Phenom enology of x_F Dependence of Quarkonium Production in Proton-Nucleus Interactions

W.Q.Chao^{1;2;3}, C.S.Gao^{1;3;4} and Y.B.He^{1;4}

1. China Center of Advanced Science and Technology (W orld Laboratory),

P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

2. Institute of H igh Energy Physics, A cadem ia Sinica, P.O. Box 918(4), Beijing 100039, China

3. Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academ ia Sinica, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

4. Physics Department, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Abstract

W e present a phenom enological study of the x_F dependence of quarkonium production in high energy proton-nucleus collisions. The x_F dependence of com over contributions is introduced to account for the observed quarkonium suppression at low x_F . C om bining com over contributions, nuclear shadow ing e ect, energy loss mechanism and nuclear absorption together we reproduce the overall x_F dependence of E 772/E 789 data.

Typeset using REVT_EX

Partly supported by the National Science Foundation of China

A strong suppression of charm on ium $(J = , ^{0})$ and bottom on ium $(_{1S}, _{2S+3S})$ production has been observed in p A collisions on heavy relative to light nuclear targets $[1 \{4\}]$. Special attentions have been paid to the signi cant suppressions at low (sm all or negative) and high x_F regions. The suppression at low x_F is usually believed to come from nuclear absorption and com over contributions. The maximum contribution of nuclear absorption to the J= suppression was studied in ref. [5], and it was found that nuclear absorption alone cannot account for the J= suppression measured in either p A or A B collisions. Since at E 772 energy most of the physical bound states are formed welloutside target nucleus due to the Lorentz dilation of the form ation time, nuclear absorption can contribute little to the observed suppression at low x_F region. W hile com overs can continue to interact well outside of the nuclear volume, they may in principle play a more in portant role in proton-nucleus collisions, although the number of comovers in p A reactions is not so large as in A В collisions. In the literature com over contributions are generally estimated in the central rapidity region without x_F dependence. To our knowledge there is no direct explanation of the E772/E789 data at x_F ' 0 and below [3,4]. In this work we use a simple model to extrapolate the rapidity distribution of com overs for p A reactions from p p data [6], introducing the x_F dependence of com over contributions, to explain the E772/E789 data.

On the other hand, several mechanisms have been proposed to account for the suppression at large x_F . Am ong these, nuclear shadowing e ect alone is argued to be unable to explain the data [3]. The intrinsic charm model [7,8] was expected to explain this x_F dependence. However, E789 data of J= production at very large x_F [9] show no evidence of intrinsic charm contribution. The energy loss mechanism [10] could account for the observed suppression at large x_F . Nevertheless, the am ount of energy loss needed to explain the E772 J= data appears to be signil cantly larger than the value determ ined from other considerations [11]. In our work, we combine energy loss mechanism together with nuclear shadowing e ect, nuclear absorption and com over contributions, and reproduce the large

2

 x_F data with a sm aller amount of energy loss.

In the case of quarkonium production, e.g., J= production, in proton-nucleus collisions, com oving secondary particles can scatter with the cc pair, and contribute to the observed J= suppression. These com over contributions have been studied in the literature [12{14,8]. However, since the shape of the rapidity density of the com overs in inclusive J= production for p-A collisions is unknown, com over density is usually estimated in the central rapidity region, and taken as a constant independent of the com over rapidity. In this work we will use a simple model to extrapolate the rapidity distribution of com overs for p-A reactions from p-p data [6]. A ssum ing that com overs with rapidity close to the rapidity of a cc pair can cause the breakup of the cc pair, we translate the rapidity distribution of com overs into x_F distribution, and include the resulting x_F dependence of com over contributions to explain the E 772/E 789 low x_F data. W e will follow the work of refs. [8,14], and in prove the treatment of com over contributions.

Including only the comover contributions, the x_F dependent cross section of J= production in p A collisions can be expressed as

$$\frac{d^{pA}}{dx_{F}} = \frac{d^{pp}}{dx_{F}}^{Z} d^{2}b^{t^{1}} dz_{A} (b;z) exp \int_{0}^{T} d_{\infty} vn(;b); \qquad (1)$$

where $_{A}$ is the nuclear density pro le, $_{\infty}$ is the (cc)-com over absorption cross section, $_{0}$ is the form ation time of com overs, $_{f}$ is the elective proper time over which the com overs can interact with cc pair, v is the relative velocity of cc with the com overs, and n (;b) is the density of com overs at the proper time and in pact parameter b.

A ssum ing B jorken's hydrodynam ics [15], the com over density varies with the proper time according to,

$$n() = \frac{0}{0}n(0)$$
: (2)

The com overs consist mostly of , and ! m esons. We relate n, the density of com overs, to n_{ch} , the density of produced charged particles, by $n = fn_{ch}$. For example, f ' 1:5 if com overs are all 's with equal numbers of ⁺, and ⁰.

The charged particle density at 0 can be related to rapidity distribution by

$$n_{ch} (_{0}; b) = \frac{1}{\lim_{i \to 0} \frac{dN_{ch}^{pA}(b)}{dy}};$$
(3)

where $_{in}$ ' 30 m b is the nucleon-nucleon inelastic scattering cross section.

Now we use the so called independent cluster model [16] to extrapolate the charged particle rapidity distribution for p A reactions from p p data [6].

We t the data at p = 45.2 GeV, which is close to the E 772 energy, in the form,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dN}_{\mathrm{ch}}^{\mathrm{pp}}}{\mathrm{d}} = \mathbb{W} \exp^{n} \left(\left(+ _{0} \right)^{2} = ^{\circ} + \mathbb{W} \exp^{n} \left(\left(_{0} \right)^{2} = ^{\circ} \right)^{2} = ^{\circ}$$
(4)

with W = 1.75, $_0 = 1.5$ and = 2.9.

In the fram ework of the independent cluster model, we have

$$\frac{dN_{ch}^{pA}(b)}{d} = (b)W \exp^{n} (+_{0})^{2} = + W \exp^{n} (-_{0})^{2} = ;$$
(5)

where (b) = $\int_{in}^{R} dz_{A}$ (b; z) is the num ber of target participants at the in pact parameter b.

In order to introduce the x_F dependence of com over contributions, we assume that those com overs with rapidity close to the rapidity of a J= can cause the breakup of the J= , and we relate the rapidity of J= in the center-ofm ass system to x_F , which is de ned as $x_F = P_L = (P_L)_{max}$, by

$$y = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{E + P_{L}}{E - P_{L}}; \qquad (6)$$

where E and P_L are the energy and longitudinal momentum of J= in the cm s.

In our approach to the x_F dependent com over density two main parameters are f and $_0$. In Fig.1 we show the x_F dependence of com over densities for various sets of f and $_0$. Note that the dotted curve with f = 1.5 0.6 and $_0 = 0.8$ fm is plotted in view of the argum ent of ref. [12], which represents a com over density too low to explain the data. In our further calculations we will x f = 1.5. The com over form ation time has been estimated to be about 0.4 1.2 fm =c, the time scale of both quark and hadron form ation in the early stages of the collision [17,18]. It can be seen from F ig.1 that the com over density is sensitive to the choice of $_0$. In the next section we will vary $_0$ and $_\infty$ to attribute the observed suppression at low x_F region to com over contributions.

Since com overs can interact with the produced heavy quarks Q or Q before the quarkonium bound state can be formed, and the interaction is independent of whether or not the heavy quark pair is produced as a color octet or singlet, we expect that for charm onium production the (cc)-com over interaction cross section is the same for the J= and ⁰ bound states. Furthermore, the com over contributions are expected to be independent of the – nal hadronic size. As a result, although we expect the occurrence of signi cant com over absorptions with strong nulear dependence, the J= and ⁰ production would have the same nuclear dependence under com over absorption, which is consistent with the earlier experimental observation [3].

III. COM B IN AT ION OF MECHAN ISM S, NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section we combine comover contributions, nuclear absorption, nuclear shadowing e ect and energy loss mechanism to reproduce the overall x_F dependence of E772/E789 data on J= and production.

We will calculate x_F dependence of for J= and production in the parametrization,

$$\frac{d^{pA}}{dx_{F}} = \frac{d^{pp}}{dx_{F}} A^{(x_{F})}$$
(7)

For J= production, we make use of the fact that about 60% of the nalJ= 's are produced directly, about 30% come from $_{c}$ intermediate states and the remaining 10% are produced through the decay of ⁰. Analogously, about 41% of the nal ₁₅'s are produced directly,

5

about 35% and 18% come from the decay of $_{\rm b}$ and $_{\rm b}^0$, about 5% and 1% are produced through the decay of $_{2\rm S}$ and $_{3\rm S}$ [19].

The cross section of quarkonium production in p-A collisions is

$$\frac{d^{pA}}{dx_{F}} = \frac{d^{pp}}{dx_{F}}^{Z} d^{2}b_{1}^{Z^{1}} dz_{A} (b;z)exp: dz^{0}_{A} (b;z^{0})_{abs} (z^{0} z); exp dz^{0}_{A} (b;z^{0})_{abs} (z^{0} z);$$
(8)

where several mechanisms have been included.

The averaged nucleon-nucleon cross section of p A reactions, pp in eq. (8), is the same as the bare nucleon-nucleon cross section except that in pp the distribution functions of the gluon, quark and antiquark within the target nucleus are nuclear modiled. We follow the work of ref. [20] to incorporate the shadowing elect into pp , and use parameters determined in ref. [8].

The rst exponential in eq. (8) represents the contribution of nuclear absorption. We take the values of parameters in the following way: the formation time of charmonia and bottomonia, = 0.89 fm, = 0.76 fm, etc., is taken from ref. [21], the absorption cross section between resonances and nucleon is chosen as

$$_{\rm RN} = {}_{\rm N} ({\bf r}_{\rm R} = {\bf r})^2;$$
 (9)

with $_{\rm N} = 6 \,\mathrm{m}$ b, and the radii of resonances $r_{\rm R}$ taken from ref. [21]. In particular, we want to point out that with the reason discussed in the rst section, the nuclear absorption can contribute very little suppression even with a rather large absorption cross section $_{\rm N} = 6 \,\mathrm{m}$ b, as indicated by the fact that the nuclear dependence of J= and 0 production is the sam e within errors [3].

We follow the work of ref. [10] to include the contribution of energy loss mechanism in our calculations. In ref. [10] only energy loss mechanism is taken into account, and an upper bound of energy loss is obtained, dE = dZ ' 1:5 G eV=fm. Moreover, the energy loss contribution in ref. [10] has not been norm alized, which im plies an integrated suppression. In our calculations, we complete the norm alization in a similar way as in ref. [22],

$$\frac{d^{pA}}{dx_F}(x_F) j_{\text{norm al:}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{pA}}{dx_F}(x_F =); \qquad (10)$$

where $=\frac{x_F}{x_F + x_F}$, and x_F is the shift of x_F due to the energy loss. Since nuclear shadowing e ect can also contribute to the quarkonium production suppression at large x_F , in our calculation a value of dE =dZ ' 0.5 G eV=fm is found to be able to t the data quite well, which is consistent with the value obtained from other considerations [11].

The com over contributions are included in the second exponential in eq.(8). We take the elective proper time $f = r_0 = c_s$, where r_0 is the projectile radius which we take to be 12 fm, and $c_s = 1 = \frac{p}{3}$ [8].

The results of our calculations are compared to E772/E789 data for J= production at 800 GeV=c in Figs2 and 3, with di erent sets of $_{\infty}$ and $_{0}$. We nd that $_{\infty}$ = 4.0 m b and $_{0}$ = 0.8 fm=c is the best t, which corresponds to a comover density with maximal value about 6 fm ³. Fig2 shows that a lower density (larger $_{0}$) is not su cient to explain the data. In Fig.3 we see that a comover absorption cross section $_{\infty}$ sm aller than 4.0 m b is also insu cient to t the data. Note that the dot-dashed curve in Fig.3 corresponds to the case without comover contributions. The curve illustrates a very sm all contribution of nulear absorption at low $x_{\rm F}$, as we expected. One can not in Fig.3 that although the num ber of comovers is not very large in p A reactions, comover interactions have a much more important e ect than nuclear absorption.

A comparison of our calculations for production with the E772 data is shown in Fig.4. The dashed curve with $_{\infty} = 4.0 \text{ mb}$ and $_{0} = 0.8 \text{ fm} = \text{c}$ is somehow lower than the experimental points at $x_{\rm F}$ ' 0.3. This may imply our over-estimate of comover contributions when we t the data at low $x_{\rm F}$ region.

In the literature studies of nucleus-nucleus reactions indicated that the com over density at an early stage of the collision is very high, possibly from 1 to 5 fm³. In our work, a com over density with a maximum 6 fm³ is used when we t the E 772/E 789 data at low x_F . W ith such a high density one would rather think of these com overs as light quarks and antiquarks than as pions and low-m ass resonances [14]. However, whether it is possible to

obtain such a high density in proton-nucleus collisions appears questionable. P resum ably some new mechanism might also contribute at low x_F region.

A coording to ref. [23], absorption in con-ned hadronic matter is excluded as a possible cause of the J= suppression observed in nucleus-nucleus collisions. Since at low x_F region neither energy loss nor nuclear shadowing e ect is in portant, it seems di cult to understand the low x_F suppression in proton-nucleus collisions, in which no decon-ned matter is expected. Our work shows that com over interactions play an important role at the low x_F region, and a com over absorption cross section as large as 4 m b is needed to confront the E772/E789 data. In addition, a recent work observed that nuclear antishadowing leads to enhanced J= production at low x_F , which is in contradiction with the E772/E789 data [24]. These issues suggest that the low x_F suppression in proton in proton in proton in proton in proton with the E772/E789 data that the low x_F suppression in proton at low x_F suppression in proton at low x_F suppression in proton at low x_F and possibly requires some new mechanism.

To sum marize, we have reproduced the overall x_F dependence of quarkonium production in proton-nucleus collisions, by combining comover contributions, nuclear shadowing e ect, nuclear absorption and energy loss mechanism together. In particular, by including the x_F dependence of comover distribution we show that comover interactions have a very important e ect when one attempts to explain the observed quarkonium suppression, especially at low x_F region, in proton-nucleus collisions. However, our work indicates that a fairly high density of comovers is needed to explain the E772/E789 low x_F suppression, which might imply some new mechanism occurs at this region as well.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Q H. Zhang and H M. Hu for helpful discussions.

8

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Badier et al., Z. Phys. C 20, 101 (1983).
- [2] S.Kartik et al., Phys. Rev. D 41, 1 (1990).
- [3] D.M.Alde et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 133 (1991).
- [4] M.J.Leitch et al., Nucl. Phys. A 544, 197c (1992).
- [5] S.Gavin, H.Satz, R.L.Thews and R.Vogt, Z.Phys.C 61, 351 (1994).
- [6] W .Thomeetal, Nucl. Phys. B 129, 365 (1977).
- [7] S.J.Brodsky and P.Hoyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1566 (1989).
- [8] R. Vogt, S. J. Brodsky and P. Hoyer, Nucl. Phys. B 360, 67 (1991).
- [9] M.S.Kowitt et al., Phys.Rev.Lett. 72, 1318 (1994).
- [10] S.Gavin and J.M ilana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1834 (1992).
- [11] S.J.Brodsky and P.Hoyer, Phys. Lett. B 298, 165 (1993).
- [12] S.Gavin, M.Gyulassy and A.Jackson, Phys. Lett. B 207, 257 (1988).
- [13] R. Vogt, M. Prakash, P. Koch and T. H. Hansson, Phys. Lett. B 207, 263 (1988); J. Ftacnik, P. Lichard, and J. Pisut, Phys. Lett. B 207, 194 (1988).
- [14] S.Gavin and R.Vogt, Nucl. Phys. B 345, 104 (1990).
- [15] J.D.B jorken, Phys. Rev. D 27, 140 (1983).
- [16] W.Q.Chao, M.K. Hegab and J.Hufner, Nucl. Phys. A 395, 482 (1983).
- [17] S.J.Brodsky and A.H.Mueller, Phys. Lett. B 206, 685, (1988).
- [18] C.Y.W ong, Introduction to High-Energy Heavy-Ion Collisions, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1994.

- [19] G.Piller, J.M utzbauer and W.Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 560, 437 (1993).
- [20] J.Qiu, Nucl. Phys. B 291, 746 (1987).
- [21] F.Karsch and R.Petronzio, Z.Phys.C 37, 627 (1988).
- [22] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Z.Phys.C 60, 389 (1993).
- [23] D.Kharzeev and H.Satz, Phys.Lett.B 334, 155 (1994).
- [24] S. Liuti and R. Vogt, LBL-35821, hep-ph/9407272.

Figure Captions

Fig.1. x_F dependence of com over densities for di erent sets of f and $_0$.

Fig.2. x_F dependence for J= production from E772/E789 [3,4]. The curves compare our calculations to the data, with a xed $\infty = 4.0$ mb and various values of $_0$.

Fig.3. x_F dependence for J= production from E772/E789 [3,4]. The curves compare our calculations to the data, with a xed $_0 = 0.8$ fm =c and $_{\infty} = 4.0$ m b; 2.0 m b. The dot-dashed line corresponds to the case without com over contributions.

Fig.4. Our calculations of x_F dependence for bottom onlum production with di erent sets of $_{\infty}$ and $_{0}$ are compared to the E772 data [3].











Fig. 3

Ю