Sem i-Leptonic Decays of $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm b}$ Baryons involving Heavy to Light Transitions and the determination of $\dot{y}_{\rm ub}\dot{j}$

A lakabha D atta

Physics Department, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 2505 Correa Road, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA.

A bstract

We calculate the sem i-leptonic decays of $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm b}$ baryons which involve transitions of a heavy quark to a light quark . In a previous work we used data on the Cabibbo favoured non-leptonic decays of charmed baryons $_{\rm c}$! + and $_{\rm c}$! + 0 to obtain information on the form factors in the c! s transition. This form factor information is used to study the sem i-leptonic decay $_{\rm c}$! 1 . U sing SU (3) sym metry and HQET it is shown how a knowledge of form factors in $_{\rm c}$! 1 can be applied to $_{\rm b}$! pl decay and used to measure $y_{\rm ub}=v_{\rm cs}^2$.

1 Introduction

Recently there has been a measurement of the form factors in the sem i-leptonic decay $_{\rm c}$! ${\rm e^{+}}$ $_{\rm e}$ [1], where a t to the data was done using the model of Komer and Kramer [2]. The model, constructed within the framework of HQET, treats both the charm quark and the strange quark as heavy and considers $1=m_{\rm S}$ expansion keeping $m_{\rm C}$! 1. Even though the

m odel has only one input parameter, use of 1=m $_{\rm S}$ expansion is questionable and the om ission of 1=m $_{\rm C}$ corrections is also not justiled. For a heavy to light transition, for example of the type $_{\rm C}$!, the use of HQET in the limit m $_{\rm Q}$! 1 allows one to express all the form factors in terms of only two form factors [3]. Sem ileptonic decays of $_{\rm C}$ have been studied in this limit [4, 2]. In Ref. [5, 6] we constructed a model for the form—factors which takes into account 1=m $_{\rm Q}$ corrections for heavy-to-light transitions of the type baryon (light degrees in spin 0 state). In this work we use the form factors calculated in Ref. [6] to study the decays $_{\rm C}$! 1 and $_{\rm B}$! pl.

The paper is organized in the following way. In the next section we present the formulae for the calculation of asymmetries and decay rates for the sem i-leptonic decays $_{\rm c}$! 1 and $_{\rm b}$! pl while in the third section we present our results.

2 Form alism

Here we present the form alism for the sem i-leptonic decays of $_{\rm c}$! 1 and $_{\rm b}$! pl. The hadronic part of the am plitude is the matrix elements of the weak quark current between baryonic states that is parametrized in terms of form factors. We do not the six vector and axial vector form factors through the following equations

where q=p p^0 is the four momentum transfer, B_Q is the baryon with a heavy quark and B^0 is the light baryon. We have shown in Ref. [5, 6] that we can write the form factors in the above equation in terms of two form factors F_1 and F_2 provided some assumptions are made about the $1=m_c$ corrections. For both $_c$ and $_b$ sem i-leptonic decays we will work in the approximation that the lepton mass is negligible. The decay $_c$! $_sl_1$ proceeds via $_c$! $_sW_{off}$ shell followed by W_{off} shell! l_1 . Following [2] we do not the helicity amplitudes which are given by

$$H_{2;W} = H_{2;W}^{V} + H_{2;W}^{A}$$

$$H_{2;W}^{V;(A)} = + ()H_{2;W}^{V;(A)}$$
(2)

where $_2$; $_{\rm W}$ are the polarizations of the daughter baryon and the W -boson respectively. In terms of the form factors the helicity amplitudes are given by

$$H_{1=2;0}^{V} = a \quad (M_{1} + M_{2})f_{1} + \frac{q^{2}}{m_{B_{c}}}f_{2} \quad V(q^{2})$$

$$H_{1=2;0}^{A} = a_{+} \quad (M_{1} \quad M_{2})g_{1} + \frac{q^{2}}{m_{B_{c}}}g_{2} \quad A(q^{2})$$

$$H_{1=2;1}^{V} = q \frac{q}{2Q} \quad f_{1} \quad \frac{(M_{1} + M_{2})}{m_{B_{c}}}f_{2} \quad V(q^{2})$$

$$H_{1=2;1}^{A} = q \frac{q}{2Q_{+}} \quad g_{1} \quad \frac{(M_{1} \quad M_{2})}{m_{B_{c}}}g_{2} \quad A(q^{2})$$

$$(3)$$

w here

$$Q = (M_1 M_2)^2 q^2 ; a = \frac{S}{Q}$$

$$V(q^2) = \frac{(1 - \frac{q^2_{max}}{m_{FF}^V})^2}{(1 - \frac{q^2_{max}}{m_{FF}^V})^2} ; A(q^2) = \frac{(1 - \frac{q^2_{max}}{m_{FF}^A})^2}{(1 - \frac{q^2}{m_{FF}^A})^2}$$
(4)

where M $_1$; M $_2$ are the parent and daughter baryon m asses and m $_{\rm FF}^{\rm V,A}$ are the appropriate pole m asses. The decay $_{\rm c}$! $_{\rm s}$ l $_{\rm l}$ is analysed by looking at the two sided cascade decay $_{\rm c}$! $_{\rm s}$ [! $_{\rm p}$] + W [! l $_{\rm l}$]. The normalized four-fold joint angular distribution for the decay $_{\rm l}$! $_{\rm l}$ [! $_{\rm l}$ [! $_{\rm l}$] is given, following the notation in Ref. [2], as

$$\frac{d}{dq^2 d \ d\cos d\cos} = B \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot a + b \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{G^2}{(2)^4} \frac{q^2 p}{24M_1} \dot{y}_{Q_1,Q_2} \dot{f} \left[T_1 + T_2 + T_3 \right]$$
 (5)

w here

$$\begin{split} T_1 &= & \frac{3}{8} (1 - \cos^2)^2 \mathcal{H}_{1=21} \mathcal{J}_1 (1 + - \cos^2) + \frac{3}{8} (1 - \cos^2)^2 \mathcal{H}_{1=21} \mathcal{J}_2 (1 - \cos^2) \\ T_2 &= & \frac{3}{4} \sin^2 - \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{J}_1 (1 + - \cos^2) + \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{J}_2 (1 - \cos^2) \\ T_3 &= & \frac{3}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \cos^2 \sin^2 \sin^2 - \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=21} (1 - \cos^2) \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=21} (1 - \cos^2) \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1=21} \mathcal{H}_{1=20} \mathcal{H}_{1$$

where $p = {}^{p}\overline{Q_{+}Q_{-}} = 2M_{1}$, the upper and lower signs in the above equation hold for the l_{-1} and l_{-1}^{+} leptonic nal states respectively and $V_{Q_{1},Q_{2}}$ is the CKM element for the Q_{1} ! Q_{2} transition. The polar angles are de ned in Ref. [2]. By integrating over two of the angles one can look at the following distributions

$$\frac{d}{dq^2 d \cos}$$
 / 1+ \cos

$$\frac{d}{dq^{2}d\cos} / 1 2^{0}\cos + \cos^{2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dq^{2}d} / 1 \frac{3^{2}}{32^{0}} \cos$$
(6)

The rst distribution in the above equation gives the polar angle distribution for the cascade decay $_{\rm S}$! p . The second distribution is the polar angle distribution for the deacy W ! 1, while the third distribution is the azim uthal angle distribution. The asymmetries are given by the expressions below [2] and will depend only on the ratio F_2^0 (! = 1)= F_1^0 (! = 1) in our model for the form factors

$$= \frac{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}}{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}}$$

$$0 = \frac{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + 2 (\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}}{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + 2 (\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}})$$

$$0 = \frac{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + 2 (\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}}{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}})$$

$$= \frac{2 \text{Re}(\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}}}{\cancel{\text{l}}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}})$$

$$\cancel{\cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}} + \cancel{\text{H}}_{1=2} \cancel{\text{l}} \cancel{\text{l}}}$$

$$(7)$$

for unpolarized $_{\rm c}$. For polarized $_{\rm c}$ one has sim ilar decay distributions and we refer the reader to Ref. [2] for the relevant details. We give here the expression for the asymmetries

$$P = \frac{\iint_{1=2} \iint_{1} \iint_{1=2} \iint_{1} \iint_{1} \iint_{1} \iint_{1} \iint_{1} \iint_{1=2} \iint_{1} \iint_$$

All the asymmetries have limiting values as q^2 ! q^2_{mpx} . All the polar asymmetries vanish at this limit while the azimuthal asymmetryies ! 2^2 2=3 and $_P$! 1=3. At the $q^2=0$ point however the limiting value of the asymmetries , $_P$ and $_P$ depend on the dynamics of the semi-leptonic decay and we will comment on this issue further in the next section. The other asymmetries 0 and tends to zero while 0 tend to 1 in the $q^2=0$ point. These asymmetries were studied for dierent representative values of the ratio $r_{KK}=f_2=f_1$ [2] which is related to the ratio $r=F_2^0$ (! = 1)= F_1^0 (! = 1) via $r_{KK}=r=(2+r)$. The t, performed by Ref. [1], to the semileptonic decay data on $_C$! $_Se^+$ $_E$ uses the Korner K ramer(KK) model to extract r_{KK} and the asymmetry [1]. Since, as already mentioned in the introduction, the KK model does not include $1=m_C$ corrections and the use of $1=m_S$ expansion is questionable, a more correct approach would be therefore to do a t to the

sem i-leptonic data including $1=m_c$ corrections to extract the ratio $r(r_{KK})$ and hence the asymmetries. The formula for the calculation of the absolute decay rate for the sem i-leptonic decay of baryons is given in Ref. [7]

3 Results

In our analysis we have studied the sem i-exptonic decays for jrj 1 and 0 F $_1^0$ (! = 1) 1. In Ref. [6] we found the 1=m $_c$ expansion to be valid for jrj 1 and from the study of non-leptonic two body charmed baryon decays F $_1^0$ (! = 1) 1 was obtained (we assumed F $_1^0$ (! = 1) > 0). In our analysis we found that the 1=m $_Q$ corrections depend on the value of r. As far as the 1=m $_Q$ corrections to the form factors are concerned for the $_c$ decay the 1=m $_c$ corrections to the form factors are < 50% for 0.62 r 1. For $_b$ decays the 1=m $_b$ corrections are small and are less than 30% for 0.48 r 1. Fig.1 shows (q²) versus q² for dierent r values. We note that for 0.5 r 1 the asymmetry is not very sensitive to r. This feature is common to most of the calculated integrated asymmetries. In Fig.2 we show (q² = 0) versus r. In the KK model or in general with m $_c$! 1 , (q² = 0) = 1 for all r. Fig.2 shows the elect of including 1=m $_c$ corrections. Fig.3 and Fig.4 show the predictions for the integrated asymmetries are insensitive to r in the range 0.5 r 1. The 1=m $_c$ corrections to the integrated asymmetries again depend on the value of r and can be as large as 40% .

The decay rates depend on both r and F_1^0 (! = 1). So instead of calculating the individual decay rates for $_c$! 1 and $_b$! pl , to reduce the uncertainties from these sources we calculate the ratio of the decay rates which will depend only on r as the factor F_1^0 (! = 1) cancels. Fig.5 shows this ratio as a function of r with and without $1=m_Q$ corrections with a monopole and dipole form for the form factors. We see that the $1=m_Q$ corrections are small for the dipole form factors. The ratio above will receive corrections of the order $1=m_Q^2$ and higher where m_Q is the c or b quark. An estimate of these corrections will depend on the value of r which can be extracted by performing a t to the $_c$! e^+ $_e$ data. The nal result can be written as

$$\frac{(b! pl)}{(c! l)} = R_0(r)[1 + (r) + (r) + (r) + (r) + (r) + (r)$$
(9)

where R₀ is the ratio with m_Q ! 1 and $_{j}$ (r) represents the corrections to the ratio due to 1=m_Q corrections to the form factors. Since we do not know r we can make an estimate of

the ratio above by using the value of r extracted from non-leptonic charmed baryon decays with dipole form factors [6] i.e $r = r_0 = 0.47 (r_{K K} = 0.31)$. We write

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 \quad \mathbf{r}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_0 (\mathbf{r}) \qquad \mathbf{R}_0 (\mathbf{r}_0) \qquad \mathbf{r} \mathbf{R}_0^0 (\mathbf{r}_0) \qquad (10)$$

where $R_0^0(r_0)$ is the derivative of $R_0(r)$ at $r = r_0 = 0.47$, and where r m ay also include experimental uncertainties in extracting r. So an estimate of the ratio denied in eqn.(9) can be made through the following approximation

$$\frac{(_{b} ! pl)}{(_{c} ! l)} R_{0}(r_{0})[l rR_{0}(r_{0}) = R_{0}(r_{0}) + _{1}(r_{0}) + _{2}(r_{0}) + \dots] \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cs}}]^{2}$$
(11)

For monopole and dipole form factors we then have

$$\frac{\text{(b!pl)}}{\text{(c!l)}} 196:65[1 0:78 r + 0.02 + 2(r_0) + \dots] \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{Cs}} \hat{J}$$
 (12)

and

$$\frac{\text{(}_{b} ! \text{ pl)}}{\text{(}_{c} ! \text{ l)}} \quad 83.41 \text{[1 0:94 r 0:15+} \quad {}_{2} \text{(r_{0})} + \dots : \frac{V_{ub}}{V_{cs}} \hat{j}$$
 (13)

Naively we can set $_2(r_0)$ $_1(r_0)=2m_Q$ and so we see that $_2(r_0)$. 95 for the dipole form factors and $_2(r_0)$ is negligible for the monopole form factors. Measurement of the ratio R can be used to extract $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$. Clearly the largest uncertainty in R comes from the assumed q^2 dependence of the form factors and to reliably extract $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$ using R the q^2 dependence of the form factors must be measured. Our model for the form factors at $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$ is a study ing the decay distribution in $_c(y_{ub}=y_{cs})=y_{cs}$ is the form factors. So if our model for the form factors at $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$ is tested successfully by doing a to the $_c(y_{ub}=y_{cs})=y_{cs}$ dependence of form factors extracted, we can use the ratio in eqn. (9) to determine $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$ in the semi-all error.

It is interesting to compare this method of extracting $y_{ub} = y_{cs}$ and hence $y_{ub} = y_{ub}$ with other methods of extracting y_{ub} . One method is to use sem i-leptonic inclusive B decays and study the decay distribution near the end point of the spectrum in the narrow window 2:3 E₁ 2:6 GeV [11]. However in this region the b! u transition is subject to large QCD radiative corrections as well as large non-perturbative corrections which are entangled with each other and theoretical calculations are model dependent. A nother way to extract y_{ub} is through the study of exclusive B decays [12] and this approach is similar to our approach

with the dierence that we are working with baryons instead of mesons. The calculations of form factors in exclusive decays of B m esons are done in speci c m odels, like the quark m odel, or with chiral Lagrangian with heavy meson. In Ref. [13] it is suggested that \mathcal{V}_{ub} may be extracted from the ratio of the lepton distribution in B! l and D! with an theoretical uncertainty of 10-20 %. The relevant form factors are calculated up to order $1=m_0$ in the soft pion \lim it with the additional assum ption that only intermediate states degenerate with the ground state meson contribute and so the calculations of the form factors are model dependent. In Ref. [14] the ratio of the lepton distribution in B! 1 at maximum \hat{q} is used for an extraction of $y_{\rm ub}$ j. The 1=m $_{\rm Q}$ corrections are calculated in quark model and the theoretical uncertainty in the measurement of y_{ub} is sm all. However at maximum q^2 the decay rates vanish, actual measurements are performed away from maximum q² where additional form factors contribute adding to the theoretical uncertainty in the measurement of y_{ub} j. A nother approach to measuring y_{ub} j, which is the mesonic counterpart of our method, is given in Ref. ([15]) where experimental data on D! K sem i-leptonic decay are used to calculate the B! sem ileptonic decay. The q2 dependence of the form factors is assumed to be of the monopole type, no 1=mo corrections are considered and the extraction of $y_{\rm ub}$ is found to depend on the value of $f_{\rm D_s}$ and $f_{\rm B}$ whose values are not known very accurately. Since most approaches to extracting y_{ub} if from B m eson decays are model dependent and can involve signicant theoretical uncertainties, extraction of \dot{y}_{ub} jusing heavy baryon decays is interesting as it is possible that theoretical uncertainties in heavy baryon decays m ight be small.

The predictions for the decay rates for ($_{\rm c}$! l) and ($_{\rm b}$! pl) are subject to signi cant 1=m $_{\rm Q}$ corrections and m ay not be reliable. Nevertheless we give the predictions for the decay rates with r = 0.47 and F $^{\rm 0}$ (! = 1) = 0.46[6]

$$(_{c}! 1) = 5:36 10^{10} s^{1}$$
 (14)

$$(_{b}! pl) = y_{ub} j^{2} 6:48 10^{12} s^{1}$$
 (15)

The Particle D ata G roup gives ($_{c}$! $e^{+}X$) = (7.0 2.5) 10^{10} s 1 . M easurem ent of ($_{b}$! pl) is likely to be m ade at LEP in the future. The numbers for the individual decay rates are calculated using $y_{cs}j=0.9745$ [9], the pole m asses m $_{V}=5.37$ G eV and m $_{A}=5.80$ G eV [10] and the quark m asses m $_{b}=4.74$ G eV and m $_{u}=0.005$ G eV [8]

In conclusion we have studied the sem i-leptonic decays of charmed and bottom baryons involving transition of a heavy to light quark based on a model for form factors that includes $1=m_0$ corrections. We also suggest a method for determining $y_{ub}=y_{cs}$.

A cknow ledgem ent: I would like to thank Professor Sandip Pakvasa and Professor Tom Browder for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by USD Ω E grant # DE-FG 03-94ER 40833.

R eferences

- [1] G.Craw ford et al., CLNS 94/1306, CLEO 94-34.
- [2] J.G. Komer and M. Kramer, Phys. Lett. B 275, 495 (1992).
- [3] T.Mannel, W. Roberts and Z. Ryzak, Nucl. Phys. B 355, 38 (1991); F. Hussain, J.G. Komer, M. Kramer and G. Thompson, Z. Phys. C 51, 321 (1991).
- [4] T.Mannel, W.Roberts and Z.Ryzak, Phys. Lett. B 255, 593 (1991).
- [5] A lakabha D atta, UH-511-809-94, hep/ph 9411432 (To appear in Phys. Lett. B).
- [6] A lakabha D atta, U H -511-824-95.
- [7] H. Pietschmann, Weak Interactions Formulae, Results, and Derivations (Springer-Verlag Wien New York 1983).
- [8] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 50, 1435 (1994).
- [9] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D 50, 1225 (1994).
- [10] S.G. odfrey, N. Lagur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189 (1985).
- [11] B.Blok, T.M annel, Phys. Rev. D 51, 2208 (1995).
- [12] C S.K im et al, Phys. Rev. D 50, 5762 (1994).
- [13] G.Burdman et al., Phys. Rev. D 49, 2331 (1994).
- [14] C O . D ib, F . Vera, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3938 (1993).
- [15] D.Du, C.Liu, Phys. Rev. D 50, 4558 (1994).

3.1 Figure Captions

- Fig. 1 The asymmetry (q^2) versus q^2 for some representative values of the form factor ratio r.
- Fig. 2 The asymmetry $(q^2 = 0)$ versus r. The m_c! 1 prediction for $(q^2 = 0)$ is 1 for all r. This gure therefore shows the e ect of $1=m_c$ corrections.
- Fig. 3 The average asymmetries as functions of rwhen the initial heavy baryon is unpolarised.
- Fig. 4 The average asymmetries as functions of rwhen the initial heavy baryon is polarised.
- Fig. 5 The ratio of the decay rates of ($_{\rm b}$! pl)= ($_{\rm c}$! l) versus r. R $_{\rm 0}$ and R represents the ratio without and with 1=m $_{\rm Q}$ corrections. M onopole and dipole form for the form factors are used for the ${\rm q}^2$ dependence of the form factors.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from: