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## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

E ective eld theories (EFTS) have becom e a popular tool in particle and nuclear physics. An e ective eld theory di ers from a conventional renom alizable ("fundam ental") quantum eld theory in the follow ing respect. In EFT, one only works at low energies (w here "low " is de ned w ith respect to som e scale speci ed later) and expands the theory in pow ers of the energy/characteristic scale. In that case, renorm alizability at all scales is not an issue and one has to handle strings of non \{renorm alizable interactions. Therefore, at a given order in the energy expansion, the theory is speci ed by a nite num ber of coupling (low \{energy) constants (this allow se.g. for an order\{by \{order renorm alization). All observables are param etrized in term $s$ of these few constants and thus there is a host of predictions form any di erent processes. O bviously, at som e high energy this e ective theory fails and one has to go over to a better high energy theory (which again $m$ ight be an EFT of som e fundam ental theory). The trace of this underlying high energy theory are the particular values of the low energy constants. T he EFT presum ably studied in m ost detail is chiral perturbation theory (CHPT). The central topic of this review will be the application of this fram ew ork when nucleons (baryons) are present, w ith particular em phasis on processes w ith exactly one nucleon in the initial and one nucleon in the nal state. Before elaborating on these particular aspects of CHPT, it is usefiul to $m$ ake som e general com ments conceming the applications of EFTs.

EF T s com e into play w hen the underlying fundam ental theory containsm assless (or very light) particles. T hese induce poles and cuts and conventional Taylor expansions in pow ers ofm om enta fail. A typical exam ple is Q ED where gauge invariance protects the photon from acquiring a m ass. O ne photon exchange involves a propagator $\quad 1=t$, with $t$ the invariant four\{m om entum transfer squared. Such a potential can not be Taylor expanded. A classic exam ple to dealw ith such e ects is the w ork ofE uler and $H$ eisenberg [1.1] who considered the scattering of light by light at very low energies, ! merwith ! the photon energy and $m$ e the electron $m$ ass. To calculate the scattering am plitude, one does not need fill Q ED but rather integrates out the electron from the theory. T his leads to an e ective Lagrangian of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{E}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}^{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{4}}{360^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{4}}\left(\mathrm{E}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}^{2}\right)^{2}+7\left(\mathbb{E}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~B}\right)^{2}+::: \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nothing but a derivative expansion since $E$ and $B$ contain derivatives of the gauge potential. Stated di erently, since the photon energy is $s m$ all, the electrom agnetic elds are slow ly varying. From eq.(1.1) one reads o that corrections to the leading term are suppressed by powers of $(!=m e)^{4}$. Straightforw ard calculation leads to the cross section (!) $!^{6}=m{ }_{e}^{8}$. This can, of course, also be done using fullQED [12], but the EFT caculation is $m$ uch sim pler. T he results of [12] nicely agree $w$ ith the ones $m$ aking use of the EFT for ! $m e$.

A sim ilar situation arises in QCD which is a non \{abelian gauge theory of colored quarks and ghons,

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L_{Q C D} & =\frac{1}{4 g^{2}} G^{a} G^{; a}+q i \quad D \quad q \quad q M q  \tag{1:2}\\
& = & L_{Q C D}^{0} & \\
& +L_{Q C D}^{I}
\end{array}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{M}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}} ;:::\right.$ ) the quark m ass m atrix. For the full theory, there is a conserved charge for every quark avor separately since the quark $m$ asses are all di erent. H ow ever, for the rst three avors ( $u ; d ; s$ ) it is legitim ate to set the quark $m$ asses to zero since they are $s m$ all on a typical hadronic scale like e.g. the $\{\mathrm{m}$ eson m ass. The absolute values of the running quark m asses at 1 GeV are $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\prime} 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}{ }^{\prime} 9 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\prime} 175 \mathrm{MeV}$, i.e. $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{M}$ ' $0: 006, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{M}$ ' $0: 012$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{M}$ ' $0: 23$ [1.3]. If one sets the quark $m$ asses to zero, the left $\{$ and right \{handed quanks de ned by

$$
q_{\mathbb{L}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { 5 }
\end{array}\right) q_{;} \quad q_{R}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+{ }_{5}\right) q
$$

do not interact w ith each other and the whole theory adm its an $U$ (3) $U$ (3) sym $m$ etry. $T$ his is further reduced by the axial anom aly, so that the actual sym m etry group of three avorm assless QCD is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=S U(3)_{L} \quad S U\left(3 k \quad U \left(1_{L+R}\right.\right. \tag{1:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The U (1) sym m etry related to baryon num ber conservation $w$ ill not be discussed in any further detail. The conserved charges which com e along with the chiralSU (3) SU (3) sym $m$ etry generate the corresponding Lie algebra. In the sixties and seventies, $m$ anipulations of the com $m$ utation relationsbetw een the conserved vector ( $L+R$ ) and axial\{vector ( $L$ R ) charges were called "PCAC relations" or "current algebra calculations" and lead to a host of low energy theorem s and predictions [1.4]. These rather tedious $m$ anipulations have now adays been replaced by EFT m ethods, in particular by CHPT (as will be discussed later on). Let us com e back to Q CD.O ne quickly realizes that the ground state does not have the full symm etry $G$, eq.(1.4). If that were the case, every known hadron would have a partner of the sam e m ass but $w$ ith opposite parity. C learly, this is in contradiction $w$ ith the observed particle spectrum. Further argum ents that the chiral sym $m$ etry is not realized in the $W$ igner\{W eylm ode are given in section 2. T he physical ground state $m$ ust therefore be asym $m$ etric under the chiral $S U(3)_{L} \quad S U(3 k \quad[1.5]$. In fact, the chiral sym metry is spontaneously broken down (hidden) to the vectorial subgroup of isospin and hypercharge, generated by the vector currents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=S U(3)_{L+R} \quad U\left(1 L_{L+R}\right. \tag{1:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A smandated by G oldstone's theorem [1.6], the spectrum ofm assless QCD must therefore contain $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2} \quad 1=9 \quad 1=8 \mathrm{~m}$ assless bosons with quantum num bers $\mathcal{F}=0$
(pseudoscalars) since the axial charges do not annihilate the vacuum . Reality is a bit $m$ ore complex. The quark $m$ asses are not exactly zero which gives rise to an explicit chiral sym $m$ etry breaking (as indicated by the term $L_{Q}^{I} C D$ in eq.(12)). $T$ his is in agree$m$ ent $w$ th the observed particle spectrum \{ there are no $m$ assless strongly interacting particles. H ow ever, the eight lightest hadrons are indeed pseudoscalar $m$ esons. T hese are the pions ( ; ${ }^{0}$ ), the kaons ( $K \quad K^{0} ; K^{0}$ ) and the eta ( ). O ne observes that $M \quad M_{K} \quad M \quad w h i c h$ indicates that the $m$ asses of the quarks in the $S U$ (2) subgroup (of isospin) should be considerably sm aller than the strange quark $m$ ass. This expectation is bome out by actual calculation of quark $m$ ass ratios. A lso, from the relative size of the quark $m$ asses $m_{u ; d} \quad m_{s}$ one expects the chiral expansion to converge $m$ uch $m$ ore rapidly in the two $\left\{\right.$ avor case than for $S U(3)_{f}$. These basic features of $Q C D$ can now be explored in a sim ilar fashion as outlined before for the case of Q ED .

As already noted, the use of EFTs in the context of strong interactions preceeds QCD. The $W$ ard identities related to the spontaneously broken chiral sym $m$ etry were explored in great detail in the sixties in the context of current algebra and pion pole dom inance [1.4,1.7]. The work ofD ashen and $W$ einstein [1.8], $W$ einberg [1.9] and C allan, C olem an, W ess and Zum ino [1.10] clari ed the relation betw een current algebra calculations and the use ofe ective Lagrangians (at tree level). H ow ever, only with $W$ einberg's [1.11] sem inalpaper in 1979 it becam e clear how one could system atically generate loop corrections to the tree level (current algebra) results. In fact, he showed that these loop corrections are suppressed by powers of $(\mathbb{E}=)^{2}$, w th E a typical energy (four\{ m om entum ) and the scale below which the EFT can be applied (typically the m ass of the rst non \{G oldstone resonance, in QCD ' M ). Them ethod was system atized by G asser and Leutw yler for SU (2) $)_{f}$ in Ref.[1.12] and for $S U(3)_{f}$ in Ref.[1.13] and has becom e increasingly popular ever since. T he basic idea of using an e ective Lagrangian instead of the full theory is based on a universality theorem for low energy properties of eld theories containing $m$ assless (or very light) particles. C onsider a theory (like Q CD) at low energies. It exhibits the follow ing properties:
$L$ is sym $m$ etric under som e Lie group $G$ (in Q CD: $G=S U\left(3_{1}\right) S U(3 k)$.
$T$ he ground state $j 0>$ is sym metric under $H \quad G$ (in $Q C D: H=S U(3)$ ). To any broken generator of $G$ there appears a m assless $G$ oldstone boson (called "pion") w th the corresponding quantum num bers ( $J^{P}=0$ in QCD).

The G oldstone bosons have a nite transition am plitude to decay into the vacuum (via the current associated w ith the broken generators). T hism atrix elem ent carries a scale $F$, which is of fiundam ental im portance for the low energy sector of the theory (in QCD: $\left\langle 0 \mathrm{AA}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{b}}\right\rangle=$ ip ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{F}$, with F the pion decay constant in the chiral lim it).

There exists no other m assless (strongly interacting) particles.
Explicit sym $m$ etry breaking (like the quark $m$ ass term in $Q C D$ ) can be treated in a perturbative fashion.
$M$ atter elds (such as the spin $\{1 / 2$ baryons) can be incorporated in the EFT according to the strictures of non \{ linearly realized chiral sym $m$ etry. H ow ever, special care has to be taken about their $m$ ass term $s$ (see below ).

N ow any theory w ith these properties looks the sam e (in m ore than two space-tim e dim ensions). This $m$ eans that to leading order the solution to the $W$ ard identities connected to the broken sym $m$ etry is unique and only contains the scale $F$. Thus, the EFT to low est order is uniquely xed and it is m ost econom icalto form ulate it in term $s$ of the $G$ oldstone elds [1.14]. In fact, one collects the pions in a $m$ atrix \{valued function (generally denoted 'U') which transform s linearly under the full action of G. In QCD, a popular choige is $U(x)=\exp \left[i^{a}{ }^{a}(x)=F\right]$ with ${ }^{a}(a=1 ;::: ; 8)$ the $G$ ellf $\{M$ ann $m$ atrioes and $U^{0}(x)=R U(x) L^{y}$ under chiralSU(3) $\quad S U(3 k$ ( $w$ ith $L ; R$ an elem ent of $\operatorname{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}$ ). A ccordingly, the pion elds transform in a highly non\{linear fashion. This is a characteristic feature ofEFTs.

The inclusion of the low est \{lying baryon octet in the EFT of the strong interactions again preceeds QCD, see e.g.[1.4,1.7\{1.11]. H ow ever, the rst system atic analysis of QCD G reen functions and current $m$ atrix \{elem ents due to $G$ asser, Sainio and Svarc is $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ore recent [1.15]. They showed that the fully relativistic treatm ent of the spin $\{$ $1 / 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atter elds (the nucleons) spoils the exact one\{to one correspondence betw een the loop expansion and the expansion in $s m$ allm om enta and quark $m$ asses. This can sim ply be understood from the fact that the nucleon $m$ ass $m$ does not vanish in the chiral lim it and thus an extra scale is introduced into the problem. Stated di erently, nucleon four m om enta can never be sm all. This problem was overcom e by Jenkins and M anohar [1.16] who used m ethods borrow ed from heavy quark EFT to elim inate the troublesom e baryon $m$ ass term. This am ounts to considering the baryons as very heavy, static sources. C onsequently, all the $m$ ass dependence is shu ed into a string of interaction vertioes w ith increasing pow ers of $1=\mathrm{m}$ and a consistent pow er counting schem e em erges. In this review, we w ish to sum $m$ arize the developm ents which have taken place over the last few years, w ith particular em phasis on the tw of avor sector and processes w ith one nucleon line running through the pertinent Feynm an diagram s. To our opinion, these are the best studied processes from the theoretical as well as from the experim ental side. H ow ever, there has also been considerable activity conceming processes involving two (or m ore) nucleons starting from the work of $W$ einberg [1.17] plus extensions to the three\{ avor case, dense $m$ atter and $m$ uch $m$ ore. To sum $m$ arize the present state of the art, we believe that to rigorously test the consequences of the spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breaking of $Q C D$ in nucleon and nuclear studies, calculations to order $O\left(E{ }^{4}={ }^{4}\right)$ are $m$ andatory in $m$ any cases. O $n$ the experim ental side, the advances in $m$ achine and detector technology have lead, are leading and $w$ ill lead to $m$ any $m$ ore data ofunprecedented accuracy. $T$ hese will serve as a good testing ground of the chiral structure of Q CD .

In ref.[1.15], the tw o- avor case w as considered. H ow ever, the problem s related to the non \{vanishing $m$ ass in the chiral lim it generalize straightforw ardly to avor SU (3). In this introduction, we therefore casually sw itch betw een the term s 'nucleon' and 'baryon'.

A nother non \{perturbative $m$ ethod which is $m$ uch used in studying baryon properties at low energies is lattice gauge theory (LGT). To our opinion, LG T has not yet reached a su cient accuracy to describe dynam icalprocesses such as pion production or C om pton scattering in the non \{perturbative regim e. H ow ever, we would like to stress that one should consider these $m$ ethods as com plem entary. For exam ple, one hopes that in the not too distant fiuture LG T w ill signi cantly contribute by supplying e.g. num erical values for the pertinent low \{energy constants.

The material is organized as follow s. In section 2 , we give an elem entary introduction to chiral symmetry, discuss three\{ avor QCD and give a brief account of CHPT for the $m$ eson sector. $W$ e also show how one can $m$ odel the $G$ oldstone pion in a quark m odel language. Section 3 contains the basic discussion of the pion \{nucleon Lagrangian, its construction, the extrem e non \{relativistic lim it and the renorm alization procedure to order $E^{3}$. W e give a com plete list of the num erical values of the low \{energy constants for the next \{ to \{leading order e ective Lagrangian $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ and sum $m$ arize to what extent these values can be understood from a resonance exchange picture. The inclusion of the spin $\{3 / 2$ decuplet, i.e. the (1232), as an active degree of freedom in the EFT is critically exam ined. A pplications to pion \{nucleon scattering and the reaction N ! N are also discussed. Section 4 is devoted to the nucleon as probed by electrow eak currents. W e discuss in detail such topics as the electrom agnetic form factors, $C$ om pton scattering, axial properties and, furtherm ore, single and double pion production w ith real and virtualphotons as well as $W$ \{bosons. Together $w$ ith section 3, this is the $m$ ain body of the w ork presented in this review. Section 5 contains the extensions to system $s$ $w$ th tw o and m ore nucleons. H ere, a com plication arises due to the appearance of $\mathbb{R}$ divergences in reducible diagram swhich leads to a m odi cation of the power counting schem e. This is discussed in som e detail and the pertinent $m$ ethod of applying the chiral pow er counting only to the irreducible diagram s together w ith the solution of a Schrodinger or Lippm ann \{Schw inger equation to generate the $S$ \{ $m$ atrix is then applied to the potentialbetw een tw o, three and four nucleons. Since the construction ofthe N N \{ potential from the chiral Lagrangian involves a large num ber of low \{energy constants, it appears to be favorable for certain applications to supply as m uch phenom enological input as possible, i.e. by taking the tw o \{body pion \{nucleon and the nucleon \{nucleon interaction suitably param etrized from phenom enology. T he chiralm achinery is then used to provide the rem aining three\{body foroes. A s an exam ple pion \{deuteron scattering is discussed. Sim ilarly, in the description of the $m$ eson exchange currents it is argued that the nuclear short\{range correlations indeed suppress the badly known contact term s thus leading to a m ore predictive schem e than for the NN \{potential. Section 6 contains extension to the three\{ avor sector, kaon \{nucleon scattering, the density \{dependence of pion properties in $m$ atter and gives a sum $m$ ary of topics not treated in detail. $M$ any of these developm ents are only in their infancy and we therefore have decided $m$ ore to highlight the weak points than to give any details. H ow ever, the reader is supplied w ith su ciently $m$ any references on these topics to get a m ore detailed (and eventually less biased) picture. The appendices contain various technicalities such as a sum $m$ ary of the
pertinent Feynm an rules or the de nition of loop functions which are needed for actual calculations. To keep the sections self\{contained, the relevant references are given at the end of each section.
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## II. CHIRALSYMMETRYOFTHESTRONG INTERACTIONS

In this section, we rst discuss chiral sym m etry on an elem entary level. W e extend these considerations to three\{ avor Q CD and the form ulation of its e ective low \{energy eld theory in term s of the G oldstone bosons related to the spontaneous chiralsym m etry breaking. W e also outline brie $y$ how the structure ofthe pion can be m odeled in a four\{ quark interaction cut\{o theory of the Nam bu\{Jona-Lasinio type.
II.1.ELEMENTARY INTRODUCTION TOCHIRALSYMMETRY

B efore discussing fulle CD, let us give a few very introductory rem arks about chiral sym $m$ etry. The reader fam iliar $w$ ith this concept is invited to skip this section. To be speci $c$, consider a free and $m$ assless spin $\{1 / 2$ (D irac) eld,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}[]=\mathrm{i} \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state of a free relativistic ferm ion (of anbitrary mass) is com pletely characterized by its energy $E$, its $m$ om entum $p$ and its helicity $\hat{h}=\sim p=j j$. For $m$ assless ferm ions helicity is identical to chirality $w$ th 5 the chirally operator (one speaks of chirality related to the greek w ord for "hand"). Let us decom pose the spinor into a right\{ and a left\{handed com ponent,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+{ }_{5}\right) \\
& =P_{L}+P_{R}  \tag{2:2}\\
& =L+R_{R}
\end{align*}
$$

O bviously, the operators $P_{L ; R}$ are pro jectors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}^{2}=P_{L} ; P_{R}^{2}=P_{R} ; P_{L} \quad P=0 ; P_{L}+P_{R}=1 \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the property*

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \hat{h} L ; R=\frac{1}{2} L ; R \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This show sthat the states $L ; R$ are helicity eigenstates. In term $s$ of these elds, the Lagrangian (2.1) takes the form

$$
\mathrm{L}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R}]=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~L} \tag{2:4}
\end{array} \text { @ } \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad @ \quad \mathrm{R}\right.
$$

O ne notices that the left $\{$ and right \{ handed ferm ion $m$ odes do not com $m$ unicate. Stated di erently, one can apply separate $U(1)_{L ; R}$ transform ations which leave the Lagrangian invariant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L!e^{i L} \quad L ; \quad R!e^{i} R \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]leading to conserved left $\{$ and right\{handed currents,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{I}=\quad I \quad I ; \quad I=L ; R \tag{2:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ } J^{; I}=0 ; \quad I=L ; R \tag{2:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, one can construct conserved vector and axialivector currents,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}=\quad \text { @ } \mathrm{V}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~A}=  \tag{2:8}\\
& 5 ; @ \mathrm{~A}=0
\end{align*}
$$

$\sin œ J_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{A})=2$. To reiterate, chiral sym $m$ etry $m$ eans that form assless ferm ions chirality is a constant ofm otion. A ferm ion $m$ ass term explicitely breaks this sym $m$ etry since it $m$ ixes the left $\{$ and right \{ handed com ponents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=L_{L} M+{ }_{R} M \tag{2:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To make chiral sym metry a viable concept for massive ferm ions, the corresponding eigenvalues of the $m$ ass $m$ atrix have to be $s m$ all com pared to a typical energy scale of the system under consideration. A s an exam ple, we will now consider the case of three\{ avor $Q$ uantum chrom odynam ics (QCD).

## II.2.THREE\{FLAVOR QCD

$T$ he standard $m$ odel of the strong, electrom agnetic and weak interactions involves three generations of ferm ion doublets, alas six di erent quark avors. From these six quark types, three are labelled 'light' ( $u ; d ; s$ ) and the other three 'heavy' ( $c ; b ; t$ ). H ere light and heavy refers to a typical hadronic scale $M_{H} \quad 1 \mathrm{GeV}$. In fact, $m_{C}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $m_{b, t} \gg M_{H}$ whereas typicalvalues of the light quark $m$ asses at a renom alization point of 1 GeV are [2.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{d}=5 \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} ; \quad m_{d}=9 \quad 3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} ; \quad m_{s}=175 \quad 55 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{2:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that there exist som e controversy about these values, for review $s$ w ith detailed references see e.g. [2.2,2.3,2.4]. In the three\{ avor sector, the Q CD Lagrangian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{Q C D}=\frac{1}{2 g^{2}} G^{a} G^{; a}+q i \quad\left(@ \quad \text { iG )q qM q } \quad \overline{16^{2}} G^{a} G \quad\right. \text {;a } \tag{2:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{x})=(\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}) ; \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{x})), \mathrm{G}$ the ghon eld, G the corresponding eld strength tensor and $G \quad ; a=\frac{1}{2} \quad G_{a}$ its dual. The last term in (2.11) is related to the strong

CP \{problem. In what follow s , we will set $=0$. The quark m ass m atrix can be chosen to be diagonal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \tag{2:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.11), we have not $m$ ade explicit the generators related to the local SU (3) colour transform ations. From the chiral sym $m$ etry point of view we rew rite (2.11) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{Q C D}=L_{Q C D}^{0} \quad q^{M} q \tag{2:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L_{Q C D}^{0}$ is invariant under the global transform ations of the group

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=S U(3)_{L} \quad S U\left(3 k \quad U ( 1 ) _ { k } \quad U \left(1_{k}\right.\right. \tag{2:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Projecting onto left $\left\{\right.$ and right $\left\{\right.$ handed quark elds, $q_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}=(1=2)(1 \quad 5) \mathrm{q}$, these trans form under the chiral group SU (3) L SU (3k as
w th the generators $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{a}=1,:::, 8)$ given in term s of the G ellM ann $\mathrm{SU}(3) \mathrm{m}$ atrices via $T^{a}={ }^{a}=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T^{a} T^{b}\right)={ }^{a b}=2$. In what follow $S$, $w e w$ ill not be concemed $w$ ith the vectorial $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry related to the baryon current $q \quad q$ and the anom alous $U(1)_{A}$ current. It is believed that the axial $U$ (1) is broken by instanton e ects [2.5]. To the globalSU (3) $\quad$ LU ( $3 k$ sym metry of $L_{Q C D}^{0}$ one associates $16=2\left(\mathbb{N}_{f}^{2} \quad 1\right)$ conserved currents,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{G} ; \quad @ \mathrm{~V} \quad{ }^{; \mathrm{a}}=0 \\
& \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{q} \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C} ; \quad @ \mathrm{~A} \quad ; \mathrm{a}=0 \tag{2:16}
\end{align*}
$$

with the corresponding conserved charges

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{V}^{a}=d^{Z} x V_{0}^{i}(x) ; \quad \frac{d Q_{V}^{a}}{d t}=0 \\
& Q_{A}^{a}=d^{3} x A_{0}^{i}(x) ; \quad \frac{d Q_{A}^{a}}{d t}=0 \tag{2:17}
\end{align*}
$$

O f course, in the presence of quark $m$ ass term $s$, this sym $m$ etry is explicitely broken.
O ne m ight now ask the question whether this chiral sym $m$ etry is also $m$ anifest in the ground state or the particle spectrum of QCD? In fact, there are num erous indications that this is not the case. The realization of the chiral sym m etry in the $W$ igner $m$ ode (i.e. all generators de ned in (2.17) annihilate the vacuum) would lead to degenerate hadron doublets of opposite parity in plain contradiction to the observed spectrum . Furthem ore, in the $W$ igner phase the vector\{vector and axial-vector\{axialvector correlators in the ground state would be equal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 0 \not V^{a}(x) V^{b}(y) j 0\right\rangle=\left\langle 0 \hat{z}^{a}(x) A^{b}(y) j 0\right\rangle \quad: \tag{2:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

These correlators can be extracted from decay data, $\quad+n$ ( $n=1 ; 2$;:: : w th n even (odd) containing the inform ation about the VV (AA) correlation function. A $s$ show $n$ in refs.[2.6], the VV correlator strongly peaks around $\left.s^{\prime} 0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{M}^{2}$ whereas the AA correlator has a broad maxim um around $s^{\prime} 1: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ' $\mathrm{M}_{A_{1}}^{2}$. From that and the approxim ate avour SU (3) sym $m$ etry of the hadron spectrum we conclude that the chiral sym $m$ etry is spontaneously broken dow $n$ to its vectorial subgroup,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S U(3)_{L} \quad S U\left(3 k!S U(3)_{V}\right. \tag{2:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the appearance of $N_{f}^{2} \quad 1=8 \mathrm{~m}$ assless pseudoscalar $m$ esons, the $G$ oldstone bosons [2.7]. T hese are the analog to the spin waves in a ferrom agnet which underw ent spontaneous $m$ agnetization (thus breaking the rotational sym $m$ etry of the $m$ agnet H am iltonian). In nature, how ever, these $G$ oldstone bosons are not exactly $m$ assless but acquire a $s m$ all $m$ ass due to the explicit sym $m$ etry breaking from the quark $m$ asses, $M_{P}^{2} M$, where $P$ is a generic symbol for the three pions, the four kaons and the eta. From the sytem atics of the hadron spectrum , M ' $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \quad \mathrm{M}$ we can im mediately conclude that $m_{s} \quad m_{d}^{\prime} m_{u}$ since the pions do not contain any strange quarks. These G oldstone bosons are in fact the lightest observed hadrons and they saturate the pertinent W ard identities of the strong interactions at low energies. To calculate QCD G reen functions in the non \{perturbative regim $e$, one therefore $m$ akes use of an e ective eld theory (EFT) w th the pseudoscalar mesons as the relevant degrees of freedom. The essential feature which makes this EFT am enable to a system atic perturbative expansion is the fact that the interaction betw een the G oldstone bosons at low energies is weak. To be $m$ ore precise, consider the elastic scattering process $+0!+0$ (for $m$ assless pions) [2.8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(+0!+0)=\frac{t}{F^{2}} \tag{2:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $t$ the invariant four\{m om entum transfer squared. Indeed, as $t$ approaches zero, the G oldstone boson interaction vanishes. T his fact is at the heart of the system atic low energy expansion in term $s$ of $s m$ all $m$ om enta and quark $m$ asses - chiral perturbation theory (CHPT) - as discussed in som e detail in the next section. For a m ore detailed account see e.g. the $m$ onograph [2.9], the originalpapers by $G$ asser and Leutw yler [2.10] or the review [2.3].

## II.3. CHIRALPERTURBATION THEORY

In this section, we brie y review how to construct the e ective chiral Lagrangian of the strong interactions at next\{to\{leading order, follow ing closely the w ork of G asser and Leutw yler [2.10]. It is most econom ical to use the extemal eld technique since it avoids any com plication related to the non \{linear transform ation properties of the pions. The basic objects to consider are currents and densities with extemal elds coupled to them [2.11] in accordance $w$ ith the sym $m$ etry requirem ents. The associated $G$ reen functions autom atically obey the pertinent $W$ ard identities and higher derivative
term $s$ can be constructed system atically. The $S$ \{m atrix elem ents for processes involving physicalm esons follow then via standard LSZ reduction. To be speci c, consider the vacuum \{to\{vacuum transition am plitude in the presence of extemal elds

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{i} Z[\mathrm{v} ; a ; s ; p]}=<0 \text { out-j in }>_{\mathrm{v} ; a ; s ; p} \tag{2:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

based on the QCD Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=L_{Q C D}^{0}+q\left(v(x)+{ }^{5} \text { a }(x)\right) q \quad q(s(x) \quad i p(x)) q \tag{2:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The extemal vector (v ), axial\{vector (a ), pseudoscalar (p) and scalar (s) elds are herm itean $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices in avor space. The quark m ass m atrix M (2.12) is contained in the scalar eld $s(x)$. The G reen functions ofm assless $Q C D$ are obtained by expanding the generating fiunctional around $v=a=s=p=0$. For the realworld, one has to expand around $v=a=p=0 ; s(x)=M$. The Lagrangian $L$ is invariant even under bcalSU (3) SU (3) chiraltransform ations if the quark and extemal eldstransform as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{1}^{0} & =R q ; q_{L}^{0}=L q \\
v^{0}+a^{0} & =R(v+a) R^{y}+i R @ R^{y} \\
v^{0} \quad a^{0} & =L(v \quad a) L^{y}+i L @ L^{y}  \tag{2:23}\\
s^{0}+i p^{0} & =R(s+i p) L^{y}
\end{align*}
$$

with L;R elem ents of $S U(3)_{L ; R}$ (in general, these are elem ents of $U(3)_{L ; R}$, but we already account for the axial anom aly to be discussed later). T he path integral representation of $Z$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\left.e^{\mathrm{iZ}[\mathrm{~V} ; a ; s ; p]}={ }^{Z} \mathbb{D} G \quad\right] \mathbb{D} q\right] \mathbb{D} q\right] e^{R}{ }^{\mathrm{id} d^{4} \times L(q ; q ; G} \quad ; v ; a ; s ; p\right) \tag{2:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It allow s one to m ake contact to the e ective m eson theory. Since we are interested in processes were the $m$ om enta are $s m$ all (the low energy sector of the theory), we can expand the $G$ reen functions in powers of the extemal $m$ om enta. This am ounts to an expansion in derivatives of the extemal elds. This low energy expansion is not a sim ple Taylor expansion since the Goldstone bosons generate poles at $q^{2}=0$ (in the chiral lim it) or $q^{2}=M^{2}$ (for nite quark $m$ asses). The low energy expansion involves two sm all param eters, the extemalm om enta q and the quark m asses M (or the $G$ oldstone $m$ asses $M \quad ; M_{K} ; M$ ). O ne expands in powers of these $w$ ith the ratio $M=q^{2}$ xed. The e ective $m$ eson Lagrangian to carry out this procedure follows from the low energy representation of the generating functional
where the m atrix U collects the pseudoscalar G oldstone elds. The low energy expansion is now obtained from a perturbative expansion of the $m$ eson EFT,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{L}_{2}+\mathrm{L}_{4}+::: \tag{2:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript ( $n=2,4,:::$ ) denotes the low energy dim ension (num ber of derivatives and/or quark $m$ ass term $s$ ). Let us now discuss the various term $s$ in this expansion. $T$ he leading term (called $L_{2}$ ) in the low energy expansion (2.26) can easily be written dow $n$ in term $s$ of the $m$ esons which are described by a unitary 3 matrix in avor space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{Y} U=1 ; \quad \operatorname{det} U=1 \tag{2:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hem atrix $U$ transform s linearly under chiral sym $m$ etry, $U^{0}=R U L^{y} . T$ he low est order Lagrangian consistent with Lorentz invariance, chiral sym $m$ etry, parity, $G$ \{parity and charge conjugation reads [2.10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=\frac{1}{4} F^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[r U^{y} r \quad U+{ }^{y_{U}}+U^{y}\right] \tag{2:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative r U transform s linearly under chiralSU (3) SU (3) and contains the couplings to the extemal vector and axial elds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad U=@ U \quad i(v+a) U+i U(v \quad a) \tag{2:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eld embodies the scalar and pseudoscalar extemals,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{ip}) \tag{2:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two constants appearing in eqs. $(228,2.30)$. The scale $F$ is related to the axial vector currents, $A^{a}=F @^{a}+:::$ and thus can be identi ed with the pion decay constant in the chiral lim it, $F=F f 1+O(M) g$, by direct com parison w ith the $m$ atrix $\left\{\right.$ elem ent $\left\langle 0 \lambda^{-}{ }^{a} j^{b}\right\rangle=$ ip ${ }^{a b} F .^{*}$ The constant B, which appears in the eld , is related to the explicit chiral sym $m$ etry breaking. C onsider the sym $m$ etry breaking part of the Lagrangian and expand it in powers of the pion elds (w ith $p=0, s=M$ so that $=2 \mathrm{BM}$ )
$\left.\left.L_{2}^{S B}=\frac{1}{2} F^{2} B \operatorname{Tr} M\left(U+U^{Y}\right)\right]=\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right) B \mathbb{F}^{2} \quad \frac{2}{2}+\frac{4}{24 F^{2}}+O\left({ }^{6}\right)\right]+:::$
where the ellipsis denotes the contributions for the kaons and the eta. The rst term on the right hand side of eq.(2.31) is obviously related to the vacuum energy,

[^1]while the second and third are $m$ eson $m$ ass and interaction term $s$, respectively. Since $@_{Q} Q_{C D}=@ \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{qq}$ it follow from (2.31) that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0 \text { juu } j 0>=<0 \text { jdd } j 0>=<0 \text { jss } j 0>=F^{2} B f 1+O(M) g \tag{2:32}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This shows that the constant $B$ is related to the vev's of the scalar quark densities < 0 ${ }^{\prime \prime} q-j$, the order param eter of the spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breaking. The relation (2.32) is only correct $m$ odulo higher order corrections in the quark $m$ asses as indicated by the term $O(M)$. O ne can furtherm ore read o the pseudoscalarm ass term s from (2.31). In the case of isospin sym $m$ etry ( $m_{u}=m_{d}=m$ ), one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{2}=2 n \mathrm{n} B f 1+O(M) g=M^{2} f 1+O(M) g \\
& M_{K}^{2}=\left(\hat{n}+m_{s}\right) B f 1+O(M) g=M_{K}^{2} f 1+O(M) g  \tag{2:33}\\
& M^{2}=\frac{2}{3}\left(\left(\hat{n}+2 m_{s}\right) B f 1+O(M) g=M^{2} f 1+O(M) g\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $M_{P}$ denoting the leading term in the quark $m$ ass expansion of the pseudoscalar $m$ eson $m$ asses. For the $M_{P}$, the $G$ ell $\left\{M\right.$ ann $\left\{O\right.$ kubo relation is exact, $4 M_{K}^{2}=M^{2}+3 M^{2}$. In the case of isospin breaking, which leads to $0 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ ixing, these m ass form ulae are som ew hat $m$ ore com plicated (see e.g. ref.[2.10]). Eq.(2.33) exhibits nicely the G oldstone character of the pions $\{w h e n$ the quark $m$ asses are set to zero, the pseudoscalars are $m$ assless and $S U(3) \quad S U(3)$ is an exact sym $m$ etry. For $m$ all sym $m$ etry breaking, the $m$ ass of the pions is proportional to the square root of the sym $m$ etry break ing param eter, i.e. the quark $m$ asses. From eqs. $(2.31)$ and (2.33) one can elim inate the constant $B$ and gets the celebrated $G$ ell $\{\mathrm{M}$ ann $\{0$ akes $\{R$ enner [2.12] relations

$$
\begin{align*}
F^{2} M^{2} & =2 m \hat{n}\left\langle 0 j u u j p>+O\left(M^{2}\right)\right. \\
F_{K}^{2} M_{K}^{2} & =\left(m+m_{s}\right)<0 j u u j p+O\left(M^{2}\right)  \tag{2:34}\\
F^{2} M^{2} & \left.=\frac{2}{3}\left(m+m_{s}\right)<0 j u u j 0\right\rangle+O\left(M^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used $F_{P}=F f 1+O(M) g(P=, K, \quad$, i.e. the di erences in the physical decay constants $F \in F_{K} \in F$ appear in the term $s$ of order $M^{2}$ in eq.(2.34). From this discussion we realize that to leading order the strong interactions are characterized by two scales, nam ely $F$ and $B$. Num erically, using the QCD sum rule value $\left\langle 0\right.$ juu $j 0>=(225 \mathrm{MeV})^{\beta}$ one has F ' F ' 93 MeV and B' 1300 MeV . The large value of the ratio $B=F$ ' 14 has triggered som e investigations of altemative scenarios conceming the $m$ ode of quark condensation [2.13].

O ne can now calculate tree diagram susing the e ective Lagrangian $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ and derive w ith ease all so \{called current algebra predictions (low energy theorem s). Current algebra is, as should have becom e evident by now, only the rst term in a system atic
low energy expansion. W orking out tree graphs using $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ can not be the whole story \{ tree diagram s are alw ays real and thus unitarity is violated. O ne has to include higher order corrections to cure this. To do this in a consistent fashion, one needs a counting scheme. The leading term in the low energy expansion of $L_{e}$ (2.26) was denoted $L_{2}$ because it has dim ension (chiral power) two. It contains two derivatives or one power of the quark $m$ ass $m$ atrix. If one assum es the $m$ atrix $U$ to be order one, $U=O(1)$, a consistent power counting schem efor local term scontaining $U$, @ $U, v, a, s, p$, ::: goes as follow s . D enote by $q$ a generic sm all m om entum (for an exact de nition of 'sm all', see below ). D erivatives count as order q and so do the extemal elds which occur linearly in the covariant derivative $r$ U. For the scalar and pseudoscalar elds, it is $m$ ost convenient to book them as order $q^{2}$. T his can be traced back to the fact that the scalar eld $s(x)$ contains the quark $m$ ass $m$ atrix, thus $s(x) \quad M \quad M^{2} \quad q^{2} \cdot W$ ith these rules, all term $s$ appearing in (2.28) are of order $q^{2}$, thus the notation $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ (notioe that a term of order one is a constant since $U^{Y_{U}}=1$ and can therefore be disregarded. O dd pow ers of $q$ clash $w$ ith parity requirem ents). To sum $m$ arize, the building blodks of all term $s$ containing derivatives and/or quark $m$ asses have the follow ing dim ension:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @ U(x) ; v(x) ; a(x)=O(q) \\
& s(x) ; p(x) ; F^{\mathrm{L} ; R}(x)=O\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{2:35}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the eld strengths $F^{\text {L; }}$ for later use. They are de ned via

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.F^{I}=@ F^{I} \quad @ F^{I} \quad i \mathbb{F}^{I} ; F^{I}\right] ; I=L ; R \\
& F^{R}=V+a ; F^{L}=v a \tag{2:36}
\end{align*}
$$

A s already mentioned, unitarity calls for pion loop graphs. $W$ einberg [2.14] m ade the im portant observation that diagram $s$ w ith $n(n=1,2,:::) m$ eson loops are suppressed by powers of $\left(q^{2}\right)^{n} w$ th respect to the leading term. $H$ is rather elegant argum ent goes as follow S . C onsider the S \{ m atrix for a reaction involving $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ extemal pions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left(q_{1}+p_{2}+:::+p_{N_{\mathrm{e}}}\right) M \tag{2:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $M$ the transition amplitude. N ow $M$ depends on the total $m$ om entum ow ing through the am plitude, on the pertinent coupling constants $g$ and the renorm alization scale (the loop diagram s are in general divergent and need to be regularized*),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=M(q ; g ; \quad)=q f(q=; g) \tag{2:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]w ith the totalscaling dim ension D of M given by [2.14]
\[

D=2+{ }_{d}^{X} N_{d}\left($$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{d} & 2)+2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{L}}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

Here, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the num ber of pion loops and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}}$ the num ber of vertioes w ith d derivatives (or quark $m$ ass insertions). T he dom inant graphs at low energy carry the sm allest value of $D$. The leading term $s w$ th $d=2$ scale like $q^{2}$ at tree level $\left(\mathbb{N}_{L}=0\right)$, like $q^{4}$ at one loop level ( $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{L}}=1$ ) and so on. H igher derivative term s with $\mathrm{d}=4$ scale as $\mathrm{q}^{4}$ at tree level, as $q^{6}$ at one\{loop order etc. This power suppression of loop diagram $s$ is at the heart of the low energy expansion in EFTs like e.g. chiralperturbation theory (CHPT).

Up to now, we have been rather casual w th the m eaning of the word "sm all". By sm all $m$ om entum or $s m$ all quark $m$ ass we $m$ ean this $w$ ith respect to som e typical hadronic scale, also called the scale of chiralsym m etry breaking (denoted by ). Georgi and M anohar [2.15] have argued that a consistent chiral expansion is possible if
$4 \mathrm{~F} \quad 1 \mathrm{GeV}$. Their argum ent is based on the observation that under a change of the renorm alization scale of order one typical loop contributions (say to the scattering am plitude) will correspond to changes in the e ective couplings of the order $\mathrm{F}^{2}={ }^{2}$, $1=(4)^{2}$. Setting $=4 \mathrm{~F}$ and cutting the logarithm ically divergent loop integrals at this scale, quantum corrections are of the sam e order of $m$ agnitude as changes in the renorm alized interaction term s . The factor (4 $)^{2}$ is generic for one\{loop integrals (in 3+ 1 dim ensions). A nother type of argum ent is related to the non $\{\mathrm{G}$ oldstone spectrum . C onsider scattering in the $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{J}=1$ channel. There, at $\overline{\mathrm{S}}=770 \mathrm{MeV}$, one hits the \{resonance. This is a naturalbarrier to the derivative expansion of the G oldstone $m$ esons and therefore serves as a cut o. The appearance of the signals the regim e of the non \{G oldstone particles and describes new physics. It is therefore appropriate to choose $\quad$, $\mathrm{M}, 770 \mathrm{MeV}$, which is not terribly di erent from the previous estim ate. In sum $m$ ary, sm all extemal $m$ om enta $q$ and $s m$ all quark $m$ asses $M$ eans $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{M} \quad 1$ and $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M} \quad 1$ :

W e have now assem beld alltools to discuss the generating functionalZ at next\{to $\{$ leading order, i.e. at O ( $q^{4}$ ). It consists of three di erent contributions: (1) The anom aly functional is of order $q^{4}$ (it contains four derivatives). W e denote the corresponding functional by $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$. $T$ he explicit construction was given by W ess and Zum ino [2.16] and can also be found in ref.[2.10]. A geom etric interpretation is provided by $W$ itten [2.17]. (2) The m ost generale eqtive Lagrakgian of order $q^{4}$ which is gauge invariant. It leads to the action $Z_{2}+Z_{4}=d^{4} x L_{2}+d^{4} x L_{4}$. (3) $O$ ne loop graphs associated $w$ ith the low est order term, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$. These also scale as term s of order $\mathrm{q}^{4}$.

Let us rst discuss the anom aly functional $Z_{A}$. It subsum es all interactions which break the intrinsic parity and is responsible e.g. for the decay ${ }^{0}!2$. It also generates interactions betw een ve or m ore Goldstone bosons [2.17]. In what follow s, we will not consider this sector in great detail (for a review, see ref.[2.18]).

W hat is now them ost general Lagrangian at order $q^{4}$ ? Thebuilding blocks and their low energy dim ensions w ere already discussed \{ we can have term $s$ w ith four derivatives
or $w$ ith tw o derivatives and one quark $m$ ass or $w$ ith tw o quark $m$ asses (and, correspondingly, the other extemal elds). In SU (3), the only invariant tensors are $g$ and so one is left w ith (im posing also P, G and gauge invariance) [2.10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{4}={ }_{i=1}^{X^{10}} L_{i} P_{i}+X_{j=1}^{X^{2}} H_{j} P_{j} \tag{2:40}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(r U^{Y} r U\right)^{2} ; \quad P_{2}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(r U^{Y} r U\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(r U^{Y}{ }^{Y} U\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{5}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(r U^{y_{r}} U\right)\left({ }^{y_{U}}+U^{Y}\right) ; ~ P_{6}=\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{y_{U}}+U^{Y}\right)^{2} \\
& P_{7}=\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U} \quad U^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2} ; \quad P_{8}=\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U}+U^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)  \tag{2:41}\\
& P_{9}=\quad i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{R}} r \operatorname{Ur} U^{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \quad \operatorname{iTr}\left(\mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{L}} r U^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{r} U\right) \\
& \mathrm{P}_{10}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{U} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{L} ;}\right. \text { ) } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{1}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{R} ;}+\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{L}} ; \quad\right) ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{2}=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{y})
\end{align*}
$$

For the two avor case, not all of these term $s$ are independent. The pertinent $q^{4} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ec}} \mathrm{c}^{-}$ tive Lagrangian is discussed in ref.[2.10]. The rst ten term $s$ of (2.40) are of physical relevance for the low energy sector, the last tw o are only necessary for the consistent renom alization procedure discussed below. These term sproportional to $P_{j}^{r}(j=1,2)$ do not contain the $G$ oldstone elds and are therefore not directly $m$ easurable at low energies. The constants $L_{i}(i=1 ;::: ; 10)$ appearing in (2.40) are the so \{called low \{energy constants. They are not xed by the sym $m$ etry and have the generic structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}=L_{i}^{r}+L_{i}^{\inf } \tag{2:42}
\end{equation*}
$$

These constants serve to renorm alize the in nities of the pion loops ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{inf}}$ ) and the rem aining nite pieces ( $L_{i}^{r}$ ) have to be xed phenom enologically or to be estim ated by som em odel (see below ). It should be noted that a few of the low \{energy constants are in fact nite. At next\{to\{leading order, the strong interactions dynam ics is therefore determ ined in term sof tw elve param eters \{ $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{L}_{1} ;:::, \mathrm{L}_{10}$ (rem em ber that we have disregarded the singlet vector and axialcurrents). In the absence of extemal elds, only the rst three term $s$ in (2.40) have to be retained.

F inally, we have to consider the loops generated by the low est order e ective Lagrangian. These are of dim ension $q^{4}$ (one loop approxim ation) as $m$ andated by $W$ einberg's scaling rule. To evaluate these loop graphs one considers the neighbourhood of the solution $U(x)$ to the classical equations of $m$ otion. In term $s$ of the generating functional, this reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.e^{i Z}=e^{i^{i}} d^{4} \times \mathbb{L}_{2}(U)+L_{4}(U)\right]^{Z} \quad \mathbb{D} U\right]^{i^{i}} d^{R} \times \mathbb{L}_{2}(U) L_{2}(U)\right] \tag{2:43}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he bar indicates that the Lagrangian is evaluated at the classical solution. A ccording to the chiralcounting, in the second factor of (2.43) only the term $L_{2}$ is kept. This leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=d^{4} x\left(L_{2}+L_{4}\right)+\frac{i}{2} \ln \operatorname{det} D \tag{2:44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator D is singular at short distances. The ultraviolet divergences contained in In detD can be determ ined via the heat kemelexpansion. U sing dim ensional regularization, the UV divergences in four dim ensions take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(4)^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{Sp}^{1}\left(\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2}+\frac{1}{12} \wedge \wedge\right) \tag{2:45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit form of the operators ${ }^{\wedge}$ and ${ }^{\wedge}$ can be found in ref.[2.10]. U sing their explicit expressions, the poles in $\ln$ detD can be absorbed by the follow ing renorm alization of the low energy constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i} & =L_{i}^{r}+{ }_{i} L ; i=1 ;::: ; 10  \tag{2:46}\\
H_{j} & =H_{j}^{r}+{ }_{j} L ; j=1 ; 2
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{16^{2}} \mathrm{~d} 4 \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} 4 \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{n}(4)+{ }^{0}(1)+1\right]  \tag{2:47}\\
& 1=\frac{3}{32} ; \quad 2=\frac{3}{16} ; \quad 3=0 ; \quad 4=\frac{1}{8} ; \\
& 5=\frac{3}{8} ; \quad 6=\frac{11}{144} ; \quad 7=0 ; \quad 8=\frac{5}{48} ; \\
& 9=\frac{1}{4} ; \quad 10=\frac{1}{4} ; \quad \sim_{1}=\frac{1}{8} ; \quad \sim_{2}=\frac{5}{24} ;
\end{align*}
$$

and is the scale of dim ensional regularization. The $q^{4}$ contribution $Z_{4}+Z_{1 \text { loop }}$ is nite at $d=4$ when expressed in term $s$ of the renorm alized coupling constants $L_{i}^{r}$ and $H_{i}^{r}$. The next step consists in the expansion of the di erential operator $D$ in powers of the extemal elds. This gives the explicit contributions of the one\{loop graphs to a given $G$ reen function. T he fiull $m$ achinery is spelled out in $G$ asser and Leutw yler [2.10]. In general, one groups the loop contributions into tadpole and unitarity corrections. W hile the tadpoles contain one vertex and one loop, the unitarity corrections contain one loop and two vertioes. The tadpole contributions renom alize the couplings of the e ective Lagrangian. B oth of these loop contributions also depend on the scale of dim ensional regularization. In contrast, physical observables are \{independent. For actual calculations, how ever, it is som etim es convenient to choose a particular value of , say, $=M$ or $=M$. To one\{loop order, the generating functional therefore takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Z}_{2}+\mathrm{Z}_{4}+\mathrm{Z}_{\text {one loop }}+\mathrm{Z}_{\text {anom }} \tag{2:48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and what rem ains to be done is to detem ine the values of the renom alized low energy constants, $L_{i}^{r}(i=1,::: 10)$. These are in principle calculable from $Q C D$, they depend on $Q C D$ and the heavy quark $m$ asses

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}^{r}=L_{i}^{r}\left(\Omega C D ; m_{c} ; m_{b} ; m_{t}\right) \tag{2:49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In practioe, such a calculation is not feasible. O ne therefore resorts to phenom enology and determ ines the $L_{i}^{r}$ from data. H ow ever, som e of these constants are not easily extracted from em pirical inform ation. Therefore, one uses constraints from the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ w orld. U sing this and experim ental inform ation from scattering, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{F}$, the electrom agnetic radius of the pion and so on, one ends up with the values for the $L_{i}^{r}(=M)$ given in table 1 (large $N_{c}$ argum ents are used to estim ate $L_{1} ; L_{4}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{6}$ ). For com parison, we also give the values at $=\mathrm{M}$. M ore accurate data will allow to further pin down this quantity. In the case of $S U(2)$, one can de ne scale\{ independent couplings ${ }_{i}(i=1 ;::: ; 7$ ). These are discussed in ref.[2.10]. $C$ an one now understand the values of the $L_{i}^{r}$ from som e underlying principles? A lready in their 1984 paper, G asser and Leutw yler [2.10]m ade the follow ing observation. They considered an $e$ ective theory of $m$ esons coupled to the pseudoscalars. Elim inating the heavy eld
 $m$ ass, one ends up $w$ th term $s$ of order $q^{4}$. The values of the corresponding low energy constants are given in term sofM and the \{m eson coupling strengths to photons and pions. This leads to a fair description of the SU (2) low energy constants. This m ethod has been generalized by E cker et al. [2.19] and by D onoghue et al. [2.20]. They consider the low est order e ective theory of $G$ oldstone bosons coupled to resonance elds $(\mathbb{R})$. $T$ hese resonances are of vector $(V)$, axial\{vector $(A)$, scalar $(S)$ and non $\{G$ oldstone pseudoscalar ( P ) type. For the latter category, only the ${ }^{0}$ is of practical im portance. $T$ he form of the pertinent couplings is dictated by chiral sym $m$ etry* in term $s$ of a few coupling constants which can be determ ined from data (from $m$ eson \{ $m$ eson and $m$ eson \{ photon decays). At low m om enta, one integrates out the resonance elds. Since their couplings to the $G$ oldstone bosons are of order $q^{2}$, resonance exchange produces term $s$ of order $q^{4}$ and higher. Sym bolically, this reads

$$
\left.{ }^{Z} \underset{[d R \exp }{ } \mathrm{i}^{Z} d^{4} x \tilde{L}_{e}[\mathbb{U} ; R]=\exp \text { i }^{Z} d^{4} x L_{e} \mathbb{U}\right]
$$

So to leading order ( $q^{4}$ ), one only sees the $m$ om entum \{independent part of the resonance propagators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{M_{R}^{2}} t=\frac{1}{M_{R}^{2}} 1+\frac{t}{M_{R}^{2}} t \tag{2:51}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]and thus the $L_{i}^{r}\left(\quad, M_{R}\right)$ can be expanded in term $s$ of the resonance coupling constants and their $m$ asses. This leads to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i}^{r}()=X_{R=V ; A ; S ; P}^{X} L_{i}^{R e s}+\hat{L}_{i}() \tag{2:52}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

$w$ ith $\hat{L}_{i}()$ a rem ainder. For this scenario to $m$ ake sense, one has to choose som ew here in the resonance region so that one can neglect the rem ainder. A preferred choice is
$=\mathrm{M}$ (as show n in Ref.[2.19], any value of between 500 MeV and 1 GeV does the job). In table 1, we show the corresponding values for all low energy constants estim ated from resonance exchange. It is apparent that the resonances alm ost com pletely saturate the $L_{i}^{r}, w$ ith no need for additional contributions. This $m$ ethod of estim ating $L_{i}^{r}$ is som etim es called QCD duality or the QCD version ofVMD. In fact, it is rather natural that the higher lying hadronic states leave their im prints in the sector of the light pseudoscalars \{ as already stated, the typical resonance $m$ ass is the scale of new physics not described by the G oldstone bosons.

| $i$ | $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{M}$ | $)$ | $\mathrm{L}_{i}^{r}(\mathrm{M}$ | $)$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{RES}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0: 9$ | $0: 5$ | $0: 7$ | $0: 5$ | 0.6 |
| 2 | $1: 6$ | $0: 4$ | $1: 2$ | $0: 4$ | 12 |
| 3 | $3: 6$ | $1: 3$ | $3: 6$ | $1: 3$ | $3: 0$ |
| 4 | $0: 0$ | $0: 5$ | $0: 3$ | $0: 5$ | 0.0 |
| 5 | $2: 2$ | $0: 5$ | $1: 4$ | $0: 5$ | 1.4 |
| 6 | $0: 0$ | $0: 3$ | $0: 2$ | $0: 3$ | 0.0 |
| 7 | $0: 4$ | $0: 15$ | $0: 4$ | $0: 15$ | $0: 3$ |
| 8 | $1: 1$ | $0: 3$ | $0: 9$ | $0: 3$ | 0.9 |
| 9 | $7: 4$ | $0: 2$ | $6: 9$ | $0: 2$ | 6.9 |
| 10 | $5: 7$ | $0: 3$ | $5: 2$ | $0: 3$ | $6: 0$ |

Table 1: Low \{energy constants for SU (3) L ( $3 k$. The rst two colum ns give the phenom enologically determ ined values at $=M$ and $=M$. The $L_{i}^{r}\left(i=4,::: 8\right.$ ) are from ref.[2.10], the $L_{9 ; 10}^{r}$ from ref.[2 21] and the $L_{1 ; 2 ; 3}^{r}$ from the recent determ ination in ref.[2.22]. The' ' denotes the constants which are not renorm alized. The third colum $n$ show s the estim ate based on resonance exchange [2.19].

In CHPT, the structure of any particle is made up by pion loops and higher resonance contributions encoded in the low \{energy constants. A s an exam ple, consider the pion charge form factor. To low est (tree) order, it is sim ply equal to unity as dem anded by gauge invariance. At next order in the chiral expansion, loops and counterterm s build up the pion radius, with the lions share due to one counterterm ( $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{g}$ ) which is saturated by vectorm eson exchange. At yet higher orders, one consistently sees m ore of the energy dependence of the pion form factor. H ow ever, in this perturbative approach one does not get the resonance (or sim ilar e ects in other channels). T hat is the reason why we argued that the scale of the resonance $m$ asses sets a natural cut o to the range of applicabillty of CHPT in the meson sector. A m ore detailed account of this and the $m$ any applications of CHPT can be found in the review s [2.2,2.3,2.18,2.22] and the connection of the e ective Lagrangian to the QCD W ard identities is elaborated on in ref.[2.24]. Before considering now the inclusion ofmatter elds in CHPT, let us brie $y$ discuss the structure of the pion from a quark $m$ odel point of view .

## II.4. M ODELLING THE PION

To investigate the form ation of vacuum condensates and the generation of $m$ ass, N am bu and Jona-Lasinio [2.25] proposed a m odelw ith a H eisenberg\{type four nucleon interaction in close analogy to developm ents in superconductivity. O ne can extend this approach to $Q C D$ where in the phase of the spontaneously broken chiral sym $m$ etry a scalar quark condensate form $s$ and the quarks acquire a nite constituent $m$ ass of the order of a few hundreds of M eV . The pion as the Goldstone boson appears as a collective quark \{antiquark m ode. To discuss these features in som e detail, we follow closely the work of ref.[26]. C onsider the two\{ avor N JL Lagrangian for massless quarks interacting via a contact force,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{NJL}}=\mathrm{i} @+G\left[()^{2}\left(5^{\sim}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{2:53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $G$ is a positive coupling strength with the dim ension of a squared length. The Lagrangian (2.53) is obviously invariant under chiral SU (2) L SU $(2 \mathrm{k}$. It can be thought as a minim al e ective Lagrangian mimicking som e basic properties of non $\{$ perturbative QCD in the long\{wavelength lim it. O ne now solves the D yson equation for the self\{energy and identi es w ith the $m$ ass $M$ which is dynam ically generated by the self\{ interactions. The resulting self\{ consistent equation relates $M$ and the coupling $G$. It has a trivial solution $M=0$ which corresponds to the ordinary perturbative

These constituent $m$ asses should not be confused w the fiundam ental $m$ ass param eters (current quark masses) entering the Q CD Lagrangian. It should be stressed that the notion of a constituent quark is m odel\{dependent but helps to understand qualitatively $m$ any features of the hadron properties.
result. H ow ever, for $G$ above som e critical value, it has also a non \{trivial solution which is determ ined by a selff consistency equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4 N_{f} N_{c}+1}{(2)^{4}} G \quad Z \quad d^{4} p \frac{1}{p^{2} \quad M^{2}+i}=1 \tag{2:54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $N_{f}\left(\mathbb{N}_{c}\right)$ the num ber of avours (colors). The integralin Eq.(2.54) diverges quadratically due to the zero range interaction. O ne therefore has to regularize the integral. D oing this e.g. by a covariant $m$ om entum $\operatorname{cut}\left\{0 \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & p^{2}\end{array}\right)\right.$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4^{2}}{13 G^{2}}=1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{2} \ln \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}^{2}}+1 \quad: \tag{2:55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq.(2.55) clearly exhibits that spontaneous sym m etry breaking only occurs for values of G $G_{\text {crit }}$. For such values, the $m$ ass $M$ starts to deviate from zero and increases w ith G. T he scalar quark condensate acquires a non \{vanishing vev which can be interpreted as the probabillty of nding qq pairs in the vacuum ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\quad>=\frac{3}{4^{2}} \mathrm{M}^{3} \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \quad \ln \frac{2}{\mathrm{M}^{2}}+1 \tag{2:56}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show sthe intim ate relation betw een the constituent quark $m$ ass $M$ and the quark condensate in this schem atic $m$ odel of chiral sym $m$ etry violation.


Fig. 2.1: Bethe\{Salpeter equation in the $H$ artree\{Fock approxim ation. The double line represents the pion, the solid lines constituent quarks. T he exchange (Fock) diagram is not shown.

In studying the bound\{state problem, one nds that the Bethe\{Salpeter equation for the vertex function 5 (p) in the pseudoscalar isovector channel (shown in g.2.1) is equivalent to the condition (2.54) when the total four\{m om entum of the quark \{antiquark pair is zero, $p^{2}=0$. This $m$ eans that there exists a $m$ assless pseudoscalar isovector particle (the pion) related to the spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breaking. $T$ his nioely illustrates the G oldstone theorem in a m icroscopic picture. A s m andated by G oldstone theorem, the pion has non \{vanishing transition $m$ atrix \{elem ent into the vacuum via the
axial current which de nes the pion decay constant. In the $N J m$ odel, it is related to the constituent quark m ass via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{3}}{2} \mathrm{M} \quad \ln \left(1+\mathrm{u}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{u}^{2}}{1+\mathrm{u}^{2}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{u}=\frac{}{\mathrm{M}} \tag{2:57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show s that $F$ is linked to the collective nature of the pion. The m odel can, of course, also be treated in the case of explicit chiral sym m etry breaking by adding the canonical current quark $m$ ass term .

W th these basic tools, one can now study very di erent problem s related to the physics of the $G$ oldstone bosons and other $m$ esons (if one extends the basic Lagrangian accordingly). Som e of these are:

1) The them odynam ics of the constituent quarks and the pions, i.e. aspects of nite tem perature and density (in the approxim ation that the baryon density is given by three tim es the constituent quark density). Such character changes ofm eson properties play an im portant role in the nuclear equation of state and in hot and dense baryon \{rich environm ents as precursors of the transition to them uch discussed (but not yet observed) quark \{ghon plasm a. For an early reference see [2.27] and the recent review s [2.28,2 29].
2) The extension of the $m$ odel to the three\{ avor case and the study of avorm ixing. This was rst addressed in a system atic fashion in the paper by Bemard et al [2.30] where it was show $n$ that the $U(1)_{A}$ anom aly foroes the inclusion ofterm sw ith $2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ferm ion elds (w ithin the one-loop approxim ation to the e ective potential). C ertain aspects of the physics of avor m ixing are review ed in ref.[2.31].
3) The relation of $N J$ \{type m odels to CHPT has been discussed early [2.32]. It has becom e clear that a direct com parison is ham pered by the fact that in the N J m odel one does not expand in term s of a sm all param eter. It can nevertheless serve as a guideline to understand the physics behind the low \{energy constants (the extended $\mathrm{N} J \mathrm{~m}$ odel) [2.33] and to get an estim ate of $\mathrm{p}^{6}$ (and higher order) e ects [2.34].
Let $m$ ebrie $y$ elaborate on the last point, i.e. the work of ref.[2.34]. T here, a consistent bosonization schem e for the $N \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~m}$ odel w as developed and the $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ expansion of certain observables was worked out. In table 2, we show the results for the pion $m$ ass, decay constant and the constituent $m$ ass. O ne sees that the $p^{4}$ approxim ation is $w$ thin $1 \%$ of the total (H artree\{Fock) result.

| O rder | $O\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{p}^{4}\right)$ | $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{p}^{6}\right)$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | 88.6 | 93.8 | 93.0 | 93.1 |
| M | 141.5 | 138.4 | 139.1 | 139.0 |
| M | 221.2 | 243.9 | 241.4 | 248.1 |

Table 2: Chiral expansion of the pion decay constant, the pion $m$ ass and the constituent quark $m$ ass to order $p^{2}, p^{4}, p^{6}$ in com parison to the self\{ consistent result of the bosonized $N J$ \{ m odel. A ll num bers are in M eV .

C learly, such results always have to be considered indicative since within the H artree\{Fock (one\{loop e ective potential) approxim ation one does not include pion loops. W hat is $m$ ost im portant here is to have a $m$ icroscopic $m$ odel for the spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breakdow $n$ and its associated G oldstone bosons.

REFERENCES
2.1 J. G asser and H. Leutw yler, P hys. Reports C 87 (1982) 77.

22 H . Leutw yler, in: Proc. X XV I Int. C onf. on H igh E nergy P hysics, D allas, 1992, edited by J.R. Sanford, A P C onf. P roc. No. 272, 1993.
2.3 U lf-G .M ei ner, Rep. P rog. P hys. 56 (1993) 903.
2.4 J F. D onoghue, TA SI lectures, B oulder, U SA, 1993; H. Leutw yler, Bem U niversity preprint BUTP $\{94 / 8,1994$.
2.5 G.'t H ooft, Nucl. P hys. B 72 (1974) 461.
2.6 R.D. P eccei and J. Sola, Nucl. Phys. B 281 (1987) 1; C.A.D om inguez and J. Sola, Z. P hys. C 40 (1988) 63.
2.7 J. G oldstone, N uovo C im . 19 (1961) 154.
2.8 S.W einberg, P hys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966) 616.
2.9 J. F. D onoghue, E. G olow ich and B.R. Holstein, " D ynam ics of the Standard M odel", C am bridge U nìv. P ress, C am bridge, 1992.
2.10 J.G asser and H.Leutw yler, A nn. P hys. (N.Y.) 158 (1984) 142; Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465,539.
2.11 D. G.B oulw are and L.S.B rown, A nn. Phys. (N.Y.) 138 (1982) 392.
2.12 M . Gell-M ann, R.J. O akes and B. Renner, P hys. Rev. 175 (1968) 2195.
2.13 M . D. Scadron and H.F.Jones, Phys. Rev. D 10 (1974) 967;

H . Szadijan and J. Stem, N ucl. P hys. B 94 (1975) 163;
R. J. C rew ther, P hys. Lett. B 176 (1986) 172.

N . H . Fuchs, H. Szadijan and J. Stem, P hys. Lett. B 238 (1990) 380;
P hys. Lett. B 269 (1991) 183.
2.14 S.W einberg, P hysica 96A (1979) 327.
2.15 A.M anohar and H. G eorgi, N ucl. Phys. B 234 (1984) 189.
2.16 J.W ess and B. Zum ino, P hys. Lett. 37B (1971) 95.
2.17 E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 223 (1983) 422.
2.18 J. B ijnens, Int. J. M od. Phys. 8 (1993) 3045.
2.19 G .Ecker, J. G asser, A.P ich and E. de R afael, N ucl. P hys. B 321 (1989) 311.

220 J.F.D onoghue, C. R am irez and G.Valencia, P hys. Rev. D 39 (1989) 1947.
221 J. B ijhens and F. C omet, N ucl. Phys. B 296 (1988) 557.

222 J. B ijnens, G.Ecker and J. G asser, in: The DAFNE Physics H andbook (vol. 1), eds. L. M aiani, G . P ancheri and N . P aver, IN FN Frascati, 1992
2.23 J.F.D onoghue, in "M edium energy antiprotons and the quark \{ghon structure of hadrons", eds. R.Landua, J.M .R ichard and R.K lapish, P lenum Press, New York, 1992;
G .E cker, in : Q uantitative P article P hysics, eds. M . Levy et al., P lenum ,N ew York, 1993;
H. Leutw yler, in \R ecent A spects of $Q$ uantum $F$ ields", eds. H . M itter and M . G austerer, Springer V erlag, B erlin, 1991.
"E ective eld theories of the standard m odel", ed. U lff G .M ei ner, $W$ orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1992;
G . E cker, \C hiralP erturbation Theory", preprint U W ThP h-94-49, 1995, to app. in P rogr. P art. N ucl. P hys., hep
224 H . Leutw yler, A nn. Phys. (N.Y.) 235 (1994) 165; see also preprint BU TP -94/13, 1994.

225 Y. N am bu and G. Jona\{Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 345; 124 (1961) 246.
226 V.Bemard, Phys. Rev. D 34 (1986) 1601.
227 V.Bemard, U lfG . M ei ner and I. Zahed, P hys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 819.
228 S. K levansky, Rev. M od. P hys. 64 (1992) 649.
229 T. H atsuda and T. K unihiro, Phys. Reports 247 (1994) 221.
2.30 V.Bemard, R L. Ja e and U lfeG. M ei ner, Nucl. Phys. B 308 (1988) 753.
2.31 U . Vogland W . W eise, P rog. P art. Nucl. P hys. 26 (1991) 195.
2.32 T.H.H ansson, M. P rakash and I. Zahed, Nucl. Phys. B 335 (1990) 67;

V . Bemard and U lf\{ G . M ei ner, P hys. Lett. B 266 (1991) 403;
C. Schuren, E. R uiz A rriola and K . G oeke, Nucl. Phys. A 547 (1992) 612; S.K levansky and J.M uller, P hys. Rev. C (1994) in print.
2.33 J. B ijnens, C.B runo and E. de R afael, Nucl. Phys. B 390 (1993) 501.
2.34 V.Bemard, A A. O sipov and U lf-G .M ei ner, P hys. Lett. B 285 (1992) 119.

## III. THEPION \{NUCLEON SYSTEM

In this section, we w ill be concemed with the inclusion of baryons in the e ective eld theory. W e will specialize to the case of two avors with the pions and nucleons as the asym ptotically observed elds. The generalization to the case of three avors will be taken up later. First, we discuss the relativistic form ulation. In that case, how ever, the additionalm ass scale (the nucleon $m$ ass in the chiral lim it) destroys the one\{to\{one correspondence betw een the loop and the sm allm om entum expansion. T his can be overcom e in the extrem e non \{relativistic lim it in which the nucleon is essentially considered as a static source. W ew ill then tum to the system atic renorm alization of the e ective pion \{nucleon Lagrangian to order $p^{3}$. Finally, we discuss the appearing low \{ energy constants and the role of the (1232) resonance. A s applications, elastic pion \{ nucleon scattering and the reaction N ! N at threshold are considered. Reactions involving electrow eak probes are relegated to section 4.

## III.1. EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN

In this section, we will be concemed with the inclusion of baryons in the e ective eld theory. The relativistic form alism dates back to the early days, see e.g. W einberg [3.1], C allan et al. [3.2], Langacker and Pagels [3.3] and others (for a review, see P agels [3.4]). The connection to QCD G reen functions was perform ed in a system atic fashion by G asser, Sainio and Svarc [3.5] (from here on referred as G SS) and K rause [3.6]. A s done in the G SS paper, we willoutline the form alism in the two avor case, i.e. for the pion \{nucleon ( N ) system. The extension to avor SU (3) is spelled out in section 6.

Follow ing G SS, we now discuss the m odi cations of the procedure detailed in section 2 to include the nucleons. The starting point is the observation that the tim e\{ordered nucleon matrix elem ents of the quark currents are generated by the one\{nucleon to one\{nucleon transition am plitude

$$
F\left(\rho^{0} ; p ; v ; a ; s ; p\right)=<p^{0} \text { out } p \text { in }>\underset{v}{c} \begin{gather*}
\text { connected } ; s ; p \tag{3:1}
\end{gather*} ; P^{0} p p
$$

determ ined by the Lagrangian (2.22). Here, ip in > denotes an incom ing one\{nucleon state ofm om entum $p$ (and sim ilarly $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ out $>$ ). The idea is now to construct in analogy w th (2 25) a pion \{nucleon eld theory which allow s to evaluate the functionalF at low energies.

First, we consider the general structure of the e ective pion-nucleon Lagrangian $L^{e}{ }_{N}$. It contains the pions collected in the $m$ atrix \{valued eld $U(x)$ and we com bine the proton (p) and the neutron (n) elds in an isospinor

$$
=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}  \tag{3:2}\\
& \mathrm{n}
\end{align*} \quad:
$$

There is a variety of $w$ ays to describe the transform ation properties of the spin $\{1 / 2$ baryons under chiral SU (2) SU (2). All of them lead to the sam e physics. H ow ever,
there is one $m$ ost convenient choice (this is discussed in detail in Georgi's book [3.7]). In the previous section, we had already seen that the self\{ interactions of the pions are of derivative nature, i.e. they vanish at zero m om entum. T his is a feature we also w ant to keep for the pion \{baryon interaction. It calls for a non \{linear realization of the chiral sym m etry. Follow ing $W$ einberg [3.1] and CCW Z [3.2], we introduce a m atrix\{valued function $K$, and the baryon eld transform $s$ as
! K (L;R;U) :

K not only depends on the group elem ents L ; R 2 SU (2) $)_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}$, but also on the pion eld (param etrized in term $s$ of $U(x)$ ) in a highly non \{linear fashion, $K=K(L ; R ; U)$. Since $U(x)$ depends on the space\{tim e coordinate $x, K$ im plicitely depends on $x$ and therefore the transform ations related to $K$ are local. To be more speci $c, K$ is de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ru}=\mathrm{u}^{0} \mathrm{~K} \tag{3:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $u^{2}(x)=U(x)$ and $U^{0}(x)=R U(x) L^{y}=u^{0^{2}}(x) .^{\star} T$ he transform ation properties of the pion eld induce a well\{de ned transform ation of $u(x)$ under $S U(2) \quad S U(2) . T h i s$ de nes $K$ asa non \{linear function ofL, $R$ and ( $x$ ). $K$ is a realization ofSU (2) SU (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=P \overline{L U Y R Y}^{P} \bar{U}_{U} \tag{3:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The som ew hat messy ob ject K 2 SU (2) can be understood most easily in term $s$ of in nitesim al transform ations. For $K=\exp \left(i a_{a}\right), L=\exp \left(i_{a} \quad\right.$ ) $\exp \left(i a_{a}\right)$ and $R=\exp (i \quad a \quad$ a) $\exp (i \quad a \quad a)$ (with ar ar a real) one nds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\sim \quad[\sim \quad \sim]=2 F+O\left(\sim^{2} ; \sim^{2} ; \sim^{2}\right) \tag{3:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that the nucleon eld is $m$ ultiplied with a function of the pion eld. This gives som e credit to the notion that chiral transform ations are related to the absonption or em ission of pions. The covariant derivative of the nucleon eld is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} & =@+ \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}} ; @ \mathrm{u}\right] \quad \frac{i}{2} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{u} \quad \frac{i}{2} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{v} \quad \text { a }) \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}} \tag{3:7}
\end{align*}
$$

D transform shom ogeneously under chiral transform ations, D ${ }^{0}=K D K^{y}$. The ob ject is the so\{called chiral connection. It is a gauge eld for the localtransform ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}=K \quad K^{y}+K @ K^{y} \tag{3:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]The connection contains one derivative. O ne can also form an ob ject of axial\{vector type w ith one derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=i\left(u^{y} r u \quad u r u^{y}\right)=i f u^{y} ; r \quad u g=i u^{y} r \quad u u^{y} \tag{3:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which transform shom ogeneously, $u^{0}=K u K^{y}$. The covariant derivative $D$ and the axial\{vector ob ject u are the basic building blocks for the low est order e ective theory. Before w riting it dow $n$, let us take a look at its $m$ ost general form. It can be written as a string of term $\mathrm{s} w$ th an even num ber of extemal nucleons, $\mathrm{n}_{\text {ext }}=0 ; 2 ; 4 ;:::$. The term w ith $\mathrm{n}_{\text {ext }}=0$ obviously corresponds to the $m$ eson Lagrangian (2 28) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mathrm{eff}}[; ;]=\mathrm{L} \quad+\mathrm{L} \quad+\mathrm{L} \quad+::: \tag{3:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Typical processes related to these term s are pion \{pion, pion \{nucleon and nucleon \{ nucleon scattering, in order. In this section, we will only be concemed w ith processes with two extemal nucleons and no nucleon loops (in section 5, we will also consider term $\mathrm{s} w$ ith $\mathrm{n}=4$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} \quad=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}}=(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x}) \tag{3:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The di erential operator $D(x)$ is sub ject to a chiral expansion as discussed below. We now $w$ ish to construct the generating functional for the vacuum \{to\{vacuum transitions in the presence of nucleons. For doing that, we add extemal $G$ rassm ann souroes for the nucleon eld to the e ective Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {eff }}[; ;]=L_{\text {n }}+L^{+} \tag{3:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From that, one de nes the vacuum \{to\{vacuum transition amplitude via Z Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& =N^{0} \quad[d U] \exp i \quad d^{4} x L+i \quad d^{4} x d^{4} y \quad(x) S(x ; y) \quad(y) \quad \text { (detD) } \tag{3:13}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $S$ is the nucleon propagator in the presence of extemal elds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D S }(x ; y ; U ; v ; a ; s ; p)={ }^{(4)}(x \quad y) \quad: \tag{3:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the functionalZ" at $==0$; detD $=1$ (i.e. no nucleon loops) one recovers the fiunctional $Z$, eq. (2 25). Furtherm ore, the leading order term $s$ in the low \{energy expansion of $F$ is generated by the tree graphs in $Z$. H ow ever, the relation betw een $F$ and $Z$ ' beyond leading order is $m$ uch $m$ ore com plicated due to the fact that the nucleon $m$ ass does not vanish in the chiral lim it as discussed below.

Let us rst consider the e ective pion \{nucleon Lagrangian to low est order. Its explicit form follows sim ply by combining the connection and the axial\{vector $u$
(w hich are the ob jects w ith the least num ber ofderivatives) with the appropriate baryon bilinears

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{N}^{(1)} & =D^{(1)} \\
& =\left(i \quad D \quad m+\frac{g_{A}}{2} \quad 5 u\right) \tag{3:15}
\end{align*}
$$

The e ective Lagrangian (3.15) contains two new param eters. These are the baryon $m$ ass $m$ and the axial\{vector coupling $g_{A}$ in the chiral lim it,

$$
\begin{align*}
m & =m\left[1+O\left(m^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
g_{A} & =g_{A}[1+O(m \hat{n})] \tag{3:16}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $m=939 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ denotes the physicalnucleon $m$ ass and $g_{A}$ the axial\{vector strength $m$ easured in neutron \{decay, $n!$ pe er $g_{A}$ ' 1:26. The fact that $m$ does not vanish in the chiral lim it (or is not small on the typical scale $\quad \mathrm{M}$ ) will be discussed below. Furtherm ore, the actual value of $m$, which has been subject to $m$ uch recent debate, will be discussed in the context of pion \{nucleon scattering. The occurence of the constant $g_{A}$ is allbut surprising. W hereas the vectorial (avor) SU (2) is protected at zero m om entum transfer, the axialcurrent is, of course, renorm alized. Together w ith the Lagrangian $L^{(2)}$ (2.28), our low est order pion \{nucleon Lagrangian reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=L_{N}^{(1)}+L^{(2)} \tag{3:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand the low \{energy dim ension of ${\underset{N}{N}}_{(1)}$, we have to extend the chiral counting rules of section 2 to the various operators and bilinears involving the baryon elds. $T$ hese are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{m}= & \mathrm{O}(1) ; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{O}(1) ; \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{O}(1) ; \quad=\mathrm{O}(1) \\
& =\mathrm{O}(1) ; \quad \mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{O}(1) ; \quad \mathrm{O}(1) ; \tag{3:18}
\end{align*}
$$

(ib $\quad \mathrm{m})=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{p}) ; \quad 5=0(\mathrm{p})$
Here, $p$ denotes a generic nucleon three $\{m$ om entum. Since $m$ is of order one, baryon four\{m om enta can never be sm all on the typical chiral scale. Stated di erently, any tim e derivative $D_{0}$ acting on the spin $\{1 / 2$ elds brings down a factor $m$. How ever, the operator (ib m) counts as order O (p). The proof of this can be found in ref.[3.6] or in the lectures [3.8]. To low est order, the G oldberger\{ $T$ reim an relation is exact, $g_{A} m=g_{N} F$, which allows us to write the $N$ coupling in the $m$ ore fam iliar form
$9_{N}{ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{a}$.
It goes w ithout saying that we have to include pion loops, associated w ith $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ given in (3.17). Om itting closed ferm ion loops (det $D=1$ ), the corresponding generating functional reads [3.5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{expfiZ} g=N^{0} \quad[d U] \exp i^{Z} d x L^{(2)}+i^{Z} d x S^{(1)} \\
& D^{(1) a c} S^{(1) c b}=\text { ab }^{(4)}(\mathrm{x}  \tag{3:19}\\
&\mathrm{X})
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $S^{(1)}$ the inverse nucleon propagator related to $D^{(1)}$ (3.15). $a, b$ and $c$ are isospin indices. This generating functional can now be treated by standard m ethods. The details are spelled out by G SS [3.5]. Let us concentrate on the low \{energy structure of the e ective theory which em erges. P ion loops generate divergences, so one has to add counterterm s. This am ounts to

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}!L_{1}+L_{2} \\
& L_{2}=L_{N}^{(0)}+L{ }_{N}^{(1)}+L_{N}^{(2)}+L_{N}^{(3)}+L^{(4)} \tag{3:20}
\end{align*}
$$

The last three term s on the r.h.s. of eq. $(3.20)$ are the expected ones. T he structure of the $N$ interaction allow sfor odd pow ens in p, so starting from $L{ }_{N}^{(1)}$ to one\{loop order one expects couterterm $s$ of dim ension $p^{3}$. A system atic analysis of all these term $s$ has been given by $K$ rause [3.6]. The rst two term $S$, $L \underset{N}{(0)}$ and $L \stackrel{(1)}{N}$, are due to the fact that the low est order coe cients $m$ and $g_{A}$ are renorm alized (by an in nite am ount) when loops are considered. This indicates that the chiral counting is messed up and is com pletely di erent from the $m$ eson sector, the constants $B$ and $F$ are not renorm alized in the chiral lim it. The origin of this com plication lies in the fact that the nucleon $m$ ass does not vanish in the chiral lim it. To avoid any shift in the values of $m$ and $g_{A}$ one thus has to add appropriate counter term $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L} \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{(0)}=\mathrm{m} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~F}}{ }^{2} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{L} \underset{\mathrm{~N}}{(1)}=g_{\mathrm{A}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~F}}{ }^{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad 5 \mathrm{u} \tag{3:21}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 3.1: Chiral expansion for the $N$ scattering amplitude, $T_{N} \quad \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{D}$. T ree graphs contribute at $N=1,2,3::: n\{$ loop graphs at $N=2,3,:::$ (after m ass and coupling constant renorm alization). T he contributions from 2,3,::: loops are analytic in the extemalm om enta at order $p^{3}$ (here, $p$ is a pion four-, nucleon three\{m om entum or the pion $m$ ass).

T he rst term in (321) can be easily worked out when one considers the nucleon selffenergy ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{p})$ related to the nucleon propagatorvia $S(\mathrm{p})=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{m} & 6 & \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{p})]^{1} \text { in the }\end{array}\right.$ one\{loop approxim ation [3.5]. The low \{energy structure of the theory in the presence of baryons is $m u c h ~ m$ ore complicated than in the $m$ eson sector. This becom es $m$ ost transparent when one compares the and N scattering amplitudes, T and $\mathrm{T} \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$, respectively. W hile $T^{\text {tree }} p^{2}$ and $T^{n}$ loop $\left(p^{2}\right)^{n+1}$, the corresponding behaviour for $T_{\mathrm{n}}$ is shown in g.3.1 [3.5]. Here, $p$ denotes either a sm all $m$ eson four\{ $m$ om entum or $m$ ass or a nucleon three\{ m om entum. T ree graphs for $T \mathrm{~N}$ start out at order p follow ed by a string of higher order corrections $\mathrm{p}^{2}, \mathrm{p}^{3},:::$ : O ne\{loop graphs start out at order $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ (after appropriate $m$ ass and coupling constant renorm alization) and are non \{analytic in the extemalm om enta at orderp ${ }^{3}$ (in the chirallim it $m=0$ ). H igher loops start out at $p^{2}$ and are analytic to orders $O\left(p^{2} ; p^{3}\right)$. This again $m$ eans that the low \{energy constants associated to $\mathrm{L}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2 ; 3)} \mathrm{w}$ ill get renorm alized. Evaluation of one\{ loop graphs associated w th $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ therefore produces all non \{analytic term s in the extemalm om enta of order $\mathrm{p}^{3}$ like e.g. leading threshold or branch point singularities. Let us now consider the case $m \in 0$. Obviously, the $N$ amplitude also contains tem $s$ which are non \{analytic in the quark $m$ asses. A good exam ple is the A dler\{W eisberger relation in its di erential form \{it contains a factor $F^{2}$ and therefore a term which goes like $m \ln m$. D ue to the com plicated low \{energy structure of them eson \{baryon system, it has never been strictly proven that one\{loop graphs generate all leading infrared singularities, in particular the ones in the quark $m$ asses. H ow ever, in all calculations perform ed so far the opposite has never been observed. In any case, the exact one\{to\{one correspondence between the loop and small $m$ om entum expansion is not valid in the $m$ eson \{baryon system if one treats the baryons fully relativistically. T his can be overcom $e$, as $w$ ill be discussed in the next section, in an extrem e non \{relativistic lim it. H ere, how ever, we w ish to point out that the relativistic form alism has its own advantages. Two of them are the direct relation to dispersion theory and the inclusion of the proper relativistic kinem atics in certain processes. These topics willbe discussed later on.

The com plete list of the polynom ial counter term $s L_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2 ; 3)}$ can be found in ref.[3.6]. H ere, let us just list the tem swhich w illbe used in the calculations of pion photo \{ and electroproduction (see section 4). T hese are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{C}_{1} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(+)+\mathrm{C}_{6} \quad \mathrm{f}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{7} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}^{+}\right)+::: \\
& L_{N}^{(3)}=\log _{0} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~F}^{2}} \quad 5 \quad \mathrm{u} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(+)+\mathrm{b}_{11} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}} \quad 5 \\
& +\mathrm{b}_{12} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}} \text { (i D m) } \operatorname{Tr}(+)+\mathrm{d}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}^{+}\right) \\
& +d_{2} \frac{F}{2} \quad u \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{+}\right)+d_{3} F \quad{ }_{5} i \quad \stackrel{\$}{D} \quad\left[u \quad ; f^{+}\right] \\
& +\mathrm{d}_{4} \mathrm{~F} \text { i } \quad \text { 5 } \quad \text { u ; } \mathrm{f}^{+} \text {] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\mathrm{b}_{9}}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{f}^{+}+\frac{\mathrm{D}_{9}}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{f}^{+}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}}{12} \mathrm{~b}_{13} \quad 5 \quad \mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{f} \quad\right]+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{f}^{+}\right] \quad+::: \tag{3:23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =u^{y} u^{y} \quad u^{y} u \\
& \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{u} \quad u \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \left.F^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}=@ \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}} \quad @ \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R}} \quad \mathrm{i} \mathbb{F}^{\mathrm{L} ; R} ; \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}\right]  \tag{3:24}\\
& \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{a} \\
& \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{D}^{\text {S }} \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{B} \text { A(@ } \mathrm{B}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of having only photons as extemal elds, $f \quad \operatorname{simpli}$ es to $f=e$ (@ $A$ @ A ) (uQ u $\left.{ }^{Y} \quad \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Q} u\right)$ with A the photon eld and $\mathrm{Q}=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 0)$ the (nucleon) charge $m$ atrix. Let us brie $y$ discuss the physical signi cance of the various term $s$ in the pion $\{$ nucleon Lagrangian, eqs $(322,23)$. $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ consists of three term $s$, the rst $(q)$ is a mass renorm alization counterterm and the second and third contribute to the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents $p ; n$. In GSS [3.5] it was dem onstrated that to a high degree of accuracy, $c_{6} \quad 0$, i.e. the isovector anom alous $m$ om ent of the nucleon is given by the loops (in the one\{loop approxim ation). The term $S$ of $L_{N}^{(3)}$ fall into two types. Let us rst discuss the term $s$ proportional to $\mathrm{b}_{10}, \mathrm{~b}_{11}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{12}$, in order. The $\mathrm{b}_{10}$ \{term is needed for the renom alization of $g_{A}$. $T$ he $b_{11}$ \{tem contributes to the renorm alization of $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and allow s to reproduce the em pirical deviation of the G oldberger\{ T reim an relation from unity. In what follow s , we will alw ays use $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}$ instead of $\mathrm{g}_{A} \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{F}$. The term proportional to $\mathrm{b}_{12}$ enters the Z \{factor which accounts for the renom alization of the extemal legs. The four term $s$ in (323) proportional to $d_{i}(i=1 ;::: ; 4$ ) are nite counterterm s which contribute to pion photo\{ and electroproduction. The coe cients $d_{1} ;::: ; d_{4}$ are not known a priori. In the $N$ sector, there are three additional term $s$ contributing to pion electroproduction at order $q^{3}$, these are the last three in eq. (3.23). $T$ he two term $s$ in eq. (3 23) proportional to $\mathrm{b}_{9}$ and $\check{\Sigma}_{9}$ are related to the electric $m$ ean square charge radii of the proton and the neutron, see section 4.1. The last term in eq.(323) is related to the slope of the axial form factor of the nucleon, $G_{A}\left(k^{2}\right)$ (see section 4.4). O ther term s of order $q^{2}$ and $q^{3}$ which enter the calculation of pion \{nucleon scattering are discussed in ref.[3.5].

To end this section, a few rem arks conceming the structure of the nucleons (baryons) at low energies are in order. Starting from a structureless D irac eld, the nucleon is surrounded by a cloud of pions which generate e.g. its anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent (notice that the low est order e ective Lagrangian (3.15) only contains the coupling of the photon to the charge). Besides the pion loops, there are also counterterm swhich encode the traces ofm eson and baryon excitations contributing to certain properties of the nucleon. Finally, one point which should be very clear by now : O ne can only make a m statem ent in any calculation if one takes into account all term s at a given order. For a one\{loop calculation in the $m$ eson \{baryon system, this am ounts to the tree term $s$ of order $p$, the loop contributions of order $p^{2}, p^{3}$ and the counterterm $s$ of order $p^{2}$ and $p^{3}$. This should be kept in $m$ ind in what follow $s$.

## III.2. EXTREME NON \{RELATIVISTIC LIM IT

A s we saw, the fully relativistic treatm ent of the baryons leads to severe com plications in the low \{energy structure of the EFT. Intuitively, it is obvious how one can restore the one\{to\{one correspondence betw een the loop and the sm allm om entum expansion. If one considers the baryons as extrem ely heavy, only baryon $m$ om enta relative to the rest m ass w illcount and these can be sm all. The em erging picture is that of a very heavy source surrounded by a cloud of light (alm ost m assless) particles. This is exactly the sam e idea which is used in the so \{called heavy quark e ective eld theory methods used in heavy quark physics. Therefore, it appears natural to apply the insight gained from heavy quark EFT's to the pion\{nucleon sector. Jenkins and M anohar [3.9,3.10] have given a new form ulation of baryon CHPT based on these ideas. It am ounts to taking the extrem e non \{relativistic lim it of the fully relativistic theory and expanding in powers of the inverse baryon $m$ ass. N otice also that already in the eighties $G$ asser [3.11] and $G$ asser and Leutw yler [3.12] considered a static source $m$ odel for the baryons in their determ ination of quark $m$ ass ratios from the baryon spectrum .

Let us rst spell out the underlying ideas before we com e back to the N system. O ur starting point is a free $D$ irac eld $w$ ith $m$ ass $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=(i 6 \mathrm{~m}) \tag{3:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider the spin \{1/2 particle very heavy. This allow s to $w$ rite its four\{m om entum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=m v+l \tag{3:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $v$ the four\{velocity satisfying $v^{2}=1$ and $l$ a smallo \{shellm om entum, $v \quad l \quad m$. O ne can now construct eigenstates of the velocity projection operator $P_{v}=(1+\sigma)=2$ via

$$
\begin{align*}
& =e^{\text {imvx }(H+h)} \\
& 6 H=H ; 6 h=h \tag{3:27}
\end{align*}
$$

which in the nucleon rest $\{$ fram ev $=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$ leads to the standard non $\{r e l a t i v i s t i c ~$ reduction of a spinor into upper and low er com ponents. Substituting (3 27) into (3.25) one nds
 it follow s that the large com ponent eld $H$ obeys a free $D$ irac equation ( $m$ aking use of the equation ofm otion for $h$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v} \quad @ H=0 \tag{3:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

m odulo corrections which are suppressed by powers of $1=\mathrm{m}$. T he corresponding propagator of H reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(!)=\frac{i}{v k+i} ; \quad>0 \tag{3:30}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ! = v k. The Fourier transform of eq.(3.30) gives the space\{tim e representation of the heavy baryon propagator. Its explicit form $S(t ; x)=(t){ }^{(3)}(x)$ ilhustrates very clearly that the eld $H$ represents an (in nitely heavy) static source. The $m$ ass\{ dependence now resides entirely in new vertioes which can be ordered according to their power in $1=m$. A m ore elegant path integral form ulation is given by M annelet al. [3.13]. $T$ here is one $m$ ore point worth noticing. In principle, the eld $H$ should carry a label 'v' since it has a de nite velocity. For the purposes to be discussed we do not need to w orry about this label and w ill therefore drop it.

Let menow retum to the N system. The reasoning is com pletely analogous to the one just discussed. We follow here the system atic analysis of quark currents in avor SU (2) of Bemard et al. [3.14]. W e will derive the e ective Lagrangian for heavy nucleons in term sofpath integrals. In this form ulation, the $1=m_{N}$ corrections are easily constructed. C onsider the generating functional for the chiral Lagrangian of the N \{ system

$$
Z[; ; v ; a ; s ; p]={ }^{Z}[d][d \quad][d u] \exp i S_{N}+S{ }^{Z} d^{4} \times(+\quad)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=d^{4} \times L^{(2)}+L^{(4)}+::: \text { : } \tag{3:32}
\end{align*}
$$

The aim is to integrate out the heavy degrees of freedom. To this end the nucleon eld is splltted into upper and low er com ponents $w$ ith xed four velocity $v$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{v}=e^{i m v \times \frac{1}{2}(1+\sigma)} \\
& h_{v}=e^{i m v} \times \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad \text { छ }): \tag{3:33}
\end{align*}
$$

In term sof these elds, the action $S$ n $m$ ay be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}={ }^{Z} d^{4} x^{n} H_{v} A H_{v}+h_{v} B H_{v}+H_{v}{ }_{0} B^{y}{ }_{o h_{v}} h_{v} C h_{v}^{\circ}: \tag{3:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators A, B and C have the low energy expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A^{(1)}+A^{(2)}+::: \tag{3:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A{ }^{(i)}$ is a quantity of $O\left(q^{i}\right)$, $q$ denoting a low energy $m$ om entum. The explicit expressions read

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{(1)}=i(v \quad D) \operatorname{tg}_{A}(u \quad S) \\
& A^{(2)}=\frac{m}{F^{2}} C_{1} T r++C_{2}\left(v \quad u^{2}\right)+C_{3} u \quad u+{ }_{4} C[S \quad ; S \quad] u \quad u \\
&+C_{5}\left(+\frac{1}{2} T r+\right) \quad \frac{i}{4 m}[S \quad ; S]\left(\left(1+C_{6}\right) f^{+}+C_{7} T r f^{+}\right) \\
& B^{(1)}=i \sigma^{?} \quad \frac{1}{2} g_{A}(v \quad u)_{5} \\
& C^{(1)}=i(v \quad D)+m+g_{A}(u \quad S) \\
& C^{(2)}=A^{(2)}:
\end{align*}
$$

$\sigma^{?}=(g \quad \mathrm{VV}) D$ is the transverse part of the covariant derivative which satis es fb ${ }^{?} ; \sigma g=0$. H ere, we have taken advantage of the sim pli cations for the $D$ irac algebra in the heavy $m$ ass form ulation. It allow s to express any D irac bilinear ( $=1$,
, 5 , : : : : in term $s$ of the velocity $v$ and the spin\{operator $2 \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{i}_{5} \mathrm{v}$. The latter obeys the relations (in d space\{tim e dim ensions)

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad v=0 ; S=\frac{1}{4} ; \quad S ; S \quad=\frac{1}{2} v v \quad g \quad ; \quad[S ; S]=i \quad v S \tag{3:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the convention ${ }^{0123}=1$, we can rew rite the standard D irac bilinears as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H } \quad \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{V} H \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}{ }_{5} \mathrm{H}=0 \text {; H }{ }_{5} \mathrm{H}=2 \mathrm{H} S \mathrm{H} \tag{3:38}
\end{align*}
$$

T herefore, the D irac algebra is extrem ely sim ple in the extrem e non \{relativistic lim it.
$W$ e retum to the discussion of the generating functional. The source term in (3.31) is also rew ritten in term $s$ of the elds $H_{v}$ and $h_{v}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z  \tag{3:39}\\
& d^{4} x(+)=d^{4} x\left(R_{v} H_{v}+H_{v} R_{v}+{ }_{v} h_{v}+h_{v} \quad\right) ~
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
R_{v} & =\frac{1}{2}(1+\sigma) e^{i m v x}  \tag{3:40}\\
v & =\frac{1}{2}(1
\end{array} \quad \sigma\right) e^{i m v x}: ~ \$
$$

$D$ i erentiating w ith respect to the source $R_{v}$ yields the $G$ reen functions of the pro jected elds $H_{v}$. The heavy degrees of freedom, $h_{v}, m$ ay now be integrated out. Shifting
variables $h_{v}^{0}=h_{v} \quad C^{1}\left(B_{v}+{ }_{v}\right)$ and completing the square, the generating functional becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z }  \tag{3:41}\\
& d^{4} x\left(R_{v} H_{v}+H_{v} R_{v}\right)+:::
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
S^{0}{ }_{N}={ }^{Z} d^{4} x H_{v} A+\left({ }_{o} B^{y} 0\right) C^{1} B H_{v} ;
$$

and the ellipsis stands for term $s w$ th the sources v and ${ }_{\mathrm{v}}$ [3.17]. In (3.42), h denotes the determ inant com ing from the $G$ aussian integration over the sm all com ponent eld, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
h & =\exp \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln C  \tag{3:43}\\
& =N \exp \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \ln 1+C^{(1) 1}\left(i(v \quad D) \operatorname{tg}_{A}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & u
\end{array}\right)+\left(Z^{()}+:::\right)^{\circ} \quad:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

A s noted in Ref.[3.13], the space tim e representation of the $h_{v}$ propagator, $C^{(1) 1}$, im plies that $h$ is just a constant.

The next step consists in expanding the nonlocal functional (3.41) in a series of operators of increasing dim ension. This corresponds to an expansion of the $m$ atrix $C^{1}$ in a power series in $1=m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{1}=\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{i(v \quad D)+\operatorname{tg}_{A}(u \quad S)}{(2 m)^{2}}+O\left(q^{2}\right): \tag{3:44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the e ective heavy nucleon lagrangian up to $O\left(q^{3}\right)$ is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& S^{0}{ }_{N}=d^{Z} X^{4} H_{v} A^{(1)}+A^{(2)}+A^{(3)}+\left({ }_{0} B^{(1) y} 0\right) \frac{1}{2 m} B^{(1)} \\
& +\frac{\left({ }_{0} \mathrm{~B}^{(1) \mathrm{y}} 0\right) \mathrm{B}^{(2)}+\left({ }_{0} \mathrm{~B}^{(2) \mathrm{y}} 0\right) \mathrm{B}^{(1)}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}  \tag{3:45}\\
& \left(0 B^{(1) y} 0\right) \frac{i(v \quad D)+g_{A}(u \quad S)}{(2 m)^{2}} B^{(1)} H_{v}+O\left(q^{4}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the neglected term sof $\left(q^{4}\right) m$ ay be suppressed by inverse pow ens of either $m$ or $=4 \mathrm{~F}$. These two scales are treated on the sam e footing, the only thing which counts is the power of the low momentum q. It is im portant to note that this expansion of the non\{local action $m$ akes the closed ferm ion loops disappear from the theory because at any nite order in $1=\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{S}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ is local (as spelled out in m ore detail in
ref.[3.15]). To com plete the expansion of the generating functional up to order $q^{3}$, one has to add the one\{loop corrections with vertioes from $A^{(1)}$ only. $W$ orking to order $q^{4}$ (which still includes only one\{ loop diagram $s$ ), one also has to include vertioes from $A^{(2)}$ and from $\left({ }_{0} B^{(1) y} 0\right) B^{(1)}=(2 m)$.

The disappearance of the nucleon $m$ ass term to leading order in $1=m$ now allow $s$ for a consistent chiral pow er counting. The nucleon propagator is now of the form (3.30), i.e. has chiral pow er $q^{1}$. C onsequently, the dim ension $D$ of any Feynm an diagram is given by*

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=4 L \quad 2 \Psi_{1} \quad E_{d}+d_{d}^{X}\left(N_{d}^{M}+N_{d}^{M B}\right) \tag{3:46}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $L$ the num ber of loops, $I_{M}\left(I_{B}\right)$ the num ber of intemalm eson (baryon) lines and $N_{d}^{M} \quad, N_{d}^{M}{ }^{M}$ the num ber of vertioes of dim ension $d$ from the $m$ eson, $m$ eson \{baryon Lagrangian, in order. C onsider now the case of a single baryon line running through the diagram [3.15]. In that case, one has

$$
{ }_{d}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{MB}}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{B}}+1
$$

T ogether w ith the general topological relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=I_{M}+I_{B} \quad\left(N_{d}^{M}+N_{d}^{M}\right)+1 \tag{3:48}
\end{equation*}
$$

we arrive at

$$
D=2 L+1+{ }_{d}^{X}(d \quad 2) N_{d}^{M}+{ }_{d}^{X}(d \quad 1) N_{d}^{M B} \quad:
$$

C learly, D 2L + 1 so that one has a consistent pow er counting schem $e$ in analogy to the one in the $m$ eson sector. In particular, the coe cients appearing in $L{\underset{N}{N}}_{(1)}$ and $L{ }_{N}^{(2)}$ are not renorm alized at any loop order since D 3 for L 1 (if one uses e.g. dim ensional regularization). $T$ his is in $m$ arked contrast to the in nite renorm alization of $g_{A}$ and $m$ in the relativistic approach, see eq.(321). A s stated before, all mass dependence now resides in the vertioes of the local pion \{nucleon Lagrangian, i.e. all vertioes now consist of a string of operators $w$ ith increasing powers in $1=m$. W e have for exam ple

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { P hoton nucleon vertex : } \frac{1+3}{2} \quad \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{1}=)  \tag{3:50}\\
& \left.\mathrm{P} \text { ion nucleon vertex : } \quad \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{F}\right)^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~S} \\
& \mathrm{q}+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m})
\end{align*}
$$

To sum $m$ arize, the e ective pion \{nucleon Lagrangian takes the form $L_{N}^{e}=L_{N}^{(1)}+$ $L_{N}^{(2)}+L_{N}^{(3)}+L_{N}^{(4)}+:::$ where the superscript ${ }^{\prime}(i)^{\prime}$ denotes the chiral dim ension. T he

[^5]com plete list of term $s$ contributing to $L_{N}^{(2)}$ and the corresponding Feynm an rules can be found in appendix $A$.

B efore we tum to the renom alization of the chiralpion \{nucleon EFT, a com m ent on the heavy ferm ion form alism is necessary. W hile it is an appealing fram ew ork, one should not forget that the nucleon (baryon) m ass is not extrem ely large. $T$ herefore, one expects signi cant corrections from $1=m$ suppressed contributions to $m$ any observables. Thisw ill becom em ore clear e.g. in the discussion of threshold pion photo\{ and electroproduction. It is conœivable that going to one\{loop order $O\left(q^{3}\right)$ is not su cient to achieve a very accurate calculation. Of course, only explicit and complete calculations can decide upon the quality of the $q^{3}$ approxim ation. This $m$ eans that higher order calculations should be perform ed to leam about the convergence of the chiral expansion. For a few selected cases, calculations including part of or all term sof order $q^{4}$ have been perform ed. W e will discuss these in due course. To that accuracy, one has to include the pertinent contact term $s$ from $L{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(4)}$ and consider one\{loop graphs w ith exactly one insertion from $L{ }_{N}^{(2)}$. Here, let us note that the calculations which include all term $s$ of order $q^{4}$ in the chiral expansion indeed lead to an im provem ent for the respective theoretical predictions. U ltim ately, one $m$ ight want to include $m$ ore inform ation in the unperturbed H am iltonian. At present, it is not known how to do that but it should be kept in $m$ ind.

## III.3. RENORMALIZATION

In this section, we w illbe concemed with the renom alization of the e ective pion \{ nucleon Lagrangian to order $q^{3}$. In the relativistic case, this problem was addressed for a certain class of divergences in ref.[3.5] and sim ilarly for the heavy $m$ ass form alism in refs.[3.14,3.16]. E cker [3.17] has recently given a com plete renorm alization prescription of the generating functional at order $q^{3}$ as discussed below .

Let us rst consider the nucleon propagator and $m$ ass\{shift. T he only loop diagram contributing at order $q^{3}$ is shown in g.32. and leads to [3.14] (we have no tadpole contribution since that involves an odd pow er of the loop $m$ om entum lo be integrated over)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { loop }(!)=3 i \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{F^{2}} \quad \frac{d^{d} l}{(2)^{d}} \frac{i}{v} \frac{i}{(l \quad k)+} \frac{i}{i^{2}} M^{2}+i \quad S \quad l(S \quad l) \\
& =\frac{3 g_{A}^{2}}{4 F^{2}}\left(M^{2} \quad!^{2}\right) J_{0}(!) \quad!\quad(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

with ! = v k and the loop functionso $J(!)$ and (0) given in appendix $B$.


Fig. 3.2: O ne\{loop contribution to the nucleon selffenergy to order $q^{3}$. The solid and dashed lines denote nucleons and pions, in order.

P utting pieces together, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
(!)= & \frac{3 g_{A}^{2}}{4 F^{2}} 2 L!\left(2!^{2} \quad 3 M^{2}\right)+\frac{!}{8^{2}}\left(2!^{2} \quad 3 M^{2}\right) \ln \frac{M}{+} \frac{!}{8^{2}}\left(m^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)  \tag{3:52}\\
& \left.\frac{1}{4^{2}} M^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)^{3=2} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \quad 4 M^{2} C_{1}+\frac{B_{20}}{8^{2}} \frac{!}{F^{2}}+\frac{B_{15}!^{3}}{(4 F)^{2}}:::
\end{align*}
$$

$m$ aking use of dim ensional regularization and separating the in nite from the nite pieces as in eq.(2.47). The last three term $s$ in eq.(3.52) stem from three contact term $s$ of order $q^{2}$ and $q^{3}$, respectively, cf. appendix A and eqs(3.60), (3.63). The coe cient of the rst contact term is obviously nite whereas the other two low \{energy constants are needed to renorm alize the nucleon self\{energy. The ellipsis in (3.52) stands for term s which do not contribute to the m ass shift and $\mathrm{Z}\{$ factor of the nucleon. T he nucleon propagator now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(!)=\frac{i}{p \vee m} \quad(!)=\frac{i}{!\quad(!)}: \tag{3:53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The propagator develops a pole at $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{v}$ with $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}$ the renorm alized nucleon m ass,

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{N} & =m+(0) \\
(0) & =4 c_{1} M^{2} \quad \frac{3 g_{A}^{2} M^{3}}{32 F^{2}}: \tag{3:54}
\end{align*}
$$

A s stated in the previous section, the $m$ ass shift (0) is nite and vanishes in the chiral $\lim$ it, quite in contrast to the relativistic approach (cf. eq.(3.21)). N otice also that the $m$ ass\{shift contains the non \{analytic piece of order $\mathrm{m}^{3=2}$ already found in ref.[3]. The nucleon wave\{function renorm alization (Z \{factor) is determ ined by the residue of the propagator at the physicalm ass pole and given by

$$
\begin{align*}
S(!) & =\frac{i Z_{N}}{p \quad v \quad m} \\
Z_{N} & =1+\quad{ }^{0}(0)=1 \quad \frac{3 g_{A}^{2} M^{2}}{32^{2} F^{2}} 3 \ln \frac{M}{1} \quad \frac{M^{2}}{2^{2} F^{2}} B{ }_{20}^{r}() \quad: \tag{3:55}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, the low energy constant $\mathrm{B}_{20}$ has eaten up the in nity in the loop contribution via the renorm alization prescription

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{20}=\mathrm{B}_{20}^{r}()+\frac{20}{4^{2}} \mathrm{~L} ; \quad 20=\frac{9}{16} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}: \tag{3:56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a sim ilar fashion, one can renorm alize all divergences appearing in the various $G$ reen functions. H ow ever, there exists a m ore system atic m ethod which we w ill now tum to.

The starting point for a consistent renorm alization scheme is the generating functional in the presence of the extemal souroes. In the approxim ations described in section 32, the ferm ion determ inant is trivial to any nite order in $1=m$ and the integration over $H_{v}$ reduces to com pleting a square. $T$ his leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \tag{3:57}
\end{align*}
$$

where the ellipsis stands for term s linear and quadratic in $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{v})$ which wew ill not need in what follow $s$, and $U=u^{2}$. From here on, the standard CHPT procedure as outlined in ref.[3.18] can be applied. O ne expands the action in the functional integralaround the classical solution $U_{c l}[v ; a ; s ; p]=\left(u_{c l}[v ; a ; s ; p]\right)^{2}$ of the low est oder equations of $m$ otion. To calculate the loop functionalto order $p^{3}$ one hasto expand $L^{(2)}+L^{(4)} R_{V}\left(A{ }^{(1)}\right)^{1} R_{V}$ in the functional integral (3.57) around the classical solution. The divergences are entirely given by the irreducible diagram $s$ (cf. Fig. 1 of ref.[3.17]) corresponding to the generating functional

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\text {irr }}\left[v ; a ; S ; p ; R_{v}\right] & =d^{4} x d^{4} x^{0} d^{4} y d^{4} y^{0} R_{v}(x)\left(A^{(1)}\right)^{1}(x ; y)\left[1\left(y ; y^{0}\right)^{(4)}(y \quad Y)\right.  \tag{3:58}\\
& \left.+2\left(y ; y^{0}\right)\right]\left(A^{(1)}\right)^{1}\left(y^{0} ; x^{0}\right) R_{v}\left(x^{0}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\left(\mathbb{A}^{(1)}\right)^{1}$ the propagator of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{v}}$ in the presence of extemal elds. The explicit form of the selffenergy functional $1 ; 2$ can be found in ref.[3.17]. H ere, it is im portant to note that these diverge as y ! $y^{0}$. The divergences can be extracted in a chiral invariant $m$ anner by $m$ aking use of the heat kemel representation of the propagators in d dim ensional Euclidean space. These divergences $w$ ill then appear as sim ple poles in
$=\left(\begin{array}{ll}4 & d\end{array}\right)=2$. A fter som e lengthy algebra as detailed in ref.[3.17] one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[1\left(y ; y^{0}\right)^{(4)}(y \quad y)+2\left(y ; y^{0}\right)\right]=} & {\left[1_{1}^{n}\left(y ; y^{0} ;\right){ }^{(4)}(y \quad y)+2^{n}\left(y ; y^{0} ;\right)\right] } \\
& \frac{2 L}{F^{2}}{ }^{(4)}(y \quad y)\left[\hat{y}_{1}(y)+\hat{2}_{2}(y)\right] \tag{3:59}
\end{align*}
$$

The generating functional $\mathrm{Z}\left[\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{v}}\right.$ ] can now be renorm alized by introducing the local counterterm Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}^{(3)}(x)={\frac{1}{(4 F)^{2}}}_{i}^{X} B_{i} H_{v}(x) O_{i}(x) H_{v}(x) \tag{3:60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the coupling constants $B_{i}$ are dim ensionless and the eld monom ials $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$ are of order $\mathrm{p}^{3}$. A m in im al set consisting of 22 counterterm s has been given in ref.[3.17].* In com plete analogy to eq.(2.46), one decom poses the low \{energy constants $B_{i}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=B_{i}^{r}()+(4)^{2}{ }_{i} L \tag{3:61}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6]The ${ }_{i}$ depend only on $g_{A}$（strictly speaking on $g_{A}$ ）and the corresponding operators $O_{i}(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}_{1}=\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{Du}] ; 1=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{4}}{8} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{i}[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{D} \mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u}]_{i 2}=\frac{1+5 g_{A}^{4}}{12} ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{O}_{3}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{Dv} \quad{ }_{3} \mathrm{u}\right\} ; \frac{4 \quad \mathrm{q}^{4}}{8} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{4}=\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{uTr}\left(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u}_{4} ;=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(4 \mathrm{q}^{4}\right)}{8}\right. \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{7}=\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{uTr}\left(\mathrm{~S} \text { uv } \quad \mathrm{u} ⿻ 肀 二 ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{5}}{12} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{8}=[\quad ; \mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u}]_{i 8}=\frac{1+5 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{24}\right. \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{O}_{9}=\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{uTr}(\mathrm{t}) ; 9=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}\left(4 \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\right)}{8} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{10}=\mathrm{D} \tilde{\mathrm{f}_{+}} \mathrm{v} ; 10=\frac{1+5 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{6} ; \\
& \mathrm{O}_{11}=\text { iS } \mathrm{v}\left[\mathrm{I}_{+} ; \mathrm{v} \quad u\right]_{i 11}=g_{A} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{12}=\text { iv } \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{ucu} u) ; 12=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3}\left(4+3 g_{A}^{2}\right)}{16} \\
& \mathrm{O}_{13}=\mathrm{iv} \quad \mathrm{~S} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{D} u
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{u}\right] ; 13=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{4}}{4} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{14}=\mathrm{v} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}_{+} \quad \mathrm{u}\right) ; 14=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3}}{4} ; \\
& \mathrm{O}_{15}=\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{D}^{3}\right) ;{ }_{15}=3 \mathrm{~g}^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{16}=\mathrm{v} \text { DS uv } \mathrm{D}_{16}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3} ; \\
& \mathrm{O}_{17}=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{u}) \text { iv } \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{q}: \%=\frac{3 g_{A}^{2}\left(4+3 g_{A}^{2}\right)}{16} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{O}_{18}=i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{~N} V \quad \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{il}_{18}=\frac{\left(8+9 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\right)}{16}\right. \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{O}_{19}=\left(\mathrm{v} \text { DS u)v } D+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}:}: \frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{3}}{3} ; \quad \mathrm{O}_{20}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(+ \text { )iv } \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:: 20=\frac{9 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{16} ;\right.\right. \\
& \mathrm{O}_{21}=\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{~S} u \mathrm{uv} \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}: \mathrm{i}_{21}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{A}^{2}\left(4+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\right)}{4} \text {; } \\
& O_{22}=i v \quad S f_{+} \quad v \quad D+h: C: i 21=g_{A}^{2} ; f_{+} \quad=f_{+} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Trf}_{+} \tag{3:62}
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of the irreducible one\｛loop functional（3．58）and the counterterm functional derived from the Lagrangian（3．60）is nite and scale\｛independent．T he renom alized low \｛energy constants $B_{i}^{r}$（ ）are $m$ easurable（i．e．they can be determ ined from a $t$ to som e observables）and sub ject to the follow oing renom alization group behaviour under scale changes

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}^{r}(2)=B_{i}^{r}(1) \quad i \log \frac{2}{1}: \tag{3:63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his com pletes the form alism necessary to renorm alize the pion \｛nucleon（or $m$ eson $\{$ baryon）Lagrangian to order $q^{3}$ in heavy ferm ion CHPT．In what follow s ，we w ill see these renorm alization prescriptions being operative for various physical processes．
III.4. LOW \{ENERGY CONSTANTSAND THEROLEOFTHE (1232)

A s noted in section 2, in the $m$ eson sector the low \{energy constants $L_{i}$ could allbe xed from phenom enological constraints (w ithin a certain accuracy). Furtherm ore, the actual values of these coe cients could be understood from a hadronic duality in tem s of resonance exchange. W e note, how ever, that for the non \{leptonic weak interactions (w hich contains 80 new contact term s) this generalized vectorm eson dom inance principle is not that successful [3.19]. In the nucleon sector, the situation is som ew hat sim ilar to the case ofnon \{leptonic weak interactions of them esons. A t present, only a subset of the coe cients in $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ and $L \underset{N}{(3)}$ (and also in $L{ }_{N}^{(4)}$ ) have been xed from phenom enology. W e will discuss one exam ple below. In m ost other cases, one resorts to resonance saturation which besidesm eson resonances involves the nucleon excitations, in particular the (1232) P \{w ave resonance. The plays a particular role for two reasons. First, its excitation energy is only 300 M eV and second, its coupling to the N system is very strong, $g_{\mathrm{N}} \quad$ ' $2 g_{\mathrm{N}}$. For these reasons and the degeneracy of the $w$ ith the nucleon in the lim it of in nite colours, it has been suggested to include the from the start in the e ective theory [320]. W e w ill discuss this below. O bviously, if one does not want to build in the in the EFT, it will feature prom inently in the estim ation of certain low \{energy constants. W e will detail one exam ple which we need for the discussion of elastic N scattering in the threshold region later on.

|  | occurs in | determ ined from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}$, N | phen. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}_{3} ; \mathrm{C}_{4}$ | N ! N | res. exch. + phen. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}, \quad \mathrm{N} \quad\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}} \in \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ | phen. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | p; n | phen. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ | p ; n | phen. |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1} ; \mathrm{B}_{2} ; \mathrm{B}_{3} ; \mathrm{B}_{8}$ | N ! N | res. exch. |
| $\mathrm{B}_{10}$ | $<\mathrm{r}^{2}>{ }_{1}$ | phen. |
| $\mathrm{B}_{20}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | unknow n |

Table 3.1: O ccurence of low \{energy constants and their determ inations from phenom enological (phen.) constraints or estim ation based on resonance exchange (res. exch.). N ote that $c_{5}$ is only contributing for $m_{u} m_{d}$. For the de nition of the corresponding e ective Lagrangian see (3.36) and appendix A 1 .

First, let us tabulate the various low \{energy constants from $L{ }_{N}^{(2 ; 3)}$ which we will encounter in the follow ing sections and discuss in which process they can be probed (or determ ined). A s noted before, while the list for the term $s$ of order $q^{2}$ is com plete, for the term sof $0\left(q^{3}\right)$ we only exhibit the term $s$ which we will use later on. A s becom es clear from table 3.1, certain low \{energy constants can only be probed in the presence of extemal elds. These are, in tum, the best determ ined ones since the nucleon radii and $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents are accurately know ( $\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{C}_{6} ; \mathrm{Cl}_{7}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{10}$ ). The constants related directly to the $N$ interactions have not yet been determ ined from a global to $N$ scattering data as it was done in the relativistic case. In view of the present discussion about the low \{energy $N$ scattering data such a program has to be perform ed w th adequate care and is not yet available (see section 3.5). A s noted in table 3.1, the low \{ energy constant $C_{i}$ can be xed from phenom enology. C onsider rst $c_{1}$. It is related to the much discussed pion \{nucleon \{term, ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t}) \quad\left\langle\mathrm{p} j \hat{n}(u u+d d)\right.$ jp $\left(t=\left(p^{0} \quad p\right)^{2}\right)$, via [3.14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\frac{1}{4 M^{2}} \quad{ }_{N}(0)+\frac{9 g_{A}^{2} M^{3}}{64 F^{2}} \quad: \tag{3:64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the em pirical values for $F, M$ and $g_{A}$ together $w$ ith the recent determ ination ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=45 \quad 8 \mathrm{MeV}$ [321], this am ounts to

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=0: 87 \quad 0: 11 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} \quad: \tag{3:65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two constants $C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$ are related to the so\{called axial polarizability $A$ and the isopin \{even $N$ S\{wave scattering length $a^{+}$(for the de nitions and discussion see section 3.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{3}=\frac{F^{2}}{2} A+\frac{g_{A}^{2} M}{8 F^{4}} \frac{77}{48}+g_{A}^{2}=5: 250: 22 G^{\prime} V^{1} \\
& C_{2}=\frac{F^{2}}{2 M^{2}} 4\left(1+\frac{M}{m}\right) a^{+} \frac{3 g_{A}^{2} M^{3}}{64 F^{4}}+2 C_{1} \quad G+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8 m}=3: 34 \quad 0: 27 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} \tag{3:66}
\end{align*}
$$

using the em pirical values $A_{A}=2: 28 \quad 0: 10 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{a}^{+}=0: 83 \quad 0: 38 \quad \mathrm{~F}^{2} 0 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ (for references, see section 3.5). N ote, how ever, that these observables might not form the best set to determ ine the constants $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ since the scattering length $\mathrm{a}^{+}$is extrem ely sensitive to the counter tem combination $c_{2}+c_{3} 2 q$ and, furtherm ore, there are correlations betw een the $S$ \{ $w$ ave scattering lengths and the $N$ \{term. The constants $\mathrm{C}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{10}$ can be determ ined from the isovector and isoscalar anom alous m agnetic m om ent of the nucleon and its isovector charge radius, respectively [3.5,3.14]. The num erical values of the seven low \{energy constants in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}$ are sum $m$ arized in table 32. $T$ he constants $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ have also been estim ated m aking use of the resonance saturation hypothesis [322]. C onsider $c_{3}$. In that case, the dom inant contribution com es from the (1232) and there is a sm all correction due to the N (1440) resonance. In addition,
there is a sizeable contribution due to scalarm eson exchange. T he pertinent Lagrangians for the coupling of the $m$ esonic and the nucleon excitations to the $N$ system read

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{N} & =\frac{3 g_{A}}{2^{2} \overline{2}}{ }_{a}\left[g \quad\left(Z+\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad u_{a}+h: C:\right. \\
L_{N N} & =\frac{1}{4} g_{A} R N G_{5}+h: c:  \tag{3:67}\\
L_{S} & =G_{m} \operatorname{Tr}(+)+C_{d} \operatorname{Tr}(u \quad u) \\
L_{S N N} & =g_{S}
\end{align*}
$$

where denotes the Rarita\{Schw inger eld and Z param etrizes the o \{shellbehaviour of the spin $\{3 / 2$ eld. This param eter is not well know $n$, the $m$ ost recent analysis of ref.[32] gives $0: 8 \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad 0: 3$. W e should stress here that it is m andatory to consider these nucleon excitations in the relativistic fram ew ork. T he basic idea is that one starts from a fully relativistic theory of pions coupled to nucleons and nucleon resonances chirally coupled. O ne then integrates out these excitations from the e ective theory which produces a string of pion\{nucleon interactions whose coe cients are given in tem s of resonance param eters. F inally, one de nes velocity \{dependent nucleon elds elim inating the 'lower component' $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})$. U sing now the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ coupling constant relation $g_{\mathrm{N}}=3 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}=\overline{2}=28: 42$ (close to the em pirical value of 28.37) and the phenom enological value $g_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{n}}=(1=2::: 1=4) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}$ [3.24] (which de nes a param eter R = 1:::1=4), we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{3} & =\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8 m^{2}} \frac{m \mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}}+4 \mathrm{~m}[\mathrm{~m} \quad(2 \mathrm{Z}+1)+\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{Z}+1)] \\
& =2: 54::: 3: 18 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} \\
C_{3}^{N} & =\frac{g_{A}^{2} R}{16\left(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)}=0: 06::: 0: 22 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}  \tag{3:68}\\
C_{3}^{S} & =2 \mathrm{C}_{1} \frac{C_{d}}{C_{m}}=1: 33 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}:
\end{align*}
$$

using $\dot{J}_{d} j=32 \mathrm{MeV}$ and $\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{j}=42 \mathrm{MeV}$ [3.31]. In addition, we have assum ed that the value of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is saturated by scalar exchange which allow $s$ to elim inate the coupling $g_{s}$. H ow ever, a strongly coupled scalar\{ isoscalar w ith $M_{S}=\frac{p}{g_{S}} \quad 220 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ is needed to saturate $c_{1}$ th is way. A ltogether, we nd that $c_{3}^{R}$ es $=c_{3}+c_{3}^{N}+c_{3}^{S}$ varies betw een -3.6 and $-5.0 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$, som ew hat sm aller than the em pirical value discussed above. T his dem onstrates that the resonance saturation hypothesis can not yet be considered established (as it is in the case of the $m$ eson sector). H ow ever, in the absence of su ciently $m$ any accurate low \{energy data in the $m$ eson \{baryon sector and a system atic evaluation of all counterterm s up \{to\{and\{inchuding order $q^{3}$, it is legitim ate to use resonance exchange to estim ate the low \{energy constants which appear in the processes one considers. T he
introduction of this unw anted $m$ odel\{dependence should be considered as a transitional stage until a com plete analysis of the various coupling constants based on ts to data becom es available.

| $C_{1}^{0}$ | $C_{2}^{0}$ | $C_{3}^{0}$ | $C_{4}^{0}$ | $C_{5}^{0}$ | $C_{6}^{0}$ | $C_{7}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1.63 | 6.20 | -9.86 | 7.73 | 0.17 | 11.22 | -2.03 |

Table 32: $N$ um erical values of the dim ensionless low \{energy constants $c_{i}^{0}=$ $2 m_{N} C_{i}(i=1 ;::: ; 5)$ and $C_{6 ; 7}^{0}=2 c_{6 ; 7} w$ th $m_{N}=\left(m_{p}+m_{n}\right)=2=938: 92 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ the nucleon $m$ ass. $C_{4}$ is determ ined from the $P\{w$ ave $N$ scattering volum es and $c_{5}$ follow s from the strong $n p m$ ass di erence, $\left(m_{n} \quad m_{p}\right)_{\text {str }}=2 M^{2} c_{5}\left(m_{d}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)=\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{c}_{6 ; 7}$ are determ ined from the nucleon isovector and isoscalar anom alous m agnetic m om ents as described in section 4.1.

O ne particular advantage of the heavy $m$ ass form ulation is the fact that it is very easy to include the baryon decuplet, i.e. the spin $\{3 / 2$ states. This has been done in full detailby Jenkins and $M$ anohar [3.10,3.20]. T he inclusion of the (1232) is m otivated by the argum ents given in the beginning of this section, in particular the fact that the N m ass\{splitting $m \quad m_{N}$ is only about thrice as $m$ uch as the pion decay constant,* so that one expects signi cant contributions from this close\{by resonance (the sam e holds true for the fiull decuplet in relation to the octet, see section 6). This expectation is bome out in $m$ any phenom enologicalm odels and we had also seen in the discussion of the low \{energy constants the prom inent role of the . H ow ever, it should be stressed that if one chooses to include this baryon resonance (or the full decuplet), one again has to account for all term $s$ of the given accuracy one aim $s$ at, say $O\left(q^{3}\right)$ in a one\{loop calculation. This has not been done in the presently available literature. Furtherm ore, the $m$ ass di erence $m \quad m_{N}$ does not vanish in the chiral lim it thus destroying the consistent power counting (as it is the case w ith the baryon m ass in the relativistic form alism discussed in section 3.1). W e w ill com e back to this below. In the extrem e non \{relativistic lim it, the is described by $R$ arita\{Schw inger spinor a $w$ th a 2 f1, 2, $3 g$. This spinor contains both spin $\{1 / 2$ and spin $\{3 / 2$ com ponents. The spin $\{1 / 2$ pieces are projected out by use of the constraint $a=0$. One then de nes a velocity $\{$ dependent eld via

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}=e^{\operatorname{imvx}}(T+t)_{a} \tag{3:69}
\end{equation*}
$$

In term s of the physical states we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}^{1}=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \quad{ }_{+}^{++} \mathrm{p}_{\overline{3}}{ }^{0}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3} \quad ; \mathrm{T}^{2}=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad{ }^{++}{ }_{=}^{+} \overline{\mathrm{P}^{+}} \overline{{ }^{0}}{ }^{0}={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3} \quad ; \mathrm{T}^{3}={ }^{\mathrm{r}} \overline{\frac{2}{3}} \quad{ }_{0}^{+} \tag{3:70}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^7]The e ective non \{relativistic N Lagrangian to leading order reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \stackrel{(1)}{N}=\mathbb{T}^{a} V B^{b} T^{b}+T^{a} T^{a}+\frac{3 g_{A}}{2} \frac{D^{2}}{2}\left(T^{a} u^{a} H+H u^{a} T^{a}\right) \tag{3:71}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $=m \quad m_{N}$ and $u^{a}=(i=2) \operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{a} u^{Y} r \quad U u^{Y}\right)$. C learly one is left $w$ ith some residualm ass dependence. In the language of ref.[30] we have set $\mathrm{C}=3 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}=2=1: 89$ which is nothing but the $\operatorname{SU}$ (4) coupling constant relation discussed before. From the w idth of the decay ! $N$ one has $C=1: 8$ [3.10], consistent $w$ ith the value given before (if one uses the full decuplet the value of C reduces to 1.5 ). T he propagator of the spin $\{3 / 2$ elds reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \quad(!)=i \frac{V v \quad g \quad \frac{4}{3} S \quad S}{!} \tag{3:72}
\end{equation*}
$$

For allpracticalpurposes, it ism ost convenient to work in the rest $\{$ fram ev $=(1 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$. In that case, one deals w ith the well\{known non \{relativistic isobar modelwhich is discussed in detailin the m onograph by E ricson and W eise [3.24]. C onsider now the nucleon self\{energy (i.e. a diagram like in g.32. but with an interm ediate state). Its contribution is non \{vanishing in the chiral lim it. Therefore, a counter term of the follow ing form has to be added [325] (like in the relativistic theory of the nucleon alone)

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{N}^{(0)} & =m_{0} \operatorname{Tr}(H H) \\
m_{0} & =\frac{10}{3} \frac{C^{2}{ }^{3}}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{1}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{2}{6} \quad \frac{5}{6}: \tag{3:73}
\end{align*}
$$

C learly, such a contribution destroys the consistent power counting. H ow ever, from phenom enological argum ents, one $m$ ight $w a n t$ to consider the quantity as a sm all param eter. W hile this is not rooted in QCD, it might be worth to be explored in a system atic fashion. Such an analysis is, how ever, not available at present. O ur point of view is that one should not include the as a dynam icaldegree of freedom in the EFT but rather use it to estim ate certain low \{energy constants. W hile this m ight narrow the range of applicability of the approach, it at least allow s for a consistent pow er counting.

## III.5. A SPECTS OF P ION $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { N U CLEON SCATTERING }\end{array}\right.$

E lastic pion \{nucleon scattering in the threshold region can be considered the $m$ ost basic process to which the CHPT m ethods can be applied. This is underlined by the W einberg's very successfull current algebra prediction [3 26] for the $S$ \{ w ave pion \{nucleon scattering lengths,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1=2}=\frac{M}{4 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}=2 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}=2}=0: 175 \mathrm{M}^{1} \tag{3:74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tom ozawa [327] also derived the sum rule $a_{1=2} \quad a_{3}=2=3 M=8 F^{2}=0.263 \mathrm{M}^{1}$. Em pirically, the com bination $\left(2 a_{1=2}+a_{3=2}\right)=3$ is best determ ined from pion \{proton scattering. The K arlsnuhe\{H elsinki group gives $0: 083 \quad 0: 004 \mathrm{M}^{1} \quad$ [328] consistent w ith the pionic atom m easurem ent [329] of 0:086 0:004 M ${ }^{1}$. The value of $a_{1=2} \quad a_{3}=2$ is m ore uncertain. The K H analysis leads to $0: 2740: 005 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ [3.30]. In what follow s , we w ill use the central values from the work of K och [3.28], nam ely $\mathrm{a}_{1=2}=0: 175 \mathrm{M}{ }^{1}$ and $a_{3=2}=0: 100 \mathrm{M}^{1}$. The agreem ent of the current algebra predictions w ith these num bers is rather spectacular. Therefore, one would like to know what the next\{to\{ leading order corrections to the original predictions are. T his question was addressed in ref.[322]. To be speci c, consider the on \{shell $N$ forw ard scattering am plitude for a nucleon at rest. Denoting by b and a the isospin of the outgoing and incom ing pion, in order, the scattering am plitude takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{\mathrm{ba}}=\mathrm{T}^{+}(!)^{\mathrm{ba}}+\mathrm{T} \quad(!) i^{\mathrm{bac}} \mathrm{c} \tag{3:75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q$ the pion four\{m om entum and ! = v q. Under crossing (a \$ b; q! q) the functions $T^{+}$and $T$ are even and odd, respectively, $T \quad(!)=T$ ( !).At threshold one has $q=0$ and the pertinent scattering lengths are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{1}{4} 1+\frac{M}{m}^{1} T \quad(M) \tag{3:76}
\end{equation*}
$$


 translate into $a^{+}=0: 83 \quad 10 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{a}=9: 17 \quad 1 \theta^{8} \mathrm{M}^{1}$. In what follow s , we w ill not exhibit the canonical units of $10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{1}$. The benchm ark values are therefore $\mathrm{a}^{+}=0: 83 \quad 0: 38$ and $\mathrm{a}=9: 17 \quad 0: 17$ com pared to the current algebra predictions of $\mathrm{a}^{+}=0$ and $\mathrm{a}=8: 76$ (using $\mathrm{M}=138 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{F}=93 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ ). T he em pirical values for the forw ard am plitudes at threshold follow to be $T^{+}(M)=0: 17 \quad 0: 08 \mathrm{fm}$ and T (M) = 1:87 0:03 fm. The four novel counter tem $s$ from $L_{N}^{(3)}$ which contribute to N scattering are $\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{8}$ given in eq.(3.62). D ue to crossing sym m etry, $L_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}$ (these are the term sproportional to $\mathrm{c}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$, cfeq. (3.36)) contributes only to $\mathrm{T}^{+}$(!) whereas $L \underset{N}{(3)}$ solely enters $T$ (!). For the isospin even/odd threshold am plitude we derive the follow ing chiral expansion

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{+}(M)=\frac{2 M^{2}}{F^{2}} C_{2}+C_{3} \quad 2 q \quad \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8 m}+\frac{3 g_{A}^{2} M^{3}}{64 F^{4}}+O\left(M^{4}\right)  \tag{3:77}\\
T \quad(M)=\frac{M}{2 F^{2}}+\frac{M^{3}}{16^{2} F^{4}} 1 \quad 2 \ln \frac{M}{2 m^{4}}+\frac{g^{2} M^{3}}{8 m^{4}} \quad 4\left(1 \frac{M^{3}}{F^{2}}+O\left(M^{4}\right)\right. \tag{3:78}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $b^{r}()=\left(B_{1}^{r}()+B_{2}^{r}()+B_{3}^{r}()+2 B_{8}^{r}()\right)=\left(16^{2} F^{2}\right)$. b has to be renorm alized as follow $s$ to render the isospin \{odd scattering am plitude $T$ (!) nite,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathrm{)} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{2 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}\right. \text {; } \tag{3:79}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $1_{1}+2_{2}+2_{4}=1=2$ (cf. eq.(3.62)). It is rem arkable that there are no corrections oforder $M^{2}$ and $M^{4}$ in $T$ (M). The order $M^{2}$ has to be zero since crossing sym $m$ etry forbids any such counter term contribution which also $m$ ust be analytic in the quark $m$ asses. For the loop contribution at order $M^{4}$ such an argum ent does not hold (loops can lead to non \{analyticities), but an explicit calculation of all $q^{4}$ loop diagram s show s indeed that they all add up to zero. T he various term s in eq.(3.78) are the current algebra prediction, the expansion of the nucleon pole term, the one\{loop and the counterterm contribution from $\mathrm{L}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)}$, respectively. is the scale introduced in dim ensional regularization. In what follow s , wew illuse $=\mathrm{m}=1: 232 \mathrm{GeV}$, m otivated by the resonance saturation principle. N otioe that the contact term contributions are suppressed by a factor $M^{2}$ w ith respect to the leading current algebra term . $M$ atters are di erent for the isospin \{even scattering am plitude $T^{+}$. It consists of contributions of order $M^{2}$ and $M^{3}$. From the form of eq.(3.77) it is obvious that the contact term $s$ play a $m$ ore im portant role in the determ ination of $\mathrm{T}^{+}$than for T . Them ost di cult task is to pin down the various low -energy constants appearing in eqs.(3.77) and (3.78). In ref. [322], $c_{1}$ was xed as in eq.(3.64). The coe cients $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$ where estim ated from resonance exchange. This induces a dependence on the 0 \{shell param eter $Z$ as discussed in section 3.4. From the $m$ eson sector, scalar $m$ eson exchange can contribute to $C_{1}$ and $C_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} C_{3}=C_{1} \quad q \frac{C_{d}}{C_{m}} \tag{3:80}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{L}_{5}=\mathrm{L}_{8}$. The centralvalues for the param eters $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ given in ref.[3.31] lead to $2 \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}=1: 56$. H ow ever, w ithin the uncertainty of $\mathrm{L}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{8}$, this ratio can vary betw een 0.75 and 225 . The and the $N$ (1440) contribute to $c_{2}+C_{3}$ and to b ( )

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{2}+c_{3} & =\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{2 m^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad Z\right) 2 m(1+Z)+m\left(\frac{1}{2} \quad Z\right) \\
c_{2}+c_{3} & =\frac{g_{A}^{2} R}{16(m+m)}  \tag{3:81}\\
b^{r}()^{r}=m & =q^{2} \frac{\left(Z \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}}{8 m^{2}}+\frac{R}{32(m+m)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

O ther baryon resonances have been neglected since their couplings are either very sm all or poorly (not) known.* C learly, the contribution of the $N$ (1440) is only a small

[^8]correction to the \{contribution. T he num erical results are as follow s. C onsider rst the amplitude $\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \mathrm{M}=138 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{F}=93 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{m}=938: 9 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, \mathrm{Z}=1=4$ and $R=1$, one has
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { T }(M)=(1: 57+0: 24+0: 08+0: 02) \mathrm{fm}=1: 91 \mathrm{fm} \tag{3:82}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where we have explicitely shown the contributions from the current algebra, the one loop, the nucleon pole and the counter term s. The total result is in good agreem ent $w$ th the em pirical value. The largest part of the $M^{3}$ term com es from the pion loop diagram s. W e should stress that only this loop contribution can close the gap between the $W$ einberg-T om ozaw a prediction of 1.57 fm and the em pirical value. A s stated before, the uncertainties in $b$ are com pletely $m$ asked by the sm all prefactor. If one chooses e.g.
$=\mathrm{m}$, the loop contribution drops to 022 fm . The two floop contribution carries an explicit factor $M^{5}$ and is therefore expected to be much sm aller. In the case of the isospin \{even scattering am plitude $T^{+}$, the situation is $m$ uch less satisfactory. There are large cancellations between the loop contribution and the $1=\mathrm{m}$ suppressed kinem atical term $s$ of order $M^{2}$ and $M^{3}$. Therefore, the role of the contact term $s$ is even further m agni ed. The total result for $\mathrm{T}^{+}$is very sensitive to som e of the resonance param eters, the em pirical value of $\mathrm{T}^{+}$can, how ever, be obtained by reasonable choioes of these (cf.
gs. 1 and 2 in [3.22] for the scattering length $\mathrm{a}^{+}$). A better understanding of the coe cients of the contact term $s$ appearing at order $q^{2}$ (and higher) is necessary to further $p$ in dow $n$ the prediction for $T^{+}(M)$.

A nother quantity of interest is the so\{called nucleon axial polarisability A. It is related to the quenching of the axial vector coupling $g_{A}$ in the nuclear $m$ edium as discussed in detail in ref.[3.32]. C onsider the standard helicity non \{spin \{ip am plitude $C=A+B \quad(1 \quad t=4 m)^{1} w$ ith $=(s \quad u)=4 m$ and the conventional $N$ amplitude is written as $T_{N}=A+G B$. Here, $A$ and $B$ are functions of and the invariant m om entum transfer squared $t$. $T$ he axial polarisability is then de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=2 C_{01}^{+}=2 \frac{\varrho}{@ t} A^{+}\left(m^{2}+M^{2} \quad t=2 ; m^{2}+M^{2} \quad t=2\right)_{t=0} \tag{3:83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the barm eans that the nucleon B om term has been subtracted and we have also indicated the standard notation which refers to the expansion of $C(; t)$ around $=0$. Empirically, one has $A_{A}=2: 28 \quad 0: 04 \mathrm{M}^{3} \quad$ [3.30]. To get ${ }_{A}$, we calculate the on $\{$ shell N scattering am plitude in the cm s and subtract the B om term,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{T}^{+}\left(!; \Upsilon^{0} ; \Upsilon\right)=\mathrm{t}_{0}(!)+\bigoplus^{0} \quad \text { 凹t! }\right)+::: \tag{3:84}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the kinem atics $v \quad q=v^{0}=q!\quad$ and $t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & q\end{array}\right)^{2}=2\left(M^{2} \quad!^{2}+q^{0} \quad\right.$ q) (here, $q$ and $q$ are the $m$ om enta of the incom ing and outgoing pion, respectively). The axial polarizability is then sim ply given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=t_{1}(0) \quad: \tag{3:85}
\end{equation*}
$$

At order $q^{2}$, we have the counterterm contribution proportional to $c_{3}$ and at order $q^{3}$, only loops contribute. The possible counterterm oforder $q^{3}$ proportionalto! $\propto^{0}$ q gives a vanishing contribution to $A$. The nal expression of this calculation was already given in eq.(3.66). E stim ating the value of $C_{3}$ as discussed above, we nd ${ }_{A}=1: 3::: 1: 8 \mathrm{M}{ }^{3}$, som ew hat below the em pirical value. It is im portant to stress (see also refs.[3.30,3.32]) that the alone is not su cient to get the em pirical value but that one needs additional scalar exchange (as provided here through the resonance saturation).

For the later discussion in section 6 , we will have to consider the $N$ am plitude for o \{shell pions. For doing that, we choose the pseudoscalar density $P^{a}=$ iq $5^{a} q$ as the interpolating pion eld. The pion coupling via the pseudoscalar density is given in term s of G ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle 0 p^{b} j^{a}\right\rangle={ }^{a b} G \tag{3:86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{2}$ is given as the residue of the vacuum correlator $\left\langle 0 p^{a} P^{b}-j\right\rangle$ at the pion pole. The o \{shell N am plitude is then de ned via

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{4} x e^{i q_{1} x}<N \text { 打 }\left(P^{a}(x) P^{b}(0)\right) N N>=\frac{G^{2} i^{5}}{\left(q_{1}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}^{2} M^{2}\right)} T^{a b}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right): \tag{3:87}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now a straightforw ard exercise to show that the am plitude calculated in this fashion obeys the A dler conditions,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T^{+}\left(q_{1}=q_{2}=0\right)=\frac{N(0)}{F^{2}}  \tag{3:88}\\
T^{+}\left(q_{1}^{2}=0 ; q_{2}^{2}=M^{2}\right)=T^{+}\left(q_{1}^{2}=M^{2} ; q_{2}^{2}=0\right)=0:
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, we stress that G SS [3.5] have evaluated the full o \{shell pion \{nucleon am plitude in the fram ew ork of relativistic baryon CHPT and discussed the so \{called rem ainder of the N \{tem derived from it. W e will com e back to these issues in section 6 because the $\{$ term is intim ately related to the strangeness content of the nucleon and the baryon $m$ ass ratios.

## III.6. THE REACTION N ! N

A nother reaction involving only pions and nucleons is the single pion production reaction N ! N (for som e older references,see [3.33]). The interest in this reaction stem $s \mathrm{~m}$ ostly from the fact that it apparently o ers a possibility of determ ining the low \{energy elastic scattering am plitude whose precise know ledge allow s to test our understanding of the chiralsym m etry breaking of $Q C D$. H ow ever, at present no calculation based on chiralperturbation theory is available which links the pion production data to the ! am plitude in a m odel\{ independent fashion. C onsequently, all presently available determ inations of the $S\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ave scattering lengths from the abovem entioned }\end{array}\right.$ data should be taken cum grano salis. O ver the last years, new experim ental data in
the threshold region have becom e available [3.34-3.38] which allow for a direct com parison w th the CHPT predictions. Beringer [3.39] has perform ed a tree calculation in relativistic baryon CHPT. In ref.[3.40] the chiral expansion of the threshold am plitudes was reanalyzed in term $s$ of the heavy ferm ion form alism at next\{to\{leading order.

To be speci c, consider the process ${ }^{a} N$ ! ${ }^{b}{ }^{c} N$, with $N$ denoting the nucleon (proton or neutron) and 'a;b; c' are isospin indioes. A $t$ threshold, the transition $m$ atrix \{ elem ent in the ${ }^{a} N$ centre $\{0 f\{m$ ass fram $e$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=i \sim \widetilde{K} D_{1}\left({ }^{b a c}+c a b\right)+D_{2} a b c \tag{3:89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K}$ denotes the three\{m om entum of the incom ing pion and the am plitudes $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ and $D_{2} \mathrm{w}$ ill be sub ject to the chiral expansion as discussed below. They are related to the $m$ ore com $m$ only used am plitudes $A_{2 I ; I}$, w ith I the total isospin of the initial $N$ system and I the isospin of the two\{pion system in the nal state, via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{32}=\mathrm{P} \overline{10} \mathrm{D}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{A}_{10}=2 \mathrm{D}_{1} \quad 3 \mathrm{D}_{2} \tag{3:90}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing that the am plitude in the threshold region can be approxim ated by the exact threshold am plitude, the total cross section can be written in a com pact form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{1}=\frac{1}{2^{p}} \bar{s}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
p_{s} & m_{1} & M_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p_{s} & m+M_{1}+M_{2}
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{3:91}\\
& T_{2}=\frac{1}{2^{P}} \bar{s}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
p_{s} & m & M_{1}+M_{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{p} \\
\mathrm{~s} & m+M_{1} & M_{2}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{3}=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{~s}}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{~m}\right)^{2} \\
& (x ; y ; z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} \quad 2(x y+x z+y z)
\end{align*}
$$

 tegrated three\{body phase space where $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ stand for the $m$ asses of the nal state pions and one has the inequality $0 \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2} ; 3 . \quad(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})$ is the K allen $\{$ function. The $1 ; 2$ are channel-dependent isospin factors and $S$ is a Bose sym $m$ etry factor. For ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{+} \mathrm{p}!{ }^{+}{ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{n}$ we have ${ }_{1}=2 \overline{2}_{2}=0 ; S=1=2$ and ${ }_{1}=0 ; 2=\overline{2} ; S=1=2$, in order. In the threshold region, one can approxim ate to $a$ high degree of accuracy the three\{body phase space and ux factor by analytic expressions as discussed in $m$ ore detail in section 4. The chiral expansion of the am plitude functions $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ takes the form *

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=f_{0}+f_{1}+f_{2}^{2}+::: \tag{3:92}
\end{equation*}
$$

* H ere, D stands as a generic sym bol for $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}$.
m odulo logarithm s . The rst two coe cients of this expansion have been calculated in ref.[3.40]. For that, one needs only $L_{e}=L_{N}^{(1)}+L_{N}^{(2)}+L^{(2)}$ and one nds that none of the low \{energy constants $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{w}$ ill contribute (the sum of the corresponding graphs vanishes). N otice that the m uch debated next\{to \{leading order interaction does not appear at this order in the chiral expansion. O ne can therefore write down low \{energy theorem s for $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}$ which only involve well\{known physical (low est order) param eters,

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}=\frac{g_{A}}{8 F^{3}} 1+\frac{7 M}{2 m}+O\left(M^{2}\right) \\
& D_{2}=\frac{g_{A}}{8 F^{3}} 3+\frac{17 M}{2 m}+O\left(M^{2}\right) \tag{3:93}
\end{align*}
$$

There are potentially large contributions from diagram s w ith interm ediate (1232) states of the type $M^{2}=(m \quad m \quad 2 M)$, which num erically would be of the order 10 M. As shown in [3.40], no such term s appear from diagram sinvolving one or tw o interm ediate resonances. C onsequently, the chiral expansion is well behaved but not too rapidly converging. The order $M$ corrections give approxim atively $50 \%$ of the leading term. H ow ever, the calculations of B eringer [3.39] in relativistic baryon chiral perturbation theory indicate that further $1=m$ suppressed kinem atical corrections are sm all. The num erical evaluation ofeqs.(3.93) am ounts to $D_{1}=2: 4 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}=6: 8$ $\mathrm{fm}^{3}$ or using eq.(3.90)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{32}=2: 7 \mathrm{M}^{3} ; A_{10}=5: 5 \mathrm{M}^{3} \tag{3:94}
\end{equation*}
$$

which com pare fairly w the recent determ inations of Burkhardt and Lowe (see ref. [3.35]), $A_{32}=2: 07 \quad 0: 10 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{10}=6: 55 \quad 0: 16 \mathrm{M}^{3}$. A s stressed, how ever, in ref.[3.40], one can confront the LET eqs.(3.93) directly w ith experim ental data and, furtherm ore, the global to the threshold am plitudes of ref.[3.35] has to be reexam ined critically. The cross sections for ${ }^{+} \mathrm{p}$ ! ${ }^{+}{ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{n}$ in comparison to the existing data are shown in g.3.3. They com pare well to the existing data for the rst 30 M eV above threshold. To get an idea about the higher order corrections, one can calculate the im aginary parts $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}$. C orrections to $\operatorname{Re} \mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}$ of the sam e size indeed tum to be such that they can im prove the description of the data since the rst/second reaction allow $s$ to test $D_{1} / D_{2}$, respectively.

In addition, one nds that a best $t$ to these data leads to $D_{1}=2: 26 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ and $D_{2}=9: 05 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ as indicated by the dotted lines in g.3.3. U sing eq.(3.90), this leads to $A_{32}=2: 5 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ and $A_{10}=8: 0 \mathrm{M}^{3}$ som ew hat di erent from the globalbest $t$ values of ref.[3.35]. W e believe that the energy range covered by the $t$ in ref.[3.35] was too large to reliably extract the threshold amplitudes. In that $t$, the data in the rst 30 M eV above threshold had too little statistical weight.

A nother im portant rem ark concems the fashion in which the $S$ \{w ave scattering lengths are in general extracted from the N ! N data [3.34,3.35]. It is based on


Fig. 3.3: Total cross sections for ${ }^{+} p!{ }^{+}{ }^{+} n$ and $p!{ }^{0}{ }^{0} n$ in com parison to the data. Squares: ref.[3.36], octagon: ref.[3.37] and diam onds: ref.[3.38]. The dashed lines refer to an approxim ation discussed in ref.[3.40] and the dash\{dotted ones show the best $t$ to these data as discussed in the text (the 1 \{band is indicated by the dotted lines).
the old $O$ lsson \{T umerm odel [3.33] which param etrizes the chiral sym m etry breaking in term s of one param eter called. This is, of course, an pre\{QCD artefact since we now know that the breaking via the quark $m$ asses is of the 3 form, i.e. $=0$. Therefore, one can no $m$ ore accept such a param etrization. The essential question is now, how

in a model\{independent way. This question is quite nontrivial. The O lsson\{Tumer m odel w ith $=0$ only contains the tree level scattering lengths. To establish a m relationship between the amplitudes and the N ! N data beyond leading order, one has to perform a com plete one\{loop calculation. This has not yet been done. As an estim ate, how ever, we can combine the new low energy theorem sfor the N threshold am plitudes (3.93) w ith W einberg's low energy theorem sfor scattering (i.e. the leading term in the chiral expansion). This way we derive

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{10}=4 \frac{g_{N}}{m} 1+\frac{37 M}{14 m} \quad \frac{a_{0}^{0}}{M^{2}}+O\left(M^{2}\right)  \tag{3:95}\\
A_{32}=\sum_{2}^{p} \overline{10} \frac{g_{N}}{m} 1+\frac{7 M}{2 m} \quad \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{M^{2}}+O\left(M^{2}\right) \tag{3:96}
\end{gather*}
$$

The corrections of order M are taken care of by the calculation to order $p^{2}$ leading to eqs.(3.93) and what rem ains to be done is to system atically work out the various contributions at $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$. Ignoring these for the m om ent and inserting on the left hand side the present $t$ value, we extract $a_{0}^{0}=0: 23 \quad 0: 02$ and $\dot{b}^{2}=0: 042 \quad 0: 002 \mathrm{w}$ hich are quite close to the CHPT prediction at next-to-leading order. W e stress how ever, the a com plete calculation of the $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ corrections to the above relations is m andatory. We conclude that the values of the $S\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ave scattering lengths can eventually be infered }\end{array}\right.$ from the threshold N ! N am plitudes. The com plete one\{ loop calculation which would give a sound basis for doing that is, unfortunately, not yet available. At present, it seem $s$ that the $m$ ost accurate fashion of determ ining in particular $a_{0}^{0}$ are $K{ }_{\wedge}$ decays.

## III.7. THE PION \{NUCLEON VERTEX

The last topic we want to address in this section is the pion \{nucleon vertex, param etrized in term sof a form factor $G \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{t})$. It plays a fundam ental role in m any areas of nuclear physics, in particular in the description of the nucleon \{nucleon force via $m$ eson \{exchange $m$ odels. B efore we discuss the details, let us stress from the beginning that while the strong pion \{nucleon coupling constant $g_{N} \quad G_{N}\left(t=M^{2}\right)$ can be unam bigously calculated w ithin CHPT, the N form factor depends on the choice of the interpolating pion eld. Furtherm ore, if one w rites a dispersion relation for $G{ }_{N}(t)$, one realizes that the absonptive part starts at $t_{0}=(3 \mathrm{M})^{2}$. Therefore, w ithin the context of a one\{loop calculation, the m om entum dependence of the form factor w illentirely stem from som e contact term $s$.

A fter these rem arks, consider the B reit fram e m atrix \{elem ent of the pseudoscalar density betw een nucleon states*

$$
\begin{equation*}
<N\left(p^{0}\right) \text { ひ̈q } 5^{a} q N(p)>=2 i B g_{A} \frac{1+g(t)}{M^{2} t} H S \quad(p \quad p)^{a} H \quad: \tag{3:97}
\end{equation*}
$$

* $T$ he $B$ reit fram $e$ is $m$ ost convenient for the calculation of such $m$ atrix \{elem ents since it allow s for a unique translation of Lorentz \{covariant $m$ atrix \{elem ents into non-relativistic ones.

The form factor $g(t)$ is generated by loop and counterterm contributions. In fact, the loop contribution is divergent and $t\{$ independent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t)=\frac{B_{23}}{8^{2} F^{2}} t+\frac{M^{2}}{F^{2}} C\left(g_{A}^{2} ; B_{9} ; B_{20} ;:::\right) \tag{3:98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ sum $s$ up all $t\{$ independent term $s$. W e do not need its explicit form in what follow s. B 23 is a nite low \{energy constant from $L_{N}^{(3)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}^{(3)}=B_{23} \frac{g_{A}}{(4 \mathrm{~F})^{2}} H \text { iS } \quad D \quad H \quad: \tag{3:99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form factor $g(t)$ features in the so \{called $G$ oldberger\{ $T$ reim an discrepancy (for a review, see [3.41]). To be speci c, let us look at the relation betw een the divergence of the axial current and the pseudoscalar density betw een nucleon states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 B \operatorname{m~g}_{A}[1+g(0)]=\frac{m_{N} g_{A}}{F} G \tag{3:100}
\end{equation*}
$$

O n the other hand, the strong pion \{nucleon coupling constant is de ned via the residue of the pole term in (3.97),

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 B m g_{A}\left[1+g\left(M^{2}\right)\right]=g_{N} G \tag{3:101}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the G oldberger\{ $T$ reim an discrepancy

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N} \quad 1 \frac{m_{N} g_{A}}{F g_{N}}=g\left(M^{2}\right) \quad g(0)=\frac{M^{2}}{8^{2} F^{2}} B_{23}: \tag{3:102}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otige that N is entirely given by the low \{energy constant $\mathrm{b}_{11}$. W ith $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}=938.27$ $\mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{F}=92: 5 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}=1: 257$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}=13: 3^{\star} \mathrm{we}$ nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}=0: 04 ; \quad \mathrm{B}_{23}=1: 433: \tag{3:103}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one now describes the whole G oldberger\{ T reim an discrepancy by a form factor e ect, one identi es the nucleon $m$ atrix $\{$ elem ent of the pseudoscalar density $w$ ith $G^{G} G_{N}(t)=\left(M^{2} \quad t\right)$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{N}(t)=g_{N}\left[1+g(t) \quad g\left(M^{2}\right)\right]: \tag{3:104}
\end{equation*}
$$

* In general, we use the K arlsnuhe\{ H elsinki value of $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}=13: 4$ [3.30]. In light of the recent discussion about the actual value of this quantity, we have adopted here the $m$ ost recent value proposed by H ohler.

A ssum ing furtherm ore the standard $m$ onopole form, $G_{N}(t)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}{ }^{2} & M^{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & t\end{array}\right)$, one can calculate the cut\{o,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p \frac{4 \mathrm{~F}}{2 \mathrm{~B}_{23}}=700 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \text {; } \tag{3:105}
\end{equation*}
$$

close to the result found by G SS [3.5] in the relativistic calculation. H ow ever, we stress again that this result depends on the choice of the interpolating eld and that it is based on the assum ption that the whole G oldberger\{T reim an discrepancy is due to a form factore ect.
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## IV. NUCLEON STRUCTUREFROM ELECTROWEAK PROBES

In this section, we w illm ostly be concemed w ith the nucleon structure when realor virtualphotons are used as probes. This is ofparticular interest for the physics program of the existing CW electron $m$ achines and intense light facilities. Topics included are C om pton scattering (spin-averaged and spin \{dependent) and the classical eld of single and double pion production by real or virtual photons (see e.g. the m onograph [4.1]). A nother well\{ understood probe are the $W$ \{bosons. T heir interactions $w$ ith the hadrons lead to the axial form factors and can also be used to produce pions. T hese topics will be discussed at the end of this section.
IV.1.ELECTROMAGNETIC FORMEACTORSOFTHENUCLEON

The coupling of the photon to the nucleon has an isoscalar and an isovector com ponent. T he chiral expansion of the electric and the $m$ agnetic form factors of the neutron and the proton am ounts to a calculation of the corresponding radii, $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents and so on. Evidently, the further one goes in the loop expansion, higher mom ents of these form factors are tested. H ere, wewill concentrate on the form factors at sm allm o$m$ entum transfer. A s it was already m entioned in section 3, the existing precise data on these nucleon properties are $m$ ostly used to $x$ the values of som e low energy constants. H ow ever, it is im portant to understand the interplay of the loop and the counter term contributions and also to critically exam ine the absorptive parts of the isovector form factors.
$F$ irst, let us consider the $m$ atrix \{elem ent of the isovector\{ vector quark current,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<p^{0} \dot{q} \quad \frac{a}{2} q \dot{p}>=u\left(p^{0}\right) \quad F_{1}^{V}(t)+\frac{i}{2 m} F_{2}^{v}(t) \frac{a}{2} u(p) \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $k=p^{0} \quad p$ and $t=k^{2}$. This de nes the so $\left\{\right.$ called $D$ irac ( $F_{1}^{V}$ ) and the $P$ auli ( $F_{2}^{V}$ ) form factors. These are related to the proton and neutron form factors $F_{1 ; 2}^{p}$ and $F_{1 ; 2}^{n}$ via

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{F}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right): \tag{4:2}
\end{array}
$$

At zero $m$ om entum transfer, we have $F_{1}^{V}(0)=1$ and $F_{2}^{V}(0)=p \quad n=3: 706$. In relativistic baryon CHPT, these form factors have been discussed by G asser et al. [4.2]. H ere, we w ill elaborate on the heavy ferm ion approach follow ing ref.[4.3]. For that, one rew rites eq.(4.1) in the B reit fram e as

$$
\begin{align*}
<N\left(p^{0}\right) \dot{q} \frac{a}{2} q N(p)> & =F_{1}^{V}(t)+\frac{t}{4 m_{N}^{2}} F_{2}^{V} \text { (t) } V H \frac{a}{2} H \\
& +\frac{1}{m_{N}} F_{1}^{V} \text { (t) }+F_{2}^{V} \text { (t) } H\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
S & ; S \quad(p \quad p)]_{2}^{a} H
\end{array}\right. \tag{4:3}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his corresponds to the standard decom position into the electric and $m$ agnetic form factors $G_{E}(t)=F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t)$ and $G_{M}(t)=F_{1}(t)+F_{2}(t)$, with $=t=4 m_{N}^{2}$. TheD irac form factor $F_{1}^{V}(t)$ is readily evaluated,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{V}(t)=1+\frac{t}{6}<r^{2}>{ }_{1}^{V}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{F^{2}} 1_{J}(t)+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{F^{2}} t \quad \text { (t) } \quad 2 M^{2} \quad \text { (t) } \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the loop functions $J(t)$ and $(t)$ given in appendix $B$, and $(t)=(t) \quad t^{0}(t)$. It can be show $n$ analytically that the sum of all loop diagram $s$ does not $m$ odify the tree level result, $F_{1}^{V}(0)=1$. This is, of course, nothing but the charge non \{renorm alization by the strong interactions. T he isovector charge radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
<r^{2}>_{1}^{V}=6{\frac{d F_{1}^{V}(t)}{d t}}_{t=0} \tag{4:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

diverges logarithm ically in the chiral lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
<r^{2}>_{1}^{V}=\frac{5 g_{A}^{2}+1}{8^{2} F^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{} \frac{7 g_{A}^{2}+1}{16^{2} F^{2}}+\frac{3}{4^{2} F^{2}} B_{10}^{r}() \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last term stem $s$ from a counterterm of order $q^{3}$ (cf. eq.(3.62)). It is worth to stress [4.2] that the coe cient of the logarithm in eq. (4.6) is nine tim esbigger than in the corresponding expression for the pion charge radius and therefore this term contributes signi cantly even for the physical value of the pion m ass. T his poses a severe constraint on any serious attem pt ofm odelling the nucleon (say from a quark $m$ odelpoint of view ). To reproduce the em pirical value $<r^{2}>{ }_{1}^{V}=0: 578 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$, one has to set $B_{10}^{r}(\mathrm{~m})=0: 13$. For $B_{10}^{r}(m)=0$, one would get $\left\langle r^{2}\right\rangle_{1}^{V}=0: 62 \mathrm{fm}^{2}, 8 \%$ above the em pirical value.
$T$ he Pauli form factor $F_{2}^{V}(t)$ takes the very sim ple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{2}^{V}(t)=c_{6} \quad \frac{g_{A}^{2} m}{4 F^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x x^{M^{2}+t x(x} \quad 1\right) \tag{4:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involves the low \{energy constant $\mathrm{c}_{6}$ to be identi ed w th the isovector anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent in the chiral lim it, $c_{6}=v$. To order $q^{3}$, we nd for the isovector anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent,

$$
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{c}_{6} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~m}}{4 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}
$$

[^9]where the second term is the leading non \{analytic piece proportional to ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{m}}$ rst found by $C$ aldi and $P$ agels [4.5]. Setting $c_{6}=5: 62$, one reproduces the em pirical value given after eq.(42). The loops generate a correction of about $34 \%$. The value of $\varepsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 6$ is not quite surprising if one thinks of generating the corresponding contact term via m eson exchange. The tensor coupling of the to the nucleon is ' 6. However, we should point out that such an estim ate depends cucially on how one chooses the N N and couplings. The isovector $m$ agnetic radius,
$$
<r^{2}>{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{6}{\mathrm{v}}{\frac{d F_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}}}_{\mathrm{t}=0}
$$
explodes like $1=\mathrm{M}$ in the chiral lim it [4.4] and is not a ected by any counter term contribution to order q ${ }^{3}$ [42],
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
<r^{2}>_{1}^{V}=\frac{g_{A}^{2} m}{8 \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{v}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}=0: 50 \mathrm{fm}^{2} \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

to be com pared w ith the em pirical value of $\left\langle\mathrm{r}^{2}>{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{v}}=0: 77 \mathrm{fm}^{2}\right.$. It is interesting to com pare these results to the ones of the relativistic calculation [4.2]. It becom es obvious that the role of the loop versus the counter term contributions is rather di erent. In [42] it was shown that one has to choose $\mathrm{c}_{6}{ }^{\prime} 0$ to get the em pirical value of $\mathrm{v} \cdot$. This is due to the additional term s generated by the relativistic one loop diagram s beyond the leading non \{analytic term in eq.(4.8) at $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ (com pare the discussion about the power counting in section 3.1 and g.3.1). In the heavy ferm ion form alism, one loop diagram swith insertions solely from the low est order e ective Lagrangian only generate the term $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ in the isovector $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent. A sim ilar statem ent also holds for the isovector radius, the heavy $m$ ass calculation to order $q^{3}$ just gives the leading singularity.


Fig. 4.1: Two\{pion cut contribution to the nucleon isovector electrom agnetic form factors.

Let us now take a closer look at the im aginary parts of the isovector form factors $\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{V}}$ ( t ) (for sim ilar discussions, see refs. [4 2,4.6,4.7]). A s rst observed by Frazer and Fulco [4.8] and discussed in detail by $H$ ohler and $P$ ietarinen in connection $w$ th the nucleon electrom agnetic radii [4.9], Im $\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t})$ exhibits a very strong enhancem ent close
to threshold, $t_{0}=4 M^{2}$ (the two\{pion cut). To be speci $c$, consider $F_{2}^{V}$ ( $t$ ). At low m om entum transfer, its absonptive part is dom inated by diagram $s$ like the one show $n$ in g.4.1, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{V}(t)=\frac{2 q_{t}^{3}}{(1 \quad) \quad p_{t}} \quad(t) \quad \frac{1}{m} f_{+}^{1}(t)+\frac{p^{1}}{2} f^{1}(t) \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $q_{t}=P \overline{t=4 \quad M^{2}}, F$ ( $t$ ) the pion charge form factor and $f^{1}(t)$ the $P$ \{ ave $N$ partialw aves in the $t\{$ channel. T he latter are calculated from the standard $N$ am plitudes A and B via projection involving ordinary Legendre polynom ials [4.10]. In this procedure, the nucleon pole tem of the $N$ am plitudes proportionalto $1=\left(\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ gives rise to Legendre functions of the second kind, $Q_{L}(Z)$, which have logarithm ic singularities and a cut along $1<Z<1$. Consequently, if one continues the partial waves $f^{\prime}(t)$ to the secondpsheet, they have a logarithm icbranch point at $Z=\cos t=m=\left(p_{t}\right)=1$, $w$ ith $p_{t}=t=4 \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and $=\left(t \quad 2 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)=4 \mathrm{~m}$. This translates into

$$
t_{c}=4 M^{2} \quad M^{4}=m^{2}=3: 98 M^{2}
$$

very close to the physical threshold at $t_{0}=4 M^{2}$. The isovector form factors $F_{1 ; 2} V_{\text {( }}(t)$ inherit this logarithm ic singularily (branch point) on the second $R$ iem ann sheet. A ctually, the sam e phenom enon occurs in the scalar form factor of the nucleon (for m ore details, see ref.[4.10]) . N aturally, one asks the question w hether this phenom enon show $s$ up in the chiral expansion. Let us rst consider $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{F}_{2}{ }_{2}(\mathrm{t})$ in the relativistic form ulation ofbaryon CHPT.Follow ing G asser et al. [4.2], one has

$$
\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{V}(t)=\frac{8 g_{A}^{2}}{F^{2}} m^{4} 4 \operatorname{Im} \quad 4(t)+\operatorname{Im} \quad 4(t)
$$

where the lop functions and their im aginary parts 4 and 4 are given in ref.[4 2]. For our purpose, we only need $\mathrm{Im}{ }_{4}(\mathrm{t})$ since its threshold is the two\{pion cut whereas Im 4 ( $t$ ) only starts to contribute at $t=4 m^{2}$. The resulting im aginary part for $\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{V}(t)=t^{2}$ is show $n$ in 9.4 .2 (solid line). O ne sees that the strong increase at threshold is reproduced since the chiral representation of Im 4 ( $t$ ) indeed has the proper analytical structure, i.e. the singularity on the second sheet at $t_{c}$ [42]. T he chiral representation of $\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{V}(t)=t^{2}$ does not stay constant on the left shoulder of the $\{$ resonance but rather drops. This is due to the fact that in the one loop approxim ation, one is only sensitive to the rst term in the chiral expansion of the pion charge form factor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{V}}$ ( t ). Indeed, if one sets F ( t ) 1 in eq.(4.11), the one loop result reproduces nicely the em pirical curve (as discussed in $m$ ore detail in ref.[4.7]). This particular exam ple show $s$ that in

In case of the nucleon scalar form factor, this singularity at $t_{c}$ stem $s$ from the partial $w$ ave am plitude $f_{+}^{0}(\mathrm{t})$.


Fig.4.2: Chiralrepresentation of $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{F}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{t}^{2}$ ( t in units of the pion m ass) in the relativistic form ulation of baryon CHPT [4.2] (solid line) and in the heavy $m$ ass approach (dashed line) [4.3,4.6].
the relativistic version of baryon CHPT the pertinent analytical structures of current and $S$ \{ $m$ atrix elem ents are given correctly.

Let us now tum to the heavy $m$ ass approach. The corresponding im aginary part follow s from ref.[4.3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} F_{2}^{V}(t)=\frac{g_{A}^{2} m}{32 F^{2}{ }^{P} \bar{t}} t \quad 4 M^{2} \quad\left(t \quad 4 M^{2}\right) \tag{4:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, the im aginary part behaves as $q_{t}^{2}$ close to threshold and npt as $q_{t}^{3}$ as dem anded by (4.11). O ne furthem ore nds that $F_{2}^{V}$ (t) goes like $\ln (2 M \quad \bar{t})$ and $t=4 M^{2}$ is a logarithm ic branch point in the heavy $m$ ass approach. T his incorrect analytic structure is an unavoidable consequence of the heavy $m$ ass $\lim$ it ( $m=1$ ), in which the square root branch point $t_{0}$ and the logarithm ic branch point $t_{c}$ (on the second sheet) coincide. $N$ evertheless this leads to an enhancem ent of the im aginary part $\circ f F_{2}^{V}(t)=t^{2}$ as shown by the dashed line in g.42. T he enhancem ent is stronger than in the relativistic case and stronger than the em pirical one (for $F$ ( $t$ ) 1). In order to get the proper separation of the singularities $t_{0}$ and $t_{c}$ one should therefore perform an order $q^{5}$ calculation in the heavy $m$ ass approach.

W e also would like to discuss the im aginary part of the isovector $D$ irac form factor $F_{1}^{V}(t)$. In the heavy $m$ ass approach, it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} F_{1}^{\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{1} \frac{4 \mathrm{M}^{2}=t}{96 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}}{} \mathrm{t} \quad 4 \mathrm{M}^{2}+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\left(5 \mathrm{t} \quad 8 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \tag{4:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can show, that this form is exactly them = 1 lim it of the corresponding expression given in [42]. The im aginary part $\mathrm{Im} \mathrm{F}_{1}^{V}$ ( t ) in the heavy m ass lim it show s an abnorm al threshold behaviour, close to threshold it grow s linear in $q_{\mathbb{I}}$ and not like $q_{t}^{3}$ as dem anded by eq.(4.11). At the $m$ om ent we are not able to give a precise explanation for this phenom enon, but certainly it $m$ ust have to do w ith the coalescence of the two singularities $t_{0}$ and $t_{c}$ and the behaviour of the $t$-channel am plitudes $f^{1}$ in the heavy m ass $\lim$ it. $T$ he $m$ ain lesson to be leamed from this investigation of the im aginary parts is that the heavy $m$ ass form ulation also has its own disadvantages. In the in nite nucleon $m$ ass lim it the analytical structure (poles and cuts) of certain am plitudes $m$ ay be disturbed and this $m$ ay be a hindrance in order to $m$ ake contact to the dispersion theory.

Finally, a few words about the isoscalar form factors are in order. To one loop accuracy, they are determ ined m ostly by som e contact term s. For exam ple, the isoscalar m agnetic m om ent, $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{n}$ followsto be $\mathrm{s}=2 \mathrm{c}_{7}+\mathrm{v}$ (as de ned in $\mathrm{L}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}$ ) and the isoscalar charge radius $<r^{2}>_{1}^{S}$ is determ ined by the nite low energy constant $\mathrm{b}_{9}+2 \widetilde{\mathrm{~b}}_{9}$ (cf. eq.(323)). To access the three pion\{cut, at which the absonptive parts of the isoscalar form factors start, one has to perform a two loop calculation. Such a two loop calculation will also answer the yet unresolved question whether or not in the isoscalar channel there is an enhancem ent around $t=9 M^{2}$. State of the art dispersion theoretical analyses of the nucleon form factors assum e only a set of poles in the corresponding spectral distributions [4.10]. Finally, we w ish to stress that in this context the m atching form alism discussed in ref.[4.3] starts to play a role (w hich allow s to relate $m$ atrix (elem ents in the heavy $m$ ass and relativistic form ulation of C HPT) since ultim ately one $m$ ight want to com bine the chiral constraints $w$ ith dispersion theory.

## IV .2. NUCLEON COMPTON SCATTERING

Low energy C om pton scattering of the nucleon is particularly well suited to investigate the structure of the nucleon since the electrom agnetic probe in the initial and in the nalstate is wellunderstood. In th is section, wew ill rst discuss the general form alism of C om pton scattering and then elaborate on the nucleon structure as encoded in the so\{called electrom agnetic (C om pton) polarizabilities (; ) and the spin-dependent polarizability ( ). W e will only consider Com pton scattering which allow sfor a unique eld \{theoretical de nition and em pirical extraction of these quantities. W e eschew here the com $m$ only used but theoretically uncertain non \{relativistic treatm ent of these nucleon structure constants.*
$T$ he $T-m$ atrix for the process $(k)+p(p)!\quad\left(k^{0}\right)+p\left(p^{0}\right)$ in the gauge $0=0=0$ for realphotons, $k=0=0 \quad \xi_{\text {, }}$ and in the centre\{of\{m ass system $k_{0}=k_{0}^{0}=!$ and



* W e thus drop the overbar which is frequently used to denote the Com pton polarizabilities.
using the operator basis of ref.[4.12]. T he $A_{i}$ are realbelow the pion production threshold, ! < M . From these, one can directly calculate physical obervables like the unpolarized di erential cross section as well as a set of asym $m$ etries for scattering polarized photons on polarized protons. The unpolarized di erential cross section in the om system is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \mathrm{~cm}} & =\frac{{ }^{2} m}{m+2 E} \frac{1}{2} A_{1}^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} A_{3}^{2}(3 \quad \cos )+!^{2} \sin ^{2} 4 A_{3} A_{6} \\
& +\left(A_{3} A_{4}+2 A_{3} A_{5} \quad A_{1} A_{2}\right) \cos +!^{4} \sin ^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} A_{2}^{2} \sin ^{2}+\frac{1}{2} A_{4}^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)  \tag{4:17}\\
& +A_{5}^{2}\left(1+2 \cos ^{2}\right)+3 A_{6}^{2}+2 A_{6}\left(A_{4}+3 A_{5}\right) \cos +2 A_{4} A_{5} \cos ^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $=e^{2}=4$. The asym $m$ etry for scattering circular polarized photons on polarized protons $A_{k}$ (i.e. the proton spin parallel or antiparallel to the photon direction $\widetilde{K}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A_{k}=\frac{d n "}{d c m} \frac{d n \#}{d c m}=\frac{2^{2} m}{m+2 E} \quad A_{3}^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad A_{1} A_{3}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right) \\
!^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad A_{6}\left(A_{1}+3 A_{3}\right)+\left(3 A_{3} A_{5} \quad A_{1} A_{5}+A_{3} A_{4} \quad A_{2} A_{3}\right) \cos  \tag{4:18}\\
\left.!^{4} \sin ^{2} \quad A_{5}\left(A_{2} \quad A_{4}\right) \sin ^{2}+4 A_{5} A_{6} \cos +2 A_{6}^{2}+2 A_{5}^{2} \cos ^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Furthem ore, we de ne the penpendicular asym m etry A ? by considering right-circularly polarized photons $m$ oving in the $z$-direction scattering on protons $w$ ith their spin pointing in positive or negative $x$-direction, $\tilde{k}^{0}=!(\sin \cos ; \sin \sin ; \cos )$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{?}=\frac{d_{n!}!}{d_{c m}} \frac{d_{n}}{d_{c m}}=\frac{2^{2} m}{m+2 E} \quad A_{3}\left(A_{3} \quad A_{1}\right) \cos +!^{2}\left(A_{1} A_{5}+A_{2} A_{3}\right) \sin ^{2} \\
& +\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{4}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{5}\left(3 \cos ^{2} \quad 1\right)+2 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~A}_{6} \cos  \tag{4:19}\\
& +!^{4} \sin ^{2} \quad A_{6}\left(A_{2}+A_{4} \quad 2 A_{5}\right)+A_{5}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A_{2} & A_{4} & \left.2 A_{5}\right) \cos \quad \sin \\
\cos
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

is (in coordinate-free language) the azim uthal angle (around the axis de ned by the photon $m$ om entum ) $m$ easured $w$ th respect to the plane spanned by the photon $\mathrm{mo-}$ $m$ entum and the nucleon spin. C learly, if one changes the di erence in eqs. $(4.18,4.19)$ to a sum one gets in both case just tw ice the unpolarized cross section. Letting the nucleon spin point in $y$-direction results in cos ! $\sin$ in (4.19). If one uses left circular polarized photons instead of right circular polarized ones, then both asym $m$ etries eqs. $(4.18,4.19)$ change sign. O ne can also de ne a general asym $m$ etry, which $m$ eans right \{ circularly polarized photonsm oving in $z$-direction scatter on polarized protons and
 di erence of cross section for this con guration and the one w ith reversed proton spin. $T$ he corresponding asym $m$ etry reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
A()=\cos A_{k}+\sin A_{?} \quad: \tag{4:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ( ) gives the asym $m$ etry for the $m$ ost general spin alignm ent con guration.
In forw ard direction, the $C$ om pton scattering am plitude takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{1}{4} T(!)=f_{1}\left(!^{2}\right) \sim 0 \quad \sim+i!_{2} f!^{2}\right) \sim \quad q \quad \sim\right) \tag{4:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the spin $\left\{\right.$ non ip $\left(f_{1}(!)\right)$ and the spin $\left\{\right.$ ip $\left(f_{2}(!)\right)$ am plitudes have the low energy expansions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{1}\left(!^{2}\right)=f_{1}(0)+(+)!^{2}+O\left(!^{4}\right) \\
& f_{2}\left(!^{2}\right)=f_{2}(0)+!^{2}+O\left(!^{4}\right) \tag{4:22}
\end{align*}
$$

in term sof the electric ( ), the $m$ agnetic ( ) and the so \{called "spin \{dependent" ( ) polarizabilities. The Taylor coe cient $f_{1}(0)$ is given by gauge invariance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(0)=\frac{e^{2} Z^{2}}{4 m} \tag{4:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that very soft photons only probe globalproperties like the charge (Z ) and the $m$ ass $m$ of the spin $\{1 / 2$ particle they scatter 0 . Eq. (4.23) constitutes a venerable low \{energy theorem (LET ). T here exists also a LET for the Taylor coe cient $\mathrm{f}_{2}(0)$ due to Low, G elli M ann and G oldberger [4.13]. U sing gauge invariance, Lorentz invariance and crossing sym m etry, they proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(0)=\frac{e^{2}{ }^{2}}{8 m^{2}} \tag{4:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here denotes the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of the spin $\{1 / 2$ particle the photon scatters o. The nucleon structure rst show sup in the the next \{ to \{leading order term s param etrized in tem s of the various polarizabilities. U sing the optical theorem, one can derive the follow ing sum rules

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ref}_{1}(!) & =\frac{e^{2} Z^{2}}{4 m} \frac{!^{2}}{2^{2}} P^{Z_{1}} d!\frac{0 \text { tot }\left(!{ }^{0}\right)}{!^{0}!^{2}} \\
+ & =\frac{1}{4^{2}}{ }^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{!^{2}}[+(!)+(!)]  \tag{4:25}\\
& =\frac{1}{4^{2}}!_{0} \frac{d!}{!^{3}}[+(!)
\end{align*}
$$


(!) the photoabsonption cross section for scattering circularly polarized photons on polarized nucleons for total $N$ helicity $3 / 2$ and $1 / 2$, respectively. ! $0=M+M^{2}=2 m$ is the single pion production threshold. A ssum ing furtherm ore that the amplitude $f_{2}(!)$ satis es an unsubtracted dispersion relation, D rell, H eam and G erasim ov (D H G ) deríved the sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(0)=Z_{0}^{Z} \frac{d!}{!}[\quad(!) \quad+(!)]=\frac{e^{2}}{2 m^{2}}: \tag{4:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can generalize this to the case of virtual photons ( $\left.\mathrm{k}^{2}<0\right)$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(k^{2}\right)=Z_{!}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{!}\left[\left(!; k^{2}\right)+\left(!; k^{2}\right)\right] \tag{4:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ! $0_{0}=M+\left(M^{2} \quad k^{2}\right)=2 m$. This extended DHG sum nule will be discussed later on.

W e tum now to the calculation of the om sam plitudes in heavy baryon CHPT (the polarizabilties to this order are discussed for the relativistic approach in ref.[4.15] and for the heavy $m$ ass calculation in [4.3]). For that, one has to consider nucleon-pole graphs ( expanded up to $1=\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), ${ }^{0}$ - exchange and pion loop diagram s . It is im portant to note that to this order the only contact term sentering are the ones which generate the anom alousm agnetic $m$ om ent. T he prediction for the various nucleon polarizabilities will therefore be given entirely in term s of low est order param eters. C onsequently, one has a particularly sensitive test of the chiral dynam ics in the presence of nucleons. For the invariant functions $A_{i}$ one nds (we only give the results for the proton)

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{2}=\frac{1}{m!^{2}}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8 F^{2}} \frac{t \quad 2 M^{2}}{(t)^{\beta=2}} \int_{0}^{1} d z \arctan \frac{(1 \quad z)^{p-}-t}{2^{P} \overline{M^{2}}!^{2} z^{2}} \quad \frac{\left.2(1 \quad z)^{p} \overline{t\left(!^{2} z^{2}\right.} M^{2}\right)}{4 M^{2}} 4!^{2} z^{2} \quad t\left(1 \quad z^{\gamma}\right)  \tag{4:28b}\\
& A_{3}=\frac{!}{2 m^{2}} 1+2 \quad\left(1+f \cos +\frac{g_{A} t!}{8^{2} F^{2}\left(M^{2} \quad t\right)}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8^{2} F^{2}} \frac{M^{2}}{!} \arcsin ^{2} \frac{!}{M} \quad!\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4^{2} F^{2}}!^{4} \sin ^{2} \int_{0}^{Z} d x \int_{0}^{Z} d z \frac{x(1}{} \quad x\right) z\left(1 \quad z^{3}\right) ~ \operatorname{Wrcsin} \frac{!z}{R}+\frac{!z W}{R^{2}} \tag{4:28c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{4}=\frac{(1+)^{2}}{2 m^{2}!}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4^{2} F^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{z(1 \quad z)}{W} \arcsin \frac{!z}{R}  \tag{4:28d}\\
& A_{5}=\frac{(1+)^{2}}{2 m^{2}!} \quad \frac{g_{A}!}{8^{2} F^{2}\left(M^{2} \quad t\right)}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8^{2} F^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z \quad \frac{(1 \quad z)^{2}}{W} \arcsin \frac{!z}{R}  \tag{4:28e}\\
& +2!^{2} \cos \frac{x(1 \quad x) z(1 \quad z}{W^{3}} W^{3} \quad \arcsin \frac{!z}{R}+\frac{!z W}{R^{2}} \\
& A_{6}=\frac{1+}{2 m^{2}!}+\frac{g_{A}!}{8{ }^{2} F^{2}\left(M^{2} \quad t\right)}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8^{2} F^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{(1 \quad z)^{2}}{W} \arcsin \frac{!z}{R} \\
& \left.2!^{2} \frac{x(1}{} \quad x\right) z(1 \quad z) ~\left(W^{3} \quad \arcsin \frac{!z}{R}+\frac{!z W}{R^{2}}\right. \tag{4:28f}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.W=P \overline{M^{2}} \quad!^{2} z^{2}+t(1 \quad z)^{2} x(x \quad 1) ; ~ R=P \overline{M^{2}+t(1} \quad z\right)^{2} \times(x \quad 1) ~ \tag{4:28~g}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, one can read o the polarizabilties as [4.3,4.15]

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=n=10_{p}=10{ }_{n}=\frac{5 e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{384^{2} F^{2} M} \\
& p=n=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{96^{3} F^{2} M^{2}} \tag{4:29}
\end{align*}
$$

since the isospin factors in the diagram scontributing to , and are the sam efor the proton and the neutron. Before discussing the num erical results for the cross sections, asym $m$ etries and polarizabilities, let us com pare to the recent enum eration of third $\{$ order spin polarizabilities by $R$ agusa [4.16]. D enoting by $A_{i}$ the $C$ om pton am plitudes w ith the B om term s subtracted, we can identify

$$
\begin{array}{r}
a_{1 ; 1}+a_{1 ; 2}+a_{3}(0)=\frac{e^{2}}{8} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@!^{2}} A_{1}(0 ; 0)=p+p=\frac{11 e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{768^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{M}} \\
a_{1 ; 1}+a_{3}(0)=\frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{@}{@ t} A_{1}(0 ; 0)=p=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{768^{2} F^{2} \mathrm{M}} \\
a_{3}(0)=\frac{e^{2}}{4} A_{2}(0 ; 0)=\quad p=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{768^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{M}} \tag{4:30c}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2 ; 2}+1 \quad 2 \quad 2{ }_{4}=\frac{e^{2}}{24} \frac{\varrho^{3}}{@!A^{3}} A_{3}(0 ; 0)==\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{96{ }^{3} F^{2} M^{2}}  \tag{4:30d}\\
a_{2 ; 2} \quad 2 \quad 2{ }_{4}=\frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@!@ t} A_{3}(0 ; 0)=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}}{16^{3} F^{2} M^{2}}  \tag{4:30e}\\
3=\frac{e^{2}}{4} \frac{@}{@!} A_{6}(0 ; 0)=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}\left(12+g_{A}\right)}{384^{3} F^{2} M^{2}}  \tag{4:30f}\\
2=\frac{e^{2}}{4} \frac{@}{@!} A_{4}(0 ; 0)=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2}}{192^{3} F^{2} M^{2}}  \tag{4:30g}\\
4=  \tag{4:30h}\\
\frac{e^{2}}{4} \frac{@}{@!} A_{5}(0 ; 0)=\frac{e^{2} g_{A}\left(12+g_{A}\right)}{384^{3} F^{2} M^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

O fparticular interest is the so-called C om pton am plitude $f_{E E}^{1}$ recently studied in [4.89] (and references therein) which displays a strong unitarity cusp. W e like to discuss it brie y here. The set ofC om pton functions introduced in (4.16) is com plete, consequently one can project out $f_{E E}^{1}$ via

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
f_{E E}^{1}(!)= & \frac{e^{2} m}{32\left(!+P^{P} m^{2}+!^{2}\right)}  \tag{4:31}\\
& { }^{1} d \\
& \left(A_{1} \quad A_{3}\right)\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)+!^{2}\left(2 A_{5}+A_{4}\right.
\end{array} A_{2}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The soft photon lim it in this case is $f_{E E}^{1}(0)=e^{2}=(12 \mathrm{~m})$. Evidently, the one-loop representation has a branch point at $!=M$ and therefore also a cusp. The num erical investigation indeed show s this cusp but it tums out to be rather w eak at one-loop order $O\left(q^{3}\right)$.

W e now discuss the num erical results based on the one\{loop (order $q^{3}$ ) invariant am plitudes, eqs. (4.28). First, if we set $g_{A}=0$, only the nucleon B om graphs expanded in powers of $1=\mathrm{m}$ contribute. For energies below the pion production threshold, the corresponding di erential cross section is within a few peroent of the exact P ow ell cross section [4.17],

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d_{\text {lab }}^{\text {Pow ell }}} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{E^{0}}{m E} \quad \frac{E}{E^{0}}+\frac{E^{0}}{E} \sin ^{2} L+\frac{2 E E^{0}}{m^{2}}\left(1 \quad \cos L_{L}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{{ }^{2} E E^{0}}{m^{2}} 4\left(1 \quad \cos L_{L}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad \cos L_{L}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{{ }^{3} E E^{0}}{m^{2}} 2\left(1 \quad \cos L_{L}\right)+\sin ^{2} L+\frac{{ }^{4} E E^{0}}{2 m^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{2}{ }_{L}\right)  \tag{4:32}\\
E^{0} & =\frac{E}{1+\frac{E}{m}\left(1 \quad \cos L_{L}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 4.3: The unpolarized data from ref.[4.18] in com parison to the chiralexpansion of the $C$ om pton am plitude for $\mathrm{L}=135 . \mathrm{T}$ he dashed, dash $\{$ dotted and solid lines represent the B om, Bom $+{ }^{0}$ \{exchange and Bom $+{ }^{0}$ \{exchange + loop results. If one approxim ates the loop contribution by the electrom agnetic polarizabilities as described in eq. (4.33), the dotted line results.
where the scattering angle L and the photon energy E in the laboratory system are related to the am squantities and! via cos $=(m+(m+E)(\cos L \quad 1))=(m+E \quad(1$ $\left.\cos L_{L}\right)$ and $!=E=\overline{1+2 \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{m}}$, respectively.

The chiral expansion to order $q^{3}$ (solid line) reproduces w ell the di erential cross section data of Federspiel et al.[4.18] as shown in g.4.3. (the corresponding results for $\mathrm{L}=60$ look very sim ilar). In this gure it is also shown that the B om graphs together $w$ th the ${ }^{0}$ \{exchange contribution are not su cient to describe the data. H ow ever, as indicated by the dotted line in g.4.3, one is not sensitive to nucleon structure e ects beyond the electrom agnetic polarizabilities (the $1=\mathrm{M}$-tem s). In this latter case, the loop contributions to the $A_{i}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{\text {loop }}=\frac{5 g_{A}^{2}}{96 \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{M}}!^{2} 1+\frac{1}{10} \cos ; A_{2}^{\text {loop }}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{192 \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{M}} ; \mathrm{A}_{3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6}^{\text {loop }}=0: \tag{4:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew illdiscuss these polarizabilities in $m$ ore detail later on. If one adds the contribution from static exchange (which starts at order $q^{4}$ ) the corrections are not dram atic. In
any case, for a truely $m$ eaningfiul com parison one would have to take into account a host of other $q^{4}$ term $s$. The parallel asym $m$ etry generically changes sign around 90 degrees from negative to positive values as show n in g .4 .4 for $\mathrm{E}=70 \mathrm{MeV}$. T his is not at all evident from eq.(4.18) since there is no overallcos \{factor. For the sam e photon energy, we also show the penpendicular asym $m$ etry in g.4.4. In both cases, the e ects from the nucleon structure encoded in the loop contributions of the $A_{i}$ are sm all. O nly for energies $!>100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ one is som ew hat sensitive to these structure term s . From an experim ental point of view, only an extrem ely precise $m$ easurem ent of such asym $m$ etries could shed light on the nucleon structure. A n accuracy as for the unpolarized case [4.17] is certainly insu cient. Ifone trusts the $q^{3}$ approxim ation up to the pion production threshold, one nds agreem ent w th the few Saskatoon data [4.18] in this range. In this energy range, loop e ects are m ore signi cant and could be detected experim entally. H ow ever, the com peting contribution from the (and possible other $q^{4}$ e ects) becom es appreciable and $m$ akes the analysis of such data $m$ uch less clear\{cut.

Let us now take a closer look at the electrom agnetic polarizabilities of the proton and the neutron. These have been rather accurately determ ined over the last years. For the proton, if one combines the Illinois [4.18], M ainz [420] and Saskatoon [4.19] $m$ easurem ents together $w$ ith the dispersion sum rule, $(+)_{p}=(14: 2 \quad 0: 3) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ [421], one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=(10: 4 \quad 0: 6) \quad 18 \mathrm{fm}^{3} ; \quad p=(3: 8 \quad 0: 6) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3} \quad: \tag{4:34a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the dispersion sum rule $(+)_{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}15: 8 & 0: 5\end{array}\right) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}{ }^{3}$ [422] together w th the recent O ak R idge [4.23] and M ainz [4.24] m easurem ents leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=(12: 3 \quad 1: 3) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=(3: 5 \quad 1: 3) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3} \quad: \tag{4:34b}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that we have added the system atic and statisticalerrors of the em piricaldeterm inations in quadrature. T he salient features of these experim ental results are that both the proton and the neutron behave essentially as induced electric dipoles and that their respective sum $s$ of the electric and $m$ agnetic polarizabilities are alm ost the sam e . We should also point out that due to the strong m agnetic M 1 N transition, one naívely expects a large contribution from the resonance to the $m$ agnetic polarizabilities. T hese em pirical features are already well represented by the low est order ( $q^{3}$ ) results (4 29), i.e. $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=13: 6 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=1: 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$. It is also worth to stress that the electrom agnetic polarizabilities explode as $1=\mathrm{M}$ in the chiral lim it since the photon sees the long\{ranged pion cloud (com pare the chiral lim it behaviour of the isovector form factors discussed in section 4.1). H ow ever, at this order one has no isospin breaking (if one works in avor SU (2)) and solely nucleon interm ediate states can contribute in the

* Notioe that the uncertainty on the sum rule value for the neutron is presum ably underestim ated since one has to use deuteron data to extract the photon-neutron cross section.


Fig. 4.4: The parallel and perpendicular asym $m$ etries for $\mathrm{E}=70 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. For notations,see g.4.3. In case of A? we have set cos $=1$.
pertinent graphs. In ref.[425], a system atic analysis of allo ( $q^{4}$ ) e ects was presented. In addition to the one\{loop diagram $s w$ ith insertions from $L{ }_{N}^{(1)}$, one also has to include one loop graphs w ith exactly one insertion from $L{ }_{N}^{(2)}$ and contact term $s$ from $L{ }_{N}^{(4)}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{e^{2}}{2 e^{2}}\left(n^{+} \quad p \quad f^{+} f_{+} H V V\right.  \tag{4:35}\\
& \overline{2 e^{2}}\left(n^{+} n_{n}\right) H \operatorname{Tr}\left(f^{+} f_{+}\right) v v
\end{align*}
$$

The unknown coe cients we have to determ ine are the four low -energy constants $n, p$ and $n$ (see below). The contact term $s$ of order $q^{2}$ entering ( $c_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ ) have
already been determ ined (see section 3). From that, one derives the follow ing form ulae for the electric and $m$ agnetic polarizabilities of the proton and the neutron ( $i=p ; n$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& i=\frac{5 C g_{A}^{2}}{4 M}+\frac{C}{x_{i} g_{A}^{2}} \frac{G}{m} \ln \frac{M}{C}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{y_{i} g_{A}^{2}}{2 m} \quad 6 G+C^{+}+\underset{i}{r}() ; \\
& { }_{i}=\frac{C g_{A}^{2}}{8 M}+\frac{C}{} \frac{3 x_{i}^{0} g_{A}^{2}}{m} \quad \subset \ln \frac{M}{d}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{y_{i}^{0} g_{A}^{2}}{m}+2 C_{2} \quad \text { さ } \quad+\underset{i}{r}(): \tag{4:36}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{96^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}}=4: 36 \quad 1 \theta \mathrm{fm}^{2} ; \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}=9 ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=3 ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}}=71 ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}=39 ; \\
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0}=3+\mathrm{s} ; \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}=1 \quad \mathrm{~s} ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0}=\frac{37}{2}+6 \mathrm{~s} ; \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}=\frac{13}{2} \quad 6 \mathrm{~s} ;  \tag{4:37}\\
& \mathrm{c}^{+}=8 \mathrm{q}+4 \mathrm{c}_{2}+4 \mathrm{c}_{3} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m}}:
\end{align*}
$$

At this order, the loop contributions to the polarizabilities contain divergences w hich can be absorbed in the $q^{4}$ counter term $s$. The corresponding renorm alization prescription reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{e^{2} L}{6 F^{2}} C_{2} \frac{x_{i} g_{A}^{2}}{m}+\underset{i}{r}() ; \quad i=\frac{e^{2} L}{6 F^{2}} C_{2} \frac{3 x_{i}^{0} g_{A}^{2}}{m}+{\underset{i}{r}()}_{r}^{r} \tag{4:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he results show $n$ in eqs. (4.37) have the follow ing structure. B esides the leading $1=\mathrm{M}$ term [4.3,4.15], O ( $\mathrm{q}^{4}$ ) contributions from the loops have a $\ln M$ and a constant piece
$\mathrm{M}^{0}$. A s a check one can recover the coe cient of the $\ln \mathrm{M}$ term form the relativistic calculation [14.15] if one sets the new low energy constants $C_{i}$ and $s ; v=0$. In that case only the $1=m$ corrections of the relativistic $D$ irac form ulation are treated and one necessarily reproduces the corresponding non \{analytic (logarithm ic) term of this approach. $T$ he term proportional to $c_{2} \ln M$ in eqs. (4.37) represents the ect of (pion) loops w ith interm ediate (1232) states [426] consistently truncated at order $q^{4}$. W e should stress that the decom position of the loop and counter term pieces at order $q^{4}$ has, of course, no deeper physical $m$ eaning but $w i l l$ serve us to separate the uncertainties stem $m$ ing from the coe cients accom panying the various contact term s . N otioe that from now on we will om it the superscript ' $r$ ' on $\quad{ }_{i}^{r}()$ and ${ }_{i}^{r}()$ appearing in eqs. (4.36,4.38). $T$ he estim ation of these low energy constants is discussed in great detail in ref.[427]. $T$ he (1232) enters prom inently in the determ ination of the four low -energy constants from $L{ }_{N}^{(4)}$. Therefore, we will determ ine these coe cients at the scale $=\mathrm{m}$ (th is induces som e spurious scale\{dependence since we do not treat the rem ainders as e.g. in eq.(2.52)). In particular, one gets a sizeable contribution to the $m$ agnetic polarizabilities due to the strong N M 1 transition. A crude estim ate of this has been given in
ref.[4 28] by integrating the M 1 part of the totalphotoproduction cross section for single pion photoproduction over the resonance region. H ow ever, this num ber is a icted w ith a large uncertainty. If one sim ply uses the B om diagram $s w$ ith an interm ediate point \{ like , one nds a result which strongly depends on the strength of the $N$ coupling and on the $\circ$ \{shell param eter $Y$ which is related to the electrom agnetic interaction $\mathrm{L}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \quad$ (we also give $\mathrm{L}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}$ used below) [4.32]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{\text { ieg }_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \quad \text { (Y) } \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \quad+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}: \\
& \mathrm{L}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{\mathrm{eg}_{2}}{4 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \text { (X) }{ }_{5} \mathrm{~T}_{3} \text { (@) } \mathrm{F} \quad+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:  \tag{4:39}\\
& (I)=g+\frac{1}{2}(1+4 I) A+I ; \quad I=X ; Y
\end{align*}
$$

where denotes the Rarita $\left\{\right.$ Schw inger (spin $\left\{3 / 2\right.$ ) spinor, the $T^{0}$ S are the isospin $1=2$ ! $3=2$ transition operators. The param eter A does not appear in any physical observable and can therefore be chosen to be $A=1$. These param eters ( $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{g}_{2} ; \mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y}$ ) are not very well determ ined. A conservative estim ate therefore is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~m})=\mathrm{n}^{(m \quad)^{\prime}(7: 0 \quad 7: 0) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3} .} \tag{4:40}
\end{equation*}
$$

invoking isospin sym $m$ etry. C learly, the large range in the value for is unsatisfactory and induces a m a jor uncertainty in the determ ination of the corresponding countertem s . W e choose the central value of eq.(4.40) as our best determ ination [4.25,4.27]. In ref. [4 29], the (1232) was included in the e ective eld theory as a dynam ical degree of freedom and treated non \{relativistically (like the nucleon). There, it w as argued that the Bom graphs have to be calculated at the 0 \{shell point! $=0$. This e ect can reduce the large by alm ost an order of magnitude. This is rem iniscent of the o \{ shell dependence discussed before. Furthem ore, as already pointed out in ref.[4.15], the relativistic treatm ent of the (1232) also induces a nite electric polarizability at order $q^{4}$. This contribution depends strongly on the $N$ couplings $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ as well as the tw $\circ \circ$ \{shell param eters $X$; $Y$, cf. eq.(4.39). W e thus assign an unœertainty of 210 $\mathrm{fm}^{3}$ to the theoretical predictions for the electric polarizabilities. A nother contribution to the coe cients $i()$ and $i()$ com es from loops involving charged kaons [4.30]. Since we are working in SU (2), the kaons and etas are frozen out and e ectively give som e nite contact term s. These have been estim ated in refs.[4 25,4 27]. O ne nds ${ }_{p}^{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{m})=1: 31 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$ and ${ }_{n}^{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{m})=0: 13 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}$. The corresponding num bers for the kaon contributions to the $m$ agnetic polarizabilities are a factor 0:12 sm aller. T hese values $m$ ight, how ever, considerably overestim ate the kaon loop contribution. Integrating e.g. the data from ref.[4.31] for $\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{K}{ }^{0}$, one gets a much sm aller contribution since the typical cross sections are of the order of a few bam. This points tow ards the im portance of a better understanding of SU (3) breaking e ects. At present,
this discrepancy rem ains to be resolved. A dding the various theoretical uncertainties in quadrature, one ends up with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{p}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
10: 5 & 2: 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathrm{n}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
13: 4 & 1: 5
\end{array}\right)  \tag{4:41}\\
& \mathrm{fm}^{3} \\
& 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3}
\end{align*} \quad \mathrm{p}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n} & =(7: 8 & 3: 6) \\
3: 6) & 10 \mathrm{fm}^{3} \\
18 \mathrm{fm}^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which w the exception of $n$ agree well $w$ th the em pirical data (4.33,4.34). The im portant new e ect is that the loops of order $q^{4}$ generate a $\ln M$ term $w$ ith a large coe cient (for $p$ ) which cancels $m$ ost of the big contribution from the encoded in the coe cients of the $L{ }_{N}^{(4)}$ contact term s . In case of the neutron, the coe cient in front of the $\ln M$ \{term is sm aller. $T$ his points tow ards the possible im portance of isospin $\{$ breaking in the p and n couplings or in the o \{shell param eter Y (for which at present we have no em pirical indication). C learly, an independent determ ination of the electric and $m$ agnetic nucleon polarizabilities would be needed to further tighten the em piricalbounds on these fundam ental quantities. This was also stressed in ref.[429]. It is worth to point out that the uncertainties given in (4.41) do not include e ects of tw o (and higher) loops which start out at order $q^{5} . W$ e do not expect these to alter the prediction for the electric polarizabilities signi cantly [4.25]. N otice also that at present the theoretical uncertainties are larger than the experim ental ones (if one im poses the sum rules for ( + )). That there is $m$ ore spread in the em pirical num bers $w$ hen the dispersion sum rules are not used can e.g. be seen in the paper of Federspiel et al. in ref.[4.18]. The role of dispersion theory and its interplay to the chiral perturbation theory results is dicussed in refs.[4.33]

In ref.[427], the spin \{averaged forw ard Com pton am plitude $A_{p ; n}(!)=4$ f (!) was com pared with the available data [4.21,4.34]. To lowest order $q^{3}$ in the chiral expansion, the expressions for $A_{p ; n}$ (!) diverge at ! = M . $T$ his is an artefact of the heavy $m$ ass expansion. The realistic branch point coincides $w$ th the opening of the one\{pion channel as given after eq.(425). To cure this, one introduces the variable
$\left.=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{1+\mathrm{M}=2 \mathrm{~m}}=\frac{!}{\mathrm{M}(1+\mathrm{M}}=2 \mathrm{~m}\right)=\frac{!}{!_{0}}$. In term s of , the branch point sits at its proper location and $A_{p ; n}(=1)$ is nite. $W$ e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{p ; n}(!)=\frac{e^{2}}{2 m}\left(\begin{array} { l l } 
{ 1 } & { 1 ) }
\end{array} \quad 4 \left(+p_{n}!^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2} M}{8 F^{2}} \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{2}+1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p} \overline{1 r^{2}}+\frac{1}{-} \arcsin +\frac{11}{24}{ }^{2} \\
& +\frac{e^{2} g_{A}^{2} M^{2}}{8^{2} \mathrm{mF}^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{10}{3}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{-} \quad 4+\sum_{1}^{2} \quad \overline{r^{2}} \arcsin  \tag{4:42}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \arcsin +\frac{11}{24}{ }^{2} \frac{(1}{2^{2} p}{ }^{2} \frac{\left.{ }^{2}\right)^{2}+1}{1^{2}} \quad \frac{3}{2}+2 \frac{3}{2} s+\frac{13}{6} \\
& +\frac{1}{(2+s)} \begin{array}{l}
p \\
1 \\
2 \\
\arcsin
\end{array}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{~s} \arcsin ^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the '+ /'s sign refers to the proton/neutron, respectively. The proper analytic pontinuation above the branch point $=1$ is obtained through the substitutions $\overline{12}=\underset{i}{2} 1$ and $\arcsin ==2+i \ln (+\overline{2} 1) . W$ e should stress that in the relativistic form ulation ofbaryon CHPT such problem sconceming the branch point do not occur [4.15]. In the heavy $m$ ass form ulation one encounters this problem since the branch point ! o itself has an expansion in $1=\mathrm{m}$ and is thus di erent in CHPT at order $q^{3}$ and $q^{4}$. A s shown in ref.[427], the spin \{averaged forw ard $C$ om pton am plitude for the proton is in agreem ent with the data up to photon energies!' $M$. It is dom inated by the quadratic contribution, i.e. to order $q^{4}$ in the chiral expansion the term soforder $!^{4}$ (and higher) are sm all. Sim ilar trends are found for the neutron $w$ ith the exception of a too strong curvature at the origin. For the proton, the real and im aginary parts of A (!) for > 1 have also been calculated. The im aginary part starts out negative as it should but becom es positive at ! ' 180 M eV . This is due to the truncation of the chiral expansion and can only be overcom e by a m ore accurate higher order calculation.

The spin \{dependent polarizability has not yet been m easured. To low est order in the chiral expansion, explodes like $1=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ in the chiral lim it [4.3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~g}_{A}^{2}}{96{ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}=4: 4 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{4} \quad: \tag{4:43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $q^{4}$ and $q^{5}$ corrections to this result have not yet been investigated in a system atic fashion. In ref.[4.3], the contribution from the was added (which starts at order $q^{5}$ ) using the $\circ$ \{shell param eters of ref.[4.35] leading to p ; $=3: 66 \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{4}$, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{n}=\stackrel{1}{n}^{\text {loop }}+{ }_{n}=0: 46 \quad 18 \mathrm{fm}^{4} \text {; } \tag{4:44}
\end{align*}
$$

which is rather di erent from the low est order result, eq.(4.43). O ne can get an estim ate on the em pirical values by use of the dispersion relation (4.25). U sing the latest pion photoproduction $m$ ultipoles from the SA $\mathbb{D}$ data basis, one arrives at [4.36]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=1: 34 \quad 18 \mathrm{fm}^{4} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=0: 38 \quad 18 \mathrm{fm}^{4}: \tag{4:45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num bers given in (4.45) di er from the ones in ref.[4.3] because there an olderversion of the multipoles from the SA $\mathbb{D}$ program was used. The theoretical estim ates (4.44) are am azingly close to the em pirical ones, eq.(4.45). In ref.[4.3], the spin \{dependent polarizabilities were also calculated in the relativistic approach. In that case, even on the level of avor SU (2), one nds som e isospin \{breaking from the one\{loop diagram s.

Finally, we tum to a short discussion of the generalized D H G sum rule (427). M odels for the photoabsonption cross sections [4.37,4.38,4.39] seem to indicate the validity of the DHG sum rule (426) (on the qualitative level). The direct experim ental test of this sum rule has not yet been perform ed. Furtherm ore, the recent EM C m easurem ents
in the scaling region $\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 10 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ indicate that the sum rule behaves a $1=\mathrm{k}^{2}$ as $\mathrm{k}^{2} j$ becom es large and that the sign is opposite to the value at the photon point, $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$. In ref. [4.41], baryon CHPT w as used to investigate the slope of I ( $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ ) around the origin. It $w$ as found to be negative and of sim ilar size to the recently proposed value by So er and Teryaev [4.42], but opposite to the one of ref.[4.39] (which is due to the contribution). At present, experim ental data as well as m ore detailed theoretical investigation are lacking and we refer the interested reader to [4.41] for m ore details.

## IV.3.AXIALPROPERTIESOFTHENUCLEON

In the previous sections, we were concemed w ith the coupling of photons to the nucleon, i.e. pure electrom agnetic processes. W ithin the fram ew ork of the standard model, there also axial currents which can be used as probes. The structure of the nucleon as probed by charged axial currents is encoded in two form factors, the axial and the induced pseudoscalar ones. To be speci $c$, consider the $m$ atrix \{elem ent of the isovector axialquark current, $A^{a}=q \quad 5\left({ }^{a}=2\right) q$, betw een nucleon states

$$
\begin{equation*}
<N\left(p^{0}\right) j A^{a} \mathrm{~N}(p)>=u\left(p^{0}\right) \quad G_{A}(t)+\frac{\left(p^{0} p\right)}{2 m} G_{P}(t) \quad 5 \frac{a}{2} u(p) \tag{4:46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $t=\left(p^{0} \quad p\right)^{2}$ the invariant $m$ om entum transfer squared. The form of eq.(4.46) follow s from Lorentz invariance, isospin conservation and the discrete sym $m$ etries $C$, P and $T$.* $G_{A}(t)$ is called the nucleon axial form factor and $G_{P}(t)$ the induced pseudoscalar form factor. W e rst discuss the axial form factor, which probes the spin $\{$ isospin distribution of the nucleon (since in a non \{relativistic language, this is nothing but the $m$ atrix \{elem ent of the $G$ am ov \{Teller operator ~ ${ }^{a}$ ). The $s m$ all $m$ om entum expansion of the axial form factor takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A}(t)=g_{A} \quad 1+\frac{t}{6}<r_{A}^{2}>+::: \tag{4:47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $g_{A}$ the axial\{vector coupling constant, $g_{A}=12573 \quad 0: 0028[4.42]$, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}=<r_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}>{ }^{1=2}$ the axial root ( m ean-square ( m s ) radius and the ellipsis stands for term squadratic (and $h i g h e r)$ in $t . T$ he axialm s radius can be determ ined from elastic (anti) \{neutrino \{proton scattering or from charged pion electroproduction. W hile the form er $m$ ethod gives $r_{\text {A }}=0: 65 \quad 0: 03 \mathrm{fm}$ [4.43], the latter leads to som ew hat sm aller values 有 $=0: 59 \quad 0: 05$ fm [4.1,4.44,4.49]. This apparent discrepancy $w i l l$ be discussed in the next section. In any case, we note that the typical size of the nucleon when probed w th the weak charged currents is sm aller than the typical electrom agnetic size, $r_{e m}=0: 8 \mathrm{fm}$. There is, of course, no a priori reason why these sizes should coincide. T his hierachy of nucleon

[^10]radii nds a natural explanation in the topological soliton $m$ odel of the nucleon [4.45] since there the electrom agnetic size is proportional to $r_{B}^{2}+6=\mathrm{M}!^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}(0: 8)^{2} \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ whereas the axial radius is roughly given by $r_{B}^{2}+6=(M+M)^{2},(0: 7)^{2} \mathrm{fm}^{2}$, with $r_{B}{ }^{\prime} 0: 5 \mathrm{fm}$ the size related to the distribution of topological charge (baryon num ber). Em pirically, the axial form factor can be rather accurately param etrized by a dipole form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{A}(t)=\frac{g_{A}}{\left(1 \quad t=M_{A}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4:48}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and the cut $\left\{0 \quad \mathrm{mass}_{\mathrm{A}}\right.$ is thus related to the axial m s radius via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{12}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}} \quad: \tag{4:49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In heavy baryon CHPT and to one\{loop accuracy $q^{3}$, the m om entum dependence of the axial form factor is essentially given by som e contact term $s$ (sim ilar to the isoscalar form factors discussed in section 4.1) since the absonptive part of $G_{A}(t)$ starts at the three\{pion cut, $t_{0}=9 M^{2}$ (accesible rst at tw 0 \{loop order),

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{A} & =g_{A} Z_{N}+\frac{M^{2}}{32^{2} F^{2}} g_{A}^{2} \quad 4 \ln \frac{M}{}+g_{A}^{2}+\frac{M^{2}}{4{ }^{2} F^{2}} B_{9}^{r}() \\
<r_{A}^{2}> & =\frac{6}{g_{A}} B_{24} \tag{4:50}
\end{align*}
$$

with $Z_{N}$ the nucleon $Z\left\{\right.$ factor (3.55) and the pertinent counter term $s$ in $L{ }_{N}^{(3)}$ are $O_{9}$ of eq.(3.62) and $\mathrm{B}_{24} \mathrm{HS}$ S $\mathbb{D}$;f H . Stated di erently, to order $\mathrm{q}^{4}$ in heavy baryon $C H P T, G_{A}(t)$ is linear in $t$ since the cut starting at $t_{0}=(3 M)^{2}$ rst shows up in the chiral expansion at tw o\{loop order $O\left(q^{5}\right)$. T herefore, the contribution to order $q^{4} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be polynom ial in $t$. T he one\{loop expression for $G_{A}(t)$ in the relavistic form ulation can be found in ref.[4.46].

C onœeming the induced pseudoscalar form factor, it is generally believed to be understood well in term s of pion pole dom inance as indicated from ordinary muon capture experim ents, +p ! +n (see e.g. refs.[4.47,4.48,4.49]). H ow ever, it now seem $s$ feasible to $m$ easure the induced pseudoscalar coupling constant (the form factor evaluated at $t=0: 88 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ ) within a few percent accuracy via new techniques which allow to m inim ize the unœrtainty in the neutron detection [4.50]. In fact, one is able to calculate this fundam ental quantity within a few percent accuracy by making use of the chiralW ard identities of QCD. The pseudoscalar coupling constant as m easured in ordinary $m$ uon capture is de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{P}=\frac{M}{2 m} G_{P}\left(t=0: 88 M^{2}\right) \quad: \tag{4:51}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he value of $t$ can be understood as follow $s$. If the $m$ uon and the proton are initially at rest, energy and $m$ om entum conservation lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=M^{2} 1 \frac{\left(M+m_{p}\right)^{2} m_{n}^{2}}{M\left(M+m_{p}\right)}=0: 88 M^{2}: \tag{4:52}
\end{equation*}
$$

To accurately predict $g_{p}$ in term s of well\{known physical param eters, we exploit the chiralW ard identity of CCD,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ [q } \left.\quad{ }_{5} \frac{\mathrm{a}}{2} \mathrm{q}\right]=\mathrm{mqi}_{5}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{q} \text { : } \tag{4:53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sandw iching this betw een nucleon states, one obtains [4 2, 4.3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
m G_{A}(t)+\frac{t}{4 m} G_{P}(t)=2 m B m g_{A} \frac{1+g(t)}{M^{2} t} \tag{4:54}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he pion pole in eq.(4.54) originates from the direct coupling of the pseudoscalar density to the pion, eq.(3.86). The residue at the pion pole $t=M^{2}$ is $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{M}^{2}$ as discussed in section 3.7. Furtherm ore, to order $q^{4}, G_{A}(t)$ as well as $g(t)$ are linear in $t$ as discussed above. T herefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m g_{A}+m g_{A} \frac{r_{A}^{2}}{6} t+\frac{t}{4 m} G_{P}(t)=\frac{g_{N} F}{M^{2}} t+g_{N} F+\frac{2 B_{23} M^{2} g_{N}}{F} \tag{4:55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $2 \mathrm{~mA} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{F}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)\right.$ ). At $\mathrm{t}=0$, eq.(4.55) reduces to the $G$ oldberger\{ $T$ reim an discrepancy discussed in section 3.7. $G_{P}(t)$ can now be isolated,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{P}(t)=\frac{4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}} F}{\mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{t}} \quad \frac{2}{3} g_{A} m^{2} r_{A}^{2}+O\left(t ; M^{2}\right) \tag{4:56}
\end{equation*}
$$

A few rem arks are in order. F irst, notioe that only physical and well\{determ ined param eters enter in eq.(4.56). Second, while the rst term on the right\{hand \{side of eq.(4.56) is of order $q^{2}$, the second one is $O\left(q^{0}\right)$ and the corrections not calculated are of order $\mathrm{q}^{2}$. For $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{p}}$, this leads to [4.51]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{P}=\frac{2 M g_{N} F}{M^{2}+0: 88 M^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{3} g_{A} M \quad m r_{A}^{2}: \tag{4:57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, this relation has been derived long tim e ago by A dler and D othan [4.52] w ith the help ofP CAC and by W olfenstein [4.53] using a onœ\{subtracted dispersion relation for the right\{hand\{side of eq.(4.54) (weak PCAC). It is gratifying that the result of refs. [4.52,4.53] can be m ly based on the system atic chiral expansion of low energy QCD G reen functions. In chiralperturbation theory, one could in principle calculate the
corrections to (4.57) by perform ing a tw o\{lop calculation while in A dler and D othan's or $W$ olfenstein's m ethod these either depend (com pletely) on the PCAC assum ption or could only be estim ated. To stress it again, the $m$ ain ingredient to arrive at eq. (4 .57) is the linear $t\left\{\right.$ dependence of $A_{A}(t)$ and $g(t)$. Since we are interested here in a very sm all $m$ om entum transfer, $t=0: 88 M^{2}, 0: 5 M^{2}$, curvature term $s$ of order $t^{2}$ have to be negligible. If one uses for exam ple the dipole param etrization for the axial form factor, eq.(4.48), the $t^{2}$ \{term am ounts to a $13 \%$ correction to the one linear in $t$. C onsequently, our results can also be used in radiative muon capture o hydrogen where the four\{ $m$ om entum transfer varies betw een $M^{2}:::+M^{2}$. U sing now the PDG values [4.42] form, $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{M}+, \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}$ together w ith $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}=13: 31 \quad 0: 34 *$ and $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{A}}$ from the (anti) neutrino \{nucleon scattering experim ents, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}=(8: 89 \quad 0: 23) \quad(0: 45 \quad 0: 04)=8: 44 \quad 0: 23 \quad: \tag{4:58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The uncertainties in eq.(4.58) stem from the range of $g \mathrm{~N}$ and from the one for $r_{A}$ for the rst and second term, in order. For the nal result on $g_{p}$, we have added these uncertainties in quadrature. A m easurem ent with a $2 \%$ accuracy of gp could therefore cleanly separate betw een the pion pole contribution and the im proved C H P T result. This w ould $m$ ean a signi cant progress in our understanding of this fundam ental low \{energy param eter since the presently available determ inations have too large error bars to disentangle these values (see e.g. refs.[4.47,4.49]). In fact, one might tum the argum ent around and eventually use a precise determ ination of $g_{p}$ to get an additional detem ination of the strong pion \{nucleon coupling constant which hasbeen at the center of $m u c h$ controversy over the last years. T he $m$ om entum dependence of $G_{p}(t)$ for $t$ betw een 0:07 and 0:18 G el₹ has recently been m easured [4.49]. T he error bars are, how ever, too large to disentangle betw een the pion pole prediction and the one given in eq. $(4.56)$. A $m$ ore accurate determ ination of the induced pseudoscalar form factor would therefore help to clarify our understanding of the low \{energy structure of Q CD.

## IV.4.THRESHOLDPION PHOTO\{ANDELECTROPRODUCTION

In this section, we will be concemed w ith reactions involving photons, nucleons and pions, i.e. the interplay betw een vector and axial\{vector currents. $T$ his has been a topical eld in particle physics in the late sixties and early seventies before the advent of scaling in deep inelastic scattering, see e.g. ref.[4.1]. H ow ever, over the last few years renew ed interest in the production of pions by real or virtual photons in the threshold region has em erged. This was rst triggered through precise new data on neutral pion photoproduction $[4.54,4.55]$, which lead to a controversy about their theoretical interpretations. Furtherm ore, new precise data on 0 electroproduction [4.56] have given further constraints on the understanding of these fundam ental processes in the non \{ perturbative regim e of Q CD. In fact, as wew ill dem onstrate, chiralperturbation theory $m$ ethods are best suited to analyze these reactions in the threshold region.

[^11]F irst, we have to supply som e basic de nitions. For m ore details, we refer to refs.[4.1,4.46,4.57,4.58]. C onsider the process $(k)+N\left(p_{1}\right)!\quad{ }^{a}(q)+N\left(p_{2}\right)$, w ith $N$ denoting a nucleon (proton or neutron), ${ }^{a}$ a pion $w$ th an isospin index a and the virtual photon with $\mathrm{k}^{2}<0$. In the case of photoproduction (real photons), we have $k^{2}=0$ and $k=0$. A detailed exposition of the corresponding kinem atics can e.g. be found in ref.[4.59]. The pertinent $M$ andelstam variables are $s=\left(p_{1}+k\right)^{2} ; t=\left(p_{1} \quad p_{2}\right)^{2}$ and $u=\left(p_{1} \quad q\right)^{2}$ sub ject to the constraint $s+t+u=2 m^{2}+M^{2}+k^{2} \cdot U$ sing Lorentz invariance and the discrete sym $m$ etries $P, C$ and $T$, the transition current $m$ atrix elem ent can be expressed in term sofsix independent invariant functions, conventionally denoted by $A_{i}(s ; u) ;(i=1 ;:: ; 6)$, when one $m$ akes use of gauge invariance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=i u_{2}{ }_{5}^{X_{i=1}^{6}} M_{i} A_{i}(s ; u) u_{1} \tag{4:59}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{~K} \quad \mathrm{~K} \quad) ; \quad \mathrm{M}_{2}=\mathrm{P} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
2 \mathrm{q} & \mathrm{k} & { }^{2} \mathrm{k} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{k}(2 \mathrm{q} & \mathrm{k})
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{4:60}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{5}=k \quad q \quad k \quad \mathrm{dr}^{2} ; \quad M_{6}=k \quad k \quad k^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $P=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)=2$. The am plitudes $A_{i}(s ; u)$ have the conventional isospin decom position (to rst order in electrom agnetism),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}(s ; u)=A_{i}^{(+)}(s ; u) a 3+A_{i}^{()}(s ; u) \frac{1}{2}[a ; 3]+A_{i}^{(0)}(s ; u) a: \tag{4:61}
\end{equation*}
$$

U nder ( $\mathrm{s} \$ \mathrm{u}$ ) crossing the am plitudes $\mathrm{A}_{1 ; 2 ; 4}^{(+; 0)} ; \mathrm{A}_{3 ; 5 ; 6}^{(1)}$ are even, while $\mathrm{A}_{3 ; 5 ; 6}^{(+; 0)} ; \mathrm{A}_{1 ; 2 ; 4}^{(1)}$ are odd. For photoproduction, the num ber of independent am plitudes is further reduced to four. In term sof the isosp in com ponents, the physical channels under consideration are

$$
\begin{align*}
& J\left(p!{ }^{0} p\right)=J^{(0)}+J^{(+)} \\
& J\left(n!\quad{ }^{0} n\right)=J^{(+)} \quad J^{(0)} \\
& J\left(p!{ }^{+} n\right)={ }^{p}{ }_{2}\left[J^{(0)}+J^{()}\right]  \tag{4:62}\\
& J\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n! & p)={ }^{p}{ }_{2}\left[J^{(0)} \quad J^{()}\right]: ~
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ aving constructed the current transition $m$ atrix elem ent $J$ it is then straightforward to calculate observables. T he pertinent kinem atics and de nitions are outlined in refs.[4.57,4.58].

For the discussion of the low energy theorem $s$, we have to spell out the corresponding $m$ ultipole decom position of the transition current $m$ atrix elem ent at threshold. In
the $N$ center of $m$ ass system at threshold i:e: $q=(M ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$ one can express the current $m$ atrix elem ent in term $s$ of the two $S\{w$ ave $m u l t i p o l e ~ a m p l i t u d e s, ~ c a l l e d ~ E ~ 0+~$ and $\mathrm{L}_{0+}$ r

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=4 i(1+) \underset{f}{y} E_{0+}(;) \sim+L_{0+}(;) \quad E_{0+}(;) \hat{k} \sim \hat{k} \quad i \tag{4:63}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith i;f two com ponent $P$ auli-spinors for the nucleon and we chose the C oulom b gauge $0=0$. For the later discussion we have introduced the dim ensionless quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{M}{m} ; \quad=\frac{k^{2}}{m^{2}}: \tag{4:64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multipole E $0_{0+}$ characterizes the transverse and $L_{0+}$ the longitudinal coupling of the virtual photon to the nucleon spin. A ltematively to $\mathrm{L}_{0+}$, one also uses the scalar m ultipole $S_{0+}$ de ned via, $S_{0+}\left(s ; k^{2}\right)=\left(\tilde{j} \dot{j} k_{0}\right) L_{0+}\left(s ; k^{2}\right)$. At threshold, we can express $E_{0+}$ and $L_{0+}$ through the invariant amplitudes $A_{i}(s ; u)$ via (suppressing the isospin indiges)

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0+}=\frac{m^{P \overline{(2+)^{2}}}}{8(1+)^{3=2}} \quad A_{1}+m \frac{(2+)+}{2(1+)} A_{3}+m \frac{\left({ }^{2}\right.}{2(1+)^{2}} A_{4} \quad m A_{6} ; \\
& \mathrm{L}_{0+}=\mathrm{E}_{0+}+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{P} \overline{(2+)^{2}}}}{16(1+)^{5=2}}(2) \quad A_{1}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \frac{(2 \quad)((2+)+}{4(1+)} \mathrm{A}_{2} \quad m A_{4} \\
& +m^{2} \frac{(2+\quad)+}{2(1+\quad)} A_{5} \quad m(2+) A_{6} \tag{4:65}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{u})$ evaluated at threshold $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{th}}=\mathrm{m}^{2}(1+\quad)^{2}$ and $u_{\text {th }}=\mathrm{m}^{2}(1 \quad 2+$
$)=(1+\quad)$. In case ofphotoproduction, only the electric dipole am plitude $E_{0+}$ survíves. Finally, one can de ne the $S\{w$ ave cross section,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}=\exists_{0+} \stackrel{\curvearrowright}{\jmath} \quad \frac{k^{2}}{k_{0}^{2}} \bigsqcup_{0+} \stackrel{2}{\jmath} \tag{4:66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and $k_{\theta}=\left(s \quad m^{2}+k^{2}\right)=2^{P} \bar{s}$ represent, respectively, a $m$ easure of the transverse linear polarization and the energy of the virtual photon in the N rest fram e. For
 com pletes the necessary form alism.

W e discusss now the electric dipole am plitude E $0+$ as m easured in neutral pion photoproduction, $+\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0}+\mathrm{p}$. This multipole is of particular interest since in the early seventies a low \{energy theorem (LET) for neutral pion production was derived [4.60].* The recent $m$ easurem ents at Saclay and $M$ ainz [4.54,4.55] seem ed to indicate

* For the sake of brevity, we denote $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ by $\mathrm{E}_{0+}$.
a gross violation of this LET, which predicts $\mathrm{E}_{0+}=2: 3 \quad 10=\mathrm{M}+$ at threshold. H ow ever, the LET was reconsidered (and rederived) and the data were reexam ined, leading to $\mathrm{E}_{0+}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2: 0 & 0\end{array} \mathrm{H}^{3}=\mathrm{M}+\right.$ in agreem ent w ith the LET prediction. $T$ hese developm ents have been sub ject of a recent review by D rechsel and $T$ iator [4.61]. $T$ herefore, we w ill focus here on the additional insight gained from CHPT calculations. In fact, the \LET" derived in [4.60] for neutralpion photoproduction at threshold is an expansion in powers of $=M=m \quad 1=7$ and predicts the coe cients of the rst two term $s$ in this series, which are of order and ${ }^{2}$, respectively, in term s of m easurable quantities like the pion \{nucleon coupling constant $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the nucleon m ass m and the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of the proton, $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0+}\left(s_{\mathrm{thr}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{eg}}{\mathrm{~N}} \text { } \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(3+{ }_{\mathrm{p}}\right)+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{4:67}
\end{equation*}
$$

In ref.[4.62] it was, how ever, show $n$ that a certain class of loop diagram $s m$ odi es the LET at next\{to\{leading order $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$. It is instructive to rederive this result in heavy baryon CHPT [4.3]. Insertions from $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ and $L \underset{N}{(3)}$ lead to eq.(4.67) when the corresponding quantities are given by their chiral lim it values. H ow ever, to order $q^{3}$, one also has to consider one\{loop graphs. The standard derivation of eq.(4.67) is based on the consideration of nucleon pole graphs (supplem ented by form factors). W e stress that such considerations are not based on a system atic chiral counting. In the threshold region, only the so \{called triangle and rescattering diagram s are non-vanishing (com pare the detailed discussion of selection rules in ref.[4.3]) leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0+}^{\mathrm{loop}}=\frac{\mathrm{eg}_{A}}{8 \mathrm{~F}^{3}} \mathrm{v} \quad k J_{0}(\mathrm{v} \quad k)+{ }_{0}(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{k})+\xi(\mathrm{v} k)+2_{3}(\mathrm{v} \quad k) \tag{4:68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the loop fiunctions $J_{0}$ and 3 given in appendix B. At threshold, $v k=M$, so that $J_{0}(M)+J_{0}(M)=0$ and $3(M)+3(M)=M=32$, i.e. only the triangle diagram and its crossed partner contribute. Therefore, these particular one loop diagram $s$ contribute at order ${ }^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0+}^{\text {loop }}=\frac{e g_{A} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{128 \mathrm{~F}^{3}}=\frac{\operatorname{eg}_{A} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{128 \mathrm{~F}^{3}}{ }^{2} \tag{4:69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look closer at the origin of the nite contribution proportional to $\mathrm{M}^{2}$. W e follow the argum ent of ref.[4.62]. In the relativistic calculation, the loops lead to an expression of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0+}^{\mathrm{loop}}=\frac{\mathrm{eg}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{(4 \mathrm{~F})^{3}} \mathrm{f}() \quad \mathrm{f}() \tag{4:70}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
f()=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d y \frac{2 x y}{2+y^{2} 2 x y}: \tag{4:70a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ aively, one would argue $E_{0+}^{\text {loop }} \quad M^{3}$, since the form ula (4.70) is m anifestly odd under M ! $M$ and this would forbid an even term proportional to $M^{2}$. How ever, in this argum entation one has already $m$ ade an assum ption, nam ely that the function $f()$ is analytic at $=0$. The explicit form (4.70a) shows that this is not true, $f()$ has a logarithm ic singularity at $=0$ and the correct expansion around this point reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}()=\ln +\frac{3^{2}}{8}+\frac{1}{2}+O() ; \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{l})=\ln \frac{2}{8}+\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{O}(): \tag{4:70b}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsequently, we nd that the odd combination $f() \quad f(\quad)$ has a nonvanishing lim it $\lim !0^{+}[f() \quad f()]={ }^{2}=2 \in 0$, which is quite astonishing. Of course, without explicit know ledge off ( ) from the com plete loop-calculation in CHPT onew ould hardly nd the peculiar properties off ( ). In the standard derivation (and all rederivations) of the incom plete LET one tacitely assum es (w ithout better know ledge) lim ! $0^{+}$[f() $\mathrm{f}(\quad)_{\mathrm{P}}=0$. The additional term is non-analytic in the quark $m$ ass $m$ since $A_{1 ; \text { thr }}^{\text {loop }}$ $M \quad \bar{m}$ [4.62]. C onsequently, the correct expansion of $E_{0+}$ in QCD takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0+}\left(S_{\mathrm{thr}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{eg}_{\mathrm{N}}}{8 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2}(3+\mathrm{p})+\frac{\mathrm{m}}{4 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2}\right) \quad: \tag{4:71}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne im m ediately notioes that the term oforder ${ }^{2}$ is even bigger (+ 4.35) than the leading order one ( -3.46 ) and of opposite sign. This $m$ akes the \{expansion of $E_{0+}$ truncated at order ${ }^{2}$ practically useless for a direct com parison $w$ ith the data. $W$ e also stress that the closeness of the prediction based on the incom plete expansion (4.67) and the reexam ined data has to be considered accidental and is devoid of any physical signi cance. In fact, in ref.[4.59] it was argued that the \{expansion of $\mathrm{E}_{0+}$ is slow ly converging. This has been even further quanti ed in a calculation [4.63] in the fram ew ork of heavy baryon CHPT including all term s of order $q^{4}$ and including isospin \{breaking by di erentiating betw een the charged and neutral pion $m$ asses as proposed in ref.[4.64]. Furtherm ore, the theoretical prediction of the electric dipole am plitude in ${ }^{0}$ production o protons is a icted w ith som e uncertainty related to the contribution to estim ate the appearing contact term s. At present, it does not seem to serve as a stringent test of the chiral pion \{nucleon dynam ics. M ore accurate data close to threshold are needed to clarify these questions and also the energy \{dependence of $E_{0+}$ from the ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ threshold ( $\mathrm{E}=144: 68$ M eV ) to the ${ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ threshold at $\mathrm{E}=151: 44 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{W}$ hile below this threshold the m ultipoles are real, above it they in general becom e com plex. To one\{loop accuracy, one expects a cusp at the ${ }^{+} n$ threshold with $\mathrm{E}_{0+}(\mathrm{E}=151: 44 \mathrm{MeV}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{0+}(\mathrm{E}=$ $144: 68 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})^{\prime} 0: 7 \quad 1 \overbrace{}^{3}=\mathrm{M}+$ [4.63]. The various analysis of the M ainz data [4.55] give very di erent results, e.g. while B ergstrom [4.65] nds a very steep energy dependence, the analysis of Bemstein leads to an essentially at $\mathrm{E}_{0+}(\mathbb{E})$ [4.66]. The situation is

The meaning of low \{energy theorem $s$ in the fram ew ork of the Standard $M$ odel is discussed in ref.[4.90].
di erent for the $P$ \{waves. From the two $m$ agnetic $m u l t i p o l e s M_{1+}$ and $M_{1}$ and the electric $\mathrm{E}_{1+}$ one form s the com binations

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=3 E_{1+}+M_{1+} \quad M_{1} \\
& P_{2}=3 E_{1+} \quad M_{1+}+M_{1}  \tag{4:72}\\
& P_{3}=2 M_{1+}+M_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

To order $q^{3}$ and inchuding the pion $m$ ass di erence in the loops, one nds [4.63]

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{1}=\bar{q} \overline{!^{2} \quad M^{2}{ }_{0}} \frac{e g_{N}}{8 m^{2}} 1 \frac{6!}{5 m}+\frac{M^{2}{ }_{0}}{5 m!}+p_{p} 1 \frac{!}{2 m} \\
& +\frac{e g_{A}^{3}}{64{ }^{2} F^{3}} \frac{2}{3!^{2}} M^{3}{ }_{+} \quad\left(M^{2}{ }_{+} \quad!^{2}\right)^{3=2}+M+M^{2}{ }^{2} \quad!^{2} \quad \frac{M^{2}}{!} \arcsin \frac{!}{M+} \\
& P_{2}=\overline{q^{2}} \overline{M^{2}}{ }_{0} \frac{e g_{N}}{8 m^{2}} 1+\frac{13!}{10 m}+\frac{M^{2}{ }_{0}}{5 m!}+p^{1} \frac{!}{2 m} \\
& +\frac{e_{A}^{3}}{64{ }^{2} F^{3}} \frac{2}{3!^{2}} M^{3}+\quad\left(M^{2}+\quad!^{2}\right)^{3=2} \quad M+ \tag{4:73}
\end{align*}
$$

with ! = (s $\left.m^{2}+M^{2}{ }_{0}\right)=\left(2^{p} \bar{s}\right)$ the pion energy in the om system.* A closer look at (4.73) reveals that the term sof order $q^{3}$ in the threshold region are very sm all com pared to the leading $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ ones, i.e. one can derive the LE T s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{\prime}} \mathrm{P}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{eg} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}}{8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} 1+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{thr}}+1 \frac{\mathrm{p}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}(10-3)}{48} \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{P_{2}}}{ }_{\text {thr }}=\frac{e g_{\mathrm{N}}}{8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{p}+\frac{1}{2} 3+{ }_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}}{12} \tag{4:73a}
\end{align*}
$$

and sim ilarly for the reaction $n!{ }^{0} n$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{q}^{P_{1}}}=\frac{\mathrm{eg} \mathrm{thr}_{\mathrm{N}}}{8 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{n}+\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{n}+\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}(10}{24} 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

w th $\mathrm{n}=1: 913$ the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of the neutron. $T$ hese are exam ples of quickly converging expansions. In fact, the corresponding $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of the relativistic calculation agree quite nicely w ith the LET (rem em ber that in the relativistic

* ! isprelated to the frequently used photon energy in the lab system via! = (2mE + $\left.M_{0}{ }_{0}\right)=\left(2^{\rho} \frac{m^{2}+2 m E}{}\right)$.
form ulation som e higher order term s are included). For exam ple, at $\mathrm{E}=151 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, the LET predicts $P_{1}=2: 47$ and $P_{2}=2: 48 \mathrm{while}$ the $P\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ave } m u l t i p o l e s ~ o f ~ r e f .[4.59] ~ l e a d ~\end{array}\right.$ to $P_{1}=2: 43$ and $P_{2}=2: 60$ (all in units of $10^{3}=M$ +) (for $p!{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ ). In contrast, $P_{3}$ is com pletely dom inated by the contribution. Its generic form is $P_{3}=q$ ! const, where the constant can either be tted from the bell\{shaped di erential cross sections about the ${ }^{+} n$ threshold orby using resonance saturation. B oth ways lead to essentially the sam e num ber. A ltematively, one could $t$ the coe cient in $P_{3}$ from the total cross section data if one excludes the very rst few M EV above threshold. For $m$ ore details on this, we refer the reader to ref.[4.63].

The total cross section for p ! ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p}[4.53,4.54]$ is only sensitive to the value of $\mathrm{E}_{0+}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{thr}}\right)$ very close to threshold and then dom inated by the P -wave com.bination
 In fact, the estim ate of the low \{energy constant $d_{4}$ in [4.59] should be considered as a best $t$ to these total cross section data. The corresponding di erential cross sections calculated in ref.[4.59] do not agree well w ith the data since $\mathrm{E}_{0+}$ w as essentially energy independent 1:3, too large in $m$ agnitude to what is needed to produce the bell\{ shaped angular distributions. A m ore detailed account of these topics will be given in ref.[4.63]. Finally, we point out that new data for $p!{ }^{0} p$ have been taken at M AM I ( $M$ ainz) and SAL (Saskatoon). These are presently in the process of being analyzed.


Fig. 4.5: Total cross section for $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$. The solid and dashed curve represent the one\{loop C HP T predictions in the isospin lim it and with isospin \{ breaking in the class I diagram s , respectively [4.59,4.64]. The dotted line is the tree level predictions. The M ainz [4.54] and the Saclay [4.53] data are represented by diam onds and squares, respectively.
$T$ he situation is di erent in the case of charged pion production, $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{n}!\mathrm{p}$. H ere, there exists a fam ous LET due to K roll and Ruderm an [4.67], which states that the corresponding electric dipole am plitudes do not vanish in the chiral lim it and, furtherm ore, that this leading term of order ${ }^{0}$ is dom inant. The chiralcorrections do not a ect this result as show $n$ in ref.[4.59]. In fact, the quark $m$ ass expansion ofE $0+$ for these tw o channels takes the form (at threshold)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{0+}^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathrm{eg} N}}^{2} \frac{3}{8 \mathrm{~m}} 1 \frac{3}{2}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2} ;^{2} \ln \right)=26: 610^{3}=\mathrm{M}+; \\
& \mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{-} \frac{\mathrm{eg} \mathrm{~N}}{8 \mathrm{~m}} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2}+O\left({ }^{2} ;^{2} \ln \right)=31: 5 \quad 10=\mathrm{M}+\quad: \tag{4:74}
\end{align*}
$$

The full one\{loop corrections (i.e. no expansion in ) have been worked out with the result [4.59]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0+}^{+\mathrm{n}}=28: 4 \quad 10^{3}=\mathrm{M}+\quad ; \mathrm{E}_{0+}^{\mathrm{p}}=31: 1 \quad 10{ }^{1}=\mathrm{M}+\quad ; \tag{4:75}
\end{equation*}
$$

which com pare, naturally, wellw th the em piricaldata $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=27: 9 \quad 0: 5[4.68], \mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=$ $28: 8 \quad 0: 7[4.69], \mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}=31: 4 \quad 1: 3[4.68]$ and $\mathrm{E}_{+}{ }^{\mathrm{p}}=32: 21: 2$ [4.70] (allin canonical units). The num bers in (4.75) should not be considered as rigorous predictions of C H P T since they depend to som e extent on the assum ptions $m$ ade on the unknown counter term s. O ne should perform a sim ilar calculation in HBCHPT to order $q^{4}$. A m ore accurate determ ination of these threshold multipoles would give a further constraint on the pion \{nucleon coupling constant via the Goldberger\{M iyazaw a\{O ehm e sum rule [4.10,4.71]

$$
\begin{equation*}
J+\frac{g^{2}{ }_{N}}{2 m^{2} M^{2}=2}=\frac{m+M}{m M} a \tag{4:76}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a the isospin \{odd N scattering length and J a dispersion integral over the hadronic $p$ total cross sections. The integral $J$ can be calculated either from the pertinent $K$ arlsnuhe $\{H$ elsinki cross sections or the ones from the SA $\mathbb{D}$ data basis. O ne possibility of obtaining the di erence $a_{1=2} \quad a_{3}=2$, which is $m$ ost uncertain at present, is via the $P$ anofsky ratio $P=\left(p!n^{0}\right)=(p!n)$ [4.10],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{a}_{1=2} \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}=2}\right)^{2}=(9 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{P} \mathbb{E}_{0+}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~J}=(9 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{P} R \mathbb{\Xi}_{0+}^{+}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathcal{J} \tag{4:77}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{R}=(\mathrm{n}!\mathrm{p})=\left(\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{n}^{+}\right)$. Tom ake use ofeqs.(4.76,4.77), one needs a very accurate understanding and determ ination of the electric dipole am plitude at threshold for charged pion photoproduction (for further details, see e.g. refs.[4.10,4.72,4.73]). T his concludes our discussion of threshold photopionproduction.

W e now tum to a short discussion of som e topics related to pion electroproduction. A much m ore detailed account of these topics can be found in the recent review [4.46].

There, one can nd a thorough discussion of the pertinent low \{energy theorem sin the various channels. In particular, it is stressed (see also ref. [4.74]) that in a system atic chiral expansion one is only sensitive to the rst few m om ents of the pertinent nucleon form factors, in contrast to the com $m$ only used practise of supplem enting the photon \{ nucleon and pion \{nucleon vertiges w ith the corresponding full form factors. A lso, in the loop expansion there is no need for equating the pion charge form factor and the isovector nucleon charge form factor to $m$ aintain gauge invariance as it is often done. $T$ he chiral expansion keeps gauge invariance at any step of the calculation and thus allow s naturally for $F(t) \in F_{1}^{V}(t)$. H ere, let us brie $y$ discuss the axial m s radius of the nucleon as $m$ easured in charged pion electroproduction. The starting point is the venerable LET due to Nam bu, Lurie and Shrauner [4.75] for the isospin \{odd electric dipole am plitude $\mathrm{E}_{0+}^{()}$in the chiral lim it,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0+}^{()}\left(M=0 ; k^{2}\right)=\frac{e g_{A}}{8 F} 1+\frac{k^{2}}{6} r_{A}^{2}+\frac{k^{2}}{4 m^{2}}\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)+O\left(k^{3}\right) \tag{4:78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $m$ easuring the reactions ${ }^{?} p!{ }^{+} n$ and ${ }^{?} n!\quad p$ allow sto extract $E{ }_{0+}^{(1)}$ and one can determ ine the axial radius of the nucleon, $r_{\mathrm{A}}$. In section 4.3, we had pointed out that the determ inations of the axial radius from electroproduction data and from (anti) neutrino \{nucleon scattering show a sm alldiscrepancy. This discrepancy is usually not taken seriously since the values overlap w ithin the error bars. H ow ever, it w as show $n$ in ref.[4.76] that pion loops m odify the LET (4.78) at order $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ for nite pion m ass. In the heavy $m$ ass form alism, the coe cient of the $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ term reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{6} r_{A}^{2}+\frac{1}{4 m^{2}}\left(v+\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{128 F^{2}}\left(1 \quad \frac{12}{2}\right) \tag{4:79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last term in (4.79) is the new one. This means that previously one had extracted a m odi ed radius, the correction being $3\left(1 \quad 12={ }^{2}\right)=64 \mathrm{~F}^{2}$, 0:046 $\mathrm{fm}^{2}$. $T$ his closes the gap between the values of $r_{A}$ extracted from electroproduction and neutrino data. A s detailed in appendix $C$, the $1=m$ suppressed term $s$ (i.e. of order $q^{4}$ ) m odifying the result (4.79) are sm all [4.91].

A nother interesting quantity is the $S$ \{wave cross section de ned in eq.(4.66). T he $m$ ost precise $m$ easurem ent of it for neutral pion production $\circ$ the proton close to the photon point was presented in ref.[4.56]. In g.4.6 we show the data of ref.[4.56] at $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0: 042$; $0: 0501$ and $\left.0: 0995 \mathrm{Ge}^{2}\right\}$ in com parison to the one\{loop CHPT result and the corresponding tree level prediction [4.46,4.77]. The m ost interesting feature of the data is the atness of $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ as $\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{j}$ increases.

This trend is also exhibited by the one\{ loop C H P T result but not by the tree graphs (or by tree graphs supplem ented with form factors). C hiralloops are required to explain the trend of the data. W e should stress that the calculation of $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ to one loop accuracy did not involve any new adjustable counter term s (all low \{energy constants w ere previously


Fig. 4.6: The S \{wave com ponent of the neutral pion electroproduction cross section, calculated from one\{loop CHPT (solid line) and tree graphs (dotted line). The kinem atics is $\mathrm{W}=1074 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and $=0: 58$ [4.77]. The data extracted in ref.[4.56] are also shown.
tted in photoproduction [4.59] or via nucleon radii). C learly, to have a better test of the chiral dynam ics, one should $m$ easure at sm aller values of $\mathrm{k}^{2} j$ since there the loop corrections are sizeable but not as large as at $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0: 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. In ref.[4.77], it was further stressed that to test the chiralpredictions, one should investigate in m ore detail the angular distributions. The most striking feature is that the CHPT predictions for the transverse di erential cross sections becom e forw ard peaked as $\mathrm{k}^{2} j$ becom es larger than $0: 04 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. Indeed, a group at M AM I (M ainz) has m easured this di erential cross section at $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0: 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and the shape agrees very nicely w ith the CHPT prediction [4.78]. C learly, the wide eld of single pion electroproduction in the threshold region is a good testing ground of the chiraldynam ics and just begins to play again an im portant role. For a m ore detailed account of the existing predictions and lim itations within CHPT, we refer the reader to ref.[4.46].

## IV .5. TW O \{P IO N PRODUCTION

In the previous section, we considered single pion photo\{ and electroproduction. C om plem entary inform ation can be gained from the two pion production process N !
$N$, w ith a real or virtual photon. The two pions in the nal state can both be charged, both neutral or one charged and one neutral. H ere, we w ill be concemed w ith the threshold region, i.e. the photon in the initialstate has just enough energy to produce the two pions (and the outgoing nucleon) at rest. This energy is very close to the rst strong resonance excitation of the nucleon, the (1232). In fact, presently available data focus on the resonance region and above. In that case, a tw o \{step reaction $m$ echanism of the form N ! ! N is appropriate to describe these data as detailed in refs.[4.1,4.79,4.80]. A s we w ill argue, there is how ever a narrow w indow above threshold which is particularly sensitive to chiral loops, i.e. to the strictures of the spontaneously
broken chiral sym $m$ etry. First $m$ easurem ents of two\{pion production at low energies have been perform ed at M A M I and we expect that the theoretical predictions discussed below willgive additionalm otivation to perform yet $m$ ore detailed $m$ easurem ents of this particular reaction. The CHPT calculation presented in ref.[4.81] extends the one of D ahm and D rechsel [4.82] who discussed certain aspects of two\{pion photoproduction in the fram ew ork of $W$ einberg's chiral pion \{nucleon Lagrangian [4.83].

First, we m ust outline the form alism necessary to treat two-pion photo- and electroproduction in the threshold region. W e will only be concemed with the kinem atics close to or at threshold, the corresponding am plitudes and the total cross sections. For a m ore general discussion we refer the reader to ref.[4.82]. To be speci c, consider the process $(k)+N\left(p_{1}\right)!{ }^{a}\left(q_{1}\right)+{ }^{b}\left(q_{2}\right)+N\left(p_{2}\right)$. The corresponding current transition $m$ atrix elem ent is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad=\left\langle^{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{1}\right) ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathrm{q}_{2}\right) ; \mathrm{N}\left(p_{2}\right) \text { outj} \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{em}}(0) \text { N }\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}\right) \text { in }\right\rangle \tag{4:80}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{J}^{\text {em }}$ the electrom agnetic current operator and the polarization vector of the photon. From the two initial states $p$ and $n$ we can form in totalsix nal states

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
p! & + & p ; & p! & + & { }_{n} ; \\
p! & 0 & 0_{i} & n! & + & n ;  \tag{4:81}\\
n! & 0 & p ; & n! & 0 & { }^{n} n:
\end{array}
$$

In what follow swe w illconcentrate on the channels $w$ ith a proton in the initialstate. To rst order in the electrom agnetic coupling e the threshold amplitudes for $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{+}{ }^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $n!\quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ are equal. In general, one can form ve/six $M$ andelstam variables for the two-pion photo/electroproduction process from the independent four-m om enta. For our punpose, it is $m$ ost convenient to work in the photon-nucleon center-ofm ass fram e. At threshold, the real or virtual photon has just enough energy to produce the two pions at rest. The threshold center-ofm ass energy squared is $S_{t h r}=\left(p_{1}+k\right)_{t h r}^{2}=$ $(m+2 \mathrm{M})^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}\left(1+4+4^{2}\right)$. The photon center-ofm ass energy can be expressed in term sof $s$ and the photon virtuality $k^{2}$ as $k_{0}=\left(s m^{2}+k^{2}\right)=\left(2^{-} \bar{s}\right)$ w ith its threshold value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{0}^{\mathrm{thr}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{1+2}+2+\frac{}{4} \tag{4:82}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term sof the $s m$ allparam eters and. In the lab system, the threshold value for two pion-photoproduction is given by $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{th} \mathrm{r}}=2 \mathrm{M}(1+)$. The kinem atics above threshold is discussed in $m$ ore detail in ref.[4.81].

At threshold in the center-ofm ass frame (i:e: $q_{1}=\Phi_{2}=0$ ), the two-pion electroproduction current $m$ atrix elem ent can be decom posed into am plitudes as follow s if we work to rst order in the electrom agnetic coupling $e$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T \quad={\underset{f}{y}}_{y} \sim(\sim \mathbb{K}) M_{1}{ }^{a b}+M_{2}{ }^{a b}{ }^{3}+M_{3}\left({ }^{a 3}{ }^{b}+{ }^{b 3}{ }^{a}\right) \\
& +\sim \widetilde{K} N_{1}{ }^{a b}+N_{2}{ }^{a b}{ }^{3}+N_{3}\left({ }^{a 3}{ }^{b}+{ }^{b 3}{ }^{a}\right) \quad i \tag{4:83}
\end{align*}
$$

in the gauge $0=0$. C learly, for realphotons only the $M_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ can contribute. For virtual photons, gauge invariance $T \quad k=0$ allow s to reconstruct ot as $T_{0}=T \quad \mathbb{k}=k_{0}$. The am plitudes $\mathrm{M}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ encode the inform ation about the structure of the nucleon as probed in threshold two pion photo-and electroproduction. The physical channels listed in eq.(4.81) give rise to the follow ing linear com bination of $M_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ (and $N_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ for $k^{2}<0$ ).


C lose to threshold, the invariant m atrix elem ent squared averaged over nucleon spins and photon polarizationstakes the form $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{?}=\widetilde{\mathbb{K}}^{2} \mathrm{j}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{M}_{3}{ }^{3}$ w ith the isosp in factors $1 ; 2 ; 3$ given in eq.(4.84). Them ain dynam icalassum ption in this relation is that the two-pion photoproduction am plitude in the threshold region can be approxim ated by the am plitude at threshold. Expressing $\widetilde{K}^{2}$ in term $s$ of $s$ and supplem enting $\mathbb{M}$ fi $\xlongequal{3}$ by the photon ux factor $\mathrm{m}^{2}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}=2 \mathrm{~m}=\left(\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$, we nd for the unpolarized total cross section

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\text {Not }}^{N} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~s}}\left(\mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right){ }_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{j}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{M}_{2}+{ }_{3} \mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{~J}^{2} \mathrm{~S}: \tag{4:85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $3_{3}(s)$ is the integrated three-body phase space, eq.(3.91), and $S$ a B ose sym $m$ etry factor, $S=1=2$ for the 00 nal state and $S=1$ otherw ise. For equal pion $m$ asses an excellent approxim ation to the integrated three\{body phase space is given by [4.81]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{ }_{3} \text { (s) } \quad \frac{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{~m}^{5=2}}{64^{2}(\mathrm{~m}+2 \mathrm{M})^{7=2}} \mathbb{E} \quad 2 \mathrm{M} \quad(1+)\right\}^{2}: \tag{4:86}
\end{equation*}
$$

O f course, an analogous approxim ation can be derived for unequalpion $m$ asses. C onsequently, the unpolarized total cross section can be approxim ated within a few percent by the handy form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{N}{N} \quad{ }^{N}(E) \quad \frac{M^{2}(1+)}{32^{2}(1+2)^{11=2}} j_{1} M_{1}+{ }_{2} M_{2}+{ }_{3} M_{3} J^{2} S\left(E \quad E^{\text {thr }}\right)^{2}: \tag{4:87}
\end{equation*}
$$

For electroproduction, the prefactor in eq.(4.87) has to be m odi ed slightly to account for the virtual photon ux nom alization and then it gives the transverse total electroproduction cross section. In general above threshold the total cross section is given by a four\{dim ensional integral over e.g. the two pion energies and two angle variables (for
details, see ref.[4.81]). O ne rem ark on isospin breaking is in order. To one\{loop accuracy $O\left(q^{3}\right)$, it is legitim ate to work with one nucleon and one pion $m$ ass. H ow ever, the pion $m$ ass di erence $\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{M} 0=4: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ in reality leaves a 11.9 M eV gap between the production threshold of two neutral versus two charged pions. W hile we are not in position of perform ing a calculation including all possible isospin-breaking e ects, a $m$ inim alprocedure to account for the $m$ ass di erence of the physical particles is to put in these by hand in the pertinent kinem atics, such that the thresholds open indeed at the correct energy value. To be speci c, for the ${ }^{+} \mathrm{p}$ nalstate the threshold photon energy is $E^{\text {thr }}\left({ }^{+} \quad p\right)=320: 66 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ whereas for $0^{0}{ }^{0} p$ it is $E^{\text {thr }}\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0} p\right)=308: 77$ M eV . Therefore, in the pertinent three\{body phase space integrals we will di erentiate betw een neutral and charged pion $m$ ass $w$ hen we present results inconporating the correct opening of the thresholds.

C onsider now the chiral expansion of the threshold am plitudes $\mathrm{M}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$. In each case we will give two com plete chiral powers, the leading and next-to-leading term. It is w orth to elaborate a bit on the chiral counting here. The $S$ \{m atrix elem ents are calculated up \{ to \{and $\left\{\right.$ including order $q^{3}$. This $m$ eans that the threshold am plitudes are given to order $q$ since two chiral powers are factored out, $\mathbb{K}$ q. D ue to the various selection rules which apply for heavy baryon CHPT and additional ones due to the threshold kinem atics, only a few diagram s are contributing. These are discussed in detail in [4.81]. H ere, we just mention that the leading nonzero contributions com es from tree graphs w ith one insertion from $L \underset{N}{(2)}$. At next order, one has a plethora of possible contributions. Four loop graphs (plus their crossed partners) rem ain and the only contact term $s$ which survive are the ones with one insertion from $L{ }_{N}^{(3)}$. * $T$ he corresponding low \{energy constants are estim ated via resonance saturation, i.e. the contribution. O ne expects sizeable e ects from the (1232) since rst it is quite close to threshold and second its couplings to the $N$ system are very large (about tw ice the nucleon couplings). On rst sight the distance of only $14: 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ of the (1232) from threshold seem s to give rise to overw helm ing contributions since one naively expects that the very sm all denom inator $1=\left(m^{2} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{hr}}\right)=1=\left(\begin{array}{llll}m & m & 2 M\end{array}\right) \quad 1=(m+m+2 M \quad)$ enters the result. H ow ever, as show $n$ in ref.[4.81], this dangerous denom inator alw ays gets cancelled by exactly the sam e term in the num erator in the corresponding diagram $s$. $T$ herefore, the expansion in $M$ is not a priori useless. For the transverse threshold am plitude, the resulting chiral expansion takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\frac{e g_{A}^{2} M}{4 m^{2} F^{2}}+O\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{4:88a}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^12]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}=\frac{e}{4 m F^{2}}\left(2 g_{A}^{2} \quad 1 \quad v\right)+\frac{e M}{4 m^{2} F^{2}}\left(g_{A}^{2} \quad v\right)+\frac{e g_{A}^{2} M}{8 m m^{2} F^{2}} B
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{align*}
& +i \frac{p^{3}(2+r)}{1+r} \frac{1+r+r^{2}}{(1+r)^{3=2}} \ln \frac{2+r+{ }^{p} \overline{3(1+r)}}{1+r+r^{2}} \quad+O\left(q^{2}\right) ;  \tag{4:88b}\\
& M_{3}=\frac{e}{8 m F^{2}}\left(1+v \quad 2 q^{2}\right)+\frac{e M}{8 m^{2} F^{2}} \quad \frac{e g_{A}^{2} M}{16 m m^{2} F^{2}} B \\
& +\frac{e g_{A}^{2} M}{256 F^{4}} 6 \frac{4+2 r}{P} \arctan ^{p} \frac{r}{1+r} \quad \frac{r}{1+r} \frac{1+r+r^{2}}{(1+r)^{3=2}} \frac{-\arctan p}{1+r} \\
& +i \frac{p \overline{3}(2+r)}{1+r} \frac{1+r+r^{2}}{(1+r)^{3=2}} \ln \frac{2+r+p \frac{p}{3(1+r)}}{1+r+r^{2}}+O\left(q^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

(4:88c)
$w$ ith the ratio $r=k^{2}=4 M^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{2 m^{2}+m \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{m}+4 Z[m \quad(1+2 Z)+m(1+Z)] \tag{4:88d}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involves the 0 -shell param eter $Z$ of the $N$ vertex. In fact, taking the allow ed range of Z given in ref.[4.43], one nds a weak Z -dependence, i:e: $9.9 \mathrm{GeV}<\mathrm{B}$ < 15:1 GeV . Furtherm ore, from the isospin factors of eq. (4.88) we see that to order M the contributions are absent in the 00 channels. $W$ e also note that to low est order, $\mathrm{M}_{1}=0$ and $M_{2}=2 M_{3}$ so that the production of two neutral pions is strictly suppressed. A notherpoint w orth $m$ entioning is that the transverse am plitudes $M_{2 ; 3} \operatorname{are~}^{2}{ }^{2}$-dependent only through their loop contribution. This can be understood from the fact that the tree graphs have to be polynom ial in both $M$ and $k^{2}$ and that a term linear in $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ is already of higher order in the chiral expansion. It is also not possible to further expand the $r$-dependent functions since $r=k^{2}=4 M^{2}$ counts as order one and allterm s have to be kept. W e also notice that the am plitudes $M_{2 ; 3}$ have a sm ooth behaviour in the chiral lim it. F inally, we note that the loop contribution to the transverse am plitudes of two pion production as given in eq.(4.88) have a nonzero im aginary part even at threshold. This com es from the rescattering type graphs. Due to unitarity the pertinent loop functions have a right hand cut starting at $s=(m+M)^{2}$ (the single pion production threshold) and these functions are here to be evaluated at $s=(m+2 M \quad)^{2}$ (the twopion production threshold). In the electroproduction case, we also have the longitudinal threshold am plitudes $\mathrm{N}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$. Since we can no m ore exploit the condition $\mathrm{k}=0$ the photon coupling to an out-going pion line is non-vanishing and therefore we obtain a nonzero contribution already at leading order $O$ ( $q$ ) involving a pion propagator (for charged pions). A dding up allterm swich arise at order $q$ and $q^{2}$ we nd the follow ing
results

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}=O(q) ; \\
& N_{2}=\frac{e M(1+)}{F^{2}\left(4 M^{2} k^{2}\right)}+\frac{e\left(2 g_{A}^{2} \quad 1\right)}{4 m F^{2}}+O(q) ;  \tag{4:89}\\
& N_{3}=\frac{1}{2} N_{2}+O(q):
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note that none of the low energy constants $C_{1} ; C_{2} ; C_{3} ; C_{4}$ and the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents which enter $L{ }_{N}^{(2)}$ show up in the nal result.

W e now tum to the num erical results for the threshold am plitudes and total cross sections. The isospin sym $m$ etric case is discussed in great detail in ref.[4.81]. To connect to the experim ental situation, consider the three\{body phase space $w$ ith the physical $m$ asses for the corresponding pions. T his autom atically takes care of the various threshold energies. In the loops we w ork, how ever, w ith one pion $m$ ass. $T$ his e ect is sm all as discussed in ref.[4.81]. In g.4.7 we show the calculations w the correct phase\{space and using the threshold $m$ atrix \{elem ents.


Fig. 4.7: Total cross sections (in nb) for the $p$ initial state ( $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$ ) with the correct three\{body phase space. The dotted, dashed and dashed\{dotted lines refer to the ${ }^{+} p,{ }^{+}{ }^{0} n$, and the ${ }^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ nal state, in order. For p ! +p we show the rst correction as discussed in ref.[4.81]. The various thresholds are indicated.

For $p!+p$, we show the rst correction above threshold from $L \underset{N}{(1)}$ which is bigger than them atrix \{elem ent calculated w ith the threshold am plitudes already 3 M eV above threshold in this particular channel (for details, see [4.81]). AtE $=320 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, the totalcross section for ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$ production is 0.5 nb whereas the com peting ${ }^{0}{ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ nalstate has tot $=0: 013 \mathrm{nb}$. D ouble neutralpion production reaches tot $=1: 0 \mathrm{nb}$ at $\mathrm{E}=324: 3$ MeV in comparison to tot $\left(\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0}{ }^{+} \mathrm{n}\right)<0: 05 \mathrm{nb}$ and tot $\left(\mathrm{p}!+{ }^{+} \mathrm{p}\right)=0: 4 \mathrm{nb}$. $T$ his $m$ eans that for the rst $10 \ldots 12 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ above 00 threshold, one has a fairly clean signal and $m$ uch $m$ ore neutrals than expected. W e stress that the enhancem ent of the totalcross section in the 00 channel is a chiralloop e ect. C onsider the corresponding threshold $m$ atrix elem ent $M_{1}+M_{2}+2 M_{3}$. W hereas the B om graphs contribute only $4.9 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}$, the loop contribution at the sam e chiral pow er is m uch larger $(26.6+16.0$ i) $\mathrm{GeV}{ }^{3}$ and enhances the total cross section by a factor 50. A lready the know legde of the order of $m$ agnitude of the experim ental 00 cross sections allow s to test the enhancem ent e ect of the chiral loops. Rem em ber that to leading order in the chiral expansion, the production of tw o neutralpions is com pletely suppressed. O fcourse, the above threshold correction for this channel, which com es from $L_{N}^{(2)}$ (and higher orders) should be calculated system atically. T he rst correction, which vanishes proportional to $\mathrm{Pr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{i}=1 ; 2$ ) at threshold, has been calculated and found to be very sm all. The corresponding cross section at $\mathrm{E}=320,325$ and 330 M eV is ${ }_{\text {tot corr }}^{\text {rst }}=0: 009 ; 0: 026$ and $0: 056 \mathrm{nb}$, i.e a few percent of the leading order result. It is, therefore, conceivable that the qualitative features described above w ill not change if even higher order corrections are taken into account. A $m$ ore detailed account of these topics can be found in ref.[4.81].

IV .6. W EAK PION PRODUCTION
A s discussed in the preceeding sections, single and double pion production o nucleons by real or virtual photons gives im portant inform ation about the structure of the nucleon. A s stressed in particular by A dler [4.84], weak pion production involves the isovector axial am plitudes and a uni ed treatm ent of pion photo-, electro- and weak production allow s to relate inform ation from electron\{nucleon and neutrino\{nucleon scattering experim ents. In this spirit, we will consider here pion production through the isovector axial current in the threshold region, extending the classicalw ork ofA dler [4.84], A dler and D othan [4.52] and Nam bu, Lurie and Shrauner [4.75], who have considered soft pion em ission induced by weak interactionsm aking use of C CAC and gauge invariance, relating certain electrow eak form factors of the nucleon to particular threshold m ultipole am plitudes. The corrections beyond this were considered in ref.[4.85], were novel relations betw een various axial threshold $m$ ultipole am plitudes and physical observables like electrow eak form factors, $S$ \{w ave pion \{nucleon scattering lengths and, in particular, the nucleon scalar form factor, $(t)<N$ in^ (uu $+d d$ ) jN $>$ are given.

W e have been inform ed by Th. W alcher that a rst analysis of double neutral pion production $m$ aking use of the TAPS detector seem to indicate an even stronger increase of the $p^{0}{ }^{0}$ cross section at threshold as indicated by the calculation of ref.[4.81].

W e consider processes of the type $\left(k_{1}\right)+N\left(p_{1}\right)!l\left(k_{2}\right)+N\left(p_{2}\right)+{ }^{a}(q)$, which involve the isovector vector and axial\{vector currents. H ere, we will focus on the pion production induced by the axial current, $A^{b}=q \quad{ }_{5}\left({ }^{b}=2\right) q$ in term $s$ of the $u$ and d quark elds. Denoting by $k=k_{1} \quad k_{2}$ the four\{m om entum of the axial current, the pertinent $M$ andelstam variables are $s=\left(p_{1}+k\right)^{2}$, $t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & k\end{array}\right)^{2}$ and $u=\left(p_{1} \quad q\right)^{2}$ sub ject to the constraint $s+t+u=2 m^{2}+M^{2}+k^{2}$. The pertinent $m$ atrix elem ent decom poses into an isospin $\{$ even and an isospin \{odd part (analogous to the $N$ scattering am plitude),

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2}\right) ;{ }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{q}) \text { out_7 }^{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathcal{N}_{1}\left(\mathrm{p} \text { in }>=i^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~T}^{(+)} \quad \text { abc }{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~T}^{()}\right. \tag{4:90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the axial polarization vector, $u_{1} \quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{u}$. N otige that one can use the $D$ irac equation to transform term $s$ of the type $k$ into lepton $m$ ass term $s$ via
$\mathrm{k}{ }_{1} \mathrm{la}_{5} \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{1}{ }_{5} \mathrm{u}$. This means that in the approxim ation of zero lepton m ass, one has $\mathrm{k}=0$ and alldiagram s where the axialsource couples directly to a pion line vanish. T he general D irac structure for the transition current involves the eight operators $O_{1}=(6 € \quad q 6)=2 ; 2=\quad q ; \rho=6 ; O_{4}=\quad \quad\left(q+p_{2}\right)=2 ; O_{5}=1 / \quad q ; \delta=$ G $\quad\left(\right.$ st $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)=2 ; \mathrm{O}_{7}=\mathrm{k} ; \varnothing=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{kwhich}$ are accom panied by invariant functions denoted $A_{i}^{()}(s ; u)(i=1 ;:: ; 8)$ [4.84]. At threshold in the $N$ center of $m$ ass fram e, one can express the pertinent $m$ atrix elem ent in term $s$ of six $S\{w$ ave $m$ ultipoles, called $\mathrm{L}_{0+}^{(1)}, \mathrm{M}_{0+}^{\left.()^{( }\right)}$and $\mathrm{H}_{0+}^{()}$,


At threshold, one can express $\mathrm{L}_{0+}, \mathrm{M}_{0+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{0+}$ (suppressing isospin indices) through the invariant am plitudes $A_{i}(s ; u)$ via

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{aligned}
M_{0+} & =\frac{P \overline{2}}{8(1+)^{3=2}} \mathrm{~m} A_{1} \quad A_{3} \\
L_{0+} & =\frac{\mathrm{P}^{(1+)^{2}}}{8(1+)^{3=2}}
\end{aligned} \frac{(2+)+}{(2+)^{2}} \mathrm{~mA}_{1}+m \quad A_{2} \\
& +\frac{2(1+)(2+\quad)}{(2+)^{2}} A_{3}+m 1+\frac{-}{2} A_{4}+{ }^{2} m^{2} A_{5}+m^{2} 1+\frac{1}{2} A_{6} \\
& H_{0+}=\frac{P \frac{P}{(2+)^{2}}}{8(1+)^{3=2}} \quad \frac{2(1+)}{(2+)^{2}} \quad A_{1} \quad \frac{1}{m} A_{3} \quad \frac{1}{2} A_{4} \quad \frac{m}{2} A_{6}+A_{7}+m A_{8} \tag{4:92}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $A_{i}(s ; u)$ are evaluated at threshold.
W e seek an expansion of these threshold multipoles in powers of and up to and including order $O$ ( ${ }^{2}$; ) (m odulo logarithm $s$ ). To work out the corrections at order O ( $q^{3}$ ), 止 it $m$ andatory to perform a com plete one\{loop calculation $w$ ith insertions from
$L_{N}^{(1)}$ and the tree diagram $s$ with exactly one insertion from $L{ }_{N}^{(3)}$. O ne also has to consider tree graphs w th two insertions from $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ w ith a nucleon propagator, which scales as $1=q$, in betw een. T he resulting low \{energy theorem $s$ for the various $S\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ave }\end{array}\right.$ multipoles are

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{0+}^{(+)}=\frac{p \overline{M^{2} k^{2}}}{p^{16 m F}} g_{A}^{2}+C_{M}^{(+)} M \quad+O\left(q^{3}\right) \\
& M_{0+}^{(1)}=\frac{P \overline{M^{2} k^{2}}}{16 m F} G_{M}^{V}\left(k^{2} \quad M^{2}\right) \quad q^{2}+C_{M}^{(1)} M+O\left(q^{3}\right)  \tag{4:93a}\\
& L_{0+}^{(+)}=\frac{1}{3 M \mathrm{~F}} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{4}(0) \quad \frac{\mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{M}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \mathrm{M}}{16 \mathrm{mF}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}{ }^{(+)} \mathrm{M}^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{q}^{3}\right) \\
& L_{0+}^{()}=\frac{1}{8 F} \quad G_{E}^{V}\left(k^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)+\frac{M}{2 m}\left(g_{A}^{2}+1\right) \frac{k^{2}}{8 m^{2}}+C_{L}^{(1)} M^{2}+O\left(q^{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{0+}^{(+)}=\frac{\mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}+\frac{(0)\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)}{12 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}^{(+)} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{H}_{0+}^{()}=\frac{a \mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{M}\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\left.\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right){ }^{1}\right]}\right.}{8 \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{16 \mathrm{mF}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{()} \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2}\right) \tag{4:93c}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $a$ the isopin $\{$ even and odd $\mathrm{S}\{\mathrm{w}$ ave N scattering lengths. $T$ he form of the pion pole term in $\mathrm{H}_{0+}^{()}$can easily be understood from the fact that as $k!q$ one picks up as a residue the forward $N$ scattering am pltude which at threshold is expressed in term s of the tw $\circ$ S \{w ave scattering lengths. The relation betw een axialpion production and the N scattering am plitude has also been elucidated by A dler in his sem inalw ork [4.84]. The constants $C_{H}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{M}$ subsum e num erous $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ \{ independent kinem atical, loop and counterterm corrections (the latter ones stem $m$ ainly from $L(\underset{N}{(3)}$ ) which we do not need for the follow ing discussion and which are di cult to pin down exactly. T here is, how ever, one exception to this. $T$ he chiralW ard identity @ $A^{b}=\mathrm{mqi}^{\mathrm{b}}{ }_{5} \mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}$ dem ands that $\mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~L}_{0+}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{0+} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}$ and thus w ith $\mathrm{k}_{0}=\mathrm{m}\left(2+{ }^{2}+\mathrm{m}=2(1+)\right.$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{H}^{(+)}=\frac{a^{+} F}{2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{M}^{2}} \frac{(0)}{8 M^{2} \mathrm{mF}}+\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{32 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~F}}=\frac{C_{2}+C_{3}}{4 \mathrm{mF}}  \tag{4:94}\\
& C_{\mathrm{H}}^{()}=\frac{2 g_{A}^{2}+5}{64 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~F}}:
\end{align*}
$$

The num erical values of the constants are $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{(+)}=1: 0 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}}{ }^{()}=0: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{3}$. $T$ he argum ent of the various nucleon form factors in (4.94) is the threshold value of the invariant $m$ om entum transfer squared $t_{t h r}=\left(q \quad k_{t h r}=\left(k^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)=(1+\quad)=\right.$
$k^{2} \quad M^{2}+O\left(q^{3}\right)$. O f particular interest is the low \{energy theorem for $L_{0+}^{(+)}$where one has the follow ing slope at the photon point $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$

$$
{\frac{@ L_{0+}^{(+)}}{@ k^{2}}}_{k^{2}=0}=\frac{{ }^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)}{3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~F}}+O(\mathrm{M})=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}{128^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{3}} \frac{6}{5} \arctan \frac{1}{2}+O(\mathrm{M}):
$$

It is very interesting to note that although $\mathrm{L}_{0+}^{(+)}$vanishes identically in the chiral lim it $M=0$ the slope at $k^{2}=0$ stays nite. $T$ he form al reason for this behaviour is the non \{analytic dependence of $\mathrm{L}_{0+}^{(+)}$on M which does not allow to interchange the order of taking the derivative w ith respect to $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ at $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$ and the chiral lim it. N otice also that for $\mathrm{k}^{2}$, 0 and assum ing that $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(+)}$of the order of $1 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{3}$, the term proportional to the scalar form factor $\left(M^{2}\right) \quad(0)=4$ dom inates the behaviour of $\mathrm{I}_{0_{+}^{(+)}}^{()}$using the num bers from the recent analysis of $G$ asser, Leutw yler and Sainio [4.86]. In principle, an accurate $m$ easurem ent of th is particularm ultipole in weak pion production allow s for a new determ ination of the elusive nucleon scalar form factor and the $N$ \{term. This $m$ ight open the possibility of another determ ination of this fundam ental quantity. In the standard $m$ odel, the axialpart of the w eak neutral current is the third com ponent of the isovector axial current. To see this $m$ ost interesting correction, one should therefore consider neutral neutrino reactions like $\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{p}^{0}$ (in that case the zero lepton m ass approxim ation is justi ed). F irst, however, a com plete calculation involving also the isovector vector current has to be perform ed to nd out how cleanly one can separate this $m$ ultipole in the analysis of neutrino\{induced single pion production. For that, it $w$ ill be $m$ andatory to include the resonance since the presently available data are concentrated around this m ass region [4.87]. In parity \{violating electron scattering, the interference of this axial current w ith the vector one is suppressed by the factor (1 $4 \sin ^{2} w$ ), w ith $\sin ^{2} w^{\prime} \quad 0.23$ the $w$ einberg (w eak $m$ ixing) angle.

In contrast to this, the behaviour of $\mathrm{H}_{0_{+}^{(+)}}^{( }$, which also contains the scalar form factor, is dom inated by the pion pole term proportional to $a^{+}$. At $\mathrm{k}^{2}=0$, one nds $\mathrm{H}_{0+}^{(+)}=32: 8 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}+\left((0) \quad\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)\right) \quad 14: 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{3} \quad 0: 14 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The uncertainty in $a^{+}, a^{+}=0: 38 \quad 10=\mathrm{M}$, gives as large a contribution as the tem proportional to the scalar form factor.

F inally, we point out that A dler's relation betw een weak single pion production and the elastic neutrino \{nucleon cross section at low energies [4.88] is also modi ed by the novel term proportional to the scalar form factor of the nucleon.
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## V.THENUCLEON\{NUCLEON INTERACTION

O ne of the best studied ob jects in nuclear physics is the interaction betw een two nucleons. It is well\{known that to a high degree of accuracy one can consider nuclei as $m$ ade ofnucleons w hidh behave non \{ relativistically and interact pair\{w ise. Furtherm ore, three\{ and m any \{body forces are believed to be $s m$ all. This has lead to the construction of sem i\{phenom enological boson exchange potentials. T hese describe accurately deuteron properties and low \{energy nucleon \{nucleon phase shifts. T he salient feature of these potentials [5.1\{5.9] can be sum m arized as follow s. At large separation, there is one\{pion exchange rst introduced by Yukaw a 5.1]. T he interm ediate\{range attraction betw een tw o nucleons can be understood in term s ofa ctitious scalar\{ isoscalar \{m eson w ith a m ass of approxim atively 550 M eV . ! \{m eson exchange gives rise to part of the short\{range repulsion and the features prom inently in the isovector\{tensor channel, where it cuts dow $n \mathrm{~m}$ ost of the pion tensor potential. T here are, of course, di erences in the various potentials but these will not be discussed here. Aswew ill show in what follow s, the e ective chiral Lagrangian approach of Q CD can be used to gain some insight into the question why these potentials work after all. O ne can also extend these considerations to $m$ any \{nucleon forces as well as $m$ eson \{exchange currents. The latter are the cleanest signal of non \{nucleonic degrees of freedom in nuclei, in particular of pions [5.10,5.11]. F irst, how ever, we have to discuss som e technical subtleties related to the appearance of di erent energy scales in two (and many) nucleon system $s$.

## V.1.GENERALCONSIDERATIONS

$T$ he consequences of the spontaneous chiral sym $m$ etry breakdow $n$ for the problem of the forces betw een nucleons were rst discussed by W einberg [5.12,5.13]. Since in his papers and the subsequent ones of the Texas/Seattle group $[5.14,5.15,5.16,5.17,5.18]$ another language than the previously discussed one is used, we rst have to review the construction of the chiral Lagrangian and the power counting in this schem e. We will then address the problem of $s m$ all energy scales (sm all energy denom inators) related to the nuclear binding. Since $S U(2) \quad S U(2)$ is locally isom onphic to $S O$ (4) and SU (2) SO (3), one can use stereographic coordinates to describe the G oldstone bosons living on the three sphere $S^{3} \quad \mathrm{SO}(4) / \mathrm{SO}$ (3). The covariant derivative of the pions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{D}=\frac{@ \sim}{2 D F} ; \quad D=1+\frac{\sim^{2}}{4 F^{2}} \quad: \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otige that we use $\mathrm{F}=93 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ in contrast to the conventions of refs.[5.12-5.18] whidh have $\mathrm{F}=186 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{N}$ ucleons are decribed by a D irac spinor N , which is also a Pauli spinor in isospace. The e ective chiral Lagrangian is constructed out of the elds D , N and their covariant derivatives,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}=@ \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{D}  \tag{5:2}\\
& \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~N}=(@+\tau E) \mathrm{N}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E=i(\sim \quad \tau)=F$ and $\tilde{\tau}=\sim=2$ the isospin generators in the $\frac{1}{2}$ representation (form ore details, see appendix C.There it is also shown how to include the resonance in this fram ew ork). The $m$ ost general e ective chiral Lagrangian follow s by considering all possible isoscalar term s and im posing proper Lorentz, parity and tim e\{reversal invariance and hem iticity. The explicit chiral sym $m$ etry breaking is due to the fourth com ponent of a chiral four vector $w$ ith coe cient ( $m u+m_{d}$ ) $=2$. The construction of these term $s$ is also discussed in appendix $C$.
$C$ onsider now the $S$ \{ $m$ atrix for the scattering process of $N$ incom ing and $N$ outgoing nucleons, all with $m$ om enta $s m$ aller than som e scale $Q$, say $Q \quad M \quad$ ' m . This means that the nucleons are non \{relativistic and it thus is appropriate to use old \{fashioned tim e\{ordered perturbation theory. In that case, one deals $w$ ith energy denom inators for the interm ediate states instead of the usual particle propagators. The idea is now to order all contributions in pow ens of $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{T}$ his is, how ever, not straightforw ard. In fact, as willbecom e clear later, one is dealing with a three scale problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \quad Q \quad \frac{Q^{2}}{2 m} \quad: \tag{5:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he appearance of the nucleon $m$ ass $m$ is obvious and the related scale can be rem oved by either de ning velocity $\{$ dependent elds (cf. section 3.3) or using the equation of m otion to elim inate the large tim e derivatives, $@_{0} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~N}$, as described below [5.13]. The occurence of the third ( sm all) scale $Q^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ is related to the presence of shallow nuclear bound states. In fact, consider a tim e\{ordered diagram with only N nucleons in the interm ediate state. The energy denom inator associated to such a diagram is of order $Q^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, whereas all other diagram $s$ contain at least one pion in the interm ediate state and have energy denom inators of order $Q$. The appearance of this sm all scale causes the perturbation theory to diverge and leads to the form ation of nuclear bound states. It is instructive to understand this in $m$ ore detail from conventional Feynm an diagram techniques. For that, consider the box graph (called I) shown in g.5.1 for static nucleons. For a nucleon at rest, the propagator takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{N}(q)=\overline{q^{0}+i} \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the pro jection $m$ atrix onto positive energy, zero $m$ om entum $D$ irac $w$ ave functions.


Fig. 5.1: The box diagram for NN scattering discussed in the text. Solid (dashed) lines give nucleons (pions) and the pertinent $m$ om enta are exhibited.

O ne nds for I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { I } \quad Z \quad d^{4} q \frac{1}{q^{0}+i} \frac{1}{q^{0}} \frac{P(q)}{Z} \quad d q^{0} \frac{1}{q^{0}+i} \frac{1}{\left.q^{0} M^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the polynom ial $P$ ( $q$ ) includes term $s$ that are non \{vanishing as $q^{0}$ goes to zero. $C$ onsequently, the integral over $q^{0}$ in I has an infrared ( $\mathbb{R}$ ) divergence. The contour of integration is pinched betw een the two poles at $q^{0}=i$ and it is therefore im possible to distort it in such a w ay to avoid these singularities. This is distinctively di erent from the single\{nucleon case discussed in the previous sections. O fcourse, this $\mathbb{R}$ divergence is an artefact of the approxim ation (5.4), i.e. treating the nucleons as static. Indeed, if one includes the nucleon kinetic energy, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=\mathrm{Nr}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}=2 \mathrm{~m}$, the poles are shifted to $q^{0}$, $\left[q^{2}=2 m \quad i\right]$ and the $Q$ integral has the nite value $\left.2 i m=\dot{q}\right\}$ from the counting of m all m om enta one would expect this integral to scale as $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ (since each propagator scales as $Q^{1}$ ), i.e. it is enhanced by a large factor $m=Q$. This enhancem ent is at the heart of the nuclear binding. Such sm all scales can only come from reducible diagram sand to avoid these, one de nes an e ective potential as the sum of tim e\{ordered perturbation theory graphs for the T \{ m atrix excluding those w ith pure nucleon interm ediate states [5.12,5.13]. The fullm achinery of expanding in powers of $Q$ is therefore only applied to the reducible diagram $s$ and the full $S$ \{ $m$ atrix is obtained by solving a Lippm ann \{Schw inger or Schrodinger equation $w$ ith the ective potential. T his will be discussed in $m$ ore detail when we consider the $N N$ \{potential. At this point we should stress that this separation of reducible versus irreducible diagram s is unavoidable but stillposes som e concem to the purist since in the process of solving such bound state problem s , one can not com pletely exclude som e large m om entum com ponents.

To rem ove the scale $m$ from the problem, one can $m$ ake use of a eld rede nition and replace the tim e derivative of the nucleon eld by the nucleon eld equations [5.13]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i@ } 0 \quad \frac{1}{2 D F^{2}} \tau \quad(\sim \quad 0 巴) N=m+\frac{g_{A}}{D F} \tau \quad(\sim \tilde{r}) \sim+::: N \tag{5:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So the chiral invariant tim e derivative of the nucleon eld in the interaction Lagrangian sim ply changes the coe cients of other term sallow ed (and required) by chiral sym $m$ etry. $T$ herefore, one can sim ply adopt a de nition of the elds and the constants in $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{e}}$ such that no tim e derivatives appear. A ltematively, one could use the $m$ ethods described in section 3.3 .

In sum $m$ ary, once the scales $m$ and $Q^{2}=2 m$ are rem oved by considering irreducible diagram $s$ and using appropriate eld de nitions, one can order all rem aining contributions to the $N$ \{nucleon forces in powers of $Q=m \quad Q=M . T o$ do that, we have to extend the power counting schem e discussed in section 32 (since there it was assum ed that only one nucleon line runs through a given diagram ). Let us do that in tim e\{ordered perturbation theory. Derivatives are counting as order $Q$, pion elds as $Q{ }^{1=2}$ (using
the conventional nom alization $\quad 1=\overline{=} \overline{2!}$ for pion elds), interm ediate nucleons or ' $S^{\text {* }}$ as $Q^{1}$ and loop integrals as $d^{3} k \quad Q^{3}$. The chiral dim ension of a graph $w$ ith $E_{n}$ extemal nucleon lines, $D$ interm ediate states, $L$ loops, $C$ connected pieces and $V_{i}$ vertioes of type i ( $w$ ith $d_{i}$ derivatives or pion $m$ asses and $n_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)$ nucleon (pion) elds) follow $s$ to be (we set C = 1 for the m om ent) $5.12,5.13,5.14]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=3 L D+{ }_{I}^{X} V_{i}\left(d_{i} \frac{p_{i}}{2}\right) \tag{5:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using the topological identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& D={ }_{i} \quad V_{i} \quad 1  \tag{5:7a}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}+1  \tag{5:7b}\\
& \text { i } \\
& 2 I+E_{n}={ }^{X} \quad V_{i}\left(p_{i}+n_{i}\right)  \tag{5:7c}\\
& \text { i }
\end{align*}
$$

w ith I the total num ber of intemal lines, one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2 L+2 \frac{1}{2} E_{n}+{ }^{X} V_{i} \quad i  \tag{5:8}\\
& i=d_{i}+\frac{1}{2} n_{i} \quad 2:
\end{align*}
$$

In case of $C>1$, this generalizes to

$$
=2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{C})+4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}+_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{i}: \tag{5:9}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is now im portant to notioe that chiral sym $m$ etry dem ands

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i } 0 \quad \text { : } \tag{5:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his can easily be understood. O perators involving pions only have at least tw o derivatives or tw o pow ers ofm and nucleon bilinears have at least one derivative. A s before, to lowest order one calculates tree diagram swith $i=0$. Loop diagram $s$ are suppressed by powers of $Q^{2}$. W e have now assembled all tools to take a closer look at the nucleon \{nucleon potential and the problem of $m$ any \{nucleon forces.

[^13]

Fig. 5.2: Lowest order diagram $s$ contributing to the $N N$ interaction. (a) is a set of four\{nucleon contact term $s$ and (a) is the one\{pion exchange.

## V.2.THE NUCLEON \{NUCLEON POTENTIAL

From eq.(5.8) it follow $s$ that for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=4(\mathrm{C}=1)$, the m inim um value of is given by $L=0$ (tree diagram $s$ ) $w$ ith $i=0$. The latter condition can eitherbe ful lled having diagram $\mathrm{s} w$ th $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=2$ (one\{pion exchange) or having $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=4$ (four\{nucleon contact term s) (see g.52).
$T$ he corresponding Lagrangian reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(0)}=L \quad+L_{N}+L_{N N}=\frac{1}{2 D^{2}}(@ \sim)^{2} \quad \frac{M^{2}}{2 D} \sim^{2} \\
&  \tag{5:11}\\
& N \quad \text { i@ } \quad m \quad \frac{g_{A}}{2 D F} \tau \quad(\sim \tilde{r}) \sim \quad \frac{1}{2 D F^{2}} \tau \quad(\sim \quad 0 @) N \\
& \\
& \\
& \frac{1}{2} C_{S}(N N)(N N)+\frac{1}{2} C_{T}(N \sim N) \\
& N(\sim N)
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{S}$ and $C_{T}$ are new low \{energy constants related to $L$ of eq.(3.10). N otice that because ofFerm istatistics ( $F$ ierz rearrangem ent) one can rew rite a non \{derivative four\{nucleon contact term involving שas a com bination of the last tw o term $s$ in (5.11). It is straightforw ard to construct the interaction H am iltonian related to $\mathrm{L}^{(0)}$ as detailed in refs. $5.12,5.13]$. For the tw o\{nucleon case, the e ective potential derived then is sim ply the sum of one\{pion exchange and a contact interaction arising from the tw o last term $s$ in eq.(5.11). O ne nds in coordinate space

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{12}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2}
\end{array}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}} \sim_{1} & 2^{2}
\end{array}\right]^{(3)}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right) \\
& \frac{g_{A}}{F}{ }^{2}\left(\tau_{1} \tau_{2}\right)\left(\sim_{1} \tilde{r}_{1}\right)\left(\sim_{2} \tilde{r}_{2}\right) Y\left(\begin{array}{lll}
j_{1} & \sim_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1
\end{array} 2^{0}\right) \tag{5:12}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $Y(r)=\exp (M r)=4 r$ the standard Yukawa function. $C$ learly, the potential (5.12) is only a crude approxim ation to the $N N$ forces. In particular, the correlated $J=$ $\mathrm{T}=0$ pion pair exchange that is believed to fumish the interm ediate range attraction is hidden in the constant $C_{S}$. A s stressed by $W$ einberg [5.12,5.13], the constanst $C_{S}$ has to be "unnaturally" large to lead to shallow nuclear bound states. If one considers e.g. the $L=0$ spin singlet state and approxim ates the potentialiby C ${ }^{(3)}\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)$, w ith
$C=C_{S} \quad 3 C_{T}+g_{A}^{2}=4 F^{2}$, one can solve the Lippm ann $\{$ Schw inger equation in $m$ on entum space and nds after renorm alization ( $C$ ! $C_{R}$ ) a bound state w ith binding energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{16^{2}}{m^{3} C_{R}^{2}} \tag{5:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to naive dim ensionalanalysis [5.19] one expects $C_{R}=2^{2} \quad 1={ }^{2} \quad 1 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. H ow ever, to get the deuteron binding energy of $B=2.22 \mathrm{MeV}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}}=2{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust have the large value of $(260 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})^{2}$. This then suggests that $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{m} \quad(\mathrm{Q}=)^{2}$ and one adopts the rule that a pure nucleon interm ediate state counts as if it contributes two m ore pow ers of $1=Q$ than any other interm ediate state. N otige also that the low est order N N potential leaves no room for the short-range repulsion or the spin \{orbit forces and alike. O n the other hand, one\{pion exchange is know $n$ to describe w ell the higher partialw aves in $N N$ scattering at low energies.

In the work of refs.[5.16-5.18], the was also put in the e ective theory based on the closeness of this resonance to the nucleon ground state [5.20], i.e. m m M . In that case, one has additional low est order term $s$, collected in $L^{(0)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(0)}=\left[\text { [10 } \frac{1}{2 F^{2} D} \varkappa^{(3=2)} \quad\left(\sim 0_{0}^{@}\right) m \quad m\right. \\
& \left.\frac{h_{A}}{2 F \mathrm{D}} \mathbb{N} T \quad S(\quad r) \sim+h: C:\right] \quad \mathrm{N} \sim \mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{~N} S[T+h: C:]+::: \tag{5:14}
\end{align*}
$$

w here the ellipsis stands for term $s$ involving m ore 's which are irrelevant for the N N potential. The constant $h_{A}$ can be calculated e.g. from the decay $w$ idth ( ! $N$ ), $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}}$, 2:7. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is a new low fenergy constant and only enters the calculation of 3 N (or m ore) forces.

To calculate corrections, one also has to consider the term sw ith $\quad i=1$ and $\quad i=2$. T hese are discussed in detail in refs. [5.14,5.16,5.17]. W e only give a short outline of the pertinent e ective Lagrangians here. For $L^{(1)}$, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(1)}=\frac{B_{1}}{4 F^{2} D^{2}} N N\left[(r \sim)^{2} \quad\left(G_{0} \sim\right)^{2}\right] \quad \frac{B_{2}}{4 F^{2} D^{2}} N \tau \sim N \quad(r \sim \quad r \sim) \frac{B_{3} M^{2}}{4 F^{2} D} N N^{2} \\
& \frac{D_{1}}{2 F D} N N N(\tau \quad r \sim) N \frac{D_{2}}{2 F D}(N \tau \sim N \quad N \tau \sim N) \quad r \sim \\
& \frac{1}{2} E_{1} N N N \text { שN } \quad N \text { שN } \quad \frac{1}{2} E_{2} N N N \quad \tau \sim N \quad N ~ \tau \sim N \\
& \frac{1}{2} E_{3}(\mathbb{N} \tau \sim N \quad N \tau \sim N) \quad N \tau \sim N+:: ~: \tag{5:15}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the $B_{i}, D_{i}$ and $E_{i}$ are new param eters. $B_{3}$ is obviously related to the $N$ \{term and $B_{1 ; 2}$ could be determ ined from $N$ scattering (cf. section 4.3). At present, this has
not been done but all $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are left free. T he six \{ferm ion term s proportional to $E_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ do not enter the $N N$ potential. A lso, term $s w$ ith explicit 's are not show $n$. $T$ he term $s w$ ith $i=2$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{(2)}= & \frac{1}{2 m} N r^{2} N \quad \frac{A_{1}^{0}}{2 F} \mathbb{N}\left(\tau \sim r \sim^{2} \mathbb{N}+\overline{r^{2} N}(\tau \quad \sim r \sim) \mathbb{N}\right. \\
& \frac{A_{2}^{0}}{2 F} \overline{r N}(\tau \sim r \sim) \quad r N_{1}^{0}\left[(\mathbb{N C N})^{2}+\overline{\left.\left.(r N N)^{2}\right] \quad C_{2}^{0}(\mathbb{N} r N) \quad \overline{(r N N}\right)+:::}\right. \tag{5:16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $A_{i}^{0}$ and $C_{i}^{0}$ are undeterm ined coe cients and the ellipsis denotes other term S $w$ ith two derivatives or $m$ ore pion elds. Because only the term proportional to $B_{1}$ contains a tim e derivative, the corresponding interaction H am iltonian can be taken as
$L^{(1)} \quad L^{(2)}$ up to term $s w$ ith $m$ ore pion elds.
W e are now in the position to system atically discuss the corrections to the low est order potential $\mathrm{V}_{12}^{(0)}$, eq.(5.12). A s already noted in [5.13], the rst corrections arise from the sam e graphs as in $g .52 \mathrm{w}$ ith exactly one insertion from $\mathrm{L}^{(1)} . \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, since all tim e derivatives have been elim inated, one would have to construct a vertex with an odd num ber of three\{m om enta. T his clashes $w$ ith parity and one therefore concludes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{12}^{(1)}=0 \quad: \tag{5:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he second corrections fall into tw o classes. The rst one are tree graphs w ith exactly one vertex or kinetic energy insertion from $L^{(2)}$, leading to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{12}^{(2)}(q ; \widetilde{K})=\frac{2 g_{A}}{F} \tau_{1} \tau_{2} \frac{\sim_{1}}{q^{2}+\mathrm{M}^{2}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{q}_{1} q^{2}+A_{2} \widetilde{K}^{2}+\frac{2 g_{A}}{\left(q^{2}+M^{2}\right)^{1=2}} E \quad \frac{q^{2}}{4 m} \frac{\mathbb{K}^{2}}{m}+C_{1} q^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ th $q=p \quad p^{0}$ the transferred $m$ om entum, $\tilde{k}=\left(\theta+p^{0}\right)=2,2 m+E$ the energy in the center ofm ass and $p\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ is the initial (nal) om m om entum. The $A_{i} ; C_{i}$ are com binations of the $A_{i}^{0} ; C_{i}^{0}$ in (5.16). Second, there are the one\{loop contributions, i.e. the tw ofpion exchange, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{loop}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{no}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{one}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{tw} \mathrm{o} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{s}}}^{(2)} \tag{5:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to no, one and two isobars in the interm ediate states. The rst term on the rh.s. of (5.20) reads [5.14]

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{12 ; \mathrm{no}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{32 \mathrm{~F}^{4}} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} l}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{!_{+}!} \frac{(!++!)^{2}}{!_{+}+!} \quad \frac{g_{A}}{2 \mathrm{~F}^{2}}{ }^{2} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} l}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{!_{+}!} \frac{q^{2} I^{2}}{!_{+}+!} \\
& \frac{1}{4} \frac{g_{A}}{2 F^{2}}{ }^{4}{ }^{Z} \frac{d^{3} l}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{!_{+}^{3}!} \quad \frac{3}{!}+\frac{8 \tau_{1} \tau_{2}}{!++!} \quad\left(q^{2} \quad I^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +4 \frac{3}{!++!}+\frac{8 \tau_{1} \tau_{2}}{!} \sim_{1} \quad\left(\text { व I) } \sim_{2} \quad\right. \text { (q I) } \tag{5:20a}
\end{align*}
$$

## q

with! =
(a I) ${ }^{2}+4 M^{2}$ and the explicit expressions for the contributions $w$ th one or tw o interm ediate isobars can be found in ref.[5.16]. F inally, the third corrections have also been evaluated. For the sam e reason as discussed above, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \text { tree }}^{(3)}=0 \text {; } \tag{5:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the one\{loop graphs one has exactly one insertion from eq.(5.15) leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \text { loop }}^{(3)}=\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{no}}^{(3)}+\mathrm{V}_{12 \text {;one }}^{(3)} \tag{5:22a}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}_{12 ; \mathrm{no}}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{A}}}{2 \mathrm{~F}^{2}} 2^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{l}}{(2)^{3}} \frac{1}{!_{+}^{2}!^{2}} & 3\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{I}^{2}\right)^{2} 4 \mathrm{M}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{1}\left(\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{I}^{2}\right) \\
& +16 \mathrm{~B}_{2 \sim_{1}} \quad \text { (q I) } \sim_{2}
\end{align*} \begin{array}{r}
\text { (q I) } \tau_{1} \tau_{2}
\end{array}
$$

and the corresponding expression with one interm ediate is given in ref.[5.16]. W e are now at the point to discuss the structure of the $m$ om entum space potentials. The term proportional to $A_{1}$ in (5.19) can be considered as com ing from the expansion of the pion \{nucleon form factor in powers ofm om enta over the cut\{o. Indeed, a typical $m$ onopole form factor $F_{M}\left(q^{2}\right)={ }^{2}=\left({ }^{2}+q^{2}\right)$ would am ount to $A_{1}=2={ }^{2}=2 \mathrm{GeV}{ }^{2}$ for $=1 \mathrm{GeV} . \mathrm{The}_{2}\{$ term is a so\{called non-adiabatic correction and the last term in the square brackets in (5.19) is the energy \{dependent recoilcorrection. Thism odi ed one\{pion exchange gives the long\{range part of the potential. At interm ediate distances, the two \{pion exchange generated from the one\{loop diagram scom es in. $M$ any ofthe box and crossed box diagram s are well-know n from the w ork ofB ruckner and $W$ atson [5.21], Sugaw ara and von H ippel [5.22] and Sugaw ara and O kubo [5.23]. H ow ever, the diagram s w ith one N N \{vertex have coe cients which are either xed by chiral sym m etry (like e.g. $g_{A}^{4}$ or $\left(g_{A} h_{A}\right)^{2}$ ), or are in principle determ ined from $N$ scattering (the $\left.B_{1 ; 2 ; 3}\right)$. We com e back to these later on. Furtherm ore, at this order there is no correlated tw o\{pion exchange, it only show s up at order ( $Q=\mathrm{M})^{4}$ and higher. T his is consistent with the analysis of the interm ediate\{range attraction $m$ ade in ref. [5.24] based on the spectral analysis of the scalar pion form factor. All physics of shorter ranges is buried in the various contact term s, i.e. the coe cients C ${ }_{i}$. T he various loop integrals like (5 20a) or ( 5.22 b ) are alldivergent. At present, this is treated by a m om entum space cut\{o. The form of the cut\{o function is chosen to be gaussian as in the N ijn egen approach [5.9]. Speci cally, allloop $m$ om enta lare cut o by $\exp \left(I^{2}={ }^{2}\right)$. Furtherm ore, since as argued before all $m$ om enta should be sm aller than som e scale, the transferred $m$ om entum $q$ is also dam ped w ith the sam e type of cut $\left\{0, \exp \left(q^{2}={ }^{2}\right)\right.$. In practise, $=M$ is chosen. O f course, one would like to see a m ore elegant regularization em ployed such
as dim ensional regularization. T he potential is then transform ed into coordinate space, where it is energy-dependent and takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{r} ; \frac{\varrho}{\varrho r} ; \frac{@^{2}}{@ \mathrm{r}^{2}} ; \mathrm{E}\right) O^{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{5:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}\left(r ; \frac{@}{@ r} ; \frac{@^{2}}{@ r^{2}} ; E\right)=V_{p}^{0}(r ; E)+V_{p}^{1}(r ; E) \frac{@}{@ r}+V_{p}^{2}(r ; E) \frac{@^{2}}{@ r^{2}} ; \tag{5:23a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $O{ }^{p=1 ;:: ; 20}$ are a com plete basis of operators made of the $\sim_{i}$, the $\sim_{i}(i=1 ; 2)$, the tensor operator $S_{12}$, the total spin operator $S=\left(\sim_{1}+\sim_{2}\right)=2$ as well as the angular m om entum operator $\mathbb{I}=$ ir $\tilde{r}$. A lltogether, the potential contains 26 param eters, but it should be stressed that som e of these are indeed not free but given by constraints from $N$ scattering. Let us brie $y$ dicuss the connection between the $B_{i}(i=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ and the various $c_{i}$ discussed in section 3.4. O ne nds 5.25]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{1}=4 \mathrm{C}_{3}=13: 6 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} ; \mathrm{B}_{2}=8 \mathrm{C}_{1}=7: 0 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} ; \mathrm{B}_{3}=4 \mathrm{q} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}=17: 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} ; \tag{5:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the central values of the $c_{i}$ from section 3.4. These constraints have not yet been im plem ented in the num erical calculations. Furtherm ore, in the tting procedure of ref. [5.17], even the fundam ental param eters $F, g_{A}$ and $h_{A}$ were left free.
$T$ he param eters are xed from a best $t$ to deuteron properties (binding energy, $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent and electric quadrupole $m$ om ent) and the np and pp phase shifts $w$ ith $\mathrm{J} \quad 2$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\text {lab }} \quad 100 \mathrm{MeV}$. The higher partial w aves are supposedly dom inated by one pion exchange and were therefore not used to constrain the $t$ [5.17]. The results for the deuteron properties are sum $m$ arized in table 5.1 and som e typical phases are show $n$ in g .5 .3 ( m ore of these can be found in ref.[5.17]). The resulting values for $F, g_{A}$ and $h_{A}$ are $86 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}, 1.33$ and 2.03, respectively, not too far from their em pirical vahues. U sing the $G$ oldberger\{ $T$ reim an relation, this corresponds to a pion \{nucleon coupling constant of 14.5 .

| O bservable | F it | Exp. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B M eV] | 2.18 | 2224579 (9) |
| d [ n m.] | 0.851 | 0.857406 (1) |
| Q [ $\mathrm{fm}^{2}$ ] | 0231 | 02859 (3) |
|  | 0.0239 | 0.0271 (4) |

Table 1: Deuteron properties: binding energy B, magnetic moment d, quadrupole m om ent Q and the asym ptotic $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{S}$ ratio [5.17]. The data are from ref. 5 26].


Fig. 5.3: Best to som e partialwaves. P hase shifts in degrees versus $\mathrm{T}_{\text {lab }}$ in M eV. W e thank L. Ray and U. van K olck for supplying us with the pertinent num bers.

The calculated D \{state probability is 5\%, i.e. of com parable size to what one gets from the B onn or Paris potential. The $L=0$ singlet and triplet scattering lengths are predicted to be -15.0 fm and 5.46 fm , in fair agreem ent $w$ th the em pirical values of -16.4 (1.9) fm and 5.396(11) fm [527], respectively. A close look at table 1 and the phase shifts reveals that the $t$ is not too satisfactory, in particular the deviation in the deuteron quadrupole $m$ om ent as well as in certain $P\{w$ aves are quite substantial for the accuracy one is used from the sem i-phenom enological potentials. H olinde has argued [528] that som e of these discrepancies reside in the asym m etric treatm ent of the pions and tho $m$ esons. In particular, the ne cancellations betw een the tensor forces from the the and the are unbalanced here. This in tum leads to an overall unsatisfactory tensor force which m ostly show s up in the before m entioned observables. At present, it is not clear how one has to go about these problem s. C learly, m ore detailed ts allow ing also for variations in the cut-o function and its associated cut o are called for and as already stressed a few tim es, the strictures from the single nucleon sector on som e of the param eters should be enforced. On the positive side, it is worth pointing out that such
a straightforw ard potentialbased solely on chiral sym $m$ etry constraints can describe the low \{energy NN phases and deuteron properties within som e accuracy.
V.3.MORETHAN TW O NUCLEONS

N ucleons interact mainly via tw o\{body foroes. H ow ever, there is som e indication of sm all three\{nucleon forces (for a recent review see ref. (5 29]). Standard tw o\{nucleon potentials when em ployed to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ tend to lead to an underbinding of typically 0.5 to 5 M eV .This in tum m eans that if this discrepancy is due to a three\{nucleon force, it has to be $s m$ all, typically a few percent of the 2 N force. H ow ever, recent calculations of the Bochum group [5.30] have indicated that a ne\{tuning of the NN \{potential can lead to a satisfactory description of the three\{nucleon system. This, how ever, involves rather large charge $\{$ sym $m$ etry breaking e ects. The chiralLagrangian analysis can shed som e light about the size of three (and $m$ any) nucleons foroes to be expected as $w$ ill be discussed in this section. The role of chiral sym $m$ etry, i.e. the use of the pseudovector
N coupling leading to sm all 3N foroes has long been conjectured [5.31,5.32]. A s w ill be show $n$, this can now be put on $m$ er grounds.

To leading order, the potentialbetween A nucleons is sim ply given by a pair\{w ise sum of the lowest order two\{nucleon potential (5.12) since decreasing the num ber of connected pieces C costs powers of Q, cf. eq.(5.9). Therefore, $m$ in $=6$ 3A leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(0)}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; \mathfrak{x}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}^{(2)}\left(x_{i} \quad \text { 留 }\right) ; \tag{5:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ij)
where the sum runs over allnucleon pairs. $T$ his is a rather crude approxim ation. Therefore, one has to consider corrections [5.13,5.18]. W e follow here the recent analysis by van K olck [5.18]. To order $=\mathrm{m}$ in +2 , one has the follow ing form for the potential between A nucleons:

```
X3
    V }\mp@subsup{}{}{(n)}(\mp@subsup{x}{1}{};:::;\mp@subsup{{}{\textrm{c}}{\textrm{A}
n=0
```



```
(ij) n=0 (ijk)n=2 (ij;kl)n=2
```

At this order, the 2 N potential contains the one\{pion exchange recoil (5.19) am ong other tem s . The 3 N potential consists of the three types of term shown in g.5.4. and the double pair potential $V_{2 ; 2}$ is $m$ ade of tw 0 sets of diagram $s$, the rst being two disconnected OPE graphs and the second one one OPE graph separated from a lowest order tw o\{nucleon contact term. It was rst shown by $W$ einberg [5.12] that all diagram s containing the non\{linear N vertex add up to zero to low est order. Furthem ore, as detailed in [5.18], the rem aining three\{body forces and double| pair forces are canceled by the energy-dependence of the two\{body potential when the latter is iterated in the


Fig. 5.4: Tree graphs contributing to the three\{nucleon potential. For each class of contributions, one typical diagram is shown. All other irreducible tim e orderings have to be considered.

Liepm ann-Schw inger equation. Such kind of cancellation had been notioed before [5.33] and it m eans that if one chooses to w ork w ith an energy \{dependent $\mathrm{N} N$ \{potential, one has to include at the sam e tim e 3 N and double\{2N foroes calculated consistently in the sam e fram ew ork.

The corrections at next order, $=\mathrm{m}$ in +3 are discussed in [5.18]. The correction to the double\{pair potential vanishes for the sam e reasons discussed before (5.17) and the rem aining 3 N potential takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{3}{ }^{(3)}\left(\mathcal{C}_{i j} ; \mathcal{G}_{j k}\right)=E_{1} \tau_{i} \tau_{k}+E_{2} \sim_{i} \quad \kappa_{k} \tau_{i} \tau_{k}+E_{3} \sim_{j} \quad\left(\quad \tau_{k}\right) \tau_{j} \quad \tau_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2} \tau_{j} \tau_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right) \sim_{j} \quad\left(g_{k}\right)+\text { two cyclic perm utations of (ijk); } \tag{5:27}
\end{align*}
$$

which contains 8 param eters, three of which are in principle xed by $N$ scattering, cf. ( 5.24 ), and the $D_{i}$ could be determ ined form \{deuteron scattering or pion production on two\{nucleon system s . The three $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can only be xed from data on 3 N system s . Such an analysis is not yet available. A sim pli cation arises if one includes the (1232) in the e ective Lagrangian. In that case, one has an additional3N force oforder $=\mathrm{m}$ in +2 which has the form (527) and the corresponding low \{energy constants can be expressed in term s of properties,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{1}!0 ; \mathrm{E}_{2}!\frac{1}{9} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} \text {; } \mathrm{E}_{3}!\quad \frac{1}{18} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{D}_{1}!\quad \frac{4}{9} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} \text {; } \mathrm{D}_{2}!\frac{2}{9} \frac{\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}} \text {; } \tag{5:28}
\end{align*}
$$

with $D_{T}$ a new low \{energy constant. The term sproportional to $h_{A}^{2}$, i.e. the two $\{$ pion exchange pieces, are nothing but the old Fu filla\{M iyazaw a force [5.34] which is
accom panied here by a shorter\{range contribution proportional to the param eter $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}$. $T$ he relation of these results to existing three\{nucleon force $m$ odels is discussed in [5.18]. No explicit 3 N calculation has yet been perform ed in the fram ew ork outlined here.

To sum m arize, the chiral Lagrangian approach im plies that few \{nucleon forces are generically sm aller than the dom inant tw o \{nucleon forces. T here are strong cancellations between the leading (static) 3N force, the double\{pair foroes and the iterated leading energy \{dependence of the tw o\{nucleon force. The rem aining 3N force is expected to be dom inated by the Fu jita \{M iyazaw a force plus a shorter\{range term involving one new param eter, $D_{T}$. These $3 N$ forces are expected to be of order $O\left(M^{2}=M{ }^{2}\right)$, i.e. som e 5\% of the $\mathrm{N} N$ contribution. By a sim ilar argum ent, one expects even sm aller 4 N foroes of order $O\left(M^{4}=M{ }^{4}\right)$ (less than 1\% com pared to the $N N$ contribution). C onsequently, this analysis leads one to expect that four\{nucleon system s are underbound by roughly four tim es the triton underbinding when pure $N N$ forces are used. These dim ensional argum ents have yet to be substantiated by a quantitative calculation in the fram ew ork outlined here.
V.4. THREE\{BODY INTERACTIONS BETW EEN NUCLEONS, PIONS AND PHOTONS

In the previous sections we saw that the calculation of the two\{body interactions between nucleons involves a large num ber of free param eters and that the resulting potential does not yet have the accuracy of the standard sem i\{phenom enological ones. It w as therefore proposed by W einberg [5.15] to use the em pirical know ledge about the two\{body interactions between nucleons as well as pions and nucleons and com bine these w ith the rem aining contributions from the potential of the sam e pow er in sm all $m$ om enta, which are graphs with three particles (or tw o pairs of particles) interacting. Stated di erently, if one looks at any nuclear process like elastic pion scattering, pion photoproduction and so on, the calculation of the $S\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { atrix elem ent }<\text { A } j_{j} j_{A}>\end{array}\right.$ is split into two parts. On one hand, chiral perturbation theory is used to calculate the irreducible kemel I to a certain power in $Q=M$ and on the other hand, one uses phenom enological input to construct the nuclear wave\{function A. The virtue of this $m$ ethod lies in the fact that it orders the relevant contributions to $I$ in a system atic fashion and thus can explain the dom inance of certain digram scontributing to a certain process (which is often already know $n$ from $m$ odels but also often not fiully understood). O ne thus encom passes m any of the problem swhich arise in the CHPT calculation of the NN interaction. H ow ever, one also looses a certain degree of consistency since one does not calculate nuclear w ave\{ fiunctions and operators in the sam e fram ew ork. This has to be kept in m ind in what follow s . H ow $\mathrm{m} u$ ch this could be im proved by system atically generating also the nuclear wave\{functions from a potential solely derived from chiral sym m etry as described in section 52 is not yet clear.

In ref.[5.15], this $m$ ethod is applied to pion scattering on com plex nuclei. To be m ore speci c , the calculation is sim pli ed by considering the corresponding scattering
length, i.e. the reaction w ith the in-com ing and out-going pion having vanishing three\{ m om entum. In this process, we have $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}$ extemal nucleons and $\mathrm{N}=1$ extemal pions. The leading irreducible graphs are those in which the pion scatters o a single nucleon, evaluated using the low est order vertioes with $i=0$ in the tree approxim ation (this is what is called the im pulse approxim ation). To second order in sm all mom enta, the two\{body interactions involving loop graphs and tree diagram $s w$ ith $i=1 ; 2$ are taken from phenom enological m odels of N scattering and the rem aining three\{body interactions between two nucleons and the pion (calculated from tree diagram sw ith ${ }_{i}=0$ vertioes) are shown in 9.5 .5 (these are the ones that contribute to the pion $\{$ nucleus scattering length).


Fig. 5.5: Irreducible connected graphs for the interaction of a pion with a pair of nucleons that contribute to the pion\{nucleus scattering length. Only one tim e\{ordered diagram per class is shown.
The details of the calculation are found in ref.[5.15]. The pion \{nucleus scattering length takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{a b}=\frac{1+M=m_{N}}{1+M=A m_{N}}{ }_{r}^{X} a_{a b}^{(r)}+a_{a b}^{\text {three body }} ; \tag{5:29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b$ are the pion isovector indices, $a_{a b}^{(r)}$ is the pion scattering length of the rth nucleon and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}$ is the nucleon m ass. The three\{body contribution stem m ing from diagram s 5.5a\{ftakes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\frac{g_{A}^{2} a b}{32^{4} F^{4}\left(1+M \quad=m_{d}\right)}}_{r<s}^{X} t^{(r)} t^{(s)} \frac{\theta_{r s}{ }^{(r)} \theta_{r s} \quad(s)}{q_{r s}^{2}+M^{2}} \\
& +\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{32^{4} F^{4}\left(1+M=m_{d}\right)}{ }_{r<s} \frac{\operatorname{GG}_{r s}^{2} t^{(r)} t^{(s)} a b+M^{2}\left(t_{a}^{(r)} t_{b}^{(s)}+t_{a}^{(s)} t_{b}^{(r)} \jmath_{r s} \quad{ }^{(r)} \Theta_{r s} \quad(s)\right.}{\left(\Theta_{r s}^{2}+M^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left.+\frac{g_{A}^{2} M}{132^{4} F^{4}\left(1+M=m_{d}\right)}{ }_{r<s}^{X}\left(t^{(r)}+t^{(s)}\right) \quad t()^{\prime}\right)_{a b} \frac{\text { Ørs }^{(r)} \Theta_{r s} \quad(s)}{\left(\mathscr{P}_{r s}^{2}+M^{2}\right)^{3=2}} \tag{5:30}
\end{align*}
$$

where r; s label individual nucleons and $\left(t_{c}^{(~)}\right)_{a b}=i_{a b c}$ is the pion isospin vector. N otice that there is som e cancellation between the second and third term in eq. (5.30) as $q_{r s}!1$ so that the result is less sensitive to the nuclear wave function at sm all separation (see also ref. [5.35]). For an isoscalar nucleus like the deuteron, the expressions in (5.30) sim plify considerably since the last term vanishes and $t_{a}^{(r)} t_{b}^{(s)}+t_{a}^{(s)} t_{b}^{(r)}$ can be replaced by $(2=3)$ ab $\not^{(r)} \tau^{(s)}$. Even $m$ ore im portant, for an isoscalar nucleus the nom inally leading term in eq. $(5$ 29) are vanishing since they involve an expectation value of ${ }_{r} t^{(r)} t^{()}$. So one is left $w$ ith sm allo $\left(M^{2}\right)$ contributions of the \{term type to the im pulse approxim ation. This is the reason why it $m$ akes sense to com pare the corrections calculated in CHPT directly w ith the em pirical values of the $d$ scattering length. U sing isospin sym $m$ etry, one can now calculate the two\{body contribution to the $d$ scattering length and nds $[5 \cdot 36,5.37]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+M=m_{N}}{1+M=m_{d}}\left(a_{p}+a_{n}\right)=(0: 021 \quad 0: 006) M^{1} ; \tag{5:31}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}$ the deuteron m ass. The rst term in (5.30) gives the well\{known and large rescattering contribution. It is $m$ uch bigger than the rem aining three\{body term $s$ due to the anom alously large radius of the deuteron. U sing em pirical inform ation on $N$ scattering to calculate the rescattering contribution (for details, see e.g. [5.37]), and the Bonn potential to produce the deuteron wave function for the calculation of the rem aining three\{body contributions [5.15], one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\text {th ree body }}=(0: 026 \quad 0: 001) \mathrm{M}^{1} \quad 0: 0005 \mathrm{M}^{1} ; \tag{5:32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst number refers to the rst term in ( 5.30 ) and the second one to the rem aining three\{body contributions. The latter ones are very sm all, well within the uncertainties of the other dom inant tem s. This justi es the nal theoretical result of (0:047 0:006) $\mathrm{M}^{1}$ in good agreem ent w ith the em piralvalue of (0:056 $\left.0: 009\right) \mathrm{M}^{1}$ [5.37]. This is a good exam ple how the chiral Lagrangian machinery can be used to explain why one is allow ed to take only certain graphs like the rescattering contribution but neglect the others which are of the sam e order in the expansion in sm allm om enta.

A sim ilar calculation has been been perform ed by Beane et al. [5.38] for pion photoproduction on nuclei. They have considered all corrections which are suppressed
by tw o pow ers in sm allm om enta as com pared to the low est order im pulse approxim ation using $i=0$ vertices. A gain, there is a single scattering contribution taken from phenom enology plus som e three\{body interactions (and disconnected graphs involving pairs of nucleons for A > 2). In the case of the deuteron and considering neutral pion photoproduction, the calculation sim pli es enorm eously. At threshold, the invariant $m$ atrix \{elem ent takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=2 \mathrm{i} \mathcal{J} \sim \mathrm{~F} ; \tag{5:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathcal{J}$ the spin of the deuteron. The single scattering contribution can be com pactly w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{ss}}=\frac{1+\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}}}{1+\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}} \mathrm{E}_{0+}^{{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{E}_{0+}^{{ }_{0}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{k}=2)=1: 33 \quad 13 \mathrm{M}^{1} \tag{5:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $S(k=2)$ the deuteron form factor evaluated for the threshold kinem atics $(k=0: 685$ $\mathrm{fm}^{1}$ ) and using the currently accepted value of $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}=2: 0 \quad 10 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ and taking $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{0}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=0: 5 \quad 1 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{M}}{ }^{1}$ from the incom plete $\backslash \mathrm{LET} "$ as discussed in section 4.4. C learly, this prediction hinges on these particular values for the elem entary pion photoproduction electric dipole am plitudes. A lso, the one for the $n!{ }^{0} n$ is not taken from experim ent. The three\{body graphs which contribute are of the exchange current type (see also the next section). In class (a), the photon couples to the pion which is interchanged betw een the tw o nucleons and the second class (b) involves the diagram sw ith exactly one $\mathrm{N} N$ and one $N \mathrm{~N} \quad$ low est order vertex. T heir contributions to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}$ take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{(a)}=\frac{e g_{A} M m_{N}}{8^{2}\left(M+m_{d}\right) F^{3} k} Z_{1} F(k r) d r  \tag{5:35}\\
& E^{(b)}=\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{A} M m_{N}}{16^{2}\left(M+m_{d}\right) F^{3}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d z_{0} G(z k r) d r
\end{align*}
$$

with the integrands $F(\mathrm{kr})$ and $G(\mathrm{zkr})$ given in [5.38]. U sing again the Bonn potential to generate the deuteron wave function, one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{(a)}=2: 24 \quad 1 \mathrm{D}^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{E}^{(\mathrm{b})}=0: 42 \quad 10 \mathrm{M}^{1}: \tag{5:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sum m ing up (5.34) and (5.36), one nds $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}=3: 9918 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ in good agreem ent $w$ ith the em pirical value of ( $3: 74 \quad 0: 25$ ) $\mathrm{I}^{3} 0 \mathrm{M}{ }^{1} \quad[5.39]$. H ow ever, as already stressed, the single scattering contribution (5.34) is a icted by large uncertainties and it rem ains to be seen which value for $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ the new data from M ainz and Saskatoon $w$ ill favor and how accurate the guess for the electric dipole am plitude $\mathrm{E}_{0+}{ }^{0} \mathrm{n} \mathrm{w}$ ill tum out to be (once m easured).


Fig. 5.6: The lowest order and dom inant pion exchange current diagram s. The wiggly line denotes an electrow eak probe.

## V.5.EXCHANGECURRENTS

$M$ eson exchange currents arise naturally in the $m$ eson \{exchange picture of the nuclear forces. A n extemal electrom agnetic or axial probe does not only couple to the nucleons (im pulse approxim ation, one\{body operators) but also to the $m$ esons in ight or leads to nonlinear seagull-type vertices (these are typical two\{body operators), cf. g.5.6.

A lso know $n$ since $m$ any decades [5.40], the rst com pelling evidence for $m$ eson exchange currents came from the calculation of neutron radiative capture at threshold and deuteron photodisintegration $[5.10,5.11]$ (for review $S$, see $[5.19,5.20]$ ). By now, the existence of these tw o \{body operators can be considered veri ed experim entally [5.41]. M ore than 10 years ago, the so\{called "chiral lter hypothesis" was introduced [5.42]. It states that the response of a nucleus to a long\{w avelength electrow eak probe is given solely by the soft\{pion exchange term s dictated by chiral sym $m$ etry. C onsider any exchange current contribution $X$, this $m$ eans

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X+X_{2}+X_{R}+X_{N}=X_{\text {soft pion }}(1+C) \tag{5:37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where C is a generally sm all correction to the leading one (C 1), $R$ denotes the $e$ ects of heavier $m$ eson exchange and $N$ the excitation of nucleon resonances. Stated di erently, all the heavier $m$ esons and nucleon excitations, $m$ ulti\{pion exchanges and form factor e ects are not seen, even up to energies of the order of 1 GeV (although individual contributions can be large). W hy this holds true at such energies has not yet been explained.

R ho [5.43] has given a sim ple argum ent how the "chiral lter" can occur in nuclei for sm all and $m$ oderate $m$ om entum transfer. $H$ is low est order analysis follow s closely the one of W einberg [5.12]. A ny $m$ atrix \{elem ent M $E$ of the e ective potential $V$ or of a current $J$ has the form M E Q $F(Q=m)$, as discussed before. In the presence of a slow ly varying extemalelectrom agnetic eld A (or a weak one), the H am iltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{NN}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ext}} \\
& H_{\text {ext }}=\frac{e}{D^{2}}(\sim \quad @ \sim)_{3}+\frac{i g_{A}}{2 F}{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 5 \quad\left(\sim \sim \not{ }_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~A}+:::\right. \tag{5:38}
\end{align*}
$$

and this additional term $\mathrm{H}_{\text {ext }} \mathrm{m}$ odi es the power counting. Since one derivative is replaced by the extemal current, the tree graphs ( $L=0$ ) w ith the low est pow er $m$ ust fulll

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad 2=1 \tag{5:39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to $d_{i}=0$ and $n_{i}=2$ or $d_{i}=1$ and $n_{i}=0$. In contrast to the case of the nuclear forces, to leading order no four\{nucleon contact term $s$ contribute. This $m$ eans that there is no short \{ranged two\{body current (to low est order), the exchange current is entirely given in term $s$ of the soff\{pion com ponent derived from (5.38). This justi es the chiral lter hypothesis at tree level.

Park et al.5.44] have also investigated one\{loop corrections to the axial\{charge operator, the rst correction to the pertinent soff\{pion $m$ atrix \{elem ent is suppressed by $(Q=m)^{2}$. The authors of ref. 5.44$]$ use the heavy $m$ ass form alism which sim pli es the calculation considerably. T hey argue that \{function type contact term s are suppressed by the short\{range nuclear correlations. Stated di erently, since the chiral counting is only $m$ eaningful as long as $Q^{2}=m^{2} \quad 1$, one can not describe processes that involve energy or $m$ om entum scales exceeding this criterion. Short\{distance interactions are therefore not accessible by chiral perturbation theory. This is di erent in philosophy from the calculation of the nuclear forces by van Kolck et al. [5.14,5.17] where it is argued that the four\{nucleon contact term s are sm eared out over a distance $1=\mathrm{M}$. In ref. [5.44], it is show $n$ that the loop corrections are $s m$ all for distances $r \quad 0: 6 \mathrm{fm}$, which $m$ eans that the low est order argum ent of $R$ ho [5.43] is robust to one\{loop order. To be m ore precise, the results of ref. $[5.44,5.45]$ can be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$. O ne w rites the nuclear $m$ atrix elem ent of the axial\{charge operator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{\text {axial }}=M_{1}+M_{2} ; \quad M_{2}=M_{2}^{\text {tree }}(1+\quad) \tag{5:40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $n=1 ; 2$ refers to one\{ and two\{body operators and 'tree' correponds to the diagram s show $n$ in 9.5 .6 (w ith renorm alized couplings). O ne nds alm ost independently of the m ass num ber that $<0: 1$, i.e. the tree contribution dom inates. In this particular case, the two\{body operator is of com parable size to the one\{body operator, $M_{2}^{\text {tree }}=\mathrm{M}_{1} \quad 0: 7$ [5.45] which is su cient to explain the em pirical value of $M^{\exp }=M_{1} \quad 1: 6::: 2: 0$ [5.46].

The them al np capture has also been considered by Park et al [5.47] including term $s$ to order $(Q=m)^{3}$. A part from the dom inant one pion exchange diagram $s(g .5 .6)$, there are additional graphs corresponding to two\{pion exchange as well as counterterm contributions saturated by and ! m eson exchange. W hile in im pulse approxim ation one nds ( $\mathrm{np}!\mathrm{d}$ ) $=305: 6 \mathrm{mb}$, the exchange currents calculated in CHPT together w ith a short \{range correlation cut\{o $0<r_{c}<0: 7 \mathrm{fm}$ lead to ( np ! d ) $=3343 \mathrm{mb}$, in nioe agreem ent with the experim ental value, $\exp (n p!d)=334.2 \quad 0: 5 \mathrm{mb}$ [5.48]. A gain, the soft pion contribution gives the dom inant part of the tw o \{body enhancem ent.

The calculations presented here seem to lend credit to the chiral lter hypothesis and dem onstrate once $m$ ore the im portance of chiral sym $m$ etry in nuclear phenom ena. Furthem ore, in nuclei it appears natural to $m$ ake use of the short\{range correlations to suppress operators of the contact term type and alike. Form ore details on the calculation of exchange currents from chiral Lagrangians we refer the reader to refs. [5.45,5.47,5.49]. W hat rem ainsm ysterious is why the chiral lter hypothesis w orks up to so high energies \{ the answ er to this lies certainly outside the realm ofbaryon CHPT.
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## VI.THREE FLAVORS,DENSEMATTERANDALLTHAT

In this section, we will rst be concemed with the extension to the case of three avors and discuss the calculation ofbaryon m asses and \{term s . Then, we w illtum to the topic of kaon \{nucleon scattering and the behaviour of pions in dense $m$ atter. This latter topic (also extended to kaons) is a rather new and rapidly developing eld, so we can only provide a state of the art sum $m$ ary. Finally, various om issions are brie y touched upon.
VI.1. FLAVOR SU (3), BARYON M ASSES AND \{TERM S

Let us rst provide the necessary de nitions for the three avor m eson \{baryon system. It is $m$ ost convenient to $w$ rite the eight $m$ eson and baryon elds in term $s$ of SU (3) m atrioes and B, respectively,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 p^{1} \overline{\overline{2}}{ }^{0}+p^{\frac{1}{\overline{6}}} \\
& B={ }_{@}^{B}  \tag{6:1b}\\
& p^{1} \overline{\overline{2}} \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \\
& & p \\
p^{1} \overline{\overline{6}} & n & C \\
0 & p^{2} \overline{\overline{6}} &
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}()=\mathrm{u}^{2}()=\operatorname{expfi}=F \mathrm{pg} \tag{6:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}$ the pseudoscalar decay constant in the chiral lim it. O f course, beyond leading order, one has to account for the fact that $F \in F_{K} \in F \quad[6.1]$. The covariant derivative acting on $B$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{~B} & =@ \mathrm{~B}+[\quad ; \mathrm{B}] \\
& \left.=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}}[\mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{v}+\mathrm{a})] \mathrm{u}+\mathrm{u} @ \quad \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{v} \quad \text { a })\right] \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{y}} \tag{6:3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $v$ and a extemal vector and axial\{vector souroes. U nder SU (3) $\operatorname{SU}(3 k, B$ and $D B$ transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{0}=K_{B C}{ }^{y} ;(D B)^{0}=K(D B) K^{y} \tag{6:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now straightforw ard to construct the low est\{order 0 (p) m eson \{baryon Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{M B}^{(1)}=\operatorname{Tr} \text { iB D B } \quad m_{0} B B+\frac{1}{2} D B \quad{ }_{5} f u ; B g+\frac{1}{2} F B \quad 5[u ; B] \tag{6:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0}$ stands for the (average) octet $m$ ass in the chiral lim it. The trace in (6.5) runs over the avor indices. N otige that in contrast to the SU (2) case, one has two possibilities of coupling the axialu to baryon bilinears. These are the conventional $F$ and $D$ couplings sub ject to the constraint $F+D=g_{A}=1: 26$. At order $O\left(p^{2}\right)$ the baryon $m$ ass degeneracy is lifted by the term s discussed below. H ow ever, there are $m$ any other term $s$ at this order. If one works in the one\{loop approxim ation, one also needs the term sof order $O\left(p^{3}\right)$ (or eventually from $O\left(p^{4}\right)$ ). The com plete locale ective Lagrangians $L_{M B}^{(2)}$ and $L_{M B}^{(3)}$ are given by $K$ rause [62]. The extension of this to the heavy $m$ ass form alism is straightforw ard, as spelled out in detail in the review article by Jenkins and $M$ anohar [6.3]. For our purpose, we only give the low est order Lagrangian and the three term s of order $q^{2}$ which account for quark $m$ ass insertions (in the isospin $\lim$ it $m_{u}=m_{d}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{M} B}^{(1)}=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{B} \text { iv } \quad \mathrm{D} B)+D \operatorname{TB}(\mathrm{~S} \text { fu ; } \mathrm{B} g)+\mathrm{F} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{BS} \quad[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{B}]) \tag{6:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the baryons considered as static sources and equivalently theirm om enta decom pose as $p=m_{0} V+l, v \quad l \quad m$. Beyond leading order and in the present context we consider only counter term s of chiral pow er $q^{2}$ which account for quark $m$ ass insertions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{MB}}^{(2)}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{D}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{Bf}+; \mathrm{Bg})+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{~B}[+; \mathrm{B}])+\mathrm{b}_{0} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{BB}) \operatorname{Tr}(+) \tag{6:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $+=u^{y} u^{y}+u^{y} u$ and $=2 B_{0}(M+S)$ where $S$ denotes the nonet of extemal scalar sources. A s we w ill see later on, the constants $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{D}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ can be xed from the know ledge of the baryon $m$ asses and the $N$ term (or one of the $K N$ term $s$ ). $T$ he constant bo can not be determ ined from the baryon $m$ ass spectrum alone since it contributes to all octet $m$ em bers in the sam eway.

W e now consider the calculation ofbaryon m asses in CHPT.G asser [6.4] and G asser and Leutw yler [6.5] were the rst to system atically investigate the baryon $m$ asses at next\{to\{leading order. The quark $m$ ass expansion of the baryon $m$ asses takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{B}=m_{0}+M+M^{3=2}+M^{2}+::: \quad: \tag{6:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thenon \{analytic piece proportionalto M ${ }^{3=2}$ was rst observed by Langacker and P agels [6.6]. If one retains only the term $s$ linear in the quark $m$ asses, one obtains the $G$ ellM ann $\left\{\mathrm{O}\right.$ kubo relation $\mathrm{m}+3 \mathrm{~m}=2\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{m}\right)$ (which is ful lled within $0.6 \%$ in nature) for the octet and the equal spacing rule for the decuplet, $\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{m}=$ $m \quad m \quad$ (experim entally, one has 142:145:153 M eV ). H ow ever, to extract quark $m$ ass ratios >from the expansion (6.8), one has to work harder. This was done in refs.[6.4,6.5]. $T$ he non \{analytic term $s$ were $m$ odelled by considering the baryons as static sources surrounded by a cloud ofm esons and photons \{ truely the rst calculation in the spirit ofthe heavy $m$ ass form alism. Them ost im portant result ofthis analysisw as the fact that the ratio $R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}(m) & m_{s}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}m_{u} & m_{d}\end{array}\right)$ com es out consistent $w$ ith the value obtained from
the $m$ eson spectrum . Jenkins [6.7] has recently repeated this calculation using the heavy ferm ion EFT of refs. [6.3,6.8], including also the spin $\{3 / 2$ decuplet elds in the EFT. She concludes that the success of the octet and decuplet $m$ ass relations is consistent $w$ ith baryon CHPT as long as one includes the decuplet. Its contributions tend to cancel the large corrections from the kaon loops like $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} \ln \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}$. The calculation was done in the isospin $\lim$ it $m_{u}=m_{d}=0$ so that nothing could be said about the quark $m$ ass ratio R. This latter question was recently addressed by Lebed and Luty [6.9] who arrive at a negative conclusion conceming the possibility of extracting current quank m ass ratios >from the baryon spectrum . W e follow here ref.[6.10] in which the whole scalar sector, i.e the baryon $m$ asses and \{term $s$, are considered and which sheds som e doubt on the results obtained so far when the decuplet is included in the EFT.Follow ing [6.10], a com plete calculation up to order $q^{3}$ involves only interm ediate octet states. At this order (one-loop approxim ation) one has three counterterm $s$ w ith a priori unknown but nite coe cients. These can be xed from the octet $m$ asses ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}$ ) and the value ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)$ since one of the counter term s appears in the baryon m ass form ulae in such a way that it always can be lum ped together w ith the average octet m ass in the chiral lim it. This allow s to predict the two K N term s, ${ }_{\mathrm{KNN}}^{(1)}(0)$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{K} N \mathrm{~N}}^{(2)}(0)$ as well as the term shifts to the respective $C$ heng- - ashen points and the $m$ atrix elem ent $m_{s}\langle p \dot{j s j} \dot{p}>$. To this order in the chiral expansion, any baryon $m$ ass takes the form
$m_{B}=m_{0} \frac{1}{24 F_{p}^{2}}{ }_{B} M^{3}+{ }_{B}^{K} M_{K}^{3}+{ }_{B} M^{3}+{ }_{B}^{D} D_{D}+{ }_{B}^{F} b_{F} \quad 2 b_{0}\left(M^{2}+2 M_{K}^{2}\right)$
$T$ he second term on the right hand side com prises the G oldstone boson loop contributions and the third term stem sfrom the counter term seq.(6.7). N otioe that the loop contribution is ultraviolet nite and non-analytic in the quark $m$ asses since $M^{3}{ }_{j}$; $\quad M^{3=2}$. $T$ he constants $b_{D}, b_{F}$ and $b_{0}$ are therefore nite. $T$ he num erical factors read

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{N}=\frac{9}{4}(D+F)^{2} ; \quad{ }_{N}^{K}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5 D^{2} \quad 6 D F+9 F^{2}\right) ; \quad{ }_{N}=\frac{1}{4}(D \quad 3 F)^{2} ; \\
& =D^{2}+6 F^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{K}=3\left(D^{2}+F^{2}\right) ; \quad=D^{2} ; \\
& =3 D^{2} ; \quad{ }^{K}=D^{2}+9 F^{2} ; \quad=D^{2} \text {; } \\
& =\frac{9}{4}(D \quad F)^{2} ; \quad{ }^{K}=\frac{1}{2}\left(5 D^{2}+6 D F+9 F^{2}\right) ; \quad=\frac{1}{4}(D+3 F)^{2} ;  \tag{6:9a}\\
& { }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{D}}=4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{F}}=4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} \quad 4 \mathrm{M}^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{D}=4 \mathrm{M}^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{F}=0 \text {; } \\
& D=\frac{16}{3} M_{K}^{2}+\frac{4}{3} M^{2} ; \quad F=0 ; \quad D=4 M_{K}^{2} ; \quad F=4 M_{K}^{2}+4 M^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

At this order, the deviation from the $G$ ell-M ann-O kubo form ula is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{4} 3 m+m \quad 2 m_{N} \quad 2 m & =\frac{3 F^{2} D^{2}}{96 F_{p}^{2}} M^{3} 4 M_{K}^{3}+3 M^{3} \\
& =\frac{3 F^{2} D^{2}}{96 F_{p}^{2}} M^{3} 4 M_{K}^{3}+P^{1} \frac{1}{3}\left(4 M_{K}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)^{3=2} \tag{6:10}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second line we have used the GMO relation for the $m$ eson $m$ ass, which is legitim ate if one w orks at next-to-leading order.

Further inform ation on the scalar sector is given by the scalar form factors or term $s$ which $m$ easure the strength of the various $m$ atrix-elem ents $m_{q} q q$ in the proton. O ne de nes:*

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{N}(t) & =m_{i}\left\langle p^{0} j u u+d d j p\right. \\
{ }_{K}^{(1)}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(n \hat{n}+m_{s}\right)\left\langle p^{0} j u u+s s \dot{p}\right\rangle  \tag{6:11}\\
{ }_{K}^{(2)}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(n \hat{n}+m_{s}\right)\left\langle p^{0} j \quad u u+2 d d+s s \dot{p}\right\rangle
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th $t=\left(p^{0} \quad p\right)^{2}$ the invariant $m$ om entum transfer squared and $m=\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right)=2$ the average light quark $m$ ass. At zero $m$ om entum transfer, the strange quark contribution to the nucleon $m$ ass is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{s}\langle p \dot{\operatorname{ssc}}\rangle=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}} \quad 3 \underset{\mathrm{KN}}{(1)}(0)+\underset{\mathrm{KN}}{(2)}(0)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \quad{ }_{N}(0) \tag{6:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ aking use of the leading order $m$ eson $m$ ass form ulae $M^{2}=2 r \mathrm{~m}_{0}$ and $M_{K}^{2}=(m+$ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) B 0 which are su ciently accurate to the order we are working. T he chiralexpansion at next-to-leading order for the term $s$ reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{N}(0)=\frac{M^{2}}{64 F_{p}^{2}} \quad 4{ }_{N} M \quad 2{ }_{N}^{K} M_{K} \quad \frac{4}{3}{ }_{N} M \quad 2 M^{2}\left(b_{D}+b_{F}+2 b_{0}\right)  \tag{6:13a}\\
& { }_{K N}^{(j)}(0)=\frac{M_{K}^{2}}{64 F_{p}^{2}} 2_{N}{ }_{N} \quad 3_{K}^{(j)} M_{K} \quad \frac{10}{3}{ }_{N} M  \tag{6:13b}\\
& 2{ }^{(j)}{ }_{N} \frac{M^{2}+M M+M^{2}}{M+M}+4 M_{K}^{2}\left({ }_{D}^{(j)} b_{D}+{ }_{F}^{(j)} b_{F} \quad \text { (q) }\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1 ; 2 w$ ith the coe cients

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(1)}=\frac{7}{3} \mathrm{D}^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{D} F+5 \mathrm{~F}^{2} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(2)}=3(\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{~F})^{2} ; \quad{ }^{(1)}=1 ; \quad{ }^{(2)}=3 ; \\
& { }_{\mathrm{D}}^{(1)}=1 ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{D}}^{(2)}=0 ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(1)}=0 ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{(2)}=1 ; \quad{ }_{N}=\frac{1}{3}(\mathrm{D}+\mathrm{F})(3 \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{D}): \tag{6:13c}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his com pletely determ ines the scalar sector at next-to-leading order. N ote that the N term is given as ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=\mathrm{m}\left(@ \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}=@ \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{n}\right)$ according to the Feynm an H ellm an theorem .

[^14]$T$ he shifts of the term $s$ from $t=0$ to the respective $C$ heng- $D$ ashen points do not involve any contact term s ,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{N}\left(2 M^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{N}(0)=\frac{M^{2}}{64 F_{p}^{2}} \quad \frac{4}{3}{ }_{N} M \\
& +\frac{2}{3}{ }_{N}^{K} \frac{M^{2}}{P_{\overline{2}}^{2} M_{K}^{2}} \ln \frac{P}{P^{2} M_{K}}+M M_{K} \quad M \quad M_{K}  \tag{6:14a}\\
& +\frac{4}{9} N \frac{M^{2}}{P_{\overline{2}}^{2} M} \ln \frac{M^{2}}{P^{2} M} \quad \frac{M}{2 M} \quad M \quad M
\end{align*}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{20}{9}{ }_{N} \frac{M_{K}^{2}}{P_{\overline{2}}^{2} M_{K}} \ln M^{2} \overline{2}_{\overline{2} M}^{M_{K}}+M_{K} M_{K}+2{ }_{K}^{(j)} M_{K} \\
& + \text { (j) } \quad \frac{2 M_{K}^{2}}{P_{\overline{2} M_{K}} M^{2} \quad M^{2}} \ln \frac{P \overline{2 M}_{K}+M}{M+M}+2 \frac{P^{2}}{M_{K}}+2 \frac{M^{2}+M^{2}}{M+M} \tag{6:14b}
\end{align*}
$$

N otige that the shifts of the two K N term s acquire an im aginary part since the pion loop has a branch cut starting at $t=4 M^{2}$ which is below the kaon Cheng\{D ashen point $t=2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} *$. In the lim it of large kaon and eta m ass the result eq. (6.14a) agrees, evidently, w ith the ancient calculation of $P$ agels and $P$ ardee [6.11] once one accounts for the num erical error of a factor 2 in that paper. C learly, the term shifts are nonanalytic in the quark $m$ asses since they scale $w$ ith the third pow er of the pseudoscalar $m$ eson $m$ asses. O ur strategy willbe the follow ing: W e use the em pirically know $n$ baryon $m$ asses and the recently determ ined value of $N_{N}(0)$ [6.12] to $x$ the unknow param eters
 of the terms are independent of this $t$.

Since we use $n(0)$ as input in what follow $s$, let us brie y review the status of this much debated quantity. The quantity ${ }_{N}(0)$ can be calculated from the baryon spectrum . To leading order in the quark $m$ asses, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0) & =\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~m}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{m} \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}}{1}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{M}^{3=2}\right) \\
\mathrm{y} & =\frac{2<\mathrm{p} \dot{\mathrm{~s} s \dot{p}>}}{\langle\text { pjuu }+\operatorname{ddj}>} \tag{6:15}
\end{align*}
$$

[^15]$w$ here $y$ is a $m$ easure of the strange quark content of the proton. Setting $y=0$ as suggested by the O Z I rule, one nds $\quad \mathrm{N}(0)=26 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, from the baryon m ass analysis it is obvious that one has to include the $O\left(M^{3=2}\right)$ contributions and estim ate the $O\left(M^{2}\right)$ ones. $T$ his was done by $G$ asser [6.4] leading to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=\frac{35 \quad 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}}{1 \quad \mathrm{y}}=\frac{0}{1 \quad \mathrm{y}} \tag{6:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

H ow ever, in $N$ scattering one does not m easure ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)$, but a quantity called N de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}\left(=0 ; \mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \tag{6:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the bar on $D$ denoting that the pseudovector B om term $s$ have been subtracted, $D=D \quad D_{p v}$. The am plitudes $D$ are related to the $m$ ore conventional $N$ scattering amplitudes A and B via D $=A+B$, with $=(s u)=4 m$. The superscript' ' denotes the isospin (even or odd). D is useful since it is related to the total cross section via the optical theorem. The kinem atical choice $=0 ; t=2 \mathrm{M}^{2}$ (which lies in the unphysical region) is called the $C$ heng \{D ashen point [6.13]. T he relation betw een N and the N scattering data at low energies is rather com plex, see e.g. H ohler [6.14] for a discussion or $G$ asser [6.15] for an instructive pictorial (given also in ref.[6.16]) . B ased on dispersion theory, $K$ och [6.17] found ${ }_{N}=648 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ (notice that the error only re ects the uncertainty of the $m$ ethod, not the one of the underlying data). $G$ asser et al. [6.12] have recently repeated this analysis and found ${ }_{N}=60 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ (for a discussion of the errors, see that paper). There is still som e debate about this value, but in what follow s we w ill use the central result of ref.[6.12]. F inally, we have to relate ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)$ and
${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$. T he relation of these two quantities is based on the LET of Brown et al. [6.18] and takes the follow ing form at the $C$ heng \{D ashen point:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
N=N(0)+ & N+R \\
N= & N\left(2 M^{2}\right)  \tag{6:18}\\
N(0)
\end{array}
$$

${ }_{N}$ is the shiff due to the scalar form factor of the nucleon, and $R$ is related to a rem ainder not xed by chiral sym $m$ etry. The latter was found to be very sm all by G SS [6.19], $R=0: 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. In this case, one is dealing $w$ ith strong $S$ \{ w ave and N interactions. Therefore, the suspicion arises that the one\{loop approxim ation is not su cient to give an accurate description of the scalar form factor (com pare e.g. ref.[6 20]). Therefore, $G$ asser et al. [6.12] have perform ed a dispersion \{theoretical analysis tied together w ith CHPT constraints for the scalar form factor ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$. The resulting num erical value is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}=(15 \quad 0: 5) \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{6:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a stunningly large correction to the one\{loop result (see below). If one param etrizes the scalar form factor as $N_{N}(t)=1+\left\langle r_{S}^{2}\right\rangle t+O\left(t^{2}\right)$, this leads to
$\left\langle r_{S}^{2}\right\rangle=1: 6 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$, substantially larger than the typicalelectrom agnetic size. Thism eans that the scalar operatorm (uu + dd) sees a m ore extended pion cloud. $N$ otice that for the pion, a sim ilar enhancem ent of the scalar radius was already observed, $\left(r_{s}^{2}=r_{\text {em }}^{2}\right) \quad$, 1:4 [620]. Putting pieces together, one ends up with $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}(0)=45 \quad 8 \mathrm{MeV}$ [6.12] to be com pared w ith $0=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}35 & 5\end{array}\right) \mathrm{MeV}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & y\end{array}\right)$. This m eans that the strange quarks contribute approxim ately 10 MeV to the $\mathrm{N} \quad$ \{term and thus the m ass shift induced by the strange quarks is $m_{s}\langle p \dot{j s s j}\rangle^{\prime} \quad\left(m_{s}=2 \mathrm{~m}\right) \quad 10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}{ }^{\prime} 130 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. This is consistent $w$ ith the estim ate $m$ ade in ref.[6 21] based on the heavy $m$ ass form alism including the decuplet elds. The e ect of the strange quarks is not dram atic. A ll speculations starting from the rst order form ula (6.15) should thus be laid at rest. T he lesson to be leamed here is that $m$ any $s m$ alle ects can add up constructively to explain a seem ingly large discrepancy like ${ }_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 0 \quad 0 . W$ hat is clearly needed are $m$ ore accurate and reliable low \{energy pion \{nucleon scattering data to further pin dow $n$ the uncertainties.
$W$ e now retum to the order $q^{3}$ calculation in CHPT.We use an average value $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=\left(\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{K}}\right)=2^{\prime} 100 \mathrm{MeV}$, together w th $\mathrm{F}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{D}=0: 75$, which leads to $g_{A}=1: 25$. The uncertainties in these param eters and how they a ect the results are discussed in [6.10]. The four unknowns, which are the three low -energy constants $\mathrm{bb}_{\mathrm{b}}$; $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $b_{0}$ and the average octet $m$ ass (in the chiral lim it) $m_{0}$ are obtained from a least
 This allows to predict ${ }_{\mathrm{KN}}^{(1)}(0)$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{KN}}^{(2)}(0)$ and the m uch discussed m atrix elem ent $m_{s}<$ pjssip > ,i:e: the contribution of the strange quarks to the nucleon $m$ ass. Typical results are [6.10]: (a) The strangeness $m$ atrix elem ent in $m$ ost cases is negative and of reasonable $m$ agnitude of about 200 M eV , (b) w ithin the accuracy of the calculation, the K N term stum out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~N}}^{(1)}(0)^{\prime} 200 \quad 50 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} ; \underset{\mathrm{KN}}{(2)}(0)^{\prime} 140 \quad 40 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{6:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is com parable to the rst order perturbation theory analysis having no strange quarks, ${\underset{K N}{N}}_{(1)}(0)=205 \mathrm{MeV}$ and ${\underset{\mathrm{KN}}{\mathrm{N}}}_{(2)}(0)=63 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ [6.22]. These results are indeed $m$ ost sensitive to the value of $\mathrm{N}(0)$. The term shifts are given by $[6.11,6.23]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}\left(2 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{N}(0)=7: 4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{6:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is half of the em pirical value discussed above, eq.(6.19). Furtherm ore, one nds

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(1)  \tag{6:22}\\
\mathrm{KN}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}\right) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{KN}}^{(1)}(0)=(271+i 303) \mathrm{MeV} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{N}} & \left(2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{KN}}^{(2)}(0)=(21+i 303) \mathrm{MeV}
\end{array}
$$

The realpart ofthe rst \{term can be estim ated sim ply via $\operatorname{Re}\left({ }_{K}^{(1)}\left(2 M_{K}^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{K N}^{(1)}(0)\right)^{\prime}$ $\left.\left[{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(2 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)\right] \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{M}\right)^{3}=7: 4 \quad 46: 2 \mathrm{MeV}=340 \mathrm{MeV}$. The rather sm all real part in $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{KNN}}^{(2)}$ stem s from the large negative contribution of the \{loop which leads
to strong cancellations. N otice the large im aginary parts in $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{j}\left(2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ ( 0 ) due to the twofpion cut. The situation conceming the em pirical determ inations of the kaon \{nucleon \{term $s$ is rather uncertain, see e.g. refs.[624,6,25]. Since m ost of the phase shift data stem from kaon \{nucleus scattering, it is advantegeous to de ne the K N \{term $s$ by $m$ eans of the nuclear isospin,

The best available determ inations give ${\underset{K N}{N}}_{0}^{(0)}=599 \quad 377 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ and ${\underset{K}{N}}_{\infty}^{\infty}(0)=87 \quad 66$ M eV which translates into ${\underset{\mathrm{KN}}{(1)}}_{(1)}(0)=469 \quad 390 \mathrm{MeV}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{KN}}^{(2)}(0)=643 \quad 378 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$.

As has been argued e.g. in refs.[6.3,6.7,6.8], one should account for the spin-3/2 decuplet in the EFT since it leads to a natural cancellation of the large kaon cloud contributions of the type $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2} \ln \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}}^{2}$. H ow ever, as shown in section 3.4, the inchusion of these elds spoils the power counting much like the nucleon $m$ ass term in the relativistic form ulation ofbaryon CHPT. For the case at hand, one also has an in nite renorm alization of the three constants $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{D}}$, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ [6.10],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{r}}() \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \mathrm{~L} ; \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{r}}()+\frac{5 \mathrm{C}^{2}}{12 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \mathrm{~L} ; \quad \mathrm{b}_{0}=\mathrm{b}_{0}^{\mathrm{r}}()+\frac{7 \mathrm{C}^{2}}{6 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \mathrm{~L}: \tag{6:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the scale of renom alization, $=231 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ the average octet\{decuplet m ass splitting, C the Goldstone\{octet\{decuplet coupling as discussed after (3.71) and L is de ned in (2.47). The graphs with interm ediate decuplet\{states start to contribute at order $q^{4}$ (explicit form ulae can e.g. be found in ref.[6.10]). If one now assum es that these contributions dom inate at this order, one does not nd a consistent description of the scalar sector, as long as one keeps F and D close to their physical values. In that case, the K N \{term stum out to be very $m$ all and the strange $m$ atrix \{elem ent $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}<$ pjsijp > very large. In ref.[6.7], som e additional tadpole diagram $\mathrm{s} w$ ith one insertion from $L_{M B}^{(2)}$ were considered, but that does not alter these conclusions. As stressed before, a com plete and system atic $q^{4}$ calculation has to be perform ed before one can draw a de nite conclusion on the role of the interm ediate decuplet states. For another criticaldiscussion, see e.g. ref.[6 26]. H ow ever, there is one curious result in the tw o\{ avor sector we would like to $m$ ention [6.10,6.27]. If one considers the shift of the
$\mathrm{N} \quad$ \{term calculated w ith interm ediate nucleon and (1232) states and uses the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ coupling constant relation, one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(2 \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=\frac{3 g_{A}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}}{64{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{M}+( \\
& \text { 4) } \quad{ }_{4}^{p} \frac{M^{2}}{{ }^{2}} \ln \frac{r}{M}+\bar{M} \quad 1 \\
& +\frac{8}{M}^{2^{2}} p \frac{d y}{2 y^{2}+M^{2} 2^{2}} \arctan p \frac{M}{2 y^{2}+M^{2} 2^{2}} \\
& =14 \mathrm{MeV} \tag{6:25}
\end{align*}
$$

very close to the em pirical value (6.19) (w ith $=m \quad m=293 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$ ). T his m eans that the graph with the interm ediate (1232) contributes alm ost as much as the low est order diagram w ith a nucleon as interm ediate state. H ow ever, the spectral distribution Im $N_{N}(t)=t^{2}$ is much less pronounced around $t=4 M^{2}$ as in the analysis of ref.[6.12] but has a longer tail leading to the sam e result for the integral. The \{contribution m ocks up the higher loop corrections of the dispersive analysis [6.12]. This is sim ilar to the discussion of the spectral function related to the interm ediate range attraction in the nucleon \{nucleon interaction (cf. section 52). It rem ains to be seen how the result (6.25) will be a ected when all q ${ }^{4}$ (and possibly higher order) term $s$ w ill be accounted for. The essential di erence to the baryon mass calculation and the shifts of the K N
\{term s is that the kaon and eta contributions to (625) are essentially negligible (they contribute approxim ately one extra M eV to (625)), i.e. we are dealing with an SU (2) statem ent so that one does not expect higher loop diagram s to contribute signi cantly.

## VI.2.KAON \{NUCLEON SCATTERING

A topic of current interest is the dynam ics of the kaon \{nucleon system based on SU (3) extensions of chirale ective Lagrangians. Such investigation were in particular triggered by K aplan and N elson [6.28] who proposed a $m$ echanism for kaon condensation in dense nuclear m atter using a (how ever incom plete) chiral Lagrangian. B esides this, kaon \{nucleon scattering at low energies is of its ow $n$ interest as a testing ground for threeavor chiral dynam ics. In com parison to the SU (2) sector of pion \{nucleon interaction (discussed to som e extend in sect.III.5) the kaon \{nucleon dynam ics involves several com plications. First, the pertinent expansion param eter for the chiral expansion is m uch larger, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{M_{K}}{m}, 0: 53 \tag{6:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

in comparison to $=M=\mathrm{m}$, 0:14 for the N system. Therefore one expects the next-to-leading order corrections to be num erically less suppressed in com parison to the leading term s . The K N system with strangeness $S=+1$ is physically still quite sim ple at low energies since it is a purely elastic scattering process with a dom inant S\{wave contribution. The analogous K N system with strangeness $S=1$ however greatly di ers, $m$ ainly because there are a num ber ofbaryons and baryon resonances $w$ ith $S=1$ but nonew ith $S=+1$. For the $K$ p reaction there exist inelastic channels dow $n$ to threshold involving a pion and a hyperon, K p ! ${ }^{0}$; ; ${ }^{0}{ }^{0}$. Furtherm ore, there is a subthreshold resonance in the $K$ p system, the (1405) of isospin zero. It m ay be considered as a kind of a $K$ p bound state which can decay into the kinem atically open channel and thus receives its physical width. The dynam ical di erences in K N versusK N naturally show up in the values of the corresponding $S$ wave scattering lengths [629]. The experim ental num bers stem from data on kaon \{nucleon scattering and $K$-atom ic level shifts and we give them here $w$ ithout error bars,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a^{K^{+} p}=0: 31 \mathrm{fm} ; & \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{n}}=0: 20 \mathrm{fm} ; \\
a^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{p}=(0: 67+i 0: 63) \mathrm{fm} ; & \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}=(+0: 37+i 0: 57) \mathrm{fm}: \tag{6:27}
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he experim ental values for $K^{+} N$ are comparable in $m$ agnitude to $N$ scattering lengths, $a^{+} p=a_{3=2}=a^{+} \quad a^{\prime} \quad 0: 14 \mathrm{fm}$. The negative signs of the $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{N}}$ signal that the $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-nucleon interaction is repulsive. C haracteristic for the $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{N}$ scattering lengths is their very large im aginary part, which originates from the open inelastic
; channels and the subthreshold (1405)-resonance.
Such inelastic channels are not a problem for CHPT of kaon \{nucleon interaction, since they re ect them selves sim ply as unitarity cuts in the am plitudes which extend below the physical threshold. They are mainly of kinem atical origin. On the other hand, the existence of a strong subthreshold resonance (like (1405) in K p) poses a problem to the expansion schem e of chiral perturbation theory, since bound states and (subthreshold) resonances can not be obtained at any nite order of perturbation theory. They require in nitely $m$ any orders and are out of the scope of pertubation theory. C onsequently, the (1405) w ill have to be added by hand (com pare the discussion concming the decuplet states in the EFT in section 3.4). In ref.[6.30] a m odel has been proposed to generate the (1405) dynam ically. For that one solves a Schrodinger equation for the coupled K N ; and channels w ith local or separable m eson-baryon potentials linked to an $S U(3)$ chiral Lagrangian. T he latter $m$ eans that the relative strengths of the transition potentials are xed by the Clebsch\{G ordan coe cients of a chiralm eson-baryon vertex. A few nite range param eters for the potentials and a coupling stength are then adjusted to the $m$ ass and $w$ idth of the (1405) and several $m$ easured branching ratios. It tums out that such a few param eter $t$ is quite sucessful in describing the low \{energy K N data. W ew ill not pursue this approach further here, but outline som e aspects of K N and KN scattering in CHPT.

The leading order Lagrangian is a straightforw ard generalization of the chiral N Lagrangian of sect.III to SU (3) as described in section 6.1. To low est order, all octet baryon $m$ asses equal. The baryon $m$ ass splittings are due to higher orders in the quark m ass expansion. The corresponding $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{M}}^{(1)}$ is given in (6.6) for the heavy ferm ion approach. From that, one nds for the $S\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ave scattering lengths: }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{K^{+}} p=1+\frac{M_{K}}{m} \quad \frac{M_{K}}{4 F_{p}^{2}}=2 a^{K^{+} n}, \quad 0: 6 f m \tag{6:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his current algebra result has the correct negative sign and the order ofm agnitude is reasonable. It is about a factor 2, respectively 1.5 , too large for $K^{+} \mathrm{p}$ and $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{n}$ if we use $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}=93 \mathrm{MeV} . \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, there is quite som e theoretical uncertainty in this leading order result. A ccording to the chiral pow er counting the prefactor $1=\left(1+M_{K}=m\right)$ could be neglected and $F_{p}$ could be taken to be $F_{K}=1: 22 \mathrm{~F}$. Such am biguities point tow ards the im portance of higher order calculations, at least up to order $q^{3}$. The corresponding K N scattering lengths have the opposite sign of the $\mathrm{K}^{+} \mathrm{N}$ ones to leading order, i.e. the chiralk N interaction is attractive. This feature was considered as quite im portant for understanding the dynam ics of the (1405)-resonance form ation in ref.[6.30].

At next-to-leading order, $O\left(q^{2}\right)$, the $S U$ (3) chiralLagrangian contains a host ofnew term S . W e display here only those which contribute to the $\mathrm{S}\{\mathrm{w}$ aves [6.10,6.31]

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{M B}^{(2)}=b_{d} \operatorname{Tr}(B f+; B g)+b_{f} \operatorname{Tr}(B[+; B])+b_{0} \operatorname{Tr}(B B) \operatorname{Tr}(+) \\
& +d_{1} \operatorname{Tr}(B u u B)+d_{2} \operatorname{Tr}(B v \quad u v \quad u B) \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tdr}(B B u u)+d_{4} \operatorname{Tr}(B B v \quad u v \quad u) \\
& +d_{5} \operatorname{Tr}(B B) \operatorname{Tr}(u \quad u)+d_{6} \operatorname{Tr}(B B) \operatorname{Tr}(v \quad u v \quad u)+\operatorname{Tdr}(B u) \quad \operatorname{Tr}(u B) \\
& +d_{8} \operatorname{Tr}(B v \quad u) \operatorname{Tr}(v \quad u B) \phi \operatorname{Tdr}(B u B u)+d_{10} \operatorname{Tr}(B v \quad u B v \quad u)+::: \tag{6:29}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst three term sobviously coincide w ith the ones given in eq.(6.7). In ref.[6.32] the last tw $\circ$ term $s$ have been forgotten. The com plete list of term $s$ at order $q^{2}$ and $q^{3}$ for avor-SU (3) can be found in ref.[6.2] (for the relativistic approach). T here are 13 new param eters for chiralSU (3) in com parison to $3\left(c_{1} ; c_{2} ; c_{3}\right)$ in avor SU (2) and some of the $d_{i}$ contain $1=m$ corrections from the expansion of the relativistic leading order Lagrangian form ulated in term sofD irac- elds. The coe cients of the rst three term $s$ in eq.(629) (often nam ed "sigm a\{term s") can be xed at this order from the mass splittings in the baryon octet and the em pirical value of the N term,

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
m \quad m & =\frac{16}{3} b_{d}\left(M_{K}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)=79 M ~ e V ; & b_{d}=0: 066 \mathrm{GeV}^{1} \\
m & m_{N}=8 b_{f}\left(M_{K}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)=383 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} ; & b_{f}=0: 213 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}  \tag{6:30}\\
{ }_{N}(0)=2 M^{2}\left(b_{d}+b_{f}+2 b_{0}\right)=45 M ~ e V ; & b_{0}=0: 517 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}
\end{array}
$$

$O$ f course such a $t$ is som ew hat problem atic, since one neglects all higher order in the quark $m$ asses, com pare the discussion after eq.(6.15). C onsequently, the strangeness content of the proton

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{2\left(b_{0}+b_{d}, l_{t}\right)}{2 b_{0}+b_{d}+b_{f}}, 0: 4 \tag{6:31}
\end{equation*}
$$

is about tw ioe the value obtained by $G$ asser, Leutw yler and Sainio [6.12]. Ifone enforoes, how ever, $y^{\prime}$, 0 , which is possible due to the uncertainties going into the theoretical analysis of $y$, one nds $b_{0}=0: 279 \mathrm{GeV}^{1}$. This corresponds to ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=26 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, the usualestim ate at linear order in the quark $m$ asses. The $K^{+} N$ and $K N$ scattering lengths are now given as [6.31]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{K}=1+\frac{M_{K}}{m} \quad \frac{M_{K}}{4 F_{p}^{2}} \quad 1+M_{K}\left(D_{s}+D_{v}\right)  \tag{6:32}\\
& a^{K} n^{n}=1+\frac{M_{K}}{m}{ }^{1} \frac{M_{K}}{4 F_{p}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2}+M_{K}\left(D_{s} \quad D_{v}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $D_{s}$ and $D_{v}$ som e linear com bination of the $b_{d ; f ; 0}$ and $d_{1 ;:: ; 10} . O$ fcourse, as long as one has not found a reliable way to estim ate all new coe cients, the expressions given
above have not much predictive power. A sim ilar situation occurs for the isospin even N scattering length $\mathrm{a}^{+}$. At this order, the K N scattering lengths are still real, since the scattering into the inelastic channels is a loop e ect which rst shows up at order $q^{3}$.

In ref.[6.32] an order $q^{3}$ calculation for the K N scattering lengths has been presented. In this w ork, how ever, the loop contribution has not been separated cleanly from the counterterm $s$ at the sam e order. T he know ledge of the $m$ agnitude of the fiull loop correction (say at a scale ' 1 GeV ) would how ever be very im portant in order to get a feeling for the genuine size of the (non-analytic) corrections in SU (3). W e rem ind here that for the isospin odd $N$ scattering length a the loop correction at order $M^{3}$ just has the right sign and $m$ agnitude to $l l$ the gap betw een the $W$ einberg-T om ozaw a prediction and the em pirical value. The K N scattering lengths given in ref.[6.32] are still real at order $q^{3}$. H ow ever, at this order the rescattering processes $K \mathrm{~N}$ ! ; ! $\mathrm{K} N$ into the inelastic channels are possible and they $m$ anifest them selves as com plex valued scattering lengths, cf. g.6.1.


Fig. 6.1: Rescattering diagram which leads to the im aginary part of the scattering lengths at order $q^{3}$ for $K \quad N$ ! K $N$.
In the heavy $m$ ass lim it one calculates the follow ing nonzero in aginary parts from the rescattering diagram $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} a^{K} p=1+\frac{M_{K}}{m} \quad \frac{1 M_{K}^{p} \frac{M_{K}^{2}}{M^{2}}}{32^{2} F_{p}^{4}}=\frac{4}{5} \operatorname{Im} a^{K} n, 0: 63 \mathrm{fm} \tag{6:33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are sunprisingly close to the em pirical values. H ow ever, one should not put to much em phasis on these num bers since all mass splittings in the baryon octet have been neglected and this a ects the available phase space. It is interesting to observe that such a simple rescattering calculation tends to explain the near equality of the im aginary parts for proton and neutron K -scattering and at least gives the correct order ofm agnitude $w$ ithout an explicit (1405).

C learly, all what has been discussed here points tow ards the im portance of $m$ ore system atic calculations using the com plete chiral Lagrangian at a given order. M any of the present controversies in the literature (in particular conœering the in-m edium behavior of pions and kaons) stem from the use of incom plete Lagrangians. It is also clear that baryon CHPT for avor SU (3) is just at its beginning and a lot m ore work
(com plete higher order calculations) is necessary in order to judge the quality of such an approach.

## VI.3. THE PION IN M ATTER

In this chapter we will describe how e ective chiral Lagrangians can be used to get inform ation on the $m$ odi cation ofpionic properties in nuclearm atter (so-called $m$ edium m odi cations). Them edium m odi cations of hadron properties are relevant for a broad class of problem s in nuclear physics. Am ong these are pion and kaon condensation (in neutron stars), chiral sym $m$ etry restoration in relativistic heavy ion collisions, the "dropping" of hadron $m$ asses in $m$ edium, to nam e a few. In the follow ing we will only touch upon part of these $m$ any issues, nam ely the density dependence of the quark condensate < uu > and the density dependence of the pion decay constant $F$ and of the pion mass M . We will m ake use of chirale ective Lagrangian techniques and show that such a $m$ ethod indeed leads to the correct linear term $s$ in density. T he latter are often called "low -density theorem s" and can be derived from a multiple scattering expansion. W e follow here closely the ideas spelled out in ref.[6.33].

If one rem em bers the additional com plications one encounters in each step in extending chiral perturbation theory from the pure $m$ eson sector (section 2) to single baryon processes (sections 3 and 4) and further to the $B=2$ (here, $B$ denotes the baryon num ber) sector of nucleon-nucleon interaction and exchange currents (section 5) it is not surprising that a rigorous form ulation of a system atic chiral expansion in nuclearm atter (i:e: at nite baryon density) has not yet been found. $F$ inite baryon density introduces a new scale param eter, the Ferm im om entum of the nucleons $k_{F} \quad{ }^{1=3}$ and it is not clear how to dealw ith it in the chiral power counting schem e. Furtherm ore, Lorentz invariance is broken at nite density and the e ects of nuclear correlations have to be considered. A rigorous (and still predictive) expansion schem e which can account for all of these $m$ any-body com plexities as well as the chiral structure of Q CD has not yet been form ulated and $m$ ay even be too dem anding. A recent approach due to Shankar [6.34] appears prom ising but needs further detailed study. For a general approach to non $\{$ relativistic e ective theories, see Leutw yler [6.35].

In a rst step, follow ing ref.[6.33] one can sim ply use the free space chiral Lagrangian for the $B=0$ and $B=1$ sectors developped so far and evaluate the pertinent nucleon operators at the $m$ ean eld level. C onsequently, one works to linear order in the nuclear $m$ atter density,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ' } \mathrm{HH} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{H} \text { : } \tag{6:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Form ally, such a m ean eld approxim ation $m$ eans that any localterm in the ective $N$ Lagrangian of the form $H(x) O(x) H(x)$ is replaced by $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}[0(x)]$. The averaging trace here goes over both spin and isospin coordinates since we consider only a hom ogeneous, isospin sym $m$ etric and (spin-)unpolarized nuclear $m$ atter distribution of density . Of course, such a $m$ ean eld approxim ation $m$ ay have no rigorous foundation, but intuitively it should be reasonable at least for low densities. Furthem ore, since its starting point
is the $m$ ost generale ective chiral Lagrangian to a given order the inform ation gained this $w$ ay is $m$ ore general than $m$ odel calculations of the in $\{m$ edium properties.

Let us now apply the sim ple $m$ ean eld approxim ation to the e ective chiral Lagrangian $L^{(2)}+L_{N}^{(1)}+L{ }_{N}^{(2)}$. The averaging procedure going along $w$ ith the $m$ ean eld approxim ation used to decribe spin and isospin sym $m$ etric nuclear $m$ atter $m$ akes the piece $L{ }_{N}^{(1)}$ vanishing identically since iv $@=\alpha_{0}$ gives zero and the free term $m$ is absent in the heavy $m$ ass form ulation. The other term $s$ in $L \underset{N}{(1)}$ vanish since the isosp in traces $\operatorname{Tr}$; Tru are zero by construction. So we have to consider only $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ and here not all term $s$ vanish in the $m$ ean eld approxim ation. These are the ones proportional to $c_{1} ; c_{2} \quad q_{A}^{2}=8 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{c}_{3}$ which are of scalar\{ isoscalar nature. O ne obtains the follow ing " nite density chiral Lagrangian" (in the isospin $\lim$ it $m_{u}=m_{d}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
L()=\frac{F^{2}}{4}+\frac{C_{3}}{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(u \quad u)+\frac{C_{2}}{2} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{16 m} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(v \quad u v \quad u) \frac{F^{2}}{4}+C_{1} \quad \operatorname{Tr}(+) \tag{6:35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term scom ing from [ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}v & \left.D^{2}\right) \\ D & D\end{array}\right]=2 m$ have been neglected. They either represent nucleon kinetic energies or as the term $\operatorname{sir} \operatorname{Tr}) ; \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{v} \quad \mathrm{v} \quad\right.$ ) start out at order ${ }^{4}$ in the expansion in powers of the pion $m$ ass, which are not of interest here. The form of eq. (6.35) is very ilhustrative when com pared with the free space Lagrangian $\mathrm{L}^{(2)}$. The two param eters $F$ ' $F$ and $B_{0}=<u u>=F^{2}$ have become density dependent through the $m$ ean eld approxim ation of the nucleons. O ne can im m ediately read o the corresponding $m$ edium $m$ odi cations. From the last term in eq.(6.35) we get the density dependence of quark condensate (in the absence of pions + is proportional to quark $m$ ass tim es quark condensate),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\langle u u\rangle()}{\langle u u\rangle(0)}=1+\frac{4 C_{1}}{F^{2}}=1 \frac{N(0)}{F^{2} M^{2}} \tag{6:36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used the leading order relation to the $N$ sigm a term ${ }_{N}(0)=4 \mathrm{qM}^{2}$ (see eq.(3.54)). The result eq.(6.36) for the linear term of the density dependence of the quark condensate has been derived by several authors using quite di erent $m$ ethods [ $6.36,6.37$ ] and is often called \low -density theorem ". It w as also found in calculations using the N am bu-Jona-Lasinio m odel, see e.g. ref.[6.38]. It it quite interesting that the sim ple $m$ ean eld approxim ation to the e ective chiral $N$ Lagrangian very naturally leads to this general result. This gives some con dence in the approxim ations one is using. Putting in the em pirical value of ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}(0)=45 \mathrm{MeV}$ one nds a $30 \%$ reduction of the quark condensate at norm al nuclear $m$ atter density, giving strong hints that the chiral restoration $w$ ill take place at about several tim es nuclear $m$ atter density. This is an im portant issue for relativistic heavy ion collisions, where one hopes to reach such high densities. Of course, in order to $m$ ake a $m$ ore quantitative statem ent about the actualchiral restoration density one has to know corrections to eq.(6.36) at higher order in the density. There is a certain sim ilarity to the calculation of the tem perature
dependence of the quark condensate in CHPT, it allow s for an accurate calculations at low $T$ but can not be used to calculate the critical tem perature since then the higher order corrections have to com pletely cancel the leading term, i.e. one has no longer a controlled expansion [6.39].

The rst and second term in eq.(6.35) take the form of a density dependent pion kinetic term. As nite density breaks Lorentz invariance the tim e and spatialcom ponents of the pionic gradients are treated now di erently. O ne sees that at nite density the pion decay constant splits up into a "tim e com ponent" $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{)}$ and a "spatialcom ponent" $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{)}$ which behave di erently. They are given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.F_{t}^{2}()=F^{2}+2 c_{2}+2 c_{3} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4 m}\right)  \tag{6:37}\\
& F_{s}^{2}()=F^{2}+2 c_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The phenom enon that the breakdown of Lorentz invariance leads to di erent tim e and space com ponents of the pion decay constant has also been discussed in som e model calculations of pion properties [6.40]. U sing the m ost generale ective chirallagrangian at order $q^{2}$ and the sim plem ean eld approxim ation to describe density such a behaviour, i.e. $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}} \in \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$, follow s very naturally from the underlying chiral structure. T herefore one should take care of this possibility in $m$ odel calculations of the in-m edium e ects of the pion.

Of particular interest is the density dependence of the pion $m$ ass because of the pions G oldstone boson nature. T he inverse pion propagator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{1}(!; q ;)=!^{2} \quad q^{2} \quad(!; q ;) ; \tag{6:38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the self\{energy correction due to the interaction $w$ ith the $m$ edium. Perform ing an expansion of eq. (6.35) to quadratic order in the pion eld one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{1}(!; q ;)=1+\frac{2 C_{3}}{F^{2}} \quad\left(!^{2} \quad G^{2}\right)+\frac{F^{2}}{F^{2}} 2 C_{2} \quad \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4 m}!^{2} \quad 1+\frac{4 C_{1}}{F^{2}} M^{2}: \tag{6:39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating the poles of this propagator one nds for the e ective pion mass M ${ }^{2}()=$ $!^{2}(q=0 ;)$

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{2}() & =M^{2} 1+\frac{4 C_{1}}{F^{2}} \quad 1+\frac{F^{2}}{F^{2}} 2 C_{2}+2 C_{3} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4 m} \quad 1 \\
& =M^{2} 1 \frac{F^{2}}{F^{2}} 2 C_{2}+2 C_{3} \quad 4 G \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4 m}  \tag{6:40}\\
& =M^{2} \quad T^{+}(M) \quad=M \quad 41+\frac{M}{m} a^{+}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{a}^{+}$the isospin even N scattering length calculated to low est order (cf. eq.(3.66) w ithout the loop contribution $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ ). In ref.[6.41] it was em phasized that the linear term in the density dependence of the pion $m$ ass is proportional to the isoscalar $N$ scattering length $a^{+}$. This fact is a rigorous result from the leading order of a multiple scattering expansion. T he correct coe cient proportional to $a^{+}$is indeed reproduced here using the com plete chiral N Lagrangian at order $q^{2}$ and them ean eld approxim ation. T he statem ent in ref.[6.41] that the chiral Lagrangian techniques can not give this result is therefore w rong. T he argum entation of ref.[6.41] was based on an incom plete chiralLagrangian which consists only of the term proportionalto $c_{1}$ (the "sigm a-term "). W e would like to stress here again that the com plete chiral Lagrangian up to a given order has to be used to autom atically produce the correct results m odulo corrections of higher order. Since the value of $a^{+}, 0: 01 \mathrm{M}^{1}$ is negative one nds that the pion $m$ ass slightly increases w ith density. O f course, this statem ent is based exclusively on the know ledge of the very sm all linear term in density and could be modi ed by higher orders, $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ and so on. In the absence of calculations including higher orders in the density one even has no control on the range of validity of the linear density approxim ation, i:e: to what fraction of nuclear m atter density it is reliable.

A sa nal issue let us address the validity of the $G$ ell-M ann-O akes Renner (G M OR ) relation at nite density. It is often assum ed or found to hold in m odel calculations, see e.g. ref.[6.42]. At zero density, the G M O R relation is well founded in chiralperturbation theory and takes the form, $\mathrm{F}^{2} \mathrm{M}^{2}=\hat{\mathrm{m}}<\mathrm{uu}+\mathrm{dd}>+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. An extension to nite densities is not im $m$ ediately obvious since there is not just a single density dependent pion decay constant and, also, other quantities are density \{dependent. The com bination ofeqs. $(6.36,6.37,6.40)$ yields an in $-m$ edium version of the G M O R relation to linear order in the density , but only if one replaces the free space pion decay constant $F$ by its density dependent tim e com ponent $F_{t}($ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}^{2}() M^{2}()=\hat{m}<u u+d d>() \tag{6:41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation only holds m odulo corrections which are of higher order in the light quark $m$ asses and the density. It is m portant to note that for the spatial com ponent $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{)}$ the in m edium GMOR relation does not hold. In ref.[6.33] functional m ethods have been used to show that the in m edium properties of the pion up to linear order in the density do not depend on the actual choice of the interpolating pion eld. This feature is of course quite im portant in order to $m$ ake the concept of density dependent $m$ ass, decay constant and so on $m$ eaningful at all. The independence from the intenpolating eld becom es also clear if one goes back to eq.(6.35), the "density dependent chiral Lagrangian". A ny param etrization of the chiralm atrix $U(x)$ in term s of som e pion eld (exponential, $m$ odel gauge, stereographic coordinates, :: :) gives the sam e result for the expansion truncated at the quadratic order and this is all one needs to read o the density dependent pion $m$ ass and decay constant.
$T$ he salient features from this study of the pion in nuclearm atter can be sum $m$ arized as follow s . U sing the e ective chiral Lagrangian up to order $q^{2}$ and a sim ple m ean eld approxim ation to describe the nuclear density, one can easily reproduce the so-called $\backslash$ low - density theorem s" for the density variation of the quark condensate and the pion $m$ ass which follow from a multiple scattering expansion. The pion decay constant $F$ splits up into a time com ponent $F_{t}()$ and a spatial com ponent $F_{s}()$, which do not have the sam e density dependence, nevertheless both actually decrease $w$ ith density. $T$ he corresponding linear coe cients $2 c_{2}+2 c_{3} \quad q_{A}^{2}=4 m$ and $2 c_{3}$ are negative. The results presented here could be obtained rather easily from low est order tree levelchiral Lagrangian $L \underset{N}{(1 ; 2)}$, but is seem s rather nontrivial to go to higher ${ }^{\text {Rrders. }}$. For exam ple at order $q^{3}$ loop diagram s give rise to non-local term sof the form $d^{4} y H(x) O(x ; y) H$ ( $y$ ) and it is not clear how to handle them in $m$ ean eld approxim ation. Furthem ore, all four-nucleon term s show ing up in the $B=2$ sector should be considered, since they w ill give inform ation on ${ }^{2}$ correction and eventually nuclear correlations. P resently a system atic schem e to account for all these com plications is unknown and $m$ ay only be feasible if one supplies phenom enological inform ation as discussed in section 5.

## VI.4. M ISCELLANEOUS OM ISSION S

In this section, we want to give a list of topics not covered in detail. This list is neither $m$ eant to be com plete or does the order im ply any relevance. The references should allow the interested reader to further study these topics.

Isospin violation: A though the dow $n$ quark is alm ost tw ioe as heavy as the up quark, the corresponding isospin violations are perfectly $m$ asked in alm ost all observables since $\left(m_{d} \quad m_{u}\right)=\quad$ 1. All purely pionic low \{energy processes autom atically conserve isospin to order $m_{d} \quad m_{u}$ besides from true electrom agnetic e ects. The reason is that G \{parity forbids a term of the type uu dd using only pion elds $w$ ith no derivatives. Therefore, $W$ einberg [6.43] considered isospin violating e ects in the scattering lengths of neutralpions o nucleons. A spointed out by $W$ einberg [6.43] and later quanti ed by Bemard et al.[6.44], the absolute values of a ( ${ }^{0} \mathrm{n}!{ }^{0} \mathrm{n}$ ) and of a ( ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ ! ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ ) are hard to pin down accurately. H ow ever, in the di erence $m$ any of the uncertainties cancel and one expects a sizeable isospin violating e ect of the order of $30 \%$ [6.43]. In view of this, Bemstein [6.45] has proposed a second\{generation experim ent to accurately m easure the phase of the reaction $\mathrm{p}!{ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ below ${ }^{+} \mathrm{n}$ threshold and use the three\{channel unitarity to deduce the tiny ${ }^{0} \mathrm{p}$ phase. M ore recent discussions of these topics are due to van K oldk [6.46] and W einberg [6.47].

B aryon octet and decuplet properties: The high energy hyperon beam s at CERN and Ferm ilab allow to study aspects of the electrom agnetic structure of these particles. For exam ple, one can $m$ ake use of the $P$ rim ako e ect to $m$ easure the polarizabilities. In the quark $m$ odel, one expects $+\quad$ since in a system with like\{sign charges like the (dds) dipole excitations are strongly suppressed
[6.48]. This was quanti ed in a CHPT calculation to order $\mathrm{q}^{3}$ in ref.[6.49]. To that accuracy, one expects + ' 1:5 . Further studies of hyperon radiative decays and an analysis of the octet $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents can be found in refs.[6.50,6.51,6.52]. In the EFT w ith the spin $\{3 / 2$ decuplet as active degrees of freedom, one can also address the properties of the decuplet states. Topics considered include the E $2=\mathrm{M} 1$ $m$ ixing ratio in the decay ! $N$ [6.53], the decay ! $N$ ' + , (where 'denotes a lepton) [6.54] or the strong and electrom agnetic decays of the decuplet states [6.55]. Furthem ore, in the large $N_{c}$ lim it, one needs the spin $\{3 / 2$ states to restore unitarity in $N$ scattering (see [6.56] and references therein). This is often used as a strong support for the inclusion of the decuplet states in the EFT. H ow ever, we would like to stress that since the chiral and the large $N_{c} \lim$ ites do not com $m$ ute, considerable care has to be taken when such argum ents are em ployed, see e.g. refs.[6.57,6.58,6.59]) .
$K$ aon and pion condensation: The work of $K$ aplan and $N$ elson [628] triggered a urry of papers addressing the question whether Bose condensates of charged $m$ esons $m$ ay be found in dense nuclear $m$ atter form ed e.g. in the cores of neutron stars, the collapse of stars or in the collision of heavy ions. T he physical picture behind this is the attractive $S$ \{ w ave kaon \{nucleon interaction which could low er the e ective $m$ ass of kaons to the extent that the kaons condense at a few tim es the nuclear $m$ atter density. T his question, its consequences for the nuclear equation of state, neutron stars and the related question of $S\{w$ ave pion condensation are addressed e.g. in refs.[6.31,6.32,6.33,6.41,6.60,6.61,6.62,6.63,6.64] (and references given therein).

Finally, let usmention that a state of the art update can be found in the workshop proceedings [6.65] from which $m$ any $m$ ore references can be traced back.
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## APPENDIX A:FEYNMANRULES

H ere, we w ish to collect the pertinent Feynm an nules which are needed to calculate tree and loop diagram $s$. In order to praram etrjze the $S U(2) \mathrm{m}$ atrix $U$ in term $S$ of pion elds we use the so-called sigm a gauge $U=\overline{1} \sim^{2}=F^{2}+i \sim \quad \sim=F$ which is m ore convenient than the exponentialparam etrization $U=\exp [i \sim \quad \sim=F]$. Fore ective vertiges involving 3 and m ore pions, the Feynm an rules di er in the two param etrizations. Of course the com plete $S \rightarrow m$ atrix for a process w ith a certain num ber of on-shell extemal pions is the sam e in either param etrization. T he param etrization dependence ofm atrix elem ents for o -shellpions signals that these are indeed non-unique in CH P T. . P hysically this is clear, since in order to calculate e:g: o -shell pion am plitudes, one has to know the exact pion eld of QCD, not just some interpolating eld.

W e use the follow ing notation:
l M om entum of a pion or nucleon propagator.
k M om entum of an extemal vector or axial source.
q M om entum of an extemalpion.
P hoton polarization vector.
A Polarization vector of an axialsource.
p M om entum of a nucleon in heavy mass form ulation.
and pion isopin indices are $a ; b ; c ; d ; 3$ Furtherm ore, $v$ is the nucleon four-velocity and $S$ its covariant spin \{vector. A llparam eters like $Q=F ; g_{A} ; m ;::$ are m eant to be taken in the chiral lim it. W e also give the orientation of $m$ om enta at the vertiges, i.e. which are "in"-going or "out"-going.

V ertices from $L^{(2)}$
pion propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i^{a b}}{l^{2} \quad M^{2}+i 0} \tag{A:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, pseudoscalar souroe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{iBF} \quad \mathrm{ab} \tag{A:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

3 pions, pseudoscalar source:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \tag{A:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, axialsource (kin):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{k} \tag{A:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

3 pions, axial source (all $q^{\prime}$ s out):

2 pions, photon ( $q_{1}$ in, $q_{\text {o }}$ out):

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{a 3 b} \quad{ }_{1}\left(q q_{2}\right) \tag{A:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

4 pions, photon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \tag{A:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions, 2 photons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.2 i e^{2} 0 \quad a p \quad a 3 b 3\right) \tag{A:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions, scalar source:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i^{a b} M^{2} \tag{A:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

4 pion vertex (all q's in) :
$\frac{i}{F^{2}} f{ }^{a b}{ }^{c d}\left[\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)^{2} \quad M^{2}\right]+a c b^{b d}\left[\left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right)^{2} \quad M^{2}\right]+{ }^{a d} b c\left[\left(q_{1}+q_{A}\right)^{2} \quad M^{2}\right] g(A: 10)$

Vertices from $L{ }_{N}^{(1)}$
nucleon propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{v \quad l+i 0} \tag{A:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion (q out):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{A}}{F} S \quad q^{a} \tag{A:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

photon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i e}{2}\left(1+{ }^{3}\right) \quad v \tag{A:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions (qi in, $q_{2}$ out):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 F^{2}} \mathrm{v} \quad\left(\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{q}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{abc} \mathrm{c}} \tag{A:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, 1 photon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i^{i e g_{A}}}{F} \quad S^{3 b \quad b} \tag{A:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3 pions (all q's out) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{A}}{2 F^{3}}\left[{ }^{a b^{b c}} S \quad\left(q+q_{3}\right)+b^{a c} S \quad\left(q+q_{3}\right)+c^{a b} S \quad\left(q+q_{2}\right)\right] \tag{A:16}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions, photon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\text { ee }}{4 F^{2}}\left({ }^{a b 3}+b a 3 \quad 2^{3 a b}\right) \quad v \tag{A:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

3 pions, photon:
0
axial source:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ig}_{A} S \quad{ }_{A}^{b} \tag{A:19}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, axial source:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~F}} \mathrm{~A} \quad \mathrm{~V} b \mathrm{c} \tag{A:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions, axial souroe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{ig}_{A}}{2 F^{2}} S_{A}\left({ }^{a b c}+b c a \quad 2^{a c b}\right) \tag{A:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

V ertices from $\mathrm{L}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L \underset{N}{(2)}=H \quad \frac{1}{2 m}\left(v \quad D^{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2 m} D \quad D \quad \frac{i g_{A}}{2 m} f S \quad D ; v \quad u g+T \mathbb{C}+ \\
& +\left(c_{2} \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{8 m}\right)\left(v \quad u^{2}\right)+c_{3} u \quad u+\left(C+\frac{1}{4 m}\right)[S ; S \text { ju u }  \tag{A:22}\\
& +c_{5} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sim_{+}\right) \quad \frac{i}{4 m}[S ; S]\left(1+{ }_{v}\right) f^{+}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s} & \mathrm{v}
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{f}^{+}\right) \quad H
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\sim_{+}=+(1=2) \operatorname{Tr}+$ (thisterm is only non\{vanishing form $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ). Allparam $\mathrm{e}_{-}$ ters, $g_{A} ; m ; C_{i} ; ~ s ; v$ are understood as the ones in the chirallim it. $f^{+}=u^{y} F^{R} u+u F^{L} u^{Y}$ with $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}$ the eld strength tensor corresponding to extemal (isovector) left/right vector souroes (isovector photon, W and Z boson). The extemal vector source in $\mathrm{f}^{+}$is understood to have also an isoscalar com ponent (isoscalar photon). Here, we will use the (C oulomb) gauge $\quad v=0$ for the photon. The rst three term $s$ in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(2)}$ stem from the $1=m$ expansion of the chiral nucleon $D$ irac lagrangian. They have no counter part in the relativistic theory, i:e: no bilinears form involving \{m atrices. Their coe cients are xed in term $s$ of the low est order param eters and nucleon $m$ ass $m$. T he other term $s$ involving the new low \{energy constants com efrom the ost general relativistic lagrangian at order $q^{2}$ (see ref.[3.6]) after translation into the heavy $m$ ass form alism. O ne observes, that there is som e overlap betw een the two types of term $s$ at order $q^{2}$, nam ely in the (v $u^{2}$ ) and $[S$; $S$ lu u term $s$ and the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent couplings. The low \{energy constants $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{7}$ which are discussed in sections three and four are related to the anom alous $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents of the nucleon (in the chiral lim it) via

$$
C_{6}=\mathrm{v}^{;} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{7}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s} & \mathrm{v} \tag{A:23}
\end{array}\right):
$$

The pertinent Feynm an insertions read ( $p_{1}$ is alw ays ingoing and $p_{2}$ outgoing):
nucleon propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{2 m} 1 \frac{l^{2}}{(v \quad l+i \theta)} \tag{A:24}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 photons:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i e^{2}}{2 m}\left(1+{ }^{3}\right)^{0} \tag{A:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion (q out):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{A}}{2 m F} S \quad\left(p+p_{2}\right) v \quad q^{2} \tag{A:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 photon (kin):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i e}{4 m}\left(1+{ }^{3}\right) \quad\left(10+p_{2}\right)+\frac{\text { ie }}{2 m}[S \quad \text {;S } \quad k]\left(1++(1+\quad v)^{3}\right) \tag{A:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, 1 photon (q out) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{e g_{A}}{2 m F} S \quad v \quad \text { aqt }{ }^{a 3}\right) \tag{A:28}
\end{equation*}
$$

2 pions (qiin, quit):

3 pions (allq's out):

$$
\left.{\frac{1 g_{A}}{4 m \mathrm{~F}^{3}}}^{\mathrm{abc}}\left[\begin{array}{lllllllll}
\mathrm{v} & { }_{1} \Phi & \Varangle q & \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{v} & 2 \Phi & (\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{q})+\mathrm{v} & 3 \Phi & (\mathrm{q}
\end{array} \mathrm{q}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\frac{g_{A}}{4 m F^{3}} S \quad\left(p+p_{2}\right)\left[a^{a b c} v \quad\left(q+q_{3}\right)+b a c_{v} \quad\left(q+q_{3}\right)+c a_{v} \quad\left(q^{+}+q_{2}\right)\right]
$$

2 pions, 1 photon ( $q_{1}$ in, $q_{\text {out }}$ out:

3 pions, 1 photon (all q's out) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& +a^{a b c} v_{v}\left(q+q_{3}\right)+b^{a c} v\left(q_{1}+q_{3}\right)+{ }^{c a b} v \quad\left(q+q_{2}\right) \tag{A:32}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\text { e }}{2 \mathrm{mF}^{2}} 2\left(1+{ }_{\mathrm{v}}\right)[\mathrm{S} \quad ; \mathrm{S} \mathrm{k}]+{ }_{1}+\mathrm{CD}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{b} 3}+\mathrm{ba3} 2^{3 \mathrm{ab}}\right)  \tag{A:31}\\
& +\frac{e}{8 \mathrm{mF}^{2}} \quad{ }_{1}\left(\not\left(q_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{abc}}\left({ }^{c}+{ }^{3 c}\right)+2 \mathrm{c}_{3} \frac{e}{F^{2}}{ }^{\text {a3b }} \quad{ }_{1}\left(q^{(q} q_{2}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i^{a b}}{F^{2}} \quad 4 q 1 M^{2}+\left(2 C_{2} \quad \frac{g_{A}^{2}}{4 m}\right) v \quad{ }_{1} \Phi \quad 2 q+2 C_{3} q_{1} \quad{ }_{2} q \\
& +\frac{1}{8 m F^{2}}{ }^{a b c} c\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right) \quad\left(q+q_{2}\right) \quad v \quad 1\left(q+p_{2}\right) v \quad\left(q+q_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2 pions, 2 photons:
$\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~F}^{2}}{ }^{0} \quad a\left[{ }^{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{ba3}+2^{\text {a3 }} \mathrm{b} 3 \quad 2^{\mathrm{ab}}\left(1+{ }^{3}\right)\right]+4 i c_{3} \frac{e^{2}}{F^{2}} 0 \quad$ ap $\quad$ a3 $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{b} 3}\right)$
axialsourœe:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g_{A}}{2 m F} S \quad\left(p+p_{2}\right)_{A} \quad b^{b} \tag{A:34}
\end{equation*}
$$

1 pion, axial source (q out):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{i}{4 m F}{ }^{a b c}{ }^{c}\left[_{A} \quad\left(p+p_{2}\right) \quad A_{A} \quad \text { VV } 1_{1}\left(p p_{2}\right)+2 \frac{C_{3}}{F}{ }^{a b}{ }_{A} \quad q\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& + \text { i } 2 C_{4}+\frac{1}{2 m}\left[S \quad q ; S_{A}\right]^{a b c} c \tag{A:35}
\end{align*}
$$

APPENDIX B:LOOP FUNCTIONS
Here, we will de ne many of the loop functions which frequently occur in our calculations and we w ill give these functions in closed analytical form as far as possible. D ivergent loop functions are regularized via dim ensional regularization and expanded around $d=4$ space-tim e dim ensions. In the follow ing allpropagators are understood to have an in nitesim al negative im aginary part.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1^{Z}}{i} \frac{d^{d} l}{(2)^{d}} \frac{1}{M^{2}}=\quad=M^{d 2}(4)^{d=2} \quad\left(1 \quad \frac{d}{2}\right)  \tag{B:1}\\
& =2 M^{2} L+\frac{1}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{}+O(d \quad 4) \tag{B:2}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{d} 4}{16^{2}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~d} 4}+\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{E} \quad 1 \quad \ln 4) \tag{B:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

containing a pole in $d=4$ and $E=0: 557215:::$. The scale is introduced in dim ensional regularization.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{0}(!)=4 L!+\frac{!}{8^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 2 \ln \frac{M}{4^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{M}^{\mathrm{M}} \quad!^{2} \arccos \frac{!}{M}+O\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{d} & 4) \quad(\mathrm{B}: 5)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.J_{1}(!)=!J_{0}(!)+\quad ; \quad J_{2}(!)=\frac{1}{d} 1 \mathbb{M}^{2} \quad!^{2}\right) J_{0}(!) \quad! \\
& J_{3}(!)=!J_{1}(!) \quad J_{2}(!) \tag{B:7}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the identity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{1}{v}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{v} \quad l} \frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{l}\right)!\frac{1}{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{l}  \tag{B:9}\\
\sim_{i}(!)=\frac{1}{!} J_{i}(!) \quad J_{i}(0) ; \quad(i=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3) \tag{B:10}
\end{gather*}
$$

U sing the identity

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\frac{1}{(v \quad l}!\right)  \tag{B:12}\\
G_{i}(!)=\frac{@}{@!} J_{i}(!) ; \quad(i=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3) \tag{B:13}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{g} \quad 3(!)+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}_{4}(!)+(\mathrm{k} v+\mathrm{k} v)\right)_{5}(!)+\mathrm{v} \mathrm{v}_{6}(!) \mathrm{g} \tag{B:14}
\end{align*}
$$

where ! = v $k ;{ }^{2} k=0$ since we consider only real photons.

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(!)=\frac{1}{16^{2}!} \quad+\arcsin \frac{!}{M} \quad \arcsin \frac{!}{M} \tag{B:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector and tensor functions $j(!) ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5 ; 6$ can be obtained by the follow ing procedure. O ne multiplies the de ning equation with $v$ thereby canceling a factor $v \quad l$ or one multiplies by $2 k$ and uses the identity $2 k \quad l=\left(\begin{array}{lll}\left(M^{2}\right. & \left.\text { 主) } \quad\left(M^{2} \quad(l+k)^{2}\right)\right) \text { for }\end{array}\right.$ $k^{2}=0$. This leads to linear relation am ong the $j(!) ; j \in 0$ where the right hand sides
are loop fiunctions w ith few er propagators. For illustration of the $m$ ethod, we give the explicit solution for the functions $j(!)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1(!)=\frac{1}{8{ }^{2}!^{2}} \mathrm{P} \overline{M^{2} \quad!^{2}} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \quad 2_{2}^{M} \quad!  \tag{B:16}\\
& 2(!)=2 L+\frac{1}{16^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 2 \ln \frac{M}{}+\frac{1}{8^{2}!} \quad 2^{M} \quad P \overline{M^{2} \quad!^{2}} \arccos \frac{!}{M}  \tag{B:17}\\
& 3(!)=L!+\frac{!}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{1}+{\frac{1}{16^{2}}}^{p} \overline{M^{2} \quad!^{2}} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \\
& +\frac{M^{2}}{32^{2}!}+\arcsin \frac{!}{M} \quad \arcsin \frac{!}{M}  \tag{B:18}\\
& { }_{4}(!)=\frac{1}{32^{2}!^{3}} M^{2} \quad+\arcsin \frac{!}{M} \quad \arcsin \frac{!}{M} \quad 2!^{P} \overline{M^{2} \quad!^{2}} \arccos \frac{!}{M}+!^{2} \\
& \text { (B :19) } \\
& 5(!)=L+\frac{1}{16^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{P}+\frac{\overline{M^{2}}!^{2}}{16^{2}!} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \quad \frac{M^{2}}{32^{2}!^{2}} \quad+\arcsin \frac{!}{M} \quad \arcsin \frac{!}{M} \\
& 6(!)=2 L!+\frac{!}{16^{2}} \quad 1 \quad 2 \ln \frac{M}{8^{2}} \quad{\frac{1}{M^{2}} \quad!^{2}}_{\arccos \frac{!}{M}} \tag{B:20}
\end{align*}
$$

From now on we give only the scalar loop functions for $\mathrm{d}=4$.
$U$ sing the sam e identity as for $\sim_{i}(!)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0(!)=\frac{1}{!} \quad 0(!) \quad o(\quad!)=\frac{1}{8^{2}!^{2}} \arcsin ^{2} \frac{!}{M} \tag{B:23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0(!)=\frac{1}{32 M^{2}!^{2}} M \quad P \overline{M^{2}!^{2}}+\frac{1}{16^{2} M^{2}!^{2}}!\quad P \overline{M^{2} \quad!^{2}} \arcsin \frac{!}{M} \tag{B:25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0(!)=\frac{1}{8^{2}!}\left(M^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)^{1=2} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \quad \overline{2 M} \tag{B:27}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{4} l}{(2)^{4}} \frac{1}{(v} 2\right)\left(M^{2} \quad l^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.(l+k)^{2}\right)
\end{array}=0(!)\right.  \tag{B:28}\\
& 0(!)=\frac{1}{16^{2} M^{2}!}\left(M^{2} \quad!^{2}\right)^{1=2} \arccos \frac{!}{M} \quad \frac{}{2 M} \tag{B:29}
\end{align*}
$$

For the calculation of form factor we need $j$ w ith $v \quad k=0$ but $k=t \in 0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0(t)=\frac{1}{8-} \arctan \frac{P-t}{2 M}  \tag{B:31}\\
& 3(t)=\frac{1}{32} M+\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 M^{2}}{t} p-\operatorname{tarctan} \frac{p-t}{2 M}
\end{align*}
$$

T he tw o loop function which enter the nucleon isovector D irac from factor are:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
J(t)=\frac{1}{16^{2}} \frac{t}{6}+{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x M^{2}+t x(x
\end{array} \quad 1\right) \ln 1+\frac{t}{M^{2}} x(x)={\frac{1}{Z_{1}}}_{1}^{16^{2}} d x \ln 1+\frac{t}{M^{2}} x\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

APPENDIX C:THE "AXIALRADIUS DISCREPANCY"
In the end of sect.IV .4 we discussed pion electroproduction at threshold and found that chiral loops m odify the LET of Nambu, Lurie and Shrauner. The conclusion was that an analysis of threshold charged pion electroproduction data in term s of soft pion theory (in order to link them easured cross sections to nucleon electrom agnetic and axial form factors) does not lead to the nucleon $m$ ean square axial radius $r_{A}^{2}$ itself but to a $m$ odi ed quantity ${\underset{I}{A}}_{2}$ which subsum es the chiralloop corrections. For this "discrepancy", $x_{A}^{2} \quad \frac{l_{A}^{2}}{A}$, the leading term which survives in the chiral lim it is given in eq.(4.79). N um erically it is a $\{10 \%$ e ect and allow s to understand the system atic discrepancies betw een present (anti)neutrino experim ents (which $m$ easure the true nucleon axial radius) and charged pion electroproduction experim ents [4.76]. (N ote that we are considering here exclusively sm all values of the m om entum transfer $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ ).

Since the low est order result of the discrepancy $x_{A}^{2} \quad n_{A}^{2}$ is quite sm all, one should investigate higher order corrections (in $=M=m$ ) in order to see whether the num erical value of the leading order prediction is actually reliable. Such a com plete next-toleading order calculation has been done in ref.[4.91]. For that one has to go to order $q^{4}$ in the chiral expansion, which am ounts to an evaluation of all one loop graphs w ith
a single insertion from $L \underset{N}{(2)}$ and possible counter term $s$. The latter were estim ated from resonance exchange contributions, in the present case from (770) and (1232) exchange.

The relevant observable to be studied is the transition $m$ atrix elem ent for $p$ ! ${ }^{+} n$ in the center of $m$ ass fram e at threshold. Only the transverse part is of interest and it takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad \frac{\mathrm{ieg}_{A}}{\overline{2} \mathrm{~F}} \sim \quad \sim \mathrm{E}^{2}\left(\mathrm{x}=4 \mathrm{i}(1+) \sim \quad \sim \sim_{0}^{+} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{thr}\right. \tag{C:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The auxiliary quantity $E\left(k^{2}\right)$ introduced here is proportional to the transverse threshold $S\left\{w\right.$ ave $m$ ultipole for ${ }^{+}$eelectroproduction. In the chirallim it the corresponding current algebra result becom es exact and gives, when expanded in $\mathrm{k}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(k^{2}\right)=1+\frac{k^{2}}{6} r_{A}^{2} \quad \frac{k^{2}}{2 m^{2}} \quad n+\frac{1}{4}+O\left(k^{3}\right) \tag{C:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{A}^{2}=r_{A}^{2}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \quad n=n \quad \frac{g_{A}^{2} m M}{8 F^{2}}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{C:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the nucleon $m$ ean square axial radius and neutron $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent inpthe chiral lim it. $N$ ote that the axialm ean square radius has no non-analytic piece $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ in its quark m ass expansion [4.91] (in contrast to the isovector $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent). The aim is to work out all tree and loop graphs up to order $q^{4}$ which contribute to the slope term $s$ $E^{0}(0)=@ E(0)=@ k^{2}$ proportionalto $M^{0}$ and/or $M^{1}$. The quantity $6 E^{0}(0)$ is the sum of them ean square axialradius $r_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}$ and a host of other term s . A $m$ ong these other term $s$ the contributions from the relativistic Bom graphs including electrom agnetic form factors $w$ ill not be counted for the axial radius discrepancy $x_{A}^{2} \quad \sum_{A}^{2}$, since such e ects are taken into account in the standard analysis of the pion electroproduction data. The discrepancy therefore subsum es (per de nition) all additional loop (and counter term) e ects which go beyond the form factors. Stated di erently, the dicrepancy represents all those $k^{2}$-pieces which are $m$ issing in a tree calculation ( $w$ ith form factors) of $E\left(k^{2}\right)$. A fter som e lengthy calculation [4.91] one arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{x}_{A}^{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}= & \frac{3}{64 F^{2}} 1 \quad \frac{12}{2}+\frac{3 M}{64 m F^{2}}+\frac{3 c^{+}(4) M}{32 F^{2}} \\
& +\frac{3 g_{A}^{2}}{8{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~F}^{2}} \ln \frac{M}{16}+\frac{2}{12} \quad \frac{7}{4}+6 E^{0}(0)+6 E^{0}(0) \tag{C:4}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term in (C.4) is the leading order result given already in eq.(4.79). The com bination of low energy constants $\mathrm{c}^{+}=8 \mathrm{q}+4 \mathrm{c}_{2}+4 \mathrm{c}_{3} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ can be related to the isospin even $N$ scattering length $\mathrm{a}^{+}$via $\mathrm{c}^{+}=8 \mathrm{~F}^{2} \mathrm{a}^{+}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$. The last tw o term s in
(C.3) represent the counter term contributions at order $q^{4}$ which have been identi ed w ith (770) and (1232) exchange contributions,
$6 E^{0}(0)=\frac{3(1+\quad) M}{16^{2} g_{A} m F^{2}}$
$6 E^{0}(0)=\sum_{\overline{2} m^{2} m^{2}}^{M} \frac{m^{2} m m+m^{2}}{m} \quad 2 m(Y+Z+2 Y Z) \quad 2 m(Y+Z+4 Y Z)$
( $\mathrm{C}: 5$ )
Here, , 6 stands for the tensor-to-vector ratio of the NN couplings and $=g_{1}$ ' 5 is the N coupling constant. The second N coupling $\mathrm{g}_{2}$ of eq.(4.39) does not contribute at order $q^{4}$. The 0 -shell param eters $Y ; Z$ have been estim ated roughly in ref.[4.32] as $0: 75 \quad \mathrm{Y} \quad 1: 67$ and $0: 8 \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad 0: 3$. For a num erical evaluation of (C .5) these ranges are $m$ uch too large and they should be further constrained. $T$ he strategy of ref.[4.91] w as to link them to som e nucleon structure param eters. T heo -shellparam eter $Y$ enters the (1232) contribution to the proton $m$ agnetic polarizability

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { () }=\frac{e^{2}{ }^{2}}{18 m^{2} m^{2}} \frac{m^{2} m m+m^{2}}{m} \quad 4 Y m(Y+1)+m \quad(2 Y+1) \tag{C:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xperim entaldeterm inations of this quantity in ref.[4.28] give a value of $p_{p}^{()}$, 710 $\mathrm{fm}^{3}$ corresponding to $Y$ ' $0: 12$. It is clear that the $w$ ide range of $Y m$ entioned above is inacceptably large, since it also leads to (absurd) negative magnetic polarizabilities
${ }_{p}^{()}$. Furtherm ore the $O$-shell param eter Z of the $N$-vertex has been constrained. $T$ he (1232) gives a large contribution to the $P$ wave $N$ scattering volum e a 33 . In the isobar $m$ odel (an approxim ation without the o -shell param eter $Z$ ) the experim ental value $a_{33}=0: 214=\mathrm{M}^{3}$ is understood to com e in equal shares from nucleon pole graphs and from (1232) excitation. U sing a fully relativistic treatm ent of the ( R aritaSchw inger spinors) the $m$ axim al value of $a_{33}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=0: 185=\mathrm{M}^{3}$ is obtained with Z ' $0: 3$. $T$ hese values of $Y=0: 12$ and $Z=0: 3 \mathrm{w}$ ill now be used to evaluate (C.5). Putting all pieces together, one nds for the axial radius discrepancy

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} & =(4: 6+(3: 1+1: 1 \quad 4: 5)+(7: 2+8: 0)) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{2} \\
& =(4: 6+0: 5) \quad 10 \mathrm{fm}^{2} \tag{C:7}
\end{align*}
$$

T he rst term ( -4.6 ) gives the leading order contribution and the others are the order corrections. In (C.6) $\mathrm{a}^{+}=0: 83 \quad 10=\mathrm{M}$ and $=1 \mathrm{GeV}$ has been used. A though there is som e num erical uncertainty in the order correction, one obverves that the individual loop and counter term contributions cancel each other to a large extent if one $m$ akes reasonable assum ptions on the param eters involved. W e stress that the individual term sat order in (C.7) com ing from certain classes of loop diagram s have no physical meaning, only the total sum counts. T he latter tends to be quite sm all, sim ilar to the sum of and contributions. In essence one concludes from this com plete order $q^{4}$ calculation that no dram atic corrections to the leading order prediction of the discrepancy $x_{A}^{2} \quad \frac{r_{A}^{2}}{A}$ are to be expected. It is now the task offuture precision experim ents (like ${ }^{+}$-electroproduction at low $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ close to threshold and inverse -decay) to test the prediction of $x_{A}^{2} \quad \sum_{A}^{2}$ presented here.

APPENDIX D:STEREOGRAPHICCOORDINATES
In this appendix, we brie y sum $m$ arize how one can use stereographic coordinates to param etrize the non $\{$ linearly realized pion and $m$ atter elds. This form alism is used extensively in section 5. T he pions inhabit the three\{sphere of radius $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{3} \quad \frac{S O(4)}{S O(3)} \tag{D:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{SU}\left(2 k \quad \mathrm{SO}(4)\right.$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{SO}(3)$ (localisom onphism s$)$. Em bedding the sphere in euclidean space $\mathrm{E}^{4}$ of cartesian coordinates $=\mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{f}^{\sim}$; ${ }_{4}=\mathrm{g}$, the sphere is de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{4} \quad 2=F^{2} \quad: \tag{D:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=1$
Three pion elds ~ can be obtained by applying e.g. a four\{rotation $R(\sim)\left(R R^{T}=1\right)$ to the north pole,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\sim)=R_{4}(\sim) \mathrm{F} \quad: \tag{D:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In stereographic coordinates, one has
w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D } \quad 1+\frac{\sim^{2}}{4 F^{2}}: \tag{D:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he corresponding covariant derivative follow s to be as given in eq. (5.1). It transform s linearly under the unbroken subgroup $S U(2)_{V}$ but highly non \{ linear under $S U(2)_{A}$. Furtherm ore, it expresses the G oldstone boson character of the pions, i.e. their interactions vanish as the $m$ om entum transfer goes to zero.

Explicit sym $m$ etry breaking can be included in the follow ing w ay. R ew rite the $m$ ass term in two\{ avor QCD as

$$
L_{m \text { ass }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right)(q q) \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(m_{u} \quad m_{d}\right)\left(2 q t_{3} q\right) \quad q=\quad \begin{aligned}
& u \\
& d
\end{aligned} \quad \text { (D:6) }
$$

The nst term is the fourth com ponent of the four\{vector $S=\left(2 q i{ }_{5}\right.$ *q; qq) and the second the third com ponent of the $S O$ (4) vector $P=\left(2 q q ; q i{ }_{5} q\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith opposite trans form ation properties under parity and tim e reversal. B oth term sbreak chiralsym $m$ etry,
but only the second one breaks isospin (the invariant $S O$ (3) subgroup does not a ect the fourth com ponent). In term s of the pion elds, one constructs

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[]=\frac{\sim}{D F} ; 1 \frac{\sim^{2}}{2 \mathrm{DF}^{2}} ; \tag{D:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the canonical pion $m$ ass term

$$
\begin{equation*}
L ; m \text { ass }=\frac{1}{2 D} M^{2} \sim^{2}+\text { constant }=\frac{1}{2} M^{2} \sim^{2}+::: ; \tag{D:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the pion $m$ ass squared is proportional to $\left(m_{u}+m_{d}\right)$.
To include the $m$ atter elds $={ }_{\mathrm{N}} ; \quad ;:::$, one has to fiumish a representation $t^{()}$of SO (3). For the nucleon, the corresponding $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes aret ${ }^{(\mathbb{N})} \quad *=\frac{1}{2} \quad$, w th the P aulim atrioes. It is then m ost convenient to use a non $\{$ linear realization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\overline{\mathrm{D}}} 1+\mathrm{i}_{5} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{~F}} \sim_{\mathrm{N}} ; \tag{D:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N transform s linearly under the chiral group. The pertinent covariant derivative is given in eq.(52). For the isobar,

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
0 & ++1 \\
= & +  \tag{D:10}\\
@ & 0 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

the construction is the sam e, only that the $t^{()}=t^{(3=2)}$ are herm itean $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrices. $T$ he covariant derivative reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left(@+\tau^{(3=2)} E\right) ; \tag{D:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $E$ de ned after eq. (52). Finally, one also needs the $2 \quad 4$ spin ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) and isospin ( $T_{a}$ ) $\frac{1}{2}!\frac{3}{2}$ transition $m$ atrioes satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}}^{+}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2_{\mathrm{ij}} & i_{\mathrm{ijk}} \quad k
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{D:12}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}^{+}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{ab} & \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{abc}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}
\end{array}\right):
\end{align*}
$$


[^0]:    * For a m assive ferm ion, the $P_{L ; R}$ are stillpro jectors but do not yield exactly the helicily.

[^1]:    * Strictly speaking the axial-axial correlator in the vacuum has a pion pole term w ith its residuum given by F .

[^2]:    * It is advantegoeus to use dim ensional regularization since it that case one avoids the appearance of pow er\{ law di̇vergences.

[^3]:    * For the vectors and axials, this naturally leads to the tensor\{ eld form ulation.

[^4]:    * W e adhere here to the notation of [3.5]. The m ore obvious one $w$ ith interchanging $L$ and $R$ is e.g. used in [3.7].

[^5]:    * Since this pow er counting argum ent is general, we talk of m esons $(M)$ and baryons (B) for a while (instead of pions and nucleons).

[^6]:    * For on \{shell nucleons, one can further reduce this num ber by using the equations of $m$ otion for the nucleons.

[^7]:    * O ften it is stated that $m \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ' 2 M . W hile that is num erically true, the behaviour of these quantities in the chiral lim it is very di erent. W hile the form er stays constant as m ! 0, the latter vanishes.

[^8]:    * A rem ark on the \{ m eson is in order. The chiral power counting enforces a vertex of order $q^{2}$ of the form $\left.L^{(2)}=g \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{u}]\right)$ [3.31]. In forward direction the contraction of the $\{\mathrm{m}$ eson propagator w ith the corresponding m atrix elem ent vanishes. Therefore, one has no explicit $\left\{\mathrm{m}\right.$ eson induced contributions to T of order $q^{2}$ and $q^{3}$.

[^9]:    * W e choose here $=\mathrm{m}$ because of the m atching conditions discussed in ref.[4.3]. $N$ aturally, any other choice of would do as well since physical observables do not depend on the renom alization scale.

[^10]:    * W e do not consider operators related to so \{ called second class currents since these are not observed in nature.

[^11]:    * See the discussion on this in ref.[4.51]

[^12]:    * W ithin our approxim ation, the contribution from $\mathrm{L}^{(4)}$ containing the W ess-Zum ino term inconporating the anom alous (natural parity violating) vertex ! 3 vanishes.

[^13]:    * W e include here the since that has also been done in ref.[5.17] which reported rst full scale num erical results. $W$ e rem ind the reader here of the reservations $m$ ade in section 3.4.

[^14]:    * These quantities are renorm alization-group invariant in a m ass-independent subtraction schem $e$, which is what one usually em ploys.

[^15]:    * Since we choose the G M O value for the mass, M $+M \quad{ }^{P} \overline{2} M_{k}$, the lop does not contribute to the im aginary part in eq.(6.14b). For the physical value of the m ass this contribution is tiny com pared to the pion loop.

