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#### Abstract

W e discuss the excitation of sterile neutrinos in the early universe using general quantum kinetic equations, which also incorporate a possible prim ordialm agnetic eld B. W e nd a new contribution to the excitation propability, which has its origin in the shrinkage of the spin vector. In the absence of $B$ nucleosynthesis implies the constraint j $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{j} \sin ^{2} 20<1: 610{ }^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ which is $m$ ore restrictive than previous estim ates. W e also present exam ples of possible stringent lim its for $B \in 0$.


[^0]
## 1. Introduction.

The recent observation of the cosm ic $m$ icrow ave background tem perature anisotropy on large scales by the COBE satellite has hinted tow ards the existence of a hot com ponent in the dark $m$ atter ( $H D M$ ) of the universe hī1]. A light neutrino is an obvious candidate for hot dark $m$ atter. A $s$ is well know $n$, there are a num ber of unrelated astrophysical and cosm ological constraints on light neutrinos, which have to do w th solar neutrinos and the de cit of m uon neutrinos in the atm ospheric neutrino uxes [2]. model only is quite di cult, and as was rst pointed out in [3], if neutrinos are to solve the dark $m$ atter, solar and atm ospheric problem s sim ultaneously, one actually has to introduce a fourth neutrino, which could well be a sterile neutrino. M oreover, it has been suggested [ $[\overline{4}]$ ] that the recently observed signals in the K A RM EN detector could be explained by the the decay pattem ${ }^{+}$! ${ }^{+}$s, where $s$ is light sterile neutrino. Sim ple extensions of the standard electrow eak m odelwhich can accom $\mathrm{mo} \mathrm{o}^{-}$ date all known hints for neutrino $m$ asses, including solar and atm ospheric neutrino observations also postulate the existence of a light neutrino s models such sterile neutrino is the HDM candidate $\left.{ }_{[-6}^{6}\right]$. (Sterile neutrino as warm dark $m$ atter has recently been discussed in [īㄱ..)

Very tight constraints on the neutrino $m$ ass $m$ atrix that includes a singlet $s$ can be deduced from the prim ordial nucleosynthesis bound on the exœess relic energy density at the proton-neutron freeze-out, which takes place at T $0: 7 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$. The bound derives from the evaluations of the abundances of prim ordial ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ and D and is usually quanti ed in units of relativistic neutrino species. For a recent discussion


Because of the mixing, sterile neutrinos can be produced in weak collisions and thus be brought into equilibrium, whence they would count as an extra relativistic
neutrino degree of freedom, in clear contradiction with the observations libration can be avoided for a certain range of the mixing param eters, though. The region of the active-sterile neutrino oscillation param eters $m^{2}=m_{2}^{2} \quad m_{1}^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} 20$ excluded by the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) has been estim ated to be [ī1in]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \sin ^{4} 2_{0}>5 & 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \quad a=e ;  \tag{1.1}\\
j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \sin ^{4} 2_{0}>3 & 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2} ; \quad a=;
\end{array}
$$

Folding in an actual nucleosynthesis code into neutrino evolution equations has ver$i$ ed this result $[\underline{10} 2 \overline{2}]$.

Strong random $m$ agnetic elds change neutrino oscillations drastically. This is a pertinent issue because of the possibility that the observed galactic magnetic elds, which are of the order of $m$ icrogauss, $m$ ight have a prim ordial origin tisin. If this is true, then the plasm a of the early universe sustained enorm ous magnetic elds which $m$ ay have a ected also particle interactions. It is natural to assum e that the prim ordial eld is random. This is because any magnetic eld is im printed on the alm ost chaotically com oving plasm a, which entangles and mixes the eld lines. Recently it was pointed out [1] [i] that in presence of such random magnetic elds the BBN constraints on sterile neutrino becom em ore stringent than in isotropic plasm a.

In the present paper we investigate the kinetics of the active-sterile neutrino conversions in hot plasm a of the early universe using a set of quantum kinetic equations. (For earlier applications, see 10 account quantum dam ping as well as the e ects of random ly m agnetized plasm a. A part from reproducing the earlier results obtained in particle approach, the kinetic approach reveals new e ects, which give rise to a novel constraint on the neutrino $m$ ixing param eters. It tums out to be $m$ ore stringent than the previous ones, which have been obtain ed from one-particle Schrodinger equation.

The organization of the paper is as follow s. To describe neutrino propagation in hot plasm a we derive, in Section 2, neutrino dispersion relations in magnetized
plasm a. W e also discuss brie y the behaviour of random magnetic elds. In Section 3 , starting from the quantum kinetic equations ( K E ) rst derived in [1] 1 a general analytic solution for the neutrino conversion probability in equilibrium plasm a at tem peratures $T \quad m_{e}$. Special care is taken to include correctly the e ects of a possible random backround $m$ agnetic eld. In Section 4 we apply our general form alism to the special case of isotropic plasm a. We nd a new shrinking, aperiodic analytic solution for $e$ ! $s$ neutrino conversions, which gives rise to a new , stringent constraint on the $m$ ixing param eters. In Section 5 we include a prim ordial $m$ agnetic eld and nd for large elds a sim ple analytic expression for the conversion probability. The solution is a generalization of the one-particle result of [14]

In Section 6 we discuss the results and their applications both to neutrino physics and to the astrophysics of galactic $m$ agnetic elds.

## 2. N eutrino propagation in hot plasm a

## 2.1. $R$ andom $m$ agnetic elds

In order to describe active-sterile neutrino oscillations in a general $m$ edium, which $m$ ay also contain a random $m$ agnetic eld, we need neutrino dispersion relations. $W$ e also need a model for the prim ordialm agnetic eld. A though there are a num ber of suggestions as to how a large $m$ agnetic eld could arise in the early universe we prefer a m ore phenom enological approach.

A prim ordialm agnetic eld, no $m$ atter what its origin, is im printed on the com oving plasm $a$, which in the early universe has a very large conductivity. W e shallassum e that the prim ordialplasm a consist ofelem entary $m$ agnetic dom ains of size $L_{0} . W$ thin each such dom ain the $m$ agnetic eld is taken to be uniform and constant, and the eld in di erent dom ains is random ly aligned. For the root $m$ ean squared $m$ agnetic
eld $B_{r m ~ s}=\frac{q}{h^{2} i}$, averaged over a volum e $L^{3} \quad L_{0}^{3}$, we assum e the scaling law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rm} \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{B}_{0}{\frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{~T}_{0}}}^{2}{\frac{\mathrm{~L}_{0}}{\mathrm{~L}}}^{\mathrm{p}}: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he tem perature dependence of $B_{r m}$ se ects sim ply $m$ agnetic ux conservation. How does $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{rm}}$ s scale w ith distance is an unsolved issue and re ects our ignorance e.g. on the question as to how uncorrelated the uxes in the neighbouring $m$ agnetic dom ains actually are. In the case of no correlation statistical averaging gives for the param eter $p$ the value $p=1=2$, whereas a random $-w a l k$ argum ent yields the scaling $p=3=2$ [1] $\overline{1}]$.

D issipation of $B$ was investigated in [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{\underline{q}}]$. There it was found that the dissipation length at the recombination time is about $10^{10} \mathrm{~cm}$, which corresponds to $10^{10} \mathrm{am} \quad\left(\mathrm{T}_{\text {rec }}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BBN}}\right)^{\prime} 2 \quad 10^{\star} \mathrm{am}$ at nucleosynthesis. If prim ordial magnetic elds are to be the seed eld for the galactic dynam o [in survive until recom bination. This sets a lim it for the size of the random magnetic eld dom ain $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{0} \quad \mathrm{I}_{0}^{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=10^{3} \text { om } \quad \frac{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}}{\mathrm{~T}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prim ordial nucleosynthesis $m$ ay be used to set lim its on the size of B
For an uncorrelated random $m$ agnetic eld the $m$ ean eld $h B{ }_{j} i=0$, whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
h B_{i}(x) B_{j}\left(x^{0}\right) i=\frac{1}{2} i j^{(3)}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the correlation length is determ ined by the dom ain size $L_{0}$ and the value of root $m$ ean squared eld $B_{r m}$ s at the horizon scale $L=l_{H}(T)$ [1] $\overline{1}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{1}=\frac{3}{(32 p)} B_{r m s}^{2}\left(l_{\mathrm{r}}\right) L_{0}^{3} ; p \in 3=2 ;  \tag{2.4}\\
& \underline{1}=\frac{3}{\ln } \frac{l_{\mathrm{H}}}{L_{0}} B_{\mathrm{rms}}^{2}\left(l_{\mathrm{H}}\right) L_{0}^{3} ; p=3=2:
\end{align*}
$$

The horizon scale de nes a cut-o $k_{m}$ ax for the w ave num ber. P hysically it corresponds to the scale of the inhom ogenelty, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=2=\mathrm{L}_{0}$ [1] $\left.\overline{\underline{9}}\right]$. T his is the reason for the appearance of the horizon size in the above form ulas.

### 2.2. N eutrino dispersion relations

The ultrarelativistic dispersion of a standard electroweak neutrino in hot plasm a depends on the neutrino interaction potential, which consists of tw o parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{vec})}+\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{axial})}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential is determ ined by the neutrino forward scattering am plitude o all particle species in the plasm $a$, including $m$ agnetized charged leptons and antileptons. The vector interaction potential $V^{(v e c)}$ for a neutrino $w$ ith a $m$ om entum $p=$ hpi $=$ 3:15 T is given by [2̄2̄1]

$$
V^{\text {vec }}=G_{F}^{P} \overline{2} n \quad\left[n_{\text {asym }} \quad A \frac{T^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right] ;
$$

$w$ here $n_{\text {asym }}$ depends on the particle-antiparticle asym $m$ etries in the plasm $a$, norm alized to the photon density $n=0.244 T^{3}$, and $A$ ' 55. In the hot prim ordialplasm a
 $V_{\text {vec }}$ is dom inated by the second, non-local tem, which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{JV}^{(\mathrm{vec})} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} \quad 3: 4 \quad 10^{20} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he axial potential $V_{a x i a l}$ is present only if the plasm a supports a m agnetic eld. It is given by [1]ī]

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(a x i a l)}=\operatorname{eff}^{k} \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{\underset{\text { eff }}{2}}{2 k} B^{2} \quad \frac{\left(k \quad B^{2}\right)}{k^{2}}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the quantity eff is de ned by

$$
\text { eff }=\frac{e G_{F}\left(2_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{T} \ln 2}{\overline{2}^{2}}, \quad 12 \mathrm{G} \quad 10^{13} \mathrm{~B} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}=0: 5$ is the axial coupling in the weak lepton current (the upper sign is for e, the lower one for ; ), and $B=e=2 m_{e}$ is the Bohrmagneton. In our case it is su cient to consider only the rst term of $V$ (axial) since according to eq. (2, $\overline{2}$ (1) eff $B \quad k \quad 3 T$.

## 3. N eutrino conversions in hot plasm a

### 3.1. A veraging over random $m$ agnetic elds

Q uantum kinetic equations take into account the inherent quantum nature of neutrino oscillations ( $[\underline{[1]} \overline{-1}]$ and references therein, see also $[\underline{[13} \overline{-1}])$. A dopting this approach we now derive a general expression for the probability of active-sterile neutrino conversions in the early universe.

The tim e evolution of the system of the active neutrino $e$ and a sterile neutrino s can be described in term s of a polarization vector ( $P_{0}(t)$; $P(t)$ ), whose $z$-com ponent gives the the excess of $s$ over $e$ in the neutrino ensemble at a given $m$ om ent of tim e. In Ref. [ī were derived (eqs. (28) to (31) of [ī $\overline{-1}])$, and these are applicable for any neutrino transitions. In our case, where one of the neutrinos is sterile $w$ th no interaction $w$ ith the badkground $m$ atter, these equations are considerably sim pli ed.

W e shall assum e a hierarchy between the relaxation tim es of the spatial distribution along the neutrino trajectory, and the $m$ om entum distributions. This assum ption allow s us to factorize out the equilibrium $m$ om entum distribution $f(k)=$ $\exp (k=T)+1]^{1}$, so that the density $m$ atrix can be written as ( $\widehat{k}$ is the unit vector
in the direction of $k$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(t ; k)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_{0}(t)+P_{i}(t ; \hat{k})_{i}\right] f(k): \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The distributions of the active neutrino e and the sterile neutrino s can bew rilten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.f_{e}(t ; k)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_{0}(t)+P_{z}(t ; \hat{k})\right] f(k) ; \\
& \left.f_{s}(t ; k)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_{0}(t) \quad P_{z}(t ; \hat{k})\right] f(k): \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that our de nitions ( in

There is a hierarchy of scales in our problem : $L_{0} \quad L_{W} \quad l_{H}$, where $L_{0}$ is the previously de ned scale ofelem entary dom ains in the random ly distributed $m$ agnetic eld, $L_{W}$ is the length scale of weak interactions and $l_{I I}$ is the horizon scale. Therefore we should average our QKE over the random magnetic eld distribution before integration over the m om enta. M oreover, we are interested in the neutrino conversion probability at physical distance $m$ uch larger than $L_{0}$. It is described by the $m$ ean value of the avour space polarization vector ${ }^{D} P_{z}(t ; \hat{k})^{E}=P_{z}(t)$, which does not depend on the neutrino $m$ om entum .

A s the tem perature changes slow ly as com pared w th the neutrino oscillation rate one can w rite, using factorization ( $\overline{3}-2)$, the active-sterile evolution equations in the form [ī̄]

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d P_{0}(t)}{d t} & =R(t ; k)=f(k) ;  \tag{3.3}\\
\frac{d P_{x}(t ; \hat{k})}{d t} & =V_{z}(t ; \hat{k}) P_{y}(t ; \hat{k}) \quad D(t ; k) P_{x}(t ; \hat{k}) ;  \tag{3.4}\\
\frac{\mathrm{dP}_{\mathrm{y}}(t ; \hat{k})}{d t} & =V_{z}(t ; \hat{k}) P_{x}(t ; \hat{k}) \quad P_{z}(t ; \hat{k}) V_{x} \quad D(t ; k) P_{y}(t ; \hat{k}) ;  \tag{3.5}\\
\frac{d P_{z}(t ; \hat{k})}{d t} & =V_{x} P_{y}(t ; \hat{k})+R(k ; t)=f \quad(k): \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here R (k; t) is the rate of the annihilation processes ( $\left.e^{-} e^{+} e^{+}\right)$, the general form of which is given in [1] $\overline{1}]$. The dam ping param eter $D(k ; t)$ is determ ined by the inelastic- and elastic neutrino collisions.
$T$ he neutrino interaction potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{z}(t ; \hat{k})=V^{(\text {vec })} \quad \cos 2_{0}+\operatorname{eff} f B_{k}(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e V^{\text {vec }}$ is given by the eq. (2. $\left.\overline{\mathrm{L}}\right)$, the m agnetic term is approxim ated from eq. $\left(\underline{2}-\overline{1}_{1}\right), \quad\left(m_{1}^{2} \quad m_{2}^{2}\right)=2 \mathrm{k}$, and $V_{x}=\sin 20$.

The system ofeqs. ( $\overline{3}=\frac{-}{-}$ ) yields the follow ing quite com plicated integro-di erential equation for $P_{z}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}_{z}(t)+V_{x}^{2} P_{z}(t)+\left(P_{z}(t) \frac{R(t)}{f}\right) D(t) \mathbb{F}(t) \\
& +\left(N^{(\text {vec })} \quad \cos 2 \quad 0\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} d t_{1} \frac{h_{d P_{z}\left(t_{1}\right)}^{d t_{1}}}{R} \quad \frac{R\left(t_{1}\right)^{i}}{f} F\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& +{ }_{\text {eff }}^{2}{ }_{0}^{Z_{t}} d t_{1} B_{k}(t) B_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \frac{h_{d P_{z}}\left(t_{1}\right)}{d t_{1}} \quad \frac{R\left(t_{1}\right)^{i}}{f} F\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& +\left(V^{\text {(vec) }} \quad \cos 2 \quad 0\right) \text { eff }{ }^{n} B_{k}(t){ }_{0}^{Z} d t_{1} \frac{d P_{z}\left(t_{1}\right)}{d t_{1}} \quad \frac{R\left(t_{1}\right)}{f} F\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{Z_{t}} d t_{1} B_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \frac{d P_{z}\left(t_{1}\right)}{d t_{1}} \quad R\left(t_{1}\right)=f \quad F\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& =0 \text {; } \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(t)=\exp \left({ }_{0}^{R} D\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0}\right)$ (here we om it in all the argum ents the $m$ om entum variable $k$.) In the case of a random $m$ agnetic eld integration over the dim ensions transversal to the neutrino pragation direction allows us to om it the term $s$ linear in $B_{k}$. For the product $B_{k}(t) B_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)$ we $m$ ay use the approxim ate result [īin]
$B_{k}(t) B_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)^{E}=B_{k}^{2^{2}} \quad L_{0} \quad(t \quad t)$, so that this term reduces to

$$
2 \frac{h^{d P_{z}}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dt}} \quad R(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{f}^{i} \exp ^{z^{t}}\left(_{0}^{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right) d t_{2}\right):
$$

Here is the dam ping induced by the presence of a magnetic eld. U sing eq. (2, 2 . 1 for $p \notin 3=2$ yields the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\underset{e f f}{2} B_{k}^{2}{ }^{E} L_{0}=\frac{3}{4(3 \quad 2 p)}{ }_{\text {eff }}^{2} B_{\mathrm{rms}}^{2}\left(L=l_{H}\right) L_{0}: ~}_{\text {( }} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

D i erentiating eq. ( $\overline{3}, \bar{B}_{1}$ ) w ith respect to tim $e$, and om 1 tting the com $m$ on exponential factor, we nally obtain the follow ing third order di erential equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(k) \frac{h d^{3} P_{z}}{d t^{3}}+2(+D(t ; k)) \frac{d^{2} P_{z}}{d t^{2}} \\
& +\quad{ }_{m}^{2}+2 D(t ; k)+D^{2}(t ; k)+\frac{d D(t ; k)}{d t} \frac{d P_{z}}{d t}+D(t ; k) V_{x}^{2} P_{z}^{i} \\
& =R(t ; k) 2 D(t ; k)+D^{2}(t ; k)+\frac{d D(t ; k)}{d t}+\left(V^{(v e c)} \quad \cos 20\right)^{2^{i}} \\
& +2 \frac{d R(t ; k)}{d t} \text {; } \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ is the usual neutrino oscillation frequency in isotropic $m$ edium :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\left[\left(V^{(v e c)} \quad \cos 20\right)^{2}+V_{x}^{2}\right]^{1=2}: \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3.2. $M$ aster equation for active-sterile conversion

Before neutrino decoupling, pair annihilation does not contribute to the factor R , but it contributes, like elastic neutrino collisions, to the collision dam ping coe cient D ( $t ; k)$. At xed tem perature $D$ does not depend on time. This allow s us to rew rite the evolution equation of the active-sterile neutrino conversion probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{e}!s=\frac{1}{2}^{"} 1 \quad \frac{P_{z}(t)}{P_{0}(0)} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the follow ing simpli ed form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{3} P}{d t^{3}}+2(+w) \frac{d^{2} P}{d t^{2}}+\left(\underset{m}{2}+2 w{\underset{w}{ }}_{2}^{2}\right) \frac{d P}{d t}+{ }_{w} V_{x}^{2} P=\frac{w V_{x}^{2}}{2}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 used the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{w}}={ }^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dkf}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{hD} \mathrm{i} ; \\
& \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{w}}^{2}=  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathrm{dkf}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{D}^{2}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{hD}^{2} \mathrm{i} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $d k=d^{3} k=(2)^{3}$.

Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3}^{\prime}\right)$ is our $m$ aster equation for the active-sterile neutrino conversion. The


$$
\begin{equation*}
P(0)=0 ; P-(0)=0 ; P(0)=\frac{V_{x}^{2}}{2}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\frac{1}{2}+C_{1} e^{k_{1} t}+C_{2} e^{k_{2} t}+C_{3} e^{k_{3} t}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{k}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ are the roots of the C artan equation

$$
\mathrm{k}^{3}+\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}+\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{a}_{0}=0 ;
$$

where the constant coe cients read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}_{2}=2(+\mathrm{w}) ; \\
& \mathrm{a}_{1}=\underset{\mathrm{m}}{2}+2 \mathrm{w}+\underset{\mathrm{w} 1}{2} ;  \tag{3.17}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{0}={ }_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

The roots

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{1}=\frac{2(+\mathrm{w})}{3}+\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{2}\right) ; \\
& k_{2}=\frac{2(+w)}{3} \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right)+\frac{i^{p} \overline{3}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}\left(s_{1} \quad s\right) ;  \tag{3.18}\\
& k_{3}=\frac{2(+w)}{3} \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{1}+s_{2}\right) \frac{i \overline{3}}{2}\left(s_{1} \quad s\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

can be found by solving the Cartan equation in the standard way so that $\mathrm{s}_{1}=$ $\left(r+{ }^{p} \overline{r^{2}+q^{3}}\right)^{1=3} ; s_{2}=\left(r \quad \mathrm{p} \overline{r^{2}+q^{3}}\right)^{1=3}$ with $r=\left(a_{1} a_{2} \quad 3 a_{0}\right)=6 \quad a_{2}^{3}=27 ; q=$ $a_{1}=3 \quad a_{2}^{2}=9$. The constants $C_{i}=C_{i}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ; k_{3}\right)$ in eq. $\left.(\overline{3}-1]\right)$ are determ ined from the


In the general case the roots $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are quite com plicated, but in som e special cases they reduce to a rather sim ple expression. For instance, in the absence of collisions one has $w={\underset{w}{1}}_{2}=0$, so that eq. (3).
eq. ( $\left.\overline{3}, \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{2}\right)$ takes in this case the form:


This result, which here followed from the general kinetic approach, was previously derived in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1 \overline{1}-1]}\end{array}\right]$ by using a di erent $m$ ethod. O ne can also readily see from eq. ( that in the absence of a $m$ agnetic eld our approach gives rise to the standard M SW


$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=\frac{V_{x}^{2}}{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{m t}{2}: \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Shrinkage of the avour spin

### 4.1. Solution for $B=0$

Let us rst apply our general form alism to the sim ple case of vanishing magnetic eld by setting $=0$. This has been previously studied in the literature by using the one-particle approach. The new e ect revealed by the quantum kinetic approach is the shrinkage of the avour polarization vector, which results in a m ore stringent bound on the vacuum oscillation param eters than the previous ones. The e ect was rst discussed qualitatively by Stodolsky in 2

W e take $m$ ' $V$ (vec) w , i.e. we assume that the system is far from the M SW resonance. We then nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{2}=P \frac{m}{3} 1 \frac{W^{p} \overline{3}}{3{ }_{m}}+\frac{{ }_{W}^{2} 1{\underset{W}{w}}_{2}^{2}}{2{ }_{m}^{2}}+\frac{W_{W} V_{x}^{2} \overline{27}}{6{ }_{m}^{3}}+O \quad\left(w={ }_{m}\right)^{4} \quad:
\end{aligned}
$$

W e thus have $\mathrm{s}_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{2}=2 \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{w}}=3 \quad \mathrm{w} \quad\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}={ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{s}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~s}=\left(2={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}\right) \mathrm{m}\left(1+\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ \mathrm{w} \\ 1\end{array}\right.\right.$

[^1]${ }_{\mathrm{w}}^{2} \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{w}}$. Substituting $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ into the roots $k_{i}$ given by eq. (318) , we obtain $\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{m}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{w}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{3}=\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{m}} . \mathrm{The}$ transition probability $(\overline{3}-\overline{1} \overline{-})$ is then given by
$P_{e}!s_{s}=\frac{1^{n}}{2} 1 \quad \exp \quad\left(V_{x}={ }_{m}\right)^{2}{ }_{w} t+\frac{V_{x}^{2} h}{\frac{2}{m}} \exp \quad\left(V_{x}={ }_{m}\right)^{2}{ }_{w} t \quad e^{w t} \cos { }_{m} t^{\text {io }}$ :
For tim es much larger than the collision time ${ }^{1}{ }^{1}$, but much less than the depolarization tim e
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{\frac{2}{\mathrm{~m}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}} \mathrm{w}^{1} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

neutrino harm onic oscillations die aw ay and the probability for e! s conversion is aperiodic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{1}{2}^{h} \quad \text { exp }{\frac{t}{t_{d}}}^{i}, \frac{t}{2 t_{d}}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This probability is sm all,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime} \frac{V_{x}^{2}}{2_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}} \mathrm{w} t \quad 1 ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but nevertheless the aperiodic term dom inates over the contributions from the last


T he earlier result for the transition probability [ī1] reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=V_{x}^{2}=2{\underset{m}{2}=\frac{\sin ^{2} 2_{0}}{2\left(1 \quad 2 x \cos 2_{0}+x^{2}\right)} ; ~ ; ~}_{1}^{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is de ned through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{MeV}}={\frac{10^{7}}{2 \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}}^{1=6}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

 factor ${ }_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{w}=\mathrm{H} \quad(\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV})^{\beta}$. This is due to spin shrinkage w hich is m anifest in the kinetic approach.
4.2. H euristic derivation of spin shrinkage

The result eq. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{3})$ has been discussed earlier by Stodolsky out that a large conversion probability $P=1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay never be reached because depolarization tim e $t_{d}$ could be very large, in our case larger than the age of universe. D epolarization tim e can be estim ated in a sim ple $m$ anner by noting that avour spin rotation tums the longitudinal part of the spin into transversal, and at each collision the transversal part is, in e ect, wiped out. This results in a shrinkage of the spin vector, and ast! $1, P_{e}$ ! s $(t)$ approaches the value $1 / 2$. The length of the spin vector P gives the degree of coherence, and ast! $1, \mathrm{P}$ vanishes, which corresponds to a com pletely m ixed incoherent state.
 form [2] $2=1]$

$$
\frac{d P}{d t}=V \quad P \quad D P_{?} ;
$$

where now $V=V\left({ }^{(v e c)} \hat{n}_{z}+V_{x} \hat{r}_{x}, D \quad w\right.$ and the transversal spin is given by P? $\quad P\left(V_{x}=V_{z}\right) w$ ith $V_{x}=\sin 20$. It then follows that

$$
\frac{d P^{2}}{d t}=2_{w} P_{?}^{2} ;
$$

yielding a shrinkage rate $P \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{dP}^{2}=\mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{V}^{(\text {vec })}\right)^{2}$, in agreem ent with eq. (4. $\overline{4} \mathbf{z}^{\prime}$ ). Thus, in the presence of collisions the one-particle $m$ atrix density

$$
\left.(t ; k)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_{0}(t)+P_{i}(t) i\right] f(k)
$$

tends in the form al lim itt! 1 to the unpolarized one because of the slow spiralling of the spin vector into the origin:

$$
(t ; k)!\frac{1}{2} P_{0}(1) f(k):
$$

The outcom e is a unique equilibrium point for the system, given by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{z}}=0$, where the num ber of active neutrinos equals the num ber of sterile neutrinos.

### 4.3. B ig bang nucleosynthesis constraint

The aperiodic term m odi es considerably the constraint on the neutrino m ixing param eters. Let us look at thism atterm ore closely by rst recapitulating the derivation of the earlier result [ī1] $]$, and then presenting a sim ilar deriva tion $w$ ithin our present approach.

The condition for non-equilibration of sterile neutrinos is $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{P} \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{w}}<\mathrm{H}$, which im plies the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s}{H}_{\text {max }}=0: 6 \sin ^{2} 20^{q} \overline{10^{7} j m^{2} j=\left(2 \mathrm{eV}^{2}\right)} f_{1}^{\max }(y)<1 ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=x$ depending on the sign of the $m$ ass di erence $m^{2}=m_{1}^{2} \quad m_{2}^{2}$. The function $f_{1}(y)$, de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(y)=\frac{p_{\bar{Y}}}{1+2 y \cos 2_{0}+y^{2}} ; \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the product of the probability ( $\overline{4}-\overline{-} \mathbf{-}$ ) and the ration ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{H}$. For a sm allm ixing angle it reaches the $m$ axim um value $f_{1}^{m}$ ax , $3^{p} \overline{3}=16$ at $y=\left(\overline{3+\cos ^{2} 2_{0}} \quad \cos 2_{0}\right)=3$. Substituting these values into eq. ( $\overline{4}-\overline{1}-1)$ one obtains the follow ing allow ed region for the m ixing param eters $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1] i n}\end{array}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \sin ^{4} 20<5 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a typicalm ixing angle sin 2 , 0:1 this yields $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}<5 \quad 10^{2} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ :
In our case, where the conversion probability is given by eq. ( $\overline{4}-\mathbb{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), one obtains the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{max}}=2: 5 \quad 1 \delta^{6} \sin ^{2} 20 \quad{\frac{j \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{eV}^{2}} \mathrm{f}_{2}^{\mathrm{max}}(\mathrm{y})<1 ; ~} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(\mathrm{y})=\frac{\mathrm{y}}{1+2 \mathrm{y} \cos 20+\mathrm{y}^{2}} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the $m$ axim um value $f_{2}^{m a x}=1=4 \cos ^{2}$ o at $y=1$. Thus, in our case the allow ed region is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j \sin ^{2} 2_{0}<1: 6 \quad 10^{6} \mathrm{eV}^{2}: \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne should notige the di erent $\sin 2$ o dependence com pared w ith the earlier result (4. $\left.\overline{4} \bar{A}_{1}\right)$. T his di erence gives rise to a m ore stringent constraint on the neutrino $m$ ass in our case. For example, for $\sin 20 \quad 0: 1$ we nd $j \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j}<1: 610^{4} \mathrm{eV}^{2}$ : The old and the new constraints in the $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} ; \sin 20\right)-\mathrm{plane}$ are depicted in the $F$ igure.

## 5. C onstraints in the presence of a m agnetic <br> eld

### 5.1. C onditions for large m agnetic e ects

Let us now consider neutrino propagation in hot $m$ agnetized plasm a as described by the $m$ aster equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$. In this section we w ill assum e a non-vanishing magnetic
 assum e that the neutrino system has not yet reached the M SW resonance region, so that the oscillation frequency in $m$ atter $m$ can be approxim ated by $m^{\prime} V^{(v e c)}$.
 e ect on neutrino prapagation is due to random $m$ agnetic elds as given by eq. (2.1.1. $T$ his is the case where the $m$ agnetic dam ping rate is m uch larger than the oscillation rate of neutrinos, $\quad m$, and simultaneously ${ }_{m}^{2}=w \quad$ w $1 . W$ ith these approxim ations, we are able to solve the Q K E (3'1) analytically.

Let us study m ore closely these approxim ations. W e m ay note that the $m$ agnetic dam ping rate

$$
=3{\left.\underset{\mathrm{eff}}{2} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{rms}}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{H}}\right) L_{0}=4 \quad \text { (3 } \quad 2 \mathrm{p}\right) ~}_{\text {) }}
$$

has a minimum value

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \text { in }=\frac{3: 5(2: 3)^{2 p}}{(32 p)} \quad 10^{12} 16 \mathrm{p} \frac{B_{\mathrm{rm}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)}{10^{24} G} \frac{\mathrm{~T}}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}}{ }^{5+2 \mathrm{p}}{\frac{\mathrm{~B}_{0}}{\mathrm{~T}_{0}^{2}}}^{!_{2}} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to the $m$ in im um value of the $m$ agnetic dom ain size $L_{0}^{m}$ in , given in $\left(\overline{2} 2{ }^{2}\right)$.

Let us now $m$ ake a further assum ption. To illustrate the potential im portance of the $m$ agnetic e ects, we take $\mathrm{B}_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{T}_{0}^{2}$, which we believe is the largest possible random eld that can be supported by the plasm a. An example of this kind of a situation is possibly provided by the electrow eak phase transition, where it has been argued [īī] the order of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{Ew}}^{2}$.

To see when $m$ agnetic e ects are im portant, we com pare $m$ agnetic dam ping $w$ ith ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\prime}$ 4:0G ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{~T}^{5}$ and the oscillation frequency $\mathrm{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{V}^{\text {(vec) } \text {. Since } V^{(v e c)} \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{a}}$ su cient condition for the dom ination of $m$ agnetic damping is $m$ in $>V^{\text {(vec) }}$. It tums out that even $m$ ore restrictive condition is the requirem ent ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{w} \quad 1$ : This condition in plies the follow ing range of validity of the approxim ations discussed above:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{MeV}}>\frac{\left(3 \quad(3 \quad 2 \mathrm{p})^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{P}^{p}}}\right.}{2: 3} 18^{\frac{7}{2 p}}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note in passing that if we discard the hypothesis of the relic origin of the galactic seed eld, thereby allow ing considerably sm aller dom ain sizes than given in
 sm all values of the index $p$, i.e. when the $m$ agnetic eld is very close to a constant. O ur analysis does not cover this case.

### 5.2. A pplication to prim ordial nucleosynthesis

A ssum ing the validity of the approxim ations discussed in the previous subsection, we obtain for the roots ( $\overline{3} \cdot 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{k}_{1} \text {, } 2 \mathrm{w}+\underset{\mathrm{m}}{2}=2 \text {, } 2 \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{k}_{2} \text {, } \quad \mathrm{w} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}}{2}, ~ \mathrm{w} \text {; }  \tag{5.3}\\
& k_{3}=\frac{V_{x}^{2}}{2}+\frac{4}{m} 1^{2}{ }_{w}, \quad \frac{V_{x}^{2}}{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

In $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ we have neglected the second term ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{4}=16{ }^{2}$ w as it is resonable to assum e that
 in eq. ( $\left.\overline{3}-\overline{1} \overline{2} \bar{L}^{\prime}\right)$, the one proportional to $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{t}}$, survives, so that the active-to-sterile neutrino conversion probability now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime} \frac{1}{2}\left[1 \quad e^{v_{x}^{2} t=2}\right]: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to avoid a con ict w th the prim ordialnucleosynthesis constraint, the production rate $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{w}$ of the sterile neutrinos should be sm aller than the expansion rate $H^{\prime} t^{1}$ of the universe in the tem perature range $1 \mathrm{MeV}<\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{QCD}} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ hen $\mathrm{T}<1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{eV}$, left chiral neutrinos are decoupled, and collisions can no longer excite sterile neutrinos. A bove the Q CD phase transition tem perature $T_{Q C D}$ sterile neutrino production is harm less, because their num ber densities are subsequently suppressed by the relative heating of the interacting species. From eq. ( our approxim ative solution, nucleosynthesis constraint is relevant only ifp $<0: 6$. If this is so, we obtain from eq. ( $5 .-4$ ) the condition $j V_{x} j<2 H_{m \text { in }}^{q}{ }_{w}$. This can be translated into a constraint on the neutrino $m$ ixing param eters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{j m^{2} j}{e V^{2}} \sin 20_{0}<8 \quad 10^{28 p} \frac{(2: 3)^{p}}{(32 p)} \frac{T}{M e V}{ }^{3+p} \quad(p<0: 6): \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since this lim it is $m$ ore restrictive the lower the tem perature is, we substitute into eq. ( 5.
obtain our nal result

$$
\frac{j \mathrm{~m}^{2} j}{e V^{2}} \sin 20<2\left(\begin{array}{lll}
3 & (3 & 2 \mathrm{p} \tag{5.6}
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{p}}} \quad 10^{\frac{33}{2}} \frac{21}{2 \mathrm{p}}:
$$

$T$ his result is displayed for $p=0: 5 ; 0: 4$ and 0.3 , together $w$ ith the $B=0$ case, in the F igure.

W em ay note that the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld implies a lim it which is $m$ ore stringent than if $B=0$. Naturally, the lim it is also $m$ ore $m$ odel dependent. In particular, it very much depends on our assum ption that the prim ordial eld is the seed eld for the galactic dynam 0 , which re ects itself in $m$ in . M oreover, our results are valid only for rather weak scaling behaviour. For larger p, it is likely that the bound (5.6) would be much weaker. On the other hand, weak scaling is needed if prim ordial elds are to provide the seed eld.

## 6. D iscussion and conclusions

W e have considered active-sterile neutrino conversions in hot plasm a of the early universe. O ur starting point is a general quantum kinetic equation, and in the collisionless lim it we reproduce the results of $[\overline{1} \overline{4}]$. The great advantage of the kinetic approach is that one is able to follow the evolution of the transition probability over any num ber of collisions. In particular, it allow s us follow the chain of collisions over tim e scales of the order of the $H$ ubble scale. This fact provided us with a new analytic solution which actually dom inates the active-sterile conversion probability in isotropic plasm a. It is related to the shrinkage of the avour spin. Such behaviour is the combined e ect of neutrino oscillations, which convert longitudinal spin com ponents to transversal ones, and sim ultaneous dam ping of the transversal com ponent by collisions. Q ualitatively this e ect, progressive decoherence, was discussed earlier in

An analogous shrinkage regim e exists for $D$ irac neutrino spin- ip in plasm a w ith a large scale random $m$ agnetic eld [2]-1]. The physical reason of the shrinkage of the total real spin there is the same as in our case.

It is perhaps surprising that the inclusion of a magnetic eld, which leads to an increase of the energy gap between active and sterile neutrino spectra (see eq. (2. $\left.\overline{2}-\mathbf{L}_{1}\right)$ ), tightens the constraint on the neutrino $m$ ixing param eters. In fact, the transition probability does decrease in the presence of a magnetic eld exactly because of the energy gap increase. H ow ever, in the random eld case the averaged conversion rates depend also on the neutrino squared $m$ ass di erence $m^{2}$ in a subtle way. W hile in the isotropic case there is a saturation of the conversion probability as a function of $m^{2}$ in the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld there is a linear dependence upon $m^{2}$. This behaviour is re ected in the stronger $m$ ixing angle dependence.
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## Figure C aption

The nucleosynthesis constraint on the $e \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}$ ixing param eters. (a) old result; (b) new result, which includes the shrinkage of the spin vector. Show $n$ are also the lim its obtained in the presence of a prim ordial random $m$ agnetic eld $w$ ith the scaling param eter $p=0: 3 ; 0: 4$ and 0.5 (solid lines). In all cases the allow ed region is below the contour.
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[^1]:    $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{W}}^{2} \mathrm{~J}=2 \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{2}\right)$, where in the di erence we can neglect the dispersion term $\mathrm{hD}^{2} \mathrm{i}$ hD ${ }_{\mathrm{I}}^{2}=$

