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#### Abstract

On the basis of the mechanism proposed earlier for one-spin asymmetries in inclusive hadron production we consider OZI-suppressed process of $\varphi$-meson production $p p \rightarrow \varphi X$ and asymmetry $A_{N}(\varphi)$ in this process. The main role in generation of this asymmetry belongs to the orbital angular momentum of strange quark-antiquark cloud in internal structure of constituent quarks.


A significant experimental information on spin structure of proton had been obtained by the present time. As it is considered now, about one third of the proton spin is due to quark spins [1, 2]. It is interesting that calculations of $\eta^{\prime}$ couplings to vector mesons also predicted that quarks carry about one third of spin of vector mesons [3]. These results could be interpreted in such a way that a substantial part of hadron spin would be due to an orbital angular momentum of quark matter.

It is also evident from deep-inelastic scattering data that strange quarks play essential role in the structure of proton and in its spin in particular. They are negatively polarized in polarized nucleon, $\Delta s \simeq-0.1$. Polarization effects in hyperon production observed for the first time two decades ago also continue to demonstrate [1] that strange quarks produced in hadron interactions appear to be polarized.

In the recent paper [5] we considered a possible origin of asymmetry in the pion production under collision of a polarized proton beam with unpolarized proton target. We have used a scheme which incorporates perturbative and
nonperturbative phases of QCD and argued that the orbital angular momentum of partons inside constituent quarks (retained by the perturbative phase of QCD under transition from nonperturbative phase) leads to significant asymmetries in hadron production with polarized beam.

In this note we give an estimate for asymmetry in the production of $\varphi$ meson. It is argued that this asymmetry is connected with orbital momenta of strange quarks entering the structure of constituent quarks.

It is well known fact that mechanism of chiral symmetry spontaneous breaking in QCD results, in particular, in generation of quark masses and in appearance of quark condensates. Quark masses are comparable with the hadron mass scale. This supports a representation of hadron as a loosely bounded system of the constituent quarks. These observations on the hadron structure lead to the understanding of static properties of hadrons and several regularities observed in hadron interactions at large distances. However, the structure of hadron depends on the scale of the process and is different for different values of the scale $Q^{2}$. Processes with large $Q^{2}$ would resolve partonic structure of constituent quarks and can be described by perturbative QCD.

We consider a nonperturbative hadron to be consisting of the constituent quarks located at the central part of the hadron and quark condensate surrounding this core. Experimental and theoretical arguments in favor of such picture were given, e.g. in [6, 7]. We refer to effective lagrangian approach and use as a basis the NJL model [8]. The effective lagrangian in addition to the 4 -fermion interaction of the original NJL model includes 6 -fermion $U(1)_{A}$-breaking term. The constituent quark masses have been calculated in [9]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{U}=m_{u}-2 G\langle 0| \bar{u} u|0\rangle-2 K\langle 0| \bar{d} d|0\rangle\langle 0| \bar{s} s|0\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this approach massive quarks appear as quasiparticles, i.e. as current quarks and the surrounding clouds of quark-antiquark pairs which consist of a mixture of quarks of the different flavors. Quark radii are determined by the radii of the surrounding clouds. Quantum numbers of the constituent quarks are the same as the quantum numbers of current quarks due to conservation of the corresponding currents in QCD. The only exception is the flavor-singlet, axial-vector current, its $Q^{2}$-dependence is due to axial anomaly which arises under quantization. It is worth to stress that in addition to $u$ and $d$ quarks constituent quark ( $U$, for example) contains pairs of strange quarks (cf. Eq.
(1i)), and the ratio of scalar density matrix elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\langle U| \bar{s} s|U\rangle /\langle U| \bar{u} u+\bar{d} d+\bar{s} s|U\rangle \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be estimated from different approaches 10] as $y=0.1-0.5$. These estimates are based on the Feynman-Helmann theorem $\left\langle Q_{i}\right| \bar{q}_{j} q_{j}\left|Q_{i}\right\rangle=\partial M_{i} / \partial m_{j}$. Loosely speaking, $y$ can be considered as a probability to find $s$ and $\bar{s}$ quarks in the constituent quark [1].

The picture of hadron consisting from constituent quarks can be applicable at moderate momentum transfers, while interactions at high momentum transfers would resolve internal structure of constituent quarks and they are to be represented as clusters of noninteracting partons in this kinematical region. In the framework of the NJL model the partonic structure of constituent quarks was defined in [9]. Transition to partonic picture in this model is described by the introduction of a momentum cutoff $\Lambda=\Lambda_{\chi} \simeq 1 \mathrm{GeV}$. We adopt the point that the need for such cutoff is an effective implementation of the short distance behavior in QCD.

Spin of constituent quark $J_{U}$ in this approach is determined by the following sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{U}=1 / 2=J_{u_{v}}+J_{\{\bar{q} q\}}+\left\langle L_{\{\bar{q} q\}}\right\rangle \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the orbital momentum contribution into the spin of constituent quark can be estimated with account for new experimental results from deepinelastic scattering [2] indicating that quarks carry one third of proton spin, i.e.

$$
(\Delta u+\Delta d+\Delta s)_{p} \simeq 1 / 3
$$

and taking into account the relation between contributions of current quarks into a proton spin and corresponding contributions of current quarks into a spin of constituent quarks and that of constituent quarks into proton spin

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta u+\Delta d+\Delta s)_{p}=(\Delta U+\Delta D)(\Delta u+\Delta d+\Delta s)_{U} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if we adopt $S U(6)$ model $(\Delta U+\Delta D=1)$ then we should conclude that $J_{u_{v}}+J_{\{\bar{q} q\}} \simeq 1 / 6$ and from Eq. (3) $\left\langle L_{\{\bar{q} q\}}\right\rangle \simeq 1 / 3$, i. e. about $2 / 3$ of the $U$-quark spin is due to the orbital angular momenta of $u, d$ and $s$ quarks inside $U$-quark.

The important point what the origin of this orbital angular momentum is. It was proposed [5] to consider an analogy with an anisotropic generalization
of the theory of superconductivity which seems to match well with the above picture for a constituent quark. The studies [12] of that theory show that the presence of anisotropy leads to axial symmetry of pairing correlations around the anisotropy direction $\hat{\vec{l}}$ and to the particle currents induced by the pairing correlations. In another words it means that a particle at the origin is surrounded by a cloud of correlated particles which rotate around it with the axis of rotation $\hat{\vec{l}}$. Calculation of the orbital momentum shows that it is proportional to the density of the correlated particles. Thus, it is clear that there is an analogy between this picture and that describing the constituent quark. An axis of anisotropy $\hat{\vec{l}}$ can be associated with the polarization vector of valence quark located at the origin of the constituent quark. Then the orbital angular momentum $\vec{L}$ lies along $\hat{\vec{l}}$ and its value is proportional to quark density $\langle Q| \bar{q} q|Q\rangle$. A nonzero internal orbital momentum of partons in the constituent quark means that there are significant multiparton correlations.

We argue that the existence of this orbital angular momentum, i.e. orbital motion of quark matter inside constituent quark, is the origin of the observed asymmetries in inclusive production at moderate and high transverse momenta. Indeed, since the constituent quark has a small size, asymmetry associated with internal structure of this quark will be significant at $p_{\perp}>\Lambda_{\chi} \simeq 1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ where interactions at short distances give noticeable contribution.

It should be noted that at high $p_{\perp}$ we will have a parton picture for constituent quark being a cluster of current quarks which however should naturally preserve their orbital momenta of the preceding nonperturbative phase of QCD, i.e. the orbital angular momentum will be retained in perturbative phase of QCD and partons are to be correlated.

Model for hadron production based on the above picture was described in [5]. We mention here its main points and consider hadron processes of the type $h_{1}^{\uparrow}+h_{2} \rightarrow \varphi+X$ with polarized beam or target. Interest in this process is related to the recently observed OZI-rule violations (cf. [13] and referencies therein). According to the OZI rule such process containing pair of strange quarks in the final state should be suppressed compared to $\omega$-meson production, for example.

The picture of hadron consisting of constituent quarks embedded into quark condensate implies that overlapping and interaction of peripheral clouds
occur at the first stage of hadron interaction. As a result massive virtual quarks appear in the overlapping region and some effective field is generated. Constituent quarks located in the central part of hadron are supposed to scatter in a quasi-independent way by the effective field. Inclusive production of $\varphi$-meson results from the excitation of this constituent quark and subsequent fragmentation of $\bar{s} s$-pair from this quark into $\varphi$ meson. This process is determined by the distances smaller than constituent quark radius and is associated therefore with hard interactions (high $p_{\perp}$ 's).

Explicit formulas for the corresponding inclusive cross-sections were given in [5]. They have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \sigma^{\uparrow, \downarrow}}{d \xi}=8 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} b d b \frac{I^{\uparrow, \downarrow}(s, b, \xi)}{|1-i U(s, b)|^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is the impact parameter of colliding particles and $\xi=\left(x, p_{\perp}\right)$. Here function $U(s, b)$ is the generalized reaction matrix (helicity nonflip one) which is determined by dynamics of the elastic reaction $h_{1}+h_{2} \rightarrow h_{1}+h_{2}$. The functions $I^{\uparrow, \downarrow}(s, b, \xi)$ are related to the functions $U_{n}\left(s, b, \xi,\left\{\xi_{n-1}\right\}\right)$ which are the multiparticle analogs of the $U(s, b)$. Arrows $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ denote corresponding direction of transverse spin of the polarized initial particle.

We introduce the two functions $I_{+}$and $I_{-}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{ \pm}(s, b, \xi)=I^{\uparrow}(s, b, \xi) \pm I^{\downarrow}(s, b, \xi) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $I_{+}(s, b, \xi)$ is a spin-independent one. The following sum rule takes place for this function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int I_{+}(s, b, \xi) d \xi=\bar{n}(s, b) \operatorname{Im} U(s, b) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{n}(s, b)$ is the mean multiplicity of secondary particles in the impact parameter representation.

Asymmetry $A_{N}$ can be expressed in terms of the functions $I_{ \pm}$and $U$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} b d b I_{-}(s, b, \xi) /|1-i U(s, b)|^{2}}{\int_{0}^{\infty} b d b I_{+}(s, b, \xi) /|1-i U(s, b)|^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account Eq. (7), quasi-independence of the constituent quarks and assumption on hadron production as a result of interaction of one of the
constituent quarks with the effective field we adopt the following expressions for the functions $I_{+}(s, b, \xi)$ and $I_{-}(s, b, \xi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{ \pm}(s, b, \xi)=\bar{n}(s, b) \frac{1}{n_{h_{1}}} \operatorname{Im}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_{h_{1}}} \prod_{i \neq j}^{N}\left\langle f_{Q_{i}}(s, b)\right\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q_{j}}^{ \pm}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle\right], \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where quarks $Q_{j}$ are the constituent quarks in from the hadron $h_{1}$. Factors $\left\langle f_{Q}(s, b)\right\rangle$ correspond to the individual quark scattering amplitude smeared over transverse position of $Q$ inside hadron $h$ and over fraction of longitudinal momentum of the initial hadron carried by quark $Q$. The functions $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q_{j}}^{ \pm}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle$ describe the $\varphi$-meson production as a result of interaction of the constituent quark $Q_{j}$ with the effective field. $N=n_{h_{1}}+n_{h_{2}}$ is the total number of constituent quarks in the initial hadrons.

The central point of the model is a connection of the one-spin asymmetries in inclusive production with the orbital angular momentum of current quarks inside the constituent quark or in other words, with their coherent rotation.

This orbital momentum will affect the hadron production at short distances where internal structure of constituent quark could be probed. The functions $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{ \pm}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle$ will be sensitive to internal structure of constituent quarks i.e. they will be determined by the hard processes. These functions should account the presence of strange quarks inside the constituent quark and coherent rotation of current quarks and can be written as a convolution integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{ \pm}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{s} s / Q}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \otimes D_{\varphi / \bar{s} s}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\varphi / \bar{s} s}$ is the fragmentation function which is supposed to be spinindependent. The functions $\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{s} s / Q}^{ \pm}\right\rangle$are determined by the internal structure of constituent quark and include the structure function of constituent quark $\omega_{s / Q}(x)$.

The spin-dependent function $\left\langle\varphi_{\bar{s} s / Q}^{-}\right\rangle$should account the effect of nonzero orbital momentum of strange quark-antiquark pair (and consequently its internal transverse momenta $k_{\perp}$ inside the constituent quark). This internal orbital momenta means a certain shift in transverse momenta of produced pair, i.e. $p_{\perp} \rightarrow p_{\perp}+k_{\perp}$ and we suppose that the spin-dependent function $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{-}\right\rangle$can be obtained by shifting the transverse momenta in the spinindependent one $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{+}\right\rangle$. Since we consider rather high transverse momenta $p_{\perp}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$, the effect of this shift will be reduced to phase factor in
impact parameter representation, i.e. we will have a following relation

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{-}\left(s, b, x, b^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \exp \left[i k_{\perp \bar{s} s / Q} b^{\prime}\right]\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{+}\left(s, b, x, b^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle,
$$

where

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{+}\left(s, b, x, b^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\int d^{2} p_{\perp} \exp \left(i \vec{b}^{\prime} \vec{p}_{\perp}\right)\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{+}\left(s, b, x, p_{\perp}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Then taking into account that quark matter distribution inside constituent quark has radius $r_{Q}$ we can rewrite the phase factor in the form

$$
\exp \left[i k_{\perp \bar{s} s / Q} r_{Q}\right]=\exp \left[i L_{\perp \bar{s} s / Q}\right]
$$

and use the following approximate relation between the functions $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{-}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{+}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{-}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle \simeq \exp \left[i L_{\bar{s} s / Q}\right]\left\langle\varphi_{\varphi / Q}^{-}(s, b, \xi)\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the orbital angular momentum of $\bar{s} s$ quarks in the constituent quark $Q$ is proportional to its polarization and mean orbital momentum of strange quarks in the constituent quark, we can rewrite the phase factor in Eq.(11) in the form $\exp \left[i \mathcal{P}_{Q}\left\langle L_{\{s s\}}\right\rangle\right]$. The mean orbital momenta of strange quarks can be written in its turn as follows

$$
\left\langle L_{\{s \bar{s}\}}\right\rangle \simeq y\left\langle L_{\{q \bar{q}\}}\right\rangle
$$

where the quantity $y$ is determined by Eq. (2). With account for above relations we can get from Eq. (8) estimate for asymmetry $A_{N}$ in $\varphi$-meson production at $p_{\perp}>1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{N}(\varphi) \propto\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{Q}\right\rangle\left\langle L_{\{\bar{q} q\}}\right\rangle y \simeq 0.01-0.05 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For polarization of the constituent quarks we use $S U(6)$ values $\mathcal{P}_{U}=2 / 3$ and $\mathcal{P}_{D}=-1 / 3$. Value of orbital angular momentum was taken to be $\left\langle L_{\{\bar{q} q\}}\right\rangle=$ $1 / 3$ and $y=0.1-0.5$ according to above estimates.

Thus, the model predicts noticeable positive one-spin asymmetry at high $p_{\perp}$ values in inclusive $\varphi$-meson production. This mechanism gives same magnitude of asymmetry $A_{N}(\varphi)$ in $\bar{p} p$ interactions. The above results show that it is reasonable to make experimental measurements of cross-section and asymmetry in inclusive $\varphi$-meson production to study strange content of constituent quark as a possible source of OZI-rule evasion. Such measurements would provide information on the fraction of strange quarks inside constituent quark and their orbital momenta.
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