

# Measuring transverse spin correlations by 4-particle correlations in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$ jets

Xavier Artru

*Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon,  
IN2P3-CNRS et Université Claude Bernard,  
43, boulevard du 11 Novembre 1918,  
69622 Villeurbanne Cedex,  
France*

John Collins

*Penn State University, 104 Davey Laboratory,  
University Park, PA 16802,  
U.S.A.*

(April 18, 1995)

## Abstract

The azimuthal distribution of pairs of particles in a jet is sensitive to the transverse polarization of the quark initiating the jet, but with a sensitivity that involves a nonperturbative analyzing power. We show in detail how to measure the analyzing power from 4-hadron correlations in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$  jets. We explain the combinations of particle flavor that are likely to give the biggest effect.

13.65.+i, 13.87.Fh, 13.88.+e, 14.65.Bt

## I. INTRODUCTION

A useful probe of QCD would be to use appropriate observables in a jet to probe the polarization of the parton initiating the jet. For the case of longitudinal polarization, Nachtmann [1] (and also Efremov [2])<sup>1</sup> proposed a three particle correlation to measure the helicity of a quark. This observable is now called handedness [5].

A simpler measurement works for measuring transverse spin. As shown by Collins, Heppelmann and Ladinsky [6], and by Collins [7], the azimuthal dependence of hadrons around the jet axis is a measure of transverse polarization for quark jets.<sup>2</sup> This effect we call the “sheared jet effect”<sup>3</sup>. It depends however on non-perturbative effects in quark fragmentation, but at the leading twist level. So it is important to measure the analyzing power of the observable for transverse spin. Refs. [6,7] show that the sheared jet effect is compatible with the symmetries of QCD and is leading twist. This last property is in contrast to many other transverse-spin-dependent observables, for example, the deep-inelastic structure function  $g_2$ , which gives asymmetries of order  $1/Q$ .

If a significant analyzing power can be obtained, then many interesting measurements of processes induced by transversely polarized hadrons can be made. For example, Nowak [11] lays out an experimental program for electron-hadron and hadron-hadron collisions. See also the proposal for a polarized hadron gas jet target at LEP—the HELP proposal [12]—and work by Kotzinian [8], and by Tangerman and Mulders [9]. Among other things, one would have a measurement [6,7] of the distribution ( $\Delta_T q$  or  $h_1$ ) of transversely polarized quarks [13] in a transversely polarized proton. This is the one kind of twist-2 parton density that is not measured at all by ordinary inclusive deep inelastic scattering.

In this paper we show how the transverse-spin fragmentation function can be measured in unpolarized  $e^+e^-$  annihilation. Perturbative QCD predicts that the quark and antiquark have quite well correlated transverse spins. Hence a measurement of the azimuthal correlations of four particles, two from each of 2 jets, suffices to obtain the square of the desired fragmentation function(s).

Already the SLD experiment has made a measurement [14] of the handedness of jets caused by the non-zero helicity of quarks at the  $Z^0$ , albeit with a null result. In addition, Ref. [4] cites an unpublished “energetic search with JADE data” that produced no evidence for handedness. This is discouraging for the prospects for jet polarimetry, such as we are discussing. However, one could readily imagine that main spin-dependent correlations in-

---

<sup>1</sup>See also work by Donoghue, Dalitz, Goldstein and Marshall [3,4].

<sup>2</sup> Rotational invariance provides no trivial relation between longitudinal and transverse polarization for jet fragmentation, unlike the case of particle decay. A rotation of the spin also rotates the jet axis; this is quite analogous to properties of the spin states of a massless particle. Thus there is no contradiction between the need for a 3-particle measurement for longitudinal polarization and a 2-particle measurement for transverse polarization.

<sup>3</sup> This same effect is called the “Collins effect” by some authors [8–10].

volve a few specific hadrons in a jet. Then there will be substantial dilution in the measured correlation due to “combinatorial backgrounds”, just as there is in measuring resonance peaks. So it is possible that the transverse spin correlation, which is the subject of this paper, would be less subject to combinatorial backgrounds, since it involves fewer particles.

We will give a precise specification of the measurements to be made, and in addition list some ways in which the 4 hadrons might be chosen to maximize the asymmetry.

In Sect. II we summarize the theoretical formalism on which our proposed measurements is based, and we give the leading order approximations for the cross sections and the spin correlations. Then in Sect. III, we specify in detail how the experimental measurements are to be made. Suggestions as to how to enhance the signal by suitable choices of the flavors of the detected hadrons are given in Sect. IV. Some constraints can be obtained on the size of the effect from measurements of jet fragmentation in unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering, as we explain in Sect. V. Finally, our conclusions are given in Sect. VI.

## II. THEORY

We are interested in the production of two (or more) jets in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation followed by the fragmentation of the jets into the particles we choose to observe. To include spin effects, the density matrix for the parton must be included. At lowest order in  $\alpha_s$  we just have the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ . The cross section for this process, complete with the final-state density matrix was given by Chen et al. [15]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_q}{d\Omega_q} \rho_{\alpha\alpha';\beta\beta'} = & \frac{N_c\alpha_{em}^2}{8s} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{2}Q_q^2(1 + \cos^2\theta) \right. \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\chi_2 \left[ (1 + \cos^2\theta)(v_q^2 + a_q^2)(v_e^2 + a_e^2) + 8v_e a_e v_q a_q \cos\theta \right] \\
& \left. \left. - Q_q\chi_1 \left[ v_e v_q(1 + \cos^2\theta) + 2a_e a_q \cos\theta \right] \right\} (\mathbf{1}^q \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\bar{q}} - \sigma_z^q \otimes \sigma_z^{\bar{q}}) \right. \\
& + \left\{ \chi_2 \left[ v_q a_q(v_e^2 + a_e^2)(1 + \cos^2\theta) + 2v_e a_e(v_q^2 + a_q^2) \cos\theta \right] \right. \\
& \left. - Q_q\chi_1 \left[ a_q v_e(1 + \cos^2\theta) + 2v_q a_e \cos\theta \right] \right\} (\mathbf{1}^q \otimes \sigma_z^{\bar{q}} - \sigma_z^q \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\bar{q}}) \\
& + \left\{ \frac{1}{2}Q_q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\chi_2(v_e^2 + a_e^2)(v_q^2 - a_q^2) - Q_q\chi_1 v_e v_q \right\} \sin^2\theta \left( \sigma_x^q \otimes \sigma_x^{\bar{q}} + \sigma_y^q \otimes \sigma_y^{\bar{q}} \right) \\
& \left. - Q_q\chi_1 v_e a_q \frac{\Gamma_Z M_Z}{s - M_Z^2} \sin^2\theta \left( \sigma_x^q \otimes \sigma_y^{\bar{q}} - \sigma_y^q \otimes \sigma_x^{\bar{q}} \right) \right]. \tag{1}
\end{aligned}$$

This represents a parton-level cross section times a density matrix for the outgoing quark and antiquark.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the indices on the density matrix for the quark, while  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are the indices for the antiquark.

In the above formula, right-handed axes are chosen in the center-of-mass frame: The  $z$  axis is defined to be the direction of the quark, and the electron is defined to have momentum  $k^\mu = (E, -E \sin\theta, 0, E \cos\theta)$ . Ref. [15] defines coordinates that are convenient for the antiquark:  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) = (x, -y, -z)$ , as used in Eq. (1). But the final formulae in the present

paper will generally be written in a rotationally covariant form in terms of vectors referred to one fixed frame.

The lepton couplings are  $v_e = 4 \sin^2 \theta_W - 1$  and  $a_e = -1$ . Also,  $Q_q$  is the charge of the quark  $q$  (in units of  $e$ ), and the couplings of the quarks to the  $Z$  are  $v_u = 1 - \frac{8}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W$ ,  $v_d = v_s = -1 + \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W$ ,  $a_u = 1$ , and  $a_d = a_s = -1$ .  $N_c = 3$  is the number of colors of a quark. In addition

$$\chi_1 = \frac{1}{16 \sin^2 \theta_W \cos^2 \theta_W} \frac{s(s - M_Z^2)}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + \Gamma_Z^2 M_Z^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$\chi_2 = \frac{1}{256 \sin^4 \theta_W \cos^4 \theta_W} \frac{s^2}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + \Gamma_Z^2 M_Z^2}. \quad (3)$$

The cross section is obtained by taking the trace of Eq. (1) with a unit matrix  $\mathbf{1}^q \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\bar{q}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_q}{d\Omega_q} = \frac{N_c \alpha_{em}^2}{4s} \left\{ (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \left[ Q_q^2 + \chi_2 (v_q^2 + a_q^2) (v_e^2 + a_e^2) - 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q \right] \right. \\ \left. + \cos \theta [8\chi_2 v_e a_e v_q a_q - 4Q_q \chi_1 a_e a_q] \right\}. \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

The transverse-spin-dependent part is conveniently expressed in Cartesian coordinates by taking a trace with  $\sigma_i^q \otimes \sigma_i^{\bar{q}}$ . We have to be careful with the rotated indices for  $\bar{y} = -y$ . For the cross section times the density matrix, we get

$$\frac{d\Delta\hat{\sigma}_{qxx}}{d\Omega_q} = -\frac{d\Delta\hat{\sigma}_{qyy}}{d\Omega_q} = \frac{N_c \alpha_{em}^2}{4s} \left[ Q_q^2 + \chi_2 (v_e^2 + a_e^2) (v_q^2 - a_q^2) - 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q \right] \sin^2 \theta, \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\Delta\hat{\sigma}_{qxy}}{d\Omega_q} = \frac{d\Delta\hat{\sigma}_{qyx}}{d\Omega_q} = \frac{N_c \alpha_{em}^2}{4s} 8Q_q \chi_1 v_e a_q \frac{\Gamma_Z M_Z}{s - M_Z^2} \sin^2 \theta. \quad (6)$$

The  $xy$  and  $yx$  terms are substantially smaller than the diagonal terms, since they only arise from photon- $Z$  interference and then only from the imaginary part of the  $Z$  propagator.

By coupling the quark and antiquark to fragmentation functions, we obtain cross sections for inclusive hadron production. For this, we use the definitions given in Ref. [6] for a fragmentation function and an asymmetry function for particle pairs in a jet. The fragmentation function,  $d_{12/c}$ , specifies the distribution of particle pairs in the jet generated by an unpolarized parton of flavor  $c$ . The asymmetry function,  $A_{12/c}$ , specifies the azimuthal asymmetry of the particle pair when the quark initiating the jet has transverse polarization. In effect,  $A_{12/c}$  represents an analyzing power for quark transverse polarization. In this paper, we will use a differently normalized asymmetry function,  $\mathcal{A}_{12/c}$  instead of  $A_{12/c}$ ; it will be normalized so that it can be considered an analyzing power, lying between  $-1$  and  $+1$ .

We label the two detected particles in one of the jets  $J$  by 1 and 2 (or  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ), and the two detected particles in the other jet  $J'$  by 1' and 2'. For the purposes of the present discussion we will assume that  $J$  is the quark jet and  $J'$  is the antiquark jet. But ultimately we will wish to symmetrize the cross section, between the two jets, since we will not be able to distinguish which jet is which experimentally.

For each hadron, we will define a fractional momentum  $z$ , and for the pairs  $H_1 H_2$  and  $H'_1 H'_2$ , we will define invariant masses  $M$  and  $M'$ . We will also define vectors  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$  and  $\mathbf{r}'_\perp$  that

specify the relative transverse momentum within each pairs of hadrons. See Eq. (15) below. Thus the hadron variables are  $z_1, z_2, z'_1, z'_2, \mathbf{r}_\perp$ , and  $\mathbf{r}'_\perp$ . The fragmentation functions  $d_{12/q}$  and  $A_{12/q}$  are functions of  $z_1, z_2$  and  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$ , and similarly for the quantities in jet  $J'$ . They also depend on the flavors of the hadrons and partons involved. Note that the invariant mass  $M$  of a hadron pair can be expressed in terms of  $z_1, z_2$  and  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$ .

With the above definitions, we have the following formula for the inclusive production of 4 hadrons, in the kinematic region where 2 of the hadrons are associated with each jet:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz_1 dz_2 d^2\mathbf{r}_\perp dz'_1 dz'_2 d^2\mathbf{r}'_\perp d\Omega_J} = \sum_q K d_{12/q}(z_1, z_2, |\mathbf{r}_\perp|) d_{1'2'/\bar{q}}(z'_1, z'_2, |\mathbf{r}'_\perp|) \left[ 1 + \cos^2 \theta + 2\hat{a}_{fb} \cos \theta + \sin^2 \theta \hat{a}_{NN} \mathcal{A}_{12/q} \mathcal{A}_{1'2'/\bar{q}} \frac{\mathbf{r}_\perp \mathcal{R} \mathbf{r}'_\perp}{|\mathbf{r}_\perp| |\mathbf{r}'_\perp|} \right] + \text{Term with } (H_1, H_2) \text{ coming from } \bar{q} \text{ and } (H'_1, H'_2) \text{ from } q, \text{ and } \theta \text{ replaced by } \pi - \theta. \quad (7)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{R}$  represents a rotation of  $\pi$  about an axis perpendicular to the scattering plane, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_\perp \mathcal{R} \mathbf{r}'_\perp &= r_y r'_y - r_x r'_x \\ &= \mathbf{r}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{r}'_\perp - 2 \frac{\mathbf{r}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_e \mathbf{r}'_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_e}{E^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The coordinates in the first line are those in the frame defined above, and in the second line  $\mathbf{k}_e$  is the 3-momentum of the incoming electron, and  $E$  is its energy (all in the overall center-of-mass). Equivalently, we can write

$$\mathbf{r}_\perp \mathcal{R} \mathbf{r}'_\perp = -|\mathbf{r}_\perp| |\mathbf{r}'_\perp| \cos(\psi - \psi'), \quad (9)$$

where  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are the azimuthal angles of  $\mathbf{r}$  and  $\mathbf{r}'$  about their parent's jet axes, measured anticlockwise from the direction of transverse part of the momentum of the incoming electron, when the parent jet is viewed head on.

In Eq. (7), we have defined an analyzing power  $\mathcal{A}_{12/c}$  to be  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|/M$  times the corresponding function  $A_{12/c}$  in [6]. This we will call the analyzing power of the jet for transverse spin, and can range between  $-1$  and  $+1$ . But it has to have a kinematic zero when  $\mathbf{r}_\perp \rightarrow 0$ . The number density of hadrons is thus of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} dN(q \uparrow \rightarrow H_1 H_2) &= dz_1 dz_2 d^2\mathbf{r}_\perp d_{12/q}(z_1, z_2, |\mathbf{r}_\perp|) \\ &\quad \left[ 1 + \mathcal{A}_{12/q}(z_1, z_2, |\mathbf{r}_\perp|) \frac{\mathbf{p}_q \times \mathbf{r}_\perp}{|\mathbf{p}_q| |\mathbf{r}_\perp|} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{\perp q} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

We have abbreviated the formula for the cross section, and have defined coefficients

$$K = \frac{N_c \alpha_{em}^2}{4s} \left[ Q_q^2 + \chi_2 (v_q^2 + a_q^2) (v_e^2 + a_e^2) - 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q \right] \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{a}_{fb} = \frac{4\chi_2 v_e a_e v_q a_q - 2Q_q \chi_1 a_e a_q}{Q_q^2 + \chi_2 (v_q^2 + a_q^2) (v_e^2 + a_e^2) - 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q}, \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{a}_{NN} = \frac{-Q_q^2 - \chi_2 (v_e^2 + a_e^2) (v_q^2 - a_q^2) + 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q}{Q_q^2 + \chi_2 (v_q^2 + a_q^2) (v_e^2 + a_e^2) - 2Q_q \chi_1 v_e v_q}. \quad (13)$$

Obviously,  $\hat{a}_{fb}$  and  $\hat{a}_{NN}$  are parton-level coefficients related to the forward-backward asymmetry and to the transverse spin correlation  $A_{NN}$  defined in the standard manner.

We will discuss the phenomenological consequences in the next section.

### III. HOW TO MEASURE THE SPIN CORRELATION

We now explain in detail how to make measurements that would be sensitive to the spin-dependent fragmentation functions resulting from the sheared jet effect. Generally we expect the highest correlations of the state of hadrons in a jet with the state of the parent parton for leading hadrons, that is, for hadrons with the largest  $z$  values. We will therefore indicate how to exploit this expectation to best advantage. Additionally, there are a number of choices that have to be made in defining which hadrons are to be involved, and we will indicate suitable choices.

*a. Select 2-jet events* Consider events for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2$  jets, with some criterion for distinguishing events with only 2 jets from events with 3 or more jets. (The use of 2-jet events probably cleans up the signal, and is probably the simplest way of doing a preliminary study. However, a measurement of the polarized fragmentation strictly according to the QCD definition is probably best done by just looking at a four-particle inclusive cross section, with suitable cuts on the momenta and angles of the particles.)

*b. Choose and label hadrons* Label the jets “jet  $J$ ” and “jet  $J'$ ”. For definiteness, choose  $J$  to be the jet with polar angle  $0 < \theta < \pi/2$ .<sup>4</sup> From each jet, pick two hadrons, which we will label 1, 2, 1' and 2' (or  $H_1, H_2, H'_1, H'_2$ ). One obvious possibility is to choose  $H_1$  to be the leading hadron (highest  $z$ ), and  $H_2$  to be the next-to-leading hadron. Then define  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  to be the fractional energies of these two hadrons in jet  $J$ . Similarly define  $z'_1$  and  $z'_2$  to be the corresponding quantities in jet  $J'$ . We have four such variables satisfying  $z_1 > z_2$  and  $z'_1 > z'_2$ .<sup>5</sup>

*c. Suitable cuts on the  $z$ 's* Since the sheared jet asymmetry reverses sign when hadrons 1 and 2 are exchanged, it will vanish for  $z_1 = z_2$ , if we do not distinguish the flavors of the hadrons. So it will be a good idea to impose a cut like  $z_1/z_2, z'_1/z'_2 > 2$ , for each jet.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup>The symmetry of the cross section formulae under exchange of the jets implies that exchanging  $J$  and  $J'$  will not affect the measurements, particularly if we ignore the forward-backward asymmetry.

<sup>5</sup>There are other possibilities for choosing the labels of the hadrons, but this seems the simplest. Note that the angular correlation is proportional to Eq. (8). Although it is invariant under exchange of the two jets, it changes sign when the two hadrons in a pair are exchanged. So a definite choice of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , etc, is necessary. Another obvious possibility (among others) would be to choose hadron 1 to be a  $\pi^+$  and 2 to be a  $\pi^-$ . The measured spin correlation will depend on the method of choosing the hadrons, since the analyzing power depends on the momenta and flavors of the hadrons. We will explore this issue further in Sect. IV.

<sup>6</sup>If a restriction on the flavors of the hadrons is imposed, as in footnote 5, then it may be more convenient not to impose  $z_1 > z_2$ . Alternatively, the cut in  $z_1/z_2$  should be more involved.

Moreover, the effect is likely to be strongest for large  $z$ , i.e., in the valence region. So the data should be binned by the values of the  $z$ 's (but with large bins, if needed to get good statistics). The simplest approach for a first analysis would be to take only events with  $z_1 + z_2 > 0.5$  and  $z'_1 + z'_2 > 0.5$ . The effect of these cuts will be to select asymmetric large momentum hadrons, for which the desired asymmetry is presumably largest. *The precise values for the cuts can, of course, be adjusted to get the best signal, and the numbers we have suggested are not sacrosanct.*

*d. Cuts on hadron flavor* As a way of enhancing the signal, one could restrict the analysis to events in which the leading particles  $H_1, H'_1$  have opposite charges. In addition, we would require that the particles within each pair  $H_1 H_2$  and  $H'_1 H'_2$  have opposite charges. This is an example of the criteria to be explored more fully in Sect. IV. It uses the information that the leading particles are mostly pions, and have flavor correlated with the flavor of the initiating quark. Moreover, with this constraint on the charges of the hadrons, the two fragmentation asymmetry coefficients in Eq. (7),  $A_{12/q}$  and  $A_{1'2'/\bar{q}}$  are equal to each other, by charge conjugation invariance. Thus the sign of the azimuthal correlation is definite, and to the extent that all the hadrons are pions, there is no possibility of a cancellation of the effect from summing over different flavors of hadron that have opposite values of  $A_{12/q}$ .

The next steps will enable us to define an azimuthal direction for each hadron pair, about its jet axis.

*e. Jet axes* Define an axis  $\mathbf{J}$  and  $\mathbf{J}'$  for each of the 2 jets (in the overall center-of-mass). This can be done by any standard algorithm. The vectors  $\mathbf{J}$  and  $\mathbf{J}'$  are chosen to be unit vectors.

*f. Event plane* Define an event plane by the two vectors  $\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{J}'$  and the beam direction. (There is a certain amount of arbitrariness here. Since the jets will never be precisely back-to-back, it is necessary to make some choice as to what constitutes the event plane. Our definition is simple, and seems to be good enough.) It is likely to be useful to define an acollinearity from the 2 jet axes, as defined above. One definition of a two-jet event would be that the acollinearity is less than some suitable value.

*g. Kinematics of the hadron pairs* Define  $M$  and  $M'$  to be the invariant mass of the 2 chosen hadrons in each of the jets:

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 &= (p_1 + p_2)^2, \\ M'^2 &= (p'_1 + p'_2)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For each of the two pairs of hadrons, define a relative transverse momentum by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}_\perp &= \frac{z_2 \mathbf{p}_1 - z_1 \mathbf{p}_2}{z_1 + z_2}, \\ \mathbf{r}'_\perp &= \frac{z'_2 \mathbf{p}'_1 - z'_1 \mathbf{p}'_2}{z'_1 + z'_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

These definitions are arranged so that the longitudinal part of the hadron momenta, parallel to the jets, cancels, to leading order in  $E$ . The vector  $\mathbf{r}_\perp/M$  is equal to a  $\pi/2$  rotation of the spatial part of the vector  $\Sigma$  in the Collins, Heppelmann and Ladinsky paper [6], as explained there. The invariant masses  $M$  and  $M'$  can be expressed in terms of the other variables:

$$M = \frac{z_1 + z_2}{\sqrt{z_1 z_2}} \sqrt{r_T^2 + \frac{z_2 m_1^2 + z_1 m_2^2}{z_1 + z_2}}, \quad (16)$$

Thus the independent variables for the two hadrons in jet  $J$  are  $z_1$ ,  $z_2$  and  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ , and similarly for  $J'$ .

*h. Azimuthal angles* To visualize the asymmetry, we define azimuthal angles  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  for each of the vectors  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ ,  $\mathbf{r}'_\perp$ . These are azimuthal angles around the jet axis. For each of the jets, define  $\psi = 0$  to be in the plane of the scattering, and for the sake of definiteness to be in the direction of the incoming  $e^-$  (rather than the incoming  $e^+$ ). The azimuths  $\psi$  and  $\psi'$  are to be measured *anticlockwise* when the jet is coming *toward* the observer. Alternatively, to avoid any lack of clarity in the definitions of the angles, note that the combination on which the cross section depends,  $\cos(\psi - \psi')$  is expressed in terms of scalar products by Eqs. (8) and (9).

*i. Experimental plots* To check the azimuthal dependence in Eq. (7), plot the  $\psi - \psi'$  distribution for several bins of the overall polar angle  $\theta$  of the event and of the invariant masses  $M$  and  $M'$  (or of the transverse momenta). Plots in  $\psi + \psi'$  as well as a scatter plot in  $\psi, \psi'$  should be also made, to look for other possible kinds of azimuthal correlations. To avoid low statistics, one should not have too many bins. But there are important dependencies on these variables. So one should explore this.

*j.  $M$  and  $M'$  dependence* At least one should split each of  $M$  and  $M'$  into “small” and “large” (say, above and below 1 GeV). It is quite possible that the analyzing power of the fragmentation comes from interference effects, in which case it would be instructive to perform the analysis at the mass of the  $\rho$  meson, and just off the resonance peak. It would also be appropriate to tune the cuts to get the maximum effect. One may do better to make the bins in the transverse momentum variables  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$  and  $|\mathbf{r}'_\perp|$ .

*k.* Since there is a characteristic  $\theta$  dependence of the spin correlation, but not of the higher twist QCD effects that also produce azimuthal dependence, it would appear useful to split  $\theta$  into ranges say 0 to  $\pi/4$  and  $\pi/4$  to  $\pi/2$ .

*l. Predicted azimuthal dependence* The cross section has the form<sup>7</sup>

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta d\psi d\psi'} \propto 1 + \cos^2\theta + 2A_{fb}\cos\theta - \hat{a}_{NN}\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}\sin^2\theta\cos(\psi - \psi'). \quad (17)$$

The coefficients  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  are non-perturbative. The other coefficients can all be deduced from Eqs. (7), (12) and (13). For a purely electromagnetic process, i.e., well below the  $Z$  peak, we have  $A_{fb} = 0$ , and  $\hat{a}_{NN} = -1$ . At the  $Z$  peak,

$$\hat{a}_{NN}(Z) = \frac{g_A^2 - g_V^2}{g_A^2 + g_V^2}. \quad (18)$$

With one flavor of quark, the value of the coefficient  $\hat{a}_{NN}$  is 0.74 and 0.35 for up-type and down-type quarks, respectively. The measured value will be a weighted average of these.

---

<sup>7</sup>This equation is written in the approximation that we neglect the small off diagonal terms in the spin dependence, Eq. (6). If we wanted to be more exact, there would be a small angle to be added to  $\psi - \psi'$ .



(Note the reversal of sign from the electromagnetic case: axial couplings dominate at the  $Z$ .) Normally one will ignore the forward-backward asymmetry, which produces the  $\cos\theta$  dependence; it can only be measured if one separates the flavor of the jets.

It is  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  that we wish to obtain from the analysis. These quantities are the coefficients for the transverse spin dependence of fragmentation. They depend on the fragmentation variables, but to a first approximation one could set them equal to the same fixed number, which is to be measured by experiment. (The number will depend on the experimental cuts.) Note that the formula gives a maximum at  $\theta = \pi/2$  and is zero at  $\theta = 0$ . Moreover, it is invariant under exchange of the labels of the two jets, so that the choice in the labeling of the jets will not matter.

*m. Estimator of the azimuthal correlation coefficient* In fact, experiment will measure

$$C = \frac{\sum_f d_{12/f} d_{1'2'/\bar{f}} \times \hat{a}_{NN} \mathcal{A}_{12/f} \mathcal{A}_{1'2'/\bar{f}}}{\sum_f d_{12/f} d_{1'2'/\bar{f}}} \quad (19)$$

for various types of hadron pairs and various bins in the  $z$ 's and  $\mathbf{r}_\perp$ 's. In the above equation,  $f = u, d, s, \bar{u}, \bar{d}$  or  $\bar{s}$ . (We will assume that  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  events can be anti-tagged.)  $C$  can be determined by the maximum likelihood method or by the simple recipe [16]

$$C = - \frac{\sum_{\text{event} \in \text{bin}} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \cos(\psi - \psi')}{\sum_{\text{event} \in \text{bin}} \left[ \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \cos(\psi - \psi') \right]^2}. \quad (20)$$

This formula has the advantage that acceptance corrections in  $\theta$  cancel between numerator and denominator.

*n. General systematics* There are some general characteristics to observe:

- There will always be a kinematic zero in the azimuthal asymmetries when either  $M$  or  $M'$  goes to  $2m_\pi$ , since then it will be impossible to define an azimuthal angle. (Equivalently, we have a zero when either  $\mathbf{r}_\perp = 0$  or  $\mathbf{r}'_\perp = 0$ .)
- The sheared jet effect will be approximately proportional to  $1/|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$  when  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$  gets larger than some hadronic scale—e.g., 1 GeV. (And similarly for  $|\mathbf{r}'_\perp|$ .) This is because the hadrons will then come from different jets, from well separated partons. As a function of  $M$ , the sheared jet effect is probably maximum in the resonance region and decreases at larger  $M$ . It is not entirely obvious to us whether the governing scales are  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|$  and  $|\mathbf{r}'_\perp|$  or  $M$  and  $M'$ .
- There will be other higher twist effects that will correlate two jets. These will be of order  $M/Q$  or  $|\mathbf{r}_\perp|/Q$ . These effects should be small. For instance, the so-called “string (or drag) effect” [17] is expected to grow with  $MM'/Q^2$  for fixed  $z_i$ 's. Besides, it is independent of the orientation of the  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q \bar{q}$  scattering plane, therefore can only be a function of  $\mathbf{r}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{r}'_\perp$  or  $\psi + \psi'$ . By contrast, the transverse spin azimuthal correlation we are discussing has an opposite  $M$  dependence and is a function of  $\psi - \psi'$ . Therefore the two kinds of correlation can be easily disentangled.

*o. Monte-Carlo calculations* These can be used to estimate the results of the string effect. A minimum experimental program would be to compare the 4-particle correlation defined above with the prediction of standard Monte-Carlo programs. None of these have the sheared jet effect built-in, but they typically do have the string effect. When  $M$  and  $M'$  are reduced, say down to the  $\rho$  mass, the  $\psi$  dependence of the form given by (17) should be larger than what is predicted by the Monte-Carlo.

*p. Statistics needed* To get an idea of the statistics required for a significant signal, let us assume that the average sheared jet effect in one particular bin and for one particular choice of hadrons is about  $A = 0.3$  per jet and that the average  $\hat{a}_{NN}$  is about 0.5. Then the infinite statistics value of the estimator  $C$  defined by Eq. (20) would be

$$C_{\text{true}} = \langle \hat{a}_{NN} \rangle \times A^2 \sim 0.05. \quad (21)$$

When the number of events,  $N$ , is large, and  $C$  is small, the variance of the estimator  $C$  (Eq. (20)) satisfies

$$\langle (C_{\text{measured}} - C_{\text{true}})^2 \rangle = \frac{2}{N} \left\langle \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \right)^2 \right\rangle^{-1}. \quad (22)$$

Taking

$$\left\langle \left( \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \right)^2 \right\rangle = \frac{3}{4}\pi - 2 \simeq 0.36, \quad (23)$$

we see that about 2300 events are required in a particular bin, in order to get a one  $\sigma$  signal. This example shows that a rather large number of events will have to be analysed, many tens of thousands as a minimum.

#### IV. ROLE OF FLAVOR

In this section we explore how to choose the flavors of the measured hadrons to optimize the chances of measuring a significant effect.

##### A. Theoretical prejudices

Let us denote by

$$\sigma\{H'_1, H'_2; H_2, H_1\} \quad (24)$$

the differential cross section of the semi-inclusive reaction

$$e^+ e^- \rightarrow H_1 + H_2 + \text{remainder of jet } J \quad + \quad H'_1 + H'_2 + \text{remainder of jet } J'. \quad (25)$$

We will require the two measured hadrons in each jet to be the highest energy hadrons in the jet, i.e., to be the leading hadrons.




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of fragmentation of jets.

Our analysis will proceed by considering the consequences of a recursive fragmentation model of the string type or Feynman-Field type. The structure of such a model is symbolized by the quark diagrams in Fig. 1. We then define the “rank” of a generated hadron in such a model to be 1 for the endmost hadrons, 2 for the next-to-the-end hadrons, etc. The rank of a hadron in a jet is correlated with the longitudinal momentum of the hadron, which may be measured by the fractional momentum  $z$  of the hadron or by its rapidity relative to the jet axis. Thus the leading hadron, the one with the largest  $z$ , is likely to be the hadron of rank 1, etc. The rank of a particle is a property of the method of generation of the particle in a model. Only the property of being leading etc is observable.

Of course, these models are not exact. But they do contain an approximation to real QCD. Moreover, hadron configurations that do not result from such a model are suppressed.

A large fraction of the hadrons also comes from resonance decay. Such a mechanism, which is not explicitly described by Fig. 1, would at first sight make the notion of “rank” not very relevant. However, it is quite possible that interference between different resonating diagrams favors anisotropic decay of the resonances and restores the correlation between rapidity and rank. This at least the lesson from the Dual Resonance Model.

Motivated by these considerations, we make the following assumption: That the most favorable choice of the flavors of  $H_1$ ,  $H_2$ ,  $H'_1$ ,  $H'_2$  for observing azimuthal correlations, is when these particles could be of rank one and two (but not necessarily in the order indicated by the labels 1 and 2), in these “quark cascade” models.<sup>8</sup> That is, the azimuthal correlation between  $\mathbf{r}_\perp \equiv (z_2 \mathbf{p}_1 - z_1 \mathbf{p}_2)/(z_1 + z_2)$  and  $\mathbf{r}'_\perp$  is a maximum for charges and strangenesses

---

<sup>8</sup> We recall that  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are defined to be the kinematically leading and next-to-leading particles in jet  $J$  (here also, not necessarily in the order indicated by the labels 1 and 2); similarly for the primed hadrons

compatible with  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  being of rank  $\leq 2$  in jet  $J$  and  $H'_1$  and  $H'_2$  being of rank  $\leq 2$  in jet  $J'$  (in a recursive fragmentation model such as the string model or the Feynman-Field model).

For simplicity, let us consider an idealistic sample which contains no  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  events. (One may approach this case by suitable rejection criteria.) We determine the favorable configurations in three steps:

- We determine the optimum flavor states for  $(H_1 + H_2 + H'_1 + H'_2)$  with no regard to which particle of each pair is the leading one.
- We determine which combinations need not have strange quark production in the fragmentation.
- We determine which are the preferred configurations in relative values of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

Given our assumptions, it is easy to see from Fig. 1 that

- $(H_1 + H_2)$  and  $(H'_1 + H'_2)$  must have nonexotic quantum numbers, *i.e.*, charges, strangenesses and third component of isospin between  $+1$  and  $-1$ ,
- $(H_1 + H_2 + H'_1 + H'_2)$  must have nonexotic quantum numbers.

The first condition furthermore allows  $(H_1 + H_2)$  and  $(H'_1 + H'_2)$  to be resonances. Then the fragmentation amplitudes will readily acquire the phases necessary to get nonzero asymmetries. If we apply these “selection rules” to the measurements in Sect. III, then that will enhance the probability that  $H_1, H_2, H'_1, H'_2$  are all of rank  $\leq 2$ , and consequently are best correlated in flavor (and, we hope, spin) with the parton initiating the jet.

## B. Case that all particles can be identified

Let us first be very optimistic and assume that the detectors can identify the  $K^\pm$ 's the  $\pi^\pm$ 's, the  $\pi^0$ 's and the  $K_S$ 's, with little contamination of other particles. For the neutral kaons, we use the notation “ $K_S$ ”, since a normal detector cannot distinguish a  $K^0$  from a  $\bar{K}^0$ , while  $K_L$ 's mostly decay too late to be distinguished.

Then the possible experimental cases which met the conditions for nonexotic *charges* are shown in table I. Each box for a set of flavors has up to four symbols:  $\bullet$  and  $\circ$ . If there are no marks in the box, then the combination of hadrons does not satisfy all the conditions of nonexotic *strangeness* or *isospin*. If a box has one or more marks, then it satisfies these conditions. The precise meaning of the two symbols and of their placement will be explained later.

Note that  $\{H'_1, H'_2; H_2, H_1\} = \{A, B; C, D\}$  is equivalent to  $\{H'_1, H'_2; H_2, H_1\} = \{D, C, B, A\}$ , together with the interchange of  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}'_1$ , etc. Due to CP invariance, it is also equivalent to  $\{H'_1, H'_2; H_2, H_1\} = \{\bar{A}, \bar{B}; \bar{C}, \bar{D}\}$ , with a change of  $\mathbf{p}_1$  into  $-\mathbf{p}_1$ , etc. Combining these two symmetries,  $\{A, B; C, D\}$  is equivalent to  $\{\bar{D}, \bar{C}; \bar{B}, \bar{A}\}$ , with  $\mathbf{p}_1 \leftrightarrow -\mathbf{p}'_1$ , etc. This last operation corresponds to a symmetry about the second diagonal of the table. Other equivalences are

$$\{6, j\} \leftrightarrow \{7, j\} \quad (j = 1, \dots, 5), \quad \{i, 6\} \leftrightarrow \{i, 7\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, 5), \quad \{6, 6\} \leftrightarrow \{7, 7\}, \quad (26)$$

where  $\{i, j\}$  stands for the box at  $\{\text{line } i, \text{column } j\}$ , lines being counted *upwards*. We are left with 55 non empty nonequivalent boxes.

A more realistic hypothesis is that one cannot distinguish charged pions from charged kaons. Denoting by  $H^\pm$  either a  $\pi^\pm$  or a  $K^\pm$ , we get the simpler table II.

### C. Correlations between flavor and longitudinal momentum

Here we show some inequalities between cross sections for different pairs of leading hadrons. These inequalities are a consequence of recursive fragmentation models. To verify them experimentally would be a check of some of our assumptions.

#### 1. Flavor compensation within one jet

Suppose that  $A + B$  is not exotic but  $\bar{A} + B$  is. Then we expect that

$$\sum_{C,D} \sigma\{A, B; C, D\} > \sum_{C,D} \sigma\{\bar{A}, B; C, D\}, \quad (27)$$

since this is an inclusive cross section for production of  $\{A, B\}$ . The hadrons  $A$  and  $B$  are supposed to be the two hadrons fairly close in rapidity, so that in a fragmentation model depicted in Fig. 1, they would be nearest neighbors. To get rid of some acceptance corrections, one may rewrite it as

$$\frac{\sum_{C,D} \sigma\{A, B; C, D\} \times \sum_{C,D} \sigma\{\bar{A}, \bar{B}; C, D\}}{\sum_{C,D} \sigma\{\bar{A}, B; C, D\} \times \sum_{C,D} \sigma\{A, \bar{B}; C, D\}} > 1. \quad (28)$$

This inequality concerns lines or columns 4,5,6,7 of table I (line or column 4 of table II).

#### 2. Flavor correlation between opposite jets

Suppose that  $D + C + A + B$  is not exotic but  $D + C + \bar{B} + \bar{A}$  is. Then

$$\sigma\{D, C; B, A\} > \sigma\{D, C; \bar{B}, \bar{A}\}, \quad \text{or} \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{\sigma\{D, C; B, A\} \times \sigma\{\bar{D}, \bar{C}; \bar{B}, \bar{A}\}}{\sigma\{D, C; \bar{B}, \bar{A}\} \times \sigma\{\bar{D}, \bar{C}; B, A\}} > 1 \quad (30)$$

This inequality concerns the non-empty boxes of the  $6 \times 6$  sub-table made by columns  $6 \rightarrow 11$  and lines  $6 \rightarrow 11$  in the upper right part of table I. (for table II, it is the  $2 \times 2$  sub-table made by columns 5, 6 and lines 5, 6.)

In fact, these flavor compensations within a jet and between jets are already known. But it is worthwhile to check them again in the analyzed sample of events (in an unpolarized fashion, *i.e.*, with an integration over azimuths). If they are clearly seen, it will provide a




FIG. 2. Quark diagrams for production of  $K^+K^- \pi^- \bar{K}^0$ . The left-hand diagram corresponds to the lower right sub-box of box  $\{4,9\}$  in table I, and the right-hand diagram corresponds to the upper right sub-box. The later ( $\circ$ ) is less favored than the former ( $\bullet$ ), due to the necessity of creating two  $s\bar{s}$  pairs instead of one in the fragmentation process.

confirmation that our selection rules enhance the contributions of rank  $\leq 2$  simultaneously in both pairs of mesons. If they are barely seen, it indicates that contamination from higher ranks may be too large to observe a significant sheared jet asymmetry. In that case, may be a more refined analysis, for instance using the information about the three fastest particles of each jet, may succeed.

#### D. Dependence on momentum

Each box is subdivided into 4 sub-boxes, according to the signs of  $z_1 - z_2$  and  $z'_1 - z'_2$ . We make the following assignments: Right upper sub-box:  $H_1$  and  $H'_1$  are both leading (where “leading” means “largest  $z$  in the jet”); right lower:  $H_1$  and  $H'_2$  are leading; left upper:  $H_2$  and  $H'_1$  are leading; left lower:  $H_2$  and  $H'_2$  are leading. These sub-boxes represent schematically the four quadrants of the  $(\log \frac{z_1}{z_2}, \log \frac{z'_1}{z'_2})$  plane.

It is clear from Fig. 1 that the particle of first rank of jet  $J$  and the particle of first rank of jet  $J'$  make a non-exotic system. Therefore sub-boxes where the *two leading particles make a non-exotic system* are favored ( $\bullet$ ) compared to sub-boxes (empty) where these particles make an exotic system. The symbol  $\circ$  means “favored” but in a attenuated way, due to the necessity of creating extra  $s\bar{s}$  pairs relative to other favored configurations in the same box. An example is shown in Fig. 2 for the case of the production of  $(H'_1 H'_2; H_1 H_2) = (K^+ K^-; \pi^- \bar{K}^0)$ .

As with the previous flavor correlations, the existence of  $z$ -dependent favored configuration should be verified with disregard of the polarization effects.

#### E. Theoretical expectations about the size and the sign of the correlation

In the “string +  ${}^3P_0$ ” model [10], the single-meson sheared jet asymmetry essentially depends on the rank of the meson: it is positive (in our convention) for odd ranks and negative for even ranks. Furthermore, it decreases very fast when the rank increases. Thus, for the 2-meson sheared jet asymmetry, the fragmentation coefficient  $\mathcal{A}$  is positive if  $H_1$  has

rank 1, negative if  $H_2$  has rank 1. Of course, ranks cannot be determined experimentally, but they are correlated with ordering in  $z$ , therefore this model predicts that  $\mathcal{A}$  has the same sign as  $z_1 - z_2$ . Accordingly, the azimuthal correlation function  $\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  should have the following size and sign:

- large positive in a upper right or lower left sub-box endowed with a •
- large negative in a upper left or lower right sub-box endowed with a •
- for an empty sub-box,  $\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  should at first sight be small and with no obvious sign.<sup>9</sup> Thus, in the  $(z_1 - z_2, z'_1 - z'_2)$  quadrant corresponding to an empty sub-box, the sign of  $\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  will be roughly determined by the nearest “nonempty” quadrant. But the magnitude of  $\mathcal{A}$  will decrease fast — and its sign may change — when one goes far from the  $z_1 = z_2$  and  $z'_1 = z'_2$  lines.

Note that if the sheared jet asymmetry depends on rank but with a sign opposite to the one predicted by the “string +  ${}^3P_0$ ” model, our conclusion about the sign of  $\mathcal{A}_{12}\mathcal{A}_{1'2'}$  remains unchanged.

Another prediction, which is absolute, concerns the case where we choose  $(1, 2, 1', 2') = (+, -, -, +)$ . Then  $(1, 2)$  are the antiparticles of  $(1', 2')$   $\mathcal{A}_{12/q} = \mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{2}/\bar{q}}$ . Hence we get a definite sign for the correlation, since  $\mathcal{A}_{12/q}\mathcal{A}_{\bar{1}\bar{2}/\bar{q}} = \mathcal{A}_{12/q}^2 \geq 0$ . Furthermore having  $H_1 = \bar{H}_2$  ensures that the correlation is not suppressed by the zero of  $\mathcal{A}_{12/q}$  at  $z_1 = z_2$  when 1 and 2 are identical particles. This case is flagged by the large bullets in table II (• instead of •).

## V. UNPOLARIZED DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING

Some constraints on the size of the sheared jet effect can be obtained from unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering, since measurements of the angular distributions probe the angular momentum of the hadron-pair.

Now, in a helicity basis relative to the jet axis, the azimuthal distributions we are discussing are sensitive to off-diagonal elements of this density matrix, and to those elements with unit helicity flip:  $\Delta h = \pm 1$ . Hence upper bounds on the off-diagonal elements can be obtained from the diagonal elements. Some of the diagonal elements can be measured in unpolarized semi-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering.

Suppose one measures the angular distribution of the pion pairs in their rest frame, as a function of the polar angle  $\theta_\rho$  from the jet axis. This is a quantity that can in fact be measured in jets of any kind (not only at LEP, but in deep inelastic scattering, e.g., EMC and SMC). The simplest case is when the pair comes from  $\rho \rightarrow \pi\pi$ . Then the general form of the distribution is

---

<sup>9</sup>However, there will be a contamination from the sub-boxes which are not empty, because the lines  $z_1 = z_2$  and  $z'_1 = z'_2$  are not sharp boundaries between regions where the hadrons have particular ranks.

$$f_0 \sin^2 \theta_\rho + f_1 \cos^2 \theta_\rho, \quad (31)$$

where  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are the fractions of the mesons that are produced in helicity 0 and helicity  $\pm 1$  states.

First observe that a deviation from this form would be indicative of interference effects. Interference effects provide one mechanism to obtain a sheared jet effect [18].

More generally, recall that the helicity density matrix of a transversely polarized quark is a linear combination of a unit matrix and some off-diagonal terms which necessarily have  $\Delta h = \pm 1$ . Rotational invariance about the jet axis then implies that the density matrix for the di-hadron in the final state of a quark jet has the form of a diagonal matrix plus some  $\Delta h = \pm 1$  terms. The diagonal part is identical to when the quark is unpolarized. Diagonal terms with opposite helicity are equal

Consider the case of the  $\rho$ . Positivity of the eigenvalues of the density matrix implies that a prerequisite for the off-diagonal helicity flip  $\Delta h = \pm 1$  terms, is that the density matrix have terms of both helicity 0 and  $\pm 1$ .

For example, suppose it happened that the angular distribution of the two pions were close to  $\sin^2 \theta_\rho$  or to  $\cos^2 \theta_\rho$ . Then the  $\rho$  would be close to pure helicity 0 or to  $\pm 1$  (respectively). In that case the sheared jet effect would be small.<sup>10</sup>

Some data on this subject exist [19], but not of a sufficient precision.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

The existence of spin-dependence in fragmentation would provide a powerful tool for quark polarimetry, and would have a substantial impact on the utilization experiments on polarized high-energy scattering [11]. Such measurements are particularly important for transverse spin, where there is otherwise a paucity of twist-2 observables.

Therefore we believe it is important to try and measure the analyzing power of jets for quark transverse spin. In this paper we have explained in detail how to measure this quantity. It should be possible to do the measurements at current  $e^+e^-$  colliders (SLC, LEP, TRISTAN).

The experimental quantity to be analyzed is a 4-hadron correlation. To enhance the effect, we have proposed a criteria for the selection of the four hadrons in flavor and momentum.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DE-FG02-90ER-40577. One of us (X.A.) wish to thank A. Kotzinian (HELP collaboration) and W. Bonivento (DELPHI collaboration) for fruitful discussions.

---

<sup>10</sup>Note that in the original version of [6], it was incorrectly stated that the sheared jet effect cannot exist at the  $\rho$  peak in the absence of  $\rho$ -continuum interference.



## REFERENCES

- [1] O. Nachtmann, Nucl. Phys. **B127** (1977) 314.
- [2] A.V. Efremov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 83
- [3] J.F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. **D17** (1978) 2922, Phys. Rev. **D19** (1979) 2806
- [4] R.H. Dalitz, G.R. Goldstein and R. Marshall, Zeit. f. Phys. C **42** (1989) 441
- [5] A.V. Efremov, L. Mankiewicz and N.A. Törnqvist, Phys. Lett. **B284** (1992) 394.
- [6] J.C. Collins, S. Heppelmann, G. Ladinsky, Nucl. Phys. **B420** (1994) 565.
- [7] J.C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. **B396** (1993) 161.
- [8] A. Kotzinian, “New quark distributions and semiinclusive electroproduction on the polarized nucleons”, e-Print Archive: hep-ph/9412283, to be published in Nucl. Phys. B.
- [9] R.D. Tangerman and P.J. Mulders, “Probing transverse quark polarization in deep inelastic leptoproduction”, preprint hep-ph/9501202
- [10] X. Artru, J. Czyzewski, H. Yabuki, “Single spin asymmetry in inclusive pion production, Collins effect and the string model”, preprint LYCEN-9423, hep-ph/9405426.
- [11] W.-D. Nowak “Single Spin Asymmetries in Proton-Proton and Proton-Neutron Scattering and 820 GeV”, Preprint DESY 94-247, hep-ph/9501270.
- [12] HELP collaboration, “Proposal for an internal jet target at LEP”, CERN report LEPC/P7 (1993); HELP collab., presented by B. Vuaridel, “Transverse polarisation in deep inelastic scattering”, SPIN’94, Int. Symp. on High Energy Spin Physics, Bloomington, Indiana, Sept. 94
- [13] J.P. Ralston and D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B152** (1979) 109
- [14] SLD Collaboration (K. Abe, et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 512.
- [15] K. Chen, G.R. Goldstein, R.L. Jaffe, and X. Ji, “Probing Quark Fragmentation Functions for spin-1/2 Baryon Production in Unpolarized  $e^+e^-$  Annihilation”, preprint hep-ph/9410337.
- [16] D. Besset, B. Favier, L.G. Greeniaus, R. Hess, C. Lechanoine, D. Rapin and D.W. Werren, Nucl. Inst. Meth. **166** (1979) 515.
- [17] B. Andersson, G. Gustafson and T. Sjöstrand, Phys. Lett. **B94** (1980) 211.
- [18] J.C. Collins and G.A. Ladinsky “On  $\pi - \pi$  Correlations in Polarized Quark Fragmentation Using the Linear Sigma Model”, Penn State preprint PSU/TH/114, hep-ph/9411444. See also [4].
- [19] BEBC WA59 Collaboration, W. Wittek et al., Phys. Lett. **B187** (1987) 179; V.V. Ammosov et al., Yad. Fiz. **46** (1987) 1673 [Eng. transl. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **46** (1987) 998]. Unpolarized rho production has been measured by: European Muon Collaboration: J.J. Aubert et al., Phys. Lett. **B133** (1983) 370, and Zeit. f. Phys. C **C33** (1986) 167.

		$H_2 H_1$												
$H'_1$												$H'_2$		
$\downarrow$														
		$K_S K_S$	$\pi^0 K_S$	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$K^- K^+$	$\pi^- \pi^+$	$K^- \pi^+$	$\pi^- K^+$	$K_S K^+$	$K_S \pi^+$	$\pi^0 K^+$	$\pi^0 \pi^+$		
$\pi^-$		• •	•	• •	•	•	•		• •	•	•	• •	$\pi^-$	
$\pi^0$		• •	•	• •	•	•	•		• •	•	•	• •	$\pi^0$	
$K^-$		• •	•		•			•		•	•		$K^-$	
$\pi^0$				• •	○	•			•	○	○	• •	$\pi^0$	
$\pi^-$				• •	○	•			•	○	○	• •	$\pi^-$	
$K_S$		• •	•	•	•		•		•	•	•		$K_S$	
$K^-$				• •	•	•			•	•	•	• •	$K^-$	
$K_S$		• •	•	• •		•	•		•			• •	$K_S$	
$K^-$		• •	•		•			•		•	•		$K^-$	
$\pi^+$		○ ○	○	• •		•		○					$\pi^+$	
$\pi^-$		○ ○	○	• •		•	○		•			• •	$\pi^-$	
$K^+$		• •	•		•		•						$K^+$	
$\pi^-$		• •	•	• •	•	•	•		• •	•	•	• •	$\pi^-$	
$\pi^+$		• •	•	• •	•	•	•		•			• •	$\pi^+$	
$K^-$		• •	•	• •	•	•		•	• ○	○ •	• •	• •	$K^-$	
$K^+$		• •	•	• •	•	•	•					• •	$K^+$	
$\pi^0$		• •	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	$\pi^0$	
$\pi^0$		• •	•	• •	• •	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	• •	$\pi^0$	
$K_S$		• •	•		• •		•	•		•	•		$K_S$	
$\pi^0$		○ ○	○	• •		• •	○	○	•			• •	$\pi^0$	
$K_S$		• •	○ •	• •	• •	• •	• ○	○ •	•	•	•	• •	$K_S$	
$K_S$		• •	○ •	• •	• •	• •	• ○	○ •	•	•	•	• •	$K_S$	
		$K_S K_S$	$\pi^0 K_S$	$\pi^0 \pi^0$	$K^- K^+$	$\pi^- \pi^+$	$K^- \pi^+$	$\pi^- K^+$	$K_S K^+$	$K_S \pi^+$	$\pi^0 K^+$	$\pi^0 \pi^+$		

TABLE I. Ratings of charge combinations. In each square, a mark (• or ○) in one of the four positions means that there is a quark diagram in which the corresponding hadrons are of rank 1, and the other two hadrons are of rank 2. For example, a mark in the upper right means that there is a quark diagram where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are of ranks 1 and 2, respectively, in one jet, while  $H'_1$  and  $H'_2$  are of ranks 1 and 2, respectively, in the other jet. A ○ means that the hadrons require production of an extra  $s\bar{s}$  quark pair in the fragmentation compared to other kinematic combinations in the same square.

	$K_S$	$K_S$	$\pi^0$	$K_S$	$\pi^0$	$\pi^0$	$H^-$	$H^+$	$K_S$	$H^+$	$\pi^0$	$H^+$	
$H^-$	•	•	○	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		$H^-$
$\pi^0$	•	•	○		•	•		•	•	•	•		$\pi^0$
$H^-$					•	•		•		○	•	•	$H^-$
$K_S$	•	•	○	•	•	•		•		•	•		$K_S$
$H^-$	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		$H^-$
$H^+$	•	•	•	•	•	•	•						$H^+$
$\pi^0$	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\pi^0$
$\pi^0$	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	$\pi^0$
$K_S$	•	•		•			•	•	•			•	$K_S$
$\pi^0$	○	○	○		•	•	•	•	○		○	○	$\pi^0$
$K_S$	•	•	○	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	$K_S$
$K_S$	•	•	○	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	$K_S$
	$K_S$	$K_S$	$\pi^0$	$K_S$	$\pi^0$	$\pi^0$	$H^-$	$H^+$	$K_S$	$H^+$	$\pi^0$	$H^+$	

TABLE II. Ratings of charge combinations, ignoring  $\pi^\pm - K^\pm$  difference.