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#### Abstract

The bound state problem for a ferm ion-antiferm ion system is considered taking into account a nite decay width of the constituents. W e propose an exactly solvable relativistic zero order equation sim ilar to that of B arbieri and Rem iddi, but inchuding a constant w idth. W e focus especially on the tt system for which we reconsider our recent calculation of the bound state corrections to the toponium width, which was perform ed in the narrow width approxim ation and needed the use of second order Bethe-Salpeter perturbation theory. W e show that one obtains the sam e result already in rst order BS perturbation theory if one uses our present approach. Furtherm ore the large cancellations of gauge dependent term s is dem onstrated to be a consequence of a W ard identity. T his cancellation $m$ echanism is show $n$ to be valid for general ferm ion-antiferm ion system $s$.


1.T he possible im portance ofo -shellcorrections to the decay width in the calculation of the cross section for top-antitop production by $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ lately has been the subject of detailed analysis $[\underline{-1} 1$, , $12 \overline{-1}]$. In a recent publication $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\mathbf{3}}\end{array}\right]$ we addressed this problem in the context of Bethe-Salpeter(BS) perturbation theory starting from the BarbieriRem iddi equation $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{4} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. W e were able to show by explicit calculation that large cancellations took place and that the net result can be interpreted as a pure tim e dilatation e ect. T his had been con jectured qualitatively before

In this note we will im prove our understanding of this result and derive a general theorem, valid for sim ilar cases. From a theoreticalpoint of view our calculation for toponium has been unsatisfactory since it was obtained in the narrow width approxim ation. A swe will explain below this approxim ation is not strictly applicable to that system .W e now include the "bulk" of the decay width already in the zero order equation to avoid this problem. This o ers also the $m$ ore technical advantage that we then need only to consider rst order BS-perturbation theory in contrast to the calculation in the narrow width approxim ation where second order perturbation theory was needed. Furtherm ore we are able to show that the cancellation of the gauge dependent term $s$ is a result of a W ard identity. This fact also considerably sim pli es the actual calculation.
2. The Bethe-Salpeter (BS) approach for weakly bound system $s$ is based upon the B S-equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=D+D K G \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the tt $G$ reen function $G\left(\mathbb{P} ; k ; k^{0}\right)$, where $D$ is the product of the two (fill) propagators
and K represents the 2 piBS-kemel. A Il four point functions in (근) depend on the total $m$ om entum $P=\left(P_{0} ; 0\right)$; the incom ing (outgoing) lines carry $m$ om entum $P=2 \quad k \quad(P=2 \quad k)$. Perturbation theory starts from an equation sim ilar to eq. ( $(\underset{-1}{\overline{1}})$ w th $G_{0} ; D{ }_{0}$ and $K_{0}$ chosen in such a way that the exact solution is known. IfD 0 consists of the nonrelativistic ferm ion-propagators only and if $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ is the Coulomb kemel the zero order equation corresponding to (1-1) (after integrating out $\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{0}^{0}$ ) sim ply reduces to the Schrodinger equation. A nother very convenient zero order equation which already includes the relativistic free ferm ion propagators and still rem ains solvable has been found by B arbieri and Rem iddi (BR) som e tim e ago $[\underline{[4]}]$. How ever, as is the case for the top quark - if the decay width of the constituents becom es com parable to the binding energy, perturbation theory runs into troubles because of the occurrence of term $s\left(=\left({ }^{2} m\right)\right)^{n}$ in graphs like the one in g.1.To circum vent these di culties one has to include at least a part of the exact self energy function in the zero order equation [-6] $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right.$

The key point of the BS approach as generalized to the case of a particle w ith nite $w$ idth is the approxim ation to the free ferm ion propagator $E_{k}=q \overline{K^{2}+m^{2}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left(\frac{P_{0}}{2}+k_{0}\right) & =\left[\left(\frac{P_{0}}{2}+k\right) \quad m \quad\left(\frac{P_{0}}{2}+k\right)\right]^{1}!  \tag{2}\\
& !\frac{0}{\frac{P_{0}}{2}+k_{0} \quad E_{k}+i_{\overline{2}}}+\frac{0}{\frac{P_{0}}{2}+k_{0}+E_{k} \quad \dot{\frac{i}{2}}} ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

which is surely valid for a slow ly m oving particle. This choige leaves the relativistic projectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (p) } \frac{E_{p} \quad(\sim p+m)}{2 E_{p}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

una ected and thus introduces a m inim al num ber of term $s$ involving the width in the
calculation．By integrating the zero order BS－equation for the Feynm an am plitudes（ $D_{0}=S_{+} \quad S$ ）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IG}_{0}=\mathrm{D}_{0}+\mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{~K}_{0} \mathrm{G}_{0} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th respect to the zero com ponents of $m$ om entum under the assum ption that $K_{0}$ is instantaneous and w ith a kemel of the special form（ $=4=3 a_{s}$ ）

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}=\left[0^{++} 0^{+}\right] \quad[\quad 0 \quad 0] m \quad 00 \frac{4}{q^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2 E_{k}=\left(E_{k}+m\right) \\
& =2=\frac{q}{P_{0}+2 E_{k}+i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so as to annihilate the second term in（ ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ ）we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{0}=\mathrm{i}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 & )^{4} & (\mathrm{k} & \left.\mathrm{K}_{2}\right) D_{0}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
+ \\
2
\end{array}\right. \\
& 0+ & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]  \tag{7}\\
& \frac{2!}{\mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}!^{2}} 0_{4} \frac{\left.(2)^{3} \mathbb{K} \quad \mathbb{K}^{0}\right)}{2!} \quad \frac{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(巴 ; \mathbb{K}^{( } ; \mathbb{K}^{0}\right)}{\mathrm{m} 0^{0}} 5 \frac{2!^{0}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}^{\infty}!^{\infty}}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=E_{k} \frac{P_{0}+i}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 and $G_{C}\left(\mathbb{E}^{巴} ; \widetilde{;} ; \widetilde{K}^{0}\right)$ is the nonrelativistic C oulom b G reen function $\left.\underline{\underline{n}}_{1}\right]$ evaluated at

$$
巴^{巴}=\frac{1}{4 m}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(P_{0}+i\right)^{2} & 4 m^{2}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

This solution show s that the width of a particle can also consistently be included for a relativistic zero order equation sim ilar to the nonrelativistic case where the replacem ent $\mathrm{E}!\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{i}$ in the G reen function has been proposed rst Bipl.

The corresponding solution for the $B S$ wave functions $[\underline{\bar{\sigma}}]\left(!{ }_{n}=E_{p} \quad M_{n}^{(0)}=2 \quad\right.$ i $)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{p} ;)=0_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{p} ; \quad)_{0}=i \frac{\left.{ }^{+} \mathrm{S}_{0} \mathrm{p}^{\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}^{2}\right.}!_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}\right)}{0} \frac{(\mathrm{p})}{(\mathrm{p})} \mathrm{p} \frac{2!_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}}(\mathrm{p}): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is identical to the $B R$ wave-fiunction for stable quarks and belongs to the spectrum of bound states $P_{n}^{(0)}=M_{n}^{(0)} \quad i_{t}={ }^{q} \overline{1}{\underset{n}{2}} \quad i$. It appears as the real residue of the com plex pole. The sm all im aginary quantity i determ ines the integration around that pole.

In eqs. $(\underset{-1}{-}) \mathrm{S}$ is a constant $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix which represents the spin state of the particleantiparticle system:
is sim ply the norm alized solution of the Schrodinger equation in $m$ om entum space, depending on the usualquantum num bers $(n ; 1 ; m) ; a_{1} ; a_{0}$ in $(\underline{1}(\underline{1} 0)$ ) describe the triplet states. In the follow ing it w ill som etim es be su cient to use the nonrelativistic approxim ations of eqs. $(\underset{-1}{9})\left(!_{n} \quad!_{n}=\left(Q^{2}=m+E_{n}\right)=2\right.$ i $)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{p} ;)^{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{2} i t_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{p}_{0}^{2} \frac{t_{n}^{2}}{}}(\mathrm{p}) S=0 \quad\left(\mathrm{p} ; \quad \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{r}} 0\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Near a bound state, whose pole now lies in the lower half plane at $P_{0}=P_{n}^{(0)}$, the
$G$ reen function of the unperturbed ( $B R$ ) equation behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}\left(P ; k ; k^{0}\right) \quad \frac{(k)}{P_{0} \quad P_{n}^{(0)}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generic perturbation $H$ changes $G_{0}$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
G={ }_{=0}^{X^{B}} G_{0}\left(H G_{0}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $G ; G_{0}$; etc. denote Feynm an am plitudes, $H$ in ( $(\overline{1} \overline{1})$ di ers from such an am plitude by a $m$ inus sign. It is straightforw ard to show that [ $[\overline{1}]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=K+K_{0}+i D^{1} \quad i D_{0}^{1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lh.s of eq. (프그) looks like (1̄12) w ith $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)}$ replaced by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and w ith perturbed wave functions.

Form ally expanding both sides of eq. (1̄-1 $)$ near a certain pole $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(0)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{0} & =X^{X^{A}} g_{m}\left(P_{0} \quad P_{n}^{(0)}\right)^{m} 1  \tag{15}\\
H & =X^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~h}_{m}\left(P_{0} \quad P_{n}^{(0)}\right)^{m} \\
&
\end{align*}
$$

and using $g_{0}=\quad$ allows the determ ination of the shift of the position of the pole $P_{n}=P_{n} \quad P_{n}^{(0)}$ to arbitrary order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2}=\mathrm{hhh}_{0} i i+\mathrm{hhh}_{0} g_{1} h_{0} i i+\mathrm{hhh}_{0} i \mathrm{ihhh}_{1} i i+O\left(\mathrm{~h}^{3}\right): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A shorthand forBS expectation values has been used which incorporates four-integrations of the relative $m$ om enta ( $\left.\left.{ }^{R} d k=(2)\right)^{R} d^{4} k\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hhaii }=\mathrm{dkdk}^{0}{ }_{i j}(k) a_{i i^{0} j j^{0}}\left(k ; k^{0}\right) \quad i^{0} j^{0}\left(k^{0}\right) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As already in eq. (금) etc., in com posite expressions integrations and sum $m$ ations over intemal variables are understood. $\dot{i} j \mathfrak{j}$ etc. represent spinor as well as color indiges. A
 note that eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{)}$ ) is not a pow er series in s .
3. The correction to the decay $w$ idth is obtained from the im aginary part of the expectation values, receiving contributions from the graphs in $g 2$ and $g .3$. In ref. ${ }_{\underline{\omega}}$ problem of the gauge independent calculation ofbound state corrections to the toponium decay width had been addressed. It was shown that it is possible to obtain a gauge independent result which can be interpreted as a correction due to tim e dilatation. But since the top quark decay w idth is of the sam e order ofm agnitude as the binding energy it is obviously preferable to use the equations valid for decaying particles as described above. In our previous approach it was essential that $O\binom{2}{s}$ corrections arose from all three term $s$ denoted in $(\underline{1} \overline{6})$ because the BR equation was used to zero order. H ere we w ill show that w thin our present form alism we need only to consider rst order B S perturbation theory e.g. the rst term in (19.').

C orrections to the ferm ion propagator have to be included via the perturbation kemel (see g.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{0}^{(1)}=\left(\mathrm{iD}^{1} \quad i D_{0}^{1}\right)_{\mathrm{P}_{0}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e em phasize that the perturbation kemel has to be evaluated at $P_{0}=M_{n}{ }^{(0)}$ i . W ith equ. (1-14) and $D_{0}{ }^{1} \dot{p}_{0}=M_{n}^{(0)} \quad i=D_{0}^{1} \dot{j}=0$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}^{(1)}=i\left[\left(\left(p \frac{i}{2} n\right)+i-\frac{1}{2} 0\right) \quad\left(\beta^{0} m\right)+(\beta m) \quad\left(\left(\beta+\frac{i}{2} n\right) \quad \frac{i}{2} 0\right)\right](2)^{4} \quad(k \quad \mathbb{K}) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=(1 ; 0)$ and $p=\frac{M_{n}^{(0)}}{2}+k, \quad \beta=\frac{M_{n}^{(0)}}{2} \quad k^{0}$ denote the fourm om entum of the quark and the antiquark, respectively. The direct product refers to the $t$ spinor space. The factors ( m ) and ( $\mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ) com pensate the super uous propagator on the line w ithout .W e have neglected term $s$ that $w$ ill contribute to $O\left({ }^{2}{ }^{2}=m\right)$.

Since we are calculating higher order e ects we m ay also drop the dependence on the $m$ ass of the bottom quark although it $m$ ay be included in principle. For the electrow eak theory we use the $R$-gauge with the gauge xing Lagrangian ( $M$ denotes the $m$ ass of the W Boson)

$$
L_{g f}=j 0 W^{+} \quad M+\frac{j}{i}
$$

because it elim inatesm ixed $W$ propagators. The gauge param eter willnot be xed in the follow ing. W e obtain for the im aginary parts of in ( $(\overline{1} 9)\left(s=\sin w, P=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5\end{array}\right)=2\right)$ for $p^{2}>M^{2}$ and $p^{2}>M^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Im}_{w}=\frac{e^{2}}{s^{2}} \frac{P}{16} \mathbb{P}+A^{(N)}+P A^{()}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
A^{(N)} & =\left(p^{2}\right)+\left(p^{2} ;\right) \\
\left.A^{( }\right) & =m^{2}\left(\frac{\left(p^{2}\right)}{2 M^{2}} \quad \frac{\left(p^{2} ;\right)}{p^{2}}\right)  \tag{21}\\
\left(p^{2}\right) & =\frac{\left(p^{2} M^{2}\right)^{2}}{2 p^{4}} ; \quad\left(p^{2} ;\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \quad \frac{M^{2}}{2 p^{2}} \frac{1+}{}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

N ow we observe that the term s in ( $(\underline{1} \overline{\underline{1}})$ which inchude have already been calculated in [-1/1] except for the im aginary parts occurring in the trace

$$
T=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \left.S^{y}+{ }_{0} P \quad P^{+} S \quad(\quad m)\right]= \\
& m
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{0}+!\right) m\left(\frac{p^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)+\left(p_{0} \quad!\right) \quad \frac{\dot{2}}{2}\right]: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2} \quad m^{2} \quad 2 m p \quad \rho^{2} \quad m^{2} \quad \text { im : } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will be able to neglect these im aginary parts in the follow ing since they either give rise to real corrections (which we are not interested in) or to im aginary ones from Re , both of which are of $O(\underset{\text { weak }}{2}) . O$ fourse, these corrections would be required a in com plete calculation of the toponium decay width to this order in order to obtain a gauge independent result. T his goes beyond the scope of the present paper. Furtherm ore, these corrections are state independent, expected to be very sm all and therefore ofm inor practical interest, anyhow. A s can be seen from (2-2̄) the axial part of does not contribute w thin the expectation value.

T herefore, the contribution from rst order B S perturbation theory to the decay width to $O\binom{2}{s}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hhh}_{0}^{(1)} \text { ii }=1+3 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=4 \mathrm{Imhh} i(\mathrm{p}) \quad(\beta \quad \mathrm{m})(2)^{A} \quad(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{ii}=  \tag{25}\\
& =0 \quad 20{ }_{n}^{2}+\frac{e^{2} m}{16 s^{2}}\left[\left(2 M^{2}+m^{2}\right) \frac{m^{2} M^{2}}{m^{4}}+2\left(m^{2} ;\right)\right] \frac{p^{2}}{m^{2}} m^{2} ; \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

A factor 2 arises here and in the follow ing, counting both self energy contributions of the
$t$ and $t$ line:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=2 I m^{(N)}(m)=\frac{e^{2} m}{16 s^{2}}\left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{2 M^{2}}\right) \frac{\left(m^{2} M^{2}\right)^{2}}{m^{4}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sum $1^{+} \quad 3$ the leading $\quad 0$ term s cancl and the resulting correction is already of $O\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ S & 0\end{array}\right)$.

N ow we tum to the calculation of the vertex correction ( F ig. 3). Perform ing the color trace this gives rise to the kemel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{0}^{(2)}=\mathrm{i} m \quad 0 \quad 0 \frac{4}{\mathrm{q}^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\left[\frac{10}{1}\right]$ we calculated $\mathrm{Im} 0:=\lim \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}} 0 \mathrm{pp}^{2}!\mathrm{m}^{2} \quad(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q})$ directly. H ere we w ill show that it is possible to use a W ard identity to determ ine this correction. W e observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{a}(\mathrm{p} ; q)_{\mathrm{q}!0}=\Phi_{C D} T^{a} \frac{\varrho}{@ p_{0}}(\mathrm{p}) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds at least at the one-loop level. In the graphs shown in $g .3$ to the required order it proves su cient to evaluate the leading contribution by putting the ferm ions on the $m$ ass shell and applying the nonrelativistic wave functions $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. $U$ sing the explicit expression (2̄-1) for Im we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im} 0 & \left.=\frac{e^{2}}{s^{2} 16} 0 A^{(N)}\left(m^{2}\right)+A^{()}\left(m^{2}\right)+2 m^{2} \frac{@}{@ p^{2}}\left(A^{(N)}\left(p^{2}\right)+A^{()}\left(p^{2}\right)\right) p_{p^{2}=m^{2}}\right] \\
& =\frac{e^{2}}{32 s^{2}} 0^{F}  \tag{30}\\
F & =\left(1+\frac{m^{2}}{2 M^{2}}\right)\left(1 \frac{M^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)\left(1+3 \frac{M^{2}}{m^{2}}\right)+4\left(m^{2} ;\right):
\end{align*}
$$

This result agrees w ith that of ref. $\overline{\text { Bin }}$ ] and one obtains a correction to the decay width:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{e^{2}}{16 s^{2}} h \frac{4}{q^{2}} i F: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that the gauge dependent term S in the sum ${ }_{1}+\quad 2$ canœl is thus traced back to the identity $(\underline{2} \underset{-1}{9})$. The gauge independent contribution from rst order BS-perturbation theory is now di erent from that of (actually it gives now the net result) in accordance w ith the di erent zero order equation, used in our present context.

To com plete our calculation we nally check the contributions of second order perturbation theory. It can be shown that due to the fact that the rst weak correction now is already of order $O\binom{2}{s}$, this corrections do not contribute to the required order. T hus our present approach sim pli es the calculation of bound state corrections to the decay w idth considerably.

Sum ming up all contributions we get the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { boundstate }=1+2+3=0 \frac{2_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}{2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w ith our previous calculation tel
4. The above considerations suggest that the result ( $\overline{(3-2})$ is only a special case of a m ore fundam ental statem ent, w ith broader range of applicability, whidh we w ill now derive.

C onsider a ferm ion whose decay can be described by the im aginary part of a selfenergy function which will have the general form (in any covariant gauge in the relevant sector of the theory)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=s\left(p^{2}\right)+p_{V}\left(p^{2}\right)+5 P^{p}\left(p^{2}\right)+P_{5}\left(p^{2}\right): \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ithin the expectation value ([1]-1) the pseudoscalar and axial vector parts vanish. Furthem ore we w ill drop all factors ( $p^{2} \quad{ }^{2}$ ), which is a valid approxim ation in all cases where ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ m . If this is not the case, either the whole calculation $m$ akes no sense
because then one would have to include the entire rung of C oulom b interactions of the rem aining particle w ith the heavy decay products or the decay w idth becom es very sm all, or both. Let us therefore consider the correction to the decay $w$ idth $w$ hich results from the rem aining parts of the self energy in an on shell renorm alization schem $e$ ( $m$ is the pole $m$ ass of the ferm ion). W e expand around that $m$ ass shell:

$$
(\mathrm{p})=i\left(\mathrm{Im}_{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{plm} \mathrm{v}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{34}\\
\mathrm{~S}
\end{array} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{V}}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right):
$$

Term s of O ( $\left.\begin{array}{c}2 \\ \text { weak }\end{array}\right)$ are understood to be neglected (cf. rem arks after eq. (2̄3̄) ) and we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{V} \\
& 0 \\
& \mathrm{x}
\end{aligned}=\frac{@}{@ \mathrm{p}^{2}} \times\left(\mathrm{p}^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{m}^{2}}: \$
$$

From eqs. $(\underline{2} \overline{9} \overline{9})$ and $(\underline{\overline{3} \overline{4}})$ we can easily calculate the vertex correction, say, for the upper particle line:

A fter som e algebra we can w rite to the required order

$$
\left.h_{0}^{()}=\frac{4}{q^{2}}[i] m \quad v+2 m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0  \tag{36}\\
s
\end{array}+m \quad \stackrel{0}{v}\right)\right] 0
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 u \operatorname{Im} u_{p=(m ; 0)}=\quad \operatorname{Im}{ }_{s}\left(m^{2}\right) \quad m \operatorname{Im} v\left(m^{2}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and ( $\mathrm{i} \mathrm{D}_{0}{ }^{1}=0 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{0} ;=0$ ) $=0$ we obtain for the bound state correction to the decay w th to $O\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \text { weak } \\ s\end{array}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { boundstate }=4 i \mathrm{hh} \quad\left(\rho^{0} \quad \mathrm{~m}\right) \mathrm{i}=0 \frac{h \rho^{2} i}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it is clear from the preceding argum ent that it applies not only to the toponium system but also to all other system s which can be described as weakly bound ferm ionantiferm ion system w th unstable com ponents. A nother exam ple which is known for a long time is provided by the muonium system where the above result has also been obtained rst by explicit calculation $\operatorname{Sin}_{1}^{1} \cdot$.W e conclude that the form alism developed here and especially the use of the identity ( $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$ sim pli es the problem of the bound state correction to the decay width in a profound way. It is now possible to clearly isolate the underlying cancellation $m$ echanism which autom atically gives a gauge independent result which can be intenpreted as time dilatation alone. H ow ever, because we m ay now also include the scalar part of the self energy function and since $K_{0}$ can be any kemel, the result ([了] The leading bound state corrections for weakly bound system s of unstable ferm ions (w ith decays like $t!b+W^{+} ; \quad$ ! $e+e^{+}$) are always of the form $\left(\frac{3}{-3} \overline{-}\right)$. Am ong the consequences we especially not that ( $\left(\frac{3}{3} \overline{-1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ay be even safely applied to toponium even if one uses e.ga renom alization group im proved potential.
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Fig. 1: Multi-decay contribution


Fig. 2: Weak off-shell corrections ( $\mathrm{D}^{-1}$ )


Fig. 3: Coulomb enhancement ( $-\mathrm{ih}_{0}^{(2)}$ )
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