Probing Higgs Sector CP Violation with Top Quarks at a Photon Linear Collider

H arald A n lauf Institut fur K emphysik, TH D am stadt 64289 D am stadt, G em any and Fachbereich P hysik, U niversitat Siegen 57076 Siegen, G em any

W emer Bemreuther and A md Brandenburg Institut fur Theoretische Physik Physikzentrum Rheinisch-W estfalische Technische Hochschule Aachen 52056 Aachen, G em any

Abstract

We investigate for two-Higgs doublet models with intrinsic CP violation in the scalar potential CP-nonconserving e ects in unpolarized ! tt for a range of neutral Higgs boson masses which includes resonant ' production and the subsequent decay of ' ! tt. The importance of taking into account, even in the resonant case, the interference with the nonresonant background is shown. Further, we propose and calculate three asymmetries which e ciently trace CP-violating e ects in ! tt using sem ileptonic tt decays.

1. Introduction

CP-violating interactions beyond the K obayashiM askaw a mechanism and their high-energy phenom enology have been investigated rather intensely in recent years. This was (and is) motivated to some extent by proposals of e cient non-standard model scenarios for generating the cosmologicalbaryon asymmetry at the electroweak phase transition (for a review, see [1].) An extended H iggs boson sector { as predicted by many extensions of the Standard M odel (SM) { provides such interactions in a natural way [2,3]. In the case of H iggs sector CP violation one expects, in particular, a spectrum of neutral H iggs particles with inde nite CP parity. This can be traced through large Yukawa couplings to heavy ferm ions, notably to top quarks [4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17].

A \Compton collider" [18] which is considered as an option in the context of the present discussion of high-energy e⁺ e linear colliders, would provide, among other things, an interesting possibility to produce neutral Higgs bosons and to study their quantum numbers [19,20]. In this letter we investigate, in the fram ework of two-Higgs doublet extensions of the SM with explicit CP violation in the Higgs potential [3,4,21,22], CP-violating e ects in unpolarized^y ! ttwhich includes, for neutral Higgs boson masses above the tt threshold, resonant ' production and the subsequent decay of '! tt. If ' is not a CP eigenstate, a CP-violating spin-spin correlation is induced in the decay '! tt (and in the decays into other ferm ions, respectively) already at the Bom level which can be as large as 0.5, as pointed out in [9] (see also [11,15], and for absorptive e ects see [15,16,23,24,25]). How ever, the narrow width approximation does not apply for a Higgs boson with mass above the tt threshold and interference with the non-resonant ! tt am plitude decreases this spin-spin correlation signi cantly, as shown below. As we wish to consider also the case of light Higgs bosons ' below the tt threshold we have computed, for the above models, the complete set of CP-nonconserving contributions to ! tt in one-loop approximation. A part from the above spin-spin correlation we determ ine also a polarization asymmetry which projects onto absorptive CP e ects. In addition we propose and calculate three asymmetries with which this polarization asymmetry and the above-mentioned spin-spin correlation can be traced e ciently in sem ileptonic tt decays.

^y CP asymmetries for polarized ! '! ttwere investigated in [8]. They apply if the polarizations of both photons are adjustable.

2.CP violation in ! tt

We rst discuss signatures of CP violation in the reaction

$$(p_1) + (p_2)! t(k_1) + t(k_2);$$
 (2.1)

where the momenta are de ned in the photon-photon CM frame. We consider only unpolarized photon beam s. The initial state of (2.1) then form s a CP eigenstate. The process may be described by the density matrix:

$$R \circ_{i} \circ (p;k) = {}^{P \circ} ht(k_{1}; \circ)t(k_{2}; \circ)fj(p_{1}) (p_{2})iht(k_{1};)t(k_{2};)fj(p_{1}) (p_{2})i$$
(2.2)

where the P^{0} denotes averaging over the polarizations, ; 0 ; ; 0 are spin indices, and p = p₁;k = k₁. Note that R is an even function of the three-momentum p due to Bose symmetry of the two-photon state. The squared matrix element for the process ! tt ! X is then given, in the narrow-width approximation for the t quark, by tr(R t t), where t and t are the decay density matrices for polarized t and t decay, respectively.

The general structure of CP-violating contributions to the production density matrix R can be determined easily. For unpolarized photons one nds that CP-violating dispersive contributions must be of the form [9,12]

$$\hat{k}$$
 (s s) h_{e} (y);
 \hat{p} (s s) h_{o} (y);
(2:3)

where s_{+} , s are the spin operators of t and t, respectively, and $h_{e}(y)$, $h_{o}(y)$ are even and odd functions of the cosine of the scattering angle, $y = p \hat{k}$. Note that QCD - or QED -induced absorptive parts of the scattering amplitude of the process (2.1) cannot induce the spin-spin correlations: they generate a polarization of t and t norm all to the scattering plane of the reaction (2.1) [9,26]. CP violating absorptive contributions to R are of the form

$$\hat{k}$$
 (s s) f_e(y);
 p (s s) f_o(y);
(2:4)

where $f_{\rm e}$ and $f_{\rm o}$ are even and odd functions of y, respectively.

We now discuss the salient features of neutral H iggs sector CP violation. For de niteness we consider two-doublet extensions of the SM with explicit CP violation in the Yukawa couplings (which leads to the K obayashi-M askawa phase) and in the H iggs potential [3,4,21,22]. As a consequence the three physical neutral H iggs boson states ' $_{ij}$; j = 1;2;3 are in general states with inde nite CP

parity; i.e., they couple both to scalar and pseudoscalar quark and lepton currents with strength $a_{jf}m_{f}=v$ and $a_{jf}m_{f}=v$, respectively, where m_{f} is the ferm ion mass and v' 246 GeV. For the top quark we have

$$a_{jt} = d_{2j} = \sin ; a_{jt} = d_{3j} \cot ;$$
 (2.5)

where $\tan = v_2 = v_1$ is the ratio of the moduli of the vacuum expectation values of the two doublets, and d_{2j} ; d_{3j} are the matrix elements of a 3 3 orthogonal matrix which describes the mixing of the neutral Higgs states of de nite CP parity. Only the CP = +1 components of the mass eigenstates ' i couple to the W and charged Higgs bosons at the tree level. (For notation and details, see [4]).

CP violation requires that the neutral H iggs bosons are not m ass-degenerate. In the following we assume that the m asses of $\prime_{2,3}$ are much larger than the m ass of \prime_1 and also larger than the photon-photon CM energy. Then the e ect of $\prime_{2,3}$ on the quantities discussed below is negligible.

The Born am plitude for the reaction (2.1) and the contributions from ' exchange at one loop are depicted in Fig.1. (Note that there is no CP-violating contribution from the K obayashi-M askaw a phase to this order in pertubation theory.) Figs.lb { le represent CP-violating contributions which are proportional to the coupling $a_{1t}a_{1t}$. A rem ark concerning Fig.le is in order: the CP-violating ' j exchange contributions to the self energy of the top quark are of the form $_{CP}(p^2) = m_{t}f(p^2)_{5}$. The function $f(p^2)$ is actually nite if one sum s over all ' j and takes into account the orthogonality properties of the m ixing m atrix d_{ij} . For the sake of sim plicity and in the spirit of the previous discussion, we keep only the contribution from ' 1. We use an on-shell de nition of the top m ass. This induces a counterterm with Lorentz structure $m_{t}f(m_{t}^{2})_{5}$, which has to be taken into account in Fig.le.

If the mass of ' is close to the CM energy, the contributions Fig.1f(1h become resonant. (Fig.1f represents four am plitudes: two CP-conserving ones with couplings a_{1t}^2 and a_{1t}^2 , respectively, and two CP-violating ones with couplings $a_{1t}a_{1t}$. Likewise, Figs.1g,h represent two am plitudes where ' couples to the scalar top current and two am plitudes with ' coupling to the pseudoscalar current.) Even in the resonant case interference of these terms with the Born am plitude is non-negligible because of the nite width of ' [9]. We compute this width in the two-doublet m odel by sum m ing the partial widths for ' ! W ⁺W ;ZZ;tt, assum ing that the charged Higgs is heavy. (For de niteness we take in the following m_H = 500 G eV.)

The CP-conserving part of the density matrix (2.2) is determined from the squared Born amplitude Fig.la, the interference of Fig.la with the CP-even amplitudes of Fig.lf, and the square of the sum of Figs.lf, h. The CP-odd part of R results from the interference of the Born diagram with the CP-odd terms from Figs.lb { 1h and the interference of the CP-even and -odd amplitudes of Figs.1f { 1h. For Higgs boson m asses of the order of 100 G eV or larger neutral Higgs sector CP violation is so far not very stringently constrained by low energy phenom enology, which includes the experim ental upper bounds on the electric dipole m om ents of the electron and neutron. B elow and in the next section we evaluate CP -asym m etries for the follow ing set of param eters:

$$d_{i1} = 1 = 3; i = 1;2;3; tan = 0:5$$
 (2:6)

and

$$d_{i1} = 1 = \overline{3}; i = 1;2;3; tan = 1:0$$
 (2:7)

(Values of tan as sm all as 0.5 can be accommodated by phenomenology [27].) Set (2.6) is used to exhibit the maximal order of magnitude of the asymmetries below within the two-doublet models.

For illustrative purposes we have plotted in Figs 2,3 two basic CP-odd correlations at the parton level, using the above parameter set (2.7), $m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$, and $m_r = 400 \text{ GeV}$. (The correlations are normalized such that hli = 1.) Figs 2,3 show the longitudinal polarization asymmetry h \hat{k} (s s) i and the spin-spin correlation h \hat{k} (s s) i, respectively, as a function of the photon-photon CM energy $p_{\overline{s}}$. The spin-spin correlation is largest slightly below $p_{\overline{s}} = m_r$, but then changes sign due to the interference of the various am plitudes. Only in the unrealistic limit $r = m_r$ is 0 the naive Born level result mentioned in Sect.1 would be recovered [9]. For tan = 0.5 the correlations shown in Figs. 2,3 increase roughly by a factor of two.

3. CP asym m etries for sem ileptonic tt decays

In this section we evaluate a few asymmetries which e ciently trace the basic" CP-oddquantities (2.3) and (2.4) in the nal states into which t and t decay. We de ne a sample A as consisting of tt pairs where the t decays sem ileptonically and the t decays hadronically:

t!
$$'' + \cdot + b$$
 (3.1)
t! W + b! q+ q⁰ + b;

The sample B is dened by the charge conjugated decay channels of the tt pairs with respect to sample A.

We concentrate here on the above decay modes, since they are especially suited for our CP studies: In each event we have one lepton which is known to be a good analyser of the top spin z, and in the same event we may reconstruct the momentum of the other top quark from its hadronic decay products. Note that in the e^+e^- (or e^-e^-) laboratory system, the top quark pairs are in

z W e use the distributions for the decays of polarized t! ';t! W ;::: in the form as given in [28,29].

general not produced back to back, since the backscattered photons carry di erent energy fractions which cannot be determ ined event by event. Thus only the rest system of one of the top quarks may be reconstructed unambiguously in each of the above sam ples. Purely hadronic decays which would allow in principle for a reconstruction of both top and antitop momentam ight also be considered. Because avour-tagging for W ! qq^0 is probably ine cient, the reconstructed directions of ight of the W ⁺ (W) must then act as analysers of the t(t) spin. However, they have a lower analyser quality than the charged lepton. The channels where both t and t decay sem ileptonically could also be used for CP studies. However, apart from having a sm aller branching ratio the momentum (direction) of the top cannot be reconstructed for these modes. This leads, generally speaking, to a decrease in sensitivity to the e ects which we are after.

Therefore we con neourselves to the event samples A and B. First we consider the observables:

 $O_{1} = (q_{+}^{L} \quad \hat{q}_{W} \quad) \quad \hat{k}_{t}^{L} \qquad \text{for sam ple A ;}$ $O_{1} = (q_{+}^{L} \quad \hat{q}_{W+}) \quad \hat{k}_{t}^{L} \qquad \text{for sam ple B :} \qquad (3.2)$

Here, the upper index L " refers to the overall laboratory system and the asterisk denotes the t(t) rest system . A CP-odd \asymmetry" m ay be de ned through the sum

$$A_1 = h O_1 i_A + h O_1 i_B$$
: (3.3)

 A_1 traces the CP-odd spin-spin correlations de ned in (2.3). W e also de ne, for the sam e sam ples, the observables

$$O_2 = E_{\gamma_+}^{L};$$

$$O_2 = E_{\gamma_+}^{L};$$
(3:4)

$$O_{3} = q_{\star}^{L} \quad \hat{k}_{t}^{L};$$

$$O_{3} = q_{\star}^{L} \quad \hat{k}_{t}^{L}$$
(3.5)

and the CP asym m etries

$$A_2 = hO_2 i_A \quad hO_2 i_B; \qquad (3.6)$$

$$A_3 = h O_3 i_A \quad h O_3 i_B :$$
 (3:7)

The quantities (3.6) and (3.7) probe CP violation generated by the asymmetries in the t and t polarizations in the production plane (2.4).

To calculate the above correlations, we have to convolute the \partonic" di erential cross section for ! tt and tt ! A or B with the distribution function for the backscattered laser photons. W e neglect the transverse m om enta of the C om pton photons, which is a very good approximation [18]. The dimensional distributions are of the form tr(R $_{t t}$), where the decay distributions $_{t}$ and $_{t}$ are taken from [28,29]. We then obtain the asymmetries (3.3), (3.6), (3.7) as integrals over some elements of the density matrix R de ned in (2.2). For example,

$$A_{2} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{1+2!+3!^{2}}{2+4!} \frac{1}{2s} \frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{0} \frac{x_{m} ax}{x_{1}} \frac{dx_{1}}{x_{1}} N (x_{1}) \frac{x_{m} ax}{x_{2}} \frac{dx_{2}}{x_{2}} N (x_{2}) \frac{x_{1}}{1} dy \frac{1}{16}$$

$$E \frac{x_{1} + x_{2}}{2^{P} x_{1} x_{2}} (yb_{1}^{CP} + b_{2}^{CP}): \qquad (3.8)$$

Here N (x) is the normalized distribution of photons with energy fraction $x = E = E_{\text{beam}}$, ! = $m_W^2 = m_t^2$, s denotes the squared e⁺ e CM energy, and $_0$ is the elective cross section for ! tt, i.e., the ! tt cross section folded with the photon distribution functions. Further, E is the energy and $= p \frac{p}{1 - m_t^2 = E^2}$ is the velocity of the top quark in the CM system. The distributions turn out to be essentially at as functions of the cosine y of the scattering angle in the CM frame. Therefore we do not apply a cut in this variable. The functions $b_{1,2}^{CP}$ are the CP-violating absorptive contributions to the density matrix R.

For N (x) we take the leading order result, as given e.g. in [18,30]. The maxim alenergy fraction of a photon x_{max} is determined by the laser energy,

$$x_{m ax} = \frac{z}{1+z} \quad \text{with}$$

$$z = \frac{4E_{beam} E_{laser}}{m_e^2}; \quad (3:9)$$

We choose the laser energy E_{laser} for a given beam energy E_{beam} such that z reaches its maximal value, $z_{max} = 2(1 + \frac{p}{2})$, which is determined by the threshold of the undesirable production of e^+e^- pairs through annihilation of a backscattered photon with a laser photon. Thus we have $x_{max} = 0.8284$.

Form ulas analogous to (3.8) hold also for the other asymmetries. In order to estimate the statistical sensitivity of A_i (i = 1;2;3), we have computed the ratios $A_i = A_i$, where A_i $O_i = \frac{p}{10 \sum_{i=1}^{2} i_A} = \frac{p}{10 \sum_{i=1}^{2} i_B}$. The corresponding signal-to-noise ratios S_i are given by

$$S_{i} = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{i}j}{A_{i}} \xrightarrow{p} \overline{N_{event}}; \qquad (3.10)$$

where

$$N_{event} = R_{A;B} L_{0}$$
(3:11)

with the integrated lum inosity L of the Compton collider and the branching ratios $R_{A,B}$ for the decay modes A; B.

Figs. 4-6 show the three ratios $A_i = A_i$, i = 1;2;3 for di erent Higgs masses m, at a xed e⁺ e collider energy $p_{\overline{s}} = 500$ GeV. In each gure, the dashed line corresponds to the parameter set (2.6) and the full line to the parameter set (2.7). The asym metries exhibit extrem a when the Higgs mass is close to the tt threshold due to large interference e ects, and additional extrem a when the Higgs mass is close to the maximal energy. Even for a Higgs with mass above the maximal energy, there remains a signi cant interference e ect that might be detectable.

For the most sensitive of our asymmetries, A₃, we also determined, for a given Higgs mass, the collider energy that maximizes the signal-to-noise ratio. The results are listed in Table 1 for the parameter set (2.7). In brackets we also give the numbers for set (2.6). We use only semileptonic top decays into electrons and muons, i.e., $R_{A,B} = 4=27$. For example, at m r = 400 GeV, one gets the largest sensitivity for a collider energy of 490 GeV. For an integrated lum inosity of 100 fb⁻¹ we then indicates the exciting possibility of probing Higgs sector CP-violation.

4. Conclusions

A high lum inosity \C om pton collider" would o er an interesting opportunity to study in detail the neutral Higgs sector and in particular CP violation beyond the K obayashi M askawa m echanism which is possible in multi-Higgs extensions of the standard m odel. In this paper we have com puted for two-doublet m odels the complete CP-violating contributions to unpolarized ! tt in oneloop approximation. The dispersive contributions give rise to CP odd spin-spin correlations which can reach values of the order of ten percent. Longitudinal polarization asymmetries of similar m agnitude are induced by CP-violating absorptive contributions. We have further proposed and calculated three CP asymmetries for semileptonic tt decays which e ciently trace the CP odd e ects considered. We not that for quite a broad window of Higgs masses, a high lum inosity C om pton collider operating at an e⁺ e CM energy of $\frac{P}{s} = 500$ 600 G eV would allow for the possiblity to probe CP-violating e ects from an extended Higgs sector.

A cknow ledgm ents.

Two of us (H A . and A B .) would like to thank the SLAC Theory G roup for the warm hospitality extended to them during their stay where part of this work was done.

References

- [1] A.G.Cohen, D.B.Kaplan, and A.E.Nelson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 43 (1993) 27.
- [2] T.D.Lee, Phys. Rev. D 8 (1973); J.Liu and L.W olfenstein, Nucl. Phys. B 289 (1987) 1; G.C.
 Branco and M.N.Rebelo, Phys. Lett. B 160 (1985) 117.
- [3] S.Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 860.
- [4] W .Bernreuther, T.Schroder, and T.N.Pham, Phys.Lett. B 279 (1992) 389.
- [5] B.Grzadkowski and J.Gunion, Phys. Lett. B 289 (1992) 440.
- [6] C.R.Schmidt and M.E.Peskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 410.
- [7] D.Atwood and A.Soni, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 2405; S.Bar-Shalom et al. SLAC preprint SLAC-PUB-6765 (1995).
- [8] B.Grzadkowski and J.Gunion, Phys. Lett. B 294 (1992) 361.
- [9] W.Bernreuther and A.Brandenburg, Phys.Lett. B 314 (1993) 104.
- [10] D.Chang and W.-Y.Keung:Phys.Lett.B 305 (1993) 261.
- [11] D.Chang, W.-Y.Keung, and I.Phillips, Phys.Rev.D 48 (1993) 3225.
- [12] W.Bernreuther and A.Brandenburg, Phys.Rev.D 49 (1994) 4481.
- [13] W.Bernreuther and P.Overmann, Z.Phys.C 61 (1994) 599.
- [14] A.Pilaftsis and M.Nowakowski, Int.J.Mod.Phys.A 9 (1994) 1097; ibid.A 9 (1994) 5849 (E).
- [15] X.G. He, J.P. Ma, and B.H.J. McKellar, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9 (1994) 205; Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 4548.
- [16] B.Grzadkowski, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 71.
- [17] JP.Ma and BHJ.McKellar, Melbourne preprint UM -P-94/50 (1994).
- [18] IF.G inzburg et al, Nucl. Instrum .M eth. 205 (1983) 47; ibid. 219 (1984) 5; V.J. Telnov, Nucl. Instr. M eth. 294 (1990) 72.
- [19] e⁺ e Collisions at 500 GeV: The Physics Potential, ed. by PM. Zerwas, DESY publication DESY 92-123A, B, Ham burg 1992, DESY 93-123C (1993); Physics and Experiments with Linear Colliders, ed. by R.Orava, P.Eerola, and M.Nordberg (W orld Scientic, Singapore), Vols. I, II (1992).
- [20] M.Kramer, J.Kuhn, M. Stong, and P.Zerwas, Z.Phys.C 64 (1994) 21.
- [21] A.M endez and A.Pom arol; Phys.Lett.B 272 (1991) 313.
- [22] C D. Froggatt, R G. Moorehouse, and IG. Knowles, Nucl. Phys. B 386 (1992) 63.
- [23] A.Skjold and P.Osland, Phys. Lett. B 329 (1994) 305.
- [24] T.Arens, U.D.J.Giesler, and L.M. Sehgal, Phys. Lett. B 339 (1994) 127.
- [25] T.Arens and LM. Sehgal, Aachen preprint PITHA-94-37 (1994).
- [26] W.Bernreuther, JP.Ma, and BHJ.McKellar, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 2475.

- [27] F.Comet, W.Hollik, and W.Mosle, Nucl. Phys. B 428 (1994) 61.
- [28] W .Bernreuther, O.Nachtmann, P.Overmann, T.Schroder, Nucl. Phys. B 388 (1992) 53; ibid. B 406 (1993) 516 (E).
- [29] J.P.Ma, A.Brandenburg, Z.Phys. C 56 (1992) 97.
- [30] J.H.Kuhn, E.Mirkes, and J.Steegborn, Z.Phys. C 57 (1993) 615.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Feynman diagrams for ! tt. In (a) the Born diagram is shown, (b) to (h) depict the relevant one-loop ' exchange diagrams. In (g) and (h), we show the contributions due to W boson, charged Higgs H, G oldstone boson G and ghost propagation around the loop. D iagrams with crossed photon lines are not shown.

Figure 2: Longitudinal polarization asymmetry $h\hat{k}$ (s s) i as a function of the photon-photon CM energy for $m_r = 400 \text{ GeV}$, $m_t = 175 \text{ GeV}$ and parameter set (2.7).

Figure 3: Spin-spin correlation $h\hat{k}$ (s s) i as a function of the photon-photon CM energy for the same choice of parameters as in Fig. 2.

Figure 4: The quantity $A_1 = A_1$ for di erent Higgs masses m, at p = 500 GeV. The dashed line corresponds to parameter set (2.6), the full line to parameter set (2.7).

Figure 5: Same as Fig.4, but for $A_2 = A_2$.

Figure 6: Same as Fig.4, but for $A_3 = A_3$.

Table Caption

Table 1: Optim al collider energies p $\overline{s_{opt}}$, number of events N_{event} for samples A; B (cf. 3.1) and statistical signi cance S₃ of the asymmetry A₃ for some Higgs masses m. In computing N_{event} and S₃ only semileptonic t decays into e and are taken into account; i.e., we take R_{A;B} = 4=27. To obtain the optim al collider energy, the parameter set (2.7) is used. The numbers for parameter set (2.6) at the same energies are given in brackets.

Table 1

	-	<u>q</u>		
m , [GeV]	P <u> </u>	N _{event} = L=(100)fb ¹)	$S_3 = L = (100 \text{ fb}^1)$
100	690	4:46(4:28)	10 ³	12(2:8)
150	690	4:46(4:27)	10 ³	1:2(2:8)
200	690	4:44 (4:24)	10 ³	1:2(3:0)
250	670	4:15(3:88)	10 ³	1:4(3:3)
300	630	3:42(3:13)	10 ³	1:7(4:2)
325	590	2:64(2:31)	10 ³	2:1(5:2)
350	540	1:60(1:42)	10 ³	3:0(7:3)
375	620	3:27(3:17)	10 ³	2 : 7 (5 : 9)
400	490	0:88(1:11)	10 ³	3:1(4:9)
425	520	1:48(1:70)	10 ³	3:1(5:1)
450	550	2:13(2:31)	10 ³	2:8(4:7)
475	580	2 : 74 (2 : 92)	10 ³	2:6(4:2)
500	610	3:32(3:44)	10 ³	2:3(3:8)

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-6.png" is available in "png" format from: