C

AND OTHER CHARMED BARYONS REVISITED

Andre Martin
CERN, Theory Division
CH {1211 Geneve 23

and

Laboratoire de Physique Theorique ENSLAPP?

Groupe d'Annecy, LAPP, BP. 110

F {74941 Annecy-le-Vieux, France

Jean-M arc R ichardy

Institut des Sciences Nucleaires (CNRS (IN 2P 3 Universite Joseph Fourier 53, avenue des Martyrs, F-38026 Grenoble, France

and

Institut fur Theoretische Kemphysik Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelm s Universitat Nu allee 14{16, D{52115 Bonn, Germany

A bstract

The mass of the $^0_{\rm C}$ baryon with quark content (ssc) is computed in a potential model whose parameters have been determined in 1981 by thing the spectrum of heavy mesons. It is found in perfect agreement with a recent measurement at the CERN hyperon-beam experiment. The spectroscopy of other charmed baryons in potential models is brie y reviewed.

CERN {TH/95-86

March 25, 2024.

^y Supported by a A. von Humboldt French-Germ an Research Grant

 $^{^?}$ URA 14-36 du CNRS associée a l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure de Lyon et a l'Universite de Savoie

M any potential models have been successfully tuned to reproduce the spectrum and static properties of heavy quarkonia. A key property is avour independence: the same potential holds for various quark {antiquark systems. Small corrections are however expected, in particular in the spin-dependent part. They arise when reducing any relativistic kernel into the Schrodinger fram ework.

One of these models with avour independence built in is the simple power-law potential designed by one of us [1]

$$V = 8.064 + 6.8698 \,\mathrm{r}^{0.1}; \tag{1}$$

where units are GeV for the potential V, and GeV 1 for the interquark distance r. This is a variant of the logarithm ic potential which produces the same spacings for all $Q \overline{Q}'$ spectra [2]. The central potential (1) is supplemented by a spin {spin term of contact type

$$V_{SS} = 1:112 \frac{\sim_1 - 2}{m_1 m_2}$$
 (2)

where the m $_{\rm i}$ are the constituent m asses. $V_{\rm SS}$ is treated at $\,$ rst order, and is adjusted to reproduce the correct J= $\,$ $_{\rm c}$ hyper ne splitting of charm on ium . The quark m asses are

$$m_s = 0.518;$$
 $m_c = 1.8;$ $m_b = 5.174 \,GeV:$ (3)

This model has been very successful in reproducing the masses of the cc, bb, ss and cs bound states and its predictions for the bs system have been checked experimentally [3].

The model of Eqs.(1-3) was applied in Ref. [4] to compute the mass of the , using the sem i-empirical rule

$$V_{QQ} = \frac{1}{2} V_{Q\overline{Q}}; \tag{4}$$

which is discussed in [4], and references therein. The result, 1662 M eV (actually now 1666 M eV from an improved computation of the hyper ne contribution), comes very close to the experimental value M () = 1672 M eV. New, and accurate determinations of the mass of the $^{\circ}_{\rm c}$ are expected, and preliminary results are already reported. A value

$$M (_{c}) = 2706.8 \quad 1 M \text{ eV}$$
 (5)

was presented at the Rencontres de Moriond, in march 1995, by the WA89 collaboration [5], which uses the CERN hyperon beam [6]. This value has to be compared with 2740 20 MeV by the WA62 CERN experiment [7], 2719 7 2:5 MeV by ARGUS [8], and 2705:9 3:3 2:0 MeV by the E687 experiment at Fermilab [9]. For a review on experimental and theoretical aspects of heavy baryons, see, e.g., [10].

It seems interesting to repeat the calculation of [4] for (ssc) con gurations with spin 1/2 ($_{\rm c}$), and 3/2 ($_{\rm c}$). We nd

M (
$$_{c}$$
) = 2708; M ($_{c}$?) = 2760 M eV; (6)

Table 1: Comparison of predictions for the masses of cand baryons (in MeV).

Authors	Ref.	С		С	
Roncaglia et al.	[12]	2710	30	2770	30
Sam uelet al.	[13]	2717	25	2767	35
Izatt et al.	[14]	2610		2710	
Rho et al.	[15]	2786		2811	
de Rujula et al.	[16]	2680		2720	
M altm ann et al.	[17]	2730		2790	
C han	[18]	2773		2811	
Richard et al.	[19]	2664		2775	
Silvestre-Brac	[20]	2675		2749	
P resent work		2708		2760	

using an hyperspherical expansion up to a \grand" orbital momentum L=8 [11]. Hence, it is expected that $^?_{\rm c}$ will decay into $_{\rm c}$ with emission of a photon of about 52 M eV .

O ther predictions from the literature are listed in Table 1. The estimate of Roncaglia et al. [12] does not result from a special commodel, but from a survey of the regularities of the hadron spectrum in avour space. Not surprisingly, it comes very close to the (preliminary) experimental mass. Ref. [13] is a lattice calculation, [14] a bag model. The others are potential models. The closest to the present one is [19], where the same functional dependence as in Eqs. (1,2) is used, but, there, it is attempted to tall baryons, even those with light quarks, and this results in a larger strength for the hyper ne correction.

On the same line as [12], one can derive inequalities which do not depend on the specic choice of the potential V, and would in fact hold for any avour-independent model. Examples were already given for beautiful baryons [21] and for light avour [11]. We assume that the interquark potential in baryons and quarkonia satisfy

$$V_{QQQ}(\mathbf{r}_1;\mathbf{r}_2;\mathbf{r}_3) = \frac{1}{2} {}^{X}_{i < j} V_{QQ}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j); \qquad (7)$$

as for instance with the prescription (4), or with the string-motivated model [2]

$$V_{QQ} = r; V_{QQQ} = m_{J} in (d_1 + d_2 + d_3); (8)$$

where d_i is the distance from the i^{th} quark to a junction J whose location is adjusted to m in in ize the potential.

From Eq. (7), one easily derives an inequality between spin-averaged ground-state m asses [11]

M (ssc)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
M (ss) + M (cs): (9)

This lower bound can be estimated near 2.5 GeV, and is rather crude. It can be improved in two ways. First one introduces hyper ne corrections, assuming a linear dependence of the Hamiltonian upon the spin operators \sim_i $_{\tilde{\jmath}}$. As shown in [21], one gets

M (
$$_{c}$$
) $\frac{1}{2}$ M () + $\frac{3}{4}$ M (D_{s}) + $\frac{1}{4}$ M ($D_{s}^{?}$): (10)

One can also use the Hall{Post techniques [23] to rem ove the centre-ofm ass energy left over in 2-body subsystems when deriving (9). Let us simplify the notations into m $_{\rm s}$ = 1 and m $_{\rm c}$ = M , with typically M ' 3 4. The kinetic energy of the baryon

$$T = \frac{p_1^2}{2} + \frac{p_2^2}{2} + \frac{p_3^2}{2M}$$
 (11)

can be rewritten as [24]

$$T = (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) (p_2 + p_2 + p_3) (p_3 + p_2 + p_3) (p_3 + p_3) (p_4 + p_2 + p_3) (p_5 + p_3) (p_5 + p_4 + p_4 + p_4 + p_4 + p_4 + p_4 + p_5 + p_4 + p_5 + p_4 + p_5 + p$$

where, for given x, the inverse masses are

$$a_{23} = a_{31} = \frac{1+x}{1+2x}^{2} + 1 + \frac{2}{M}$$

$$a_{12} = \frac{8}{(1+2x)^{2}} + x(1+x) + \frac{1}{2M}$$
(13)

This gives the following inequality on Hamiltonians

$$H_3 = T + V_{QQQ} \frac{1}{2} X_{i < j} H_2(a_{ij}; V_{QQ});$$
 (14)

where H_2 (a; V) = a + V. This implies an inequality on ground-state energies

$$E_3$$
 (ssc) X $E_2[a_{ij}]$: (15)

The lh.c. can be optim ized by varying x, leading to inverse m asses a_{ij} which are larger than the inverse m asses $b_{ij} = (m_i^{-1} + m_j^{-1})=2$ in actual ss or cs m esons. The corresponding change of binding energy can be bounded in terms of the orbital excitation energy $E = E_2$ (1P) E_2 (1S). The result is [25]

$$E_{2}[a] E_{2}[b] \frac{3}{4} \frac{a}{a} E[b];$$
 (16)

with mild restrictions on the $Q\overline{Q}$ potential, V > 0, and $V^{0} < 0$, i.e., a behaviour in between Coulomb and linear. If one estimates E form the experimental information

on positive-parity ss and cs mesons (with some uncertainty for estimating the spin-averaged masses), and varies the parameter x, one ends with a lower limit

M (
$$_{c}$$
) > 2:65 G eV : (17)

The main conclusion of this study is that the 1/2" rule works surprisingly well for relating mesons to baryons. The and $_{\rm c}$ masses are well reproduced. The predictions for the ground state with other avour combinations are

M (
$$_{cc}$$
) = 3:737; M ($_{cc}$) = 3:797; M ($_{ccc}$) = 4:787 G eV: (18)

There are reasonable expectations that these states, $\$ the ultim ate goal of baryon spectroscopy" [26, 27] would be seen at LHC.

A cknow ledgm ents

One of us $(A\ M\)$ would like to repeat his thanks to M urray Gell-M ann for suggesting him to include strange quarks in potential models, He would also like to thank Tran Thanh Van for the hospitality at the Rencontres de Morriond.

R eferences

- [1] A.Martin, Phys. Lett. 100B (1981) 511.
- [2] C.Quigg and J.L.Rosner, Phys. Lett. 71B (1977) 153;
 M.Machacek and Y.Tomozawa, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 110 (1978) 40.
- [3] A. Martin, preprint CERN-TH 7352/94, to appear in Symmetry and Simplicity in Physics, (A symposium on the occasion of Sergio Fubini's 65th birthday), Eds. W. M. Alberico and S. Sciuto, W. orld Scientic (1994).
- [4] J.M. Richard, Phys. Lett. 100B (1981) 515.
- [5] F.D ropm ann (WA89 collaboration), Rencontres de Moriond (1995), to be edited by J.Tran Thanh Van (Editions Frontieres).
- [6] Roland W ending et al., Production of Charmed Baryons in the CERN Hyperon Beam Experiment WA89, presented at 27th International Conference on High Energy Physics (ICHEP), Glasgow, Scotland, 20-27 Jul 1994.
- [7] S.F. Biagi et al. (W A 62 collaboration), Z. Phys. C 28 (1985) 175.
- [8] H.Albrecht et al. (ARGUS collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 288 (1992) 367.
- [9] P.L. Frabetti et al. (E 687 collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 300 (1993) 190.
- [10] J.Komer, M.Kramer, and D.Pirjol, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys. 33 (1994) 787.

- [11] J.M. Richard, Phys. Rep. 212 (1992) 1.
- [12] R.Roncaglia, D.B. Lichtenberg, and E.P redazzi, P redicting the masses of baryons containing one or two heavy quarks, preprint hep-ph 9502251.
- [13] S. Samuel and K. J.M. Moriarty, Phys. Lett. B 175 (1986) 197.
- [14] D. Izatt, C. DeTar and M. Stephenson, Nucl. Phys. B 199 (1982) 269.
- [15] M. Rho, D. O. Riska and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Lett. B 251 (1990) 597.
- [16] A. de Rujula, H. Georgiand S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 2060.
- [17] K.Maltman and N. Isqur, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 1701.
- [18] L.Chan, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1977) 2478.
- [19] J.M. Richard and P. Taxil, Phys. Lett. B 128 (1983) 453.
- [20] B. Silvestre-Brac, Spectrum and static properties of heavy baryons, Grenoble preprint ISN 94-60, to appear in Few-Body Systems, and private communication.
- [21] A.Martin and J.M. Richard, Phys. Lett. B 185 (1987) 426.
- [22] H G .D osch and V F .M uller, Nucl. Phys. B 116 (1976) 470;
 D .G rom es and I.O .Stam atescu, Z .Physik C 3 (1979) 4;
 P . H asenfratz et al., Phys. Lett. 94 (1980) 401;
 J . C arlson, J . K ogut and V R . Pandharipande, Phys. Rev. D 27 (1983) 233;
 D 28 (1983) 2807.
- [23] R. L. Hall and H. R. Post, Proc. Phys. Soc. 90 (1967) 381.
- [24] J.L.Basdevant, A.Martin, J.M.Richard and T.T.Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 393 (1993) 111.
- [25] J.L. Basdevant, A.M artin and J.M. Richard, Nucl. Phys. B 343 (1990) 69.
- [26] B.J. Bjorken, in Proc. Int. Conf. on Hadron Spectroscopy, College Park, 1985, ed.S.Oneda (A.I.P., 1985).
- [27] M J. Savage and M B. W ise, Phys. Lett. B 248 (1990) 177;
 M J. Savage and R. P. Springer, Int. J. M odem Phys. A 6 (1991) 1701.