⁰ ! m ixing in chiral perturbation theory

R es U rech

Institut fur Theoretische Teilchenphysik Universitat Karlsruhe D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

em ail: ru@ ttpux2 physik uni-karlsruhe.de

A bstract

In order to calculate the 0 ! mixing we extend the chiral couplings of the low-lying vector mesons in chiral perturbation theory to a lagrangian that contains two vector elds. We determ ine the p² dependence of the two-point function and recover an earlier result for the on-shell expression. We discuss the o-shell behaviour of the mixing.

1 Introduction

Chiral perturbation theory (CHPT) [1, 2, 3] is an elective low energy theory that describes ist of all the interactions of the pseudoscalar meson octet. They are the Goldstone bosons of the spontaneously broken chiral symmetry in QCD with three light avours. The chiral lagrangian is expanded perturbatively in the three small quark masses and in the derivatives of the elds. At lowest order p^2 the elective lagrangian is given by the non-linear -m odel coupled to external elds. In [2] the authors include the meson in order to estimate the next to leading order coupling constants in CHPT via resonance exchange, in [4] this work is extended to general meson exchange in chiral SU (3). The couplings of the resonances to the pseudoscalarm esons are considered at low est order in the chiral counting and linear in the resonance elds.

In the vector m eson sector, (+; 0;) represents a (nearly) degenerated isospin triplet, whereas ! is an isospin singlet. However, isospin is not an exact symmetry, it is broken by the quark m ass di erence m_u m_d and the electrom agnetic interaction and therefore 0 and ! m ix. We calculate this m ixing in the fram ework of CHPT.

Here we determ ine the lowest order couplings of the pseudoscalar mesons to the vector mesons quadratic in the vector elds. We restrict ourselves to the couplings that we need for 0 ! mixing and represent the vector elds in the antisymmetric tensor notation [2, 4]. It has been proven [5] that this representation is equivalent to the vector eld formulation, to the description that involves massive Y ang-M ills elds [6] and to the model with hidden gauge vector bosons [7].

We give the two-point function for ⁰ ! mixing and nd that the on-shell expression corresponds to the result given by G asser and Leutwyler [8]. Numerically it can be estimated from the decay ! ! ⁰ ! ⁺ . The mixing is dominated by the strong interaction, determined at this order by the quark mass ratio $R = (m_s \ m) = (m_d \ m_u)$ with $m = (m_u + m_d) = 2$. The branching ratio B (! ! ⁺) has changed since 1982 from 1.4 to 2.2%, therefore we give an update of the value of R.

The vector m esons in the tensor representation can be related to the usual vector form alism by the de nition (see section 2)

$$V = \frac{1}{M} \Theta V ; \qquad (1)$$

where M denotes the mass of the particle. W ith this de nition, the couplings of the vector mesons are soft: for a vanishing fourmomentum the vector resonances decouple. This implies that the 0 ! mixing amplitude has a zero at $p^{2} = 0$. O'C onnellet al. [9] have shown that a broad class of models contains this feature. However, the decoupling which occurs in two-point functions and in hadronic form factors [10] does not a priori show up in matrix elements without external vector mesons, e.g. nucleon-nucleon scattering with resonance exchange. This point will be discussed in section 4.

In section 2 we present the lagrangian of CHPT at leading order and give the properties of the vector m esons in the antisymmetric tensor notation. In section 3 we determ ine the couplings quadratic in the resonance elds and pin down the coupling constants by using large N_c arguments [11], the Zweig rule [12], and the quark model counting for the vector meson masses at rst order in the quark mass expansion [8]. In section 4 we calculate the two-point function for ⁰ ! mixing and compare our numerical result for the on-shell expression with the calculations found in the literature. We evaluate the quark mass ratio R from the mixing amplitude and discuss the o -shell behaviour of the two-point function and its consequence on

nucleon-nucleon scattering involving 0 ! exchange. For a introduction to the various topics we refer the reader to recent reviews of CHPT [13] and 0 ! m ixing [14, 15].

2 Field properties and dynam ics

The chiral lagrangian can be expanded in derivatives of the G oldstone elds and in the m assess of the three light quarks. One derivative counts as a quantity of O (p), the m assess are of order O (p^2) and the elds them selves are O (p^0). The elds are lagrangian starts at O (p^2), denoted by L_2 . It is the non-linear -m odel lagrangian coupled to external elds, respects chiral symmetry SU (3)_R SU (3)_L and is invariant under P and C transform ations [2, 3],

$$L_{2} = \frac{1}{4} F_{0}^{2} h d U^{y} d U + U^{y} + {}^{y} U i:$$
 (2)

The brackets h i denote the trace in avour space, U is a unitary 3 3 m atrix and incorporates the elds of the eight pseudoscalar m esons,

d U is a covariant derivative incorporating the external vector and axialvector currents v and a , respectively,

$$dU = QU i(v + a)U + iU(v a);$$
 (4)

disregarding singlet (axial) vector currents, we put trv = tra = 0. represents the coupling of the m exons to the scalar and pseudoscalar currents s and p, respectively, and s incorporates the m ass m atrix

$$= 2B_{0}(s + ip);$$

$$m_{u}$$

$$s = M + \overset{B}{@} = m_{d} \overset{C}{A} +$$

$$m_{s}$$
(5)

 F_0 is the pion decay constant in the chiral limit (M = 0), $F = F_0 [1 + 0 (m_q)]$ and B_0 is related to the quark condensate h0juuj0i = $F_0^2 B_0 [1 + 0 (m_q)]$. The transform ation properties under SU $(3)_R$ SU $(3)_L$ are

$$U ! g_{R} U g_{L}^{Y}$$

$$v + a ! g_{R} (v + a) g_{R}^{Y} + i g_{R} (e g_{R}^{Y})$$

$$v a ! g_{L} (v a) g_{L}^{Y} + i g_{L} (e g_{L}^{Y})$$

$$s + i p ! g_{R} (s + i p) g_{L}^{Y}$$

$$g_{R, i L} 2 SU (3)_{R, L} :$$
(6)

To be consistent in the chiral counting, v and a count as O (p), s and p are of order O (p^2). For the representation of the vector m esons we follow [2, 4, 5], using the antisymmetric tensor notation V . The Lagrangian for free particles is given by

$$L_{free}^{V} = \frac{1}{2} \Theta V \Theta V + \frac{1}{4} M^{2} V V ; \qquad (7)$$

from where we derive the equation of motion,

 $0 0 V 0 0 V + M^2 V = 0;$ (8)

and the free propagator

$$h0 \text{JTV} (x) \text{V} (y) \text{Di} = i^{Z} \frac{d^{4}k}{(2)^{4}} e^{ik(x y)} ;$$

$$= \frac{1}{M^{2}} G + \frac{1}{M^{2} k^{2}} P \qquad (9)$$

$$G = g g g g g$$

$$P = g k k g k k g k k + g k k ;$$

This corresponds to the normalization $h0 \ j y \ j y \ (p) \ i = i \ (p \ p \)=M$, where represents the polarization vector. With the denition

$$V = \frac{1}{M} \Theta V \tag{10}$$

we obtain from (8) the Proca equation, $Q (Q V Q V) + M^2 V = 0$, and the two-point function

h0 fr V (x) V (y) j0 i = i^Z
$$\frac{d^4k}{(2)^4}e^{ik(x y)}$$
 g $\frac{k k}{M^2} \frac{1}{M^2 k^2}$: (11)

The normalization is given by h0 y y (p)i =.

C

The elds V carry non-linear realizations of SU (3), the transform ation under the chiral group G = SU (3)_R SU (3)_L is [4]

V

$$\stackrel{q}{!}$$
 h(')V
 h^y(')
 octet;

 $\stackrel{q}{!}$
 $\stackrel{q}{!}$
 $\stackrel{l}{!}$
 singlet:
 (12)

The non-linear realization h(') is de ned by determining the action of G on an element u(') of the coset space $[SU(3)_R SU(3)_L] = SU(3)_V$ [16]

$$u(') \stackrel{G}{!} g_{R} u(') h^{Y}(') = h(') u(') g_{L}^{Y};$$
(13)

where ' represents the Goldstone bosons and U = u^2 ('). For the octet part, a covariant derivative is de ned as

$$r V = Q V + [;V]$$
 (14)

with

$$= \frac{1}{2}^{n} u^{y} [0 \quad i(v + a)]u + u [0 \quad i(v \quad a)]u^{y}$$
(15)

and V in the matrix notation

~

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & p\frac{1}{2} & 0 & + & p\frac{1}{6}!_8 & + & K & + & 1 \\ B & p\frac{1}{2} & 0 & + & p\frac{1}{6}!_8 & K & 0 & C \\ C & p\frac{1}{2} & 0 & + & p\frac{1}{6}!_8 & K & 0 & C \\ K & K & 0 & p\frac{2}{6}!_8 & K & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

The covariant derivative is transformed like the eld itself

$$r V \ ! h(')r V h^{y}('):$$
 (17)

Denoting the octet (singlet) m ass in the chiral lim it by M $_{\rm V}~$ (M $_{!1}), the kinetic part of the lagrangian takes the form$

$$L_{kin}^{V} = \frac{1}{2}hr V r V \frac{1}{2}M_{V}^{2}V V i$$

$$\frac{1}{2}Q !_{1} Q !_{1} + \frac{1}{4}M_{!_{1}}^{2}!_{1} !_{1} : \qquad (18)$$

The interaction of the pseudoscalar mesons with one vector meson starts at order 0 (p^2). The interaction lagrangian contains the octet elds only, there is no coupling to the singlet at this order [4],

$$L_{2}^{V} = \frac{F_{V}}{2}hV \quad f_{+} \quad i + \frac{iG_{V}}{2}hV \quad [u ; u]i;$$
(19)

where

$$f_{+} = uF_{L} u^{y} + u^{y}F_{R} u$$

$$F_{R,L} = 0 (v a) 0 (v a) i[v a; v a]$$
(20)
$$u = iu^{y}d Uu^{y} = u^{y} :$$

W e refer to [4] for a complete list of all the term s that can couple to the resonances and their properties under P and C transform ations. F_V and G_V are real coupling constants that are not restricted by chiral symmetry [5]. For later use we include the electrom agnetic interactions in L_2^V by adding the photon eld A to the vector current,

where Q is the charge matrix of the three light quarks, $Q = e \operatorname{diag}(2=3; 1=3; 1=3)$. The corresponding kinetic part of the photons reads

$$L_{kin} = \frac{1}{4}F F \frac{1}{2} (0 A)^2$$
 (22)

with F = Q A Q A and the gauge xing parameter chosen to be = 1.

3 Interaction quadratic in the vector m esons

In this section we construct the lagrangian with two vector elds coupled to the pseudoscalar m esons. We restrict ourselves to the part that is relevant for 0 ! m ixing at low est order,

$$L_{2}^{i} = v_{8}hV V_{+}i + v_{8}hV V_{i}h_{+}i + v_{18}!_{1}hV_{+}i + v_{1}!_{1}l_{1}h_{+}i; \qquad (23)$$

where $_{+} = u^{y} u^{y} + u^{y}u$. In order to pin down the coupling constants in $L_{2}^{!}$ we make the following assumptions.

(i) In the large N_C lim it [11] the product of two traces is suppressed by at least one power of N_C. Therefore we will put $v_8 = 0$. Furthermore, for N_C ! 1 the octet and singlet m esons are degenerated and thus M_V = M_{!1}.

(ii) The Zweig rule [12] in plies that the !-m eson is free of ss, the ! m ixing is ideal,

$$j i = \cos_{v} j!_{8} i \sin_{v} j!_{1} i;$$

 $j! i = \sin_{v} j!_{8} i + \cos_{v} j!_{1} i$
(24)

with tan v = 1 = 2. On the same footing we assume that there is no ⁰ mixing in the lagrangian $L_2^{!}$.

(iii) For the masses of the vector mesons at order (p^2) we invoke the quark counting rule [8]. We keep the eigenstates of the kinetic lagrangian L_{kin}^V , there are no rst

order mass shifts from 0 ! mixing. In addition we work (for the masses only) in the isospin limit $\hat{m} = m_{u} = m_{d}$,

$$M = M_{!} = M_{v} + 2m;$$

$$M_{K} = M_{v} + m + m_{s};$$

$$M = M_{v} + 2m_{s};$$
(25)

The nearly avour independent di erences [17]

$$M^{2} M^{2} = 0.58 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$
; $M_{K}^{2} M_{K}^{2} = 0.55 \text{ GeV}^{2}$; (26)

and the lowest order mass form ulae for the Goldstone bosons

$$M^{2} = 2B_{0}m^{2}; \qquad M_{K}^{2} = B_{0}m^{2} + m_{s});$$
 (27)

lead to the relation M $_{\rm V}$ $^{\prime}\,$ B $_0{=}2$. Taking all the assumptions into account, we arrive at

$$v_8 = \frac{1}{8};$$
 $v_8 = 0;$ $v_{18} = \frac{1}{4^{\frac{p}{3}}};$ $v_1 = \frac{1}{24}:$ (28)

The lagrangian takes the simple form (om itting terms that are not relevant for 0 ! mixing)

where we have replaced M $_V$ by M $\,$ in the interaction term , but kept in the mass term the di erent notation for M $_1$ and M $\,$.

4 Mixing am plitude

The contributions to lowest order 0 ! mixing are the contact term in (29) and the one-photon exchange from the lagrangian L_{2}^{V} linear in the vector elds in (19). The Fourier transform of the two-point function in the tensor notation has the form

$$i d^{4}xe^{ipx}h0jT = 0 \quad (x)! \quad (0)e^{i^{R}d^{4}yfL_{2}^{v}+L_{2}^{!}}gjpi = \frac{2M (m_{u} - m_{d})}{M^{2}M_{1}^{2}} \left(G + \frac{\pi}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{M^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{e^{2}F_{v}^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{e^{2}F_{v}^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{e^{2}F_{v}^{2}}{M^{2} - p^{2}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{e^{2}F_{v}^{2$$

For the calculation of the on-shellam plitude, we consider the decay $! ! ^{0} ! ^{+} W = nd$

$$(! ! +) = \frac{2 (0! +)}{\frac{1}{2} (M_{1}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} + M_{1}^{2} + M_{1}^{2})^{2}},$$

$$(! ! +) = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{4} (M_{1}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} + M_{1}^{2})^{2}},$$

$$(31)$$

where we introduced the widths and ! in the propagator and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & ! & + \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{48} \frac{G_{V}^{2} M^{3}}{F_{0}^{4}} 1 \frac{4M^{2}}{M^{2}} ;$$

 $! = 2M (m_{u} - m_{d}) + \frac{1}{3}e^{2}F_{V}^{2};$ (32)

The amplitude $\frac{1}{2}$ has already been determ ined in [8], where the strong interaction part was derived in a quantum mechanical approach,

$$_{!} = 2M \qquad \frac{m_{d} m_{u}}{m_{s} m} (M_{K} M_{o}) + e^{2} \frac{F_{c} F_{!}}{2M}^{"}$$
 (33)

If we identify $F = F_V$, $F_! = \frac{1}{3}F_V$ and insert the masses for the vector mesons from (25) we get the same expression for $_!$. With the experimental decay widths [17] we not the value

$$_{!} = (3.91 \ 0.30) \ 10^{3} \text{ GeV}^{2}$$
: (34)

The sign is determined from the relative phase of the ! and amplitudes in $e^{\dagger}e$! ⁺ near M [18]. The error in (34) is entirely due to the uncertainty in the decay width (!! ⁺). If we neglect the mass di erence (M · M) and the width · in (31), the mixing amplitude decreases to · = 4.08 10 ³ G eV². Our result in (34) is in agreement with the values quoted in the literature, with the exception of the recent result of Friedrich and Reinhardt [21],

^h 10 ³ G eV ²	references	
4 : 52 0 : 60	Coon and Barrett [19]	
$3:74 0:30 \ge 423 0:68 \\ 3:67 0:30 \stackrel{?}{>}$	Bernicha et al. [20]	
3:97 0:20	0 'Connellet al. [15]	
4 : 85	Friedrich and Reinhardt [21]	

(35)

From the expression in (33), G asser and Leutwyler determ ined the quark m ass ratio $R = (m_s \ m) = (m_d \ m_u)$ to $R = 44 \ 3$ [8]. The branching ratio B (! ! +) changed in the meantime from 1.4 to 2.2%, motivation enough to give an update of R. The value for F can be obtained from the decay ⁰ ! e⁺e,

$$(^{0}! e^{+}e) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{e^{4}F^{2}}{M};$$

 $F = 152 4 M eV;$ (36)

This leads to the estimate

$$R = 40.7 \quad 3.0;$$
 (37)

where we assumed again that $F = F_1 = 3$, as it turns out from the lagrangian L_2^V in (19). Experimentally, this ratio is given by [17]

$$\frac{F}{F_{!}} = \frac{M}{M_{!}} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & ! & e^{+}e \end{array} \right)^{\#_{1=2}} = 3:31 \quad 0:15;$$
(38)

which changes the meanvalue in (37) to R = 41.3. At this stage, there is poor inform ation about the uncertainties in the contribution from the strong interaction part. We can ask whether or not the assumption in (25) is still a good approxim ation beyond leading order in the quark mass expansion. For comparison we consider the kaon and nucleon masses. One nds at the one loop level [3, 22, 23]

$$\frac{\partial M_{K}}{\partial m} = \frac{\partial M_{K}}{\partial m_{s}} = \frac{M_{K}}{2(m + m_{s})} (1 - 0.1);$$

$$m \frac{\partial M_{N}}{\partial m} = \sum_{N} = \frac{\operatorname{tree}}{N} \frac{0}{1 + \frac{6.8 \,\mathrm{M} \,\mathrm{eV} + 0.9 - 10^{2} \,\mathrm{m}}{N}^{4}}{\operatorname{tree}} (39)$$

The second entry in the bracket show s the correction from the one-loop contribution. For $_{\rm N}$ we used a linear approximation (in m) of the result given in [23]. m denotes the mass of the nucleon in the chiral limit and $_{\rm N}^{\rm tree} = (92.7 \,{\rm M}\,{\rm eV}-7.4 - 10^{-2} \,{\rm m})$. In contrast to the kaon mass, the one-loop contribution to the term is not small, it is between 32% (m = 700 M eV) and 57% (900 M eV). We conclude that for the vector m esons the mass formula (25) could underestimate the valence quark content at next to leading order by an overall factor 1.5. The meanvalue of the quark mass ratio would change to R = 27. We leave this guess as it stands, we only take the electrom agnetic deviations from (38) into account, but keep in m ind that there is a strong dependence on the assumption in (25). We correct the value for R by increasing the error bar and our estimate nally reads

$$R = 41 \quad 4:$$
 (40)

For the discussion of the o -shell m ixing we consider again the two-point function in (30). With the de nition in (10) we arrive at

$$i \quad d^{4}x e^{ipx}h0 JT \quad {}^{0}(x) ! \quad (0) e^{i \quad d^{4}y fL_{2}^{v} + L_{2}^{l}} g Ji = g \quad \frac{p \ p}{p^{2}} \quad \frac{(p^{2})}{(M^{2} \ p^{2})(M^{2} \ p^{2})};$$

$$(p^{2}) = \frac{p^{2}}{M M_{1}} \quad !; \qquad (41)$$

where $_{!}$ is given in (32). (p²) contains a zero at p² = 0 and is positive in the spacelike region. It has been shown by 0'C onnell et al. [9] that in a wide range of models the ⁰ ! mixing amplitude must vanish at p² = 0. Still we cannot conclude that matrix elements involving ⁰ and ! as intermediate states show the same decoupling. Consider nucleon-nucleon scattering, where the baryons are again described by a 3 3 matrix that transforms under SU (3)_R SU (3)_L in the same way as the meson resonances [24],

B
$$! h(')Bh^{y}('):$$
 (42)

Chiral symmetry and the invariance under P and C transformations lead to the interaction lagrangian L_0^{BBV} at lowest order with two baryons B; B = B^{y 0} and one vector meson,

$$L_0^{BBV} = a_1 \quad hB fV ; Bgi + a_2 !_1 \quad hBBi;$$
(43)

where we have the coupling constants $a_1; a_2$ undeterm ined, since we are interested in the qualitative picture only and neglect the mass di erence (M M₁) and the electrom agnetic contribution in the following. The amplitude of nucleon-nucleon scattering with resonance exchange and ⁰ ! mixing from (30) has the form (see [24] for conventions)

$$T_{NN}^{!}(t) = a_{NN} + \frac{t(2M^{2} t)^{2}}{(M^{2} t)^{2}} = a_{NN} \frac{(M^{2} t)^{2} + M^{4}}{(M^{2} t)^{2}};$$
 (44)

with $a_{N N}$ a avour dependent constant (including $_{!}$) and t the momentum transfer. We see a t dependence in the numerator which reaches its minimum at t = M² and is positive everywhere, therefore it does not change the sign in the spacelike region. Though them ixing amplitude (p²) in (41) is linear in them omentum squared, the numerator in the scattering amplitude (44) is not. However, the change in the numerator moving from t = M² to t < 0 is not negligible, a result which was rst claim ed by G oldmann et al. [25].

A cknow ledgem ents

I thank A Bram on, J.G asser and H.G. enz for helpful discussions, and U.B. urgi for providing m e with num erical results of his diplom a thesis.

References

- [1] S.W. einberg, Physica 96A (1979) 327
- [2] J.G. asser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (NY) 158 (1984) 142
- [3] J.G. asser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 250 (1985) 465
- [4] G Ecker, J.G asser, A Pich and E de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 311
- [5] G Ecker, J.G asser, H Leutwyler, A Pich and E de Rafael, Phys. Lett. B 223 (1989) 425
- [6] U.-G. Meiner, Phys. Rep. 161 (1988) 213 and references therein
- [7] M Bando, T Kugo and K Yam awaki, Phys. Rep. 164 (1988) 217 and references therein
- [8] J.G. asser and H. Leutwyler, Phys. Rep. 87 (1982) 77
- [9] H B O 'Connell, B C Pearce, A W .Thom as and A G W illiam s, Phys. Lett. B 336 (1994) 1
- [10] D.G. Caldiand H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 809
- [11] G .'t Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 72 (1974) 461; E W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 160 (1979) 57
- [12] S.O. kubo, Phys. Lett. 5 (1963) 165;
 G. Zweig, Fractionally charged particles and SU (6), in Symmetries in Elementary Particle Physics, edited by A.Z. ichichi (A cadem ic Press, New York, 1965);
 J.Lizuka, Prog. Theo. Phys. Suppl. 37 & 38 (1966) 21
- [13] H Leutwyler, Nonperturbative M ethods, R apporteur talk given at the XXVI Int. Conf. on H igh Energy Physics, D allas, 1992, edited by JR Sanford, A IP Conf. Proc. No. 272 (A IP, New York, 1993) p.185; Ann. Phys. (NY) 235 (1994) 165; U.G. M ei ner, Rep. Prog. Phys. 56 (1993) 903; A Pich, Introduction to Chiral Perturbation Theory, Lectures given at the
 - V M exican School of Particles and Fields, Guanajuato (M exico), 1992, A P

Conf. Proc. No. 317 (A IP, New York, 1994), p.95; Chiral Perturbation Theory, preprint FTUV/95-4, IFIC/95-4 (hep-ph/9502366), to appear in Rep. Prog. Phys.;

G Ecker, Czech. J. Phys 44 (1994) 405; Chiral Perturbation Theory, preprint UW ThPh-1994-49 (hep-ph/9501357), to appear in Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.35; JB inens, G Ecker, J.G asser, Chiral Perturbation Theory (hep-ph/9411232), to appear in the second edition of the DA NE Physics Handbook, edited by L M aiani, G Pancheri and N Paver

- [14] G A M iller, B M K Nefkens and ISlaus, Phys. Rep. 194 (1990) 1
- [15] H B O 'C onnell, B C Pearce, A W .Thom as and A G W illiam s, Rho-om ega m ixing, vector m eson dom inance and the pion form -factor, preprint ADP-95-1/T168 (hep-ph/9501251)
- S.Colem an, J.W ess and B.Zum ino, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2239;
 C.G.Callan, S.Colem an, J.W ess and B.Zum ino, Phys. Rev. 177 (1969) 2247
- [17] Particle Data Group, LM ontanet et al, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 1173
- [18] SA Coon and M D Scadron, Nucl. Phys. A 287 (1977) 381
- [19] SA Coon and R C Barrett, Phys. Rev. C 36 (1987) 2189
- [20] A Bernicha, G Lopez Castro and J Pestieau, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 4454
- [21] R Friedrich and H Reinhardt, !-M ixing and the P ion E lectrom agnetic Form Factor in the Nam bu-Jona-Lasin io M odel, preprint UN IT U-THEP-1/1995 (hepph/9501333)
- [22] P.G erber and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. B 321 (1989) 387
- [23] U Burgi, M asses of the Baryon Octet up to one Loop in Chiral Perturbation Theory, D iplom a thesis (University of Berne, 1993)
- [24] A K rause, Helv. Phys. Acta 63 (1990) 3
- [25] T.Goldman, J.A.Henderson and A.W. Thomas, Few Body Systems 12 (1992) 123