

THE 331 MODEL WITH RIGHT-HANDED NEUTRINOS

Hoang Ngoc Long

Institute of Theoretical Physics, National Centre for Natural Science and Technology,
P.O. Box 429, Bo Ho, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam.

and

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

Abstract

We explore some more consequences of the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ electroweak model with right-handed neutrinos. By introducing the $Z - Z^0$ mixing angle θ , the exact physical eigenstates for neutral gauge bosons are obtained. Because of the mixing, there is a modification to the Z^1 coupling proportional to $\sin \theta$. The data from the Z -decay allows us to fix the limit for θ as $0.0021 < \theta < 0.00132$. From the neutrino neutral current scatterings, we estimate a bound for the new neutral gauge boson Z^2 mass in the range 300 GeV , and from symmetry-breaking hierarchy a bound for the new charged and neutral (non-Hermitian) gauge bosons $Y^\pm; X^0$ are obtained.

PACS number(s): 12.15.Mm, 12.15.Ff, 12.60.Cn, 12.15.Ji

I. Introduction

In the Standard Model (SM) [1], each generation of fermions is anomaly-free. This is true for any extensions of the SM as well, including the popular Grand Unified models [2]. In these models, therefore, the number of generations is completely unrestricted on theoretical grounds.

Recently, an interesting class of models has been proposed [3] in which each generation is anomalous but different generations are not exact replicas of one another, and the anomalies cancel when a number of generations are taken into account, and to be a multiple of 3. The most economical gauge group which admits such fermion representations is $SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$, and it has been proposed by Pisano, Pleitez and Frampton [4] (for further work on this model, see Refs. [5, 6]). The original model did not have right-handed neutrinos, but recently we have included them in a non-trivial way in an interesting modification of the model [7]. We have pointed out that this model is simpler than the Pisano-Pleitez-Frampton (PPF) model, since fewer Higgs multiplets are needed in order to allow the fermions to gain masses and to break the gauge symmetry.

In [8] some phenomenological aspects of the model have been considered. However, these results are based on an approximate solution for the physical eigenstates of neutral gauge bosons. The purpose of this paper is to present a further development of the model. By introducing the $Z - Z^0$ mixing angle, the exact physical eigenstates of neutral gauge bosons are obtained. Based on the data from the Z -decay we fix the mixing angle, similarly, from the neutrino neutral current scattering data we estimate the Z^2 boson mass in the range 300 GeV, which is accessible for direct searches at high energy colliders such as Tevatron, LHC, NLC, etc.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we recall some features of the model and Yukawa interactions. In Sec. III we study the gauge boson sector. The charged and neutral currents are given in Sec. IV. In Sec. V the constraints on the $Z - Z^0$ mixing and masses of the new gauge bosons are obtained. Finally, our conclusions are summarized in the last section.

II. The 331 model and Yukawa interactions

Like the PPF model, our model is also based on the gauge group

$$SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N : \quad (1)$$

This model deals with nine leptons and nine quarks. There are three left- and right-handed neutrinos ($\nu_e; \nu_\mu; \nu_\tau$), three charged leptons ($e; \mu; \tau$), four quarks with charge $2/3$, and five quarks with charge $-1/3$.

Under the gauge symmetry (1), the three lepton generations transform as

$$f_L^a = \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$ is the generation index.

Two of the three quark generations transform identically and one generation (it does not matter which one) transforms in a different representation of the gauge group (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{iL} &= \begin{pmatrix} d_{iL} \\ u_{iL} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3; 3; 0); \\
 u_{iR} & \quad (3; 1; 2=3); d_{iR} \quad (3; 1; -1=3); \quad (3; 1; -1=3); \quad i = 1, 2; \\
 Q_{3L} &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{3L} \\ d_{3L} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3; 3; 1=3); \\
 u_{3R} & \quad (3; 1; 2=3); d_{3R} \quad (3; 1; -1=3); \quad (3; 1; 2=3);
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

It can easily be checked that all gauge anomalies cancel with the above choice of gauge quantum numbers. Fermion mass generation and symmetry breaking can be achieved with just three $SU(3)_L$ triplets. We define them by their Yukawa Lagrangians as follows:

$$L_{Yuk} = \bar{Q}_{3L} T_R + \bar{Q}_{iL} d_{jR}^0 + H.c.;$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} B \\ C \end{pmatrix} \quad (1; 3; -1=3);
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If ϕ gets the vacuum expectation value (VEV):

$$\langle \phi \rangle^T = (0; 0; \sqrt{2}); \tag{5}$$

then the exotic $2/3$ and $-1/3$ quarks gain masses and the gauge symmetry is broken to the SM gauge symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & SU(3)_C \times SU(3)_L \times U(1)_H \\
 & \rightarrow SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y;
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $Y = 2N - \frac{P}{3}$, $\chi = 3$ ($\chi = \text{diag}(1; 1; -2) = \frac{P}{3}$). Note that Y is identical to the standard hypercharge of the SM. Electroweak symmetry breaking and ordinary fermion mass generation are achieved with two $SU(3)_L$ triplets; which we define through their Yukawa Lagrangians as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{Yuk} &= \bar{Q}_{3L} u_{aR} + \bar{Q}_{iL} d_{aR} + H.c.; \\
 L_{Yuk} &= \bar{Q}_{3L} d_{aR} + \bar{Q}_{iL} u_{aR} + G_{ab}^0 f_L^a e_R^b + G_{ab}^{ijk} (f_L^a)_i (f_L^b)_j^c (\chi)_k + H.c.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \quad + \quad 1 \\
= \underset{\text{+}}{\mathbb{B}} \quad \underset{\text{+}}{\circ} \quad \underset{\text{+}}{\mathbb{A}} \quad (1; 3; 2=3); \\
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{c}
0 \quad \circ \quad 1 \\
= \underset{\text{+}}{\mathbb{B}} \quad \underset{\text{+}}{\mathbb{A}} \quad (1; 3; \quad 1=3): \\
\end{array}
\quad (8)$$
$$\mathbf{h}_i^T = (0; \frac{p}{2}; 0); \mathbf{h}_i^T = (\frac{p}{2}; 0; 0); \quad (9)$$
$$\begin{array}{lll}
\text{SU}(3)_C & \text{SU}(3)_L & \text{U}(1)_N \\
\# \text{ h i} & & \\
\text{SU}(3)_C & \text{SU}(2)_L & \text{U}(1)_X \\
\# \text{ h i; h i} & & \\
\text{SU}(3)_C & \text{U}(1)_b : &
\end{array} \tag{10}$$
$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 3 - \frac{1}{2 \cdot 3} \cdot 8 + N : \quad (11)$$

The gauge bosons of this theory form an octet W^a associated with $SU(3)_L$, an octet G^a (gluons) with $SU(3)_C$ and a singlet B associated with $U(1)_N$. It is easy to see that the massless G^a gauge bosons associated with $SU(3)_C$ group decouple from the neutral gauge boson mass matrix. Since that reason, we neglect terms which contain the G^a gauge bosons in the covariant derivative. The gauge boson mass matrix arises from the Higgs boson kinetic term :

The covariant derivatives are

$$D = \mathcal{Q} + ig \sum_{a=1}^8 W^a \cdot \frac{\vec{a}}{2} + ig_N \frac{9}{2} N_B ; \quad (13)$$

where T^a ($a=1,...,8$) are the $SU(3)_L$ generators, and $T^9 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \text{diag}(1;1;1)$ are defined such that $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$ and $\text{Tr}(T^9 T^9) = 2$, and N denotes the N charge for three Higgs multiplets.

The non-Hermitian gauge bosons $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}W^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^1 \mp iW^2)$; $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^6 - iW^7)$; $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}X^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(W^4 - iW^5)$ have the following masses [8]:

$$M_W^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2(u^2 + v^2); M_Y^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2(v^2 + \eta^2); M_X^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2(u^2 + \eta^2): \quad (14)$$

We assume $u \gg \eta$ such that $M_W \approx M_X; M_Y$. This statement is very important, because the new gauge bosons must be sufficiently heavy to keep consistency with low energy phenomenology.

As the triplet scalar Φ acquires a VEV, the symmetry $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ breaks down to $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_X$. By matching the gauge coupling constants at the $SU(3)_L \times U(1)_N$ breaking, the coupling constant of $U(1)_X; g^0$, is given by

$$\frac{1}{g^0} = \frac{1}{3g^2} + \frac{6}{g_N^2}: \quad (15)$$

Eq. (15) may be satisfied by a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ mixing angle $\theta_{3 \times 3 \times 1}$ [9]

$$g^0 = \frac{1}{3}g \cos \theta_{3 \times 3 \times 1} = \frac{1}{6}g_N \sin \theta_{3 \times 3 \times 1}: \quad (16)$$

As in the SM we put $g^0 = g \tan \theta_W$, hence we get finally

$$\frac{g_N}{g} = \frac{3 \sin^2 \theta_W (M_{Z^0})}{4 \sin^2 \theta_W (M_{Z^0})}: \quad (17)$$

In this model, $\sin^2 \theta_W$ has to be smaller than $\frac{3}{4}$, while in the minimal version [4] $\sin^2 \theta_W < \frac{1}{4}$.

The neutral (Hermitian) gauge bosons have the 3×3 mass matrix M^2

$$L_{\text{mass}} = \frac{1}{2}V^T M^2 V; \quad (18)$$

where

$$V^T = (W^3; W^8; B); \quad (19)$$

and [8]

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2 \begin{pmatrix} u^2 + v^2 & \frac{1}{3}(u^2 - v^2) & \frac{2}{3}(2u^2 + v^2) \\ \frac{1}{3}(u^2 - v^2) & \frac{1}{3}(u^2 + v^2 + 4\eta^2) & \frac{2}{9}(2u^2 - v^2 + 2\eta^2) \\ \frac{2}{3}(2u^2 + v^2) & \frac{2}{9}(2u^2 - v^2 + 2\eta^2) & \frac{2}{27}(4u^2 + v^2 + \eta^2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ C_A \end{pmatrix}; \quad (20)$$

with the notation $t = g_N/g$. This mass matrix can be diagonalized to obtain the eigenstates elds.

We can identify the photon eld A as well as the massive bosons Z and Z^0 :

$$\begin{aligned} A &= s_W W^3 + c_W \left(\frac{t_W}{3} W^8 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t_W^2}{3} B \right); \\ Z &= c_W W^3 + s_W \left(\frac{t_W}{3} W^8 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{t_W^2}{3} B \right); \\ Z^0 &= \frac{s}{1 - \frac{t_W^2}{3}} W^8 + \frac{t_W}{3} B; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where the mass-squared matrix for Z, Z^0 is given by

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_Z^2 & M_{ZZ^0}^2 \\ M_{ZZ^0}^2 & M_{Z^0}^2 \end{pmatrix};$$

with

$$M_Z^2 = \frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} (u^2 + v^2) = \frac{M_W^2}{c_W^2};$$

$$M_{ZZ^0}^2 = \frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} \frac{u^2 - v^2}{3} (1 - 2s_W^2); \quad (22)$$

$$M_{Z^0}^2 = \frac{g^2}{4(3 - 4s_W^2)} \frac{4!^2 + u^2}{c_W^2} + \frac{v^2 (1 - 2s_W^2)^2}{c_W^2}; \quad (23)$$

Here we use the following notations: $s_W = \sin \theta_W$; $c_W = \cos \theta_W$ and $t_W = \tan \theta_W$. From Eq.(23) we see that, the limit for M_{ZZ^0} is

$$\frac{(1 - 2s_W^2)}{3} M_Z^2 \leq M_{ZZ^0}^2 \leq \frac{M_Z^2}{3 - 4s_W^2}; \quad (24)$$

Diagonalizing the mass matrix gives the mass eigenstates Z^1 and Z^2 which can be taken as mixtures,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 &= Z \cos \theta + Z^0 \sin \theta; \\ Z^2 &= Z \sin \theta + Z^0 \cos \theta; \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

The mixing angle θ is given by

$$\tan^2 \theta = \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z^2}^2} \frac{M_{Z^1}^2}{M_Z^2}; \quad (26)$$

where M_{Z^1} and M_{Z^2} are the physical mass eigenvalues

$$M_{Z^1}^2 = \frac{1}{2} M_{Z^0}^2 + M_Z^2 - [(M_{Z^0}^2 - M_Z^2)^2 - 4(M_{Z^0}^2)^2]^{1/2}; \quad (27)$$

$$M_{Z^2}^2 = \frac{1}{2} M_{Z^0}^2 + M_Z^2 + [(M_{Z^0}^2 - M_Z^2)^2 - 4(M_{Z^0}^2)^2]^{1/2}; \quad (28)$$

From Eq.(22) we see that $\sin \theta = 0$ if $u^2 = v^2(1 - 2\frac{g_W^2}{g^2})$. Here W^\pm, Z^1 correspond to the Standard Model charged and neutral gauge bosons, and there are new gauge bosons Y^\pm, X^0 , and Z^2 . A fit to precision electroweak observables gives a limit on the mixing angle (see below) of $0.0021 < \theta < 0.00132$ and from the symmetry-breaking hierarchy $\sin \theta \ll u/v$, Eq. (14) and Eq. (23) give us

$$M_{Y^\pm} \approx M_{X^0} \approx \frac{g}{2} \frac{v}{\sin \theta} \approx 0.72 M_{Z^2}; \quad (29)$$

IV. Charged and neutral currents

The interactions among the gauge bosons and fermions are read off from

$$L_F = R i (\partial_\mu + i g_N B_\mu) R + L i (\partial_\mu + i \frac{g_N}{6} B_\mu + i g \sum_{a=1}^8 W_\mu^a \frac{\lambda_a}{2}) L; \quad (30)$$

where R represents any right-handed singlet and L any left-handed triplet or antitriplet.

The interactions among the charged vector fields with leptons are

$$L_1^{CC} = \frac{g}{2} (e_L^a W_\mu^+ + (e_R^a)^a e_L^a Y_\mu^+ + e_L^a (e_R^a)^a X_\mu^0 + H.c.); \quad (31)$$

For the quarks we have

$$L_q^{CC} = \frac{g}{2} [(u_{3L} d_{3L} + u_{iL} d_{iL}) W_\mu^+ + (T_L d_{3L} + u_{iL} d_{iL}^0) Y_\mu^+ + (u_{3L} T_L d_{iL}^0 + d_{iL} X_\mu^0 + H.c.); \quad (32)$$

We can see that the interactions with the Y^\pm and X^0 bosons violate the lepton number (see Eq.(31)) and the weak isospin (see Eq.(32)).

The electromagnetic current for fermions is the usual one

$$Q_f e f \not{A}; \quad (33)$$

where f is any fermion with $Q_f = 0; \pm 1; \pm 2; \pm 3$ and the electric charge e is identified as follows

$$e = g \sin \theta_W; \quad (34)$$

The neutral current interactions can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{NC} = & \frac{g}{2c_W} \sum_f \left[a_{1L}(f) (1 - \gamma_5) + a_{1R}(f) (1 + \gamma_5) \right] \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f Z^\mu \\ & + \sum_f \left[a_{2L}(f) (1 - \gamma_5) + a_{2R}(f) (1 + \gamma_5) \right] \bar{f} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 f Z^\mu : \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

The couplings of fermions with Z^1 and Z^2 bosons are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1L,R}(f) = & \cos \theta_W [T^3(f_{L,R}) - \sin^2 \theta_W Q(f)] \\ & + \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2c_W^2} Y(f_{L,R}) \sin \theta_W ; \\ a_{2L,R}(f) = & \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2c_W^2} Y(f_{L,R}) \cos \theta_W \\ & + \sin \theta_W [T^3(f_{L,R}) - \sin^2 \theta_W Q(f)] ; \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where $T^3(f)$ and $Q(f)$ are, respectively, the third component of the weak isospin and the charge of the fermion f . Note that for the exotic quarks, the weak isospin is equal to zero. Eqs. (36) are valid for both left- and right-handed currents. Since the value of N is different for triplets and antitriplets, the Z^2 coupling to left-handed ordinary quarks is different for the third family, and thus flavor changing.

We can also express the neutral current interactions of Eq. (35) in terms of the vector and axial-vector couplings as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{NC} = & \frac{g}{2c_W} \sum_f \left[g_{1V}(f) - g_{1A}(f) \gamma_5 \right] \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f Z^\mu \\ & + \sum_f \left[g_{2V}(f) - g_{2A}(f) \gamma_5 \right] \bar{f} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 f Z^\mu : \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The values of these couplings are:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1V}(f) = & \cos \theta_W [T^3(f_L) - 2\sin^2 \theta_W Q(f)] \\ & + \sin \theta_W \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) + \frac{2}{3} N(f_R)) \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2} Y(f_L) ; \\ g_{1A}(f) = & \cos \theta_W T^3(f_L) \\ & + \sin \theta_W \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) - \frac{2}{3} N(f_R)) \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2} Y(f_L) ; \\ g_{2V}(f) = & \cos \theta_W \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2} Y(f_L) - \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) + \frac{2}{3} N(f_R)) \\ & + \sin \theta_W [T^3(f_L) - 2\sin^2 \theta_W Q(f)] ; \\ g_{2A}(f) = & \cos \theta_W \frac{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}}{2} Y(f_L) - \frac{c_W^2}{(3 - 4\sin^2 \theta_W)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) - \frac{2}{3} N(f_R)) \\ & + \sin \theta_W T^3(f_L) : \end{aligned}$$

The values of $g_{1V}; g_{1A}$ and $g_{2V}; g_{2A}$ are listed in Tables 1 and 2, where the third generation is assumed to belong to the triplet. To get some indication as to why the top quark is so heavy, we have to treat the third generation differently from the first two as in Refs [4] and [9].

TABLE 1: The Z^1 ! ff couplings in the 331 model with right-handed neutrinos.

f	$g_{1V}(f)$	$g_{1A}(f)$
$e; \bar{e}$	$(\frac{1}{2} + 2s_W^2)(\cos \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
$\nu_e; \bar{\nu}_e$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos + \sin (3-4s_W^2)^{1=2})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos + \sin (3-4s_W^2)^{1=2})$
t	$(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4s_W^2}{3})\cos + \frac{\sin}{6(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}(3+2s_W^2)$	$\frac{1}{2}\cos + \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2s_W^2}{3})$
b	$(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2s_W^2}{3})\cos + \frac{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}{6}\sin$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
u, c	$(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4s_W^2}{3})(\cos \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\cos \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
d, s	$(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2s_W^2}{3})\cos - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_W^2}{3} \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\cos - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2s_W^2}{3} \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$
T	$\frac{4}{3}s_W^2\cos - (3-7s_W^2) \frac{\sin}{3(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$
d_1^0	$\frac{2}{3}s_W^2\cos + (3-5s_W^2) \frac{\sin}{3(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\sin}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$

TABLE 2: The Z^2 ! ff couplings.

f	$g_{2V}(f)$	$g_{2A}(f)$
$e; \bar{e}$	$(\frac{1}{2} + 2s_W^2)(\sin + \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin + \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
$\nu_e; \bar{\nu}_e$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin - \cos (3-4s_W^2)^{1=2})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin - \cos (3-4s_W^2)^{1=2})$
t	$\frac{\cos}{6(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}(3+2s_W^2) + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4s_W^2}{3})\sin$	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2s_W^2}{3} \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}} + \frac{1}{2}\sin$
b	$(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2s_W^2}{3})\sin - \frac{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}{6}\cos$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin + \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
u, c	$(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{4s_W^2}{3})(\sin + \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sin + \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}})$
d, s	$(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2s_W^2}{3})\sin + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{s_W^2}{3}) \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\sin + (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2s_W^2}{3}) \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$
T	$\frac{4}{3}s_W^2\sin + (3-7s_W^2) \frac{\cos}{3(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$
d_1^0	$\frac{2}{3}s_W^2\sin - (3-5s_W^2) \frac{\cos}{3(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$	$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\cos}{(3-4s_W^2)^{1=2}}$

We can realize that in the limit $\theta = 0$ the couplings to Z^1 of the ordinary leptons and quarks are the same as in the SM. Furthermore, the electric charge defined in Eq. (34) agrees with the SM. Because of this, we can test the new phenomenology beyond the SM. In this model, the exotic quarks carry electric charges 2/3 and -1/3, respectively, similarly to ordinary quarks. Consequently, the exotic quarks can mix with the ordinary ones. This type of mixing gives the flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs). These FCNCs will be induced due to breakdown of the GIM mechanism. This type of situation has been discussed previously and bounds on themixing strengths can be obtained from the non-observation of FCNC's in the experiments beyond those predicted by the SM [10].

In the PPF model, the coupling strength of Z^2 to quarks is much stronger than that of leptons due to the factor $1 = 1 - 4s_W^2$. Therefore, low-energy experiments such as neutrino-nucleus scattering and atomic parity violation measurements would be useful to further constrain the model [6]. However, from Tables it is easy to see that this does not happen in our model.

In our model, the interactions with the heavy charged and neutral (non-Hermitian) vector bosons $Y^\pm; X^0$ violate the lepton number and the weak isospin. Because of the mixing, the mass eigenstate Z^1 now picks up flavor-changing couplings proportional to $\sin\theta$. However, since $Z^1 - Z^0$ mixing is constrained to be very small, evidence of 3-3-1 FCNC's can only be probed indirectly at present via the Z^2 couplings.

V. Constraints on the $Z^1 - Z^0$ mixing angle and the Z^2 mass

There are many ways to get constraints on the mixing angle and the Z^2 mass. Below we present a simple one. A constraint on the $Z^1 - Z^0$ mixing can be followed from the Z -decay data. Hence we now calculate a Z width in this model.

1. Z decay modes

The tree-level expression for the partial width for the $Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ where $f = e, \dots, e, u, d, \dots$ is given by [11, 20]:

$$\Gamma_{\text{tree}}(Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{G_F}{2} M_{Z^1}^3 N_C^f [(g_{1V}^f)^2 + (g_{1A}^f)^2]; \quad (38)$$

where N_C^f is the color factor. From Eq. (38) we get

$$\Gamma_{\text{tree}}(Z \rightarrow l\bar{l}) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{G_F}{2} M_{Z^1}^3 \cos^2\theta \left[\frac{\sin^2\theta}{3 - 4s_W^2} A^2 + \frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 2s_W^2\right)^2 \right]; \quad (39)$$

here $l = e, \mu, \tau$.

To get results consistent with experiments, the QCD and electroweak radiative corrections have to be included. The weak radiative corrections that depend upon the assumptions of the electroweak theory and on the value of the M_{top} and M_{Higgs} are accounted for by absorbing them into the coupling, which are then called the effective coupling g_{1V} and g_{1A} . Then Eq. (38) becomes [11, 20]:

$$\Gamma(Z \rightarrow f\bar{f}) = \frac{1}{6} \frac{G_F}{2} M_{Z^1}^3 N_C^f [(g_{1V}^f)^2 + (g_{1A}^f)^2] (1 + \delta_{\text{QED}}) (1 + \delta_{\text{QCD}}); \quad (40)$$

where $\delta_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{s_W^2}$, $\delta_{\text{QED}} = 3 \frac{Q_f^2}{4}$ and $\delta_{\text{QCD}} = 0$ for leptons and $\delta_{\text{QCD}} = (\frac{1}{2} s + 1.409 s^2 - 12.805 s^3)$ for quarks, s being the strong coupling constant at $\mu = M_{Z^1}$. Here [20] $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{M_W}{M_{Z^1} c_W}$, and hence from Eqs. (14, 27) we can get its explicit expression, and see that δ_1 depends on the VEVs and s_W . In the limit $h \rightarrow h_i, h_i$,

we have $\gamma_1 = 1$. We will ignore the effects due to the combination of mixing and radiative corrections since both are very small, i.e.,

$$g_{1V}(f) = \cos \theta_W g_V^{SM}(f) + \sin \theta_W \frac{C_W^2}{(3 - 4s_W^2)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) + t_W^2 N(f_R)) \frac{q}{3 - 4s_W^2} \frac{Y(f_L)}{2} ;$$

$$g_{1A}(f) = \cos \theta_W g_A^{SM}(f) + \sin \theta_W \frac{C_W^2}{(3 - 4s_W^2)^{1/2}} (3N(f_L) - t_W^2 N(f_R)) \frac{q}{3 - 4s_W^2} \frac{Y(f_L)}{2} ;$$

The effective coupling constants depend on the fermion f and on the renormalization scheme [11, 13, 18]:

$$g_V^{SM}(f) = \frac{q}{1f} (T_{3L}(f) - 2Q(f) s_W^2) ; g_A^{SM}(f) = \frac{q}{1f} T_{3L}(f) :$$

For the case $f = b$, where additional vertex corrections are important, one must replace [12] γ_1 by $\gamma_b = \gamma_1 (1 - \frac{4}{3} \gamma_1)$ and s_W by $s_W (1 + \frac{2}{3} \gamma_1)$. Here s_W^2 is the effective $\sin^2 \theta_W$ [13, 15]: $s_W^2 = (1 + \gamma_1) s_W^2$, and s_W^2 is defined by [11, 15]:

$$s_W^2 C_W^2 = \frac{(M_Z)^2}{2G_F M_Z^2} :$$

By assuming the masses of all the ordinary fermions except the t quark to be much lighter than the mass of the Z boson and the masses of the exotic fermions to be much heavier than the mass of the Z boson, the total width of the Z boson is given as

$$\Gamma_{total} = \Gamma(Z \rightarrow \text{all}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} M_Z^3 \cos^2 \theta_W \Gamma_{total}^{SM} + 3 \sin^2 \theta_W G + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_W^2}{3 - 4s_W^2} \left[\frac{D}{4} \frac{1}{3 - 4s_W^2} + Q_{CD} G \frac{E}{4} \frac{1}{3 - 4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{1}{12} G \frac{F}{4} \frac{1}{3 - 4s_W^2} A^5 + O(\sin^2 \theta_W) ; \quad (41)$$

where

$$D = 5 \left[\frac{44}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{272}{9} s_W^4 \right] ; E = 3 \left[\frac{20}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{128}{9} s_W^4 \right] ;$$

$$F = 33 \left[\frac{332}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{1808}{9} s_W^4 \right] ; G = \frac{1}{18} \frac{C_W^2}{3 - 4s_W^2} (3 - 2s_W^2) \frac{(3 - 4s_W^2)^{3/2}}{36}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{total}^{SM} = \sum_{f = \text{fermions}} [g_V^{SM}(f)]^2 + [g_A^{SM}(f)]^2 g(1 + \frac{f}{Q_{ED}})(1 + Q_{CD}) :$$

We get then the ratio

$$R_b^{331} = \frac{(\sigma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}))}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} = R_b^{\text{SM}} \left[1 + \frac{2 \tan^2 \theta}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{SM}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{QCD}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{E}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{F}}}{\sigma_{\text{total}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + O(\tan^2 \theta); \quad (42)$$

where R_b^{SM} denotes the SM result: $R_b^{\text{SM}} = 0.03362$ for $[11, 19]$ ($M_Z = 128.87$, $s_W^2(M_Z) = 0.118$, and $[17]$ $s_W^2(M_Z) = 0.2333$). Taking the experimental result in [11] $= (3.367 \pm 0.006)\%$, we obtain the limit for the mixing angle

$$0.0021 < \theta < 0.00132; \quad (43)$$

As is known, recent results on left-right asymmetry A_{LR} at SLD [14] and R_b ($Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}$) = ($Z \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$) measured at LEP [16] indicate a possible disagreement at the 2 to 2.5 % level with the SM prediction ($R_b^{\text{SM}} = 0.215$ for $M_t = 175$ GeV). If confirmed, this could indicate new physics coupled in a different way to the third generation. Therefore, it is interesting to consider R_b in this model. After some manipulations we get

$$R_b^{331} = \frac{(\sigma(Z \rightarrow b\bar{b}))}{\sigma_{\text{hadrons}}} = R_b^{\text{SM}} \left[1 + \frac{2 \tan^2 \theta}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{\alpha}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{SM}}}{\sigma_{\text{hadrons}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{QCD}}}{\sigma_{\text{hadrons}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{E}}}{\sigma_{\text{hadrons}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma_{\text{F}}}{\sigma_{\text{hadrons}}} \left[\frac{1}{4} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{4s_W^2} \right] + O(\tan^2 \theta); \quad (44)$$

where R_b^{SM} is the SM result [11, 18]: $R_b^{\text{SM}} = 0.215$ and

$$A_b = \frac{3}{2} \left(1 - \frac{4}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{8}{9} s_W^4 \right); \quad B = \frac{15}{2} \left(14 s_W^2 + \frac{44}{3} s_W^4 \right);$$

$$C_h = \frac{33}{2} \left(38 s_W^2 + \frac{140}{3} s_W^4 \right); \quad F_h = 15 \left(\frac{116}{3} s_W^2 + \frac{512}{9} s_W^4 \right);$$

Substituting Eq. (43) into Eq. (44) we get

$$0.21495 < R_b^{331} < 0.21564;$$

This result still disagrees with the recent experimental value $R_b = 0.2192 \pm 0.0018$ measured at LEP [16]. We hope, however, with the inclusion of new heavy particle

loop effects like exotic quarks, Higgs scalars or of new box diagrams, this result will be improved and consistent with the experimental data (for recent works on this direction see [21]).

2. Neutrino-electron scattering

The motivation for focusing on the neutrino neutral current scatterings is the following: From the theoretical point of view these reactions are basic processes free from the complications of strong interactions and can be used to determine the parameters of the theories. We emphasize that in the PPF model, these processes are almost the same as in the SM (for this purpose only neutrino-nucleus scattering and atomic parity violation, etc, are suitable). The effective four-fermion interactions relevant to neutrino neutral current processes, in this model, are presented as follows [22]:

$$L_{eff}^f = -\frac{G_F}{2} [(1 - \gamma_5) \bar{\nu}_L^f f (1 - \gamma_5) f + C_R^f \bar{\nu}_L^f (1 + \gamma_5) f]; \quad (45)$$

where

$$C_L^f = 2 (g_{1V}(\nu) + g_A(\nu)) (g_{1V}(f) + g_A(f)) + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} (g_{2V}(\nu) + g_A(\nu)) (g_{2V}(f) + g_A(f));$$

$$C_R^f = 2 (g_{1V}(\nu) + g_A(\nu)) (g_{1V}(f) - g_A(f)) + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} (g_{2V}(\nu) + g_A(\nu)) (g_{2V}(f) - g_A(f));$$

Then the total cross-sections for neutrino and antineutrino elastic scattering processes are given, respectively,

$$\sigma(\nu e) = \frac{2m_e E G_F^2}{6} [1 + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{(1 - 2s_W^2)}{3} \sin^2 \theta (1 - 2s_W^2)^2 + 4s_W^4];$$

$$\sigma_{SM}(\nu e) = 1 + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{(1 - 2s_W^2)}{3} \sin^2 \theta; \quad (46)$$

$$\sigma(\bar{\nu} e) = \frac{2m_e E G_F^2}{6} [1 + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{(1 - 2s_W^2)}{3} \sin^2 \theta (1 - 2s_W^2)^2 + 12s_W^4];$$

$$\sigma_{SM}(\bar{\nu} e) = 1 + \frac{M_{Z1}^2}{M_{Z2}^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{(1 - 2s_W^2)}{3} \sin^2 \theta; \quad (47)$$

In the above equations m_e is the mass of the electron and E is the energy of the incident (anti)neutrino. From Eq.(46) and Eq. (47) we get the ratio of the cross-sections:

$$R = \frac{\sigma(\nu e)}{\sigma(\bar{\nu} e)} = \frac{3(1 - 2s_W^2)^2 + 4s_W^4}{(1 - 2s_W^2)^2 + 12s_W^4} = 1.1425; \quad (48)$$

which is the same as in the SM [24]. In this model, θ_1 is a free parameter. However, we can follow Degrassi, Fanchiotti and Sirlin to put [20, 23] $\theta_1 = 1 + \frac{m_t^2}{100 \text{ GeV}^2}$, where

$$\theta_1 \approx 0.0031 \frac{m_t^2}{100 \text{ GeV}^2} :$$

Taking an average value for $\theta_1 = 0.00098$, $m_t = 174 \text{ GeV}$ [19], the running $s_W^2 = 0.21$ and the experimental results on $\frac{(e)}{E} = (1.17 - 0.206) \cdot 10^{42} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$ and $\frac{(e)}{E} = (1.8 - 0.32) \cdot 10^{42} \text{ cm}^2/\text{GeV}$ given in [24], the allowed range of the new gauge boson masses are $M_{Z^2} = 250 \text{ GeV}$ and 330 GeV , respectively. Thus Eq. (29) gives a limit for the masses of the gauge bosons $Y^\pm; X^0: M_X = 180 \text{ GeV}$ and 230 GeV , respectively.

In our model, the free parameters are $\sin^2 \theta_W; M_{Z^2}$, and θ_1 which are constrained from experiment. M_{Z^1} is related by Eq. (26) where $M_Z = M_W = \cos \theta_W$ is the prediction for the Z mass in the absence of mixing $\theta = 0$. It is interesting to consider the special case $\theta = 0$. We have then $M_{Z^1} = M_Z; \theta_1 = 1$ and $s_W(M_{Z^1}) = s_W(M_Z)$. From Eq.(46) and Eq.(47) we get bounds for the new gauge bosons Z^2 mass: $M_{Z^2} = 270 \text{ GeV}$ and 350 GeV , respectively. Thus, the only way to get a rigorous bound of M_{Z^2} is through low energy processes as considered in this paper. Our bounds could be improved significantly with more precise data.

V I. Discussion

In this paper, we presented a further development of the 331 model with right-handed neutrinos. We have shown that this model has some advantages over the original 331 model. Firstly, in the Higgs sector, we need only three Higgs triplets for generating fermions and gauge bosons masses as well as for breaking the gauge symmetry. Moreover in the limit $\theta = 0$, all couplings of the ordinary fermions to Z^1 boson are the same as in the SM. In this model there is no limit for the Weinberg angle $\sin^2 \theta_W < \frac{3}{4}$.

In our model, the lepton number is violated both in the Higgs sector and in the heavy charged and neutral (non-Hermitian) vector bosons interactions. We also have flavor-changing neutral currents in the quark sector coupled to the new Z^2 boson. All the heavy bosons have masses depending on η and this VEV is, in principle, arbitrary.

Processes like neutrinoless double beta decay and neutrino oscillations ($\nu_a \rightarrow \nu_b; a \neq b$), etc, are typical ones in this model.

Finally, we emphasize again that experimental data from the Z-decay and e^+e^- scattering processes allows us to estimate the mixing angle θ and the new gauge boson masses. To get stronger limits we have to consider other parameters such as the left-right cross section asymmetry, N , etc, and we will publish these results elsewhere [25].

To summarize, we have shown that because of the $Z^1 - Z^0$ mixing there is a modification to the Z^1 coupling proportional to $\sin \theta$, and the Z-decay gives $0.0021 - 0.00132$. The data from neutrino neutral current elastic scatterings shows that mass

of the new neutral gauge boson M_{Z^2} is in the range 300 GeV , and from the symmetry-breaking hierarchy we get: $M_{Y^+} \sim M_{X^0} \sim 0.72 M_{Z^2} \sim 220 \text{ GeV}$. We think that new physics can arise at not too high energies.

Acknowledgement

It is a pleasure to acknowledge Dr. Robert Foot for many stimulating and fruitful discussions. I would like also to thank Prof. G. Altarelli, Prof. G. Senjanovic, Prof. A. Yu. Smirnov, T. A. Tran for remarks and discussions, and Prof. Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for hospitality at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, where this work was completed. I am grateful to Prof. Tran N. Truong for continuous help and Prof. J. Tran Thanh Van for kind attention and partial support. I thank Profs. C. P. Singh and X. Y. Pham for a careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. 20, 579 (1961); A. Salam, in Elementary Particle Theory, ed. N. Svartholm, (1968); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1264 (1967).
- [2] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 32, 438 (1974). For a review, see P. W. Langacker, Phys. Rep. C 72, 185 (1981).
- [3] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle, and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D 22, 738 (1980).
- [4] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 46, 410 (1992); P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2889 (1992).
- [5] R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D 47, 4158 (1993).
- [6] Daniel Ng, Phys. Rev. D 49, 4805 (1994).
- [7] R. Foot, H. N. Long, and Tuan A. Tran, Rapid Communication, Phys. Rev. D 50, R34 (1994).
- [8] H. N. Long and T. A. Tran, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994) 2507.
- [9] J. T. Liu, Phys. Rev. D 50, 542 (1994); J. T. Liu and Daniel Ng, Phys. Rev. D 50, 548 (1994).
- [10] See, for example, P. W. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. D 38, 886 (1988).

- [11] Particle Data Group, L. Montanet et al., Phys. Rev. D 50, 1357 (1994).
- [12] L3 Collaboration, Phys. Rep. 236, (1993) 1.
- [13] U. Amaldi et al., Phys. Rev. D 36, 1385 (1987); P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. B 239, III-57, (1990).
- [14] K. Abe et al., SLD Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 25 (1994).
- [15] G. Altarelli and R. Barbieri, Phys. Lett. B 253 (1991) 161.
- [16] A. Blondel, CERN Preprint CERN-PPE/94-133 (1994).
- [17] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 44, 817 (1991).
- [18] A. Blondel and C. Verzegnassi, Phys. Lett. B 311 (1993) 346.
- [19] G. Altarelli, CERN preprint CERN-TH/95-3 (1995).
- [20] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 45, 278 (1992); J. Hewett and T. Rizzo, Phys. Rep. 183, 193 (1989); Phys. Rev. D 45, 161 (1992), and references therein.
- [21] Xu Wang, J. L. Lopez, and D. V. Nanopoulos, preprint CERN-TH/95-5; D. Ng, TRIPP-95-8; G. Bhattacharyya, D. Choudhury, and K. Sridhar, preprint CERN-TH-95-89 (1995).
- [22] D. London, G. Belanger, and J. N. Ng, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 2, 343 (1987).
- [23] G. Degrassi and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 40, 3066 (1989); G. Degrassi, S. Fanchiotti, and A. Sirlin, Nucl. Phys. B 351, 49 (1991).
- [24] L. A. Ahrens et al., Phys. Rev. D 41, 3297 (1990).
- [25] H. N. Long, in preparation.