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#### Abstract

The azim uthal distribution of pairs of particles in a jet is sensitive to the transverse polarization of the quark in itiating the jet, but w ith a sensitivity that involves a nonperturbative analyzing power. W e show in detail how to $m$ easure the analyzing pow er from 4-hadron correlations in $e^{+} e!2$ jets. We explain the com binations of particle avor that are likely to give the biggest e ect. $13.65 .+\mathrm{i}, 13.87 \mathrm{Fh}, 13.88 .+\mathrm{e}, 14.65 \mathrm{Bt}$


## I．IN TRODUCTION

A useful probe of QCD would be to use appropriate observables in a jet to probe the polarization of the parton intiating the jet．For the case of longitudinalpolarization，$N$ acht－
 of a quark．This observable is now called handedness［15，］．

A simpler $m$ easurem ent works for $m$ easuring transverse spin．As shown by Collins，
 around the jet axis is a measure of transverse polarization for quark jets ${ }_{[1}^{12}$ This e ect we call the \sheared jet e ect＂，${ }_{1}^{3}$ ．It depends how ever on non－perturbative e ects in quark fragm entation，but at the leading tw ist level．So it is im portant to $m$ easure the analyzing power of the observable for transverse spin．Refs．娮市市］show that the sheared jet e ect is com patible w the symm etries of QCD and is leading tw ist．This last property is in contrast to $m$ any other transverse－spin－dependent observables，for exam ple，the deep－ inelastic structure function $g_{2}$ ，which gives asym $m$ etries of order $1=Q$ ．

If a signi cant analyzing power can be obtained，then $m$ any interesting $m$ easurem ents of processes induced by transversely polarized hadrons can be m ade．For exam ple，N ow ak 琉倶］ lays out an experim entalprogram for electron－hadron and hadron－hadron oollisions．See also the proposal for a polarized hadron gas jet target at LEP｜the HELP proposal［1］$\overline{1}] \mid$ and

 ［1］－1］in a transversely polarized proton．This is the one kind of tw ist－2 parton density that is not $m$ easured at all by ordinary inclusive deep inelastic scattering．

In this paper we show how the transverse－spin fragm entation function can be m easured in unpolarized $e^{+} e$ annihilation．Perturbative QCD predicts that the quark and antiquark have quite well correlated transverse spins．H ence a m easurem ent of the azim uthal correla－ tions of four particles，tw o from each of 2 jets，su ces to obtain the square of the desired fragm entation function（s）．

A lready the SLD experim ent has $m$ ade a $m$ easurem ent $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ of the handedness of jets caused by the non－zero helicity of quarks at the $Z^{0}$ ，albeit w ith a null result．In addition， Ref．${ }^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { ］}] \text { cites an unpublished \energetic search w ith JA D E data＂that produced no evidence }\end{array}\right.$ for handedness．This is discouraging for the prospects for jet polarim etry，such as we are discussing．H ow ever，one could readily im agine that $m$ ain spin－dependent correlations in－

[^0]${ }^{2}$ R otational invariance provides no trivial relation betw een longitudinal and transverse polariza－ tion for jet fragm entation，unlike the case of particle decay．A rotation of the spin also rotates the jet axis；this is quite analogous to properties of the spin states of a m assless particle．T hus there is no contradiction betw een the need for a 3－particle m easurem ent for longitudinal polarization and a 2－particle $m$ easurem ent for transverse polarization．
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~T}$ his sam e e ect is called the $\backslash \mathrm{C}$ ollins e ect＂by som e authors ［pil
volve a few speci c hadrons in a jet. T hen there will be substantial dihution in the m easured correlation due to \combinatorial badkgrounds", just as there is in measuring resonance peaks. So it is possible that the transverse spin correlation, which is the sub ject of this paper, w ould be less sub ject to com binatorial backgrounds, since it involves few er particles.

W ew ill give a precise speci cation of the $m$ easurem ents to be $m$ ade, and in addition list som e ways in which the 4 hadrons $m$ ight be chosen to $m$ axim ize the asym $m$ etry.

In Sect. "It we sum $m$ arize the theoretical form alism on which our proposed $m$ easurem ents is based, and we give the leading order approxim ations for the cross sections and the spin correlations. Then in Sect. init, we specify in detail how the experim entalm easurem ents are to be m ade. Suggestions as to how to enhance the signallby suitable choioes of the avors of the detected hadrons are given in Sect. $\overline{\underline{I N} V_{i}^{\prime} \text {. Som e constraints can be obtained on the size of }}$ the e ect from $m$ easurem ents of jet fragm entation in unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering,


## II. THEORY

W e are interested in the production of two (or more) jets in $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ annihilation followed by the fragm entation of the jets into the particles we choose to observe. To include spin $e$ ects, the density $m$ atrix for the parton $m$ ust be included. At lowest order in $s$ we just have the process $e^{+}$e ! qq. The cross section for this process, com plete with the nal-state density $m$ atrix was given by $C$ hen et al [1] [1] $:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\wedge}{ }_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}} \quad 0 ; \quad 0=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{em}}^{2}{ }^{"}}{8 \mathrm{~s}} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} 2^{h}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)\left(v_{q}^{2}+a_{q}^{2}\right)\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right)+8 v_{e} a_{e} v_{q} a_{q} \cos { }^{i} \\
& Q_{q} \quad{ }^{h} V_{e} V_{q}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+2 a_{e} a_{q} \cos s^{i}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1^{q} & 1^{q} & { }_{z}^{q} & { }_{z}^{q}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +\quad 2^{h} v_{q} a_{q}\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right)\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+2 v_{e} a_{e}\left(v_{q}^{2}+a_{q}^{2}\right) \cos  \tag{1}\\
& \text { i } \\
& Q_{q} 1^{h} a_{q} V_{e}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)+2 \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}} \cos { }^{i} \quad\left(1^{q} \quad \underset{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{z}}{\mathrm{q}} 1^{\mathrm{q}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} Q_{q}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \quad 2\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right)\left(v_{q}^{2} \quad a_{q}^{2}\right) \quad Q_{q} \quad 1 v_{e} v_{q} \underset{\#}{\sin ^{2} \quad \underset{x}{q} \quad \underset{x}{q}+\underset{y}{q} \quad \underset{y}{q} .} \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad 1 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}} \frac{\mathrm{z} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad \underset{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{q}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{q}} \underset{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{q}} \underset{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{q}} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

This represents a parton-level cross section tim es a density $m$ atrix for the outgoing quark and antiquark. and are the indiges on the density $m$ atrix for the quark, while ${ }^{0}$ and ${ }^{0}$ are the indiges for the antiquark.

In the above form ula, right-handed axes are chosen in the center-ofm ass fram e: The $z$ axis is de ned to be the direction ofthe quark, and the electron is de ned to havem om entum $\mathrm{k}=(\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{E} \sin ; 0 ; \mathrm{E} \cos )$. Ref. ${ }^{\prime}\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ de nes coordinates that are convenient for the antiquark: $(x ; y ; z)=(x ; y ; z)$, as used in Eq. (ili). But the nal form ulae in the present
paper will generally be wrilten in a rotationally covariant form in term s of vectors referred to one xed frame.

The lepton couplings are $v_{e}=4 \sin ^{2} w \quad 1$ and $a_{e}=1 . A \operatorname{lso}, Q_{q}$ is the charge of the quark $q$ (in units ofe), and the couplings of the quarks to the $Z$ are $v_{u}=1 \frac{8}{3} \sin ^{2} w$, $v_{d}=v_{s}=1+\frac{4}{3} \sin ^{2}{ }_{w}, a_{u}=1$, and $a_{d}=a_{s}=1 . N_{c}=3$ is the num ber of colors of $a$ quark. In addition

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\frac{1}{16 \sin ^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{w}} \cos ^{2} \mathrm{w}} \frac{\mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}\right)^{2}+{ }_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}} ;  \tag{2}\\
& 2=\frac{\mathrm{s}^{2}}{256 \sin ^{4}{ }_{\mathrm{w}} \cos ^{4} \mathrm{w}} \frac{\left.\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}\right)^{2}+{ }_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}}{(\mathrm{~S}}: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The cross section is obtained by taking the trace of Eq. ( $\underset{-1}{1})$ w ith a unit m atrix $1^{q} \quad 1^{q}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{q}}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\mathrm{em}}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{~s}}\left(1+\cos ^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+{ }_{2}\left(v_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}\right)\left(v_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+a_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right) \quad 2 Q_{\mathrm{q}} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q}}^{i}
\end{gather*}
$$

The transverse-spin-dependent part is conveniently expressed in Cartesian coordinates
 For the cross section tim es the density $m$ atrix, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{\wedge}{ }_{q x y}}{d_{q}}=\frac{d^{\wedge}{ }_{q Y x}}{d_{q}}=\frac{N_{C}{ }_{e m}^{2}}{4 s} 8 Q_{q} \quad V_{e} V_{\mathrm{q}} \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{Z}} M_{\mathrm{Z}}}{S M_{Z}^{2}} \sin ^{2} \quad: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The $x y$ and $y x$ term s are substantially sm aller than the diagonalterm $s$, since they only arise from photon-Z interference and then only from the im aginary part of the $Z$ propagator.

By coupling the quark and antiquark to fragm entation functions, we obtain cross sections for inclusive hadron production. For this, we use the de nitions given in Ref. [6్ర刂, for a fragm entation function and an asym $m$ etry function for particle pairs in a jet. The frag$m$ entation function, $d_{12=c}$, speci es the distribution of particle pairs in the jet generated by an unpolarized parton of avor c. The asym $m$ etry function, $A_{12=c}$, speci es the azim uthal asym $m$ etry of the particle pair when the quark initiating the jet has transverse polarization. In e ect, $A_{12=c}$ represents an analyzing power for quark transverse polarization. In this paper, we w ill use a di erently norm alized asym m etry function, $A_{12=c}$ instead of $A_{12=c}$; it will be norm alized so that it can be considered an analyzing power, lying between 1 and +1 .

W e label the two detected particles in one of the jets $J$ by 1 and 2 (or $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), and the tw o detected particles in the other jet $J^{0}$ by $1^{0}$ and $2^{0}$. For the purposes of the present discussion we will assum e that $J$ is the quark jet and $J^{0}$ is the antiquark jet. But ultim ately we willw ish to sym $m$ etrize the cross section, betw een the tw o jets, since we will not be able to distinguish which jet is which experim entally.

For each hadron, we will de ne a fractionalm om entum $z$, and for the pairs $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$, wew illde ne invariant $m$ asses $M$ and $M^{0}$. W ew illalso de ne vectors $r_{\text {? }}$ and $r_{\text {? }}^{0}$ that
specify the relative transverse $m$ om entum $w$ thin each pairs of hadrons. See Eq. (īj) below . $T$ hus the hadron variables are $z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{1}^{0}, z_{2}^{0}, r_{\text {? }}$, and $r_{\text {? }}^{0}$. T he fragm entation fiunctions $d_{12=q}$ and $A_{12=q}$ are functions of $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $j_{r} j$ and sim ilarly for the quantities in jet $J^{0}$. They also depend on the avors of the hadrons and partons involved. N ote that the invariant m ass M of a hadron pair can be expressed in term s of $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $j r_{\text {? }} j$.
$W$ th the above de nitions, we have the follow ing form ula for the inclusive production of 4 hadrons, in the kinem atic region where 2 of the hadrons are associated with each jet:

+ Tem with $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ com ing from q and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}\right)$ from q , and replaced by
Here, $R$ represents a rotation of about an axis perpendicular to the scattering plane, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{?} R r_{?}^{0} & =r_{y} r_{y}^{0} \quad r_{x} r_{x}^{0} \\
& =r_{?} \quad \text { f } \quad 2 \frac{r_{?} \quad \mathrm{kr}_{?}^{0} \quad \mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{E}^{2}}: \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The coordinates in the rst line are those in the fram e de ned above, and in the second line $k_{e}$ is the 3 m om entum of the incom ing electron, and E is its energy (all in the overall center-ofm ass). Equivalently, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{?} R r_{?}^{0}=\quad \dot{j} r_{?} \ddot{J}_{\mathcal{J}}{ }^{0} ? j \operatorname{joos}\left(\quad{ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and ${ }^{0}$ are the azim uthal angles of $r$ and $r^{0}$ about their parent's jet axes, $m$ easured anticlockw ise from the direction of transverse part of the $m$ om entum of the incom ing electron, when the parent jet is view ed head on.

In Eq. ( $\overline{(\bar{i})}$ ), we have de ned an analyzing power $\mathrm{A}_{12=c}$ to be $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ? $\ddagger \mathrm{M}$ tim es the corresponding function $A_{12=c}$ in [ब]. This we w ill call the analyzing power of the jet for transverse spin, and can range between 1 and +1 . But it has to have a kinem atic zero when $r_{\text {? }}!0$. The num ber density of hadrons is thus of the form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+A_{12=q}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; j r_{?} j \frac{p_{q} \quad r_{?}}{\dot{p}_{q} j \dot{j}_{?} j} \quad{ }_{\rho_{q}}^{\#}:\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have abbreviated the form ula for the cross section, and have de ned coe cients

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\frac{N_{c}{ }_{e m}^{2}}{4 s} Q_{q}^{2}+2\left(v_{q}^{2}+a_{q}^{2}\right)\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right) \quad 2 Q_{q} \quad 1 V_{e} v_{q}^{i}  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{fb}}=\frac{4{ }_{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad 2 \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+{ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}\right)} 2 \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}  \tag{12}\\
& \Delta_{N N}=\frac{Q_{q}^{2} \quad 2\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right)\left(v_{q}^{2} \quad a_{q}^{2}\right)+2 Q_{q} \quad 1 V_{e} v_{q}}{Q_{q}^{2}+}{ }_{2}\left(v_{q}^{2}+a_{q}^{2}\right)\left(v_{e}^{2}+a_{e}^{2}\right) \quad 2 Q_{q} \quad 1 v_{e} v_{q} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

O bviously, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{fb}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}$ are parton-level coe cients related to the forw ard-backw ard asym $m$ etry and to the transverse spin correlation $A_{N N}$ de ned in the standard $m$ anner.

W e will discuss the phenom enological consequences in the next section.

## III. HOW TO M EASURE THE SP IN CORRELATION

W e now explain in detail how to $m$ ake $m$ easurem ents that would be sensitive to the spin-dependent fragm entation functions resulting from the sheared jet e ect. $G$ enerally we expect the highest correlations of the state of hadrons in a jet w ith the state of the parent parton for leading hadrons, that is, for hadrons w ith the largest $z$ values. W e w ill therefore indicate how to exploit this expectation to best advantage. A dditionally, there are a num ber of choioes that have to be $m$ ade in de ning which hadrons are to be involved, and we will indicate suitable choioes.
a. Select 2 -jet events $C$ onsider events for $e^{+} e$ ! 2 jets, with some criterion for distinguishing events with only 2 jets from events with 3 or $m$ ore jets. (T he use of 2 -jet events probably cleans up the signal, and is probably the sim plest w ay ofdoing a prelim inary study. $H$ ow ever, a m easurem ent of the polarized fragm entation strictly according to the Q CD defintion is probably best done by just looking at a four-particle inclusive cross section, w ith suitable cuts on the $m$ om enta and angles of the particles.)
b. Choose and label hadrons Label the jets \jet J" and \jet $J^{0} "$. For de niteness, choose $J$ to be the jet w ith polar angle $0 \ll=2_{i=1}^{27}$ From each jet, pidk two hadrons, which we w ill label $1,2,1^{0}$ and $2^{0}$ (or $\mathrm{H}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ ). O ne obvious possibility is to choose $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ to be the leading hadron (highest $z$ ), and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to be the next-to-leading hadron. Then de ne $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ to be the fractionalenergies of these two hadrons in jet J. Sim ilarly de ne $z_{1}^{0}$ and $z_{2}^{0}$ to be the corresponding quantities in jet $J^{0}$. $W$ e have four such variables satisfying $z_{1}>z_{2}$ and $z_{1}^{0}>z_{2}^{0} \cdot 5_{1}^{51}$
c. Suitable cuts on the $z^{\prime} s$ Since the sheared jet asym $m$ etry reverses sign when hadrons 1 and 2 are exchanged, it $w$ ill vanish for $z_{1}=z_{2}$, if we do not distinguish the avors of the hadrons. So it will be a good idea to im pose a cut like $z_{1}=z_{2} ; z_{1}^{0}=z_{2}^{0}>2$, for each jet ial

[^1]$M$ oreover, the e ect is likely to be strongest for large $z$, i.e., in the valence region. So the data should be binned by the values of the $z$ 's (but with large bins, if needed to get good statistics). The simplest approach for a rst analysis would be to take only events $w$ ith $z_{1}+z_{2}>0: 5$ and $z_{1}^{0}+z_{2}^{0}>0: 5$. The e ect of these cuts $w i l l$ be to select asym $m$ etric large m om entum hadrons, for which the desired asym $m$ etry is presum ably largest. The precise values for the cuts can, of course, be adjusted to get the best signal, and the num bers we have suggested are not sacrosanct.
d. Cuts on hadron avor As a way of enhancing the signal, one could restrict the analysis to events in which the leading particles $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}$ have opposite charges. In addition, we w ould require that the particles w thin each pair $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ have opposite charges. $T$ his is an exam ple of the criteria to be explored $m$ ore fully in Sect. iNXI. It uses the inform ation that the leading particles are m ostly pions, and have avor correlated with the avor of the initiating quark. M oreover, with this constraint on the charges of the hadrons, the two fragm entation asym m etry coe cients in Eq. ( $\mathrm{h}_{-1}, \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1020}=q$ are equal to each other, by charge conjugation invariance. Thus the sign of the azim uthal correlation is de nite, and to the extent that all the hadrons are pions, there is no possibility of a cancellation of the e ect from sum $m$ ing over di erent avors of hadron that have opposite values of $\mathrm{A}_{12=\mathrm{q}}$.

The next steps w illenable us to de ne an azim uthaldirection for each hadron pair, about its jet axis.
e. Jet axes $D e$ ne an axis $J$ and $J^{0}$ for each of the 2 jets (in the overall center-ofm ass). $T$ his can be done by any standard algorithm. The vectors $J$ and $J^{0}$ are chosen to be unit vectors.
f. Event plane De ne an event plane by the two vectors $J J^{0}$ and the beam direction. (T here is a certain am ount of arbitrariness here. Since the jets will never be precisely back-to-back, it is necessary to m ake som e choice as to what constitutes the event plane. O ur de nition is simple, and seem s to be good enough.) It is likely to be useful to de ne an acollinearity from the 2 jet axes, as de ned above. O ne de nition of a two-jet event would be that the acollinearity is less than som e suitable value.
g. $K$ inem atics of the hadron pairs $D e n e M$ and $M{ }^{0}$ to be the invariant $m$ ass of the 2 chosen hadrons in each of the jets:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M^{2}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2} ; \\
& M^{Q}=\left(p_{1}^{0}+p_{2}^{0}\right)^{2}: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

For each of the two pairs of hadrons, de ne a relative transverse $m$ om entum by

$$
\begin{gather*}
r_{?}=\frac{z_{2} p_{1} z_{1} p_{2}}{z_{1}+z_{2}} ; \\
r_{?}^{0}=\frac{z_{2}^{0} p_{1}^{0} z_{1}^{0} p_{2}^{0}}{z_{1}^{0}+z_{2}^{0}}: \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

These de nitions are arranged so that the longitudinal part of the hadron $m$ om enta, parallel to the jets, cancels, to leading order in $\mathrm{E} . \mathrm{T}$ he vector $r_{\text {? }}=\mathrm{M}$ is equal to a $=2$ rotation of the spatialpart of the vector in the C ollins, $H$ eppelm ann and Ladinsky paper ${ }_{6} \mathbf{\sigma}^{\prime}$, as explained there. The invariant $m$ asses $M$ and $M{ }^{0}$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the other variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{z_{1}+z_{2}}{P} \overline{z_{1} z_{2}} \overline{r_{T}^{2}+\frac{z_{2} m_{1}^{2}+z_{1} m_{2}^{2}}{z_{1}+z_{2}}} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the independent variables for the tw o hadrons in jet $J$ are $z_{1}, z_{2}$ and $r_{\text {? }}$, and sim ilarly for $J^{0}$.
h. A zim uthal angles To visualize the asym metry, we de ne azim uthal angles and 0 for each of the vectors $r_{\text {? }}, r_{\text {? }}^{0}$. These are azim uthal angles around the jet axis. For each of the jets, de ne $=0$ to be in the plane of the scattering, and for the sake of de niteness to be in the direction of the incom inge (rather than the incom ing $e^{+}$). The azim uths and 0 are to be m easured anticlockw ise when the jet is com ing tow ard the observer. A ltematively, to avoid any ladk of clarity in the de nitions of the angles, note that the com bination on which the cross section depends, cos( ${ }^{0}$ ) is expressed in term $s$ of scalar products by

i. Experim ental plots To check the azim uthal dependence in Eq. ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ), plot the distribution for several bins of the overall polar angle of the event and of the invariant $m$ asses $M$ and $M^{0}$ (or of the transverse $m$ om enta). $P$ lots in $+{ }^{0}$ aswell as a scatter plot in
; ${ }^{0}$ should be also m ade, to look for other possible kinds ofazim uthalcorrelations. To avoid low statistics, one should not have too many bins. But there are im portant dependencies on these variables. So one should explore this.
j. $M$ and $M^{0}$ dependence At least one should split each of $M$ and $M^{0}$ into \sm all" and \large" (say, above and below 1 GeV ). It is quite possible that the analyzing pow er of the fragm entation com es from interference e ects, in which case it would be instructive to perform the analysis at the $m$ ass of the $m$ eson, and just $o$ the resonance peak. It would also be appropriate to tune the cuts to get the maxim um e ect. O nem ay do better to make the bins in the transverse $m$ om entum variables jr jand jro j.
k. Since there is a characteristic dependence of the spin correlation, but not of the higher tw ist Q CD e ects that also produce azim uthal dependence, it would appear useful to split into ranges say 0 to $=4$ and $=4$ to $=2$.

1. P redicted azim uthal dependence $T$ he cross section has the form ${ }^{\circ}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \cos d d^{0}} / 1+\cos ^{2}+2 A_{f b} \cos \quad \hat{o}_{N N} A_{12} A_{12^{0} 0} \sin ^{2} \cos \left(\quad{ }^{0}\right): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $A 12$ and $A_{1^{0} 2^{0}}$ are non-pertunbative. T he other coe cients can allbe deduced
 peak, we have $A_{f b}=0$, and $a_{N N}=1$. At the $Z$ peak,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{N} N}(Z)=\frac{g_{\mathrm{A}}^{2} \quad g_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}}{g_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}+g_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th one avor of quark, the value of the coe cient $\hat{a}_{\mathrm{N} N}$ is $0: 74$ and $0: 35$ for up-type and dow n-type quarks, respectively. T he m easured value will be a weighted average of these.

[^2]$(\mathbb{N}$ ote the reversal of sign from the electrom agnetic case: axial couplings dom inate at the Z .) N orm ally one will ignore the forw ard-badkw ard asym m etry, which produces the cos dependence; it can only be $m$ easured if one separates the avor of the jets.

It is $\mathrm{A}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1^{0} 2^{0}}$ that we w ish to obtain from the analysis. These quantities are the coe cients for the transverse spin dependence of fragm entation. They depend on the fragm entation variables, but to a rst approxim ation one could set them equal to the sam e xed number, which is to be $m$ easured by experim ent. ( $T$ he num ber $w$ ill depend on the experim ental cuts.) N ote that the formula gives a maximum at $=2$ and is zero at
$=0$. M oreover, it is invariant under exchange of the labels of the two jets, so that the choice in the labeling of the jets $w$ ill not $m$ atter.
$m$. Estim ator of the azim uthal correlation coe cient In fact, experim ent willm easure
for various types of hadron pairs and variousbins in the $z$ 's and $r_{\text {? }}$ 's. In the above equation, $\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{u}$; d; s; u;d ors. (W e will assum e that cc and bb events can be anti-tagged.) C can be determ ined by the $m$ axim um likelihood $m$ ethod or by the sim ple recipe [1] $\overline{1}]$

This form ula has the advantage that acceptance corrections in cancelbetw een num erator and denom inator.
n. General system atics There are som e general characteristics to observe:

There will alw ays be a kinem atic zero in the azim uthal asym $m$ etries when either $M$ or $M^{0}$ goes to 2 m , since then it will be im possible to de ne an azim uthal angle. (Equivalently, we have a zero when either $r_{?}=0$ or $r_{?}^{0}=0$.

The sheared jete ect willbe approxim ately proportionalto $1=j$ jo $j w$ hen $j$ ? ? jgets larger than som e hadronic scale| e.g., 1 GeV . (A nd sim ilarly for $\mathrm{jr}^{0}$ ? j.) T his is because the hadrons will then com e from di erent jets, from well separated partons. A s a function of $M$, the sheared jete ect is probably $m$ axim um in the resonance region and decreases at larger M. It is not entirely obvious to us whether the goveming scales are jr? jand $j \mathrm{r}^{0}$ ? jor $M$ and $\mathrm{M}^{0}$.

There will be other higher tw ist e ects that will correlate two jets. These will be of order $M=Q$ or $j r_{\text {? }} \mathcal{F}$. These e ects should be $s m$ all. For instance, the so-called \string (or drag) e ect" "in in is expected to grow w th M M ${ }^{0}=Q^{2}$ for xed $z_{i}$ 's. Besides, it is independent of the orientation of the $e^{+} e$ ! qq scattering plane, therefore can only be a function of $r_{\text {? }} \quad \xi_{\text {s }}$ or +0 . By contrast, the transverse spin azim uthal correlation we are discussing has an opposite $M$ dependence and is a function of ${ }^{0}$. $T$ herefore the two kinds of correlation can be easily disentangled.
o. M onte-C arlo calculations $T$ hese can be used to estim ate the results of the string e ect. A m inimum experim ental program would be to com pare the 4 -particle correlation de ned above w th the prediction of standard $M$ onte- $C$ arlo program $s . N$ one of these have the sheared jet e ect built-in, but they typically do have the string e ect. $W$ hen $M$ and $M 0$ are reduced, say down to the $m$ ass, the dependence of the form given by ', (19) should be larger than what is predicted by the $M$ onte-C arlo.
p. Statistics needed To get an idea of the statistics required for a signi cant signal, let us assum e that the average sheared jet e ect in one particular bin and for one particular choige of hadrons is about $A=0: 3$ per jet and that the average $\vec{a}_{N \mathrm{~N}}$ is about 0.5. Then the in nite statistics value of the estim ator C de ned by Eq. (2"O्O) would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {true }}=\text { h } \hat{A}_{\mathrm{N} N} \text { i } A^{2} \quad 0: 05: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen the num ber of events, $N$, is large, and $C$ is $s m a l l$, the variance of the estim ator $C$ (Eq. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{-1})$ ) satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{D}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m} \text { easured }} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\text {true }}\right)^{2^{\mathrm{E}}}=\frac{2}{N}^{*} \frac{\sin ^{2}}{1+\cos ^{2}}{ }^{2^{+} 1}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{\sin ^{2}}{1+\cos ^{2}}}^{!2^{+}}=\frac{3}{4} \quad 2^{\prime} \quad 0: 36 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that about 2300 events are required in a particular bin, in order to get a one signal. $T$ his exam ple show s that a rather large num ber of events w ill have to be analysed, $m$ any tens of thousands as a m inim um.
IV. ROLE OF FLAVOR

In this section we explore how to choose the avors of the m easured hadrons to optim ize the chances ofm easuring a signi cant e ect.

## A. Theoretical prejudices

Let us denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{fH}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~g} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

the di erential cross section of the sem i-inclusive reaction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}!\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\text { rem ainder of jet } J+\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}+\text { rem ainder of jet } \mathrm{J}^{0} \text { : } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will require the tw o measured hadrons in each jet to be the highest energy hadrons in the jet, i.e., to be the leading hadrons.


FIG.1. Schem atic representation of fragm entation of jets.

O ur analysis will proceed by considering the consequences of a recursive fragm entation $m$ odelof the string type or $F e y n m$ an $F$ ield type. The structure of such a m odel is sym bolized by the quark diagram s in Fig. '1 '1. W e then de ne the \rank" of a generated hadron in such a $m$ odel to be 1 for the endm ost hadrons, 2 for the next-to-the-end hadrons, etc. The rank of a hadron in a jet is correlated with the longitudinal $m$ om entum of the hadron, which $m$ ay be $m$ easured by the fractionalm om entum $z$ of the hadron or by its rapidity relative to the jet axis. Thus the leading hadron, the one w ith the largest $z$, is likely to be the hadron of rank 1, etc. The rank of a particle is a property of the $m$ ethod of generation of the particle in a model Only the property of being leading etc is observable.

Of course, these $m$ odels are not exact. But they do contain an approxim ation to real QCD.M oreover, hadron con gurations that do not result from such a m odelare suppressed.

A large fraction of the hadrons also com es from resonance decay. Such a mechanism, which is not explicitly described by Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ very relevant. H ow ever, it is quite possible that interference between di erent resonating diagram s favors anisotropic decay of the resonances and restores the correlation between rapidity and rank. This at least the lesson from the $D$ ualR esonance $M$ odel.
$M$ otivated by these considerations, we $m$ ake the follow ing assum ption: $T$ hat the $m$ ost favorable choice of the avors of $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ for observing azim uthalcorrelations, is when these particles could be of rank one and two (but not necessarily in the order indicated by the labels 1 and 2), in these \quark cascade" modelss, ${ }_{10}^{1,1} T$ hat is, the azim uthal correlation between $r_{\text {? }} \quad\left(z_{2} p_{1} \quad z_{1} p_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)$ and $r_{\text {? }}^{0}$ is a $m$ axim um for charges and strangenesses

[^3]com patible w ith $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ being of rank 2 in jet J and $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ being of rank 2 in jet $J^{0}$ (in a recursive fragm entation $m$ odel such as the string $m$ odel or the Feynm an $F$ ield model).

For sim plicity, let us consider an idealistic sam ple which contains no oc and ldb events. (O ne m ay approach this case by suitable rejection criteria.) W e detem ine the favorable con gurations in three steps:

W e determ ine the optim um avor states for ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ ) w ith no regard to which particle of each pair is the leading one.

We determ ine which combinations need not have strange quark production in the fragm entation.
$W$ e determ ine which are the preferred con gurations in relative values of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.
G iven our assum ptions, it is easy to see from Fig. '1 that
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ ) must have nonexotic quantum numbers, i.e., charges, strangenesses and third com ponent of isospin betw een +1 and 1,

$$
\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}\right) \mathrm{m} \text { ust have nonexotic quantum num bers. }
$$

The rst condition furtherm ore allows ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}+\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ ) to be resonances. Then the fragm entation am plifudes will readily acquire the phases necessary to get nonzero asym me tries. If we apply these \selection rules" to the measurem ents in Sect. "İII, then that w ill enhance the probabillty that $\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ are all of rank 2, and consequently are best correlated in avor (and, we hope, spin) w ith the parton initiating the jet.

## B. C ase that all particles can be identi ed

Let us rst be very optim istic and assume that the detectors can identify the K 's the
's, the ${ }^{0}$ 's and the $K_{s}$ 's, w ith little contam ination of other particles. For the neutral kaons, we use the notation $\backslash K_{S}$ ", since a norm al detector cannot distinguish a $K^{0}$ from a $K^{0}$, while $K_{\text {L }}$ 's m ostly decay too late to be distinguished.
$T$ hen the possible experim ental cases which $m$ et the conditions for nonexotic charges are shown in tableit. Each box for a set of avors has up to four symbols: and. If there are no $m$ arks in the box, then the com bination of hadrons does not satisfy all the conditions of nonexotic strangeness or isospin. If a box has one or m ore $m$ arks, then it satis es these conditions. T he precise $m$ eaning of the tw o sym bols and of their placem ent w illbe explained later.

N ote that $\mathrm{fH}{ }_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{fA} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D} \mathrm{g}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{fH}{ }_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~g}=$ $\mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag}$, together w ith the interchange of $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{1}{ }_{1}$, etc. D ue to CP invariance, it is also equivalent to $\mathrm{fH}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{fA} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D} \mathrm{g}$, w ith a change of $\mathrm{p}_{1}$ into $\mathrm{p}_{1}$, etc. Combining these two symmetries, $f A ; B ; C ; D g$ is equivalent to $f D ; C ; B ; A g$, with $\mathrm{p}_{1} \$ \quad \mathrm{p}^{0}{ }_{1}$, etc. This last operation corresponds to a sym m etry about the second diagonal of the table. O ther equivalences are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f 6 ; j g \$ f 7 ; j g \quad(j=1 ; \quad 5) ; \quad f i ; 6 g \$ f i ; 7 g \quad(i=1 ; \quad 5) ; \quad f 6 ; 6 g \$ f 7 ; 7 g ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where fi; jg stands for the box at fline i; colum $n$ jg, lines being counted upwards. W e are left w ith 55 non em pty nonequivalent boxes.

A $m$ ore realistic hypothesis is that one cannot distinguish charged pions from charged kaons. Denoting by H either a or a K , we get the sim pler table',İ.

## C.C orrelations between avor and longitudinalm om entum

Here we show some inequalities between cross sections for di erent pairs of leading hadrons. These inequalities are a consequence of recursive fragm entation models. To verify them experim entally would be a check of som e of our assum ptions.

## 1. F lavor com pensation within one jet

Suppose that A + B is not exotic but A $+B$ is. Then we expect that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{fA} ; \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~g}>{ }_{\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{fA} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{D} \text { g; }, ~
\end{array}
$$

since this is an inclusive cross section for production of $f A ; B g$. The hadrons $A$ and $B$ are supposed to be the two hadrons fairly close in rapidity, so that in a fragm entation m odel depicted in Fig. in il, they w ould be nearest neighbors. To get rid of som e acceptance corrections, one $m$ ay rew rite it as

This inequality concems lines or colum ns 4,5,6,7 of table it (line or colum n 4 of table', (int).

## 2. Flavor correlation betwen opposite jets

Suppose that D + C + A + B is not exotic but D + C + B + A is. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { fD ; } \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag}> & \mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag} \text {; or } \\
\mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag} & \mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag}  \tag{30}\\
\hline \mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag} & \mathrm{fD} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{Ag}
\end{array}
$$

This inequality concems the non-em pty boxes of the 66 sub-tablem ade by colum ns $6!11$
 m ade by colum ns 5, 6 and lines 5, 6.)

In fact, these avor com pensations within a jet and between jets are already known. But it is worthw hile to check them again in the analyzed sam ple of events (in an unpolarized fashion, i.e., $w$ ith an integration over azim uths). If they are clearly seen, it w ill provide a


FIG.2. Q uark diagram s for production of $K^{+} K \quad K^{0}$. The left-hand diagram corresponds
 the upper right sub-box. T he later ( ) is less favored than the form er ( ), due to the necessity of creating two ss pairs instead of one in the fragm entation process.
con m ation that our selection rules enhance the contributions of rank 2 sim ultaneously in both pairs ofm esons. If they are barely seen, it indicates that contam ination from higher ranks $m$ ay be too large to observe a signi cant sheared jet asym $m$ etry. In that case, $m$ ay be a $m$ ore re ned analysis, for instance using the inform ation about the three fastest particles of each jet, m ay succeed.

## D. D ependence on $m$ om entum

Each box is subdivided into 4 sub-boxes, according to the signs of $z_{1} \quad z_{2}$ and $z_{1}^{0} \quad z_{2}^{0}$. W e m ake the follow ing assignm ents: R ight upper sub-box: $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}$ are both leading (where \leading" $m$ eans \largest $z$ in the jet"); right lower: $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}^{0}$ are leading; left upper: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}$ are leading; left lower: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ are leading. These sub-boxes represent schem atically the four quadrants of the ( $\left.\log \frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}} ; \log \frac{z_{1}^{0}}{z_{2}^{0}}\right)$ plane.

It is clear from $F$ ig. 1 in that the particle of rst rank of jet $J$ and the particle of rst rank of jet $J^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ake a non-exotic system. Therefore sub-boxes where the two leading particles m ake a non-exotic system are favored ( ) com pared to sub-boxes (em pty) where these particles $m$ ake an exotic system. The symbol means \favored" but in a attenuated way, due to the necessity of creating extra ss pairs relative to other favored con gurations in the sam e box. An example is shown in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} \underset{1}{2}$ for the case of the production of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{H}_{2}^{0} ; \mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=$ $\left(K^{+} K \quad ; \quad K^{0}\right)$.

Asw ith the previous avor correlations, the existence of $z$-dependent favored con guration should be veri ed w ith disregard of the polarization e ects.

## E.Theoretical expectations about the size and the sign of the correlation

In the \string $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0} " \mathrm{~m}$ odel [1] $\left.\overline{1}\right]$, the single m eson sheared jet asym $m$ etry essentially depends on the rank of the $m$ eson: it is positive (in our convention) for odd ranks and negative for even ranks. Furtherm ore, it decreases very fast when the rank increases. T hus, for the 2 m eson sheared jet asym $m$ etry, the fragm entation coe cient A is positive if $H_{1}$ has
rank 1, negative if $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ has rank 1. O f course, ranks cannot be determ ined experim entally, but they are correlated with ordering in $z$, therefore this $m$ odel predicts that A has the sam e sign as $z_{1} \quad z_{2}$. A coordingly, the azim uthal correlation function $A_{12} A_{1020}$ should have the follow ing size and sign:
large positive in a upper right or lower left sub-box endowed with a
large negative in a upper left or low er right sub-box endowed w ith a
for an em pty sub-box, $\mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{11_{2} 0}$ should at rst sight be sm all and $w$ ith no obvious sign ${ }^{1010}$ Thus, in the ( $z_{1} \quad z_{2} ; z_{1}^{0} \quad z_{2}^{0}$ ) quadrant corresponding to an em pty sub-box, the sign of $\mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{12^{0}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{W} \text { ill be roughly determ ined by the nearest } \backslash \text { nonem pty" quadrant. But the }}$ $m$ agnitude of $A w i l l$ decrease fast $\mid$ and its sign $m$ ay change $\mid$ when one goes far from the $z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $z_{1}^{0}=z_{2}^{0}$ lines.
$N$ ote that if the sheared jet asym m etry depends on rank but with a sign opposite to the one predicted by the \string $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{P}_{0} " \mathrm{~m}$ odel, our conclusion about the sign of $\mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{12_{2}}$ rem ains unchanged.

A nother prediction, which is absolute, concems the case where we choose $\left(1 ; 2 ; 1^{0} ; 2^{0}\right)=$ $\left(+;\right.$; ++ ). Then ( $1 ; 2$ ) are the antiparticles of $\left(1^{0} ; 2^{0}\right) A_{12=q}=A_{12=q}$. Hence we get a de nite sign for the correlation, since $A_{12=q} A_{12=q}=A_{12=q}^{2} \quad 0$. Furtherm ore having $H_{1}=H_{2}$ ensures that the correlation is not suppressed by the zero of $A_{12=q}$ at $z_{1}=z_{2}$ when 1 and 2 are identical particles. This case is agged by the large bullets in table', (instead of ).

## V.UNPOLARIZED DEEP-INELASTIC SCATTERING

Som e constraints on the size of the sheared jet e ect can be obtained from unpolarized deep-inelastic scattering, since $m$ easurem ents of the angular distributions probe the angular m om entum of the hadron-pair.

Now, in a helicity basis relative to the jet axis, the azim uthal distributions we are discussing are sensitive to o -diagonal elem ents of this density $m$ atrix, and to those elem ents with unit helicity ip: $h=1$. Hence upper bounds on the $o$-diagonal elem ents can be obtained from the diagonal elem ents. Som $e$ of the diagonal elem ents can be measured in unpolarized sem i-inclusive deep-inelastic scattering.

Suppose one $m$ easures the angular distribution of the pion pairs in their rest fram e, as a function of the polar angle from the jet axis. This is a quantity that can in fact be $m$ easured in jets of any kind (not only at LEP, but in deep inelastic scattering, e.g., EM C and SM C ). The sim plest case is when the pair com es from ! . Then the general form of the distribution is

[^4]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0} \sin ^{2}+f_{1} \cos ^{2} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$ are the fractions of the $m$ esons that are produced in helicity 0 and helicity
1 states.
$F$ irst observe that a deviation from this form would be indicative of interference e ects. Interference e ects provide one m echanism to obtain a sheared jet e ect
$M$ ore generally, recall that the helicity density m atrix of a transversely polarized quark is a linear combination of a unit m atrix and som eo-diagonalterm swhich necessarily have
$h=1$. R otational invariance about the jet axis then im plies that the density $m$ atrix for the dihadron in the nal state of a quark jet has the form of a diagonalm atrix plus some $\mathrm{h}=1$ term s . The diagonal part is identical to when the quark is unpolarized. D iagonal term swith opposite helicity are equal

C onsider the case of the . P ositivity of the eigenvalues of the density $m$ atrix im plies that a prerequisite for the 0 -diagonal helicity ip $h=1$ term $s$, is that the density $m$ atrix have tem s of both helicity 0 and 1 .

For exam ple, suppose it happened that the angular distribution of the tw o pions were close to $\sin ^{2}$ or to $\cos ^{2}$. Then the would be close to pure helicity 0 or to 1 (respectively). In that case the sheared jet e ect would be sm all in

Som e data on this sub ject exist [1] $1 \underline{1}]$, but not of a su cient precision.

## V I. C O N C LU S IO N S

The existence of spin-dependence in fragm entation would provide a powerfil tool for quark polarim etry, and would have a substantial im pact on the utilization experim ents on
 transverse spin, where there is otherw ise a paucity of tw ist-2 observables.

Therefore we believe it is im portant to try and measure the analyzing power of jets for quark transverse spin. In this paper we have explained in detail how to $m$ easure this quantity. It should be possible to do the m easurem ents at current $e^{+} e$ colliders (SLC ,LEP, TRISTAN).

The experim ental quantity to be analyzed is a 4-hadron correlation. To enhance the e ect, we have proposed a criteria for the selection of the four hadrons in avor and $\mathrm{mo-}$ $m$ entum .
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TABLE I. Ratings of charge combinations. In each square, a mark ( or ) in one of the four positions $m$ eans that there is a quark diagram in which the corresponding hadrons are of rank 1, and the other tw o hadrons are of rank 2. For exam ple, a $m$ ark in the upper right $m$ eans that there is a quark diagram where $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are of ranks 1 and 2, respectively, in one jet, while $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{0}$ are of ranks 1 and 2, respectively, in the other jet. A $m$ eans that the hadrons require production of an extra ss quark pair in the fragm entation com pared to other kinem atic com binations in the sam e square.

|  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{S}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {S }}$ | 0 | 0 | H | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{H}^{+} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} \\ & \mathrm{H}^{+} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} K_{S} \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | K S 0 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}} \\ & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{S}} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{S}}$ | 0 | 0 | H | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |

TABLE II. Ratings of charge combinations, ignoring $K$ di erence.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See also work by D onoghue，D alitz，G oldstein and M arshall

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ T he sym $m$ etry of the cross section form ulae under exchange of the jets im plies that exchanging $J$ and $\mathrm{J}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ illnot a ect them easurem ents, particularly if $w$ e ignore the forw ard-badkw ard asym $m$ etry.
    ${ }^{5}$ T here are other possibilities for choosing the labels of the hadrons, but this seem $s$ the sim plest. $N$ ote that the angular correlation is proportionalto Eq. ( $(\overline{1})$. A though it is invariant under exchange of the two jets, it changes sign when the two hadrons in a pair are exchanged. So a de nite choice of $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, etc, is necessary. A nother obvious possibility (am ong others) would be to choose hadron 1 to be a ${ }^{+}$and 2 to be a . The m easured spin correlation $w$ ill depend on the $m$ ethod of choosing the hadrons, since the analyzing power depends on the $m$ om enta and avors of the hadrons. W e w ill explore this issue further in Sect.iNi.:
    ${ }^{6}$ If a restriction on the avors of the hadrons is im posed, as in footnote ' ${ }^{2}$ convenient not to im pose $z_{1}>z_{2}$. A ltematively, the cut in $z_{1}=z_{2}$ should be $m$ ore involved.

[^2]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~T}$ his equation is w ritten in the approxim ation that we neglect the sm allo diagonal term s in the spin dependence, Eq. ( $\overline{6} \bar{\prime})$. If we wanted to be m ore exact, there would be a sm all angle to be added to 0 .

[^3]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~W}$ e recall that $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are de ned to the kinem atically leading and next-to-leading particles in jet $J$ (here also, not necessarily in the order indicated by the labels 1 and 2); sim ilarly for the prim ed hadrons

[^4]:    ${ }^{9} \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, there w illlbe a contam ination from the sub-boxes which are not em pty, because the lines $z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $z_{1}^{0}=z_{2}^{0}$ are not sharp boundaries betw een regions where the hadrons have particular ranks.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that in the originalversion of $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[-\overline{0}}\end{array}\right]$, 这 w as incorrectly stated that the sheared jet e ect cannot exist at the peak in the absence of -continuum interference.

