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#### Abstract

W e study deep-inelastic scattering from polarized nuclei within a covariant fram ew ork. A clear connection is established betw een relativistic and nonrelativistic lim its, which enables a rigorous derivation of convolution form ulae for the spin-dependent nuclear structure functions $g_{1}^{A}$ and $g_{2}^{A}$ in term $s$ of o $m$ ass-shell extrapolations of polarized nucleon structure functions, $g_{1}^{N}$ and $g_{2}^{N}$. Approxim ate expressions for $g_{1 ; 2}^{A}$ are obtained by expanding the $0-$ shell $g_{1 ; 2}^{N}$ about their on-shell lim its. A s an application of the form alism we consider nuclear e ects in the deuteron, know ledge of which is necessary to obtain accurate inform ation on the spin-dependent structure functions of the neutron.
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P olarized deep－inelastic scattering（D IS）experim ents have in recent years yielded a num－ ber of im portant and som etim es unexpected results．The $m$ easurem ents by the European $M$ uon C ollaboration（EM C）of the $g_{1}^{p}$ structure function of the proton tī］over a large range of values of the $B$ jorken scaling variable $x$ ，when com bined $w$ ith avor non－singlet $m$ atrix elem ents from weak decays，provided inform ation on the singlet axial charge of the proton． The sm all size of this resulted in the so－called proton \spin crisis＂，which prom pted a seri－ ous reanalysis of the very ideas behind the quark $m$ odel and the sim ple parton picture of D IS．M ore recent experim ents on the proton by the Spin M uon Collaboration（SM C）［2̄1］ and the SLAC E143 C ollaboration


A s an extra souroe of inform ation，it is im portant also to $m$ easure the neutron polarized structure function，$g_{1}^{n}$ ．Besides revealing the spin structure of the neutron itself，$m$ easure－ $m$ ent of $g_{1}^{n}$ is essential for testing the fiundam ental B jorken sum nule．The absence of free neutron targets $m$ eans，how ever，that light nuclei have to be used instead for this purpose． The SLAC E 142 C ollaboration［通］has in fact m easured the structure function of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ，which， because of the preferential antiparallelpolarization of protons in the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ e nucleus，is believed to be approxim ately equal to the polarized structure function of the neutron．In addition， the SM C $[\underline{\underline{9}} \overline{1}]$ has recently $m$ easured the $g_{1}$ structure function of the deuteron $\mid$ combined with either the proton or neutron（ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ ）data，this can be used as a valuable consistency check on the other $m$ easurem ents．

To obtain accurate inform ation on nucleon structure functions from nuclear D IS data， it is of course essential to reliably subtract any nuclear e ects in the extraction procedure． A way from the shadow ing region at $s m$ all $B$ jorken $x(x<0: 1)$ ，the standard $m$ ethod for investigating nuclear e ects is the so－called convolution $m$ odel，in which the nuclear struc－ ture function is expressed as a（one－dim ensional）convolution of the spin－dependent nucleon structure function and the nucleon $m$ om entum distribution in the nucleus．The convolu－ tion $m$ odel follow $s$ from the im pulse approxim ation，$F$ ig＇⿲彳丨匕刂灬 ，if one assum es that factorization between photon \｛nucleon and nucleon \｛nucleus scattering am plitudes translates into factor－ ization between structure functions，although in a covariant fram ew ork this assum ption is generally not justi ed．

For ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ targets，nuclear e ects have been investigated in Refs． deuteron in Refs． included，although still within the con nes of the convolution model．It was shown in Ref． ［ī］$\overline{-1}]$, how ever，that relativistic corrections necessarily lead to a breakdow n of convolution for $g_{1}^{\text {A }}$ when the full 0 m ass－shell structure of bound nucleons is inconporated．A though the convolution－breaking e ects are not large（typically 0：5\％in the deuteron［ $[1-\overline{1} \oint]$ ），in any self－consistent calculation they $m$ ust be inchuded．

A side from the relativistic com plications，the situation is not com pletely clear even in the non－relativistic approaches．There exists in the literature for convolution form ulae for $g_{1}^{A}$ ，the derivation of which is often based on early convolution m odels for unpolarized scattering［1］［1］，in which the issue ofo－shelle ects was not seriously addressed．The need exists，therefore，to derive convolution form ulae for spin－dependent structure functions system atically in the non－relativistic lim it．

In this paper we present an analysis of the polarized structure functions ofnuclei, starting from a covariant fram ew ork, and working consistently to order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$ in the bound nucleon m om entum. W e dem onstrate that in this lim it one does indeed recover (two-dim ensional) convolution form ulae, although with di erent \ux factors" (polarized nucleon $m$ om entum distributions) com pared to those found in the literature. O ur form alism enables us to considerboth the $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ structure functions (or equivalently the transverse structure function $g_{T} \quad g+g_{2}$ ) on a sim ilar footing. For the latter, we nd that $g_{2}^{A}$ receives contributions from the $g_{1}^{N}$ as well as from the $g_{2}^{N}$ structure fiunctions of the nucleon. A ll of the form al results are valid in the B jorken lim it for spin 1/2 and spin 1 nuclei.

Taking advantage of the weak binding of nucleons in nuclei, we also derive expansion form ulae for $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ in term $s$ of derivatives of $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}}$. W e concentrate on the speci c case of the deuteron, where we present a detailed com parison of the expansion form ula results w ith those of the fillnon-relativistic convolution, as well as w ith previous relativistic calculations. In addition, we estim ate for the rst tim e the nuclear e ects that one needs to account for $w$ hen separating the nucleon $g_{T}$ (or $g_{2}$ ) structure function from deuteron data. This will be im portant in view of upcom ing experim ents which $w$ ill for the rst tim e $m$ easure $g_{2}$ for deuterium targets.

The contents of this paper are laid out as follow s: in Section 㕶 we present the general covariant fram ew ork in which $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ of a nucleus A are expressed in term s of the 0 -shell nucleon propagator and the virtual nucleon hadronic tensor; in Section 'ITIT we describe how the relativistic expressions can be reduced by making a non-relativistic expansion of the nucleon propagator in $m$ edium ; Section "īivi deals $w$ ith the details of approxim ate expansion form ulae which are obtained by Taylor-expanding the o-shell nucleon structure function about its on-shell lim It; application of the form alism to the case of deuterium is presented


## II. RELATIVISTIC FRAMEWORK

## A. De nitions

Inclusive deep-inelastic scattering of leptons from hadrons is described by the hadronic tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad(P ; q ; S)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \quad d^{4} \quad e^{\text {iq }} h P ; S j[J \quad() ; J(0)] j P ; S i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ and $q$ are the four-m om enta of the target and photon, respectively, and the vector $S$ is orthogonal to the target m om entum, $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{S}=0$, and norm alized such that $\mathrm{S}=1$. For spin-1/2 targets, $S$ is simply the target polarization vector, while for the spin-1 case $S$ is de ned in term sof polarization vectors ${ }^{m m}$ such that $S(m)=i \quad\left({ }^{m m} ;{ }^{\prime m} ; P^{\prime}\right)=M_{T}$, where $m=0$; 1 is the spin pro jection along the axis ofquantization, $M_{T}$ denotes the target $m$ ass, and we de ne (a;b;c) a b c. The hadronic tensor can be decom posed into sym $m$ etric ( $s$ ) and antisym $m$ etric (a) parts,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad(P ; q ; S)=W^{(s)}(P ; q ; S)+i W^{(a)}(P ; q ; S): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ith unpolarized（charged）lepton beam sone is sensitive only to the sym m etric part，which， at leading tw ist，depends on the spin－independent $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ structure finctions，and，for spin－1 targets，also on the structure functions $b_{1 ; 2}$ when the target alone is polarized $[1 \overline{1} 9]$ ． If the lepton and hadron are both polarized，only the antisym $m$ etric com ponent of $W$ is relevant．For either spin $-1 / 2$ or spin -1 targets this is expressed in term $s$ of the two independent，dim ensionless structure functions $g_{1 ; 2}$ ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& W^{(a)}(P ; q ; S)=\frac{1}{2 P \quad{ }_{n} q} \quad q G \quad(P ; q ; S) \text {; }  \tag{3}\\
& G \quad(P ; q ; S)=2 M_{T} \quad S \quad\left(g_{1}+g_{2}\right) \quad P \frac{S}{P}{\underset{q}{g_{2}}}_{q_{2}}^{\#}: \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the $B$ jonken lim it $\left(Q^{2} ; P \quad q!~ 1\right)$ ，in which wework throughout，both structure functions $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ exhibit scaling，i．e．up to logarithm ic QCD corrections they depend only on the ratio $Q^{2}=2 P \quad q$ ．

## B．N ucleon Tensor and Structure Functions

In our covariant analysis it will be useful to work with the o－shell nucleon tensor 18 ， which is de ned through the im aginary part of the forw ard photon scattering am plitude from an o－shell nucleon．In term s of if ，the hadronic tensor of an on－shell nucleon（ $p^{2}=M^{2}$ where $p$ is the nucleon $m$ om entum ）is：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s})=\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{M}) \frac{\left(1+{ }_{5} \mathrm{~s}\right)}{2} \text { 汗 } \quad(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q})^{\#} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $s$ is the nucleon spin vector，and $M$ is the nucleon $m$ ass．The antisym $m$ etric part of Wf is given by an expression sim ilar to that in Eq．（l⿳亠二口刂土），nam ely：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { qf } \quad(p ; q)=\frac{1}{2 p} q \quad q \text { © }(p ; q): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In analyzing the tensor structure of if is convenient to expand do in terms of
 expansion $m$ ust be constructed from the vectors $p$ and $q$ ，and from the tensors $g$ and Term sproportional to $q$ do not contribute to if ，and are therefore not considered．The requirem ents of parity，tim e－reversal invariance and hem iticity restrict further the num ber of possible term s ．In particular，it follow s from parity invariance that do transform sas an axial vector and the coe cient of the scalar（I）term $m$ ust be zero．The requirem ents of tim e reversal invariance and hem iticity rule out the vector（ ）and the pseudoscalar（ 5 ） term sas well．Finally we nd that don bew rilten in term sof six independent structures：

$$
\begin{align*}
(p ; q) & =\frac{G^{(p)}}{M^{2}} p+\frac{G^{(q)}}{p} \stackrel{q}{q} p_{5}+G^{()} \\
& +\frac{G^{(p)}}{M} p+\frac{G^{(q)}}{p} q^{q} \quad i_{5}+\frac{G^{(p q)}}{M p} p_{q} i_{5} \quad p q ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the coe cient functions $G{ }^{(i)}$ are constructed to be scalar, dim ensionless and real functions of $q^{2}, p \quad q$ and ${ }^{2} p$
 in term sof the coe cients $G{ }^{(i)}$ (see also Ref. [ī̄] $\left.\left.\overline{1}\right]\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{N}=G^{(q)}+G^{()}+G^{(p)} \quad G^{(p q)} ;  \tag{8a}\\
& g_{2}^{N}=G^{(q)}+G^{(q)}+G^{(p q)} ; \tag{8b}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the functions $G{ }^{(i)}$ evaluated here at their on-shell values. $N$ ote that the term proportional to $P_{5}$ vanishes when tracing ${ }^{6}$ w th the projection operator in Eq. ( $(\overline{5})$ ), so that only ve term s out of a possible six in Eq. (i7) contribute to the physical nucleon structure functions. The structure $P_{5}$ could, in general, give non-vanishing contribution to structure functions of nuclei. H ow ever, as we shallse in Section inili, only the above ve functionsw ill be relevant in the non-relativistic lim it.

## C. N uclear Structure Functions

D iscussions of nuclear e ects in deep-inelastic scattering are usually fram ed w thin the context of the im pulse approxim ation for the nucleons, see $F$ ig ${ }_{2}{ }_{12}$. O ther possible nuclear e ects which go beyond the im pulse approxim ation are nal state interactions between the recoiling nucleus and the debris of the struck nucleon [2]O-1], corrections due to $m$ esonic exchange currents due to $m$ eson exchange currents are less im portant here than in unpolarized scattering since their $m$ ain contribution com es from pion exchange. Because it has spin zero, direct scattering from a pion constituent of a nucleus gives no contribution to spin-dependent structure functions. A lso coherent multiple scattering e ects, which are known to lead to nuclear shadow ing, should not be im portant for large values of the nucleon B jonken scaling variable $x=Q^{2}=2 M \quad$. This is evident if one recognizes that the characteristic tim e scale $1=\mathrm{M} x$ ofthe D IS process is sm aller than the typicalaverage distance betw een bound nucleons in the nucleus for $x>0: 1$. B ased on these observations we consider the diagram in $F$ ig 'in as a basic approxim ation. In this case the nuclear hadronic tensor can be w ritten:

$$
W^{A}(P ; q ; S)=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{Z}  \tag{9}\\
{[d p] \operatorname{Tr} A(p ; P ; S) \text { 付 }(p ; q)^{i} ; ~}
\end{gather*}
$$

w th [dp] $d^{4} p=(2)^{4}$ i. The function $A(p ; P ; S)$ is the nucleon propagator inside the nucleus $w$ th $m$ om entum $P$ and polarization $S$,

$$
A(p ; P ; S)=\begin{gather*}
Z  \tag{10}\\
i \\
d^{4}
\end{gather*} e^{\text {ip }}{ }^{D} P ; S \quad T N\left(\bar{N}(0) \quad P ; S^{E}:\right.
$$

[^1]Here N( ) is the nucleon eld operator, andif
$(p ; q)$ is the hadronic tensor of the 0 $m$ ass-


The expression for the nuclear tensor in Eq. $\left(\frac{-\overline{9})}{(\underline{9})}\right.$ is covariant and can be evaluated in any fram e. It will be convenient, how ever, to work in the target rest fram e , in which the target m om entum is $\mathrm{P}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}} ; 0\right)$, and the m om entum transfer to the nucleus, $\mathrm{q}=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{b}} ; 0_{\text {? }}\right.$; ; $\left.\boldsymbol{j}\right)$, de nes the $z$-axis. For the $g_{1}$ structure function it is natural to choose the spin quantization axis such that the target is polarized in a direction longitudinal to the $m$ om entum transfer, $S=S^{k}=\left(0 ; 0_{?} ; 1\right)$. Taking the $W{ }_{12}^{A}$ com ponent in Eq. $(\underline{(Q)})$, which is proportional to $g_{1}^{A}$, we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A} g_{1}^{A}\left(x_{A}\right)={\frac{1}{2 M_{A}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{dp}] \mathrm{x}^{0} \operatorname{Tr}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~A}^{k}(\mathrm{p}) \quad \oint_{0}+\dot{\oplus}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \text {; } \tag{11a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{A}=Q^{2}=2 P \quad q$ and $X=Q^{2}=2 p \quad q$ are the $B$ jorken variables for the nucleus and bound ( 0 -m ass-shell) nucleon, respectively. The nucleon propagator in the nucleus at rest $w$ th polarization $S^{k}$ is denoted by $A^{k}(p)=A\left(p ; S^{k}\right)$.
$T$ he transverse spin-dependent structure function of the nucleus, $g_{T}^{A} q^{A}+g_{2}^{A}$, is obtained by choosing the target polarization in a direction perpendicular to the m om entum transfer, $S=S^{?}=\left(0 ; S^{?} ; 0\right)$, and taking the $W_{13}^{A}$ and $W_{23}^{A}$ com ponents in Eq. $(\underline{9})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{A} g_{T}^{A}\left(x_{A}\right)={\frac{1}{2 M_{A}}}^{Z} \quad[d p] x^{0} \operatorname{Tr}^{h} A^{?}(p) \mathscr{G}_{?}^{i} ; \tag{11b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{?}(p)=A\left(p ; S^{?}\right)$, and ${ }^{[1]}$ ? represents the transverse spatial com ponents of ${ }^{\text {d }}$, corresponding to the transverse quantization axis. From Eqs. (īial) and (īī]) one can reconstruct the $g_{2}^{A}$ structure function by taking the di erence betw een $g_{T}^{A}$ and $g_{1}^{A}$.

We should stress that the treatm ent culm inating in the results of Eqs. (īi) has been fully relativistic, and exact within the im pulse approxim ation. In the literature one usually
 nuclear structure functions are expressed as one-dim ensional convolutions of the nucleon m om entum distribution in the nucleus, and the (on-shell) structure functions of the nucleon. To obtain the smple convolution result one requires two conditions to be satis ed: rstly, that the traces $\operatorname{Tr}[\mathbb{A}$ © $]$ in Eqs. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1}$ ) factorize into com pletely separate nuclear and nucleon parts, and secondly that the nucleon com ponent be independent of $p^{2}$. For the $t w$ ist-2 structure function $g_{1}^{\mathrm{A}}$, it was shown in $R$ ef. [ī] degrees of freedom associated w ith bound nucleons in fact violate both conditions, leading to a breakdow $n$ of the sim ple convolution picture. A sim ilar breakdown m ust also occur for the $g_{2}^{A}$ structure function, since its tw ist-2 contribution contains a com ponent proportional to $g_{1}^{N}$ (see Section iviniel below).

C learly, in a relativistic theory one needs to go beyond the sim ple convolution form ulation. O n the other hand, the advantage of the convolution m odel is its ease of application. In the study of D IS from nuclei, especially light nuclei where typical binding energies are sm all, it $m$ ay in fact be quite su cient to treat the nucleus as a non-relativistic system. It was shown in Ref. ${ }_{2}^{2} 5-1$, that for unpolarized nuclear structure functions, in which both of the above criteria are also violated relativistically [10 $\overline{2} \overline{6}, \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}], 1$, one can in fact recover factorized expressions in the non-relativistic lim it. It is possible to de ne \o -shell nucleon structure functions", w ith the correct on-shell lim its, which lead to (two-dim ensional) convolution
form ulae. In the next section we perform a sim ilar non-relativistic reduction of the relativistic expressions in Eqs. (īin) to establish whether a sim ilar factorization is attainable in spin-dependent processes.
III. NUCLEAR STRUCTUREFUNCTIONS IN THENONRELATIVISTIC LIM IT

O urbasic assum ption in the rem ainder ofthe paper is that the nucleus is a non-relativistic system, m ade up of weakly bound nucleons interacting via the exchange of m esonic elds. This necessarily involves neglecting antinucleon degrees of freedom, and corresponds to bound nucleons in the nucleus being slow, jj M.W ith these assum ptions we will derive from Eqs. (īili) sim pli ed (oonvolution) expressions for the spin-dependent $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ structure functions of weakly bound nuclei.

## A. N on R elativistic R eduction

Follow ing the procedure outlined for exam ple in $R$ ef. between the relativistic nucleon eld operator $N$ and the non-relativistic operator. A detailed discussion of the non-relativistic reduction of $N$ is given in A ppendix 'A.'. The essential result is that, up to order $\mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{M}$ corrections, the operators N and are connected via:

$$
N(r ; t)=e^{\mathrm{iMt}} \quad \begin{align*}
\mathrm{Z} & (r ; t)!  \tag{12}\\
\frac{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{M}} & (r ; t)
\end{align*} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{p} \quad$ ir , and the renorm alization operator $Z=1 \quad j=8 M^{2}$ guarantees baryon num ber conservation. This result is valid for a w ide range ofm eson \{nucleon interactions, in particular for interactions $w$ th scalar and vectorm esons as wellas for pseudovector coupling to pions. Furtherm ore, it is explicitly interaction \{independent. For the pseudoscalar $N$ couplings discussed in Refs. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \overline{9} 9\end{array}\right]$, how ever, one nds explicit interaction dependence in Eq.(12), although the pseudoscalar interaction is generally considered less reliable than the pseudovector model, which we restrict ourselves to in this paper (see A ppendix 'A.'.').

C onsider now the consequences of applying Eq. (1]in) to the traces in Eqs. (ITin). W e start by writing the nucleon propagator (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} \mathrm{~A} \quad(\mathrm{p})=\stackrel{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{i} d t e^{\mathrm{ipot}}{ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{~T} N(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{t}) \overline{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{p} ; 0)^{\mathrm{E}} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(p ; t)={ }^{R} d^{3} r$ re ip ${ }^{r} N(r ; t)$ is the nucleon operator in a m ixed (p;t)-representation,
 ing the fourm om entum of the bound nucleon as $p=(M+" ; p)$, we can introduce the non-relativistic nucleon propagator $A^{N R}$, which is usually de ned as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{N R}(" ; p)=\quad i^{Z} d t e^{i{ }^{N} t^{D}} T \quad(p ; t) Y_{0}(p ; 0)^{E} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; ${ }^{0}$ are the non-relativistic, two-dim ensional nucleon spinor indioes. The relativistic and non-relativistic nucleon propagators can then be related by substituting Eq.(1] $\overline{1})$ into Eq. (1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} A \quad(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{U} \quad A^{\mathrm{NR}}(" ; p) U_{0}^{Y_{0}} 0_{0}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere the operator $U$ translates two-com ponent spinors into four-com ponent spinors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U} \quad=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\frac{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{M}}}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (15) can be used to reduce the traces of the relativistic propagator A w th the various D irac structures in to expressions involving the non-relativistic propagator $A_{N R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[0{ }_{5} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})\right]=\operatorname{tr}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~S}_{0} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{NR}}(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; }  \tag{17a}\\
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[{ }_{j}{ }_{5} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})\right]=\operatorname{tr}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{NR}}(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; }  \tag{17b}\\
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{i}_{5}{ }_{0 j \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})\right]=\operatorname{tr} \quad j+\frac{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{p}_{j} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{NR}}(\text { ("; } \mathrm{p}) ;  \tag{17c}\\
& \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{A}}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathrm{i}_{5}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{p})\right]=\operatorname{tr} \frac{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{j}}}{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{NR}}(\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{p}) \text {; } \tag{17d}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{0}=\frac{p}{M} i  \tag{18a}\\
& \Phi_{j}=1 \frac{p^{2}}{2 M^{2}} j+\frac{p}{2 M^{2}} p_{j} ; \tag{18b}
\end{align*}
$$

and $i ; j$ denote spatial indiges. The trace $\backslash t r "$ is taken $w$ ith respect to the spin variable
 or higher. O ne notices that the operators $\oiint_{0 ; j}$ have a structure sim ilar to the tim e and space com ponents of the spin four-vector ( $0 ; ~$ ) boosted to a fram e in which the nucleon has m om entum p .


$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2 M_{A}} \operatorname{Tr}^{h} A^{k}(p) \quad \Phi_{0}+\dot{G}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{i} \\
& =G^{(q)}+G^{()}+G^{(p)} \quad G^{(p q)} \quad 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}}{2 M^{2}} \quad \operatorname{tr} A_{N R}^{k}(" ; p) \Phi_{0}+\Phi_{Z^{\prime}}^{i} \text {; }  \tag{19a}\\
& \frac{1}{2 M_{A}} \operatorname{Tr}^{h} A^{?}(\mathrm{p}) \text { ® }_{?}{ }^{i} \\
& =G^{()}+G^{(p)} 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}}+G^{(q)} 1 \quad \frac{p^{2} M^{2}!!}{2 M^{2}} \quad \operatorname{tr}^{h} A_{N R}^{?}(" ; p) S_{?}^{\Phi_{?}^{i}} \\
& +\quad G^{(q)}+G^{(q)} 1 \frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}}+G^{(p q)} 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}}{2 M^{2}} \operatorname{tr}^{!} A_{N R}^{?}(" ; p) \oplus_{2}^{i} ; \tag{19b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\oint_{0}+\oint_{z}$ and $\oiint_{?}$ are given by $(\overline{1} \overline{-1})$, and $p^{2} \quad M^{2}+2 M\left(" \quad p^{2}=2 M\right)$ is the squared nucleon fourm om entum (the " ${ }^{2}$ term is dropped since it introduces corrections of order $\mathrm{p}^{4}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{4}$ ). The operator $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oplus_{2}=\frac{p_{?} \quad S}{M} \frac{p^{3}}{M}+\quad 1 \frac{p_{z}}{M} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ ? is the transverse com ponent of the nucleon three $m$ om entum vector: $p=\left(p_{?} ; p_{z}\right)$, and $S$ ? de nes the transverse spin quantization axis, relative to the photon direction (not to be confused w ith the spin operator $\$_{?}$ ). N ote that in the non-relativistic lim it the structure $G{ }^{(p)}$ does not contribute to $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$.

An im portant observation which can bem ade from Eq. (1]-1 $\overline{-1})$ is that the nuclear structure functions are expressed in term s of only two combinations constructed from the $G{ }^{(i)}$ in Eq. ( $\bar{i} 1)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)=G^{(q)}+G^{()}+G^{(p)} G^{(p q)} 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}}{2 M^{2}} ;  \tag{21a}\\
& g_{T}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)=G^{()}+G^{(p)} 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}}+G^{(q)} 1 \frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}} ; \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

so that also

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right) & =G^{(q)}+G^{(q)} 1 \frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}}+G^{(p q)} 1+\frac{p^{2} M^{2}!}{2 M^{2}} \\
& =g_{T}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right) \quad g^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right): \tag{21c}
\end{align*}
$$

These can be considered as de nitions of the polarized nucleon structure functions in the o$m$ assshell region (c.f. Eqs. (i, $\overline{1}$ ) above) in the vicinity of $p^{2} \quad M^{2}$. N ote that in the $p^{2}=M^{2}$ lim it they reduce directly to the free nucleon structure functions de ned in Eqs. (軵) .

## B. Two-D im ensional C onvolution

The de nitions of the o-shell structure functions in Eqs. (211) can now be utilized in deriving convolution form ulae for $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}$. A fter substituting Eqs. (1- $\left.\overline{1}=1\right)$ into $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, we m ake use of the analytical properties of the nucleon propagator for the integration over the $m$ om entum $p$. N am ely, we close the contour of integration in the upper half of the com plex " (or $\mathrm{p}_{0}$ ) plane and pick the poles of $A_{N R}(")$ which correspond to the tim e ordering ( $\left.t\right)^{y}(0)$ ( $t$ ).Finally, one obtains sim pli ed versions ofE qs. (īili) which relate the polarized nuclear structure functions directly to those of nucleons:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x g_{1}^{A}(x)=\frac{Z}{d^{\prime} d^{3} p}(2)^{4} \operatorname{tr}^{k}(" ; p) \oiint_{0}+\oiint_{z}^{i} x^{0} g_{1}^{N}\left(x^{0} ; p^{2}\right) ;  \tag{22a}\\
& x g_{T}^{A}(x)=\frac{d^{2} d^{3} p}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{tr}^{h} P^{?}(" ; p) \oiint_{?}^{\oint_{?}^{i}} x^{0} g_{T}^{N}\left(x^{0} ; p^{2}\right)+\operatorname{tr}^{h} P^{?}(" ; p) \oplus_{2}^{i} x^{0} g_{2}^{N}\left(x^{0} ; p^{2}\right) ; \tag{22b}
\end{align*}
$$

where here the nuclear structure functions are expressed as functions of the standard $B$ jonken variable $x=Q^{2}=2 M \quad q_{0}=X_{A} M_{A}=M$. For a general polarization state, the nuclear spectral function, $P(" ; p)$, is de ned by:

$$
P \quad 0(" ; p)=\begin{array}{llll}
x  \tag{23}\\
n & n ;(p) & n ; 0(p) 2 \quad\left(" \quad E(A)+E_{n}(A \quad 1 ; ~ p)\right) ; ~
\end{array}
$$

where the sum $m$ ation is perform ed over the com plete set of states $w$ th $A \quad 1$ nucleons. The functions $n ;(p)=h(A \quad 1 h ; p j(0)$ iA i give the probability amplitude to nd in the nuclear ground state a nucleon with polarization and the rem aining A 1 nucleons in a state $w$ ith totalm om entum $p$ ( $n$ labels all other quantum numbers). The non-relativistic energies of the target ground state and the $A \quad 1$ residual nucleons are denoted $E_{0}(A)$ and $E_{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & 1) \text {, respectively. Sum } m \text { ing over polarizations and }{ }^{0} \text {, the spectral function } P(" ; p) ~\end{array}\right.$ is norm alized to the num ber of nucleons A :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{p}}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathbb{P}(" ; p)\right]=A: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (2̄2̄) can be written in a m ore fam iliar form as two-dim ensional convolutions of the o -shell nucleon structure functions in Eqs. (2) functions $D\left(y ; p^{2}\right)$ :
where $y=\left(p_{0}+p_{z}\right)=M$ is the fraction of the light-cone $m$ om entum of the nucleus carried by the interacting nucleon. The nucleon distribution functions are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}\left(y ; p^{2}\right)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{tr}^{h} P^{k}(" ; p) \oint_{0}+\oint_{z}{ }^{i} \quad y \quad \frac{M+M+p_{z}}{M} \quad p^{2} \quad 2 \text {; }  \tag{26a}\\
& D_{T}\left(y ; p^{2}\right)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{dr}^{3} p P^{h}(" ; p) \oiint_{?}^{i} \quad y \quad \frac{M+"+p_{z}}{M} \quad p^{2} \quad 2 \text {; } \tag{26b}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{2} \quad M^{2}+2 M$ (" $\left.\quad p^{2}=2 M\right)$. W e observe that $g_{1}^{A}$ is expressed entirely in term $s$ of $g_{1}^{N}$, $w$ hile $g_{T}^{A}$ receives contributions from $g_{T}^{N}$ as well as from $g_{2}^{N}$. C onsequently, the $g_{2}^{A}$ structure function will receive contributions from $g_{1}^{N}$ in addition to $g_{2}^{N}$.
IV.EXPANSION FORMULAE

The behavior of the nucleon distribution functions in Eqs. (2̄) is govemed by the nuclear spectral function $P(" ; p)$. The region of im portance for $P(" ; p)$ is $j^{<} j^{<} p_{F} ; j^{\prime} j^{<} p_{F}^{2}=2 M$, $w h e r e p_{F}$ is a characteristic $m$ om entum which determ ines the $m$ om entum distribution of nucleons in the nucleus. For heavy nuclei this is the Fem i\{m om entum, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 300 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. For a light nucleus, such as the peuteron, the analogous param eter can be determ ined from the average kinetic energy T as $\overline{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{T}} \quad 140 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. Therefore the nucleon distribution functions
(2, $\overline{\text { ® }}$ ) are strongly peaked about the light-oone $m$ om entum fraction $y=1$ and the on $-m$ assshell point $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

This property of the distribution functions allow s us to obtain approxim ate expressions for the nuclear structure functions in Eqs. ( $2 \overline{-15}$ ). . T he $p^{2}$ dependence of the 0 -shell structure functions can be rst approxim ated by expanding $g_{1 ; T}^{N}\left(x=y ; p^{2}\right)$ in a Taylor series around $p^{2}=M^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{N} \frac{x}{y} ; p^{2} \quad q_{i ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}} \frac{\mathrm{x}^{!}}{\mathrm{y}}+\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}\right){\frac{\varrho g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{N}\left(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{p}^{2}\right)}{@ \mathrm{p}^{2}}}_{\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}) \quad \mathrm{g}_{; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ is the structure function of the (physical) on m ass-
 integrating the result term by term, and keeping term $s$ up to order " $=\mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$, we obtain sim ple expansion form ulae sim ilar to those used in the analysis of unpolarized nuclear


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{A} g_{1}^{A}(x) \quad C_{1}^{(0)} g_{1}^{N}(x)+C_{1}^{(1)} x g_{1}^{N}(x)^{0}+C_{1}^{(2)} x g_{1}^{N}(x)^{\infty}+C_{1}^{(3)} \frac{@ g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)}{@ \ln p^{2}} p_{p^{2}=M^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the derivatives are taken $w$ ith respect to $x$, and the coe cients are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}^{(0)}={ }^{\mathrm{D}} \oint_{0}+\Phi_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}} ;  \tag{29a}\\
& C_{1}^{(1)}=\Phi_{0}+\Phi_{z} \quad \frac{p_{z}^{2}}{M^{2}} \frac{p_{z}+n^{!+{ }_{k}}}{M} \text {; }  \tag{29b}\\
& C_{1}^{(2)}={ }^{*} \oint_{0}+\oint_{\mathrm{z}}{\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}^{2}}}^{+} \text {; }  \tag{29}\\
& C_{1}^{(3)}=\oiint_{0}+\oiint_{z} \frac{2}{M}^{*} \quad{\frac{p^{2}}{2 M}}^{!+k} ; \tag{29d}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $\oiint_{0}+\oint_{z}$ obtained from Eqs. $(\overline{1} \overline{-1})$. $H$ ere the averaging $h \quad i$ denotes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ho } i^{k} \quad \frac{1^{2}}{A} \frac{d^{\prime \prime} d^{3} p}{(2)^{4}} \operatorname{tr}^{h} P^{k}(" ; p) O^{i}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A nalogously, the structure function $g_{T}^{A}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{A} g_{T}^{A}(x) \quad C_{T}^{(0)} g_{T}^{N}(x)+C_{T}^{(1)} x g_{T}^{N}(x)^{0}+C_{T}^{(2)} x x g_{T}^{N}(x)^{\infty}+C_{T}^{(3)} \frac{@ g_{T}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)}{@ \ln p^{2}} \\
& \quad+C_{T 2}^{(0)} g_{2}^{N}(x)+C_{T 2}^{(1)} x g_{2}^{N}(x)^{0}: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The coe cients $C{ }_{T}^{(i)}$ are identical to $C_{1}^{(i)}$ except for the replacem ents ho $i^{k}$ ! ho $i^{?}$ and $\oiint_{0}+\oint_{z}!\Phi_{?}$, and the coe cients $C{ }_{T 2}^{(i)}$ are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{T 2}^{(0)}={ }^{D} \oplus_{2}{ }^{E_{?}} ;  \tag{32a}\\
& C_{T 2}^{(1)}=\oplus_{2}{\frac{p_{z}}{M}}^{?}: \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ e stress that the $m$ atrix elem ents $C_{1}{ }^{\text {(i) }}$ are calculated for longitudinally polarized targets, while for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ the target is polarized in a direction transverse to the photon direction. $O$ nœe $g_{1}^{A}$ and $g_{T}^{A}$ are calculated, the di erence can be taken and the approxim ate result for $g_{2}^{A}$ obtained. C orrections to $g_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{A}}$ evaluated via Eqs. (2̄-ī) and $(\underline{1} \overline{3} \overline{1} 1)$ are of order $\mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{3}$ or higher.
 Eqs. (2̄5), nam ely the condition $x=y$. From Eqs.
 heavy nuclei, the expansion form ulae can be used safely up to $x$ 0:7. For light nuclei such as the deuteron, which we consider in the next section, Eqs. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{8}$ ) and ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ are expected to be reliable for $\mathrm{x}<0: 8$.

## V.THEDEUTERON

In this section we consider the application of the results of Sectionsinili andiñ; to the case of a deuterium nucleus. A smentioned in Section ity deep-inelastic scattering from polarized deuterons is an im portant $m$ eans ofobtaining inform ation on the polarized neutron structure functions $g_{1 ; 2}^{n}$. Extracting inform ation on $g_{1 ; 2}^{n}$ from deuterium data is only meaningful, how ever, if one has a reliable $m$ ethod of subtracting the relevant nuclear e ects.
$T$ he several previous attem pts to account for nuclear e ects in the deuteron (for the $g_{1}^{D}$ structure function) have not alw ays yielded consistent results. Som e early attem pts $[1=1 \overline{2}]$ w ere $m$ ade w ithin a tim e-ordered fram ew ork in the in nitem om entum fram $e$, which unfortunately in practice was problem atic due to the lack of know ledge about deuteron wavefiunctions in this fram e. Subsequent analyses [i] analogy w the convolution $m$ odel for unpolarized scattering. Nam ely, a one-dim ensional convolution form ula was used with the sam e non-relativistic \ux factor", ( $1+\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{M}$ ), as appears in the unpolarized deuteron $F_{2 D}$ structure function hin [ $1 \overline{4} 4]$ were based on an operator product expansion at the nucleon level $[\overline{2} 2 \overline{2}]$, how ever these led to di erent operators to those in Eq. (22ä). It is im portant, therefore, to clarify which operators, or \ux factors", are relevant for $g_{1}^{D}$ when all term $s$ up to order $p^{2}=M^{2}$ are consistently kept.

In addition to $g_{1}^{p}$, there is considerable practical value in understanding the nuclear e ects on $g_{T}^{D}$ (and hence $g_{2}^{D}$ ), to which little attention has been paid thus far. In view of upcom ing experim ents which $w i l l$ for the rst tim em easure the deuteron $g_{2}^{D}$ structure function [J3 3

As a guide to evaluating the $m$ ost $e$ cient $m$ ethod for the nuclear data analysis, a com parison of the results for $g_{1 ; 2}^{D}$ calculated using the convolution ( $2 \overline{2} \bar{j}_{-1}$ ) and expansion $(\overline{2}$ form ulae w ill indicate the reliability of the latter approach to the deuteron, whid to date has not been explicitly tested. Essentially the only ingredient needed to evaluate the coe cients in Eqs. ( $2 \overline{2} \bar{q})$ and ( $(\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, as well as the traces in the distribution functions of Eqs. ( $\overline{2} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1})$, is the deuteron wavefunction. Before discussing the details of the deuteron case, how ever, we m ust rst $x$ the nucleon inputs that will be used in the subsequent num erical calculations.

## A. Nucleon Structure Function Input

For the structure functions and their derivatives we shall rely on experim ental results where appropriate, and use $m$ odel input where data are not yet available. For the proton and neutron $g_{1}$ structure functions we use the recent param etrization from $R$ ef. $\left.{ }_{3} \overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{4}\right]$ of the SLAC
 structure functions at $Q^{2}=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, com pared w ith the data.

For the $g_{2}$ structure function the study of nuclear e ects is $m$ ore problem atic, since this receives contributions from both tw ist-2 and 3 operators, the latter of which contain quark-ghon interactions and also explicitly depend on quark $m$ asses. Follow ing the standard decom position of $g_{2}^{N}$ into the di erent tw ist com ponents, we w rite:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{N}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{g}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{(N W)}(\mathrm{x})+\bar{g}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{N(N W)}(x)=\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{x}} \frac{d y}{y}(1 \quad(1 \quad x=y)){ }_{1}^{N}(y) ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d x g_{2}^{N}(x)=0: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tw ist-3 piece ( $\bar{g}_{2}^{N}$ ) of $g_{2}^{N}$ is at present not very well determ ined at all. There is disagreem ent even about the $m$ agnitude and sign of its $m$ om ents variant parton $m$ odel approach, Jackson, R oberts and R oss [4̄0̄̄] argued in favor of a very sm all tw ist-3 com ponent. $W$ ithin bag $m$ odels, on the other hand, one nds $\left[\begin{array}{ll}13 \\ 8 & 1,4 \overline{1} 1,1\end{array}\right.$ quite a sizable $\bar{g}_{2}^{N}$ contribution com pared w th the W andura-N ilczek term. An additional problem is how to relate the structure function calculated in the bag (or som e other) m odel, which one assum es to be applicable at some low resolution scale $Q^{2}=O\left({ }_{Q C D}^{2}\right)$, to that appropriate to D IS experim ents ( $Q^{2>} 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ ). O ne prescription $[\overline{4} 2 \overline{2}]$ is to sim ply assum e the validity of the A ltarelli-P arisi evolution equations down to very low $Q^{2}$. Even with in this pragm atic approach, while the evolution of the tw ist-2 com ponent follow s that of $g_{1}$, which is understood, for the $\bar{g}_{2}^{N}$ piece only the $N=2$ and 4 m om ents can be handled exactly. The solution adopted in $\left[\overline{[ } \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ w was to use an approxim ate solution of the evolution equations that was derived in the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ lim it.

Since the only available data [ī]i] on the $g_{2}^{p}$ structure function cannot yet unam biguously discrim inate between the various $m$ odels of $\bar{g}_{2}$, we will estim ate the size of the nuclear e ects for several m odels. To cover the potential range of results for $\overline{\mathrm{g}}_{2}^{N}$, we take $\overline{\mathrm{g}}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}=0$, as suggested by Ref. [4̄̄̄̄̄], and also the bag m odel predictions, evolved to $Q^{2} \quad 5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ using the prescription adopted in $R$ ef. [īini]. A s an indication of the possible variation of the total $g_{2}^{N}$ structure function $w$ ith di erent tw ist-3 com ponents, we plot in $F$ ig ${\underset{1}{2}}_{-1}^{(b)} g_{2}^{N}$ for these tw o cases. A lso show $n$ is the sum, $g_{T}^{N}=g_{1}^{N}+g_{2}^{N}$, which $w$ ill.be relevant in the actualevaluation of the nuclear structure function, Eqs. (20 tw ist-3 com ponent is certainly not negligible, it does not alter drastically the overall shape and sign of $g_{2}^{N}$ and $g_{T}^{N}$.

From the two-dim ensional convolution equations ( scription of nuclear e ects to order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$ requires $m$ odeling in addition the $\mathrm{p}^{2}$ dependence of the o-shell nucleon structure functions $g_{1 ; 2}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)$. To this order of accuracy this can be achieved by determ ining the slope $w$ ith respect to $p^{2}$ at the on $m$ ass-shell point, Eq. (2̄17) . O ne could, for exam ple, form ulate $g_{1 ; 2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ in term s of relativistic quark \{nucleon vertex functions as described in Refs. 10 obtain a quidk estim ate of the overall order ofm agnitude of the o -shelle ect, we can extend the $m$ odelofR ef. $[\underline{2} 5 \overline{1}]$, which is based on a dispersion representation of the unpolarized nucleon structure function, to the polarized case.

W ithin the latter approach, in the im pulse approxim ation for the quarks the $g_{1}^{N}$ structure function can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{\mathbb{N}}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)={ }^{Z} d s{ }_{1}^{Z}{ }_{\text {max }}^{2}\left(x p^{2}\right) \quad d k^{2} \quad\left(k^{2} ; s ; p^{2} ; x\right) ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{m a x}^{2}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)=x s=(1 \quad x)+x p$ is the kinem aticalm axim um of the quark $m$ om entum squared $k^{2}, s=(p \quad k)^{2}$ is the center-ofm ass energy squared of the \spectator" quark system, and is the quark spectral function extended to the nucleon $\circ \mathrm{m}$ ass-shell region. Follow ing Ref. [2] 2 T1] we assum e that has no explicit $x$-dependence, and that the spectrum in $s$ can be approxim ated by that calculated for a single e ective $m$ ass $s, /(s) s)$. At
 $k^{2}$ dependence in then gives $g_{1}^{N}$ as a product of two functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)=\prime\left(p^{2}\right) F k_{\max }^{2}\left(x ; p^{2}\right) ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

norm alized such that ${ }^{\prime}\left(M^{2}\right)=1$ and hence $F\left(k_{m a x}^{2}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)\right)=g_{1}^{N}(x)$. The explicit $p^{2}$ dependence in the function ' ( $p^{2}$ ) is dynam ical in origin, while the $p^{2}$-dependence in the function $F\left(k_{m a x}^{2}\right)$ that enters through the upper lim it of the $k^{2}$ integration is purely kine$m$ atical. A fter som e algebra, the derivative of $g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)$ w ith respect of $p^{2}$ can then be w ritten:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{\varrho g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)}{@ p^{2}}}_{p^{2}=M^{2}}=g_{1}^{N}(x){\frac{@}{}{ }^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)}_{\varrho p^{2}}^{p^{2}=M^{2}}{ }^{(x)} g_{1}^{N}(x)^{0} M^{2}(1 \quad x)^{2} S^{2}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determ ine the slope of the ${ }^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)$ at $p^{2}=M^{2}$ we assum e that the rst $m$ om ent of the non-singlet part of $g_{1}^{N}$ is not renorm alized $\circ$-shell (at least in the vicinity of $p^{2} M^{2}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} d x{\frac{@ g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right)}{@ p^{2}}}_{p^{2}=M^{2}}=0: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them otivation for this condition is that since the axial $(1)$ anom aly [ $[1]$ is absent in the non-singlet sector, in the chiral lim it the axial charge of the nucleon is a conserved quantity. For the singlet com ponent of $g_{1}^{N}$ little is known about how the e ects of the axial anom aly extrapolate into the o -shell region, although one would not expect dram atic consequences as long as $\mathrm{p}^{2} \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}$. To satisfy Eq. $(\overline{3} \overline{9} \overline{9}) \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{s}=2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ one needs a slope of approxim ately $@^{\prime}\left(p^{2}\right)=@ p^{2} \dot{p}_{p^{2}=M^{2}} \quad 0: 16 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. For larger values of $s, s^{\prime} \quad 3 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, the slope becom es , 0:1 GeV ${ }^{2}$.

The extension of the above 0 -shell $m$ odel to the $g_{2}^{N}$ structure function is $m$ ore problem atic. For exam ple, there is no known justi cation for a norm alization condition such as in Eq. $\left(\frac{3}{9} \overline{9}_{1}\right)$ to be valid for $g_{2}^{N}$. Furthem ore, there is little know ledge about how the higher tw ist correlation, or nalstate interaction, e ects involving the (nucleon \{quark) \spectator" system would m odify the e ective $m$ ass $s$. For these reasons we postpone for the tim e being a $m$ ore detailed discussion about the $o$-shell dependence in $g_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$.

W ith these inputs for the nucleon structure function we can now proceed to evaluate num erically the structure functions of the deuteron.

## B.C onvolution $R$ esults

For the deuteron, the traces in Eqs. (1' $\overline{2} \overline{1})$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the deuteron wavefunctions $m=+1$ (p) with spin projection $m=+1$ along the axis of quantization (see A ppendix $\left.\bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{1}^{3}:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathbb{P}(" ; p) O b=\underset{+1}{y}(p) O^{(p)}+O^{(n)} \quad+1(p) 2 \quad\left(" \quad D+p^{2}=2 M\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{D}$ is the deuteron binding energy. The expectation values in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{O} \bar{O}_{1}\right)$ w th the operators $O=\Phi_{0}+\Phi_{z} ; \Phi_{\text {? }}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ determ ine the deuteron distribution functions. From Eq. ( $\left(\bar{B} \bar{J}^{\prime}\right)$ (for the axis of quantization parallel to the $z$-direction) we nd:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{M}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}{2 \mathrm{M}} \quad \text { u } \quad \mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{\mathrm{W}}{ }_{2}^{\#_{2}^{9}} \text {; ; } \tag{41a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(p)$ and $w(p)$ are the $S$ - and $D$-state wavefunctions in $m$ om entum space, and $p_{z}=$ $\dot{p} p_{z}$, with $p_{z}=c o s \quad$ ( is the angle betw eenp and the $z$-axis).

For a deuteron polarized in a direction transverse relative to the photon direction, $S=S^{\text {? }}$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(?) y}{+1}(p) S_{?}^{0} \quad \stackrel{(?)}{+1}(p)=\frac{4^{2}}{p^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{p^{2}}{2 M^{2}} \quad u^{2}+\frac{u w}{p} \overline{2}^{( } \quad 3 \quad 3 p_{?} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { S }
\end{array}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{(?) y}{+1}(p) \Phi_{2} \stackrel{(?)}{+1}(p)=\frac{4^{2}}{\dot{p} j} \frac{p_{?} \quad S^{2}}{M} \frac{3}{2} 1 \quad \frac{p_{z}}{M} \quad p_{z} w \quad w \quad p_{\overline{2}}^{2 u}  \tag{41b}\\
& +\frac{\dot{p} j}{M} \text { u } P_{\overline{2}}^{W_{2}^{\prime}} \quad \text {; } \tag{41c}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]Substituting these results into Eqs. ( $1 \overline{2} \bar{\sigma})$, we can now evaluate the $g_{1 ; T}^{D}$ structure functions of the deuteron. In $F$ ig ${ }_{-1}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{1}$ we plot the ratio $g_{1}^{D}=g_{1}^{N}$ as a function of $x$, for $Q^{2}=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, using
 betw een the curves at sm allx are due $m$ ainly to the di erent deuteron $D$-state probabilities, nam ely $5.8 \%$ for the Paris, $4.3 \%$ for the Bonn (full model) and $4.7 \%$ for the Buck/G ross (pseudo-vector coupling) models. In calculating the curves in $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{-1}$, we have $m$ ade the approxim ation $g_{1}^{N}\left(x ; p^{2}\right) \quad q^{N}\left(x ; M^{2}\right)$. W thin the $m$ odel of Ref. $\left.\uparrow \overline{1} \bar{\sigma}\right]$ it $w a s$ found that th is approxim ation is good to w thin $0.5 \%$ for $\mathrm{x}<0: 8$. W e shall illustrate the e ects of the $@ g_{1}^{N}=@ p^{2}$ term evaluated using the 0 -shell $m$ odel of Section ${ }^{N} \bar{A} i$
$T$ he transverse structure function ratio, $g_{T}^{D}=g_{T}^{N}$, is shown in $F$ ig ${ }_{-}^{2} \overline{4}$, at $Q^{2}=4 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, calculated for the P aris wavefunction [4] $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right]$ (solid curve) ${ }_{[1}^{\left[Z_{1}\right.}$. T he result tums out to be quite sim ilar to the ratio of the $g_{1}$ structure functions in $F$ ig'⿹ํ (dashed curve), the $m$ ain di erence being at large $x$, where the $g_{T}$ ratio rises above unity earlier. The faster rise is even $m$ ore pronounced for the ratio of the tw ist-2 com ponents of $g_{T}$ (dotted curve). At interm ediate $x$ values ( $x<0: 5$ ) the $g_{T}^{D}=g_{T}^{N}$ ratio appears $m$ ostly independent of the $m$ odel for $g_{2}^{N}$, so that one $m$ ay reasonably safely extract inform ation on $g_{T}^{N}$ (and hence $g_{2}^{N}$ ) from the transverse deuteron data.

## C.Expansion R esults

In the range of $x$ at which $m$ ost of the data are taken, nam ely $x<0: 6$, the argum ents
 be excellent approxim ations to the full convolution results. Since these would sim plify the analysis of the deuterium data, one $m$ ay then take advantage of the simple expansion approxim ations in this region of $x$.

To test the reliability of the expansion approach, we m ust calculate the coe cients $C_{1}^{(i)}$, $C_{T}^{(i)}$ and $C_{T 2}^{(i)}$ for a deuteron target. U sing the $m$ atrix elem ent in Eq. ( $\left.4 \overline{1} 1 \bar{a} \bar{i}\right)$ we obtain explicit expressions for the coe cients in Eqs. ( $2 \overline{9} \overline{9}$ ) of the various term $s$ in the structure function $g_{1}^{D}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{1}^{(0)}=1 \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}} \quad \frac{2}{3 \mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{0}+\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \mathrm{~T}_{02} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2} \quad ;  \tag{42a}\\
& C_{1}^{(1)}=1 \quad \frac{3}{2} P_{D} \frac{j_{D} j}{M}+\frac{1}{M} \quad T_{0}+\frac{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}}{5} \mathrm{~T}_{02} \quad \frac{9}{10} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{42b}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{3 \mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{0} \quad \frac{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}}{5} \mathrm{~T}_{02} \quad \frac{1}{10} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \text {; }  \tag{42c}\\
& C_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{2}{M} \quad 1 \quad \frac{3}{2} P_{D} \quad j_{D} j+2 T_{0} \quad T_{2} ; \tag{42d}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]where $P_{D}={ }^{R} \mathrm{dpw}^{2}(\mathrm{p})$ is the D -state probability in the deuteron, $w$ ith $p$ jpj (not to be confiused $w$ th the $m$ om entum four-vector $p$ used above). H ere $T_{0}, T_{2}$ and $T_{02}$ represent the average nucleon kinetic energies associated with each com ponent of the deuteron w avefiunction:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{0} & =z_{1}^{z_{1}^{0}} d p \frac{p^{2}}{2 M} u^{2}(p) ;  \tag{43a}\\
T_{2} & =z_{1}^{0} d p \frac{p^{2}}{2 M} w^{2}(p) ;  \tag{43b}\\
T_{02} & =Z_{1}^{d} d p \frac{p^{2}}{2 M} u(p) w(p): \tag{43c}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

To illustrate the role of the various term $s$ in the expansion we plot in $F$ ig the zeroth order contribution, proportional to $\mathrm{C}_{1}^{(0)}$, together w ith the higher order tem S , scaled by a factor 100. Evident at large values of $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x} \quad 0: 8$ ) is the role of the second derivative term, proportional to $C_{1}^{(2)}$, which gives the characteristic rise (due to Ferm im otion) of the
 arises from the single di erential term proportional to $C_{1}^{(1)}$ which is large and negative in this region. A though it does not give rise to any uniquely distinct features in the structure
 order of $m$ agnitude as the other higher order corrections, and $m$ ust be inchuded in any precision analysis of nuclear e ects in the deuteron.

In $F$ ig ${ }_{-1}^{\prime}$, we com pare the perform ance of the expansion form ula for the ratio $g_{1}^{\mathrm{D}}=g_{1}^{\mathrm{N}}$ w ith several otherm ethods of com putation, neglecting for the $m$ om ent the $o$-shell contributions. The sim plest approach (and the one used by the SM C in their analysis $\left.{ }_{\underline{-9}}^{\underline{9}}\right]$ ) is to use a constant depolarization factor, ( $1 \quad 3=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ), which roughly corresponds to the rst term in the Taylor series in Eq. (2] $\overline{\mathrm{q}})$. In fact, the order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ correction to ( $1 \quad 3=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ) is of the order of $10 \%$, i.e. an overall correction to the structure fiunction ratio of $0: 5 \%$. At present this is still sm aller than the uncertainty in $P_{D}$ between the di erent models. C om pared w ith the convolution results, one sees that the expansion form ula works rem arkably well for $x<0: 7$, where the two results are alm ost indistinguishable. A s expected, for $x>0: 7$ the expansion curve overshoots the convolution result, which is understood from the fact that in the expansion form ula one is neglecting the lower lim it of integration, nam ely $x$, of $D(y)$ over $y$, and replacing it by zero.

To exam ine the e ect of the $0-$ shell $C_{1}^{(3)}$ term in Eq. (2 $\left.\overline{8} \overline{-1}\right)$ on the $g_{1}$ ratio, we plot in F ig ${ }_{6}^{\prime}$ (solid) $w$ th the $m$ ass param eter $s=2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$. The overalle ect on the shape of the ratio
 that $w$ ithin this $m$ odel the $o$-shell curve at large $x(x>0: 7)$ approaches the on-shell lim it, although in this region the expansion approxim ation itself is no longer accurate. $W$ thin the relativistic $m$ odelof $R$ ef. [ī̄] ] (dotted curve), the m agnitude of the (negative) 0 -shelle ects was found to increase rapidly beyond $x \quad 0: 8$, which is a further reason why the expansion curves tend to be larger at very large x . In the interm ediate- x region, on the other hand, there is little to distinguish all of the curves for $0.2<x<0: 7$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(0)}=\mathrm{C}_{1}^{(0)} ; \tag{44a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(1)}=1 \quad \frac{3}{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}} \frac{\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{D} ~} \mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{M}}+\frac{5}{3 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~T}_{0}+\frac{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}}{25} \mathrm{~T}_{02} \quad \frac{29}{50} \mathrm{~T}_{2} ;  \tag{44b}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{3 \mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{0}+\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{5} \mathrm{~T}_{02} \quad \frac{7}{10} \mathrm{~T}_{2} ;  \tag{44c}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(3)}=\mathrm{C}_{1}^{(3)} ;  \tag{44d}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{(0)}=\frac{2}{3 \mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{0} \quad \frac{7^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}}{10} \mathrm{~T}_{02}+\frac{1}{5} \mathrm{~T}_{2} ;  \tag{44e}\\
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T} 2}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{5 \mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{02}: \tag{44f}
\end{align*}
$$

 corrections are scaled by 100. (A s discussed in Section NV. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{(3)}$ term here.) W hile sm all, the corrections proportionalto $\mathrm{xg}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and its derivative are still of the sam e order ofm agnitude as the $g_{T}^{N}$-dependent term $s$.
$F$ inally, the relevant quantily needed for the extraction of the transverse nucleon structure function from $g_{T}^{D}$ is plotted in $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \overline{9}_{1}$ (solid curves). The ratio $g_{T}^{D}=g_{T}^{N}$ com es out to be very sim ilar to the one obtained from the full convolution m odel (dashed curves). In $F$ ig ${ }_{1}, \underline{9}, 1$ curves (i) have $\bar{g}_{2}^{N}=0$, while curves (ii) include the tw ist-3 com ponent. A s a reference point, the result w ith a constant depolarization factor, ( $1 \quad 3=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}$ ), is also shown (dotted line). $T$ hese results indicate that the expansion form ula ( $\left.{ }_{3} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ is quite a good approxim ation to the


## V I. C O N C LU SIO N

W e have presented a form ulation of spin-dependent deep-inelastic scattering from spin $1 / 2$ and 1 nuclear targets. Starting from a covariant fram ew ork we have derived nonrelativistic convolution form ulae for the nuclear $g_{1}^{A}$ and $g_{T}^{A}\left(q^{A}+g_{2}^{A}\right)$ structure functions in term $s$ of polarized nucleon distribution functions and 0 m ass-shell extrapolations of the nucleon structure functions $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}}$. It is known that relativistically the factorization of nuclear and nucleon parts of the totalstructure function, which is necessary for convolution, does not hold. O ur results, how ever, are self-consistent to order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$ in the nucleon m om entum, and represent the rst system atic derivation of convolution form ulae for polarized structure functions of weakly bound nuclei. To this order, while $g_{1}^{A}$ can be expressed in term $s$ of $g_{1}^{N}$, we nd that $g_{2}^{A}$ receives contributions from both the $g_{2}^{N}$ and $g_{1}^{N}$ structure functions of the nucleon.
$W$ e have further utilized the fact that the nucleon $m$ om entum distributions in nonrelativistic nuclei are strongly peaked around the light-cone m om entum fraction y 1 and the on $m$ ass-shell point $p^{2} \quad M^{2}$. Expanding the virtual nucleon structure functions about these points we obtained sim ple expansion form ulae for $g_{1 ; \mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ valid for $\mathrm{x}<0: 7$.

The perform ance of the convolution and expansion approaches was exam ined for the case of the deuteron, which has direct practical im plications for the extraction of the free neutron structure function from deuterium data. For the $g_{1}^{D}$ structure function good agreem ent was found betw een the expansion approxim ation and the non-relativistic convolution for all $x$ below $0: 6$. D i erences betw een the non-relativistic convolution and previous relativistic
calculations becom e notioeable only for $\mathrm{x}>0: 7$. At sm aller x the source of the largest uncertainty is the non-relativistic deuteron $D$-state probability.

W e have also investigated for the rst tim e the nucleare ects relevant for the extraction of the neutron structure function $g_{T}^{n}$ (or $g_{2}^{n}$ ) from $m$ easurem ents of the transverse structure function of the deuteron $g_{T}^{D}$. Q ualitatively these were found to be sim ilar to the nuclear e ects for $g_{1}^{D}$, and for $x<0: 5$ largely independent of the details of the tw ist-3 com ponent of $g_{2}^{N}$.

W e can conclude, therefore, that for both $g_{1}^{D}$ and $g_{T}^{D}$ the non-relativistic expansion form ula provides a simple and, w thin current experim ental accuracy, reliable means of analyzing nuclear e ects in the deuteron. In future high-precision experim ents $\underline{\underline{K}} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}, \underline{1}$ role of relativistic corrections as well as corrections to the im pulse approxim ation itselfm ay be $m$ ore signi cant. T hese experim ents should provide us with valuable guidance as to the relevance of relativistic e ects in light nuclei, and where the im pulse approxim ation $m$ ay break down.
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## APPENDIXA:NONTELATIVISTICREDUCTIONOFTHENUCLEON FIELD

 OPERATORTo derive the relation in Eq. (1̄2̄) between the relativistic and non-relativistic eld operators, we rst w rite the relativistic nucleon eld operator $N$ in term $s$ of upper and lower com ponents,' and , respectively:

$$
N(r ; t)=e^{\mathrm{iMt} t} \begin{gather*}
\quad(r ; t)!  \tag{A1}\\
(r ; t)
\end{gather*}:
$$

In the extrem e non-relativistic lim it p ! 0 ; ! 0 , and at zeroth order in $\mathrm{p} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{M}$, the non-relativistic nucleon eld is sim ply given by the \large" upper com ponent' . W e require, how ever, a relation which is valid to order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}^{2}$.

The equation of $m$ otion for a nucleon eld in the presence of a \potential" $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{p}}$ can be w ritten:
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{q}=S+\neq+i_{5} P+{ }_{5} \text { A } \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes the interaction of a single nucleon with $m$ esonic elds produced by the surrounding

vector $m$ eson elds, $S=g_{s}$ and $V=g_{!}!$, respectively, while the last tw o correspond to pseudoscalar (PS) and pseudovector (PV) $N$-couplings, $P=g$ and $A=\left(g^{0}=2 \mathrm{M}\right) @$ (for sim plicity we ignore isospin).

W ritten for the upper and lower com ponents, the D irac equation (

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (ido } \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{~V} \text { A })^{\prime} \quad(\quad+\mathrm{iP} \quad \text { A) }=0 \text {; }  \tag{A4a}\\
& \text { ( iP A })^{\prime} \quad\left(\mathrm{iq}+2 \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{V}_{0}+\mathrm{A}\right)=0 \text {; } \tag{A4b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\quad p \quad V$, and $p=$ ir is the $m$ om entum operator. In the non-relativistic lim it the driving term in Eq. (A A

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \frac{\mathrm{i} \varrho_{0}+\mathrm{S} \mathrm{~V}_{0} \quad \mathrm{~A}}{2 \mathrm{M}}+::: \frac{\left(\mathrm{P} \quad A^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{2 \mathrm{M}} ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the leading term is oforder $\bar{p} \dot{\mathcal{F}} \mathrm{M}$, while the next term is oforder $\mathrm{p} j^{3}=\mathrm{M}^{3}$. Forw eakly bound nuclei, such as ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ or ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$, the interaction is of the sam e order as the kinetic energy, $p^{2}=2 M$. Furthem ore, the kinetic and potentialenergies are alm ost equal in $m$ agnitude while opposite in sign ${ }_{1}^{5_{1}}$, so that one can treat the di erent parts of the interaction ( S ; $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ and
A) as allbeing of the order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$. To this order, it is su cient therefore to keep only the rst term in the rst parentheses in Eq. ( $\overline{A_{1}^{\prime}}$ ).

From the equations of $m$ otion for the $m$ esonic eld we observe that the ratio of the spatial $(V)$ to time $\left(V_{0}\right)$ com ponents of the vector current is $N \quad N \quad N \quad{ }_{0} N \quad$ j j/M, so that $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{p} j \mathrm{M}$. Furthem ore, since the tim e com ponent of the axialvector interaction is given by the tim e derivative of the pion eld, $\mathrm{A}_{0}=\left(g^{0}=2 \mathrm{M}\right) @_{0}$, it is reasonable to assum $e$ that $A_{0} \quad\left(p^{2}=M{ }^{2}\right) P$. Therefore to order $p^{2}=M^{2}$ one can neglect $V$ and $A_{0}$ in Eq. $\left(\bar{A}-\overline{A^{-}} \bar{S}^{\prime}\right)$, so that the low er com ponent can be w ritten:

$$
=\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{iP})^{\prime}: \tag{A6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Substituting Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{\mathrm{~A}})$ into Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{A}}-\overline{4} \overline{\mathrm{a}})$ leads then to the Pauli\{Schrodinger equation for the two-com ponent nucleon spinor:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i @_{0}^{\prime}=\frac{p^{2}}{2 M}+V_{N R}^{\prime \prime} ;  \tag{A7}\\
& V_{N R}=S+V_{0}+A+\frac{1}{2 M} \quad(r P)+\frac{P^{2}}{2 M} ; \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e V_{N R}$ is the non-relativistic analog of ${ }^{\natural}$. Recalling that we assume all parts of the interaction being of the sam e order as the kinetic energy, $p^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}$, we observe from Eq. (A $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ - ) that the PS term should be of order P $\quad \mathrm{p}$, and som ust be kept in Eq.

[^4]$N$ ote also that to order $\mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }^{2}$ the nucleon density $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{N}$ receives contributions from the low er com ponent, , in which case' cannot be identi ed w th the properly norm alized nonrelativistic nucleon eld . Follow ing R ef. Z such that' = Z , w th Z determ ined by the particle number (charge) conservation condition:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} r^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}}: \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Inserting in Eq. ( $(\bar{A}-\bar{\alpha})$ into ( $(\bar{A}-\bar{d})$ we $n d$, to order $p^{2}=M^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =1 \frac{1}{8 M^{2}} p^{2}+\quad(r P)+P^{2} ;  \tag{A10}\\
N(r ; t) & =e^{i M t} \frac{\left(p \quad(r ; t)^{1}\right.}{2 M}: \tag{A11}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore the renorm alization constant depends explicitly on the PS pion \{nucleon interaction. In the non-relativistic lim it the PV and PS couplings result in identical $P$ wave pion-nucleon interactions, as seen from Eq.(Ā̈). H ow ever, the PS coupling also generates a strong $S$ wave $N$ interaction (the term $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}$ in Eq. ( $\mathrm{A}_{-1}$ ()) ). C onsidered alone, the PS term leads to incorrect N scattering lengths, and its contribution is only cancelled by the introduction of a non-linear coupling. In ourm odel therefore we consider only the PV coupling, which does not lead to the spurious $S$ wave interaction. In this case the nonrelativistic expression for the four-com ponent nucleon spinor is given by Eq.(İ-ī), and the renom alization constant is interaction-independent.

## APPENDIX B:DEUTERON DENTITIES

For com pleteness we present here som e de nitions and useful identities for the deuteron which are used in Section NAN .

The deuteron wavefiunction in $m$ om entum space is de ned as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(p)=\frac{p \overline{2^{2}}}{p} \quad u(p) \quad w(p) \frac{S_{12}(p)}{\bar{p}} \bar{p}_{1 ; m} ; \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=p j a n d m$ is the projection of the deuteron spin on the axis of quantization, $1, m$ is the spin 1 wavefunction of the two-nucleon system, and $S_{12}(\mathrm{D})$ is the tensor operator $w$ ith $p=p=j j$. $W$ e de ne the $S$-and $D$-state $w$ avefunctions in $m$ om entum space as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p \overline{2^{2}} u(p)={ }_{z_{1}}^{z_{0}^{0}} \operatorname{drpr} j_{0}(p r) u(r) ;  \tag{B2a}\\
& p \overline{2^{2}} w(p)={ }_{0} \quad \operatorname{drpr} j_{2}(p r) w(r) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(r)$ and $w(r)$ are the standard wavefunctions in con guration space, and norm alized such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{z_{1}} d p u^{2}(p)+w^{2}(p)=1: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is a unit vector along the direction of the spin quantization, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \underset{1 ; \mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{y}} \quad(\mathrm{p})+\quad(\mathrm{n}) \quad 1, \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{mS} \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{(p) ;(n)}$ are $S U(2)$ Paulispin $m$ atrices acting on the proton and neutron $w$ ave function respectively. U sing som e sim ple relations from the SU (2) algebra we nd:
$\underset{m}{y}(p) \quad(p)+\quad(n)$
$m(p)=m \frac{4^{2}}{p^{2}} S u^{2}+\frac{u w}{p} \frac{w^{2}}{w^{2}}+\frac{3}{2} p(p$
S ) ww $\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{u}}:(\mathrm{B} 5)$
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## FIGURES

F IG . 1. D eep inelastic scattering from a polarized nucleus in the im pulse approxim ation. T he $m$ om enta of the target nucleus ( P ), virtual nucleon ( p ) and photon ( q ) are $m$ arked, and $S$ denotes the nuclear spin vector.

FIG.2. Nucleon structure function input used in the calculation of nuclear structure func-
 data; (b) isoscalar nucleon structure functions $x g_{1}^{N}\left(=\left(x g_{1}^{p}+x g_{1}^{n}\right)=2\right)$ (solid), $x g_{2}^{N}$ (dashed), and $\mathrm{xg}_{\mathrm{T}}^{N}=\mathrm{x} g_{1}^{N}+\mathrm{x} g_{2}^{N}$ (dotted). In (b) curves (1) contain the tw ist-2 com ponent of $g_{2}^{N}$ only, while in curves (2) $g_{2}^{N}$ has in addition a tw ist-3 contribution based on the bag $m$ odel calculation of $R$ ef. [

F IG . 3. R atio of the deuteron to nucleon $g_{1}$ structure functions calculated via the convolution
 w avefunctions. T he latter, whidh also include sm all $P$-state com ponents, are renorm alized so that the $S$ - and D -state w avefunctions alone are nom alized to unity.

FIG.4. R atio of the transverse $g_{T}$ deuteron to nucleon structure functions $w$ thin the convolu-
 com ponent of $g_{2}^{N}$. For com parison the $g_{1}^{D}=g_{1}^{N}$ ratio (dashed) from $F$ ig ${ }^{\prime} \overline{3}$ is also show $n$.

FIG.5. C ontributions to the deuteron $x g_{1}^{D}$ structure function from various term $s$ in the expansion form ula, Eq. (2̄-1) : zeroth order term (solid), rst derivative (dashed), second derivative (dot-dashed), nucleon o -shell contribution (dotted). The latter three higher order term s are scaled by a factor 100.

FIG.6. D euteron to nucleon structure function ratio, $g_{1}^{D}=g_{1}^{N}$, using the expansion form ula (solid), com pared w ith the convolution result (dashed) from $F$ ig ization factor ( $1 \quad 3=2 P_{D}$ ) (dotted), w ith $P_{D}, 5: 8 \%$ from the $P$ aris wavefiunction [4]il].

FIG.7. Deuteron to nucleon $g_{1}$ structure function ratio from the non-relativistic expansion formula w ith (solid) and w thout (dashed) the o -shell com ponent in Eq. (20-1), for the deuteron


FIG.8. C ontributions to the $x g_{T}^{D}$ structure function in the expansion form ula, Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ : (a) $\mathrm{xg}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ com ponents | zeroth order term (solid), rst (dashed) and second (dot-dashed) derivatives; (b) $\mathrm{xg}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$ (triple-dot\{dashed) and $\left(\mathrm{xg}_{2}^{N}\right)^{0}$ (dashed) components, on the background of the zeroth order term (solid) in (a).

FIG.9. Transverse deuteron to nucleon structure function ratio using the expansion form ula (solid), Eq. ( $\underline{B}_{1}^{\overline{1}}{ }^{\prime}$ ), com pared w th the convolution $m$ odel result (dashed). Curves (i) have $\overline{\mathrm{g}}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}=0$, while curves (ii) include the tw ist-3 com ponent. T he dotted curve indicates the constant depolar-
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[^0]:    W ork supported in part by BM FT grant 06 OR 744 (1)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Throughout we de ne $g_{1 ; 2}^{N}$ to be the average of the proton and neutron structure functions: $g_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{g}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{g}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{n}} \cdot 2$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Som epotentialproblem sassociated w ith the use of the in pulse approxim ation for the $g_{2}$ structure function have also been discussed in Ref. [2] ] in the context of relativistic light-front dynam ics.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that the argum ent in the deuteron wavefunction is the relative nucleon m om entum, which in the deuteron rest fram $e$ coincides $w$ th the single particle $m$ om entum $p$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that plotting the ratio of $g_{2}$ structure functions is not very instructive since $g_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}$ changes sign | to obtain the nuclear e ects on $g_{2}$ alone one can subtract the $g_{1}$ com ponent determ ined above.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ote how ever that in relativistic m odels of nuclear m atter, such as the W alecka m odel, som e parts of the interaction can be large but of opposite sign (e.g. $S$ and $V_{0}$ ), leading to a sm all overall $\ddagger$.

