

University of California - Davis  
University of Wisconsin - Madison

UCD-95-12  
MADPH-95-884  
IUHET-299  
April 1995

## s-Channel Higgs Boson Production at a Muon-Muon Collider

V. Barger<sup>a</sup>, M. S. Berger<sup>b</sup>, J. F. Gunion<sup>c</sup>, and T. Han<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Physics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, USA

<sup>b</sup>Physics Department, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

<sup>c</sup>Physics Department, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

### Abstract

High luminosity muon-muon colliders would provide a powerful new probe of Higgs boson physics through s-channel resonance production. We discuss the prospects for detection of Higgs bosons and precision measurements of their masses and widths at such a machine.

The feasibility of constructing high luminosity muon-muon colliders is currently under investigation [1,2] and a first overview of the phenomenology has been given [3]. The fact that the muon is 200 times more massive than the electron makes such colliders very attractive for both practical and theoretical reasons:

- (i) synchrotron radiation does not limit their circular acceleration and multi-TeV energies can be realized;
- (ii) the beam energy resolution is not limited by beam strahlung smearing;
- (iii) the s-channel production of Higgs boson resonances ( $e^+e^- \rightarrow h$ ) would make possible precision studies of the Higgs sector.

If electroweak symmetry breaking is realized via a scalar field Higgs sector, then one of the primary goals of future colliders must be to completely delineate the Higgs spectrum and measure the Higgs masses, widths and couplings. In this Letter we present a quantitative study of the merits of s-channel Higgs production at a  $e^+e^-$  collider with excellent beam energy resolution.

Two specific muon collider schemes are under consideration. A high energy machine with 4 TeV center-of-mass energy ( $\sqrt{s}$ ) and luminosity of order  $10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [4] would have an energy reach appropriate for pair production of heavy supersymmetric particles [3] or, in the absence of Higgs bosons, the study of strong scattering of longitudinally polarized W bosons [3,5,6]. A lower energy machine, hereafter called the First Muon Collider (FMC), could have cm. energy around 0.5 TeV with a luminosity of order  $2 \cdot 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$  [4] for unpolarized beams. It is the latter machine that may be most directly relevant to the s-channel Higgs process. The most costly component of a muon collider is the muon source (decays of pions produced by proton collisions) and the muon storage rings would comprise a modest fraction of the overall cost [7]. In order that full luminosity be maintained at all cm. energies where Higgs bosons are either observed or expected, it is thus envisioned that multiple storage rings could eventually be constructed with cm. energies spanning the

desired range.

For s-channel studies of narrow resonances, the energy resolution is an important consideration. A Gaussian shape for the energy spectrum of each beam is expected to be a good approximation, with an rms deviation most naturally in the range  $R = 0.04\%$  to  $0.08\%$  [8]. By additional cooling or chromaticity corrections, this can either be decreased to  $R = 0.01\%$  or increased to  $R = 1\%$ , respectively. The corresponding rms error in  $\sqrt{s}$  is given by

$$\Delta \sqrt{s} = (0.04 \text{ GeV}) \frac{R}{0.06\%} \frac{\sqrt{s}}{100 \text{ GeV}} \quad (1)$$

The critical issue is how this resolution compares to the calculated total widths of Higgs bosons. Widths for the Standard Model Higgs  $h_{\text{SM}}$  and the three neutral Higgs bosons  $h^0$ ,  $H^0$ ,  $A^0$  of the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) are illustrated in Fig. 1; for the MSSM Higgs bosons, results at  $\tan \beta = 5$  and  $20$  are shown. For  $R < 0.06\%$ , the energy resolution in Eq. (1) can be smaller than the Higgs widths in many cases; the total luminosity required for Higgs discovery by energy scanning is also minimized by employing the smallest possible  $R$ .

The s-channel Higgs resonance cross section is

$$\sigma_h = \frac{4 \Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \Gamma(h \rightarrow X)}{(s - m_h^2)^2 + m_h^2 \Gamma_h^2} \quad (2)$$

where  $h$  denotes a generic Higgs boson which decays to a final state  $X$ . The effective cross section  $\sigma_h$  is obtained by convoluting with the Gaussian distribution in  $\sqrt{s}$ :

$$\sigma_h = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_h(s) \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{(\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s_0})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} d\sqrt{s} \quad (3)$$

For  $\sigma_h$ ,  $\sigma_h$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_h$  is given by

$$\sigma_h = \frac{4 \Gamma_h}{2\sigma^2} \sigma_h(\sqrt{s} = m_h) \quad (4)$$

and for  $\sigma_h$

$$\sigma_h = \sigma_h(\sqrt{s} = m_h) \quad (5)$$

Since the backgrounds vary slowly over the expected energy resolution interval  $\sqrt{s} = \sqrt{s} \pm \Delta\sqrt{s}$ . In terms of the integrated luminosity  $L$ , total event rates are given by  $L\sigma$ ; roughly  $L = 20 \text{ fb}^{-1}/\text{yr}$  is expected for the FCC predictions for  $\sigma_{h_{SM}}$  for inclusive SM Higgs production are given in Fig. 2 versus  $\sqrt{s} = m_{h_{SM}}$  for resolutions of  $R = 0.01\%, 0.06\%$  and  $0.1\%$ . For comparison, the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0 \rightarrow Z h_{SM}$  cross section is also shown, evaluated at the value  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z + \frac{1}{2}m_{h_{SM}}$  for which it is a maximum.

## SM Higgs Boson

The optimal strategy for SM Higgs discovery at a lepton collider is to use the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z h$  mode (or  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z h$ ) because no energy scan is needed. Studies of  $e^+e^-$  collider capabilities indicate that the SM Higgs can be discovered if  $m_{h_{SM}} < 0.7\sqrt{s}$  and its mass determined to a precision [9]

$$m_{h_{SM}} \lesssim 0.4 \text{ GeV} \sqrt{\frac{20 \text{ fb}^{-1}}{L}} \quad (6)$$

if  $m_{h_{SM}} < 140 \text{ GeV}$ . At the LHC the  $h_{SM} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  mode is deemed viable for  $80 < m_{h_{SM}} < 150 \text{ GeV}$ , with a 1% mass resolution [10]. Once the  $h_{SM}$  signal is found, the measurement of its width becomes the paramount issue, and it is this task for which s-channel resonance production at a  $e^+e^-$  collider is uniquely suited.

For  $m_{h_{SM}} < 2m_W$  the dominant  $h_{SM}$ -decay channels are  $b\bar{b}$ ,  $W W^*$ , and  $Z Z^*$ , where the star denotes a virtual weak boson. The light quark backgrounds to the  $b\bar{b}$  signal can be rejected by b-tagging. For the  $W W^*$  and  $Z Z^*$  channels we employ only the mixed leptonic/hadronic modes ( $\ell^+\ell^-j\bar{j}$  for  $W W^*$  and  $2\ell^+\ell^-, 2\ell^+\ell^-j\bar{j}$  for  $Z Z^*$ , where  $\ell = e$  or  $\mu$  and  $j$  denotes a quark jet), and the visible purely-leptonic  $Z Z^*$  modes ( $4\ell$  and  $2\ell^+\ell^-$ ), taking into account the major electroweak QCD backgrounds. For all channels we assume a general signal and background identification efficiency of  $\epsilon = 50\%$ , after selected acceptance cuts [11]. In the case of the  $b\bar{b}$  channel, this is to include the efficiency for tagging at least one b. The signal and background channel cross sections  $\sigma_{BF}(X)$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{h_{SM}}$  for  $X = b\bar{b}, W W^*$  and  $Z Z^*$  are presented in Fig. 3 versus  $m_{h_{SM}}$  for a resolution  $R = 0.06\%$ ;

$BF(X)$  includes the Higgs decay branching ratios for the signal, and the branching ratios for the  $W;W^?$  and  $Z;Z^?$  decays in the  $ZZ^?$  and  $WW^?$  final states for both the signal and the background. Also shown for each channel is the statistical significance of the signal,  $n = S/\sqrt{B}$ , where  $S$  and  $B$  are the signal and background rates,  $L^{-1}BF(X)$ ; an integrated luminosity of  $L = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  is assumed. A detectable s-channel Higgs signal is realizable for all  $m_{h_{SM}}$  values between the current LEP I limit and  $2m_W$  except in the region of the Z peak; a luminosity  $L = 10 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{h_{SM}}$  is needed for  $85 < m_{h_{SM}} < 100 \text{ GeV}$ .

With  $L = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  devoted to an energy scan around  $\sqrt{s} = m_{h_{SM}}$ , the total Higgs width could be determined with reasonable accuracy. For example, for  $m_{h_{SM}} = 120 \text{ GeV}$  the error  $\delta m_{h_{SM}}$  would be of order  $0.002 \text{ GeV}$  ( $0.008 \text{ GeV}$ ) for  $R = 0.01\%$  ( $R = 0.06\%$ ). In addition, the event rate in a given channel measures  $(h_{SM} \rightarrow X) BF(h_{SM} \rightarrow X)$ . Then, using the measured branching fractions, the  $h_{SM} \rightarrow$  partial width can be determined, providing an important test of the Higgs coupling.

## MSSM Higgs Bosons

The MSSM has three neutral Higgs bosons  $h^0$  (CP-even),  $H^0$  (CP-even), and  $A^0$  (CP-odd). There is a theoretical upper bound on the mass of the lightest state  $h^0$  of  $m_{h^0} < 130$  to  $150 \text{ GeV}$  [12,13]. If  $m_{A^0} > 2m_Z$  (typical of grand unified models), the couplings are approximately [14]

	$h^0$	$H^0$	$A^0$
$h^0$	1	$\tan \beta$	$-\cot \beta$
$H^0$	$\tan \beta$	1	$\cot \beta$
$A^0$	$-\cot \beta$	$\cot \beta$	1

$$(7)$$

times the SM Higgs couplings. Thus the  $h^0$  couplings are SM-like, while  $H^0; A^0$  have negligible  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  couplings and, for  $\tan \beta > 1$ , enhanced  $h^0$  and  $bb$  couplings. If  $m_{A^0} < m_Z$ , then  $H^0$  is SM-like, while  $h^0$  has couplings like those for  $H^0$  above.

A  $e^+e^-$  collider provides two particularly unique probes of the MSSM Higgs sector. First, the couplings of the SM-like MSSM Higgs boson deviate sufficiently from exact SM

Higgs couplings that it may well be distinguishable from the  $h_{\text{SM}}$  by measurements of  $\sigma_{h\gamma}$  and  $\sigma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  at a  $e^+e^-$  collider, using the s-channel resonance process. For instance, in the  $b\bar{b}$  channel  $\sigma_{h\gamma}$  and  $\sigma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \rightarrow \text{BF}(h \rightarrow b\bar{b})$  can be measured with sufficient accuracy so as to distinguish the  $h^0$  from the  $h_{\text{SM}}$  for  $m_{A^0}$  values as high as 500 GeV [11].

The second dramatic advantage of a  $e^+e^-$  collider in MSSM Higgs physics is the ability to study the non-SM-like Higgs bosons, e.g. for  $m_{A^0} > 2m_Z$  the  $H^0; A^0$ . An  $e^+e^-$  collider can only study these states via  $Z^0 \rightarrow A^0 H^0$  production, which could easily be kinematically disallowed since GUT scenarios typically have  $m_{A^0} - m_{H^0} > 200\{250\text{ GeV}$ . In s-channel production the  $H^0; A^0$  can be even more easily observable than a SM-like Higgs. This is because the partial widths  $\Gamma(H^0; A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$  grow rapidly with increasing  $\tan\beta$ , implying [see Eqs. (4) and (5)] that  $\Gamma_{H^0; A^0}$  will become strongly enhanced relative to SM-like values.  $\text{BF}(H^0; A^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b})$  is also enhanced at large  $\tan\beta$ , implying an increasingly large rate in the  $b\bar{b}$  final state. Thus, we concentrate here on the  $b\bar{b}$  final states of  $H^0; A^0$  although the modes  $H^0; A^0 \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ ,  $H^0 \rightarrow h^0 h^0; A^0 A^0$  and  $A^0 \rightarrow Z h^0$  can also be useful [11].

Despite the enhanced  $b\bar{b}$  partial widths, the suppressed (absent) coupling of the  $H^0 (A^0)$  to  $W W$  and  $Z Z$  means that, unlike the SM Higgs boson, the  $H^0$  and  $A^0$  remain relatively narrow at high mass, with widths  $\Gamma_{H^0; A^0} \sim 0.1$  to 3 GeV. Since these widths are generally comparable to or broader than the expected  $\sqrt{s}$  resolution for  $R = 0.06\%$  and  $\sqrt{s} > 200\text{ GeV}$ , measurements of these Higgs widths could be straightforward with a scan over several  $\sqrt{s}$  settings, provided that the signal rates are sufficiently high. The results of a fine scan can be combined to get a coarse scan appropriate for broader widths.

The cross section for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  production with  $\tan\beta = 2, 5$  and 20 (including an approximate cut and b-tagging efficiency of 50%) is shown versus  $m_{A^0}$  in Fig. 4 for beam resolution  $R = 0.06\%$ . Also shown is the significance of the  $b\bar{b}$  signal for delivered luminosity  $L = 0.1\text{ fb}^{-1}$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$ . Discovery of the  $A^0$  and  $H^0$  will require an energy scan if  $Z^0 \rightarrow H^0 + A^0$  is kinematically forbidden; a luminosity of  $20\text{ fb}^{-1}$  would allow a scan over 200 GeV at intervals of 1 GeV with  $L = 0.1\text{ fb}^{-1}$  per point. The  $b\bar{b}$  mode would yield a 5 $\sigma$  signal at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$  for  $\tan\beta > 2$  for  $m_{A^0} < 2m_t$  and for  $\tan\beta > 5$  for all  $m_{A^0}$ .

For  $m_{A^0} > m_Z$  ( $m_{A^0} < m_Z$ ), the  $H^0$  ( $h^0$ ) has very similar couplings to those of the  $A^0$  and would also be observable in the  $b\bar{b}$  mode down to similar  $\tan\beta$  values. Discovery of both the  $H^0$  and  $A^0$  MSSM Higgs bosons would be possible over a large part of the  $m_{A^0} > m_Z$  MSSM parameter space.

In summary,  $e^+e^-$  colliders offer significant new opportunities for probing the Higgs sector. The s-channel resonance production process is especially valuable for precision Higgs mass measurements, Higgs width measurements, and the search for Higgs bosons with negligible  $hZZ$  couplings, such as the  $H^0; A^0$  Higgs bosons of the MSSM. The techniques discussed here in the SM and MSSM theories are generally applicable to searches for any Higgs boson or other scalar particle that couples to  $e^+e^-$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grants Nos. DE-FG 02-95ER 40896, DE-FG 03-91ER 40674 and DE-FG 02-91ER 40661. Further support was provided by the Davis Institute for High Energy Physics and by the University of Wisconsin Research Committee, with funds granted by the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

#### REFERENCES

1. Proceedings of the First Workshop on the Physics Potential and Development of  $e^+e^-$  Colliders, Napa, California (1992), Nucl. Instru. and Meth. A 350, 24 (1994).
2. Proceedings of the Second Workshop on the Physics Potential and Development of  $e^+e^-$  Colliders, Sausalito, California (1994), ed. by D. Cline, to be published.
3. V. Barger et al., Physics Goals Working Group Report hep-ph/9503258, to appear in Ref. [2].
4. R. B. Palmer and A. Tollestrup, unpublished report.

5. M .S.Chanowitz and M .K .Gaillard, Nucl. Phys. B 261, 379 (1985); J. Bagger et al., Phys. Rev. D 49, 1246 (1994).
6. V .Barger, K .Cheung, T .Han and R .J.N .Phillips, University of Wisconsin preprint MADPH-95-865.
7. R .B .Palmer, private communication.
8. G .P .Jackson and D .Neu er, private communications.
9. T .Barklow and D .Burke, private communication.
10. ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Technical Design Reports, CERN /LHCC /94-13 and CERN /LHCC /94-38.
11. Further details of our analysis will be provided in a subsequent publication.
12. M .Drees, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4, 3635 (1989); J. Ellis et al., Phys. Rev. D 39, 844 (1989); L. Durand and J.L. Lopez, Phys. Lett. B 217, 463 (1989); P. Binetruy and C .A . Savoy, Phys. Lett. B 277, 453 (1992); T .Morori and Y .Okada, Phys. Lett. B 295, 73 (1992); G .Kane, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2686 (1993); J.R .Espinoza and M .Quiros, Phys. Lett. B 302, 271 (1993).
13. V .Barger, et al., Phys. Lett. B 314, 351 (1993); P. Langacker and N .Polonsky, University of Pennsylvania preprint UPR-0594-T, hep-ph 9403306.
14. J.F .Gunion and H .E .Haber, Nucl. Phys. B 272, 1 (1986).

## F I G U R E S

1. Total width versus mass of the SM and M SSM Higgs bosons, for  $\tan \beta = 5$  and 20 in the M SSM .
2. Cross sections versus  $m_{h_{SM}}$  for inclusive SM Higgs production: (i) the s-channel  $\gamma^* \rightarrow h_{SM}$  [Eq. (3)] for  $\sqrt{s} \geq m_{h_{SM}}$  with  $R = 0.01\%, 0.06\%$  and  $0.1\%$  and (ii)  $(\gamma^* \rightarrow Z h_{SM})$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z + \sqrt{2}m_{h_{SM}}$ .



3. The (a)  $h_{SM}$  signal and (b) background cross sections,  $\sigma_{BF}(X)$ , for  $X = b\bar{b}$ , and useful  $W^+W^-$  and  $ZZ$  final states (including a channel-isolation efficiency of  $\epsilon = 0.5$ ) versus  $m_{h_{SM}}$  for SM Higgs s-channel production with resolution  $R = 0.06\%$ . Also shown: (c) the statistical significance  $S = \sqrt{P/B}$  for the three channels for  $L = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ .
4. (a) The effective  $b\bar{b}$ -channel cross section,  $\sigma_{A^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}}(A^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b})$ , for s-channel production of the MSSM Higgs boson  $A^0$  versus  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$ , for  $\tan\beta = 2, 5$  and  $20$ , beam resolution  $R = 0.06\%$  and channel isolation efficiency  $\epsilon = 0.5$ ; and (b) corresponding statistical significance of the  $A^0 \rightarrow b\bar{b}$  signal for  $L = 0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  delivered at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$ .

## FIGURES

FIG .1. Total width versus mass of the SM and MSSM Higgs bosons, for  $\tan \beta = 5$  and 20 in the MSSM .

FIG .2. Cross sections versus  $m_{h_{SM}}$  for inclusive SM Higgs production: (i) the s-channel  $\gamma\gamma$  [Eq. (3)] for  $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow h_{SM}$  with  $R = 0.01\%, 0.06\%$  and  $0.1\%$  and (ii)  $(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow Z h_{SM})$  at  $\sqrt{s} = m_Z + \sqrt{s} \rightarrow h_{SM}$  .

FIG .3. The (a)  $h_{SM}$  signal and (b) background cross sections,  $\sigma_{BF}(X)$ , for  $X = \gamma\gamma$ , and useful  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  final states (including a channel-isolation efficiency of  $\epsilon = 0.5$ ) versus  $m_{h_{SM}}$  for SM Higgs s-channel production with resolution  $R = 0.06\%$  . Also shown: (c) the statistical significance  $S = \sqrt{L} \sigma_{BF}$  for the three channels for  $L = 1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  .

FIG .4. (a) The effective  $\gamma\gamma$ -channel cross section,  $\sigma_{A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} (A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ , for s-channel production of the MSSM Higgs boson  $A^0$  versus  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$ , for  $\tan \beta = 2, 5$  and 20, beam resolution  $R = 0.06\%$  and channel isolation efficiency  $\epsilon = 0.5$ ; and (b) corresponding statistical significance of the  $A^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  signal for  $L = 0.1 \text{ fb}^{-1}$  delivered at  $\sqrt{s} = m_{A^0}$  .