

# A proposal to search for a monochromatic component of solar axions using $^{57}\text{Fe}$

Shigetaka Moriyma

Department of Physics, School of Science, University of Tokyo,

7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

(April 18, 1995)

## Abstract

A new experimental scheme is proposed to search for almost monochromatic solar axions, whose existence has not been discussed heretofore. The axions would be produced when thermally excited  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the sun relaxes to its ground state and could be detected via resonant excitation of the same nuclide in a laboratory. A detailed calculation shows that the rate of the excitation is up to order 10 events/day/kg- $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The excitation can be detected efficiently using bolometers or liquid scintillators.

Typeset using REVTeX

---

E-mail address: moriyam@icepp.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

The most attractive solution of the strong CP problem is to introduce the Peccei-Quinn global symmetry which is spontaneously broken at energy scale  $f_a$  [1]. The original axion model assumed that  $f_a$  is equal to the electroweak scale. Although it has been experimentally excluded, variant "invisible" axion models are still viable, in which  $f_a$  is assumed to be very large; since coupling constants of the axion with matter are inversely proportional to  $f_a$ , experimental detection becomes very difficult. Such models are referred to as hadronic [2] and Dine-Fischler-Srednicki-Zhitnitski (DFSZ) [3] axions. At present, these "invisible" axions are constrained by laboratory searches and by astrophysical and cosmological arguments. One frequently quoted window for  $f_a$  which escapes all the phenomenological constraints is  $10^{10} - 10^{12}$  GeV. Besides this, there is another window around  $10^6$  GeV for the hadronic axions which have vanishing tree level coupling to the electron. This is usually called the hadronic axion window. Recently, a careful study [4] of the hadronic axion window revealed that  $f_a$  in the range  $3 \times 10^5 - 3 \times 10^6$  GeV cannot be excluded by the existing arguments, because most of them were based on the axion-photon coupling which is the least known parameter among those describing the low energy dynamics of the hadronic axions.

Although several authors [5-7] proposed experimental methods to search for the axions with  $f_a$  around  $10^6$  GeV, all of the methods are clearly based on the axion-photon coupling both at the source and at the detector. The method utilizes only the Primakoff effect; photons in the sun are converted into axions, which are commonly called the solar axions, and they are re-converted into x rays in a laboratory. Thus there have been no experimental alternatives to test the hadronic axion window independently of the axion-photon coupling.

Owing to axion coupling to nucleons, there is another component of solar axions. If some nuclides in the sun have M1 transitions and are excited thermally, axion emission from nuclear deexcitation could be also possible.  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  can be a suitable axion emitter by the following reasons: (i)  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  has an M1 transition between the first excited state and the ground state, (ii) the first excitation energy of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  is 14.4 keV, which is not too high compared with the temperature in the center of the sun ( $\sim 1.3$  keV) [8], and (iii)  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  is one of the stable isotopes of iron (natural abundance 2.2%), which is exceptionally abundant

among heavy elements in the sun [9]. If the axion exists, therefore, strong emission of axions is expected from this nuclide.

These monochromatic axions would excite the same nuclide in a laboratory because the axions are Doppler broadened due to thermal motion of the axion emitter in the sun and thus some axions have energy suitable to excite the nuclide.

I propose to search for the axions by detecting this excitation. Since both the emission and absorption occurs via the axion-nucleon coupling but not via the axion-photon coupling, this method is free from the uncertainty of the axion-photon coupling. In addition, this method has merits that there is no need to tune the detector to a mass of the axions and that the mass can be large far beyond that of the proposed experiment [6], in which it is restricted by high pressure of buffer gas. In this letter, the detection rate of the resonant excitation by the monochromatic solar axions is calculated and experimental methods are discussed.

To estimate axion flux from the sun, calculation can be performed as in Ref. [10]. The energy loss due to the axion emission is

$$E(T) = N \frac{2 \exp(-T)}{1 + 2 \exp(-T)} \frac{1}{2} E_a ; \quad (1)$$

where  $N = 2.9 \times 10^{27} \text{g}^{-1}$  is the number of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  per 1 g material in the sun [9],  $T = (14.4 \text{ keV})/kT$ ,  $\tau = 1.3 \times 10^6 \text{ sec}$ , and  $E_a = 14.4 \text{ keV}$ .  $a$  represents the branching ratio [10],

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{g_0 + g_3}{(g_0 + g_3) + g_3} ; \quad (2)$$

where  $\frac{1}{2}$  is the  $E2/M1$  mixing ratio.  $g_0$  and  $g_3$  are the isoscalar and isovector magnetic moments, respectively:  $g_0 = 1.2$  and  $g_3 = 4.71$ .  $\mu_N = 1.19$  and  $\mu_p = 0.80$  are the nuclear-structure-dependent terms.  $g_0$  and  $g_3$  are defined as [11]

$$L = a N \mu_N (g_0 + g_3) N ; \quad (3)$$

$$g_0 = 7.8 \times 10^8 \frac{6.2 \times 10^4}{f_a \text{ GeV}} \frac{3F + D + 2S}{3} ; \quad (4)$$

$$g_3 = 7.8 \cdot 10^8 \frac{6.2 \cdot 10^4}{f_a = \text{GeV}} (D + F) \frac{1}{1+z} z; \quad (5)$$

$$m_a = \frac{p \frac{z}{2} f m}{1 + z \frac{f_a}{p \frac{z}{2}}} = 1 \text{ eV} \frac{1.3 \cdot 10^7}{1 + z \frac{f_a = \text{GeV}}{p \frac{z}{2}}}; \quad (6)$$

where  $D$  and  $F$  denote the reduced matrix elements for the  $SU(3)$  octet axial vector currents and  $S$  characterizes the flavor singlet coupling. The naive quark model (NQM) predicts  $S = 0.68$  [10], but measurements [12] show that  $S = 0.22 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ .  $z = m_b = m_d = 0.56$  in the first order calculation.  $m_a$  is evaluated to be 1 eV with  $z = 0.56$  and  $f_a = 6.2 \cdot 10^4 \text{ GeV}$ . Using Eqs. (2)-(5), Eq. (1) becomes

$$E(T) = 4.6 \cdot 10^4 \text{ erg g}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \frac{10^6 \text{ GeV}}{f_a} C^2 \exp(-T); \quad (7)$$

$$C(D; F; S; z) = 1.19 \frac{3F - D + 2S}{3} + (D + F) \frac{1}{1+z} z; \quad (8)$$

where  $T = 1$  is assumed in the solar interior. Our estimation slightly differs from that of Ref. [10] because a different value of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  abundance in the sun is used [9].

Eq. (7) provides an estimation of the differential axion flux at the earth,

$$\frac{d\langle E_a \rangle}{dE_a} = \frac{1}{4 R_E^2} \int_0^{R_E} \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(-\frac{(E_a - E)^2}{2 T^2}\right) \frac{E(T)}{E} (r) 4 \pi r^2 dr; \quad (9)$$

where  $R_E$  is the average distance between the sun and the earth.  $R$  denotes the solar radius.  $T(r)$  and  $\rho(r)$  are the temperature and the mass density at the radius  $r$ , respectively.

$\Gamma(T) = E \sqrt{kT/m}^{1/2}$  represents the Doppler broadening.  $m$  is the mass of the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  nucleus. It should be noted that the number of the iron atom per unit mass is assumed to be uniform as in the framework of the standard solar model (SSM) [8], i.e. that  $N$  is independent of  $r$ . In addition, the SSM provides the mass density and the temperature as a function of the radius  $r$ , which is necessary for calculating Eq. (9). The values of the functions are taken from Table

XVI in Ref. [8]. Thus Eq. (9) can be evaluated if one fixes  $D$ ;  $F$ ;  $S$ ;  $z$ ; and  $f_a$ . The sharp peak in Fig. 1 corresponds to the axion flux evaluated with  $D = 0.77$ ;  $F = 0.48$ ;  $S = 0.68$ ;  $z = 0.56$ ; and  $f_a = 10^6 \text{ GeV}$ . Also shown is the expected axion flux generated through the Primakoff effect [6]. It is a striking fact that substantial axion emission is expected from the nuclear deexcitation. The differential flux at  $E$  is obtained to be

$$A = 2.0 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ keV}^{-1} \frac{(10^6 \text{ GeV})^4}{f_a} C^2; \quad (10)$$

where dependences on  $D$ ;  $F$ ;  $S$ ; and  $z$  are included in  $C$ . The effects of the nuclear recoil and of the red shift due to the gravitation of the sun are negligible. The former decreases the axion energy by only about  $1.9 \cdot 10^3 \text{ eV}$  and the latter about  $1.5 \cdot 10^1 \text{ eV}$ , which are negligibly small compared with the width of the peak in Fig. 1.

In a laboratory, these axions would resonantly excite  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The rate of the excitation is calculated as follows. It is a reasonable approximation that  $d(E_a) = dE_a = A$  over the natural width of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ ,  $\Gamma$  (10 neV), around 14.4 keV because the width of the peak in Fig. 1 is extremely broadened to about 5 eV. Hence the rate of the excitation per  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  nuclei is

$$R_N = A \cdot \sigma_{0;a} \cdot \frac{\Gamma}{2}; \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma_{0;a} = 2 \cdot \sigma_0; \frac{a}{\Gamma}; \quad (12)$$

where  $\sigma_0 = 2.6 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ cm}^2$  is the maximum resonant cross section of  $\gamma$  rays [13] and  $\Gamma = 4.7 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ keV}$  is the total decay width of the first excited state of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The factor 2 in the Eq. (12) represents the difference of the spin multiplicity between photons and axions.

Eq. (10) and Eq. (11) now allow us to calculate total detection rate per unit mass of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the laboratory,

$$R = 3.0 \cdot 10^2 \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1} \frac{(10^6 \text{ GeV})^4}{f_a} C^4; \quad (13)$$

where  $C$  depends on  $D$ ;  $F$ ;  $S$ ; and  $z$  as shown in Eq. (8). As for  $D$  and  $F$ , measurements of the nucleon and hyperon decays show  $D = 0.77$  and  $F = 0.48$  [10]. However, the estimations of  $S$  and  $z$  have large uncertainties and ambiguity [12,14]. In particular,  $z$  might suffer large

corrections due to instanton effects [14] and be significantly smaller than the value of the first order calculation, 0.56. Therefore, the detection rate should be represented as a function of  $S$  and  $z$ . Fig. 2 shows the contours of the calculated detection rate with  $f_a = 10^6 \text{ GeV}$ .

We now turn to a discussion of experimental methods. After the excitation of the nuclei by the axion, the emission of a  $\gamma$  ray, with an energy of 14.4 keV, or the emissions of internal conversion electron, with an energy of 7.3 keV, and the subsequent atomic radiations will occur. Since the attenuation length of the  $\gamma$  ray is 20 m and the range of the electron is 0.2 m in iron, it is difficult to detect the  $\gamma$  rays or electrons outside iron. However, this excitation is detected efficiently (i) by a bolometric technique with an absorber which contains  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ -enriched iron or (ii) by using iron-loaded liquid scintillators.

Since the iron atoms have large magnetic moment, magnons might appear in crystals containing iron atoms. The additional contributions (e.g. by the magnons of the ferrimagnetism) to the specific heat is unfavorable because the essential point of the bolometric technique is that the specific heat of the absorber is very small. At least theoretically, however, if the absorber is ferrimagnetic, the specific heat due to the magnons of the ferrimagnetism can be reduced exponentially by applying strong magnetic field. For example, therefore, crystals of ferrimagnetism such as  $\text{FeO}$ ,  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ , or  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  with strong external magnetic field can be used for the absorber. The weak point of this technique is that one must enrich  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  because the total mass must be reduced.

The iron-loaded liquid scintillator is a feasible detector to search for the monochromatic axions. If one can load 10% natural iron to the scintillator by weight, the liquid scintillator with a total mass of 500 kg contain about 1-kg  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ . The large mass is easily available, but there might be large background.

The axion events are easily separated from background because the axion events would form a sharp peak in the energy spectrum. Although  $^{57}\text{Co}$  contamination in the detector might cause background events at the peak, one can reject such events using anti-coincidence with 122-keV  $\gamma$  ray, which is emitted in advance of 14.4-keV  $\gamma$  ray. It should be noted that a reanalysis of any existing data cannot constrain the hadronic axion model using the present

calculation, because the iron itself seems not to have been used as a detector of radiation yet.

In summary, a new scheme to detect almost monochromatic solar axions using resonant excitation of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  is proposed.  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  is rich in the sun and its first excitation energy is low enough to be excited thermally. Therefore, one can expect the nuclear deexcitation accompanied with the axion emission. Due to the Doppler effect associated with the thermal motion of  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  in the sun, a small portion of the axions from the nuclide can be absorbed by the same nuclide in a laboratory. The nuclide is considered as a well tuned detector of the axions. A detailed calculation shows that the excitation rate is up to order  $10 \text{ day}^{-1} \text{ kg}^{-1}$ . Although it is difficult to detect the excitation outside the iron, this excitation is detected efficiently by a bolometric technique with an absorber which contains  $^{57}\text{Fe}$ -enriched iron or by iron-loaded liquid scintillators. I am planning an experiment to search for monochromatic axions from the sun in this new scheme.

I am very much indebted to Professor M. Minowa for his many suggestions to improve the manuscript and for his helpful comments concerning experimental realities. I wish to thank Y. Inoue and Y. Kishimoto for discussions with them and Y. Inagaki, H. Asakawa, and A. Kawamura for their helpful comments. Discussions with Y. Ito, W. Ootani, and K. Nishigaki helped me to understand the bolometric technique.

## FIGURES

FIG. 1. Differential flux of the axion from the sun. The sharp peak corresponds to the axion emission from the  $^{57}\text{Fe}$  deexcitation. Broad part of the differential flux corresponds to the axion generated through the Primakoff effect.

FIG. 2. Contours of the detection rate as a function of  $S$  and  $z$ . The naive quark model (NQM) predicts  $S = 0.68$  [10], but measurements [12] show that  $S = 0.22 \pm 0.1 \pm 0.1$ .



## REFERENCES

- [1] R . D . Peccei and H . R . Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1440 (1977); Phys. Rev. D 16, 1791 (1977).
- [2] J . E . Kim , Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 103 (1979); M . A . Shifm an, A . I. Vainshtein, and V . I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 166, 493 (1980).
- [3] M . D ine, W . Fischler, and M . Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B 104, 199 (1981); A . R . Zhitnitski , Yad. Fiz. 31, 497 (1980) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 31, 260 (1980)].
- [4] S . Chang and K . Choi, Phys. Lett. B 316, 51 (1993).
- [5] P . Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1415 (1983).
- [6] K . van Bibber et al, Phys. Rev. D 39, 2089 (1989); F . Hoogeveen and R . G . Stuart, Phys. Lett. B 286, 165 (1992).
- [7] E . A . Paschos and K . Zioutas, Phys. Lett. B 323, 367 (1994).
- [8] J . N . Bahcall and M . H . P insonneault, Rev. M od. Phys. 64, 885 (1992).
- [9] S . Turck-Chieze et al, Phys. Rep. 230, 57 (1993).
- [10] W . C . H axton and K . Y . Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2557 (1991).
- [11] D . B . K aplan, Nucl. Phys. B 260, 215 (1985); M . Srednicki, Nucl. Phys. B 260, 689 (1985).
- [12] The Spin M uon Collaboration, D . A dam s et al, Phys. Lett. B 329, 399 (1994).
- [13] A . D e R ujula and K . Zioutas, Phys. Lett. B 217, 354 (1989).
- [14] D . B . K aplan and A . V . M anohar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2004 (1986); K . Choi, C . W . K im , and W . K . Sze, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 794 (1988); K . Choi, Nucl. Phys. B 383, 58 (1992).



