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A bstract

W e have investigated the three vector boson production in electron-electron collisions in the fram ew ork of the left-right sym $m$ etric electrow eak $m$ odel. The process occurs due to lepton num ber violating interactions $m$ ediated by M ajorana neutrinos and triplet $H$ iggs scalars. $W$ e nd that only the reactions with a heavy gauge boson pair in the nal state, e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ and e e ! $W_{2} W_{2} Z_{1}^{0}$, are phenom enologically interesting from the point of view of the N ext Linear C ollider. If the m ass of $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ is 0.5 TeV and the m ass of the heavy M ajorana neutrino of the order of 1 TeV , the cross section of both reactions is in the range 1 to 10 fb at ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}=2 \mathrm{TeV}$, depending on the $m$ ass of the doubly charged triplet Higgs, yielding a $1 \%$ background for the pair production of $W_{2}$. At the resonance the cross section can be as large as 1 pb .

## 1 Introduction

A ccording to existing plans the next linear collider (N LC ) w ill operate at the center of mass energy range of $0.5\left\{2 \mathrm{TeV}\right.$ and deliver $10 \mathrm{fb}^{1}$ of annual integrated lu $m$ inosity $\left.{ }^{[1]}\right]$. Such a collider would provide sensitive probes for phenom ena beyond the Standard M odel (SM ), which are expected to $m$ anifest them selves at the TeV energy scale and whose cross sections often are in the fem tobam range.

In addition to the $e^{+} e$ reactions, also the $e \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{e}$ and collision modes are possible in NLC. These will be useful in studying the possible lepton number non-conservation. O ne much studied lepton number violating reaction is e e ! W W . It was rst discussed by R izzo [1] in the context of the "classic" electrow eak left-right sym m etric m odel (LR m odel) of P ati, Salam , M ohapatra, and Sen janovic [



In the present paper we shall study the next order processes

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { e e } & \text { ! } & W & W & \text {; } \\
\text { e e } & \text { ! } & W & W & Z^{0} \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

in the fram ew ork of the LR m odel. Here $W$ can be the ordinary charged weak boson (to be denoted by $W_{1}$ ) or the heavy charged boson ( $\mathbb{W}_{2}$ ) predicted by LR m odel, and Z stands for either the ordinary Z-boson ( $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ ) or the heavy neutralboson
 inform ation about several basic interactions of LR m odel: gauge boson - lepton, H iggs - lepton, H iggs - gauge boson -couplings, and gauge boson self-couplings. Som e of the couplings involved do not appear in the pure $W$ pair production. T he low est order Feynm an graphs for the processes e e ! W W

Fig 1. The graphs for the process e e ! W W are the samewith Z replaced by except that there are no photon counterparts for the graphs $1 \mathrm{f}, 1 \mathrm{~g}$ and 1 h .

A general feature of the reactions $(\underline{1} 1 \overline{1})$ and $(\underline{2})$ is that their cross section is highly suppressed unless the $W$ pair of the nal state is the heavy one, $W_{2} W_{2}$. This fact, true also for the reaction $e$ e ! $W$, is connected to the $m$ asses and $m$ ixings of the $M$ ajorana neutrinos. In the case of the $W_{1}$ production, the lepton num ber violation strength is set m ainly by the sm allm ixing betw een the light and the heavy neutrino, and if this $m$ ixing is extrem ely $s m$ all or vanishes, by the $m$ ass of the light neutrino, whereas in the case of the $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ the m ass of the heavy neutrino is relevant. The processese e! $W_{1} W_{1} Z_{1}\left(Z_{2} ;\right)$ and ee! $W_{1} W_{2} Z_{1}\left(Z_{2} ;\right)$, although kinem atically favoured, have the cross section clearly below the fem tobam range (unless there exists a s-channel H iggs resonance at the relevant energy range) and are hence phenom enologically uninteresting.

The reaction ee! $W_{2} W_{2}$ has an advantage, com pared $w$ ith the processes e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)$, of having a lower production threshold and a larger cross section due to soff photons. It also form $s$ an im portant background for the pure $W$ pair production.

The organization of the paper is as follow s. In Section 2 we describe the basic features of the LR m odel. To be self-contained and to $x$ our notation we give explicitly all relevant interaction term s . The cross sections of the reactions (1]) and $(\underline{2})$ are derived in Section 3. The num erical results are presented in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to sum $m$ ary and conclusions.

## 2 D escription of the m odel

The $m$ atter elds are in the $L R \mathrm{~m}$ odel are set into left-handed and right-handed doublets of the gauge group $S U(2)_{L} \quad S U(2)_{R} \quad U(1)_{B} \quad$ L $\left.\quad \underset{\substack{1}}{\square}\right]$. H ere we are only considering leptons, which are accom m odated as follow s:
and sim ilarly for the $m$ uon and tau fam ilies.
The breaking of the gauge sym m etry, follow ing the chain $S U(2)_{L} \quad S U(2)_{R}$ $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{L}$ ! $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{Y}}$ ! $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{em}}$, can be arranged by introducing a bidoublet Higgs eld
w ith the vev given by
and a "right-handed" triplet eld $R$
w the vev given by

T he triplet $H$ iggs having both $S U(2)_{R}$ and $U(1)_{B}$ L charge takes care of the rst step of the sym $m$ etry breaking. Its vacuum expectation value sets the $m$ ass scale of the "right-handed" gauge bosons $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$. T he experim ental low er bounds are
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}>0: 65 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}}>0: 45 \mathrm{TeV}$ [1] $\left.\overline{\mathrm{O}}\right]$. Instead of the triplet one could also use a eld transform ing as a doublet under $S U(2)_{R}$ and having a non-vanishing $B \quad L$. The triplet eld has, how ever, a virtue which $m$ akes it a m ore natural choige. It couples to $j \mathrm{~L} j=2$ lepton currents through the Yukaw a coupling ih $R_{R}^{T}{\underset{R}{T}}_{2} \quad R \quad R$ giving rise to M a jorana m ass term s for right-handed neutrinos. T his leads to the see-saw $m$ echanism of neutrino $m$ asses $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1}_{-1}^{1}\right]$ according to $w h i c h ~ t h e r e ~ a r e ~ i n ~ e a c h ~$ ferm ion fam ily two M ajorana neutrinos, one very light ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{l}}$ ) and another very heavy ( 2 ). The lefthanded and the right-handed neutrinos are related to these $m$ ass eigenstate M a jorana neutrinos as follow $s$ (assum ing no interfam ily m ixing) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 5)\left({ }_{1} \cos \quad 2 \sin \right) ;  \tag{8}\\
& R=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+{ }_{5}\right)\left({ }_{1} \sin +{ }_{2} \cos \right):
\end{align*}
$$

In addition to the bidoublet and the right-handed triplet H iggses one often introduces also a "left-handed" triplet
and gives it a vev

P henom enologically it is not, how ever, necessary, since it is not needed for the sym $m$ etry breaking or the see-saw $m$ echanism. It will appear in our general expressions for the interactions, but since its e ects in the processes we are considering would in any case be sm all, we w ill om it it in our later analysis.

There are alltogether seven gauge bosons in the model: $W_{L ; R}, W_{L ; R}^{3}$, and B. They are related to the physicalm assive vector bosons $W_{1 ; 2}$ and $Z_{1 ; 2}$, and to photon $Z_{3}$ as follow s:

and

The general form of the $m$ atrix $R$, as well as the expressions for the vector boson $m$ asses in term sof coupling constants and the vev's of the $H$ iggs elds, can be found e.g. in [1]in]. In the lim it, where LR m odel reproduces the results of the Standard $M$ odel, the $m$ ixing $m$ atrix $R$ takes the form

where $w$ is the counterpart of the $W$ einberg angle. This corresponds to the case where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}_{2}} ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}}!1$ and ! 0 .

Let us now consider the interactions of the left-right sym $m$ etric $m$ odel. The follow ing parts of the Lagrangian are involved in the processes we are interested in: $N$ eutral current interactions of the electron:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{n c}^{e} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{L} \bar{e}_{L} \quad e_{L} R_{L 1}+g_{R} \bar{e}_{R} \quad e_{R} R_{R 1}+g^{0} \bar{e} e_{R_{11}}\right] Z_{1} \\
& ={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ee} Z_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}} \frac{1}{2}+G_{e e Z_{1}}^{R} \frac{1+5}{2} \quad e Z_{1}={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} \overline{\mathrm{e}}{ }_{e e 1} e Z_{1} ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1=1 ; 2 ; 3$ :
$N$ eutral current interactions of neutrinos:

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\underset{j j^{0} 1}{X}-j_{j j^{01}} j^{0} Z_{l} ;} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $j ; j^{0}=1 ; 2$.
C harged current interactions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{c c}=X_{j l}^{X}{ }_{j} G_{l j}^{L} \quad \frac{1}{2}+G_{l j}^{R} \quad \frac{1+5}{2} \text { eW }{ }_{l}^{y} ; \quad+h: C: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

ZW W interaction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\text {ZW W }}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{l}_{1} 1^{0} ; 1^{100}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}_{1^{0} 1^{\infty} 1} \mathrm{~W}_{1^{0}}^{+} \mathrm{W}_{1^{\infty}} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~W}_{1^{00}} \mathrm{~W}_{1^{0}}^{+} \mathrm{Z}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{1^{0}}^{+} \mathrm{W}_{1^{\infty}} \mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the notation $W=@ W$ @ $W$. Yukawa interactions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\begin{array}{llll}
f_{R}^{-} e_{L}
\end{array}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{f} & + \\
1 & \mathrm{~g} & + \\
2
\end{array}\right]+\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{~L}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{f} & 2 & \mathrm{~g} & 1
\end{array}\right]+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{Og} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\sim}=2 \quad 2$.
K inetic term of the H iggs triplet:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{k \text { in }}=f\left(@ \quad i g^{0} B+g W^{\sim}\right)^{\sim} g^{y} \quad f\left(@ \quad i g^{0} B+g W \quad\right)^{\sim} g \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

K inetic term of the H iggs doublet:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}=\operatorname{Trf}(\mathrm{D} \quad)^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{D} \quad) \mathrm{g} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad=@ \quad \frac{i}{2}\left(g_{\mathrm{L}} \sim \nabla_{\mathrm{L}} \quad g_{\mathrm{R}} \sim \nabla_{\mathrm{R}}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{g_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\overline{2}} \cos \mathrm{fR}_{\mathrm{L} 1} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathbb{K}_{1}\left(\left(_{1}^{+}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~K}_{2} 2_{2}\right]+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R} 1} \mathrm{~W}_{1} \mathbb{K}_{1} \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{2}\binom{+}{1}^{\mathrm{y}}\right] g Z_{1}+ \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eqs. $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ and $\left.(\underline{2} \overline{1} 1)_{1}\right)$ we have used the C artesian com ponents of the gauge bosons $\left(W_{L ; R}=\left(W_{\mathrm{L} ; R}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} ; \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathbb{R}}^{0}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ and of the triplet H iggses.

The various coupling constants appearing in the form ulas are de ned as follow $s$. The relations betw een the gauge coupling constants and the charge e of the positron are given by (the $m$ atrix $R$ is de ned in eq. (1̄2̄)))

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{L}=\frac{e}{R_{L 3}} ; \quad g_{R}=\frac{e}{R_{R 3}} ; \quad g^{0}=\frac{e}{R_{B 3}}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The neutral current couplings of the electron are described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{eeZ}_{1}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{eeZ}_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}} \frac{1 \quad 5}{2}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{eeZ}_{1}}^{\mathrm{R}} \frac{1+5}{2} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{\text {eeZ }_{1}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}+g^{0} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B} 1}\right) ;  \tag{25}\\
& G_{\text {eeZ }}^{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{R} R_{R_{1}}+g^{0} R_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and those of neutrinos by

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{L} \cos ^{2} \quad R_{L 1} \quad g_{R} \sin ^{2} \quad R_{R 1} \quad g^{0}\left(\cos ^{2} \quad \sin ^{2} \quad R_{B 1} ; j=j^{0}=1\right. \\
& { }_{j j} \mathrm{j}_{1}=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{5} \\
& \left(g_{L} R_{L 1}+g_{R} R_{R 1} \quad 2 g^{0} R_{B 1}\right) \cos \sin ; \\
& j \notin \\
& g_{L} \sin ^{2} \quad R_{L 1} \quad g_{R} \cos ^{2} \quad R_{R 1}+g^{0}\left(\cos ^{2} \quad \sin ^{2} \quad\right) R_{B 1} ; j=j^{0}=2: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The charged current vertices are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{l j}=G_{l j}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \frac{1}{2}+G_{1 j}^{R} \quad \frac{1+5}{2} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where }
\end{aligned}
$$

and nally the W W Z couplings are

T he above equations are given for generalcase. W e know, how ever, that in practige the $m$ ixing betw een charged gauge bosons and betw een left-and right-handed neutrinos are $s m$ all. If we neglect these $m$ ixings alltogether by setting $==0$ we nd for the couplings involved in the phenom enologically interesting reactions e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ and e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}$ the follow ing simple expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 22=\frac{1}{2} G_{22}^{R} \quad(1+5)=\frac{{ }^{1}}{2^{2}} \overline{2} g \quad\left(1+{ }_{5}\right) \quad G_{\text {enW }} \quad\left(1+{ }_{5}\right) \text {; } \\
& 2_{221}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\left(g R_{R l}\right. & \left.g^{0} R_{B l}\right) & 5 & G_{n n Z}
\end{array}{ }^{5}\right. \text {; }  \tag{30}\\
& e_{221}=\quad g_{R} R_{R 1} \quad G_{W W z}:
\end{align*}
$$

T hese obey the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mathrm{w} w \mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{eez}}^{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{nnz}}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A com plete analysis of spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking for the $L R \mathrm{~m}$ odel $w$ ith one bidoublet, one left-triplet and one right-triplet $H$ iggs eld w as presented in ref. [1]-1]. It w as show $n$ that the phenom enology of the $H$ iggs sector is quite restricted and depends crucially on three constants, called ir $i=1 ; 2 ; 3$, appearing in the general H iggs potential. If one wants to have neutrinos as M a jorana particles and preserve the see-saw $m$ echanism for their $m$ asses, as well as at the sam e tim e keep the extra

H iggs particles and gauge bosons light enough to be accesible for the TEV-scale accelerators, the couplings i should be ne-tuned at least to the order of $10{ }^{7}$. To avoid this unnaturalsituation one could constrain 's to vanish, e.g. by introducing a suitable extra sym $m$ etry beyond the ordinary $L R \mathrm{~m}$ odel, in which case the $m$ ass scales $V_{L}$ and $V_{R}$ are disconnected and there rem ains a rem nant see-saw relation, which is m ost naturally satis ed by the condition $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{L}}=0$ [ī3]

In the case that the vev $V_{L}$ of the lefthanded triplet $L$ vanishes (or if $L$ does not exist at all), the linear com bination $K_{1} \quad 2 \quad K_{2} \quad 1$ is the G oldstone eld corresponding to the longitudinal com ponent of the light weak boson $\mathrm{W}_{1}$. The G oldstone eld corresponding the longitudinal com ponent of the heavy gauge boson $W_{2}$ is in tum the supemposition $R \quad\left(K_{1}^{2} \quad K_{2}^{2}\right){ }_{1}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{2} K_{1} V_{R}$. H ence when the lefthanded triplet is neglected the only physical singly charged H iggs eld h is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{1}{1+K_{1}^{2}=2 v_{R}^{2}\left(\frac{K_{1}^{2} K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)^{2}}\left(1+p \frac{K_{1}}{2 V_{R}} \frac{K_{1}^{2} K_{1}^{2}}{K_{1}^{2}+K_{2}^{2}}\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The doubly charged H iggs $R \quad$ is, of course, a physical eld as it does not $m$ ix $w$ ith any other eld and is not eaten during the spontaneous sym m etry breaking. B oth $h$ and have lepton number violating interactions and they contribute in the processes we are considering.

The $m$ ass of the electron $m_{e}$ and the $D$ irac $m$ ass of the neutrino $m_{D}$ are given by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}=\left(\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~K}_{2}+\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{\stackrel{2}{2}} \\
& \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{D}}=\left(\mathrm{f} \mathrm{~K}_{1}+\mathrm{g} \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)=\stackrel{\mathrm{P}}{2} \overline{2} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

B oth of them are very sm all com pared w ith the considered particle energies and can be safetely neglected in our calculations. A coording to the see-saw mechanism $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{D}}$ is related to the $\mathrm{m}_{\text {asses }} \mathrm{m}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ and to the $m$ ixing angle of the $M$ ajorana neutrinos 1 and 2 , assum ing no interfam ily $m$ ixing, in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{D}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2} \quad m_{1}\right) \sin 2 ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ ixing angle is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan =\frac{s}{\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he heavy neutrino $m$ ass is $m$ ost naturally in the $T e V$ range and hence the $m$ ixing angle is of the order of $10^{6}$. This indicates that $m_{D}$ would be in the $M e V$ scale and its om ission is justi ed.

The Feynm an rules for the vertioes needed for the calculation of the low est order


## 3 A m plitudes

In this section we shall present the interaction am plitudes of the processes ( $(\underline{2})$. The Feynm an diagram s corresponding the latter reaction are given in F ig. 1. The graphs for the form er reaction are the sam e w ith the Z -boson replaced by the photon, except that there are no countenparts of the diagram s $1 \mathrm{f}, 1 \mathrm{~g}$ and 1 h in the photon case.

A swasm entioned in Introduction, the cross sections are in a phenom enologically interesting range only ifboth of the nalstate $W$ bosons are the heavy ones, $\mathrm{W}_{2}$. It is easy to convince oneself about this by considering, for exam ple, the diagram 1b. If the nal state $W$-boson denoted by $W(2)$ is the light boson $W_{1}$, which couples $m$ ainly in $V$ \{A currents, the lowere $W$ vertex is suppressed in the case of the heavy neutrino 2 , whose interactions are $m$ ainly of $V+A$ type. The vertex favours the light neutrino ${ }_{1}$, which would $m$ ean that in the uppere $W$ vertex the production of a virtual $W_{1}$ is favoured over that of a virtual $W_{2}$. The nalstate $W$-boson denoted
by $W$ (1) would then in the favourable case be $W_{1} . N$ evertheless, this situation of unsuppressed couplings w ould yield a neglicible cross section because the am plitude is proportional to the m ass of the light neutrino. This is because of the chirally m atching in the e $W$ vertioes. O therw ise two (or one) light $W$ 's in the nal state only follow s from the $m$ ixing of the neutrinos or/and the mixing of the $W$ bosons; every light $W$ of the nal state then yields either the factor $\sin$ or $\sin$ in the am plitude. A sm entioned earlier, according to the see-saw $m$ echanism the neutrino $m$ ixing angle obeys $\sin <10{ }^{5}$, and the experim ental data gives the constraint for the $m$ ixing angle of charged weak bosons of $\sin <10^{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. Thus in any case the cross sections of the reactions w th one or tw o $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ 's in the nal state are very small.

In the follow ing we will thus consider only the reactions w ith two $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ 's in the nal state. The am plitudes for these are insensitive to the mixing angles and . W e shall let them to vanish, which sim pli es our expressions considerably. A llterm s left in the am plitude are then proportional to the heavy neutrino m ass $\mathrm{m}_{2}$ •

Form a jorana neutrino elds appearing in the am plitudes we apply the Feynm an nules given in ref. [1] obtain the am plitudes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.T_{1}=G_{R} G_{\mathrm{eeZ}}^{R} \quad 2^{1} 2^{2}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a} 3}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{u}_{2} & \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.T_{3}=G_{R} G_{e e Z}^{R} \quad 2^{2} 1^{3}\left(p_{1} \quad k_{3}\right)=t_{a 3}\left(t_{2} \quad m^{2}\right)\right] ;  \tag{36}\\
& \left.T_{4}=G_{R} G_{\text {eeZ }}^{R} \quad 1^{3}{ }^{2}{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{b} 3}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}\right)\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

W e have used here the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}}=2 \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{enW}}^{2}\left(1+{ }_{5}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}\left(1+{ }_{5}\right) \text {; } \\
& 1^{12}{ }^{3}\left(q_{1}\right)={ }^{1} G_{1} \quad 2{ }^{3} ;  \tag{37}\\
& 2^{123}\left(Q_{2}\right)={ }^{1}{ }^{2} G_{2}{ }^{3}:
\end{align*}
$$

The diagram s 1 b and 1 d and their crossed countenparts, all w ith a virtual W boson decaying into a W Z pair, lead to the am plitudes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{5}=\mathrm{GR}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{WWZ} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{F}^{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{2}=\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}\right) \text {; } \\
& T_{6}=G_{R} G_{W W Z} D^{W}{ }^{2}\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right) F^{1}{ }^{3}\left(k_{2} ; k_{2} \quad k_{3} ; k_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{1}=\left(t_{1} \quad m_{2}^{2}\right) ; \\
& T_{7}=G_{R W W Z} G^{W}\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right) F^{1}{ }^{3}\left(k_{1} ; k_{1} \quad k_{3} ; k_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{2}=\left(t_{2} \quad m_{2}^{2}\right) ;  \tag{38}\\
& \mathrm{T}_{8}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{WWZ} \mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{W}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{F}^{2}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{u}_{2} & \mathrm{~m}_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{9}=4 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{WW} \mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{W}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{F}^{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{g}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{10}=4 \mathrm{GR}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{WWZ} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{F}^{2}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{g}^{1}=\left(\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we have de ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{12^{2}}\left(q_{1} ; q_{2} ; q_{B}\right)=2 q_{3}{ }^{1} g^{2}{ }^{3}+q_{2}{ }^{2} g^{1{ }^{3}} \quad 2 q_{1}{ }^{3} g^{1{ }^{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{k})=\quad \mathrm{g} \quad \frac{\mathrm{kk}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}=\mathrm{k}^{2} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the graphs 1c and 1e and the corresponding crossed graphs, which correspond to production of a Z pair followed by a decay into a W W pair, one obtains the am plitudes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{12}=4 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{eez}}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~g}^{1{ }^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{1} & \mathrm{Kk}_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad{ }^{3}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a3}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{S}_{3} & \mathrm{M}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;  \tag{41}\\
& T_{13}=16 G_{R} G_{e e z}^{R} g^{12}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{3}=\left[\left(\begin{array}{lll}
S_{3} & M^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(S \quad M^{2}\right.
\end{array}\right)\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

In the photon case one obtains sim ilar am plitudes w ith $G_{w ~ w ~}$ and $G_{\text {eez }}$ replaced w ith e. The graph 1 f and its crossed graph, where Z is produced in the virtual neutrino line, leads to the am plitudes
and the graphs 1 g and 1 h and their crossed graphs, involving a virtual H iggs h , lead to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{16}=2 \mathrm{GR}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{hz}} \mathrm{~g}^{2}{ }^{3} \quad{ }^{1}\left(9_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{s}_{2}\right. & \mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}\right)\right] ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{17}=2 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{hz}} \mathrm{~g}^{2{ }^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{1} & 6 \mathrm{k}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \quad{ }^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right. & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}\right)\right] ; \\
& \left.T_{18}=8 G_{R} G_{h z} g^{2}{ }^{3}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(S_{2}\right. & M_{h}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{s} & \mathrm{M}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;  \tag{43}\\
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{19}=2 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{hz}} \mathrm{~g}^{1{ }^{3}} \quad{ }^{2}\left(\Phi_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{2}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right. & \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }_{2}\right)\right] ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{T}_{20}=2 \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{hz}} \mathrm{~g}^{1{ }^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{1} & \mathrm{k}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad{ }^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}\right. & \mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{u}_{1} & \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

In these equations we have used the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mathrm{hz}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}}\right)^{2}}{1+\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}=\overline{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{2}}\left(g_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} 1}+g_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R} 1} \quad 2 g^{0} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{B} 1}\right): \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note incidentally that the am plitudes $\mathrm{T}_{16} ;::: ; \mathrm{T}_{21}$ are of the sam eform as the term $s$ arising from the longitudinal part of the $W$-propagator in the am plitudes $\mathrm{T}_{7} ;$ ::; ; $\mathrm{T}_{10}$, because, e.g., $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \mathrm{F}{ }^{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right){ }^{2} \quad$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{F}^{1}{ }^{3}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ;\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}_{3}=\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)^{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right) \quad{ }^{2} \quad$ when $m$ ultiplied by the relevant polarization vectors. In other words, they just m odify the expressions $\left(M_{3}=M_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(s_{1}\right.$ $\left.M_{2}^{2}\right)$ and $\left(M_{3}=M_{2}\right)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}S_{2} & \left.M_{2}^{2}\right) \text { appearing in } T_{5} ;:: ; T_{10} \text {. } . . . ~\end{array}\right.$

In eqs. ( $\overline{1} 1)$, ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, and ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{3})$ the coupling $h_{R}$ has been elim inated by using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2} \quad 2 h_{R} h_{R}^{0} i={ }^{p} \overline{2} h_{R} v_{R}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kinem atical variables $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}, t_{2}$ appearing in the above form ulas are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{s}=\left(\mathrm{p}_{1}+\mathrm{p}_{2}\right)^{2} \text {; } \\
& s_{1}=\left(k_{1}+k_{3}\right)^{2} ; \\
& s_{2}=\left(k_{2}+k_{3}\right)^{2} ;  \tag{46}\\
& t_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1} & k_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{2} ; \\
& t_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{2} & k_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

They are the sam e variables as given by Byckling and $K$ a jantie in $\left[1 \bar{I}_{-1}\right]$ for a general 2 ! 3 reaction, except that we have renam ed their $m$ om enta $p_{a}, p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ as $p_{1}$,
$\mathrm{p}_{2}, \mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{3}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$, respectively. W e have also introduced the follow ing auxiliary variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{a 3}=\left(p_{1} k_{3}\right)^{2}=t_{2} t_{1} s_{1}+M_{1}^{2}+M_{3}^{2} ; \\
& t_{03}=\left(p_{2} k_{3}\right)^{2}=t_{1} t_{2} s_{2}+M_{2}^{2}+M_{3}^{2} ; \\
& s_{3}=\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}=s s_{1} s_{2}+M_{1}^{2}+M_{2}^{2}+M_{3}^{2} ;  \tag{47}\\
& u_{1}=\left(p_{1} k_{2}\right)^{2}=s_{1} t_{2} s+M_{2}^{2} ; \\
& u_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{2} & k_{1}
\end{array}\right)^{2}=s_{2} t_{1} s+M_{1}^{2} ;
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $M_{1}, M_{2}$, and $M_{3}$ denote generally the $m$ asses of the gauge bosons $W_{l_{1}}, W_{l_{2}}$, and $\mathrm{Z}_{l_{3}}$, respectively.

The com plete scattering am plitude is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=i^{5} \overline{\mathrm{v}}\left(\mathrm{e}_{2}\right) \mathrm{T}^{1}{ }^{2}{ }^{3} u\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}\right) "_{1}\left(\mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{I}_{1}}\right) "_{2}\left(\mathbb{W}_{\mathrm{l}_{2}}\right) "_{3}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{l}_{3}}\right) ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the sum of the amplitudes $T_{i}$ and 's are the polarization vectors of the weak bosons. The unpolarized total cross section is then given by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{(2)^{5}} \frac{{ }^{R} Q_{i=1}^{3} \frac{d^{3} k_{i}}{2 E_{i}}{ }^{4}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}{ }^{P} k_{i}\right) h \not{ }^{M}{ }^{f} i}{2 s} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
h M \underset{f}{f} i=\frac{1}{4}_{\text {spins }}^{X} \text { M } f: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the reaction e e ! W W $Z$ one has
in the case of the reaction e e ! $W \mathrm{~W}$ one replaces ( $\mathrm{g}^{3}{ }^{3} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3}{ }^{3} \mathrm{k}_{3}{ }^{3}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{3}^{2}$ ) with $g^{3}{ }^{3}$. If there are two identicalbosons in the nal state, the expression ( $\overline{4} \overline{9}$ ) should be m ultiplied by one half.

A typical feature of the gauge theories is a delicate cancellations am ong the di erent partial am plitudes which guarantee a good high-energy behaviour of the total cross section. This o ens a good check of the calculation. A nother cross check is gauge invariance of the total am plitude, according to which the am plitude M in the case of a photon should vanish when one replaces the polarization vector " ( ) w th the photon m om entum $\mathrm{k}_{3}{ }^{3}$ and perform s the contraction. O ur results pass this check when we take into account that we have neglected the electron $m$ ass.

W e have given our form ulas in a form where the width of is neglected. Including the w idth is, of course, straightforw ard and w ould not rem arkably com plicate our com putations. The width can be evaluated by using the form ulas

$$
\begin{align*}
& !11=g_{R}^{2} M \quad\left(M^{2} e^{2}+M^{2}+M^{2}\right)=\left(32 M_{W_{2}}^{2}\right) ; \\
& !\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{R}^{2} \mathrm{M}}{4} \quad \mathrm{q} \frac{4\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}=\mathrm{M} \quad\right)^{2}}{1} \quad\left[\quad\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{1}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& {\left[3\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}=\mathrm{M}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{M} & \left.\left.=2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}_{2}}\right)^{2} \quad 1\right] ;
\end{array}\right.\right.}  \tag{52}\\
& !\mathrm{w}_{2} \mathrm{~h}=\frac{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2} \mathrm{M}}{4}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{1}} \mathrm{M} \quad=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}^{2}\right)^{2} \quad{ }^{3=2}\left[1 ;\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}=\mathrm{M} \quad\right)^{2} ;\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{M} \quad\right)^{2}\right]:
\end{align*}
$$

The two rst form ulas can be infered from [ī $\bar{\sigma}]$, which gives the corresponding results for the left-handed triplet. T he third form ula can be deduced from their result by expressing the triplet eld in term softhem ass eigenstate $h$ and the appropriate Goldstone eld. W e have assum ed $h$ so heavy that the contribution of the third channel to the totalw idth can be neglected [1] $\overline{3}]$.

## 4 N um erical results

Let us now describe our com putations. The trace $m$ anipulations in the squared
 REDUCE and they were checked w ith M ATHEM AT IC A. The phase space integration in ( $\overline{4} \overline{9}$ ) was perform ed by using the form ula [1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad s \quad M_{1}^{2} \quad t_{1} \quad t_{2}+s \quad s_{1} \\
& 16{ }_{4}=\begin{array}{ccccccc}
s & 0 & t_{1}+s s_{2} & M_{2}^{2} & t_{2} \\
M_{1}^{2} & t_{1} & t_{1}+s & s_{2} & 2 M_{1}^{2} & s & s_{1} \\
s_{2}+M_{3}^{2}
\end{array}  \tag{54}\\
& \begin{array}{llllllll}
t_{2}+s & s_{1} & M_{2}^{2} & t_{2} & s & s_{1} & s_{2}+M_{3}^{2} & 2 M_{2}^{2}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

 (W e perform ed this integration also analytically for a check.) $T$ he 4-fold integrals were perform ed num erically by using the $M$ onte $C$ arlo program VEGAS [1]

The sym m etry relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& i j=j i ;  \tag{55}\\
& i j=\quad i_{c} j_{c}
\end{align*}
$$

tumed out to be of help in checking the code. Here the ij is the cross section contribution com ing from the interference term of the amplitudes $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$, and $i_{c}$ refers to the am plitude obtained from the amplitude of the index i by charge conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{c}}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} ; \mathrm{p}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}^{1}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e found out that the sym $m$ etry relations (5) are in generalnum erically satis ed to several digits although the values of 's them selves vary som ew hat w ith the M onte C arlo param eters used.

T he input of our com putation consists of the particle $m$ asses, the gauge coupling constants, and various $m$ ixing angles. A ssum ing that there is no mixing between di erent neutrino avours, only the neutrino mixing angle betw een the left-handed and the right-handed electron neutrino enters our calculations. T he m ixing betw een $W_{L}$ and $W_{R}$ is described $w$ ith the angle as presented in eq. ${ }^{\prime}\left(\underline{1} \overline{1} \frac{1}{1}\right)$. $T$ he $m$ ixing
betw een the neutral gauge bosons is described in term s of three m ixing angles，out of which only two are independent in the case that $g_{L}=g_{R}$ as we shall assum e． $T$ he $m$ ixing $m$ atrix，which we have denoted $R$ in the foregoing section，can then be determ ined by using the experim ental data for the two neutral current param eters， e．g．the vector and axial vector $Z_{1}$ couplings of quarks and leptons［⿶凵1］．］．In our calculations we have used three di erent form sfor it：the SM lim it of $R$ given by （13ī）where the $W$ einberg－Salam angle w s hasbeen estim ated from the experim ental value of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{1}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}_{1}}$ ，the num erical form obtained as in［⿶凵1 $]$ ，and the num erical form calculated by using the $m$ atrix given in［1］$\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right]$ and xing the $M_{W_{1}}$ and $M_{z_{1}}$ to their experim ental values．

For the m ass of the heavy w －boson we use the value 0.5 TeV （som etim e also the value 0.7 TeV for com parison），which is close to its experim ental low er bound．For the $m$ ass of $H$ iggs we have used two values，one below the reaction threshold （ $M=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ ），another one well above the threshold $(\mathbb{M}=10 \mathrm{TeV})$ ．

The cross section is proportional to the square of the $m$ ass of the heavy neutrino for which we used the value $m_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ ．This is a natural choioe in the sense that the $m$ ass originates in the breaking of the $S U(2)_{R}$ Sym $m$ etry，which we have assum ed to occur at the $T e V$－scale．Letting the Yukaw a coupling $h_{R}$ di er from the value $O$（1），also $m$ uch lighter neutrino $m$ ass were possible，but then also the cross section were much sm aller．At the energies considerably above the neutrino $m$ ass the cross section roughly scales w ith $m{ }_{2}^{2}$ ．

A sm entioned，there are delicated cancellations am ong the am plitudes contribut－ ing to the processes we are considering．W e dem onstrate this in $F$ ig 3 where we have plotted separately cross sections for the reaction e e ！$W_{2} W_{2} Z_{1}$ as a function of the collision energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{am}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{s}}$ corresponding to the subsets of the am plitudes given in eqs．（ $\left.{ }^{(\overline{3}} \overline{-1}\right),\left({ }_{-1}^{3}\right)$ ，and $(\overline{4} \overline{1})$ ）and their interferences．The contribution from the am－
plitudes（ $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ is negligibly $s m$ all，and it was，like the suppressed am plitudes（ om itted in the Fig 3．Here，and in what follow s if not otherw ise stated，we have taken the m ass of $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ equal to 0.5 TeV and the m ass of the heavy neutrino equal to 1 TeV ．The triplet H iggsm ass is in this gure equal to 10 TeV ．The total cross sec－ tion，invisible in the scale of the gure，is som e thousands of the partialcontributions plotted in the gure．At $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{an}}=2 \mathrm{TeV}$ we obtain tot $=2: 4 \mathrm{fb}$ ．The tot increases w ith the collision energy up to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}}=\mathrm{M}$ ，after which it starts to decrease because of a destructive interference of the am plitudes involving virtual triplet H iggses．

In Fig． 4 we present tot for e e ！$W_{2} W_{2} Z_{1}$ as a function of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ for the case of light $\quad \mathrm{M}=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and for the case of a heavy $\quad, \mathrm{M}=10 \mathrm{TeV}$ by using the SM lim it of R（solid line）and in the latter case also by using the $R$ given in［⿹丁口⿹丁口㇒ （dashed line）and the R which is the m ost consistent $w$ ith the $m$ odel $[\underline{1} \overline{\mathrm{~g}}]$（dashdot line）．In the case of $\mathrm{M}=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ the cross section show s a maxim um of 4.5 fb at around $2 \mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{W}$ ith the anticipated lum inocity $10 \mathrm{fb}{ }^{1}$ the peak value would correspond to 45 events per year．T he results obtained by using the three di erent choiges of the $\mathrm{R} m$ atrix are very sim ilar at low energy region（all presented by the divide line in the gure），but they start to di er at high energies．The cross section corresponding to the SM $\lim \operatorname{tit}$ of $R$ and to $R$ of $[1-19]$ has the expected good high－ energy behaviour，while in the case of our third $R$ the cancellations am ong various am plitudes are less com plete．

In $F$ ig． 5 we present the totalcross section for the reaction e e ！$W_{2} W_{2}$（here $\mathrm{M}=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ ）．A new feature com pared w th the W W Z case is the singularity caused by soft－photons．$W$ e handle the singularity follow ing the standard $m$ ethod of evading it by applying cuts for the $m$ inim um energy $E$ and the $m$ inim um scattering angle of the photon，which is a natural procedure from the experim ental point of view．In Fig． 5 we have used the cuts cos $0: 8$ and $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cm}} \quad 0: 01$ ．

A lso here we have dem onstrated the e ect of cancellations. W e have separated the am plitudesm ediated by the neutrinos from thosem ediated by $H$ iggses. B oth contributions are separately physical in the sense that their am plitudes are gauge invariant by them selves. H ow ever, they both increase with the energy contradicting eventually w ith unitarity. T heir destructive interference takes care of the good high energy behaviour of the total cross section.

The sensitivity of the total cross section in the applied cuts is illustrated in Fig. 6. W e present the total cross section for three sets of the photon energy and scattering angle cuts. $N$ ear the threshold the cross the e ect of changing the cuts is quite dram atic. The peak value of the total cross section is of the order of 10 fb , that is, about two tim es the cross section in the case of the $W_{2} W_{2} Z_{1}$ nal state.

Fig. 7 presents the cross section as a function of energy for a slightly heavier $W_{2}, M_{W_{2}}=0: 7 \mathrm{TeV} . O$ ne notes a large decrease of the m axim um value of the cross section, to some 20th part of its value in the case of $M_{w_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{W}$ ith the increasing energy the cross section approaches to the value expected according to the scaling w th the $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ass at high energies.

W enote that the nitew idth of does not essentially change the resultspresented above, because the dom inant decay channel of is into two leptons and this is still relatively sm all for the $\quad \mathrm{m}$ ass of 0.8 TeV .

The Fig. 8 gives the cross section for e e ! $W_{2} W_{2}$ in the case of $M=10$ TeV . It should be com pared w ith F ig. 5 w here $\mathrm{M}=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{W}$ e have plotted again separately the contributions from neutrino $m$ ediated and the triplet $H$ iggs m ediated am plitudes. In contrast with the case of F ig. 5, these contributions interfere now constuctively.

In the case of heavy triplet H iggs, the zero w idth approxim ation applied above is not any m ore reliable. In fact, for a m ass so high as 10 TeV the decay ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$
alone gives rise to a width which is greater than the $m$ ass of the $H$ iggs, $m$ aking the particle interpretation of the $H$ iggs questionable. If we nevertheless trust on our perturbational calculations the total cross section at the experim entally relevant energy of 2 TeV and below is in a good approxim ation given by the pure neutrino contribution, i.e., by the curve $n \mathrm{n}$ in F ig. 8.

In Fig. 9 we present the total cross section for two sets of the photon cuts assum ing a -pole at 2 TeV with a width of $=0: 14 \mathrm{M}$. The width has been estim ated by using eq. ( neutrinos and $W_{2}$ to have $m$ ass equal to 1 TeV and the H iggs h so heavy that it can be neglected.

In the calculation we $m$ ade som e sim plifying assum ptions. Firstly, we have neglected possible fam ily $m$ ixing am ong neutrinos. Such a $m$ ixing $m$ ight increase the production rates of the nal states consisting of a light W pair or a light and heavy W pair, as discussed in [ِ̄-1]. Secondly, we have assum ed that the gauge couplings associated w th the subgroups $S U(2)_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $S U(2)_{R}$ are equal, $g_{L}=g_{R} \cdot C$ om bined w th the assum ption of identical C abibbo-K obayashiM askaw a $m$ atrioes for the leftand right-handed quarks, this is known to lead to the upper bound of $1.4-1.6 \mathrm{TeV}$ for $M_{W_{2}}$ [1]ī1]. This would push the production of the $W_{2}$ pair out of the reach of NLC. The possible deviations of the ratio $K=g_{R}=g_{L}$ from the value one has been
 GUT extensions of $L R$ model favours the value 1 for the upper lim it REF. The cross sections we have calculated have an overall factor $g_{R}^{4}$, but this hardly leads to the scaling by this factor, because changing of $K$ change the couplings $G_{w ~ w ~}$ z , $G_{\text {eez }}^{R}$, and $G_{n n z}$, and also indirectly the $m$ atrix $R . W$ e do not expect the value of $K$ essentially change our num erical results at the energy range of N LC , but at higher energies the e ect $m$ ay be substantial.

## 5 Sum m ary

The left-right sym $m$ etric electrow eak $m$ odel predicts the existence of heavy gauge bosons $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0}$, as well as lepton num ber violating interactions mediated by a heavy M a jorana neutrino and a right-handed triplet scalars. W e have calculated the total cross section for the lepton num ber violating processes e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ and e e! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}$, which could be experim entally $m$ easured at NLC provided that $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ is not m uch heavier than the present lower lim it of its m ass. T he reactions are background processes for the heavy vector boson pair production e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$
 both of the charged bosons in the nalstate is the ordinary weak boson $W_{1}$ is found to be too sm all to have any phenom enological interest as far as NLC in concemed.

If the $m$ ass of the heavy electron neutrino is of order of 1 TeV and the $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ass near to 0.5 TeV , we nd the total cross section of e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}$ to be in the collision energy region of a few TeV is in the range of 1 to 10 fb depending on the $m$ ass of the double charged triplet H iggs $\quad$. Thecross section ofe e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ under the sam e assum ptions and with reasonable cuts on the photon energy and scattering angle is of the sam e order. B oth cross sections increase w ith increasing heavy neutrino $m$ ass. At the pole the cross sections can reach the value 1 pb . From these results one can conchude that e e ! $W_{2} W_{2}$ yields of the order of 1 \% badkground for the pair production e e! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$.
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## Figure captions

Figu re 1. The low est-order Feynm an graphs for the process e e ! W W Z . Figure 2. The Feynm an rules for vertioes appearing in the am plitudes. Here $R=\left(1+{ }_{5}\right)=2$ and $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5\end{array}\right)=2$, and the other quantities are de ned in the text. F igure 3. The contributions of various subsets of am plitudes and their interferences to the cross section of the reaction e e! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}$ as functions of the CM -energy $\mathrm{E}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{S}}$ for the m asses $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{M}=10 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$.

Figure 4. The totalcross section of the reaction e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2} \mathrm{Z}_{1}$ as functions of the $C M$-energy $E=P_{\bar{s}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, M_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ and for two values of the triplet $H$ iggs $m$ ass, $M=0: 8 ; 10 \mathrm{TeV}$ for the di erent neutral current $m$ ixing $m$ atrices (see the text).

Figure 5. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! $W_{2} W_{2}$ as functions of the $C M$ energy $E=P_{\bar{S}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, M=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $M_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ (solid line). The cuts cos $0: 8 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 01$ have been applied for the scattering angle and the energy of the photon. Shown are separately also the contributions from the neutrino exchange and the Higgs exchange contributions and their interference.

Figure 6. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! $W_{2} W_{2}$ as functions of the $C M$-energy $E=\bar{P}_{\bar{s}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, M=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ and for three set of the photon cuts: cos $0: 9 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 01$, $\cos \quad 0: 8 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 01, \cos \quad 0: 8 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 05$ have been applied for the scattering angle and the energy of the photon.

Figure 7. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ as functions of the $C M$-energy $E=P_{\bar{s}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 7 \mathrm{TeV}, M=0: 8 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $M_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ and for two sets of the photon cuts: cos $0: 9 ; E=E \quad 0: 01$, cos $0: 8 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 05$.

Figure 8. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{~W}_{2}$ as functions of the $C M$-energy $E=P_{\bar{S}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{M}=10 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}=1$ TeV and for the photon cuts cos $0: 9 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 01$. Shown are separately also the contributions from the neutrino exchange and the $H$ iggs exchange contributions and their interference.

Figure 9. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! $W_{2} W_{2}$ as functions of the $C M$-energy $E={ }^{P_{S}}$ for the $m$ asses $M_{W_{2}}=0: 5 \mathrm{TeV}, M=2: 0 \mathrm{TeV}$ and $M_{2}=1 \mathrm{TeV}$ and for two set of the photon cuts: cos $0: 9 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 01$, cos $0: 8 ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E} \quad 0: 05$.
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