

April 1995

SPht Saclay T 95/043

UM -TH -95-12

hep-ph/xs88

On the Universality of the Leading, $1=Q$ Power Corrections in QCD

R. Akhoury¹

CEA, Service de Physique Theorique, CE-Saclay
F-91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette, Cedex, France

V. I. Zakharov

Randall Laboratory of Physics
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Abstract

We discuss $1=Q$ corrections to hard processes in QCD where Q is a large mass parameter like the total energy in e^+e^- annihilation. The main problem we address ourselves to is whether these corrections to different processes (concentrating for definiteness on the Thrust and the Drell-Yan cross section) can be related to each other in a reliable way so that the phenomenology of the $1=Q$ corrections can be developed. We derive first the relation valid to lowest order using both the renormalon and finite-gauge-boson mass techniques to check its independence on the infrared cut-off procedure. We then argue that the $1=Q$ corrections are due to soft gluons which factorize into a universal factor such that the lowest order relations are preserved in higher orders.

¹On Sabbatical leave from the University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

1. Power like corrections, ($\sim Q^{-n}$), where Q is a large mass scale provide important insight into non-perturbative dynamics in QCD. The best known example are the QCD sum rules [1] where the leading power corrections are proportional to the gluonic condensate, $\langle G^2 \rangle \sim Q^{-4}$. The knowledge of the power corrections in that case is based on the OPE. Since OPE is essentially a Euclidean construction the limitation of this approach is that it is applicable only for physical quantities that can be obtained by analytical continuation from Euclidean space, such as the total cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons. The power like corrections can also be studied [2] by means of infrared renormalons since the latter are particular graphs sensitive to large distances. Thus, all the general properties are observed and the nonperturbative contribution results in an overall factor which can be large numerically.

The advantage of the renormalon technique is that it can be applied to any infrared safe quantity and it is by using this technique and its variations that $1/Q$ corrections were discovered recently [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. One of the modifications of the renormalon technique is introduction of finite gluon mass (m_g) in the evaluation of the lowest order radiative corrections [4, 9, 7]. In this case one looks for $\sim Q^{-n}$ corrections and, in particular, in reference [7] an attempt was made to relate the $1/Q$ corrections to different event shape variables.

2. Since $1/Q$ corrections are the leading ones in most cases, their theory is of immediate interest. Moreover, the very fact of existence of such corrections follows from simple dimensional estimates of the infrared contribution to one or another inclusive quantity by means of perturbative graphs. The non-perturbative effects bring then an unknown overall factor. To develop a phenomenology of $1/Q$ corrections one needs however to derive relations between these corrections to different processes in a reliable way.

In the absence of the OPE there are apparent difficulties in deriving such relations. In particular in the method of introducing a non-zero m_g it is not at all clear how to go beyond the leading order because of the non-Abelian nature of the interaction of the gluons. Similarly, in the renormalon technique, (here we follow the approach of [8]) higher order corrections are unsuppressed because the coupling constant appears to be normalized at a low scale (see later discussion). Also, it is far from being obvious that relations between the $1/Q$ corrections obtained in one scheme of introducing an infrared cutoff will be valid universally.

These are the questions to which we address ourselves in this paper. For sake of def-

in iteness we concentrate first on a relation between $1=Q$ corrections to Thrust and to the Drell-Yan process. It is straightforward to derive such a relation in lowest order in α_s and we check its validity by deriving it in the two schemes mentioned above. Next we discuss the origin of the $1=Q$ corrections and argue for their universality. The key observation is that the same kinematical region of soft gluons is responsible for $1=Q$ corrections in Thrust and the Drell-Yan cross section. The soft part factorizes and the region of the large coupling does not affect the relation between the quantities. It is natural, then, to assume then the non-perturbative corrections due to the large distances factorize as well. We conclude with discussing the other event shape variables.

3. We proceed now to the computation of the $1=Q$ correction, to the lowest nontrivial order, to the Thrust variable. This quantity is defined as:

$$T = \max_n \frac{\sum_i \dot{p}_{in}^2}{\sum_i \dot{p}_i^2} \quad (1)$$

where, p_{in} is the component of i -th particle momentum, p_i in the reference direction n which is chosen to maximize the right hand side of above. Thus, for a two jet event, $T = 1$ and for a completely symmetric 3-jet event $T = 2/3$.

For $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, $T = 1$ and in the first order, i.e. for $q\bar{q}g$ final state

$$T = \max(x_1; x_2; x_3) \quad (2)$$

with $x_i = 2E_i/Q$ in the CM frame where the momenta of $q; \bar{q}$ and g respectively are denoted by $p_1; p_2; p_3$ and Q is the total CM energy. In the invariant basis

$$Q^2 x_i = Q^2 - (Q - p_i)^2: \quad (3)$$

For massless quarks and gluons one finds the range of the variables as:

$$0 \leq x_2 \leq 1; 1 - x_2 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \text{ and } x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2: \quad (4)$$

For a nonzero gluon mass, the above range is modified to

$$0 \leq x_2 \leq 1 - \frac{m_g}{Q}; 1 - \frac{m_g}{Q} \leq x_1 \leq \frac{1 - x_2 - m_g}{1 - x_2}: \quad (5)$$

The cross section for real emission of qqq can be calculated in a straightforward manner in terms of σ_0 , the Born cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$. For $\epsilon = 0$, it is:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma}{dx_1 dx_2} = \frac{s}{2} C_F \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}; \quad (6)$$

The calculation of the $1=Q$ correction to $h_1(T)$ for nonzero ϵ has been reported in ref [7], so we merely point out some salient features. From (6) one first computes the Thrust distribution $1= \sigma_0 d\sigma/dT$ by substituting the phase space region according to $x_1; x_2; x_3$ being the largest fraction and finding $1= \sigma_0 d\sigma/dT$ in each region. Adding these, gives the total thrust distribution from which $h_1(T)$ is easily obtained. The result is [7]:

$$h_1(T)_{1=Q} = 4C_F \frac{s}{Q}; \quad (7)$$

We wish to emphasize that the contribution to the $1=Q$ term only comes from $\max(x_1; x_2)$ (i.e. the region of energetic quarks + antiquark only) and, in these regions, only from terms linear in ϵ coming from the phase space constraints (5). It is therefore seen to be soft-gluon dominated, i.e. coming from gluon energies of order ϵ .

At this point, to set the stage for subsequent developments, it is appropriate to give precise definitions of what we call soft and what we call the hard collinear regions throughout this paper. If we denote a typical momentum by k , and if by ϵ we denote a small parameter whose vanishing gives infrared sensitivity (For example, ϵ could be $1-z$, the longitudinal fraction of the gluon energy when we consider the $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$ limit in inclusive lepton production) then the two regions are defined thus.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Soft: } & k_+ \approx k_- \approx k_\perp = O(Q\epsilon); \\ \text{Hard collinear: } & k_+ = O(Q\epsilon); k_\perp = O(Q\epsilon^{1/2}); k_- = O(Q); \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In the Hard collinear case we take the original hard particle to be moving in the z direction.

In the second method of evaluating the $1=Q$ corrections to thrust, it will be convenient to introduce the variable "sphericity", S [10]

$$S = \min_i \frac{4}{3} \frac{|\vec{p}_i|^2}{\sum_j |\vec{p}_j|^2} \quad (9)$$

where, p_{\perp}^i is the transverse momentum with respect to the minimum direction. Thus $S = 0$ for 2-jet event. For a three parton final state

$$S = \frac{64}{2T^2} (1-x_1)(1-x_2)(1-x_3): \quad (10)$$

If by $x_{\perp} = \frac{2k_{\perp}}{Q}$ we denote the fractional transverse momentum of either parton in opposite hemisphere of the fastest parton, then $x_{\perp}^2 = \frac{2}{16}S$. Hence

$$\frac{k_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} (1-x_1)(1-x_2)(1-x_3): \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, one has the limits:

$$\frac{(1-T)^2(2T-1)}{T^2} \leq \frac{k_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} \leq \frac{1-T}{4}: \quad (12)$$

We next change variable: $x_1, x_2 \rightarrow T; k_{\perp}^2 = Q^2 x$ and from (6) we find for the double differential cross section

$$\frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dT} = \frac{s}{2} C_F \frac{T}{(1-T)(1-4x(1-T))^{1/2}} \left[\frac{2(T^2+x)}{(1-T)(1+x)} + \frac{2(T^2-x)}{(1-T)(1-x)} + \frac{(2-T+x)^2+x}{(T+x-1)(1+x)} + \frac{(2-T-x)^2-x}{(T+x-1)(1-x)} \right] \quad (13)$$

where,

$$= \frac{1}{2} T \ln \left[\frac{1+4x}{1-T} \right] \quad (14)$$

Integrating over x gives the well known expression [11]

$$\frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{s}{2} C_F \frac{4}{T(1-T)} \ln \frac{2T-1}{1-T} + 6 \ln \frac{2T-1}{1-T} \frac{3(3T-2)(2-T)}{1-T}: \quad (15)$$

we are interested in

$$h_{12}(T) = \int_{2=3}^1 dT \frac{\int_{\frac{1-T}{4}}^{\frac{1-T}{4}} dx \frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dT} (1-T)}{\frac{(1-T)^2(2T-1)}{T^2}}: \quad (16)$$

To get terms linear in Q , we interchange the integration procedure

$$\int_{2=3}^1 dT \frac{\int_{\frac{1-T}{4}}^{\frac{1-T}{4}} dx \frac{1}{Q^2} \frac{d^2\sigma}{dx dT} (1-T)}{\frac{(1-T)^2(2T-1)}{T^2}} = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx \int_{T_1}^{T_2} dT \quad (17)$$

and the new limits $x_1; x_2$ and $T_1; T_2$ are

$$x_1 = 0; x_2 = \frac{1}{12}; T_1 = 1 - \frac{4x}{T}; T_2 = 1 - \frac{4x}{T}; \quad (18)$$

where T_1 and T_2 are given as an expansion in x . Proceeding thus, we find that the only contribution to the $1=Q$ term comes from the lower limit of T integration: i.e. for $T \rightarrow 1$ and the soft gluon region.

A contribution to $\langle 1 - T \rangle_{1=Q}$ has the form:

$$hl \quad T_{i_1=Q} = -\frac{s}{C_F} \int_0^{1/12} \frac{dx}{x} \int_{1-4x}^{1-4x/T} \frac{dT}{T} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{4x}{T})^{1=2}}; \quad (19)$$

Precisely this term gives the $\frac{\ln(1-T)}{1-T}$ behaviour in the thrust distribution above. Next, to find the position of the leading infrared renormalon we allow s to run according to $\alpha_s(k_?^2)$ (see, e.g., ref [12]) and in the above integral expand in $k_?=Q$. The leading term is

$$hl \quad T_{i_1=Q} = \frac{2}{Q} \int_0^{1/12} \frac{dk_?^2}{k_?^2} k_? C_F \frac{\alpha_s(k_?^2)}{4} \int_0^Q dk_? \frac{\alpha_s(k_?^2)}{Q} C_F; \quad (20)$$

The coefficient of $4 \alpha_s C_F$ is the same as for the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ calculation, if we identify with:

$$\int_0^Q dk_? \frac{\alpha_s(k_?^2)}{Q} C_F; \quad (21)$$

The leading IR renormalon at $1=2b_0$ can be explicitly seen by introducing the representation [8]

$$\alpha_s(k_?^2) = \int_0^{1/2} d \exp(-b_0 \ln \frac{k_?^2}{2}) \quad (22)$$

above and doing the $k_?$ integration to get a pole at $2 b_0 = 1$.

We next turn to the $1=Q$ correction to the Drell-Yan process which was discussed in [3, 8]. Following [8], the quantity of interest is the Drell-Yan cross section $d_{DY}(\mu; Q^2) = dQ^2$ normalized to the structure functions of deeply inelastic scattering $F_{DIS}(x; Q^2)$. In particular, we will be interested in the large N moments of this which is known to factorize to all orders [13] Thus:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 d \int_0^1 \frac{d_{DY}(\mu; Q^2)}{dQ^2} = R(\mu; Q^2) E(N; Q^2) F_{DIS}^2(N; Q^2) \quad (23)$$

with $s = Q^2$, Q^2 is the invariant mass of the dilepton pair and $R(s(Q^2))$ is a finite function as $N \rightarrow 1$. In the above, $E(N; Q^2)$ is the contribution of soft gluons only. For large N we are in the kinematical domain $s \rightarrow 1$ and here, $E(N; Q^2)$ has large perturbative corrections which in the lowest order are of type $\log^2 N$ and $\log N$ coming from the region of soft gluons. It is well known [13] that these exponentiate. In $E(N; Q^2)$, the dominant term at large N in the lowest order is (to detect the renormalon we need to have the coupling running so that we have let $s \rightarrow s(k_\perp^2)$, which is the appropriate scale for s , [12, 13]):

$$E(N; Q^2) \sim \int_0^1 dz \frac{z^{N-1}}{1-z} \int_0^{(1-z)Q^2} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} R(s(k_\perp^2)) C_F : \quad (24)$$

By interchanging the k_\perp and z integrations we can identify the $1=Q$ behaviour which was found in [8]

$$E(N; Q^2) \sim \frac{2(N-1)}{Q} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} \int_{k_\perp=Q}^{k_\perp^2=Q^2} dz C_F R(s(k_\perp^2)) + \frac{2(N-1)}{Q} \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk_\perp^2}{k_\perp^2} k_\perp C_F R(s(k_\perp^2)) : \quad (25)$$

The coefficient of the term proportional to $(N-1)$ comes from real emission diagram and is exactly the same as the $1=Q$ corrections in h1 Ti and is again related to the soft behaviour as $s \rightarrow 1$. This in turn can be traced back to the maximum allowed transverse momentum in deeply inelastic scattering and in Drell-Yan:

$$(k_\perp^2)_{\text{max}}^{\text{DIS}} = \frac{s}{4} = \frac{Q^2(1-z)}{4z} \quad (26)$$

and

$$(k_\perp^2)_{\text{max}}^{\text{DY}} = \frac{(s - Q^2)^2}{4s} = \frac{Q^2(1-z)^2}{4z} = (1-z)^2 \frac{s}{4} : \quad (27)$$

The $1=Q$ corrections come from the lower limit of the z integration in the first of equation (25) which is governed by the Drell-Yan soft dynamics as discussed above. Further, the contributing region is the one where the invariant mass of the gluon radiation is vanishingly small, of order $(1-z)s$ as $s \rightarrow 1$.

The same $1=Q$ term can also be obtained by the method of introducing a gluon mass λ . In this case again the only contribution to the $1=Q$ term comes from the specification of the

integration boundaries. The coefficient of this term, linear in gluon mass, in the corresponding expression for $E(N; Q^2)$ is identical to the result using the other procedure with the same identification of ϵ as for the case of Thrust. Further, it is easily checked to be coming from the region of soft gluons alone.

4. A few comments are in order concerning these results. Although we have checked the independence of the relation between the $1=Q$ terms on the choice of infrared parameter to lowest order, we should look at the problem in higher orders as well. The point is that higher orders are not suppressed since ϵ occurs normalized at a low scale. To demonstrate the lack of suppression of higher orders within the renormalon technique, we notice that in the leading log approximation

$$\frac{d}{ds} (k_T^2) = \frac{d}{2b_0 d_{QCD}} \frac{d}{ds} (k_T^2) \quad (28)$$

Since the renormalon contribution is linear in d_{QCD} we conclude that $\frac{d}{ds} (k_T^2)$ contribution is not suppressed. Thus we are led to investigate the origin of the universality of the $1=Q$ corrections obtained in the lowest order.

Let us discuss the nature of the higher order corrections to the IR safe, event shape variables y that vanish in the limit of the 2-jet process (like $1-T$ in particular). From the lowest order analysis we have seen that the $1=Q$ corrections come from the region $T \rightarrow 1$ and of energetic quarks (antiquarks) and soft gluons. Indeed, we have seen that as far as these $1=Q$ corrections are concerned we have in the CM frame

$$1-T = \frac{M_q^2}{Q^2} + \frac{M_g^2}{Q^2} \quad (29)$$

where $M_q^2 = 2p_q \cdot k$ in the limit of soft gluons. This generalizes straightforwardly to all orders: Let us first argue for the dominance of soft gluons in $1-T$ in higher orders. In the next order, the infrared sensitive contribution will come if the additional gluon is either soft or hardcollinear, real or virtual. Since $(1-T)$ is an IR safe quantity, the hard collinear gluon will cancel against the virtual process and the only IR sensitive contributions that will survive are those involving soft gluons. Continuing in this manner, it is seen that to all orders the infrared sensitive contributions that will survive are those involving the soft

gluons alone. In fact, to all orders, the IR sensitive contributions to $\langle 1 - T \rangle$ can come only from the region $T \rightarrow 1$, where we can write

$$\langle 1 - T \rangle = \frac{M_1^2}{Q^2} + \frac{M_2^2}{Q^2} \quad (30)$$

Here we have considered two hemispheres, H_1 and H_2 , divided by a plane perpendicular to the thrust axis, defined P_1 and P_2 to be the vector sum of the momenta in these and denoted by M_1 and M_2 the corresponding invariant masses. It is then easy to see that for small $\langle 1 - T \rangle$ the above is true. In principle, both soft and hard collinear gluons contribute towards small invariant masses, however the latter are excluded since they would cause a collinear divergence in $\langle 1 - T \rangle$. Indeed, it is well known that the collinear divergences cancel in the total cross-section when we sum over all degenerate states. The same is true for $\langle T \rangle$ since the states degenerate with the hard collinear gluon have the same value of T . With this in mind we may write in the limit of soft gluons with momenta k ,

$$M_i^2 = \sum_{k_j^2 \in H_i} m_j^2 \quad (31)$$

with, $m_j^2 = 2k_j P_i$. The next step in the argument is that the soft gluons factorize in the two jet process under consideration and hence to all orders we may write

$$\langle 1 - T \rangle_{1=Q} = R_T(s(Q^2)) E_{\text{soft}}(Q) \quad (32)$$

$E_{\text{soft}}(Q)$ comes from soft gluons alone and can be expressed in the form

$$E_{\text{soft}}(Q) = \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk_?^2}{k_?^2} k_? \text{eik}(s(k_?^2)) \quad (33)$$

$\text{eik}(s(k_?^2))$ contains contributions from all orders and can be obtained in perturbation theory from the eikonal approximation. It has the perturbative expansion:

$$\text{eik}(s) = -C_F + (-)^2 \frac{1}{2} C_F K + \dots \quad (34)$$

with,

$$K = C_A (67-18 \frac{2}{3}) - 10 = 9 T_R N_f : \quad (35)$$

R_T is not IR sensitive and can be obtained as an expansion in $s(Q^2)$.

The above arguments emphasize that the origin of the $1=Q$ terms lies in the soft gluon radiation. The same is true for other shape variables like the C parameter, which are infrared safe and which vanish in the two jet limit. In fact all of these quantities, generically labelled as y earlier are governed by the same soft dynamics as they vanish [14]. Thus we have in general:

$$\langle y \rangle_{1=Q} = R_y(s(Q^2))E_{\text{soft}}(Q) \quad (36)$$

In the above the quantities R_y are y dependant, but the soft functions E_{soft} are universal.

We next turn to a discussion of Drell-Yan (inclusive lepton pair production) for large Q , in higher orders of perturbation theory. As discussed earlier, the factorization in the $1=Q$ limit has been established as given by Eq.(23), with $E(N;Q^2)$, purely a soft function. The $1=Q$ corrections to all orders is of the form [8]:

$$\frac{1}{F_{\text{DIS}}^2(N;Q^2)} \lim_{N \rightarrow 1} \int_0^1 dN^{-1} \frac{d_{\text{DY}}(N;Q^2)}{dQ^2} = (N-1)R(s(Q^2))E_{\text{soft}}(Q) \quad (37)$$

The equality on the RHS is, of course, only for the $1=Q$ terms. In the limit $1=Q$, the invariant mass of the quark gluon system which also has the form of Eq.(31), becomes vanishingly small. For the quantity $\langle 1-T \rangle$, we have a similar situation expressed by Eq.(30), in the limit of vanishing invariant mass. In fact, the large corrections due to the soft gluons will be the same, in the sense that the functions E_{soft} are universal hence the same in Eq.(33) and Eq.(37). In [8] e_{ik} is called the cusp anomalous dimension. The factor of $N-1$ in Eq.(37) is what is necessary to make the correspondance between the two equations complete at $1=Q$ since the invariant mass of the quark gluon system, is proportional to $1-Q$ for the Drell-Yan case. Because of the relation between $\langle 1-T \rangle$ and the other event shape variables, we see that in general, in, Eq.(36) and Eq.(37), the function E_{soft} will be universal, whereas the perturbatively calculable (for large Q^2) infrared insensitive quantities, $R_y(s(Q^2))$, and $R(s(Q^2))$ may be different.

Apart from the situation discussed above, the same e_{ik} also determines the Infrared behaviour of the quark form factor and the so called velocity dependant anomalous dimensions in Heavy Quark Effective Theory [15]. The universality of the anomalous dimensions of the effective currents in HQET was first noted in [16].

Up until now we have only discussed the situation in perturbation theory. We now take perturbative calculations with α_s normalized at low momenta (as in the functions E_{soft}) as representative of large distance dynamics in QCD, including non perturbative effects. Thus it is natural to expect a factorization similar to that derived perturbatively for the full theory as well. If so then the relations discussed between the $1=Q$ terms in inclusive lepton pair production and the event shape variables $\langle y \rangle$, will be valid here also.

In conclusion, we have presented arguments that a single non perturbative parameter describes the $1=Q$ corrections in Drell Yan and certain event shape variables. The same nonperturbative parameter makes its appearance also in heavy quark physics. All of these quantities are related to this universal parameter through overall normalizations. Thus theory of the $1=Q$ corrections seem s to be ripe for the confrontation with experiments.

We would like to thank the Service de Physique Theorique, CE-Saclay for hospitality. This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy.

References

- [1] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys., B 147 (1979) 385.
- [2] V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 385 (1992) 385.
- [3] H. Contopanagos and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. B 419 (1994) 77.
- [4] I.I. Bigi, M. A. Shifman, N. G. Uraltsev, and A. I. Vainshtein, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 2234.
- [5] M. Beneke and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 301.
- [6] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 344 (1994) 407.
- [7] B. R. Webber, Phys. Lett. B 339 (1994) 148.
- [8] G. P. Korchemsky and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys., B 437 (1995) 415 .
- [9] M. Beneke, V. M. Braun, and V. I. Zakharov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1994).
- [10] H. Georgi and M. Machacek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 39 (1977) 1237.

- [1] G . A Itarelli, Phys. Rep. 81 (1982) 1.
- [2] D . Am ati, A . Bassetto, M . C iafaloni, G . M archesini, and G . Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B 173 (1980) 429.
- [3] G . Stern an, NuclPhys. B 281 (1987) 310; S. Catani and L. Trentadue, NuclPhys. B 327 (1989) 323, B 173 (1991) 183.
- [4] R K . Ellis, D A . Ross and A E . Terrano, Nucl. Phys. B 178 (1981) 421.
- [5] G . P . K orchen sky and A . V . Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B 279 (1992) 359.
- [6] A . F . Falk, H . Georgi, B . G rinstein, M . B . W ise, Nucl. Phys. B 343 (1990) 1.