z_{v} (Q^{2})Q^{2} + 4M^{2}Z_{p} (Q^{2})}{1 + G_{v}Z_{v} (Q^{2})Q^{2} + 4G_{v}M^{2}Z_{p} (Q^{2})};$$
(22)

whereas the longitudinal one includes only a pion contribution:

$$Q^{2} \frac{1}{a} (Q^{2}) = \frac{f^{2}m^{2}}{Q^{2} + \frac{m^{2}f^{2}}{M^{2}Z_{p}(Q^{2})f_{a}(Q^{2})}};$$
(23)

The correlation functions (20), (22) possess (at som e m odel parameter values) poles in the timelike region $Q^2 < 0$ which is in fact the pole of the propagator of the auxiliary (axial) vector eld. This is used in the so-called on-shell treatment $[B{13}]$ where one xes the vector coupling constant G_v adjusting the position of the pole to the physical rho mass. The other model parameters, namely the cuto α and the current mass m₀ are xed reproducing the physical pion m ass and the physical pion decay constant from eqs. (18), (19), whereas the constituent quark m ass is treated as a free param eter as usual. To illustrate the on-shell scheme we present in g2 the corresponding param eter values (index \pole") as a function of M for the case of the the Pauli-V illars regularization. Since the results with the proper-time regularization are alm ost identical with those of the proper-time ones we do not discuss them separately. As can be seen the behavior of the coupling constants and the cuto as function of the constituent mass is not smooth { the value $M = m^{exp}=2$ is a singular point where all curves show a kink. At $M > m^{exp}=2$ the vector current correlation function has a real pole at $Q^2 = m^2$ which is an indication for a bound state in the spectrum. However, because of lack of con nement a second peak in the qq continuum appears, which is not taken into account in the de nition of the physical eld. Obviously, it is hard to relate the second peak to the excited (1450)-resonance. At smaller values of $M < m^{exp}=2$ in the vector channel a broad resonance appears in the qq continuum because the corresponding pole moves to the complex plane of Q^2 . The position of the peak depends on the vector coupling constant G_v . Using this³ we determ ine G_v xing the position of the peak in the vector spectral function Im $_v$ at the physical mass. However, as can be seen from q_2 it is not always possible to nd a solution for G_v : for M between 230 and 260 M eV in fact there is no such a solution. It should be also noted that at M > m exp =2 both G_v and G_s , as well as the cuto show a stronger dependence on M as G_v is dominant ($G_v > G_s$). This changes for sm aller values of M where G_s and stay alm ost constant whereas G_v decreases. In the axial channel for the values of the constituent mass M considered (200 - 450 M eV) the A1 m eson appears as a very broad peak being centered around 1 GeV in the opportinuum. In Fig 2 we also show the parameter values (index \grad") obtained by means of a gradient or heat-kernel expansion of the e ective action [16,17]. This procedure is frequently used to x the vector coupling constant G_v in NJL model and in fact it is an approximation to the on-shell description of vector modes. As can be seen from Fig 2 this procedure provides a quite crude approximation to the on-shell values because of the large masses of the vector mesons. From the above discussion one concludes that the on-shell treatment is not able to provide a consistent description of the vector m eson m odes in N JL m odel.

As a next step we suggest to make use of the fact that at spacelike momenta $Q^2 > 0$ the vector and axial-vector current correlation functions can be related to the experimentally known spectral density via dispersion relations. In the vector channel the invariant function $_{v}(Q^2)$ satisfies a dispersion relation with one subtraction:

$$_{v}(Q^{2}) = _{v}(0) \frac{Q^{2}}{2} ds \frac{\text{Im} _{v}(s)}{s(s+Q^{2})};$$
 (24)

where the physical spectral density Im_{v} (s) is experimentally accessible:

$$Im_{v}(s) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{e^{+}e^{+}h(s)}{e^{+}e^{-}l^{-}+(s)};$$
(25)

³Such a procedure was used in refs. [9,10]

For the rhs we use a simple (+ continuum) param eterization [18] of the experim ental data

Im
$$_{v}(s) = \frac{m^{2}}{g^{2}}(s m^{2}) + \frac{1}{8}1 + \frac{s}{m^{2}}(s s_{0});$$
 (26)

with the parameter values:

$$m^2 = 0.77 \,\text{GeV}; \quad \frac{g^2}{4} = 2.36; \quad s_0 = 1.5 \,\text{GeV}^2:$$
 (27)

Eqs.(24) and (26) provide an independent way to x the vector coupling constant G_v confronting the m odel with the experiment by equating the phenom enological dispersion integral and the m odel correlation function at low positive Q^2 :

$$\int_{v} (0) \frac{Q^{2}}{2} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im}_{v}(s)}{s(s+Q^{2})} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{Z_{v}(Q^{2})}{1+G_{v}Z_{v}(Q^{2})Q^{2}} :$$
(28)

The resulting G_v as wellas and G_s as a function of M, obtained by adjusting (^2-t) the lhs of eq. (28) to the rhs with the physical spectral density (26) at low positive m on enta (we consider $0 < Q^2 < 0.5 \text{ GeV}^2$), are shown (index \corr") in g2 a) and b). Apparently the correlation function at spacelike m on enta and the on-shell treatment yield rather di erent results. In contrast to the curves resulting from the on-shell scheme those of the correlation function have the advantage to behave sm oothly as a function of M. This, and the very fact that the NJL m odel is designed for low energy structures involving sm all m on enta, m akes the above correlation m ethod preferable. W ith increasing M larger values for G_v are needed to t the phenom enological side whereas the G_s is slightly decreasing.

In the axial channel, since the longitudinal part of the axial correlation function is almost independent on the G $_v$ and M , we will concentrate our further discussion on its transverse part $_a^t$. It obeys a dispersion relation with two subtractions:

$$Q^{2} \stackrel{t}{_{a}} (Q^{2}) = \stackrel{t}{_{a}} (0) + Q^{2} \frac{d \stackrel{t}{_{a}} (0)}{dQ^{2}} + \frac{q^{4}}{}^{2} ds \frac{\operatorname{Im} \stackrel{t}{_{a}} (s)}{s^{2} (s + Q^{2})} :$$
(29)

Similar to the vector channel for Im $\frac{t}{a}(Q^2)$ we take a simplified (A₁ + continuum) parameterization [18]

Im
$$_{a}^{t}(s) = \frac{m_{A_{1}}^{4}}{g^{2}} (s m_{A_{1}}^{2}) + \frac{1}{8} 1 + \frac{s}{g^{2}} (s s_{0});$$
 (30)

with parameters [19]:

$$m_{A_1}^2 = 1.26 \,\text{GeV}; \quad \frac{4}{g_{A_1}^2} = 0.15 \quad 0.18; \quad s_0 = 1.7 \,\text{GeV}^2:$$
 (31)

C on fronting the m odel A_1 -correlation function (22) and the phenom enological expressions (29), (30) one has another way to x the vector coupling constant G $_v$. The obtained vector coupling constant (G $_{v(a_1)}^{corr}$) as a function of M is shown in q.2 a) in comparison with the results from thing the phenomenology as well as from the on-shell treatment and the derivative expansion. In contrast to the vector channel the m odel axial function $\frac{1}{4}(Q^2)$ depends m uch stronger on the constituent m ass and because of that the m odel is able to t simultaneously both - and A₁-channels only within a narrow window of values for the constituent quark mass M around 240 MeV. It is interesting to note that in this window the on-shell values are also very close to those obtained from the correlation functions. The particular value of M depends on the parameter values (27), (31) used for the (resonance + continuum) parameterizations. In fact the estimate of the constituent mass M 300 MeV in the random instanton model [21,22] of QCD vacuum, applied successfully also to the meson correlation functions [23], is not far from our numbers. The resulting vector coupling constant G_v is of the same order as the scalar one G_s . In this point we disagree with ref. [20] in which constraining the NJL model via QCD sum rules it is concluded that G_v should be almost zero or at least $G_v = G_s$. It is due to the fact that in contrast to us in ref. [20] the NJL m odel is treated in a quite sim pli ed approxim ate way ignoring the full Q²-dependence of the correlation functions as well as the relations between the model param eters xed to reproduce the physical pion properties.

In our calculations so far we used simple param eterizations (26),(30) for the experimental spectral densities in which the resonance widths are neglected. In order to check this approximation we repeated the calculations using a much more elaborated (nite-width resonance+ continuum) parameterization [24] of the experimental data. The obtained results follow qualitatively the picture of g.2. The only difference is that the narrow window, where in

both vector and axial channels the experimental low-energy behavior of the correlation functions can be reproduced simultaneously, is shifted to higher values of the constituent m ass M 260 MeV.

We also related the model with G_v , xed in the present scheme, to the chiral elective lagrangean of G asser and Leutwyler [26]. Following ref. [25] we calculated the corresponding low-energy coecients $l_1 = l_6$ in the notation of ref. [26] and low-energy pionic characteristics. As can be seen from Table I our theoretical predictions are in good agreement with the empirical values.

To sum marize, in this letter we study the vector meson modes in the NJL model in terms of current correlation functions. We nd that the on-shell treatment of the vector meson fails to reproduce the low-energy behavior of the correlation functions xed by the experimentally known spectral density via dispersion relations. We over a diverse share to x the NJL-model parameters based on the behavior of the correlation functions at small spacelike momenta. Treating the vector coupling constant as a free parameter them odel is able to reproduce the phenom enology in both the axial- and vector channels for the constituent mass only in a narrow window around 240 MeV. The vector coupling constant is by no means zero and is of the same order as the scalar coupling constant. In principle, these two schemes, the on-shell treatment (large timelike momenta) and the one based on the behavior of the correlation function at small spacelike momenta, should provide identical results within an \exact" theory, which how ever is not the case for an elective model like NJL. In the latter case the correlation function method appears to be preferable. O ne also should keep in mind that the present considerations are done in leading order in N_c. The inclusion of 1=N_c quantum boson (loop) corrections could in principle change the present results.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

W e would like to thank Dm itriD iakonov, V ictor Petrov and Georges R ipka for helpful discussions. The project has been partially supported by the VW Stiffung, DFG and COSY (Julich).

- [2] Y Nambu and G Jona-Lasinio, Phys.Rev. 122 (1961) 354
- [3] K K ikkawa, Progr. of Theor. Phys. 56 (1976) 947
- [4] W W eise, Hadrons in NJL model, Regensburg preprint TPR-93-2.
- [5] M K .Volkov, Sov. J. Part. and Nucl. 24 (1993) 81
- [6] T Hatsuda and T K unihiro, Q C D Phenom enology based on a Chiral E ective Lagrangean, T sukuba preprint U T H E P-20, Phys Reports (in print)
- [7] T M eissner, A B lotz, E R uiz A rriola and K G oeke, B aryons in E ective C hiral Q uark M odel with Polarized D irac Sea, B ochum preprint RUB-TP2-42/93, (submitted to Rep Prog.T heor.Physics)
- [8] V Bernard and Ulf-G M eissner, NuclPhys. A 489 (1988) 647.
- [9] SKlimt, M. Lutz, U. Vogland W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 516 (1990) 429; 469 429.
- [10] A H B lin, B H iller and J da Providencia, Phys.Lett. B 241 (1990) 1.
- [11] M. Takizawa, K. Kubodera and F. Myhrer, Phys.Lett. B 261 (1991) 221.
- [12] M. Jaminon, R. Mendez Galain, G. Ripka and P. Stassart, Nucl. Phys. A 537 (1992) 418
- [13] JB inens, E de Rafael, H Zheng, Z Phys. C 62 (1994) 437
- [14] T Eguchi, H Sugawara, Phys.Rev. D 10 (1974) 4257; T Eguchi, Phys.Rev. D 14 (1976) 2755
- [15] K Schuren, F Doring, E Ruiz Arriola and K Goeke, Nucl Phys A 565 (1993) 687
- [16] D Ebert, M K Volkov, Z Phys. C 16 (1983) 205
- [17] D Ebert, H Reinhardt NuclPhys. B 271 (1986) 188
- [18] M A Shifm an, A I.Vainshtein and V I.Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 147 (1979) 448
- [19] IL Reinders, S.Yazaki and H.R. Rubinstein, Nucl. Phys. B 196 (1982) 125
- [20] K.Yam awakiand V.I.Zakharov, Extended N.JL Modelvs QCD Sum Rules, Preprint UM -TH -94-19 (hep-ph/9406373)
- [21] E.V. Shuryak, NuclPhys.B 302 (1988) 559, 574, 599
- [22] P.V. Pobylitsa, Phys. Lett. 226B (1989) 387
- [23] E.V. Shuryak and J.J.M. Verbaaschot, Nucl. Phys. B 410 (1993) 37
- [24] J.I.K apusta and E.V. Shuryak , Phys.Rev.D 49 (1994) 4694
- [25] E Ruiz Arriola, Phys.Lett.B 253 (1991) 430
- [26] J.G. asser and H. Leutwyler, Ann.of Phys. (N.Y.)158 142; Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465

^[1] E.V. Shurjak, Reviews of M od. Phys. 65 (1993) 1

FIG .1. D iagram s contributing to the correlation functions in leading N $_{\rm c}$ order.

FIG.2. The parameters of the model as function of the constituent mass M : a) vector coupling constant G_v xed in the on-shell treatment G_v^{pole} , from derivative expansion G_v^{grad} , and from the correlation functions in the case of - and a_1 -phenomenology ts; b) the same as a) but for the scalar coupling constant G_s and the cut o .

TABLE I. Low -energy SU (2) coe cients and low -energy pion properties for constituent m ass M = 235 M eV .

SU (2) coe cients	m odel	phenom .	properties	m odel	exp.
MeV]	1400		a ⁰	0.16	0:26 0:05
< qq > ¹⁼³ [M eV]	310	265 40	b_0^0	0.19	0:25 0:03
m ₀ [M eV]	3.5	7 3	a_0^2	0:045	0:028 0:012
Ъ	4:17	4:56 1:5	b_0^2	0:084	0:082 0:008
12	3.02	2:3 0:7	a_1^1	0.035	0:038 0:002
13	2.45	1:0 3	a_2^0 10 ⁴	9.8	17:0 3:0
14	2.44	1:1 0:4	a_2^2 10 ⁴	1:1	1:0 3:0
15	9.1	10:4 1:3	$< r^2 > s [fm^2]$	0.43	0:52 0:15
16	12.7	13:1 1:3	$< r^2 > v$ [fm ²]	0.37	0:44 0:03





This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501383v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9501383v1