

Reheating the Post Inflationary Universe

D. Boyanovsky^(a), M. D'Astasio^{(b)(d)}, H. J. de Vega^(b), R. Holman^(c),
D.-S. Lee^(a), A. Singh^(c)

(a) Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260,
U.S.A.

(b) Laboratoire de Physique Theorique et Hautes Energies, Universite Pierre et Marie Curie
(Paris VI) et Universite Denis Diderot (Paris VII), Tour 16, 1er. etage, 4, Place Jussieu 75252
Paris, Cedex 05, FRANCE. Laboratoire Associe au CNRS URA 280.

(c) Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, U.S.A.

(d) INFN, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, ITALIA

(April 1995)

Abstract

We consider the non-equilibrium evolution of the inflaton field coupled to both lighter scalars and fermions. The dissipational dynamics of this field is studied and found to be quite different than that believed in inflationary models. In particular, the damping time scale for the expectation value of the zero momentum mode of the inflaton can be much shorter than that given by the single particle decay rate when the inflaton amplitudes are large, as in chaotic inflation scenarios. We find that the reheating temperature may depart considerably from the usual estimates.

98.80.Cq, 11.10.-z, 11.15.Tk

Typeset using REVTeX

The Universe after inflation is a cold and dark place. The inflationary expansion phase has driven the matter and radiation energy densities down to almost zero. If we are to recover the Universe of the standard hot big bang model, some source of energy (or perhaps more accurately, entropy) must be enlisted to rethermalize the Universe.

Once inflation has ended, the standard picture of inflation [1,2] has it that the zero momentum mode of the inflaton field oscillates about the minimum of its (effective) potential. As it oscillates, the couplings of the inflaton to lighter particles that would give rise to single particle decays induce a friction-like term, which in turn gives rise to dissipational dynamics for the zero mode. This dissipation allows for the energy contained in the zero mode's oscillations to be used for the creation of light quanta which will eventually thermalize and allow the standard big bang picture to proceed [3].

This scenario has been questioned recently when it was realized that the evolution of the zero mode of the inflaton induces large amplification of the quantum fluctuations resulting in copious particle production [4-6]. We call this mechanism of particle production induced amplification. (In the case of exactly periodic fields this reduces to parametric resonance [9]). When the zero mode of the inflaton field has a large amplitude, this mechanism of induced amplification is much more efficient for particle production than single particle decay. In this letter we compare the time scales for damping and particle production for the mechanism of induced amplification and single particle decay as well as the distribution of particles produced. It is important to understand the different processes, because these time scales determine the reheating temperature [1].

We consider the situation in which the inflaton is coupled to lighter scalar and fermion fields and track the behavior of the expectation value of the inflaton zero mode, as well as the various modes of the lighter field. We find that the modes of the lighter field are not distributed thermally, but are more skewed towards modes with momentum $k \ll M$. This may have implications for the thermalization phase of the reheating process. Interactions will tend to thermalize this spectrum to temperatures $\sim M$. The case of coupling to fermions is qualitatively different from the coupling to bosons because of Pauli blocking.

In both cases, we consider relaxation both in the linear regime, where the amplitude of the zero mode is not large and an amplitude expansion of the effective equation of motion can be used reliably, as well as non-linear relaxation, where the initial amplitude is large; this is typically the behavior of the inflaton in chaotic inflationary models [7].

We use the techniques that we developed previously [6] to construct the effective equations of motion for the zero mode in the presence of fluctuations due to the higher momentum modes. The reader is referred to this and forthcoming work [8] for more details.

Throughout we will assume that the typical rates involved in the particle production process are much larger than the expansion rate of the Universe H ; this allows us to restrict ourselves to the Minkowski space case.

Linear Relaxation of the Inflaton: Consider the following Lagrangian for the inflaton a scalar and a fermion field:

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \psi)^2 - V(\phi; \psi) + (i\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - M \bar{\psi} \psi) \\ V(\phi; \psi) = \frac{1}{2} M^2 \phi^2 + \frac{1}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{1}{2} M^2 \psi^2 + \frac{1}{4!} \psi^4 + \frac{g}{2} \phi^2 \psi^2; \quad (1)$$

where ϕ, ψ are the lighter degrees of freedom ($M \gg M_{\text{Pl}}$; M_{Pl}). As in our previous work

[6] we write $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x} \rangle(t) + \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ where $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle(t)$ is the expectation value of the zero mode of \mathbf{x} in the non-equilibrium density matrix and $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle|_{t=0} = 0$ [6]. The expectation value of the field is assumed zero; this is consistent with the equations of motion. Following the formalism of [6], we arrive at the linearized, non-equilibrium equation of motion for $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$:

$$\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle + M^2 \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle + \int_0^t \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}; t' \rangle dt' = 0: \quad (2)$$

The kernel $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}; t' \rangle$ is obtained in the loop expansion and is determined by the retarded self-energy. This self-energy needs appropriate subtractions which are absorbed into mass and wave function renormalization. From now on, all masses and couplings will be the renormalized ones. We choose to start the evolution at $t = 0$ using the initial conditions $\langle \mathbf{x} \rangle(0) = 0$; $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle(0) = 0$. This equation can be solved via the Laplace transform [6,8]. The inverse Laplace transform is performed along the Bromwich contour and requires the identification of the singularities of the inverse propagator: $G(s) = [s^2 + M^2 + \Sigma(s)]^{-1}$ where s is the Laplace transform variable. We have to distinguish different cases: i) Unbroken symmetry case: a) If $y = 0$, the lowest order contribution to $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle \langle \mathbf{x}; t' \rangle$ is given by the two-loop retarded self-energy which has a cut for $s^2 > (M + 2M)^2$.

For weak coupling $G(s)$ has a one-particle pole below the three particle threshold. The contribution of this pole gives oscillatory behavior of $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ with the period determined by the position of the pole. The contribution from the continuum to the long time dynamics is determined by the behavior of the imaginary part of Σ near threshold and it yields a power law t^{-3} at long times for all non-zero masses. The imaginary part of the self-energy does not indicate exponential relaxation and although the amplitude of the oscillation damps out, it reaches a non-zero asymptotic limit at long times. It is straightforward to prove that the ratio of the amplitudes at two different times is a renormalization group invariant [8]. b) If $y \neq 0$ then the kernel receives a contribution at one loop from the fermion-antifermion intermediate state. The self-energy has a cut beginning at $s^2 = 4M^2$. If $M > 2M$, the one-particle pole moves off the physical sheet into the second sheet and for weak coupling, the spectral density has the Breit-Wigner form with a narrow resonance at the renormalized value of the scalar mass and width $\gamma^2 M = 8$ (for $M = M$). The expectation value $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ now relaxes exponentially with a relaxation time $\tau_{rel} = 1$, for $t < 1 \ln(M =)$, but eventually the long time behavior is dominated by the behavior of the discontinuity of the imaginary part of the self energy near threshold leading to a $t^{-5/2}$ power law behavior. Two subtractions of the self-energy are needed, resulting in mass and wave function renormalization. If $M < 2M$ there is a one-particle pole below the two-particle threshold i.e. in the physical sheet, and the long time behavior of $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ is oscillatory with nonzero asymptotic amplitude. The continuum contribution falls off with the power law above. Clearly an imaginary part for the self energy does not translate to exponential relaxation. ii) Spontaneously broken symmetry case: If $M^2 = -\frac{1}{2} < 0$, the new minimum is at $\phi_0 = \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and we write $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle = \phi_0 + \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$. The self energy now has two-particle cuts beginning at $s^2 = 4(M + g_0)^2$; $4(M + y_0)^2$; 8 . If 2 is greater than the lowest two particle threshold the one-particle pole moves into the second, unphysical, sheet and the spectral density features a narrow resonance, for weak coupling, at the renormalized value of the scalar mass of total width given by $\gamma_{tot}^2 = \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2} = 8 + 3g^2 = (4 - \frac{1}{2})$. In this case $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ exhibits exponential relaxation on a time scale that

is typically as the one above. Eventually, however, a power law tail behaving as $t^{-3/2}$, which is determined by the two-particle scalar threshold, will develop.

From our discussion above, we find two important points: (i) In the case when the in-aton mass is above the multiparticle thresholds, the narrow resonance gives rise to exponential relaxation for a long period of time. During this time, the evolution is similar to that obtained from the effective evolution equation $\ddot{\phi} + m^2 \phi + \gamma \phi = 0$ with γ given by the total decay rate. The equation of motion (2) provides a "proof" of this effective description; however this only applies for small amplitudes. (ii) Usually the imaginary part of the self energy is identified with a "thermalization" or relaxation rate. We have seen above that this is only the case whenever there is a resonance i.e. a pole in the unphysical sheet. If there is a one particle pole below the multiparticle threshold, then the long time behavior is undamped and oscillatory. The continuum contribution falls off with a power law which is determined completely by the behavior of the spectral density at threshold.

Non-Linear Relaxation of the In-aton: We now contrast the linear relaxation scenario with the non-linear one, which is found by considering evolution equation for the expectation value of the scalar field including the back-reaction of the quantum fluctuations by absorbing (t) in the definition of the now time dependent mass term for the scalars and fermions:

$$m^2(t) = M^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \langle \phi^2(t) \rangle; m^2(t) = M^2 + g^2 \langle \phi(t) \rangle; m(t) = M + \gamma(t); \quad (3)$$

We can then obtain the one-loop evolution equations [6,8]

$$M^2 + \frac{g^2}{6} \langle \phi^3 \rangle + \frac{g^2}{6} \langle \phi^2 \rangle \langle \phi \rangle + g \langle \phi^2 \rangle \langle \phi \rangle + \gamma \langle \phi \rangle \langle \phi \rangle = 0; \quad (4)$$

where the non-equilibrium expectation values are the one-loop Feynman graphs but with the time dependent masses given by eq.(3):

$$\langle \phi^2(x;t) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{j_k^2(t)}{2! (k)}; \langle \phi^2(x;t) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{j_k^2(t)}{2! (k)} \quad (5)$$

$$\langle \phi(x;t) \rangle \langle \phi(x;t) \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2} \frac{h}{2k^2 f_k^y(t) f_k(t)}; \quad (6)$$

The mode functions $U_k(t); V_k(t); f_k(t)$ obey [8]

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} U_k(t) + k^2 + m^2(t) U_k(t) = 0; U_k(0) = 1; U_k(0) = i! (k) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} V_k(t) + k^2 + m^2(t) V_k(t) = 0; V_k(0) = 1; V_k(0) = i! (k) \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f_k(t) + k^2 + m^2(t) f_k(t) = 0; f_k(0) = \frac{1}{i! (k) (i! (k) + m^2(0))} \quad (9)$$

$$f_k(0) = i! (k) f_k(0); i!_a(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + m_a^2(0)}; a = ; ; : \quad (10)$$

The mode functions $f_k(t)$ define the spinor solutions of the homogeneous Dirac equation.

From eqs.(7-9), induced amplification of quantum fluctuations becomes evident through the time dependent mass; the mode functions obey harmonic oscillator equations with a time-dependent frequency. If the time dependence were truly periodic this would be parametric amplification through the presence of resonances and forbidden bands [4,5,9]. However, when back reaction is incorporated as in the set of equations above, these time dependent frequencies are not exactly periodic precisely because of the damping effects. This case should be distinguished from parametric amplification and we refer to this case as induced amplification.

The first order quantum correction to the evolution equation is obtained by replacing the classical trajectories for $\phi(t)$ in the mode equations (7-9). However, this will lead to infinite particle production via parametric amplification. In reference [4], the classical evolution for $\phi(t)$ was kept in the mode equations. We, however, will keep the full evolution for $\phi(t)$ thus obtaining a self-consistent one-loop approximation that incorporates the back-reaction of the field into the fluctuations and vice-versa. In effect, this procedure is a non-perturbative resummation of a large set of diagrams self-consistently.

Induced amplification will give rise to damped evolution for $\phi(t)$, whose amplitude diminishes at long times. Thus, incorporating the full evolution of $\phi(t)$ in the mode equations will shut-off particle production at long times as the energy of the scalar field is transferred to the produced particles. This closed system of consistent equations will ensure that particle production will be finite.

Induced amplification will give rise to damped evolution for $\phi(t)$, whose amplitude diminishes at long times. Thus, incorporating the full evolution of $\phi(t)$ in the mode equations will shut-off particle production at long times as the energy of the scalar field is transferred to the produced particles. This closed system of consistent equations will ensure that particle production will be finite.

To obtain the dynamics of the zero mode, we will integrate the equations above numerically, using the initial conditions $\phi(0) = \phi_0$; $\dot{\phi}(0) = 0$. These conditions imply that the modes written above correspond to positive frequency modes for $t < 0$. We have to renormalize the equations to one-loop order absorbing the relevant divergences into mass, wave-function and coupling constant renormalizations [8]. The final form for the zero mode equation is as given by eq.(4) in terms of renormalized couplings and masses and the expectation values have been twice subtracted with the aid of a high momentum cutoff (typically, $100 M_{\text{pl}}$). Such a cutoff is necessary in any case in order to perform the momentum integrals appearing in the expectation values numerically. The results have been checked to insure that there is no cutoff sensitivity in physical quantities. The higher mode equations take the same form as in eqs.(7-9), but in terms of the renormalized parameters [6,8].

We now use these equations, written in terms of the dimensionless quantities $\phi(t) = \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}} \bar{\phi}(t)$; $\dot{\phi}(t) = \frac{1}{M_{\text{pl}}} \dot{\bar{\phi}}(t)$, to analyze the dynamics of the zero mode as well as to compute the number of ϕ quanta produced by induced amplification [6,8]. iii) Unbroken symmetry case: a) $y = 0$: Figure 1 shows $\langle \bar{\phi}^2 \rangle$ vs. t for $\phi_0^2 = 0.2$; $g = 0$; $M = 0.2 M_{\text{pl}}$; $\phi(0) = 1.0$; $\dot{\phi}(0) = 0$. The amplitude damps out dramatically within a few periods of oscillation. The asymptotic behavior corresponds to small undamped oscillations. This is to be contrasted with the linear relaxation case, in which the two-loop self-energy leads to undamped asymptotic oscillations and a power law behavior at long times. We also find that the number of particles in a volume M^{-3} , as a function of wave number k , is peaked at $k \approx M$. Induced amplification is much more effective than one-particle decay in producing low momentum particles and drawing energy from the background field, thus damping its evolution. One-particle decay considerations would predict a slow power law tails to a final amplitude that is perturbatively close (notice that the perturbative parameter is $\phi_0^2 = 0.2$) to the initial.

We also find [8] that both the quantum fluctuation $\langle \chi^2(\mathbf{x};t) \rangle$ and the total number of produced particles as a function of time grow rapidly within the time scale in which damping is most dramatic, as can be seen in figure (1), clearly showing that damping is a consequence of induced amplification of fluctuations and particle production. b) $y \neq 0$; $g = 0$: Figure 2 shows $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$ vs t for $M = 0$; $y^2 = 2 = 0.5$; $\epsilon = 6y^2 = 1.0$. Clearly there is some initial damping that shuts off early on. This is in marked contrast with the prediction of the linear relaxation approximation that predicts exponential relaxation with a relaxation time (in the above units) $\tau_{rel} = 0.1$ for the above value of the couplings. We find [8] that the number of particles in a volume M^{-3} as a function of wave number is peaked at $k = M$ with maximum value of 2 given by the exclusion principle. We interpret the lack of damping as a consequence of the Pauli blocking; all the low momentum states are fully occupied. In the initial stages of evolution an excited state quickly ensues and the available fermionic states are occupied blocking any further damping. Both the quantum fluctuation $\langle \chi^2(\mathbf{x};t) \rangle$ and the number of produced particles as a function of time grow very rapidly within the time scale of the initial damping, but then oscillate around a constant value at the time when the damping effects have shut off [8]. iv) Spontaneously broken symmetry case: $y = 0$. Figure 3 shows the time evolution of $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$ vs t for $\epsilon = 8^2 = 0.2$; $g = 0.05$; $M = 0.2 M_{*}$; $\chi(0) = 0.6$; $\dot{\chi}(0) = 0$. For these values of the parameters, linear relaxation predicts exponential damping with a relaxation time $\tau_{rel} = 360$. This relaxation time is about six to eight times larger than that revealed in figure (3). Furthermore, this figure clearly shows that the relaxation is not exponential. The asymptotic value of $\langle \chi^2 \rangle$ oscillates around the minimum of the effective action which, as observed in the figure, has been displaced by a large amount from the tree level value $\chi = 1$. We notice numerically a slow damping in this asymptotic behavior, which could be related to the linear relaxation time, though we were unable to establish this numerically. We find [8] that the number of produced particles in a volume M^{-3} is peaked at low wave number with a fairly large contribution within the region $k = M$. As a function of time the quantum fluctuation $\langle \chi^2(\mathbf{x};t) \rangle$ grows rapidly within the time scale in which damping is most pronounced and the same behavior is observed for the number of particles created as a function of time, thus leading to the conclusion that the rapid non-linear relaxation is clearly a result of particle production and the growth of quantum fluctuations. In all cases we find the total density of produced particles to be $N = N M^{-3}$; $N = O(1)$.

Conclusions: We have learned that induced amplification of quantum fluctuations in the non-linear regime is a highly efficient mechanism for damping the evolution of the in-aton zero mode as well as for creating particles. The typical time scales associated with this damping mechanism are much shorter than the time scales associated with single particle decays. This leads us to conclude that there may be a wide separation between the time scales of induced particle production and that of thermalization of these produced particles via collisional relaxation, since this latter scale is typically related to perturbative couplings and thus fairly large. Single particle decay will be operative on the same time scale as collisional thermalization.

The reheating temperature, however, will be determined by the total number of particles produced. Typically, $T_{reh} = N^{1/3}$. Similarly, the total entropy produced will depend on the number of produced particles. Assuming that the process of single particle decay produces far fewer particles than induced amplification and that a thermalized state ensues

from collisional relaxation, one can estimate the final reheating temperature by evolving the equations above and following the evolution of the number of particles. Clearly this number will depend on the particular details such as coupling, masses but not in the perturbatively obvious manner. Assuming that the produced particles thermalize via collisions in the cases that we studied we typically obtain reheating temperatures $T_{\text{reh}} \sim M$ [8] which is about two orders of magnitude less than the estimate from the elementary theory of reheating [1]. However, it is not clear how long a distribution that is so different from thermal will take to thermalize. In an expanding universe, this time lag will serve to dilute the energy density of the produced particles. Ultimately, a realistic calculation will involve the numerical evolution of the self-consistent equations. Furthermore our study will have to be extended to an FRW cosmology since the expansion of the universe can lead to many effects not accounted for here. This work is in progress.

Acknowledgements: D.B., H.J. de V. and R.H. would like to thank Andrei Linde for fruitful discussions. D.B. and D.-S.L. thank F. Cooper and R. Willey for stimulating conversations, D.-S.L. thanks Bei Lok Hu for illuminating conversations and thank N.S.F. for partial support through Grant # : PHY-9302534, INT-9216755. R.H. and A.S. were partially supported by U.S.D.O.E. under contract DE-FG 02-91-ER 40682.

REFERENCES

- [1] A .D .Linde, Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology, (Harwood, Chur, Switzerland, 1990), "Lectures on Inflationary Cosmology" hep-th/9410082.
- [2] A .D .Dolgov and A .D .Linde, Phys. Lett. 116B , 329 (1982); L.F .Abbott, E .Farhi and M .B .Wise, Phys. Lett. 117 B , 29 (1982)
- [3] A .D .Dolgov and D .P .Kirilova, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 51, 273 (1990); J. Traschen and R . Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2491 (1990) .
- [4] L .Kofman, A .Linde and A .A .Starobinsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3195 (1994) .
- [5] "Universe Reheating after Inflation" by Y .Shtanov, J. Traschen and R .Brandenberger, Brown University preprint BROWN-HET-957, hep-ph/9407247.
- [6] D .Boyanovsky, H .J. de Vega, R .Holman, D .S. Lee and A .Singh, Phys. Rev. D 51, 4419 (1995). D .Boyanovsky, H .J. de Vega and R .Holman , Phys. Rev. D 49, 2769 (1994) .
- [7] A .D .Linde, Phys. Lett. 129B , 177 (1983) .
- [8] D .Boyanovsky, M .D Attanasio, H .J. de Vega, R .Holman, D .S. Lee and A .S. Singh, in preparation.
- [9] L .D .Landau and E .M .Lifshits, Mechanics, Pergamon Press, London, 1958.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: Unbroken symmetry case: $y = 0$; $= 8^{-2} = 0.2$; $g =$; $M = 0.2M$; $(0) = 1.0$; $_{-}(0) = 0$.

Fig. 2: Unbroken symmetry case: $g = 0$; $M = 0$; $y^2 = 0.5$; $= 6y^2 = 1.0$; $(0) = 1.0$; $_{-}(0) = 0$.

Fig. 3: Broken symmetry case: $y = 0$; $= 8^{-2} = 0.2$; $g = 0.05$; $M = 0.2M$; $(0) = 0.6$; $_{-}(0) = 0$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9505220v1>

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9505220v1>

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9505220v1>