# W W and W W Z Production in e e Collisions in the Left-Right M odel

A.Pietila and J.Maalampi

<sup>a</sup>D epartm ent of Applied Physics, University of Turku

<sup>b</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, Theory Division, University of Helsinki.

January 1995

#### A bstract

We have investigated the three vector boson production in electron-electron collisions in the fram ework of the left-right sym metric electroweak model. The process occurs due to lepton number violating interactions mediated by Majorana neutrinos and triplet Higgs scalars. We not that only the reactions with a heavy gauge boson pair in the nal state, ee! W2W2 and ee! W2W2 T1, are phenomenologically interesting from the point of view of the Next Linear Collider. If the mass of W2 is 0.5 TeV and the mass of the heavy Majorana neutrino of the order of 1 TeV, the cross section of both reactions is in the range 1 to 10 fb at  $^{\rm P}$  = 2 TeV, depending on the mass of the doubly charged triplet Higgs , yielding a 1% background for the pair production of W2. At the resonance the cross section can be as large as 1 pb.

## 1 Introduction

A coording to existing plans the next linear collider (NLC) will operate at the center of m ass energy range of 0.5 { 2 TeV and deliver 10 fb <sup>1</sup> of annual integrated luminosity [1]. Such a collider would provide sensitive probes for phenomena beyond the Standard Model (SM), which are expected to manifest them selves at the TeV energy scale and whose cross sections often are in the fem tobarn range.

In addition to the e<sup>+</sup> e reactions, also the e e, e and collision modes are possible in NLC. These will be useful in studying the possible lepton number non-conservation. One much studied lepton number violating reaction is e e!

W W . It was rst discussed by R izzo [2] in the context of the "classic" electroweak left-right sym metric model (LR-model) of Pati, Salam, Mohapatra, and Senjanovic [3]. Recently this process has been explored in more detail in the framework of the same model by London and Ng [4], the present authors with their collaborators [5], [6], and R izzo [7], as well as D icus et al. [8], and Heusch and Minkowski [9].

In the present paper we shall study the next order processes

$$ee ! W W ;$$
 (1)

$$ee!WWZ^0$$
 (2)

in the framework of the LR-model. Here W can be the ordinary charged weak boson (to be denoted by W<sub>1</sub>) or the heavy charged boson (W<sub>2</sub>) predicted by LR-model, and Z stands for either the ordinary Z-boson (Z<sub>1</sub>) or the heavy neutral boson (Z<sub>2</sub>) predicted by the model. The reactions (1) and (2) could provide us useful information about several basic interactions of LR-model: gauge boson - lepton, Higgs - lepton, Higgs - gauge boson - couplings, and gauge boson self-couplings. Some of the couplings involved do not appear in the pure W pair production. The lowest order Feynman graphs for the processes e e ! W W Z are presented in

Fig 1. The graphs for the process e e! W W are the same with Z replaced by except that there are no photon counterparts for the graphs 1f, 1g and 1h.

A general feature of the reactions (1) and (2) is that their cross section is highly suppressed unless the W pair of the nal state is the heavy one, W  $_2$ W  $_2$ . This fact, true also for the reaction e e ! W W , is connected to the m asses and m ixings of the M a prana neutrinos. In the case of the W  $_1$  production, the lepton number violation strength is set m ainly by the small m ixing between the light and the heavy neutrino, and if this m ixing is extremely small or vanishes, by the mass of the light neutrino, whereas in the case of the W  $_2$  the mass of the heavy neutrino is relevant. The processes e e ! W  $_1$  W  $_1$  Z  $_1$  (Z  $_2$ ; ) and e e ! W  $_1$  W  $_2$  Z  $_1$  (Z  $_2$ ; ), although kinematically favoured, have the cross section clearly below the fem to barn range (unless there exists a s-channel H iggs resonance at the relevant energy range) and are hence phenomenologically uninteresting.

The reaction e e !  $W_2 W_2$  has an advantage, compared with the processes e e !  $W_2 W_2 Z_1(Z_2)$ , of having a lower production threshold and a larger cross section due to soft photons. It also forms an important background for the pure W pair production.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we describe the basic features of the LR-model. To be self-contained and to x our notation we give explicitly all relevant interaction terms. The cross sections of the reactions (1) and (2) are derived in Section 3. The numerical results are presented in Section 4. Section 5 is devoted to summary and conclusions.

# 2 Description of the model

The matter elds are in the LR-model are set into left-handed and right-handed doublets of the gauge group SU  $(2)_L$  SU  $(2)_R$  U  $(1)_B$  L [3]. Here we are only considering leptons, which are accommodated as follows:

and sim ilarly for the muon and tau fam ilies.

The breaking of the gauge sym m etry, following the chain SU  $(2)_L$  SU  $(2)_R$  U  $(1)_B$  L ! SU  $(2)_L$  U  $(1)_Y$  ! U  $(1)_{em}$ , can be arranged by introducing a bidoublet H iggs eld

$$= \stackrel{B}{\underset{2}{\text{B}}} \stackrel{0}{\underset{1}{\text{T}}} \stackrel{+}{\underset{1}{\text{T}}} \stackrel{C}{\underset{A}{\text{C}}} = (2;2;0);$$
 (4)

with the vev given by

$$< > = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} B & K_1 & 0 & C \\ 0 & K_2 & A \end{bmatrix};$$
 (5)

and a "right-handed" triplet eld R

$${}_{R} = \frac{{}^{2}_{R}}{P} = \frac{$$

with the vev given by

$$<$$
  $_{R} > = \frac{1}{2} \frac{B}{2} \frac{B}{B} \quad {}^{0} \quad {}^{0} C_{A} :$  (7)

The triplet H iggs having both SU  $(2)_R$  and U  $(1)_B$  L charge takes care of the rst step of the sym m etry breaking. Its vacuum expectation value sets the mass scale of the "right-handed" gauge bosons W  $_2$  and Z  $_2$ . The experimental lower bounds are

M  $_{\rm W}$   $_2$  > 0:65 TeV and M  $_{\rm Z}$   $_2$  > 0:45 TeV [10]. Instead of the triplet one could also use a eld transform ing as a doublet under SU (2) $_{\rm R}$  and having a non-vanishing B  $\,$  L. The triplet eld has, however, a virtue which makes it a more natural choice. It couples to j L j= 2 lepton currents through the Yukawa coupling ih  $_{\rm R}$   $_{\rm R}$  C  $_{\rm Z}$   $_{\rm R}$   $_{\rm R}$  giving rise to M a jorana mass terms for right-handed neutrinos. This leads to the see-saw mechanism of neutrino masses [11] according to which there are in each ferm ion family two M a jorana neutrinos, one very light (1) and another very heavy (2). The left-handed and the right-handed neutrinos are related to these mass eigenstate M a jorana neutrinos as follows (assum ing no interfamily mixing):

$$_{L} = \frac{1}{2} (1 \quad _{5}) (_{1} \cos \quad _{2} \sin );$$
 $_{R} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + _{5}) (_{1} \sin + _{2} \cos );$ 
(8)

In addition to the bidoublet and the right-handed triplet Higgses one often introduces also a "left-handed" triplet

$$L = \frac{\sum_{L}^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{B}{B} \quad L = \frac{p}{2} \quad L \quad C \quad C \quad (9)$$

and gives it a vev

$$< L > = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 & 0 & C \\ B & & C & A \end{bmatrix}$$
 (10)

Phenom enologically it is not, however, necessary, since it is not needed for the symmetry breaking or the see-saw mechanism. It will appear in our general expressions for the interactions, but since its elects in the processes we are considering would in any case be small, we will omit it in our later analysis.

There are alltogether seven gauge bosons in the model: W  $_{L,R}$ , W  $_{L,R}^3$ , and B . They are related to the physical massive vector bosons W  $_{1;2}$  and Z  $_{1;2}$ , and to photon Z  $_3$  as follows:

and

0 1 0 1 
$$Z_1$$
  $Z_2$   $Z_3$   $Z_$ 

The general form of the matrix R, as well as the expressions for the vector boson masses in terms of coupling constants and the vev's of the Higgs elds, can be found e.g. in [12]. In the limit, where LR-model reproduces the results of the Standard Model, the mixing matrix R takes the form

where  $_{W}$  is the counterpart of the W einberg angle. This corresponds to the case where M  $_{W\,_2}$ ; M  $_{Z\,_2}$ ! 1 and ! 0.

Let us now consider the interactions of the left-right symmetric model. The following parts of the Lagrangian are involved in the processes we are interested in:

Neutral current interactions of the electron:

$$L_{\text{nc}}^{e} = \frac{1}{2} [g_{L} \overline{e}_{L} \quad e_{L} R_{L1} + g_{R} \overline{e}_{R} \quad e_{R} R_{R1} + g^{0} \overline{e} \quad eR_{A1}] Z_{1}$$

$$= X_{\text{eeZ}_{1}} \frac{1}{2} + G_{\text{eeZ}_{1}}^{R} \frac{1 + 5}{2} \quad eZ_{1} = X_{\text{ee1}} \overline{e} Z_{1}; \quad (14)$$

where l = 1;2;3:

Neutral current interactions of neutrinos:

$$L_{nc} = \frac{1}{2} [g_L - L L_R_{L1} + g_R - R_R_R_R R_{R1} g^0 (L L_R + R_R_R) R_{A1}] Z_1$$

where l = 1;2;3 and  $j;j^0 = 1;2$ .

Charged current interactions:

$$L_{\infty} = \frac{X}{j_{1}} - \frac{1}{j_{1}} G_{1j}^{L} \frac{1}{2} + G_{1j}^{R} \frac{1+j_{5}}{2} eW_{1}^{Y}, + h_{\Sigma}$$

$$= \frac{X}{j_{1}} - \frac{1}{j_{1}} eW_{1}^{Y}, + h_{\Sigma}; \qquad (16)$$

ZW W interaction:

$$L_{ZWW} = i \sum_{\substack{1:1^{0}:1^{\infty} \\ 1:1^{0}:1^{\infty}}}^{X} e_{1^{0}1^{\infty}1} W_{1^{0}}^{+} W_{1^{\infty}} Z_{1} W_{1^{\infty}} W_{1^{\infty}} W_{1^{0}}^{+} Z_{1} + W_{1^{0}}^{+} W_{1^{\infty}} Z_{1} ; \qquad (17)$$

where we have used the notation W = QW

Yukawa interactions:

$$L_{Yu} = f_{R} + g_{R} - L + g_{R} - L + g_{R} - L + g_{R} - g_{R} - g_{R} + g_{R} - g_{R} -$$

where  $\sim = 2 2$ .

Kinetic term of the Higgs triplet:

$$L^{kin} = f(@ ig^{0}B + g\overline{W} )^{\sim}g^{y} f(@ ig^{0}B + g\overline{W} )^{\sim}g$$

$$= g^{2}f(\overline{W} W )(^{\sim y} )^{\sim}W ^{\sim y})(\overline{W} ^{\sim})g + ; \qquad (19)$$

K inetic term of the H iggs doublet:

$$L_{kin} = Trf(D)^{y}(D)$$
 (20)

w here

$$D = \theta \qquad \frac{i}{2} (g_L \sim \nabla_L \qquad g_R \sim \nabla_R ) \qquad (21)$$

yielding

$$\frac{g_{L}g_{R}}{P} \cos fR_{L1}W_{2} K_{1}(_{1}^{+})^{Y} K_{2}_{2}] + R_{R1}W_{1} K_{1}_{2} K_{2}(_{1}^{+})^{Y}gZ_{1} + (22)$$

In eqs. (19) and (21) we have used the Cartesian components of the gauge bosons (W  $_{L,R} = (W _{L,R} ^{\times}) = \stackrel{p}{1} = 2; W _{L,R} ^{0} = W _{L,R} ^{z}$ ) and of the triplet H iggses.

The various coupling constants appearing in the form ulas are de ned as follows.

The relations between the gauge coupling constants and the charge e of the positron are given by (the matrix R is de ned in eq. (12))

$$g_{L} = \frac{e}{R_{L3}}; \quad g_{R} = \frac{e}{R_{R3}}; \quad g^{0} = \frac{e}{R_{B3}};$$
 (23)

The neutral current couplings of the electron are described by

$$_{\text{eeZ}_{1}} = G_{\text{eeZ}_{1}}^{L} \frac{1}{2} + G_{\text{eeZ}_{1}}^{R} \frac{1+5}{2};$$
 (24)

w here

$$G_{\text{eeZ}_{1}}^{L} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{L} R_{L1} + g^{0} R_{B1});$$

$$G_{\text{eeZ}_{1}}^{R} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{R} R_{R1} + g^{0} R_{R1});$$
(25)

and those of neutrinos by

$$g_{L} \cos^{2} R_{L1} \quad g_{R} \sin^{2} R_{R1} \quad g^{0}(\cos^{2} \sin^{2} R_{B1}; \quad j = j^{0} = 1$$

$$g_{L} R_{L1} + g_{R} R_{R1} \quad 2g^{0} R_{B1}) \cos \sin ; \qquad \qquad j \in j$$

$$g_{L} \sin^{2} R_{L1} \quad g_{R} \cos^{2} R_{R1} + g^{0}(\cos^{2} \sin^{2} R_{B1}; \quad j = j^{0} = 2;$$

$$(26)$$

The charged current vertices are given by

$$_{1j} = G_{1j}^{L} \frac{1}{2} + G_{1j}^{R} \frac{1+5}{2};$$
 (27)

w here

$$G_{lj}^{L} = \frac{1}{p-2} g_{L}^{B} g_{R}^{B} \cos \cos \sin \sin \cos c G_{A};$$

$$\cos \sin \sin \sin \sin G_{R}$$

$$G_{lj}^{R} = \frac{1}{p-2} g_{R}^{B} g_{R}^{B}$$

and nally the W W Z couplings are

$$e_{11^{0}1^{0}} = \begin{cases} g_{L} \cos^{2} & R_{L1^{0}} + g_{R} \sin^{2} & R_{R1^{0}}; \ l = 1^{0} = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{11^{0}1^{0}} = \begin{cases} (g_{R} R_{R1^{0}} & g_{L} R_{L1^{0}}) \sin \cos ; \ l \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$g_{L} \sin^{2} & R_{L1^{0}} + g_{R} \cos^{2} & R_{R1^{0}}; \ l = 1^{0} = 2;$$

$$(29)$$

The above equations are given for a general case. We know, however, that in practice the mixing between charged gauge bosons and between left—and right-handed neutrinos are small. If we neglect these mixings alltogether by setting = 0 we not for the couplings involved in the phenomenologically interesting reactions = 0 e = 0 we not for the couplings involved in the phenomenologically interesting reactions

$${}_{22} = \frac{1}{2} G_{22}^{R} \quad (1 + {}_{5}) = \frac{1}{2^{F}} \frac{1}{2} g \quad (1 + {}_{5}) \quad G_{enW} \quad (1 + {}_{5});$$

$$2 \quad {}_{221} = \frac{1}{2} (g R_{R1} \quad g^{0} R_{B1}) \quad {}^{5} \quad G_{nnZ} \quad {}^{5}; \qquad (30)$$

$$e_{221} = g_{R} R_{R1} \quad G_{WWZ};$$

These obey the relation

$$G_{WWZ} = G_{eeZ}^{R} + G_{nnZ}$$
: (31)

A complete analysis of spontaneous symmetry breaking for the LR-model with one bidoublet, one left-triplet and one right-triplet Higgs eld was presented in ref. [13]. It was shown that the phenomenology of the Higgs sector is quite restricted and depends crucially on three constants, called  $_{i}$ , i=1;2;3, appearing in the general Higgs potential. If one wants to have neutrinos as Majorana particles and preserve the see-saw mechanism for their masses, as well as at the same time keep the extra

Higgs particles and gauge bosons light enough to be accessible for the TEV-scale accelerators, the couplings  $_{\rm i}$  should be ne-tuned at least to the order of 10  $^{7}$ . To avoid this unnatural situation one could constrain 's to vanish, e.g. by introducing a suitable extra sym metry beyond the ordinary LR-model, in which case the mass scales  $v_L$  and  $v_R$  are disconnected and there remains a remant see-saw relation, which is most naturally satis ed by the condition  $v_L = 0$  [13].

In the case that the vev  $v_L$  of the left-handed triplet  $_L$  vanishes (or if  $_L$  does not exist at all), the linear combination K  $_1$   $_2$  K  $_2$   $_1$  is the Goldstone eld corresponding to the longitudinal component of the light weak boson W  $_1$ . The Goldstone eld corresponding the longitudinal component of the heavy gauge boson W  $_2$  is in turn the superposition  $_R$  (K  $_1^2$  K  $_2^2$ )  $_1$  =  $_2^2$  K  $_1^2$  V $_R$ . Hence when the left-handed triplet is neglected the only physical singly charged Higgs eld h is

$$h = \frac{1}{1 + K_1^2 = 2v_R^2 \left(\frac{K_1^2 K_2^2}{K_1^2 + K_2^2}\right)^2} \left( {}_1 + p \frac{K_1}{2} \frac{K_1^2 K_2^2}{K_1^2 + K_2^2} {}_R \right);$$
 (32)

The doubly charged H iggs  $_{\rm R}$  is, of course, a physical eld as it does not m ix with any other eld and is not eaten during the spontaneous sym m etry breaking. Both h and have lepton number violating interactions and they contribute in the processes we are considering.

The m ass of the electron m  $_{\rm e}$  and the D irac m ass of the neutrino m  $_{\rm D}$  are given by the relations

$$m_e = (f K_2 + g K_1) = \frac{p}{2};$$
 $m_D = (f K_1 + g K_2) = \frac{p}{2};$ 
(33)

Both of them are very small compared with the considered particle energies and can be safetely neglected in our calculations. A coording to the see-saw mechanism  $m_D$  is related to the masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and to the mixing angle of the Majorana neutrinos  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  assuming no interfamily mixing, in the following way:

$$m_D = \frac{1}{2} (m_2 m_1) \sin 2 ;$$
 (34)

where the mixing angle is given by

$$tan = \frac{s}{\frac{m}{m_2}}$$
: (35)

The heavy neutrino mass is most naturally in the TeV range and hence the mixing angle is of the order of  $10^{-6}$ . This indicates that m $_{\rm D}$  would be in the MeV scale and its omission is justiled.

The Feynm an rules for the vertices needed for the calculation of the lowest order am plitudes of the reactions (1) and (2) are collected in Fig. 2.

## 3 Amplitudes

In this section we shall present the interaction amplitudes of the processes (1) and (2). The Feynm an diagram s corresponding the latter reaction are given in Fig. 1. The graphs for the form er reaction are the same with the Z-boson replaced by the photon, except that there are no counterparts of the diagram s 1f, 1g and 1h in the photon case.

As was mentioned in Introduction, the cross sections are in a phenom enologically interesting range only if both of the nal state W -bosons are the heavy ones, W  $_2$ . It is easy to convince oneself about this by considering, for example, the diagram 1b. If the nal state W -boson denoted by W (2) is the light boson W  $_1$ , which couples mainly in V {A currents, the lowere W vertex is suppressed in the case of the heavy neutrino  $_2$ , whose interactions are mainly of V + A -type. The vertex favours the light neutrino  $_1$ , which would mean that in the uppere W vertex the production of a virtual W  $_1$  is favoured over that of a virtual W  $_2$ . The nal state W -boson denoted

by W (1) would then in the favourable case be W  $_1$ . Nevertheless, this situation of unsuppressed couplings would yield a neglicible cross section because the amplitude is proportional to the mass of the light neutrino. This is because of the chirality matching in the e W vertices. Otherwise two (or one) light W 's in the nal state only follows from the mixing of the neutrinos or/and the mixing of the W bosons; every light W of the nal state then yields either the factor sin or sin in the amplitude. As mentioned earlier, according to the see-saw mechanism the neutrino mixing angle obeys sin  $< 10^{-5}$ , and the experimental data gives the constraint for the mixing angle of charged weak bosons of sin  $< 10^{-3}$  [14]. Thus in any case the cross sections of the reactions with one or two W  $_1$ 's in the nal state are very small.

In the following we will thus consider only the reactions with two W  $_2$ 's in the nal state. The amplitudes for these are insensitive to the mixing angles and . We shall let them to vanish, which simplifies our expressions considerably. A literm's left in the amplitude are then proportional to the heavy neutrino mass m  $_2$ .

For Majorana neutrino elds appearing in the amplitudes we apply the Feynman rules given in ref. [15]. From the graph 1a and the corresponding crossed graphs we obtain the amplitudes

$$T_{1} = c_{R} G_{eeZ}^{R} \quad 2^{1-2-3} (p_{1} \quad k_{3}) = [t_{a3} (u_{2} \quad m_{2}^{2})];$$

$$T_{2} = c_{R} G_{eeZ}^{R} \quad 1^{3-1-2} (p_{2} \quad k_{3}) = [t_{b3} (u_{1} \quad m_{2}^{2})];$$

$$T_{3} = c_{R} G_{eeZ}^{R} \quad 2^{2-1-3} (p_{1} \quad k_{3}) = [t_{a3} (t_{2} \quad m_{2}^{2})];$$

$$T_{4} = c_{R} G_{eeZ}^{R} \quad 1^{3-2-1} (p_{2} \quad k_{3}) = [t_{b3} (t_{1} \quad m_{2}^{2})];$$

$$(36)$$

W e have used here the notations

$$c_{R} = 2m_{2} G_{enW}^{2} (1 + _{5}) = _{\frac{1}{4}}m_{2} g_{R}^{2} (1 + _{5});$$

$$c_{R}^{1^{2} 3} (q_{L}) = _{\frac{1}{4}} G_{L}^{2} _{3};$$

$$c_{R}^{1^{2} 3} (q_{L}) = _{\frac{1}{4}} G_{L}^{2} _{3} :$$
(37)

The diagram s 1b and 1d and their crossed counterparts, all with a virtual W -boson decaying into a W Z pair, lead to the amplitudes

$$T_{5} = q_{R} G_{WWZ} D^{W_{2}} (k_{1} + k_{3}) F^{-1} {}^{3} (k_{1}; k_{1} k_{3}; k_{3}) {}^{2} = (u_{1} m^{2}_{2});$$

$$T_{6} = q_{R} G_{WWZ} D^{W_{2}} (k_{2} + k_{3}) F^{-1} {}^{3} (k_{2}; k_{2} k_{3}; k_{3}) {}^{1} = (t_{1} m^{2}_{2});$$

$$T_{7} = q_{R} G_{WWZ} D^{W_{2}} (k_{1} + k_{3}) F^{-1} {}^{3} (k_{1}; k_{1} k_{3}; k_{3}) {}^{2} = (t_{2} m^{2}_{2});$$

$$T_{8} = q_{R} G_{WWZ} D^{W_{2}} (k_{2} + k_{3}) F^{-2} {}^{3} (k_{2}; k_{2} k_{3}; k_{3}) {}^{1} = (u_{2} m^{2}_{2});$$

$$T_{9} = 4q_{R} G_{WWZ} D^{W_{2}} (k_{1} + k_{3}) F^{-1} {}^{3} (k_{1}; k_{1} k_{3}; k_{3}) {}^{2} = (s M^{2});$$

Here we have de ned

$$F^{-1} {}^{2} {}^{3} (q_{1}; q_{2}; q_{3}) = 2q_{3}^{1} g^{2} {}^{3} + q_{2}^{2} g^{1} {}^{3} 2q_{1}^{3} g^{1} {}^{2}$$
(39)

and

$$D^{W}(k) = g \frac{k k}{M_{W}^{2}} = k^{2} M_{W}^{2} :$$
 (40)

From the graphs 1c and 1e and the corresponding crossed graphs, which correspond to production of a Z pair followed by a decay into a W W pair, one obtains the amplitudes

 $T_{10} = 4G_R G_{WWZ} D^{WZ} (k_2 + k_3) F^{-2} (k_2 + k_3) f^{-2} (k_2 + k_3) q^{-1} = (s M^2)$ :

$$T_{11} = 4c_R G_{eeZ}^R g^{1/2} \quad {}^3 (c_2 G_3) = [t_{03} (s_3 M^2)];$$

$$T_{12} = 4c_R G_{eeZ}^R g^{1/2} (c_2 G_1 G_3) \quad {}^3 = [t_{e3} (s_3 M^2)]; \tag{41}$$

$$T_{13} = 16c_R G_{ee}^R g^{1/2} (p_1 + p_2)^3 = [(s_3 M^2) (s M^2)]$$
:

In the photon case one obtains sim ilar am plitudes w ith  $G_{WWZ}$  and  $G_{eeZ}$  replaced w ith e. The graph 1f and its crossed graph, w here Z is produced in the virtual neutrino line, leads to the am plitudes

and the graphs 1g and 1h and their crossed graphs, involving a virtual H iggs h , lead to

$$T_{16} = 2c_R G_{hZ} g^{2-3}$$
 ( $c_D^2 G_{h_1}$ )=[ $(s_2 M_h^2) (u_2 m_2^2)$ ];

$$T_{17} = 2c_R G_{hZ} g^{2-3} (c_D_1 - c_N_1)^{-1} = [(s_2 - M_h^2)(t_1 - m_2^2)];$$

$$T_{18} = 8c_R G_{hZ} g^2 (p_1 + p_2)^1 = [(s_2 M_h^2) (s M^2)];$$

(43)

$$T_{19} = 2c_R G_{hZ} g^{1-3} - {}^2 (c_D^2 - c_R^2) = [(s_1 - M_h^2) (t_2 - m_2^2)];$$

$$T_{20} = 2c_R G_{hz} g^{-1/3}$$
 ( $c_D G_1 G_2$ )  $^2 = [(s_1 M_h^2) (u_1 m_2^2)];$ 

$$T_{21} = 8c_R G_{hZ} g^{-1/3} (p_1 + p_2)^2 = [(s_1 M_h^2) (s M^2)]$$
:

In these equations we have used the notation

$$G_{hZ} = \frac{(K_1 = \frac{p}{2}v_R)^2}{1 + (K_1 = \frac{p}{2}v_R)^2} (g_L R_{L1} + g_R R_{R1} \quad 2g^0 R_{B1}):$$
 (44)

Let us note incidentally that the amplitudes  $T_{16}$ ; ...;  $T_{21}$  are of the same form as the terms arising from the longitudinal part of the W-propagator in the amplitudes  $T_7$ ; ...;  $T_{10}$ , because, e.g.,  $D^{W_2}(k_1+k_3)F^{-1}$   $^3(k_1;k_1-k_3;k_3)^{-2}$  is equivalent to  $F^{-1}$   $^3(k_1;(p_2-k_2))(M_3=M_2)^2$   $2k_3;k_3)^{-2}$  when multiplied by the relevant polarization vectors. In other words, they just modify the expressions  $(M_3=M_2)^2=(s_1-M_2^2)$  and  $(M_3=M_2)^2=(s_2-M_2^2)$  appearing in  $T_5$ ; ...;  $T_{10}$ .

In eqs. (3), (41), and (43) the coupling  $h_{\text{R}}$  has been eliminated by using the relation

$$m_2 2h_R h_R^0 i = \frac{P}{2}h_R v_R :$$
 (45)

The kinematical variables  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$  appearing in the above formulas are dened by

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2;$$

$$s_1 = (k_1 + k_3)^2;$$

$$s_2 = (k_2 + k_3)^2;$$

$$t_1 = (p_1 k_1)^2;$$

$$t_2 = (p_2 k_2)^2;$$
(46)

They are the same variables as given by Byckling and Kajantie in [17] for a general 2! 3 reaction, except that we have renamed their momenta  $p_a$ ,  $p_b$ ,  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$  as  $p_1$ ,

 $p_2$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $k_3$ ,  $k_2$ , respectively. We have also introduced the following auxiliary variables:

$$t_{a3} = (p_1 k_3)^2 = t_2 t_1 s_1 + M_1^2 + M_3^2;$$

$$t_{b3} = (p_2 k_3)^2 = t_1 t_2 s_2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2;$$

$$s_3 = (k_1 + k_2)^2 = s s_1 s_2 + M_1^2 + M_2^2 + M_3^2;$$

$$u_1 = (p_1 k_2)^2 = s_1 t_2 s + M_2^2;$$

$$u_2 = (p_2 k_1)^2 = s_2 t_1 s + M_1^2;$$
(47)

where M  $_1$ , M  $_2$ , and M  $_3$  denote generally the m asses of the gauge bosons W  $_1$ , W  $_2$ , and Z  $_{1_3}$ , respectively.

The complete scattering amplitude is of the form

$$M = i^{5} \overline{v}(e_{2}) T^{1} {}^{2} {}^{3} u(e_{1}) "_{1}(W_{1}) "_{2}(W_{1}) "_{3}(Z_{1});$$
 (48)

where T is the sum of the amplitudes  $T_i$  and 's are the polarization vectors of the weak bosons. The unpolarized total cross section is then given by the form ula

$$= \frac{1}{(2)^5} \frac{{}^{RQ} {}_{i=1}^3 \frac{d^3k_i}{2E_i} {}^4 (p_1 + p_2 {}^P k_i)h M f^i}{2s}$$
(49)

with

hj M 
$$\hat{J}i = \frac{1}{4} \frac{X}{\text{spin s}} M \hat{J}:$$
 (50)

In the case of the reaction e e! W W Z one has

$$h_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{4} (g^{1} - \frac{k_{1}^{1} k_{1}^{1}}{M_{1}^{2}}) (g^{2} - \frac{k_{2}^{2} k_{2}^{2}}{M_{2}^{2}}) (g^{3} - \frac{k_{3}^{3} k_{3}^{3}}{M_{3}^{2}})$$

$$TrfT_{1,2,3} (p_{1}^{0} (T_{1,2,3})^{y} (p_{2}g_{i}^{z}) (51)$$

in the case of the reaction e e ! W W one replaces  $(g^3 k_3 k_3 = M_3^2)$  with  $g^3$ . If there are two identical bosons in the nal state, the expression (49) should be multiplied by one half.

A typical feature of the gauge theories is a delicate cancellations among the di erent partial amplitudes which guarantee a good high-energy behaviour of the total cross section. This o ers a good check of the calculation. A nother cross check is gauge invariance of the total amplitude, according to which the amplitude M in the case of a photon should vanish when one replaces the polarization vector  $\mathbf{w}_3$  () with the photon momentum  $\mathbf{k}_3$  and performs the contraction. Our results pass this check when we take into account that we have neglected the electron mass.

We have given our formulas in a form where the width of is neglected. Including the width is, of course, straightforward and would not remarkably complicate our computations. The width can be evaluated by using the formulas

The two rst form ulas can be inferred from [16], which gives the corresponding results for the left-handed triplet. The third form ula can be deduced from their result by expressing the triplet eld in terms of the mass eigenstate hand the appropriate Goldstone eld. We have assumed has be heavy that the contribution of the third channel to the total width can be neglected [13].

## 4 Numerical results

Let us now describe our computations. The trace manipulations in the squared matrix element (51) were carried out by using the symbolic manipulation program REDUCE and they were checked with MATHEMATICA. The phase space integration in (49) was performed by using the formula [17]

$$\sum_{\text{phase space}} \langle M \hat{j} \rangle = \frac{Z}{16s} \langle M \hat{j} \rangle \frac{ds_2 ds_1 dt_1 dt_2}{P - \frac{1}{4}}; \qquad (53)$$

w here

The inner integration in  $t_1t_2$ -plane is over an ellipse dened by the condition  $_4=0$ . (We performed this integration also analytically for a check.) The 4-fold integrals were performed numerically by using the Monte Carlo program VEGAS [18].

The sym m etry relations

$$ij = ji;$$

$$ij = icin$$

$$(55)$$

turned out to be of help in checking the code. Here the  $_{ij}$  is the cross section contribution coming from the interference term of the amplitudes  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ , and  $i_c$  refers to the amplitude obtained from the amplitude of the index i by charge conjugation:

$$T_{i_c}(p_2; p_1) = C T_i^T(p_1; p_2) C^{-1}$$
: (56)

We found out that the sym metry relations (55) are in general numerically satised to several digits although the values of 's them selves vary somewhat with the Monte Carlo parameters used.

The input of our com putation consists of the particle m asses, the gauge coupling constants, and various m ixing angles. A ssum ing that there is no m ixing between di erent neutrino avours, only the neutrino m ixing angle between the left-handed and the right-handed electron neutrino enters our calculations. The m ixing between  $W_{\rm L}$  and  $W_{\rm R}$  is described with the angle as presented in eq. (11). The m ixing

between the neutral gauge bosons is described in terms of three m ixing angles, out of which only two are independent in the case that  $g_L = g_R$  as we shall assume. The m ixing matrix, which we have denoted R in the foregoing section, can then be determ ined by using the experimental data for the two neutral current parameters, e.g. the vector and axial vector  $Z_1$  couplings of quarks and leptons [5]. In our calculations we have used three dierent forms for it: the SM limit of R given by (13) where the W einberg-Salam angle  $_{W S}$  has been estimated from the experimental value of M  $_{W 1}$ =M  $_{Z 1}$ , the numerical form obtained as in [5], and the numerical form calculated by using the matrix given in [19] and xing the M  $_{W 1}$  and M  $_{Z 1}$  to their experimental values.

For the mass of the heavy W -boson we use the value  $0.5 \, \text{TeV}$  (som etime also the value  $0.7 \, \text{TeV}$  for comparison), which is close to its experimental lower bound. For the mass of Higgs we have used two values, one below the reaction threshold  $M = 0.8 \, \text{TeV}$ ), another one well above the threshold  $M = 10 \, \text{TeV}$ ).

The cross section is proportional to the square of the m ass of the heavy neutrino for which we used the value m  $_2$  = 1 TeV. This is a natural choice in the sense that the m ass originates in the breaking of the SU (2) $_R$  sym m etry, which we have assumed to occur at the TeV-scale. Letting the Yukawa coupling  $h_R$  dier from the value 0 (1), also much lighter neutrino m ass were possible, but then also the cross section were much smaller. At the energies considerably above the neutrino m ass the cross section roughly scales with m  $_2$ .

A sm entioned, there are delicated cancellations among the amplitudes contributing to the processes we are considering. We demonstrate this in Fig 3 where we have plotted separately cross sections for the reaction e.e.  $W_2W_2Z_1$  as a function of the collision energy  $E_{cm} = \frac{p_{-}}{s}$  corresponding to the subsets of the amplitudes given in eqs. (36), (3), and (41) and their interferences. The contribution from the am-

plitudes (42) is negligibly small, and it was, like the suppressed amplitudes (43), om itted in the Fig 3. Here, and in what follows if not otherwise stated, we have taken the mass of W  $_2$  equal to 0.5 TeV and the mass of the heavy neutrino equal to 1 TeV. The triplet Higgs mass is in this gure equal to 10 TeV. The total cross section, invisible in the scale of the gure, is somethousands of the partial contributions plotted in the gure. At E  $_{cm} = 2$  TeV we obtain  $_{tot} = 2.4$  fb. The  $_{tot}$  increases with the collision energy up to E  $_{cm} = M$ , after which it starts to decrease because of a destructive interference of the amplitudes involving virtual triplet Higgses.

In Fig. 4 we present  $_{\rm tot}$  for e e ! W  $_2$ W  $_2$ Z  $_1$  as a function of E  $_{\rm cm}$  for the case of a light , M = 0 % TeV and for the case of a heavy , M = 10 TeV by using the SM lim it of R (solid line) and in the latter case also by using the R given in [5] (dashed line) and the R which is the most consistent with the model [19] (dashdot line). In the case of M = 0 % TeV the cross section shows a maximum of 4.5 fb at around 2 TeV. W ith the anticipated luminocity 10 fb  $^1$  the peak value would correspond to 45 events per year. The results obtained by using the three diement choices of the R matrix are very similar at low energy region (all presented by the divide line in the gure), but they start to dier at high energies. The cross section corresponding to the SM limit of R and to R of [19] has the expected good highenergy behaviour, while in the case of our third R the cancellations among various amplitudes are less complete.

In Fig. 5 we present the total cross section for the reaction e e ! W  $_2$ W  $_2$  (here M = 0.8 TeV). A new feature compared with the W W Z case is the singularity caused by soft-photons. We handle the singularity following the standard method of evading it by applying cuts for the minimum energy E and the minimum scattering angle of the photon, which is a natural procedure from the experimental point of view. In Fig. 5 we have used the cuts cos 0.8 and E =  $E_{cm}$  0.01.

A lso here we have demonstrated the elect of cancellations. We have separated the amplitudes mediated by the neutrinos from those mediated by Higgses. Both contributions are separately physical in the sense that their amplitudes are gauge invariant by them selves. However, they both increase with the energy contradicting eventually with unitarity. Their destructive interference takes care of the good high energy behaviour of the total cross section.

The sensitivity of the total cross section in the applied cuts is illustrated in Fig. 6. We present the total cross section for three sets of the photon energy and scattering angle cuts. Near the threshold the cross the e ect of changing the cuts is quite dram atic. The peak value of the total cross section is of the order of 10 fb, that is, about two times the cross section in the case of the W  $_2$ W  $_2$ Z  $_1$  nal state.

Fig. 7 presents the cross section as a function of energy for a slightly heavier W  $_2$ , M  $_{\rm W}$   $_2$  = 0:7 TeV .O ne notes a large decrease of the maximum value of the cross section, to some 20th part of its value in the case of M  $_{\rm W}$   $_2$  = 0:5 TeV .W ith the increasing energy the cross section approaches to the value expected according to the scaling with the W  $_2$  m ass at high energies.

We note that the nite width of does not essentially change the results presented above, because the dominant decay channel of is into two leptons and this is still relatively small for the is mass of 0.8 TeV.

The Fig. 8 gives the cross section for e e !  $W_2W_2$  in the case of M=10 TeV. It should be compared with Fig. 5 where M=0.8 TeV. We have plotted again separately the contributions from neutrino mediated and the triplet Higgs mediated amplitudes. In contrast with the case of Fig. 5, these contributions interfere now constuctively.

In the case of heavy triplet H iggs, the zero width approximation applied above is not any more reliable. In fact, for a mass so high as 10 TeV the decay  $! W_2 W_2$ 

alone gives rise to a width which is greater than the mass of the Higgs, making the particle interpretation of the Higgs questionable. If we nevertheless trust on our perturbational calculations the total cross section at the experimentally relevant energy of 2 TeV and below is in a good approximation given by the pure neutrino contribution, i.e., by the curve  $\frac{1}{n-n}$  in Fig. 8.

In Fig. 9 we present the total cross section for two sets of the photon cuts assuming a -pole at 2 TeV with a width of = 0:14M. The width has been estimated by using eq. (53) assuming the electron-, muon- and tau-type heavy neutrinos and W  $_2$  to have mass equal to 1 TeV and the Higgs has been so heavy that it can be neglected.

In the calculation we made some simplifying assumptions. Firstly, we have neglected possible fam ily m ixing am ong neutrinos. Such a m ixing m ight increase the production rates of the nal states consisting of a light W pair or a light and heavy W pair, as discussed in [9]. Secondly, we have assumed that the gauge couplings associated with the subgroups SU (2) $_{\rm L}$  and SU (2) $_{\rm R}$  are equal,  ${\rm g_L}={\rm g_R}$  . Combined with the assumption of identical Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawam atrices for the leftand right-handed quarks, this is known to lead to the upper bound of 1.4-1.6 TeV for M  $_{\rm W_2}$  [14]. This would push the production of the W  $_2$  pair out of the reach of NLC. The possible deviations of the ratio  $K = g_R = g_L$  from the value one has been discussed in [21] and [20], where the allowed region 0.55 to 1.5 was found. The GUT extensions of LR-m odel favours the value 1 for the upper lim it REF. The cross sections we have calculated have an overall factor  $g_R^4$ , but this hardly leads to the scaling by this factor, because changing of K  $\,$  change the couplings G  $_{\rm W~W~Z}$  ,  $G_{\rm eeZ}^{\,R}$  , and  $G_{\,\rm nnZ}$  , and also indirectly the m atrix R . W e do not expect the value of K essentially change our num erical results at the energy range of NLC, but at higher energies the e ect m ay be substantial.

# 5 Sum m ary

The left-right sym m etric electroweak model predicts the existence of heavy gauge bosons W  $_2$  and Z  $_2^0$ , as well as lepton number violating interactions mediated by a heavy M a jorana neutrino and a right-handed triplet scalars. We have calculated the total cross section for the lepton number violating processes e.e.  $W_2W_2$  and e.e.  $W_2W_2Z_1$ , which could be experimentally measured at NLC provided that  $W_2$  is not much heavier than the present lower limit of its mass. The reactions are background processes for the heavy vector boson pair production e.e.  $W_2W_2$  investigated earlier [4], [5], [8], [9]. The cross sections of the reactions where one or both of the charged bosons in the nal state is the ordinary weak boson  $W_1$  is found to be too small to have any phenomenological interest as far as NLC in concerned.

If the mass of the heavy electron neutrino is of order of 1 TeV and the W  $_2$  mass near to 0.5 TeV, we indicate that a cross section of e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  Z  $_1$  to be in the collision energy region of a few TeV is in the range of 1 to 10 fb depending on the mass of the double charged triplet H iggs . The cross section of e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  under the same assumptions and with reasonable cuts on the photon energy and scattering angle is of the same order. Both cross sections increase with increasing heavy neutrino mass. At the pole the cross sections can reach the value 1 pb. From these results one can conclude that e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  yields of the order of 1% background for the pair production e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$ .

# A dknow ledgem ents

The authors thank M r. P. Iljin and M r. Juha Vuori for their assistance in numerical work. One of the authors (A P.) expresses his gratitude to Reseach Institute

for High Energy Physics, Helsinki, where this work was completed, for hospitality. The work has been nancially supported by Turun yliopistosaatio and the A cademy of Finland.

## R eferences

- [1] See e.g., B.W iik, a talk in the W orkshop on physics and experiments with linear colliders (Saariselka, Finland, September 1991), eds. R.O rava, P. Eerola and M. Nordberg (W orld Scientic, 1992), p. 83.
- [2] T.G.Rizzo, Phys. Lett. B116, 23 (1982).
- [3] J.C. Patiand A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974); R. N. M. ohapatra and J.C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566, 2558 (1975) G. Senjanovic, Nucl. Phys. B 153, 334 (1979).
- [4] D. London, G. Belanger, and J. N. N. G., Phys. Lett. B188, 155 (1987).
- [5] J.M aalam pi, A.Pietila and J. Vuori, Nucl. Phys. B 381, 544 (1992).
- [6] J.M aalam pi, A.Pietila, and J.Vuori, Phys.Lett.B297, 327 (1992); P.Helde, K.Huitu, J.M aalam pi and M.Raidal, preprint HU-SEFT R 1994-09 (1994) (to appear in Nucl.Phys.B).
- [7] T.G.Rizzo, in: Proc.W orkshop on Experiments at Linear e<sup>+</sup>e Colliders (Waikoloa, Hawaii, April 1993), eds.F.A.Harris, S.L.Olsen, S.Pakvasa, and X.Tata (World Scientic, Singapore, 1993), Vol.II p. 520.
- [8] D.Dicus, D.Karatas, and P.Roy, Phys. Rev. D 44, 2033 (1991).
- [9] C.A. Heusch and P.M inkowski, Nucl. Phys. B416, 3 (1994).

- [10] M. Pohl, in 27th Int. Conf. on HEP, Glasgow, 20-27th July, 1994 (to appear in proceedings).
- [11] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond and R. Slansky, in it Supergravity, eds. P. van Niewenhuizen and D. Z. Freedman (North Holland 1979);
  T. Yanagida, in Proceedings of it Workshop on Unied Theory and Baryon
  - Number in the Universe, eds. O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, 1979).
- [12] J. Polak and M. Zralek, Nucl. Phys. B 363, 385 (1991); Phys. Rev. D 46, 3871 (1992).
- [13] N.G.Deshpande, J.F.Gunion, B.Kayser, and F.Olhess, Phys. Rev. D 44, 837 (1991).
- [14] P. Langacker and S. U. Sankar, Phys. Rev. D 40, 1569 (1989).
- [15] J.G luza and M. Zralek, Phys. Rev. D 45, 1693 (1992).
- [16] J.F. Gunion, J.G. rifors, A.M. endes, B.K. ayser, and F.O. liness, Phys. Rev. D 40, 1546 (1989);
- [17] E. Byckling and K. Kajantie, Particle kinematics (Wiley, Bristol 1973).
- [18] G.P.Lepage, Subroutine Vegas, A M onte Carlo program, the algoritm described in J.Comp.Phys. 27, 192 (1978).
- [19] T.G. Rizzo and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. D 24, 704 (1981).
- [20] T.G.Rizzo, Phys.Rev.D 50, 325 (1994); SLAC-PUB-6455 (1994)
- [21] M. Cvetic, P. Langacker, and B. Kayser, Phys Rev Lett. 68 (1992) 2871.
- [22] T.G.Rizzo, SLAC-PUB-6475 (1994)

Figure captions

Figure 1. The lowest-order Feynm an graphs for the process e e ! W W Z.

Figure 2. The Feynman rules for vertices appearing in the amplitudes. Here  $R=(1+\ _5)=2$  and  $L=(1-\ _5)=2$ , and the other quantities are defined in the text. Figure 3. The contributions of various subsets of amplitudes and their interferences to the cross section of the reaction  $e=(1+\ _2)=1$  as functions of the CM-energy  $E=(1+\ _2)=1$  for the masses  $M_{M_2}=0.5$  TeV,  $M_2=10$  TeV and  $M_2=1$  TeV.

Figure 4. The total cross section of the reaction e e! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  Z  $_1$  as functions of the CM -energy E =  $^p\bar{}_s$  for the m asses M  $_{W_2}$  = 0.5 TeV, M  $_2$  = 1 TeV and for two values of the triplet H iggs m ass, M = 0.8; 10 TeV for the di erent neutral current m ixing m atrices (see the text).

Figure 5. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  as functions of the CM -energy E =  $^p$   $\bar{}$  for the masses M  $_{\text{W}_2}$  = 0.5 TeV, M = 0.8 TeV and M  $_2$  = 1 TeV (solid line). The cuts cos 0.8; E =E 0.01 have been applied for the scattering angle and the energy of the photon. Shown are separately also the contributions from the neutrino exchange and the H iggs exchange contributions and their interference.

Figure 6. The total cross section of the reaction e e!  $W_2W_2$  as functions of the CM-energy  $E = {}^p \bar{}_s$  for the masses  $M_{W_2} = 0.5$  TeV,  $M_2 = 0.8$  TeV and  $M_2 = 1$  TeV and for three set of the photon cuts:  $M_2 = 0.9$ ;  $M_2 =$ 

Figure 7. The total cross section of the reaction e e ! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  as functions of the CM -energy E =  $^p$   $_{\rm S}$  for the masses M  $_{\rm W \,_2}$  = 0:7 TeV, M = 0:8 TeV and M  $_2$  = 1 TeV and for two sets of the photon cuts: cos 0:9; E =E 0:01, cos 0:8; E =E 0:05.

Figure 8. The total cross section of the reaction e e! W  $_2$  W  $_2$  as functions of the CM -energy E =  $^p$   $_{\rm S}$  for the m asses M  $_{\rm W}{_2}$  = 0.5 TeV, M = 10 TeV and M  $_2$  = 1 TeV and for the photon cuts  $\cos$  0.9; E = E 0.01. Shown are separately also the contributions from the neutrino exchange and the H iggs exchange contributions and their interference.

Figure 9. The total cross section of the reaction e e!  $W_2W_2$  as functions of the CM-energy  $E = {}^p \bar{s}$  for the masses  $M_{W_2} = 0.5$  TeV, M = 2.0 TeV and  $M_2 = 1$  TeV and for two set of the photon cuts:  $\cos 0.9$ ; E = 0.01,  $\cos 0.8$ ; E = 0.05.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig3-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig4-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig3-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig2-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig3-3.png" is available in "png" format from: