Standard M odel H igher O rder Corrections to the W W = W W Z Vertex

Joannis Papavassiliou

New York University, New York 10003, USA

U sing the S{m atrix pinch technique we obtain to one loop order gauge independent W W $^+$ and ZW W $^+$ vertices in the context of the standard model, with all incoming momenta o {shell. We show that the vertices so constructed satisfy simple QED {like W ard identities. These gauge invariant vertices give rise to expressions for the magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole form factors of the W gauge boson, which, unlike previous treatments, satisfy the crucial properties of infrared niteness and perturbative unitarity. 1

INTRODUCTION

A new and largely unexplored frontier on which the ongoing search for new physics will soon focus is the study of the structure of the three-boson couplings (1). A general parametrization of the trilinear gauge boson vertex for two on $\{\text{shell W} \text{ s and one o } \{\text{shell V} = ; \text{ Z is } (2)\}$

with g = gs, g_Z = gc, where g is the SU (2) gauge coupling, s \sin_W and c \cos_W . In the above formula term swhich are odd under the individual discrete symmetries of C, P, or T have been om itted. The four-momenta Q and are related to the incoming momenta q, p_1 and p_2 of the gauge bosons V; W and W $^+$ respectively, by q=2Q, $p_1=Q$ and $p_2=Q$. The form factors $_V$ and Q_V , also de ned as

$$V = V + V = 1; (2)$$

and

$$Q_{V} = 2_{V}; (3)$$

 $^{^1{\}rm To}$ appear in the proceedings of the International Sym posium on Vector Boson Self Interactions, Feb. 1-3, 1995 UCLA .

are compatible with C,P, and T invariance, and are related to the magnetic dipole m om ent $\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{W}}$, by the following expressions (3), (4), (5), (6):

$$_{W} = \frac{e}{2M_{W}} (2 +) ;$$
 (4)

and

$$Q_{W} = \frac{e}{M_{W}^{2}} (1 + P_{Q}) :$$
 (5)

In the context of the standard model, their canonical, tree level values, are f=1 and $_{V}=Q_{V}=0$. To determ ine the radiative corrections to these quantities one must cast the resulting one {loop expressions in the following form:

$$V = ig_V [a_1^V g + a_2^V (g Q g Q) + a_3^V Q Q];$$
 (6)

where a_1^V , a_2^V , and a_3^V are complicated functions of the momentum transfer Q^2 , and the masses of the particles appearing in the loops. It then follows that V and V0 are given by the following expressions:

$$_{V} = \frac{1}{2} (a_{2}^{V} \quad 2a_{1}^{V} \quad Q^{2}a_{3}^{V})$$
 (7)

and

$$Q_{V} = \frac{M_{A}^{2}}{\Lambda} a_{3}^{V} : \qquad (8)$$

Calculating the one-loop expressions for V and V is a non-trivial task, both from the technical and the conceptual point of view. If one calculates just the Feynm an diagram s contributing to the W + W vertex and then extracts from them the contributions to and Q , one arrives at expressions that are plagued with several pathologies, gauge-dependence being one of them. Indeed, even if the two W are considered to be on shell, since the incoming photon is not, there is no a priori reason why a gauge-independent answer should em erge. In the context of the renormalizable R gauges the nalanswer depends on the choice of the gauge xing parameter, which enters into the one-loop calculations through the gauge-boson propagators (W , Z , , and unphysical scalar particles). In addition, as shown by an explicit calculation performed in the Feynman gauge (= 1), the answer for divergent and violates perturbative unitarity, e.g. it grows monotonically for Q²! 1 (7). C learly, regardless of the m easurability of quantities like and Q , from the theoretical point of view one should at least be able to satisfy such crucial requirem ents as gauge-independence and infrared niteness, when calculating the model's prediction for them. Indeed, all the above pathologies may be circum vented if one adopts the pinch technique

I.THE PINCH TECHNIQUE

The sim plest exam ple that dem onstrates how the PT works is the gluon two point function (10). Consider the S-m atrix element T for the elastic scattering such as $q_1 q_2$! $q_1 q_2$, where q_1 , q_2 are two on-shell test quarks with m asses m $_1$ and m $_2$. To any order in perturbation theory T is independent of the gauge xing parameter . On the other hand, as an explicit calculation shows, the conventionally de ned proper self-energy depends on . At the one loop level this dependence is canceled by contributions from other graphs, which, at rst glance, do not seem to be propagator-like. That this cancellation must occur and can be employed to de ne a gauge-independent self-energy, is evident from the decomposition:

where the function T_0 (t;) depends kinem atically only on the M andelstam variable $t=(p_1-p_1)^2=q^2$, and not on $s=(p_1+p_2)^2$ or on the external m asses. Typically, self-energy, vertex, and box diagrams contribute to T_0 , T_1 , T_2 , and T_3 , respectively. Such contributions are dependent, in general. However, as the sum T (s;t;m₁;m₂) is gauge—independent, it is easy to show that Eq(9) can be recast in the form

$$T(s;t;m_1;m_2) = \hat{T_0}(t) + \hat{T_1}(t;m_1) + \hat{T_2}(t;m_2) + \hat{T_3}(s;t;m_1;m_2);$$
 (10)

where the \hat{T}_i (i = 0;1;2;3) are individually —independent. The propagator-like parts of vertex and box graphs which enforce the gauge independence of T_0 (t), are called pinch parts. They emerge every time a gluon propagator or an elementary three-gluon vertex contributes a longitudinal k to the original graph's numerator. The action of such a term is to trigger an elementary k and identity of the form

$$K_0 = (6 + K_0 m)$$
 (6 m)

when it gets contracted with a matrix. The rst term removes (pinches out) the internal ferm ion propagator, whereas the second vanishes on shell. From the gauge-independent functions \hat{T}_i (i=0;1;2;3) one may now extract a gauge-independent e ective gluon (G) self-energy $\hat{}$ (q), gauge-independent G q_iq_i vertices $\hat{}^{(i)}$, and a gauge-independent box \hat{B} , in the following way:

$$\hat{T}_{0} = g^{2}u_{1} \quad u_{1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{q^{2}} \right)^{2} \quad (q) \left(\frac{1}{q^{2}} \right) \right] u_{2} \quad u_{2};$$

$$\hat{T}_{1} = g^{2}u_{1}^{(1)}u_{1} \left(\frac{1}{q^{2}} \right) u_{2} \quad u_{2};$$

$$\hat{T}_{2} = g^{2}u_{1} \quad u_{1} \left(\frac{1}{q^{2}} \right) u_{2}^{(2)} u_{2};$$

$$\hat{T}_{3} = \hat{B};$$
(12)

where ui are the external spinors, and g is the gauge coupling. Since all hatted quantities in the above formula are gauge-independent, their explicit form may be calculated using any value of the gauge-xing parameter , as long as one properly identi es and allots all relevant pinch contributions. The choice = 1 simplies the calculations signicantly, since it eliminates the longitudinal part of the gluon propagator. Therefore, for = 1 the pinch contributions originate only from momenta carried by the elementary threegluon vertex The one-loop expression for ^ (q) is given by (10):

^
$$(q) = (q) + t P(q);$$
 (13)

w here

$$t = (g q^2 q q) (14)$$

and

$$P(q) = 2ic_a g^2 \int_{n}^{Z} \frac{1}{k^2 (k+q)^2};$$
 (15)

where $\frac{R}{n}$ $\frac{d^n k}{(2)^n}$ is the dimensionally regularized loop integral, and c_a is the quadratic Casim ir operator for the adjoint representation [for SU (N), ca = N]. A fter integration and renormalization we nd

$$P(q) = 2c_a \left(\frac{q^2}{16^2}\right) \ln \left(\frac{q^2}{2}\right)]:$$
 (16)

Adding this to the Feynm an-gauge proper self-energy

$$(=1)(q) = \left[\frac{5}{3}c_a\left(\frac{q^2}{16^2}\right)\ln\left(\frac{q^2}{2}\right)\right]t$$
; (17)

we nd for (q)

^
$$(q) = bg^2 \ln(\frac{q^2}{2})t$$
; (18)

where $b = \frac{11c_3}{48^{-2}}$ is the coe cient of g^3 in the usual function. This procedure can be extended to an arbitrary n-point function; of particular physical interest are the gauge-independent three and four point functions

 $\hat{\ }$ (11) and $\hat{\ }$ (12), which at one-loop satisfy the following tree-level W and identifies:

$$q_1$$
 $(q_1;q_2;q_3) = t$ $(q_2)\hat{d}^1(q_2)$ t $(q_3)\hat{d}^1(q_3)$ q_1 $^{abcd} = f_{abp}$ $^{abcd}(q_1 + q_2;q_3;q_4) + cp;;$ (19)

where $\hat{d} = [q^2 \quad \hat{}(q)]^{-1}$ and f^{abc} the structure constants of the gauge group. Finally, the generalization of the PT to the case of non-conserved external currents is technically more involved (13). The main reasons are the following:

(a) The charged W couples to ferm ions with dierent, non-vanishing m assesm $_i$; m $_j$ \in 0, and consequently the elementary W ard identity of Eq.(11) gets m odied to :

$$k P_L k P_L = S_i^1 (p + k) P_L P_R S_i^1 (p) + m_i P_L m_j P_R (20)$$

w here

$$P_{R;L} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (21)

are the chirality projection operators. The stwo terms of Eq (20) will pinch and vanish on shell, respectively, as they did before. But in addition, a term proportional to m $_i P_{\rm L}$ m $_j P_{\rm R}$ is left over. In a general R gauge such terms give rise to extra propagator and vertex-like contributions, not present in the massless case.

(b) Additional graphs involving the \unphysical" would-be Goldstone bosons and , and physical H iggs H , which do not couple to massless ferm ions, must now be included. Such graphs give rise to new pinch contributions, even in the Feynman gauge, due to the momenta carried by interaction vertices such as $^+$, Z $^+$, W $^+$, H W $^+$, e.g. vertices with one vector gauge boson and two scalar bosons.

II. THE CURRENT ALGEBRA FORM ULATION OF THE PINCH TECHNIQUE

We now present an alternative formulation of the PT introduced in the context of the standard model (14). In this approach the interaction of gauge bosons with external fermions is expressed in terms of current correlation functions (15), i.e. matrix elements of Fourier transforms of time-ordered products of current operators. This is particularly economical because these amplitudes automatically include several closely related Feynman diagrams. When one of the current operators is contracted with the appropriate fourmomentum, a Ward identity is triggered. The pinch part is then identied with the contributions involving the equal-time commutators in the Ward identities, and therefore involve amplitudes in which the number of current

operators has been decreased by one or more. A basic ingredient in this formulation are the following equal-time commutators;

where J_3 $2(J_Z + s^2J)$ and $V;V^0$ 2 f; Z g. To demonstrate the method with an example, consider the vertex , where now the gauge particles in the loop are W instead of gluons and the incoming and outgoing ferm ions are massless. It can be written as follows (with = 1):

$$= \frac{Z}{2^{\frac{4}{4}}} \qquad (q;k; k q) \quad d^{4}xe^{ikx} < f \text{ fr } [J_{W}^{Y}(x)J_{W}(0)] \text{ ji.> } : (23)$$

When an appropriate momentum, say k, from the vertex is pushed into the integral over dx, it gets transformed into a covariant derivative $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}}$ acting on the time ordered product < fjT $[J_{\mathrm{W}}{}^{\mathrm{y}}(x)J_{\mathrm{W}}$ (0)]ji > . A fler using current conservation and differentiating the —function terms, in plicit in the denition of the T product, we end up with the left-hand side of the second of Eq(22). So, the contribution of each such term is proportional to the matrix element of a single current operator, namely < fjJ $_{\mathrm{3}}$ ji. >; this is precisely the pinch part. Calling $^{\mathrm{P}}$ the total pinch contribution from the of Eq(23), we not that

$$P = g^3 c I_{W W} (Q^2) < f j J_3 j j > ;$$
 (24)

w here

$$I_{ij}(q) = i \int_{n}^{Z} \frac{1}{(k^2 - M_{i}^{2})[(k+q)^2 - M_{j}^{2}]} :$$
 (25)

O by iously, the integral in Eq(25) is the generalization of the QCD expression Eq(15) to the case of massive gauge bosons.

III. GAUGE {IN VARIANT GAUGE BOSON VERTICES AND THEIR
WARD IDENTITIES

W e consider the S-m atrix element for the process

$$e + + e ! e + e + - :$$
 (26)

and isolate the part T $(q;p_1;p_2)$ of the S{m atrix which depends only on the momentum transfers q, p_1 , and p_2 . The tree-level vector-boson propagator i (q) in the R gauges is given by

$$_{i}$$
 $(q;_{i}) = \frac{1}{q^{2} M_{i}^{2}} [g (1 _{i}) \frac{q q}{q^{2} _{i} M_{i}^{2}}] ;$ (27)

with i = W; Z;, and M = 0. Its inverse $i^{1}(q; i)$ is given by

$$_{i}^{1}$$
 (q;) = (q^{2} M $_{i}^{2}$)g q q + $\frac{1}{q}$ q q : (28)

The propagators $_{\rm s}$ (q; $_{\rm i}$) of the unphysical (would (be) Goldstone bosons are given by

$$_{s}(q; _{i}) = \frac{1}{q^{2} \quad _{i}M_{i}^{2}} ;$$
 (29)

with (s;i) = (;W) or (;Z) and explicitly depend on $_i$. On the other hand, the propagators of the ferm ions (quarks and leptons), as well as the propagator of the physical H iggs particle are $_i$ -independent at tree-level.

Since the nal result (with pinch contributions included) is gauge—independent, we choose to work in the Feynm an gauge ($_{\rm i}=1$); this particular gauge simplies the calculations because it removes all longitudinal parts from the tree-level gauge boson propagators. So, pinch contributions can only originate from appropriate momenta furnished by the tree{level gauge boson vertices. Applying the pinch technique algorithm we isolate all vertex { like parts contained in the box diagrams and allot them to the usual vertex graphs. The nal expressions for one loop gauge—independent trilinear gauge boson vertices are:

$$\frac{1}{g^{3}s}b^{WW^{+}} = WW^{+}j_{i=1} + q^{2}B + U_{W}^{1}(p_{1})B^{+} + U_{W}^{1}(p_{2})B$$

$$2 + p_{2}gM + p_{1}gM^{+}; \qquad (30)$$

$$\frac{1}{g^{3}c}b^{ZW} = {}^{W} \dot{}^{D} \dot{}^{D}$$

w here

$$= I_{W W} (q) + s^{2} I_{W} (p_{1}) + c^{2} I_{W Z} (p_{1}) + s^{2} I_{W} (p_{2}) + c^{2} I_{W Z} (p_{2});$$
 (32)

and

$$M (q;p_1;p_2) = \frac{s^2}{c^2} J_{W W} + \frac{1 2s^2}{2c^2} J_{W W Z} + \frac{1}{2} J_{W W H} + \frac{1}{2c^2} J_{Z H W} ; (33)$$

with

$$J_{ABC} = \sum_{n=1}^{Z} \frac{1}{[(k+p_1)^2 \quad M_A^2][(k-p_2)^2 \quad M_B^2][k^2 \quad M_C^2]};$$
(34)

and the property

$$M + (q; p_1; p_2) = M + (q; p_2; p_1) :$$
 (35)

The gauge-independent vertices satisfy the following $sim\ ple\ W$ and identities (W I), relating them to the W self energy and W W vertex constructed also via the PT:

$$q^{b^{zw}} + iM_{z}^{b^{w}} + iM_{z}^{b^{w}} = gc^{b^{w}} (1) b^{w} (2)$$
; (36)

$$q^{b^{W}} = qs^{b^{W}} (1) b^{W} (2) :$$
 (37)

These W I are the one{loop generalizations of the respective tree level W I; their validity is crucial for the gauge independence of the $S\{m \text{ atrix.} \ \text{It is im portant to em phasize that they } m \text{ ake no reference to ghost term } s$, unlike the corresponding S[w] and S[w] identities satisfied by the conventional, gauge{ dependent vertices.

For the case of on {shell W s one sets $p_1^2=p_2^2=M$ W and neglects all terms proportional to p_1 and p_2 , as well as the left over pinch terms of the W legs. Then the W W vertex reduces to the form

$$\frac{1}{q^3s}b^{WW^+} = WW^+ j_{i=1} + q^2B \qquad (q; p_1; p_2) \quad 2 \qquad I_{WW} \quad (q) : (38)$$

This is of course the same answer one obtains by applying the PT directly to the S{matrix of e^+e^- ! W $^+$ W . Thus for the form factors ; Q the only function we need is B , given below

$$g^{2}B = \sum_{V=-2}^{X} g_{V}^{2} \frac{Z}{n} \frac{iR}{[(k+p_{1})^{2} M_{W}^{2}][(k-p_{2})^{2} M_{W}^{2}][k^{2} M_{V}^{2}]}; (39)$$

with

$$R = g (k \frac{3}{2}(p_1 p_2))$$
 $g (3k + 2q)$ $g (3k 2q)$: (40)

IV . M AGNETIC DIPOLE AND ELECTRIC QUADRUPOLE FORM $\mbox{FACTORS FOR THE W}$

Having constructed the gauge-independent WW vertex we proceed to extract its contributions to the magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole form

factors of the $\mathbb W$. $\mathbb W$ e use carets to denote the gauge independent one{loop contributions. C learly,

$$^{\circ} = ^{(=1)} + ^{P};$$
 (41)

and

$$\hat{Q} = Q^{(=1)} + Q^{P};$$
 (42)

where Q $^{(=1)}$ and Q $^{(=1)}$ are the contributions of the usual vertex diagram s in the Feynman gauge (7), whereas Q P and Q P the analogous contributions from the pinch parts. The task of actually calculating $^-$ and Q is greatly facilitated by the fact that the quantities $^{(=1)}$ and Q $^{(=1)}$ have already been calculated in (7). It must be emphasized however that the expression for $^{(=1)}$ (but not Q $^{(=1)}$) is infrared divergent for Q 2 \in 0 due to the presence of the following double integral over the Feynman parameters (t,a), given in Eq.(26) of (7):

$$R = (-)_{\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{w}^{2}}}^{R_{1}} {_{0}^{2}} da_{0}^{R_{1}} \frac{dt}{t^{2} t^{2} (1 a) a (\frac{4Q^{2}}{M_{w}^{2}})}$$

$$= (-)_{\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{w}^{2}}}^{R_{1}} {_{0}^{2}} \frac{da}{t (1 a) a (\frac{4Q^{2}}{M_{w}^{2}})}^{R_{1}^{2}} {_{0}^{2}} \frac{dt}{t}$$
(43)

By perform ing the momentum integration in B , we not for p_1^2 = p_2^2 = M $_{\rm W}^2$

$$B = \frac{Q^{2}}{8^{2}M_{W}^{2}} X g_{V}^{Z_{1}} da (2tdt) \frac{F}{L_{V}^{2}}; \qquad (44)$$

w here

$$F = 2(\frac{3}{2} + at)g + 2(3at + 2)[g Q g Q];$$
 (45)

and

$$L_V^2 = t^2 + t^2 a (1 - a) (\frac{4Q^2}{M_W^2}) + (1 - t) \frac{M_V^2}{M_W^2};$$
 (46)

from which immediately follows that

$$a_1^P (Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^2}{M_W^2} \frac{X}{V} - \frac{V}{0} \frac{Z_1}{da} \frac{Z_1}{(2tdt)} \frac{2(\frac{3}{2} + at)}{L_V^2}$$
(47)

and

$$a_2^P (Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^2}{M_W^2} \frac{X}{V} - \frac{V}{0} \frac{Z_1}{da} \frac{Z_1}{(2tdt)} \frac{2(2+3at)}{L_V^2};$$
 (48)

and since there is no term proportional to Q Q,

$$a_2^P (0^2) = 0$$
: (49)

Therefore,

$$P = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \frac{X}{V} - \frac{V}{V} \frac{Z_{1}}{da} \frac{Z_{1}}{da} (2tdt) \frac{(at 1)}{L_{V}^{2}};$$
 (50)

and

$$Q^{P} = 0$$
: (51)

It is in portant to notice that even though Q $^P=0$ both $_W$ and Q $_W$ will assume values dierent than those predicted in the =1 gauge. Indeed, even though the value of does not change, the value of changes, and this change a ects both $_W$ and Q $_W$ through Eq(4) and Eq(5). In the expression given in Eq(50) the rst term (for V=Z) is infrared nite (since M $_Z$ \in 0), whereas the second term (for V=) is infrared divergent, since M $_Z$ 0. C alling this second term we have

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(- \right) \frac{Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} da \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dt \frac{2t(at 1)}{t^{2} \left[1 a(1 a) \frac{4Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right]};$$
 (52)

which can be rewritten as

$$= R \quad (-)^{\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} da \frac{a}{1 \quad a(1 \quad a)^{\frac{4Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}}};$$
 (53)

$$P = + Z; (54)$$

with the second term in Eq(53), and z the second term in Eq(50), namely

$$= (-)\frac{Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \int_{0}^{2} da \frac{a}{1 - a(1 - a)\frac{4Q^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}};$$
 (55)

and

$$z = \frac{Q^2}{M_W^2} \left(\frac{z}{z}\right) \int_0^{Z_1} da \int_0^{Z_1} dt \frac{t(at 1)}{L_z^2};$$
 (56)

and from Eq(41)

where the subscript (if) in the $\,$ rst term of the R H S. indicates that the contribution from the $\,$ = 1 gauge is now genuinely infrared $\,$ nite. Finally, the magnetic dipole moment $_{\rm W}$ and electric quadrupole moment Q $_{\rm W}$ are given by

$$_{W} = \frac{e}{2M_{W}} (2 + ^{\circ})$$
 (58)

and

$$Q_W = \frac{e}{M_W^2} (1 + ^ + 2^Q)$$
; (59)

Both Q (=1) and (=1) have been computed numerically in (7). We now proceed to compute the integrals in Eq(55) and Eq(56), which determ ine

It is elementary to evaluate . Setting = (—) we have:

$$\hat{} = \frac{2}{2} \left[\operatorname{arctg}(\frac{1}{2}) \quad \operatorname{arctg}(\frac{1}{2}) \right]; \quad Q^{2} < M_{W}^{2}$$

$$= 4; \quad Q^{2} = M_{W}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{2}{2} \ln \left[\frac{j + 1j}{j} \right]; \quad Q^{2} > M_{W}^{2}$$

$$(60)$$

for space-like Q 2 , where = $q \frac{q}{j \frac{M_W^2}{Q^2} - 1j}$ and

$$\hat{} = \frac{2}{\ln \left[\frac{j}{j} + \frac{1j}{j} \right]}$$
 (61)

for time-like Q^2 , where = $\frac{q}{\frac{M^2}{|Q^2|} + 1}$.

The double integral $_{\rm Z}$ can in principle be expressed in a closed form in terms of Spence functions [see for example (16)], but this is of limited usefulness for our present calculation. Instead, we evaluated this integral numerically. We used the same values for the constants appearing in our calculations as in (7), namely $=\frac{1}{128}$, M $_{\rm W}=80$:6G eV , M $_{\rm Z}=91$:1G eV and s = 0.23 .

The result of the computation is very interesting. P , which originates from pinching box diagrams, furnishes exactly the contributions needed to restore the unitarity of the nalanswer. Indeed, as the authors of (7) emphasized, $^{(=1)}$ is by itself not a gauge invariant object in the limit $Q^2 ! 1$, where the local SU (2) U (1) symmetry is restored. For large values of Q^2 , P is nearly equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to P . Therefore, when according to Eq(41) and Eq(42) both contributions are added, $^{\hat{}}$! 0 as Q^2 ! 1. Clearly, the inclusion of the pinch parts from the box graphs is crucial for restoring the good asymptotic behavior of the W form factors.

V.CONCLUSIONS

We presented a study of the structure of trilinear gauge boson vertices in the context of the standard model. Using the S-matrix pinch technique gauge-independent WW and ZWW vertices were constructed to one-loop order, with all three incoming momenta o -shell. These vertices satisfy naive QED {like Ward identities, which relate them to the gauge independent Wself-energy, which were also obtained via the pinch technique. The tree-level Ward identities are to be contrasted with the complicated Ward identities satis ed by the conventionally de ned gauge-dependent vertices; in particular, no ghost terms need be included. Finally, when the appropriate Lorentz structures are extracted, these vertices give rise to gauge-independent, infrared nite, and asymptotically well-behaved magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole form factors for the W, which can, at least in principle, be promoted to physical observables. It would be interesting to determ ine how these quantities could be directly extracted from future e^+e^- experiments.

VI.ACKNOW LEDGMENT

I thank J.M. Comwall, K. Hagiwara, A. Lahanas, K. Philippides, R. Peccei, and D. Zeppenfeld for useful discussions, and E. Karagiannis for his warm hospitality during my visit in Los Angeles. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant NoPHY-9017585.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Belanger, F. Boudjem a, D. London, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 2943 (1990).
- 2. W .A.Bardeen, R.Gastmans, and B.Lautrup, Nucl. Phys. B 46 319 (1972).
- 3. K.J.F.G aem ers and G.J.Gounaris, Z.Phys.C1, 259 (1979)
- 4. K. Hagiwara et al, Nucl. Phys. B 282, 253 (1987)
- 5. U.Baur and D. Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 308, 127 (1988)
- 6. U.Baur and D. Zeppenfeld, Nucl. Phys. B 325, 253 (1989)
- 7. E.N. Argyres et al, Nucl. Phys. B 391, 23 (1993)
- 8. J.M. Comwall, in Proceedings of the 1981 French-American Seminar on Theoretical Aspects of Quantum Chromodynamics, Marseille, France, 1981, edited by J.W. Dash (Centre de Physique Theorique, Marseille, 1982).
- 9. J. Papavassiliou and K. Philippides, Phys. Rev. D 48 4255 (1993)
- 10. J.M. Comwall, Phys. Rev. D 26 1453 (1982)
- 11. J.M. Comwall and J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D 40 3474 (1989)
- 12. J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D 47 4728 (1993)
- 13. J. Papavassiliou, Phys. Rev. D 50 5958 (1994)
- 14. G.Degrassi and A.Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 46 3104 (1992)
- 15. A. Sirlin, Rev. M od. Phys. 50 573 (1978).
- 16. G. t'Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 153,365 (1979).