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A bstract
W e study a m ethod for solving the hom ogeneous B ethe-Salpeter equation. By introducing a ' ctitious' eigenvalue the hom ogeneous B etheSalpeter equation is interpreted as a linear eigenvalue equation, w here the bound state $m$ ass is treated as an input param eter. $U$ sing the im proved ladder approxim ation w th the constant ferm ion m ass, we extensively study the spectrum of the ctitious eigenvalue for the vector bound states and nd the discrete spectrum for vanishing bound state $m$ ass. $W$ e also evaluate the bound state $m$ asses by tuning appropriate eigenvalues to be unity, and nd massless vector bound states for speci c values of the constant ferm ion $m$ asses.

[^0]
## 1 Introduction

Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equations目, [2, [3] for the ferm ion-antiferm ion system $s$ in gauge theories are im portant for studying the properties of positronium, $m$ esons and so on. The BS equation in the im proved ladder approxim ation was applied to the bound state problem in QCD. Especially, the num erical solution for the $m$ assless pion was given in refs. [8, [5], and they succeeded to reproduce the property of the chiral sym $m$ etry in the light quark sector. M oreover, the $B S$ equation for the $B$ m eson was solved in the heavy quark lim it. [G]

Let us explain the solvability of the hom ogeneous BS equation for the pion case. The reason is essentially found in the Nam bu-G oldstone's theorem 7, 8]. First of all, the pion is known to be $m$ assless $w$ thout solving the BS equation. M oreover, thanks to the axialW ard-Takahashi identity, one scalar com ponent, $₫$, of the pion $B S$ am plitude is found to be identical with the $m$ ass function of the quark. Then, the other com ponents are obtained by solving the resultant inhom ogeneous equation, where the com ponent $\$$ is treated as an inhom ogeneous term and linear algebraic techniques are applicable.

The reason why the hom ogeneous BS equation for the $\mathrm{B} m$ eson can be solved is that the equation in the heavy quark $\lim$ it becom es an eigenvalue equation. The BS am plitude and the binding energy of the $B \mathrm{~m}$ eson are corresponding to the eigenvector and the eigenvalue, respectively. Thus, the problem is reduced to solving the eigenvalue equation, which can be done by linear algebraic techniques.

H ow about other cases? Generally, there are tw o problem s for solving the hom ogeneous BS equation for the $m$ assive bound state:
i) W e need the ferm ion propagator in solving the BS equation. It is natural to take account of the quantum corrections to the ferm ion propagator. A ctually this is required by the consistency w ith the chiralW ard-Takahashi identity ${ }^{\text {G }}$, [19, 11] in Q CD. W e have to work in the tim e-like region for the center-ofm ass $m$ om entum $q$ (on-shell), while we carry out the $W$ ick rotation to the relative m om entum p (o -shell). In a certain gauge choice, the ferm ion propagator in the hom ogeneous BS equation takes the form is ${ }_{F}{ }^{1}(p \quad q=2)=\phi \quad \phi=2$
( (p $q=2)^{2}$ ), where $q^{2}$ is identical to the bound state $m$ ass squared and the tim e com ponent $\mathrm{p}^{0}$ is pure in aginary. Themom enta ow ing along the ferm ion and antiferm ion lines becom e com plex. T hus, we need the $m$ ass function on the com plex plane.
ii) The hom ogeneous B S equation depends on the bound statem ass com plicatedly.

It is not any linear eigenvalue equation so that it seem s im possible to obtain the bound state $m$ ass and its corresponding BS am plitudes directly. Even when we adopt the constant $m$ ass approxim ation for the $m$ ass function, the com plexity is not avoided. The hom ogeneous BS equation includes both the linear and quadratic term s of the bound state $m$ ass sim ultaneously.

O new ay to avoid the above tw o problem s is using the inhom ogeneous B S equation in space-like region. 12, 13] In general, the solution of the inhom ogeneous BS equation has the perturbative expansion series which beginsw ith the inhom ogeneous part. The inhom ogeneous B S equation $m$ ay be solved by the iterations: the inhom ogeneous part istaken as a rst trialsolution, and is substituted into the inhom ogeneous BS equation in order to $m$ ake $m$ ore accurate solution. Repeating this iteration $m$ any tim es, we obtain the solution w ith appropriate accuracy.

A nother way is taking further approxim ation in addition to the ladder approxim ation. W hen we take the BS kemel to be the instantaneous (C oulom b-like) interaction, the hom ogeneous BS equation reduces to the Salpeter equation. 14] On the other hand, in ref. [15] the authors work for the Euclidean totalm om entum and solve the BS equation by expanding the BS am plitude in term sof SO (4) orthogonal T schebyshev polynom ials and dropping higher order polynom ials. They investigate various $m$ esons $w$ ith their approxim ation. (16]

N ow, let us ask the follow ing question: \H ow do we solve the above tw o problem s i) and ii) to obtain solutions of the hom ogeneous BS equation?" A s for the problem i), the Schw inger-D yson (SD ) equation on the com plex plane was solved to obtain the $m$ ass function. 17, 18] $T$ his analytic continuation is also done using the SD equation in the integral form. [In appendix 因, follow ing ref. [19], we brie y review how to derive the ferm ion $m$ ass function on the com plex plane.] Then we concentrate our attention on the problem ii).

Traditionally, the BS equation is solved by regarding a coupling constant as an eigenvalue and the bound state $m$ ass as an input. [3] In this paper, to solve the ho$m$ ogeneous BS equation for a given coupling constant num erically, we introduce a - ctitious' eigenvalue by replacing the BS kemel K with K.W e notioe that it is corresponding to replacing the coupling with in the (im proved) ladder and constant ferm ion m ass approxim ations. By adjusting the input bound state m ass so as to set the ctitious eigenvalue equal to unity, we get $m$ asses of the bound states. A s stated above, it is natural to use the full propagator which is determ ined by the $S D$ equation in the sam e approxim ation as that for the $B S$ equation. But here we concentrate our attention on the num ericalm ethod to solve the hom ogeneous BS
equation, and we adopt the constant ferm ion $m$ ass approxim ation.
In $m$ any literatures [2], by regarding the coupling constant as an eigenvalue, the BS equation is solved for the ferm ion-antiferm ion bound state in the xed coupling case. Thebehavior of the eigenvalue' ,whidh corresponds to our ctitious eigenvalue , is studied in detail. For applying to Q CD we should use the running coupling 21, 22], but we do not know the behavior of the ctitious eigenvalue. Then, before calculating the bound state $m$ ass, we study the behavior of relating it $w$ ith the nom s ofBS am plitudes. M oreover, we check the existence of the discrete spectrum : our choice of the running coupling 13] becom es a constant in the low energy region and the low energy behavior of the theory would be sim ilar to that of the strong coupling Q ED .For a type of BS equation in the strong coupling Q ED, it is shown [20, 23] that there is no discrete spectrum.

A fter studying the behavior of, we solve the original BS equation for the vector bound state num erically, and obtain the bound state $m$ ass. A $s$ an instructive exam ple to check the validity of our method, we also calculate the spectrum of the orthopositronium, which is a vector bound state of electron and positron.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the hom ogeneous BS equation. The BS amplitude is de ned and is expanded in term $S$ of the invariant am plitudes. The nom alization condition for the BS am plitude is given. Section $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ is devoted to explanation of the $m$ ethod for solving the hom ogeneous BS equation. We extensively study the behavior of the ctitious eigenvalue in the running coupling case in section 4.1. In section 4.2, as a consistency check we study the positronium in the weak coupling QED, and we calculate the bound state $m$ asses in the running coupling case. The discussions are found in section 5.

## 2 H om ogeneous B ethe-Salpeter Equation

In this section we show the basic form ulations in solving the hom ogeneous BetheSalpeter (HBS) equation for vector bound state. O ur form ulations are rather transparent especially for the vector case.

### 2.1 B S A m plitude

Let us express the ferm ion-antiferm ion bound state of the vector type ( $\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{PC}}=1$ ) as $\mathrm{JV}(\mathrm{q}$; ) i where q is the m om entum of the bound state and is the polarization
vector. [ $\left.q=0,{ }^{2}=1.\right]$ TheBS amplitude ( $p ; q ;$ ) is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h 0 j T(x)^{-}(y) j V(q ;) i=e^{i q X} \frac{d^{4} p}{(2)^{4}} e^{i p r}(p ; q ;) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where X and r are the center-ofm ass coordinate and the relative coordinate, respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{x+y}{2} ; \quad r=x \quad y: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The BS am plitude isbispinor and is de ned only on them ass-shellof the bound state $q^{2}=M{ }_{V}^{2}$, where $M_{V}$ is the $m$ ass of the bound state. $W$ e usually perform the $W$ idk rotation to the BS am plitude, and the tim e com ponent of the relative $m$ om entum $p$ becom es pure im aginary, while the quantities $q$ and are $M$ inkow ski vectors and real.

The BS am plitude of the vector bound state is expanded by eight invariant am plitudes ${ }^{1}$, ${ }^{8}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p ; q ;)={ }_{i=1}^{x^{8}} i(p ; q ;)^{i}(p ; q): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that the dependence of the polarization vector is isolated in the bispinor base $i_{i}(p ; q ;)$. The follow ing choice of the bispinor bases ${ }_{i}(p ; q ;)(i=1 ; \quad$;8) are convenient:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 5= \\
& \text { p); }{ }_{6}=p( \\
& 7=\not \phi(p \quad q)( \\
& \text { p); } \left.{ }_{8}=\frac{1}{2}[\phi ; \phi]\right]( \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$w h e r e q=q=M_{v}$ and $[a ; b ; c] a[b ; c]+b[c ; a]+c[a ; b]$. The invariant am plitude
${ }^{i}(p ; q)$ is a scalar function in $p^{2}$ and $p$ 母. So, after the $W$ ick rotation, it is convenient to introduce the real variables $u$ and $x$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{im} \mathrm{~m} ; \quad \mathrm{p}^{2}=\mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tim e com ponent of $p$ is $i u$ and the $m$ agnitude of the spatial com ponents of $p$ is $x$ in the rest fram e $q=\left(M_{v} ; 0\right)$. The invariant amplitude is a function in $u$ and $x ;{ }^{i}={ }^{i}(u ; x)$. By the charge conjugation properties

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ;)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}^{1}=(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ;) ; \\
\mathrm{C} \mathrm{i}^{(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ;)^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{C}^{1}=}={ }_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ;) ; \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^1]where $C=i^{2}{ }^{0}$, all the invariant amplitudes ${ }^{i}(u ; x)$ are found to be even functions in $u: 13]$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{i}(u ; x)={ }^{i}(u ; x): \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

### 2.2 H om ogeneous B S Equation

The HBS equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K} \quad: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diagram for the HBS equation in the (im proved) ladder approxim ation is show $n$


Figure 1: The Feynm an diagram of the hom ogeneous BS equation (2.8) in the (im proved) ladder approxim ation. The quark lines in the RHS of the equation are truncated.
in $g \llbracket 1$. The kinetic part $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(p ; q)=S_{F}{ }^{1}(p+q=2) \quad S_{F}^{1}(p \quad q=2) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the tensor product notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{~B})=\mathrm{A} \quad \mathrm{~B}: \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this approxim ation, the BS kemel $K$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(p ; k)=C_{2} \frac{g^{2}(p ; k)}{(p \quad k)^{2}} \quad g \quad \frac{(\mathrm{p} \quad k)(\mathrm{p} \quad k)}{(\mathrm{p} k)^{2}} \quad: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eq. 2.8) we use the inner product rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \quad(p ; q)=\frac{z}{(2)^{4} i} K(p ; k) \quad(k ; q): \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the im proved ladder approxim ation we use the running coupling, the asym ptotic form of which is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }^{2}\right) \frac{g^{2}\left({ }^{2}\right)}{4} \quad!\quad \frac{0}{\ln ^{2}} \text {; } \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=12=\left(11 N_{c} 2 N_{f}\right)$ with $N_{c}$ and $N_{f}$ being the num ber of colors and avors, respectively.

TheHBS equation (2.8) determ ines the eight invariant am plitudes ${ }^{1}$, ${ }^{8}$,up to the overall norm alization constant as well as the bound state $m$ ass $M_{v} . M_{\text {ultiplying }}$ eq. 2.8) by the conjugate bispinor base ${ }^{-}$( $p ; q ;$ ) $0 i_{i}\left(p ; q ; y{ }_{0}\right.$, taking the trace of spinor indioes and sum $m$ ing over the polarizations, we nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}(u ; x)^{j}(u ; x)=\frac{z}{y^{2} d y d v} 8_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)^{j}(v ; y) ; \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the sum $m$ ation over the repeated indices is prom ised and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{p} ; q ;) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}){ }_{j}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ;)^{\mathrm{i}} \text {; } \\
& K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)={ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d \cos x^{x} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}^{h}-{ }_{i}(p ; q ;) K(p ; k)_{j}(k ; q ;)^{i}: \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

W e om it the explicit form $\operatorname{sof}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{y})$ here because they are som $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ what com plicated. D ue to the charge con jugation property 2.6) of the bispinorbase, the $8 \quad 8 \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are real and self-con jugate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{y}} \quad=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x})^{\mathrm{T}} \quad=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ji}}(\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{x}) ; \\
& K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)^{y}=K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)^{T}=K_{j i}(v ; y ; u ; x): \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The complex conjugate and the transpose are taken in the 88 space. U sing the property (2.7), we are allowed to restrict the integral region of v to positive, $\mathrm{v}>0$. The BS kemel in eq. 2.14) is replaced such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z \quad Z \\
& d v K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y){ }^{j}(v ; y)=d v\left[K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)+K_{i j}(u ; x ; \quad v ; y)\right]{ }^{j}(v ; y):(2.17) \\
& \text { v> } 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can treat all the variables $u, x, v, y$ as positive values.
In order to solve eq. 2.14) num erically we discretize the $m$ om entum spaces ( $u ; x$ ) and (v;y). The ective param eterizations for the variables $u$ and $x$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=e^{u} ; \quad x=e^{x} ; \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $v$ and $y$. These new variables are discretized at $N_{B}$ points evenly spaced in the intervals

$$
\begin{align*}
& U ; V 2[u ; ~ u] ; \\
& X ; Y 2[x ; x]: \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the $m$ om entum integration becom es the sum $m$ ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{v}>0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{dydv} \quad!\quad{ }_{\mathrm{U}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}}{\underset{\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{VY}^{3} ;} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{U}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
U & U
\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbb{N}_{B S} \quad 1\right) ; \\
& D_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x & x
\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathbb{N}_{B S} \quad 1\right): \tag{221}
\end{align*}
$$

TheBS kemel $K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)$ has an integrable singularity at $(u ; x)=(v ; y)$ which com es from the pole in the propagator of the gauge boson (cf. eq. 2.11)). In order to avoid this singularity we regularize it by taking the four-point splitting (12]

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y)! & \frac{1}{4}^{h} K_{i j}\left(u ; x ; v_{+} ; y_{+}\right)+K_{i j}\left(u ; x ; v_{+} ; y\right) \\
& +K_{i j}\left(u ; x ; v ; y_{+}\right)+K_{i j}(u ; x ; v ; y) \quad \text {; } \tag{222}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v=\exp \left(V \quad D_{U}=4\right)$ and $y=\exp \left(Y \quad D_{x}=4\right)$.
Now, we are ready to solve eq. 2.14) num erically. The HBS equation 2.14) becom es a nite dim ensional linear equation in term $s$ of the invariant $B S$ am plitude ${ }^{i}$. A llwe have to do is to obtain sim ultaneously the bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}$ and the corresponding BS am plitude.

### 2.3 N orm alization C ondition

First, we introduce the conjugate BS am plitude ${ }^{-}$(p;q; ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h V(q ;) j T \quad(y)^{-}(x) j 0 i=e^{i q X} \frac{d^{4} p}{(2)^{4}} e^{i p r}-(p ; q ;): \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation between the conjugate BS amplitude and the BS am plitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(p ; q ;)=0\left[(p ; q ;)^{1}\right] 0: \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let us $x$ the norm alization of the BS am plitude. U sually the bound state $\ddagger \mathrm{V}$ ( q ; ) i is norm alized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h V\left(q^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \nexists(q ;) i=2 q(2)^{3} \quad ; 0^{3}\left(q \quad q^{0}\right): \tag{225}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition xes the norm alization of via its de nition (2.1). The convenient form for the norm alization of is given by the $M$ andelstam form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{@ T}{\frac{@ q}{q}} j \text { il }=2 q: \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we use the bra-ket notation [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ih } z^{A} j i=\frac{z}{(2)^{4} i} \operatorname{tr}[(p ; q)(A \quad)(p ; q)] \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the trace taken over the spinor indices and all the other inner degrees of freedom . M ultiplying eq. 226) by $q$ and using q ( $@=@ q$ ) = $M_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{C}=@ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathbb{h} \frac{@ T}{\varrho \frac{@ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}}{} j \text { il }: ~} \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to nd the norm alization condition in com ponent form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 M_{v}={\frac{N_{c}}{2^{3}}}^{z} x^{2} d x d u\left({ }^{i}(u ; x)\right) \frac{@ T_{i j}}{@ M_{v}}{ }^{j}(u ; x): \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 The M ethod for Solving the H om ogeneous B ethe-Salpeter Equation

In this section we study the $m$ ethod for solving the hom ogeneous Bethe-Salpeter (HBS) equation and explain how to apply it to the num erical calculation.

Let us start w ith writing down the HBS equation (2.g):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K} \quad: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This HBS equation sim ultaneously determ ines the $m$ ass spectrum $M_{V}^{(1)}, M_{V}^{(2)}, M_{V}^{(3)}$, of the bound states and the BS am plitudes(p;q; ), 2 ( $p ; q ;$ ), 3 ( $p ; q ;$ ), up to their norm alizations. Therefore, to solve the HBS equation is to nd a mass $M_{V}$ of a certain bound state and its corresponding BS am plitude ( $p ; q ;$ ). How ever, it is di cult to solve HBS equation 3.1) as it is. For de niteness, we choose the su x n as $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(2)} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(3)}$

U sually, the coupling constant is regarded as an eigenvalue of HBS equation 3.1) for a given bound state $m$ ass, [3] where we use the ladder and the constant $m$ ass approxim ations sim ultaneously. The dependence on the coupling constant is isolated in the BS kemel and the coupling factors out. As far as we adopt those approxim ations, this is extended to the running coupling case by regarding the overall
constant o given in eq. 2.13) as an eigenvalue. On the contrary, if we consistently use the full propagator determ ined by the $S D$ equation in the sam e approxim ation as that for the BS equation, the kinetic part $T$ also depends on the coupling as well as the BS kemelK does. Then, the HBS equation 3.1) cannot be regarded as a sim ple linear eigenvalue equation for the coupling.
$T$ he original idea to regard the HBS equation as a linear eigenvalue equation for the coupling and the BS am plitude is easily extended to generic cases. By introducing a ' ctitious' eigenvalue we interpret the HBS equation (3.) as a linear eigenvalue equation for a given bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{1} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{K} \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the kinetic part $T$ is regarded as a weight function. $W$ e calculate the bound state $m$ ass for a given coupling num erically from this equation. W hen we consider them ass $M_{v}$ as an input param eter, the form ofeq. (32) guarantees the solvability: we can solve eq. (3.2) by standard linear algebraic techniques for eigenvalue equations, and obtain the solutions of the eigenvalue. The ctitious eigenvalue is essentially the same as the coupling constant, / , when we use both the (im proved) ladder and constant ferm ion $m$ ass approxim ations. The resultant HBS equation (32) sim ultaneously describes various system s param eterized by the coupling constant.

In what follow s we rst study the basic notions of the eigenvalue equation (3) and then we explain the $m$ ethod for solving the originalH $B S$ equation 3.1). W e note that we have to chedk the existence of the discrete spectrum of. A swewill show in the next section, there exists the discrete spectnum in the ladder and constant $m$ ass approxim ations. H ere and henceforth we consider such case. In order to distinguish the quantum num bers of the eigenvalue equation (32) from that of the originalHBS equation 3.1) we attach prim es to them like $n^{0}$ or $1^{0}, 2^{0}, 3^{0}, \quad$ when we solve eq. (3 2) to obtain the spectrum of for a given $M_{V}$. The obtained eigenvalue is a fiunction of the bound state $m$ ass param eter $M_{V}$, so we write the dependence explicitly as $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$. For the convenience of the num erical calculation we de ne the num bering of $f n^{0}\left(M_{v}\right) g$ so as to satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1^{0}\left(M_{\mathrm{V}}\right) & 2^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right) & 3^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right) & \text {; } \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

where the equality holds when the eigenvalues are degenerate. In our num erical calculation we encounter the accidental degeneracy of the two eigenvalues at som e point $M_{V}$. We call this phenom ena level crossing'.

There are two kinds of nom s of the BS amplitude in eq. 32). The quantity $\mathbb{m}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{T}=@ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} 0$ Ï, which we call $\mathrm{T}^{0}$-norm, gives the norm alization for the BS am -
plitude as in eq. 228). The non-positive $T^{0}$-nom states are ghosts and cannot be nom alized. So, we consider only the states which have positive $T^{0}$-nom. The quantity $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}} 0 \mathrm{j}(\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1} \mathbf{i}$, which we call T -norm, gives also a natural nom for the eigenvalue equation (3.2) in the follow ing sense. In the case where the eigenvalue is not alw ays real, it is easy to derive the well-known relation in linear algebra:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1=n_{n} \quad 1={ }_{m} 0\right) h_{m} 0 j(T) j_{n} 0 \mathbb{i}=0: \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation says that the eigenvalue is real otherw ise the corresponding state has zero $T$-norm, and the state $j_{n}$ oii can form a com plete orthonorm alset under the inner product (; ) hh j( T)ji.

For the punpose that we nd the solutions with $=1$, it is enough to consider is real and positive. [ W e do not consider the possibility that becom es negative, because it implies the repulsive interaction which cannot form any bound states.] Then, we throw aw ay all non-real eigenvalues and its corresponding eigenvectors. Further, we do not consider the com plex $M_{v}$ in solving eq. 32). If $M_{v}$ is complex, the corresponding state is com plex ghost and is physically unacceptable.

N ow, let us consider the relation betw een the linear eigenvalue equation (32) and the original $H B S$ equation (3.1). W hat we obtain from (3.2) is the set $f n^{0} ; n^{0} g$ for a given $M_{V}$, but what we have to nd out is the set $f M_{v}^{(n)} ; n g$. If we nd an eigenvalue $n^{0}$ and its corresponding eigenvector $n^{0}$ such that $n^{0}=1$ for a certain value of the $m$ ass $M_{v}$, this solution also satis es the original equation (3.1):

$$
0=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{n}^{0} \mathrm{~K}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{n}^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{~K} \tag{3.5}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{n}^{0}:
$$

N am ely, the set $\mathrm{fM}_{\mathrm{v}} \boldsymbol{i}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{0}=1 \mathrm{~g}$ is nothing but a solution of the HBS equation 3.1). O f course, the other states $\mathrm{m}^{0} \in \mathrm{n}^{0}$ which do not satisfy $\mathrm{m}^{0}=1$ are out of the case. Then, the physical spectrum $\mathrm{fM}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{(n)}$ jn 2 N g of the bound state m asses is determ ined by the follow ing set of intrinsic relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
1^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1 ; \quad 2^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1 ; \quad 3^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1 ; \quad: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly, all the roots of these equations give the solutions of the originalH B S equation 3.1).

Next, we explain how to input the $m$ ass $M_{v}$ in eq. (32) by a system atic $m$ anner for tuning the eigenvalue to unity ( $n^{0}=1$ ) num erically. For this punpose we rst consider the di erentiability of the eigenvalue $\left(M_{V}\right)$. In generalthe function $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ de ned by eq. (3.B) is not alw ays di erentiable at levelcrossing point. W em odify the de nition of the num bering ( $n 0!n^{\prime}$ ) so that the functional form sof the eigenvalues
becom ealways di erentiable. In order to $m$ ake di erentiable form $\left(M_{y}\right)$ for allrange of $M_{v}$ we invoke the eigenvalue equation (32) itself. $D i$ erentiating eq. (38) with respect to $M_{V}$, we have目]
where we use the HBS equation for the con jugate BS am plitude. For norm alizable $B S$ amplitudes we put $h / j a T=@ M_{v} j i=2 M_{v}$ according to eq. (228). The equation 3.7) im plies that if the BS amplitude is norm alizable and have non-vanishing $T$ norm, the derivative of the corresponding eigenvalue exists. Let $\left.{ }_{n} M_{V}\right)(n 2 N)$ denote one of such eigenvalues and let $n$ denote its corresponding eigenvector. O nce we de ne the num bering (or we can say tagging) ${ }_{n}\left(M_{v}\right)$ at a suitable point $M_{v}$, we can uniquely identify the functional form of ${ }_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)$ according to the \di erential equation" 3.7). In other words, the di erential equation (3.) with a suitable initial condition uniquely determ ines the form of $n\left(M_{v}\right)$.
$H$ ere, we explain the system atic $m$ ethod to tune the eigenvalue. $W$ e rst consider the case that the level crossing does not occur, and we identify ${ }_{n}\left(M_{v}\right)=n_{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ for $n=1 ; 2 ; \quad . T$ he relation (3.7) tells us the response of the eigenvalue $\left(M_{V}\right)$ for the bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}$. If we update the bound state $m$ ass to $M_{V}+M_{V}$, then the eigenvalue $n^{0}$ changes to $n^{0}+M_{V}\left(d_{n} 0=d M_{V}\right)$ according to the relation 3.7). In order to nd the root of the equation $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ num erically, we are better to use the $N$ ew ton's $m$ ethod. The bound state $m$ ass is updated according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{v}!M_{v}+M_{v} \text {; } \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen $n_{0}\left(M_{v}\right)$ is not di erentiable at a levelcrossing point $M_{0}, n_{0}$ and $n_{0}$ in eq. 3.8) should be replaced with $n$ and $n$ respectively. The derivative $d{ }_{n}=d_{M}$ has two di erent values at $M_{0}$ depending on how to take the $\lim \operatorname{tit}, M_{v} \& M_{0}$ or $M_{V} \% M_{0}$. If the di erence between these two values is not so large, it is not a problem for the purpose of updating $n^{0}$ : we do not need the precise value of $M_{v}$ so as to satisfy $n^{0}\left(M_{v}\right)=1$ at one tim e, whereas we need only m ore suitable value of $M_{v}$ than the previous trial value of $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}$. Therefore, we generally use the updating procedure 3.9).

It is im portant to study the property of the spectrum of in order to know which of the states the solution $\mathrm{fM}_{\mathrm{V}} ; \mathrm{n}^{\circ} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}=1 \mathrm{~g}$ is corresponding to, the ground state or the the rst excited state or the other states. In this section we assum e that the solutions have positive T -norm below. [Indeed, our num erical calculations given in
the next section satis es this assum ption.] U nder this assum ption, all eigenvalues ${ }_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)(n=1 ; 2 ; \quad$ ) in consideration are di erentiable and decreasing functions. Thus, all $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ 's are also decreasing functions, and each equation $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ in eq. (3.6) has only one root at $m$ ost. $M$ any $m$ odels suggest that $\left(M_{v}\right)$ is a decreasing function (see for exam ple, ref. 目]). [Sm aller value of $M_{v} m$ eans larger binding energy which should be realized by larger coupling to form tight bound state. is roughly the sam e as the coupling.]

W e notioe that there is a possibility that som e of the equations in (3.6) have no solution, e.g. $\mathrm{n}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \in 1$, for any realinput value $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}$. Those 'swhich are already sm aller than unity $(<1)$ at $M_{V}=0$ never have the solution of $\left(M_{V}\right)=1$. In this case the eigenvalue and its corresponding state ( $\mathrm{n}^{0} ; \mathrm{n}^{0}$ ) has no physical correspondence: In other word, the state $n^{0}$ is anninilated in the physical states which are determ ined in term s of eq. (3.6). W e call this phenom ena the \level annihilation". W e actually encounter the level annihilation in the running coupling case, which is studied in the next section.

N ow , we willestablish the identi cations of the physicalstates $\mathrm{fM}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{(n)} ;{ }_{n} \mathrm{~g} w$ th the solutions $\left.\mathrm{fM}_{\mathrm{V}} ; \mathrm{m}^{\circ} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{m}} \circ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1 \mathrm{~g}$. In the num erical calculation these identi cations are easy tasks. We calculate the set of eigenvalues $f{ }_{n} \circ g$ from $M_{V}=0$ to a certain large value. W hen we use the constant $m$ ass and (im proved) ladder approxim ation, the point $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ is the threshold for the constituent ferm ions to be liberated from the bound state. So, it is enough to calculate up to $M_{V}=2 m$. Supposing that there are 11 level annihilations, we obtain $1^{0} \quad(11)^{0}<1 \quad 1^{0}$ at $M_{V}=0$. Then, the l-th eigenvalue $1^{1}$, which becomes unity rst as increasing the input param eter $M_{V}$ and its corresponding BS amplitude $1^{0}$ give the ground state. The (l+1)-th eigenvalue $(1+1)^{0}$ which becom es unity second and its corresponding BS am plitude $\mathrm{m} \circ$ give the rst excited state, and so on.

Finally, we consider the identi cation of $n\left(M_{v}\right)$ with $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ under the level crossing. W hen there is no degeneracy between any two eigenvalues of $\mathrm{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$, we can uniquely identify the form of $n_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)$ according to the continuity: $n_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)=n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ for ${ }^{8} \mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{~N}$. [In a m odel a curve of $\left(M_{V}\right)$ term inates at some point $M_{V}$ 24], but the continuity of the curve does not spoil.] H owever, in general cases there $m$ ay occur the level crossing. The level crossing is that the accidental degeneracy of states for a speci $c$ value of the bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}$. There exist two possible kinds of level crossing: 1) $n 0$ and $m 0$ are degenerate while both are still di erentiable; 2) $n 0$

[^2]and $m 0$ are not di erentiable at level crossing point. Below, we discuss the latter kind of level crossing. The im portant thing here is that ${ }_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)$ is de ned by the di erentiability (3.7) while $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ is de ned by the ordering (3.3). For sim plicity here we consider the case when only two states becom e degenerate at one tim e w ith di erent slopes of $\left(M_{V}\right)$. If one level crossing between the rst and the second smallest 's occurs at $M_{V}=M_{V 1}$ then $n\left(M_{V}\right)=n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)(n=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; \quad$ for $M_{\mathrm{V}}>\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} 1}$ and $2\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right), \quad 1\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=2^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=\mathrm{n}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ ( $\mathrm{n}=3$; 4 ; ) for $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V} 1}$. This identi cation is easily understood in the general cases, where the di erentiability of ${ }_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)$ uniquely $m$ ake us identify its form. A sa result, the phenom ena of the level crossing is that two di erentiable curves $m\left(M_{v}\right)$ and ${ }_{n}\left(M_{V}\right)$ cross at a point $M_{V}=M_{V}$ cross .

## 4 N um erical C alculation

In this section, we rst study the functional form of $\left(M_{V}\right)$ in our num ericalm ethod. W e consider the running coupling case (im proved ladder approxim ation) in the constant ferm ion $m$ ass approxim ation. Next, we evaluate the bound state $m$ ass deter$m$ ined by the original HBS equation eq. 3.1). This study is $m$ otivated by the $\bar{q} q$ quarkonia in $Q C D$. H ere and henceforth, we rescale all dim ensionful quantities by QCD otherw ise stated. QCD is de ned by the blow -up scale of the one-loop running coupling in the im proved ladder m odel.

Let us consider the im portant things for the num erical calculations. A s discussed before, in the im proved ladder approxim ation the asym ptotic form of the running coupling is given by the one-loop renorm alization group equation, but we have no idea for the functional form in the low energy region. As in ref. [13] we use the form of the running coupling:

$$
\left({ }^{2}\right) \quad \frac{g^{2}\left({ }^{2}\right)}{4}=0 \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{t} & \text { if } t_{F}<t  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left.\frac{1}{t_{F}}+\frac{\left(t_{F}-t_{C}\right)^{2}}{2 t_{F}^{2}\left(t_{F}\right.} t_{C}\right) \\
\frac{1}{t_{F}}+\frac{\left.\left(t_{F}\right)^{2} t_{C}\right)}{2 t_{F}^{2}} & \text { if } t_{C}<t<t_{F} \quad \text {; } \\
\text { if } t<t_{C}
\end{array}
$$

where $t=\ln { }^{2}$ and $0=12=\left(11 N_{c} \quad 2 N_{f}\right)$ with $N_{c}$ and $N_{f}$ being the number of colors and avors, respectively. In this paper we $x \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}=3$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{F}}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}=2: 0$.

In the num erical calculation we have to take care of covering the supports ofboth

should lie within the $m$ om entum cuto $s$ [u; $u$ ] and [ $x$; $x$ ] given in eq. 2.19), otherw ise we fail to obtain the precise values of the eigenvalue and itscorresponding BS am plitudes as well as the bound state $m$ asses. C overing the support of $T$-norm is necessary for nding out the correct value of the ctitious eigenvalue by our updating $m$ ethod given in sect. 3. The $T^{0}$ norm plays the role for determ in ing whether the state is a physical state ( $\mathrm{T}^{0}>0$ ) or a ghost state ( $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{T}^{0} & 0\end{array}\right)$. The ratio of $\mathrm{T}^{0}$ - and $T$-norm $s$ is essential for checking the error $M_{V}$ de ned in eq. (3.B) to converge the correct value of the bound state $m$ ass.

For the evaluation of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(1)}$, we need to cover the support oflh ${ }_{10} j(\mathrm{~T}) \mathrm{j}_{10}$ iil if there is no level annihilation. W hen we evaluate $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{(2)}$ we need to cover both the supports

 In the follow ing calculations we carefully cover the $T$-nom and $T^{0}$-norm supports of the rst two states, ie., $1^{0}$ and $2^{\circ}$. W e show the typical exam ple of the supports of T -nom and $\mathrm{T}^{0}$-nom for the choice $\mathrm{m}=1: 0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}=1: 2 \mathrm{in} \mathrm{g}$. F . The condition


Figure 2: The supports of a) T -norm and b) $\mathrm{T}^{0}$-norm for the choice $\mathrm{m}=1: 0$ and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}=12 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=17$ for the state $1^{0}$. The upper $9=10$ ofeach gures is clipped. H orizontal axes of $U$ and $X$ are param eterized by eq. 2.18). Sum $m$ ing up all values on the lattioe points give us the norm for a) and b).
${ }_{10}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ is satis ed by this param eter choige.]

[^3]
### 4.1 The spectrum of

First, we show the functional form of the ctitious eigenvalue $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ w ith a choice $m=1: 0$ in $g \sqrt{\beta}$. This gure obviously shows that the sm allest three $n_{0}\left(M_{V}\right)^{\prime} s$


Figure 3: The functional form $s$ of the $s m$ allest three $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$. The horizontal line indicates $\mathrm{n}^{( }\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1 . W$ e use $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=17$.
are $m$ onotonically decreasing functions and there are no level crossing and level annihilation. The eigenvalues $1^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ and $2^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ are the rst and the second to becom e unity respectively when the bound state $m$ ass increases from zero. Then, the states $1^{0}$ and $2^{0}$ are corresponding to the ground state and the rst excited state, respectively. At the free ferm ion threshold $M_{v}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ all eigenvalues of become zero. There are free-state solutions which satisfy $T=0$ (eq. 32) with $=0$ ). At $M_{v}=0$ all three slopes of $n_{0}\left(M_{v}\right)$ become at sm oothly, i.e., $d_{n 0}\left(M_{v}\right)=d M_{v}$ ! 0
 consistently w th the norm alization condition 228). A ctually, the calculated ratio of $T^{0}$-norm over $T$-norm vanishes at $M_{V}=0$. This result implies that the discrete spectrum at $M_{V}=0$ is the set of norm al states in the sense of the $\lim$ 边 $M_{V}!0$.

Second, we study the cases for sm aller ferm ion $m$ asses. W e show the spectrum of the sm allest three $\mathrm{n}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{m}=0: 5$ and $0: 2$ in $g\lceil 4$. W hen we chose $\mathrm{m}=0: 5$, the smallest eigenvalue $1^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ takes the $m$ axim um value unity at the vanishing bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}=0$ as in $g \square \not(a)$. Thismeans that $m=0: 5$ is a criticalvalue for the level anninilation. If we chose sm aller value of the ferm ion $m$ ass ( $m<0: 5$ ), then the level annihilation of the state $1^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ill occur. A ccordingly, the $m$ ass of the
ground state changes discretely from zero to $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}}=0: 73$ around $\mathrm{m} \quad 0: 5$. W hen we chose $m=0.2$ as in $g . \mid f(b)$, the $m$ allest eigenvalue $1^{0}\left(M_{v}\right)$ is alw ays sm aller than unity and never reaches to unity over the range $0 \quad M_{v} \quad 2 m$. In other words, the equation $1_{10}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ has no solution for $0 \quad M_{V} \quad 2 m$. This means that the state $1^{0}$ has no correspondence w the the physical solutions of eq. 3.1), ie., the level annihilation occurs. Then, $2^{\circ}$, $3^{\circ}$, are corresponding to the ground state, rst excited state and so on, respectively. If the constant ferm ion $m$ ass becom es $m$ uch sm aller than $0: 2$, the next $s m$ allest eigenvalue $2^{0}\left(M_{\mathrm{V}}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ill becom e alw ays sm aller than unity as well as $1^{0}\left(M_{v}\right)$. W hen $m=0, m$ axim al num ber of states $w i l l$ fail to have any physical correspondences.


Figure 4: The plots of the sm allest three $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$. We use constant ferm ion $m$ asses $m=0: 5$ in $g$. (a) and $m=0.2$ in $g$. (b). The horizontal lines indicate $\mathrm{n}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1 . \mathrm{W}$ e use $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=11$.

Here it is im portant to notioe that $g s .[\beta$ and $\sqrt{4}$ show that the slopes of the sm allest three $\mathrm{n}^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ are negative. Further, we actually observe that all states in consideration have positive $T$ - and $T^{0}$-norm S , and this leads, by eq. 3.7), to the fact that the corresponding $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ (or $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)$ ) are decreasing functions. This result
supports our assum ption that all norm al solutions have positive $T$-norm, then all eigenvalues $n^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)$ are decreasing functions.

Let us reconsider the levelannihilation from the other side. Since the largest value of $n^{0}\left(M_{v}\right)$ is realized at the vanishing bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}=0$, it is enough to calculate the eigenvalues $1^{10}, \quad 2^{0}$, $\quad a \notin \mathrm{M}=0$ for various constant ferm ion $m$ asses in order to see whether the level annihilation occurs. We show the result in $g . \sqrt{\$}$.


Figure 5: The smallest three $n^{0}$ against $m$ at the vanishing bound state $m$ ass $M_{v}=0$. $W$ e use $N_{B S}=14$. At each value of the ferm ion $m$ ass $m$ we distinguish the three points by the de nition of its ordering

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
1^{0} & 2^{0} & 3^{0}
\end{array}
$$

This gure show sthat the rst level $1_{10}$ is annihilated at $m=0: 5$, the second level
$2^{0}$ are annihilated at $m=0: 16$ and the third level $3^{0}$ at $m=0: 13$ and so on. In other words, the ground state $m$ ass is given as a solution of $1_{1}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ form $>0: 5$, while we have to solve $2^{0}\left(M_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1$ for $0: 16<\mathrm{m}<0: 5$. T he two level crossings $w$ th respect to the ferm ion $m$ ass seem to occur around $m=0: 05$ and $0: 64$.] Recall that we are considering only real value of $M_{v}$. If we allowed the bound state $m$ ass $M_{v}$ to take complex value, then we could always nd the solution of $n^{\circ}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$, and $m$ ight have no level annihilation. Since we are interested in physical states, this solution is beyond the soope of this paper.

Next , let us consider the existence of the discrete spectrum, which we assum e so far for sim plicity. It is su cient to study at the vanishing bound state $m \operatorname{ass} M_{v}=0$. A $s$ is seen from eq. (4.1), the running coupling becom es constant in the low energy
region, which $m$ eans the low energy behavior of the $m$ odel is sim ilar to that of the strong coupling QED. For a type of BS equation in the xed coupling case, there is no discrete spectrum of (or 0 ) for the tightly bound state, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}=0$ 20]. So, it is im portant to investigate whether the discrete spectrum exists in the running coupling case. Figure 目 show s that the there exists discrete spectrum for all range of the ferm ion $m$ ass $m>0$. C learly the state $1^{0}$ is one of the discrete states: if we had continuous states, the di erences $\quad 2^{0} \quad 1^{0}$ and $3^{0} \quad 1^{0}$ would be of order O ( $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) . But our result show s the order-one di erences. To con m the existence of the discrete spectrum of the ctitious eigenvalue, we use the three choices of lattice sizes $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=11$, 14 and 17. We show the values of $1^{10}, 2^{0}$ and $3^{0}$ for the ferm ion $m$ ass $m=1: 0$ in table 1. Each $n^{0}$ changes its value by $O\left(1=N_{B S}\right)$ as the

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}$ | 11 | 14 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{0}$ | 2.24 | 2.21 | 2.23 |
| $2^{0}$ | 6.42 | 6.61 | 6.66 |
| $3^{0}$ | 7.85 | 8.05 | 8.22 |

Table 1: The dependences of the ctitious eigenvalues $1^{0}, \quad 2^{0}$ and $3^{0}$ on the lattioe size for $M_{V}=0$ and $m=1: 0$. The di erence $(n+1)^{0} n^{0}$ is not order $\mathrm{O}\left(1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$ but order O (1).
value of $N_{B S}$ is changed, while the di erences $n 0 \quad m 0$ are never of such order. This clearly show $s$ the existence of the discrete spectrum $f \mathrm{n} \circ \mathrm{g}$.
$F$ inally, we note other choioes for the running coupling. If we tune to an appropriate value, say o, we obtain the solution of the HBS equation which describes the bound states in any system $w$ ith di erent gauge group and $m$ atter contents. This is realized when we use the im proved ladder and constant ferm ion $m$ ass approxim ations sim ultaneously. W hen we chose other gauge groups and $m$ atter content than SU (3) and $N_{f}=3$, the param eter $0\left(N_{c}=N_{f}=3\right) \quad 4=9$ de ned in eq. (4. 1 ) is modi ed. Since 0 is just an over overall constant in the right-hand-side of eq. (32), we can identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{9}{4} \quad 0 ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we regard that all gures $\sqrt{\beta} \sqrt{4}$ and $\sqrt{5}$ shows the spectrum of $0\left(M_{V}\right)$ scaled by $4=9$. Figure $\beta_{3}$ show s that for the case $2: 23<0<6: 66$ the equation $1^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=0$ has no solution, ie., the level annihilation occurs and the ground state $m$ ass $M_{V}^{(1)}$ is given by $2^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(1)}\right)=0$. Forthe case 6:66< $0<8: 22 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(1)}$ is given by $3^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=0$.
[T he condition $0>2: 23$ corresponds to $N_{f}>10$ for $\left.N_{c}=3.\right]$

### 4.2 B ound state m ass

U sing the $m$ ethod explained in section ${ }^{\text {B }}$, we evaluate the $m$ ass of the vector bound state. W e consider both the xed and the running coupling cases with constant ferm ion m ass. The vector bound state in weak xed coupling case corresponds to the orthopositronium, the system of which is well-known both theoretically and experim entally 25]. W e can explicitly check the validity of ourm ethod in this system. N ext, we proceed to the running coupling case.

In the weak coupling lim it the HBS equation for the orthopositronium is solved, and we obtain the fam ous non-relativistic result: 23, 26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{V}^{(n)}=2 m \quad \frac{m^{2}}{4 n^{2}} ; \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e m$ is the pole $m$ ass of the ferm ion and antiferm ion. On the other hand, the spectrum of (or ) for a sm allbinding energy in eq. 3.2) is given by (2d]

O bviously, im posing $n^{0}\left(M_{v}\right)=1$ in eq. 4.4) we obtain the non-relativistic result (4.3). The sm allest eigenvalue $1^{0}$ corresponds to the ground state $(\mathrm{n}=1$ ), and the second sm allest eigenvalue $2^{0}$ corresponds to the rst excited state ( $n=2$ ), and so on. Furthem ore, the binding energy and BS am plitude have no dependence on the gauge param eter.27]

H ere, we rescale all the dim ensionfulquantities so as to satisfy the relation $m=1$ xing the coupling constant as $=1=137$ when we solve the HBS equation for the positronium. W hen the ferm ion $m$ ass becom es $s m a l l$, the support of the $T^{0}$-norm begins to shift to the infrared region. This is the reason why we use large value of the ferm ion $m$ ass com pared $w$ ith the unit scale.

W e check whether the num erical calculation reproduce the relation (4.3) in the weak coupling lim it. T he spectrum 4.4) tells us that the quantity $1^{0}=n^{0}$ should be an integer. $W$ e adjust the bound statem ass $M_{v}$ as $1_{10}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ : we update $M_{v}$ until the di erence $M_{v}$ given in eq. (3.8) satis es $j M_{v} j<m{ }^{4}$. We show the spectrum of the eigenvalues $n^{0} w$ ith $N_{B S}=11,14$ and 17 in table 1 . The results show that

[^4]| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B} \mathrm{S}}$ | 11 | 14 | 17 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1^{0}={ }_{20}$ | 2.12 | 2.10 | 2.09 |
| $1^{0}={ }_{30}{ }^{0}$ | 3.13 | 3.16 | 3.17 |
| $1^{0}={ }_{4}{ }^{0}$ | 3.37 | 3.32 | 3.29 |
| $1^{0}={ }_{50}$ | 4.36 | 4.39 | 4.38 |

Table 2: The spectrum of eigenvalues $n^{0}$ for $N_{B S}=11,14$ and 17 when the bound state $m$ ass is adjusted such that the largest eigenvalue $1^{0}$ is equal to unity. This should be com pared with the result in the non-relativistic lim it, $1^{0}=n^{0}=n$.
$1^{0}=n^{0}$ appears as an integer for the rst several $n$ 's within about 10\% errors. As for the quantum num ber $n^{0}$ larger than ve, $1^{0}=n^{0}$ su ers large num erical errors. This simply com es from the fact that we do not cover the supports of such higher radial excited states. $W$ e calculate the binding energies of the rst three states. The binding energy is de ned by $B^{(n)}=2 m \quad M_{v}^{(n)}$ and is given in table 3 w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=11$, 14 and 17. This result should be com pared w ith that in the non-relativistic lim it:

| $\mathrm{N}_{\text {B }}$ | 11 | 14 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~B}^{(1)} \mathbb{R}$ yd $]$ | 0.513 | 0.489 | 0.479 |
| $\mathrm{~B}^{(2)} \mathbb{R}$ yd $]$ | 0.121 | 0.116 | 0.115 |
| $\mathrm{~B}^{(3)} \mathbb{R}$ yd $]$ | 0.0544 | 0.0515 | 0.0505 |

Table 3: Thebiding energies for the ground state $\left(B^{(1)}\right)$, the rst excited state ( $\mathrm{B}^{(2)}$ ) and the second excited state $\left(\mathrm{B}^{(3)}\right)$ w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{BS}}=11,14$ and17. $T$ he values are in the units of the R ydberg energy, $\mathrm{m}^{2}=2$.
$\left.B^{(n)} \mathbb{R} y d\right]=1=\left(2 n^{2}\right)(=0: 5,0: 125,0: 0556,:::)$, and show $s$ that our $m$ ethod works well

N ow that, we proceed to the running coupling case. W e update the bound state $m$ ass $M_{V}$ until the di erence $M_{V}$ given in eq. 3.9) satis es $j M_{v} j<10^{2}$. As studied in section 4.1, the ground state $m$ ass is given by the solution of $1^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$ for $0: 5<\mathrm{m}$ and by $2^{0}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}\right)=1$ for $0: 16<\mathrm{m}<0: 5$. In the latter case, the ground and rst excited states are given by $2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$, respectively, due to the level annihilation. W e show the binding energies ( $B^{(n)} \quad 2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{V}}^{(n)}$ ) of the ground
and rst excited states for various ferm ion $m$ asses in $g[6$. This gure show $s$ that

$F$ igure 6: Thebinding energies $B{ }^{(1)}$ and $B{ }^{(2)}$ forvarious constant ferm ion $m$ asses. The upper half data are of ${ }^{(1)}$ and the lower half are ofB ${ }^{(2)}$.
$B^{(1)}=2 m$ at $m=0: 5$, i.e., $1^{0}$ is $m$ assless state. At $m=0: 4$ the state $1^{0}$ and its eigenvalue $1^{0}$ have no physical correspondence due to the level annihilation, and the binding energy of only the ground state is calculated from $\quad 2^{0}\left(M_{V}\right)=1$. A s a result, the binding energy of the ground state changes discretely from 1:0 (=2m) to $0: 25$ around $m \quad 0: 5 . \mathrm{W}$ e m ake som e com m ents here. The binding energies $\mathrm{B}^{(1)}$ and $\mathrm{B}^{(2)}$ approach to the values $B^{(1)}$ ! 1 and $B^{(2)}$ ! $0: 4$ asym ptotically for large ferm ion m ass. It is plausible that the binding energies of a heavy bound states do not depend on the $m$ ass of their heavy constituents thanks to the decoupling theorem 29]. We $m$ ay also read $\circ$ the values of ferm ion $m$ asses $w$ ith which we obtain $m$ assless bound states from $9 \boxed{\$}$.

## 5 D iscussions

A s discussed in the Introduction, ideally we are better to solve the SD equation in the sam e approxim ation as the BS equation in order to obtain the full propagator (orm ass function). For the purpose that we study the num ericalm ethod for solving the HBS equation for the $m$ assive bound state, we use the constant, ie., tree level, $m$ ass $(x)=m$ in this paper. It is true that this approxim ation is good in the weak coupling Q ED and retains the non-relativistic result. For applying ourm ethod to the realQ CD case, how ever, we should use the $m$ ass function in the ferm ion propagator.

Even when we consider the heavy quarkonia, the quantum corrections to the $m$ ass function have the sam e order as the binding energy. Especially in the case of the light quarkonia ( $m$ eson) the ect of the $m$ ass function becom es $m$ ore im portant. A s a result, the running e ect ofm ass function is alw ays im portant for any quarkonia, and is sam e order of $m$ agnitude as the binding force.

In the realQ CD there exists the states with negative binding energy (for highly excited states) because of the con ning potential. O ur choice of the running coupling does not generate the con ning foroe, while a suitable form of the running coupling generates it. The non-relativistic quark $m$ odel $w$ ith the $R$ ichardson potential gives a good result for the low-lying spectnum of the heavy quarkonia. 30] In the constant $m$ ass approxim ation the $q \bar{q}$ free quark threshold opens at $q^{2}=(2 m)^{2} \square T$ hus the states with negative binding energy are unstable. $W$ e observe that in nitely $m$ any num ber (the order of total lattioe points) of states are lying in the region $M_{v}<2 m$. W e consider only the states which have positive binding energy. O nly a rst few state w ill be relevant in the constant $m$ ass approxim ation.

In this paper, we study the the solutions of the hom ogeneous Bethe-Salpeter equation in the constant $m$ ass and im proved ladder approxim ations. W e leave the application of ourm ethod to the quarkonia for future work.

## A ppendix

## A Schw inger-D yson Equation

In this appendix, we brie $y$ review how to derive the ferm ion $m$ ass function in the com plex plane. H ere, follow ing ref. [19], we perform the analytic continuation to the SD equation in the integral form.

In order to solve the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation we need a ferm ion propagator $S_{F}(p)$, which is determ ined by the Schw inger-D yson (SD) equation. W hen the ladder approxim ation is adopted for the BS equation, it is natural to use the sam e approxim ation for the $S D$ equation. In the strong interaction the $m$ ass function of the quark propagator receives large quantum correction of the sam e order ecD as that ofbinding force.

[^5]The SD equation in the (im proved) ladder approxim ation is given by

$$
i S_{F}^{1}(p)=\not p \quad m \quad \frac{d^{4} k}{(2)^{4} i} C_{2} g^{2}(p ; k) \frac{1}{l^{2}} \quad g \quad \frac{l l}{l^{2}} \quad i S_{F}(k) \quad ; \quad \text { (A.1) }
$$

where $l=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{k}), \mathrm{C}_{2}=\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right. \\ 1\end{array}\right)=\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is a second C asim ir invariant and $m$ is the bare $m$ ass of the ferm ion. The Landau gauge is adopted for the propagator of the gauge boson. W hen we consider Q CD , we use the running coupling in the SD equation (A.1). H ere forde niteness we adopt the $H$ igashijm a $M$ iransky approxim ation [21 22] to the running coupling, i.e., $g^{2}(p ; k)=g^{2}\left(m a x\left(p^{2} ; k^{2}\right)\right)$. In considering $Q E D$ we use xed coupling $g^{2}(p ; k)=e^{2}$ and de ne $C_{2}=1$.

In general the ferm ion propagator is expanded by two scalar functions A and B :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i S_{F}^{1}(p)=A\left(p^{2}\right) \not p \quad B\left(p^{2}\right): \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass function $(z)$ is de ned by $(z)=A(z)=B(z)$. Substituting eq. (A) 2) into the SD equation A.1) we nd $A(z)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=m+\frac{3 C_{2}}{16^{2}}{ }_{0}^{z} y d y \frac{g^{2}(m \operatorname{ax}(z ; y))}{m \operatorname{ax}(z ; y)} \frac{(y)}{y+{ }^{2}(y)}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, let us discuss the problem i) stated in sec. 1 . W hen we solve the hom ogeneous $B S$ equation for the $m$ assive bound state, we have to use the $m$ ass function on the com plex plane. T he $m$ ass function ( $z$ ) is needed along the set of parabolic curves $z=\left(u \quad i M_{v}=2\right)^{2}+x^{2}$, where $M_{v}$ is the $m$ ass of the bound state. $W$ e can restrict ourselves to the case $\operatorname{Im} z>0$ because of $(z)=(z)$. It is not di cult to calculate such a mass function. In refs. [17, 18] the $S D$ equation A 3) is converted into the di erential equation for carrying out the analytic continuation of the $m$ ass function. On the other hand, we can easily solve the $S D$ equation A 3) as the integral equation itself by the iteration. 19] A fter carrying out the analytic continuation, the SD equation becom es
where $C(a ; b)$ is the contour from the point $a$ to $b$ and is lying on the parabolic curve w ith Im z $>0$. To say m ore com pletely, we should take account of the singularity of the nunning coupling. W e can solve the $S D$ equation A.4) by the titeration on the parabolic curve as usual. Then, we obtain the $m$ ass function on the com plex plane from eq. A. A).
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[^1]:    ${ }^{z} \mathrm{~W}$ e are considering the bound states which have discrete spectrum. T he quantity $\mathrm{q}^{2}=\mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}$ labels the degree of the radial excitation if we introduce it as $=\left(p^{2} ; p q ; q^{2}\right)$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{x}$ If we allow $M_{v}$ to take com plex value, we may alw ays have roots of eq. 3.6). But, in our calculation we do not consider com plex bound state $m$ ass because it is unphysical.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ If we calculate the decay constant, we also need to cover the support of $\mathrm{T}^{0}$-norm in a sim ilar reason.

[^4]:    ${ }^{k}$ In the xed strong coupling and constant $m$ ass the positronium does not exist and there are only continuum spectrum and no discrete spectrum. 20] In the case of the vanishing center-ofm ass m om entum $\mathrm{q}=0$ the HBS equation is solved and a strong dependence on the gauge param eter is observed. 28]

[^5]:    If we take into account of the running e ect of the $m$ ass function by solving the $S D$ equation, the resultant quark propagator has no pole for the realm om entum . 17,18$]$

