# QCD Corrections from Top Quark to Relations between Electroweak Parameters to Order $\alpha_{s}^{2} \ddagger$ 

K.G. Chetyrkin ${ }^{a, b}$, J.H. Kühn ${ }^{b, c}$, M. Steinhauser ${ }^{b}$<br>${ }^{a}$ Institute for Nuclear Research<br>Russian Academy of Sciences, 60th October Anniversary Prospect 7a, Moscow, 117312, Russia<br>${ }^{b}$ Institut für Theoretische Teilchenphysik<br>Universität Karlsruhe, Kaiserstr. 12, Postfach 6980, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany<br>${ }^{c}$ Stanford Linear Accelerator Center<br>Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309


#### Abstract

The vacuum polarization functions $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ of charged and neutral gauge bosons which arise from top and bottom quark loops lead to important shifts in relations between electroweak parameters which can be measured with ever-increasing precision. The large mass of the top quark allows approximation of these functions through the first two terms of an expansion in $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$. The first three terms of the Taylor series of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ are evaluated analytically up to order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$. The first two are required to derive the approximation, the third can be used to demonstrate the smallness of the neglected terms. The paper improves earlier results based on the leading term $\propto G_{F} M_{t}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}$. Results for the subleading contributions to $\Delta r$ and the effective mixing angle $\sin ^{2} \Theta$ are presented.
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## 1 Introduction

The precision achieved in recent measurements of electroweak observables [1] has surpassed by far earlier expectations. The predictions for these quantities and the relations between them, which are based on the Standard Model (SM), are strongly affected by radiative corrections. Particularly important are those induced by virtual contributions from the heavy top quark [2]. Their verification provides an important test of the theory and its quantum corrections. The agreement between the value of $M_{t}$ suggested by the CDF and D0 collaborations [3] of $M_{t}=176 \pm 8 \pm 10 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $M_{t}=199_{-21}^{+19} \pm 22$ GeV , respectively, and deduced indirectly from precision measurements of $M_{t}=173_{-13-20}^{+12+18}$ GeV [1], constitutes a triumph of the SM and a verification of the quantum corrections with increasing precision. A more refined understanding of these effects is on the agenda, including two- and even the dominant three-loop contributions [4, 5].

As far as contributions from the top-bottom multiplet are concerned, the perturbative results for the self-energies $\Pi_{W W}\left(q^{2}\right), \Pi_{Z Z}\left(q^{2}\right), \Pi_{\gamma \gamma}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $\Pi_{\gamma Z}\left(q^{2}\right)$ are available in the literature for arbitrary top and bottom masses up to order $\alpha_{s}$ [6, 7, 8].

This allows evaluation not only of the leading corrections, which are governed by the $\rho$ parameter and increase with $M_{t}^{2}$, but also of the subleading terms. These are required for a complete calculation of $\Delta r$ (entering the relation between $G_{F}, M_{W}^{2}, M_{Z}^{2}$ and $\alpha$ ) or of the effective mixing angle $\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$ (governing asymmetries in $Z$ production and decay). Recently also three loop QCD corrections to the $\rho$ parameter of $\mathcal{O}\left(G_{F} M_{t}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}\right)$ have been calculated [4, 5]. These, in turn, control the dominant terms of order $G_{F} M_{t}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}$ in $\Delta r$ and $\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$.

The technique described in [14 5] can be employed to obtain also the Taylor series coefficients of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ around $q^{2}=0$, in principle to arbitrary orders in $q^{2}$ and in second order in $\alpha_{s}$. It will be demonstrated below that the two lowest terms in the expansion of $\Delta r$ in $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ provide an excellent approximation to the full answer in one- and two-loop approximation, corresponding to $\alpha_{s}^{0}$ and $\alpha_{s}^{1}$ corrections. This justifies the expectation that also in order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ the leading terms $\propto G_{F} M_{t}^{2} \alpha_{s}^{2}$ plus the subleading terms provide an adequate description of the complete answer for $\Delta r$ and $\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$. This is verified by calculating the terms $\propto M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ which indeed turn out to be negligible. The complete result for $\Delta r$ and $\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$ to order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ is therefore at hand.

## 2 The $M_{W}-M_{Z}$ connection and the effective mixing angle

It has become customary to express the magnitude of radiative corrections in the relation between $M_{W}, M_{Z}, G_{F}$ and $\alpha$ through the quantity $\Delta r$, defined through [9]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{W}^{2}=\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{4 \pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} M_{Z}^{2} G_{F}(1-\Delta r)}}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The influence of a heavy quark doublet can be expressed through the transversal parts of the gauge boson self energies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta r_{t b}=\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\Pi_{W W}\left(M_{W}^{2}\right)}{M_{W}^{2}}-\frac{\Pi_{Z Z}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right)+\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}(0)+\frac{1}{M_{W}^{2}}\left(\Pi_{W W}(0)-\operatorname{Re} \Pi_{W W}\left(M_{W}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}\left(q^{2}\right)=\Pi_{\gamma \gamma}\left(q^{2}\right) / q^{2}$. In terms of the transversal parts of vector and axial current correlators $\Pi^{V}$ and $\Pi^{A}$ the building blocks for $\Delta r$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{W W} & =\frac{g^{2}}{8}\left[\Pi^{V}\left(q^{2}, m_{t}, m_{b}\right)+\Pi^{A}\left(q^{2}, m_{t}, m_{b}\right)\right] \\
\Pi_{Z Z} & =\frac{g^{2}}{16 c^{2}} \sum_{i=t, b}\left[v_{i}^{2} \Pi^{V, i}\left(q^{2}, m_{i}\right)+\Pi^{A, i}\left(q^{2}, m_{i}\right)\right]+\frac{g^{2}}{16 c^{2}} \Pi^{A, S}\left(q^{2}, m_{t}, m_{b}\right) \\
\Pi_{\gamma \gamma} & =g^{2} s^{2} \sum_{i=t, b} Q_{i}^{2} \Pi^{V, i}\left(q^{2}, m_{i}\right)  \tag{3}\\
\Pi_{\gamma Z} & =-\frac{g^{2} s}{4 c} \sum_{i=t, b} Q_{i} v_{i} \Pi^{V, i}\left(q^{2}, m_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $v_{i}=2 I_{3}^{i}-4 s^{2} Q_{i}$. The sin and cos of the weak mixing angle are denoted by s and c. The "singlet" contribution to the axial current correlator $\Pi^{A, S}$ which originates from double triangle diagrams occurs first in order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ and has been displayed separately.

Throughout this paper the mass of the bottom quark will be neglected. To circumvent the mass singularity which originates from the bottom loop contribution $\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}(0)$ is replaced by $\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}\left(q^{2}=M_{Z}^{2}\right)$. The difference is accounted for by dispersion relations with input from the actual measurement of $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow\right.$ hadrons $)$ in the low energy region 10.

The evaluation of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ up to three-loop diagrams involving massless diagrams only is performed with the FORM [11] package MINCER [12] implementing an algorithm developed in [13]. For diagrams involving a heavy top quark the approximation based on a Taylor series of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ around $q^{2}=0$, which is equivalent to an expansion in $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$, leads to an adequate approximation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \bar{r}_{t b} \equiv & \Delta r_{t b}-\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}(0)+\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}}\left(\frac{\Pi_{W W}(0)}{M_{W}^{2}}-\frac{\Pi_{Z Z}(0)}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& +\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}}\left(\Pi_{W W}^{\prime}(0)-\left.\Pi_{Z Z}^{\prime}(0)\right|_{t}-\operatorname{Re} \frac{\left.\Pi_{Z Z}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)\right|_{b}}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+\left.\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}(0)\right|_{t}+\left.\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)\right|_{b}-\Pi_{W W}^{\prime}(0) \\
& +\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}}\left(\Pi_{W W}^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{2}-\left.\Pi_{Z Z}^{\prime \prime}(0)\right|_{t} \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{2}\right)+\left.\tilde{\Pi}_{\gamma \gamma}^{\prime}(0)\right|_{t} M_{Z}^{2}-\Pi_{W W}^{\prime \prime}(0) \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{2} \\
& +\ldots
\end{align*}
$$

The first line in this expansion is determined by the $\rho$ parameter, leads to terms $\propto G_{F} M_{t}^{2}$, and has been recently calculated up to order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$.

The second line leads to terms of order $G_{F} M_{Z}^{2}$ and $G_{F} M_{Z}^{2} \ln M_{t}^{2} / M_{Z}^{2}$ and will be calculated below up to order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$. The subsequent terms are of order $G_{F} M_{Z}^{4} / M_{t}^{2}$. Even after charge and mass renormalization $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ exhibits (in dimensional regularization) $1 / \epsilon$ singularities which cancel in the combination (4).

The reliability of the $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ expansion is demonstrated in Fig. 1 for the one- and twoloop results respectively. The full answer (solid line) is compared to the approximation based on the term quadratic im $M_{t}$ (dotted line) and the approximation including constant plus $\ln M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ terms (dashed line). The latter provides an excellent approximation in the range $M_{t}>150 \mathrm{GeV}$; corrections of order $G_{F} M_{Z}^{4} / M_{t}^{2}$ may safely be ignored (dash-dotted line).

The leading terms of the expansion are given by [14] $\left(X_{t}=G_{F} M_{t}^{2} /\left(8 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}= & -3 X_{t} \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}}\left\{1+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(-\frac{1}{2}-\zeta(2)\right)+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left[\ln \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(-\frac{2}{3}+\frac{8}{9} s^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{3}-\frac{16}{27} s^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(\ln \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3} s^{2}\right)-2 \zeta(3)+\frac{4}{9} \zeta(2)+\frac{1}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+s^{2}\left(\frac{8}{3} \zeta(3)-\frac{8}{9} \zeta(2)-\frac{5}{3}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{M_{Z}^{4}}{M_{t}^{4}}\left[-\frac{2}{5}+\frac{103}{90} s^{2}-s^{4}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(-\frac{523}{3240}+\frac{3}{2} s^{2} \zeta(2)+\frac{827}{3888} s^{2}-s^{4} \zeta(2)-\frac{25}{24} s^{4}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The full result is given e.g. in [7, 14].
A second quantity of practical interest is the effective weak mixing angle, which governs in particular the asymmetries [15] in $Z$ boson production and decay. It is related to $\sin ^{2} \Theta \equiv 1-M_{W}^{2} / M_{Z}^{2}$ through a correction factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}=(1+\Delta \kappa) \sin ^{2} \Theta \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in turn is influenced by the polarization functions of Eq. (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \kappa_{t b}= & -\frac{c}{s} \frac{\Pi_{\gamma Z}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)}{M_{Z}^{2}}-\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\Pi_{W W}\left(M_{W}^{2}\right)}{M_{W}^{2}}-\frac{\Pi_{Z Z}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right) \\
= & -3 X_{t} \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}}\left\{-1+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\zeta(2)\right)+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left[\ln \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(\frac{2}{3}-\frac{4}{9} s^{2}\right)-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{8}{27} s^{2}\right.\right. \\
& +\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(\ln \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3} s^{2}\right)+2 \zeta(3)-\frac{4}{9} \zeta(2)-\frac{1}{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+s^{2}\left(-\frac{4}{3} \zeta(3)+\frac{4}{9} \zeta(2)+\frac{5}{6}\right)\right)\right]+\frac{M_{Z}^{4}}{M_{t}^{4}}\left[\frac{2}{5}-\frac{37}{45} s^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{4}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(\frac{523}{3240}-s^{2} \zeta(2)-\frac{713}{1944} s^{2}+\frac{1}{2} s^{4} \zeta(2)+\frac{25}{48} s^{4}+\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)\right)\right] \\
& \left.+i \pi \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left[-\frac{4}{9} s^{2}+\frac{16}{27} s^{4}+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} C_{F}\left(-\frac{1}{3} s^{2}+\frac{4}{9} s^{4}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The full analytic result and the approximation based on the $M_{Z} / M_{t}^{2}$ expansion are compared in Fig. 2, adopting the same notation as in Fig. 1. From these figures and from Eqs. (5).7) it is evident that (for $M_{t} \approx 180 \mathrm{GeV}$ ) the next-to-leading corrections amount to about $25 \%$ of the $G_{F} M_{t}^{2}$ terms. The next-to-next-to-leading terms of order $G_{F} M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ are below $1.2 \%$. These considerations justify the restriction of $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ corrections to the first two or at most three terms in the $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ expansion.

## 3 Three-Loop Corrections

In addition to the massless diagrams there are two further types of integrals: The evaluation of the derivatives of $\Pi\left(q^{2}\right)$ resulting from diagrams where the massive top quark is coupled to the $W$ or $Z$ is reduced to the evaluation of tadpole integrals discussed in [4, 5]. The derivatives are obtained through Taylor expansion of the respective integrands up to $\mathcal{O}\left(q^{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\mu \nu}(q)=g_{\mu \nu} \Pi\left(q^{2}\right)+q_{\mu} q_{\nu} \Pi_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and projecting out the transverse part. Following [13, [16] the resulting tadpole integrals are subsequently reduced to the master set listed in [5] 5iagrams involving external massless quark loops and internal top loops (and the anomaly graph) are treated with the large mass expansion technique (17.

Setting $C_{A}=3, C_{F}=4 / 3$ and $\mu^{2}=\bar{m}_{t}^{2}$ a fairly compact form for the subleading parts of $\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}$ and $\Delta \kappa_{t b}$ is obtained $\left(x_{t}=G_{F} \bar{m}_{t}^{2} /\left(8 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}\right), \bar{l}_{Z}=\ln M_{Z}^{2} / \bar{m}_{t}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} & =-\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} \delta \rho_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}-3 \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} x_{t} \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{\bar{m}_{t}^{2}}  \tag{9}\\
\left\{\frac{1}{3}\right. & +\frac{8}{9} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{16}{27} s^{2}-\frac{2}{3} \bar{l}_{Z} \\
+ & \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{88}{9}+\frac{128}{9} s^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{128}{27} s^{2} \zeta(2)+\frac{32}{9} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{496}{27} s^{2}-\frac{32}{3} \zeta(3)+\frac{64}{27} \zeta(2)-\frac{8}{3} \bar{l}_{Z}\right) \\
+ & \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left[n _ { f } \left(-\frac{4480}{243}+\frac{256}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{2944}{81} s^{2} \zeta(3)+\frac{448}{27} s^{2} \zeta(2)-\frac{352}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{32}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2696}{243} s^{2}-\frac{64}{9} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{2080}{81} \zeta(3)-\frac{176}{27} \zeta(2)+\frac{88}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{8}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{94957}{243}+856 S_{2} s^{2}-428 S_{2}+\frac{256}{81} D_{3} s^{2}-\frac{128}{81} D_{3}-\frac{4480}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{12016}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3) \\
& +\frac{12032}{81} s^{2} \zeta(4)-\frac{3200}{27} s^{2} \zeta(5)-\frac{52816}{243} s^{2} \zeta(2)-\frac{256}{27} s^{2} B_{4}+\frac{688}{3} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{560}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2} \\
& -\frac{24164}{81} s^{2}+\frac{1120}{9} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{8117}{18} \zeta(3)-\frac{6016}{81} \zeta(4)+\frac{800}{9} \zeta(5) \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{22736}{243} \zeta(2)+\frac{128}{27} B_{4}-\frac{1400}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{116}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \kappa_{t b}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}= \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} \delta \rho_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}-3 \frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} x_{t} \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{\bar{m}_{t}^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
&\{ -\frac{1}{3}-\frac{4}{9} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{8}{27} s^{2}+\frac{2}{3} \bar{l}_{Z} \\
&+ \frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\left(-\frac{88}{9}-\frac{64}{9} s^{2} \zeta(3)+\frac{64}{27} s^{2} \zeta(2)-\frac{16}{9} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{248}{27} s^{2}+\frac{32}{3} \zeta(3)-\frac{64}{27} \zeta(2)+\frac{8}{3} \bar{l}_{Z}\right) \\
&+\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left[n _ { f } \left(\frac{4480}{243}-\frac{128}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{1472}{81} s^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{224}{27} s^{2} \zeta(2)+\frac{176}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}\right.\right. \\
&\left.-\frac{16}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}-\frac{1348}{243} s^{2}+\frac{64}{9} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{2080}{81} \zeta(3)+\frac{176}{27} \zeta(2)-\frac{88}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{8}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}\right) \\
&-\frac{94957}{243}-428 S_{2} s^{2}+428 S_{2}-\frac{128}{81} D_{3} s^{2}+\frac{128}{81} D_{3}+\frac{2240}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z} \\
&-\frac{6008}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{6016}{81} s^{2} \zeta(4)+\frac{1600}{27} s^{2} \zeta(5)+\frac{26408}{243} s^{2} \zeta(2)+\frac{128}{27} s^{2} B_{4}-\frac{344}{3} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z} \\
&+ \frac{280}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}+\frac{12082}{81} s^{2}-\frac{1120}{9} \zeta(3) \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{8117}{18} \zeta(3)+\frac{6016}{81} \zeta(4) \\
&\left.-\frac{800}{9} \zeta(5)-\frac{22736}{243} \zeta(2)-\frac{128}{27} B_{4}+\frac{1400}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{116}{9} \bar{l}_{Z}^{2}\right] \\
&+i \pi {\left[-\frac{4}{9} s^{2}+\frac{16}{27} s^{4}+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\left(-\frac{16}{9} s^{2}+\frac{64}{27} s^{4}\right)\right.} \\
&+\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left(n f\left(\frac{176}{27} s^{2}-\frac{32}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{128}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3)-\frac{704}{81} s^{4}+\frac{128}{81} s^{4} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{512}{81} s^{4} \zeta(3)\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.\left.-\frac{344}{3} s^{2}+\frac{560}{27} s^{2} \bar{l}_{Z}+\frac{2240}{27} s^{2} \zeta(3)+\frac{1376}{9} s^{4}-\frac{2240}{81} s^{4} \bar{l}_{Z}-\frac{8960}{81} s^{4} \zeta(3)\right)\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

with (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{4} & =16 \operatorname{Li}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \log ^{4} 2-\frac{2}{3} \pi^{2} \log ^{2} 2-\frac{13}{180} \pi^{4}=-1.76280 \ldots \\
S_{2} & =\frac{4}{9 \sqrt{3}} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)=0.260434 \ldots \\
D_{3} & =-3.02700 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula for $\delta \rho_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}$ can be found in [5] ].
This result is formulated in terms of the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ coupling $\alpha_{s}$ and the mass $\bar{m}_{t}$. Employing the two-loop relation between the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ mass and the pole mass $M_{t}$ [18] the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ results are easily expressed in terms of $M_{t}$. The final result, after setting $n_{f}=6$, reads $\left(\mu^{2}=M_{t}^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}^{O S}= & -\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} 3 X_{t}\left\{1+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(0.3333-0.6667 l_{Z}+\left(-0.5926+0.8889 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(-0.4+1.144 s^{2}-s^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi} & {\left[-2.8599+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(-1.5640-0.6667 l_{Z}+\left(0.1022+0.8889 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(-1.312+3.573 s^{2}-3.582 s^{4}\right)\right] \\
+ & \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left[-14.594+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(-17.224+0.08829 l_{Z}+0.4722 l_{Z}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(22.6367+1.2527 l_{Z}-0.8519 l_{Z}^{2}\right) s^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(-7.7781-0.07226 l_{Z}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+0.004938 l_{Z}^{2}+\left(21.497+0.05794 l_{Z}-0.006584 l_{Z}^{2}\right) s^{2}-21.0799 s^{4}\right)\right]\right\} \\
\Delta \kappa_{t b}^{O S}= & -\frac{c^{2}}{s^{2}} 3 X_{t}\left\{-1+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(-0.3333+0.6667 l_{Z}+\left(0.2963-0.4444 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}\right)\right. \\
+ & \left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(0.4-0.8222 s^{2}+0.5 s^{4}\right) \\
+ & \frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\left[2.8599+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(1.564+0.6667 l_{Z}+\left(-0.051103-0.4444 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(1.312-2.682 s^{2}+1.791 s^{4}\right)\right] \\
+ & \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left[14.594+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(17.224-0.08829 l_{Z}-0.47222 l_{Z}^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-11.3184-0.6263 l_{Z}+0.4259 l_{Z}^{2}\right) s^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\right)^{2}\left(7.7781+0.072263 l_{Z}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-0.004938 l_{Z}^{2}+\left(-16.0186-0.02897 l_{Z}+0.003292 l_{Z}^{2}\right) s^{2}+10.54 s^{4}\right)\right] \\
+i & \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left[-1.396 s^{2}+1.862 s^{4}+\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\left(-1.396 s^{2}+1.862 s^{4}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}\left[\left(-1.968+2.676 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}+\left(2.6235-3.5682 l_{Z}\right) s^{4}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{M_{t}^{2}}\left(\left(-0.09102+0.02069 l_{Z}\right) s^{2}+\left(0.1214-0.02758 l_{Z}\right) s^{4}\right)\right]\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l_{Z}=\ln M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$. In these formulae also the terms of order $G_{F} \alpha_{s}^{2} M_{Z}^{4} / M_{t}^{2}$ are given. As shown in Fig. 1 and 2 and Table 1 their effect of the numerical result is extremely small and can safely be neglected.

In the lowest diagrams of Fig. 且 and 2 the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}^{2}\right)$ corrections of $\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}$ and $\Delta \kappa_{t b}$ are presented as functions of $M_{t}$ and the quality of the $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ expansion is confirmed. The difference between the quadratic term (dotted line) and the constant plus log term (dashed line) amounts to about $25 \%$. Adding the subsequent term proportional $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$ (dashed dotted line) barely affects the answer. The numerical effects on $M_{W}$ and $\sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$ are given in Table 1. The numbers are obtained with the following input data: $\alpha_{s}\left(M_{t}^{2}\right)=0.1092$ (corresponding $\left.\alpha_{s}^{(5)}\left(M_{Z}^{2}\right)=0.120\right), M_{t}=175 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{Z}=91.188 \mathrm{GeV}, M_{H}=300 \mathrm{GeV}$,

|  | $M_{t}^{2}$ | $M_{t}^{2}+$ const. | $M_{t}^{2}+$ const. $+1 / M_{t}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\delta M_{W} / M_{W}(\mathrm{OS})$ | 0.00677 | 0.00838 | 0.00825 |
| $\delta \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta} / \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}(\mathrm{OS})$ | -0.01618 | -0.01832 | -0.01814 |
| $\delta M_{W} / M_{W}(\overline{\mathrm{MS}})$ | 0.00674 | 0.00833 | 0.00821 |
| $\delta \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta} / \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}(\overline{\mathrm{MS}})$ | -0.01610 | -0.01823 | -0.01804 |

Table 1: Numerical results for the $M_{t}^{2}$, the constant plus logarithmic and the $1 / M_{t}^{2}$ contributions. For the numerical evaluation of $\delta \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta} / \sin ^{2} \bar{\Theta}$ only the real part of $\Delta \kappa_{t b}$ is taken.

| $\delta M_{W}$ in MeV | $\alpha_{s}^{0}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $M_{t}^{2}$ | 611.9 | -61.3 | -10.9 |
| const. | 136.6 | -6.0 | -2.6 |
| $1 / M_{t}^{2}$ | -9.0 | -1.0 | -0.2 |

Table 2: The change in $M_{W}$ separated according to powers in $\alpha_{s}$ and $M_{t}$ in the on-shell scheme.
$\alpha=1 / 137.04$ and $G_{F}=1.1663910^{-5} \mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$. In each column the terms of order $\alpha_{s}^{0}, \alpha_{s}^{1}$ and $\alpha_{s}^{2}$ belonging to the corresponding expansion in the top mass are added up. For $\Delta r$ in Eq. ([]) we used $\Delta r=\Delta \alpha+\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}+\delta_{\text {rem }}$ with $\Delta \alpha=0.05940$ [10]. $\delta_{\text {rem }}$ contains all contributions of order $\alpha$ which are not present in the other two pieces and can e.g. be found in 19. In Table 2 the contributions are listed separately according to powers of $\alpha_{s}$ and $M_{t}$. One observes that the absolute prediction for the $W$ mass is changed by -10.9 MeV if the $\alpha_{s}^{2} M_{t}^{2}$ term is added to the full two-loop result. This increases to -13.7 MeV if also the constant and the $1 / M_{t}^{2}$ suppressed terms terms are added. (For a fictitious top mass of 100 GeV the numbers would be -4.2 MeV and -7.9 MeV respectively.)

Summary: Top mass dependent corrections to relations between electroweak parameters have been calculated up to order $\alpha_{s}^{2}$, with the help of an expansion in $M_{Z}^{2} / M_{t}^{2}$. The quality of the approximation has been confirmed in one-, two- and three-loop approximations.
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## Figure Captions

Figure 1: $\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}$ as a function of $M_{t}$. The dotted, dashed and dash-dotted curves correspond to an increasing number of terms in the approximation. In the figures a value $s^{2}=0.2321$ is chosen.

Figure 2: $\Delta \kappa_{t b}$ as a function of $M_{t}$. The conventions are the same as for $\Delta \bar{r}_{t b}$. Here only the real part is plotted.
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