ACCELERATOR, REACTOR AND ATMOSPHERIC NEUTRINO DATA: A THREE FLAVOUR OSCILLATION ANALYSIS

Srubabati Goswami^a, Kamales Kar^b, Amitava Raychaudhuri^a

 a D epartm ent of P ure P hysics,

University of Calcutta,

92 Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road,

Calcutta 700 009, IND IA .

^b Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,

1/AF, Bidhannagar

Calcutta 700 064, IND IA.

PACS.Nos.: 14.60 Pq, 14.60 Lm, 96.40.Tv

ABSTRACT

We perform a three avour analysis of the atm ospheric, accelerator and reactor neutrino data from the K am iokande, LSND and Bugey experiments respectively. Choosing the values of m² obtained from two avour ts of the rst two experiments, the allowed ranges of the three generation mixing angles are determined. The accelerator experiments CHORUS and NOMAD are found to be most sensitive to regions of the allowed parameter space which correspond to genuine three generation solutions for the atm ospheric neutrino anomaly.

M ay 24, 1995

In the standard model of electroweak theory the neutrinos are assumed to be massless. But there is no compelling theoretical reason behind this assumption. If the neutrinos are massive then, as in the quark sector, the weak interaction basis of neutrinos may be dierent from the mass eigenstate basis { leading to mixing between di erent avours. A way for probing such m ixing and sm all neutrino m asses is provided by neutrino oscillations. Two well known neutrino puzzles that can be explained by avour oscillation of neutrinos are the solar neutrino problem and the atm ospheric neutrino anom aly. The recent declaration by the Liquid Scintillator Neutrino D etector (LSND) collaboration [1] that they are observing an excess of es (over the expected background) which can be attributed to _____e oscillations has added a new dimension to the issue of neutrino mass and mixing. LSND is most sensitive to m 2 6eV² [2] and the signi cance of this result for particle physics, astrophysics and cosm ology has been investigated [3, 4]. One notes that the three phenom ena mentioned above { namely, the solar neutrino problem, the atm ospheric neutrino anomaly and the e oscillations observed by the LSND group { require vastly di erent m ass ranges. The solar neutrino problem can be explained either by M ikheyev-Sm imov-W olfenstein oscillation [5] for m² 6 10 6 eV² and sin² 2 7 10 3 (non-adiabatic solution) and m² 9 10 6 eV² and sin² 2 0.6 (large mixing angle solution) [6] or by oscillation in vacuum for m 2 (0:45 1:2) 10 10 eV 2 and sin 2 2 (0:6 1:0) [7] in a two generation scenario. The atm ospheric anom aly can be explained by either oscillations in a two generation picture. The analysis of the new multi-GeV or data as well as the previous sub-G eV data of the K am iokande collaboration predicts the following best-t parameters (m²; $\sin^2 2$) = (1.8 10² eV²; 1.0) for oscillation and (1.6 10^{2}eV^{2} ;1:0) for oscillation [8].

A lthough each experiment can be explained by two avour neutrino oscillations, there are several motivations to go beyond this approximation. The LEP result that there are three light neutrinos is also supported by the requirements of nucleosynthesis in the early universe. In the quark sector, mixing between three generations is well

established. A natural question then is how do experiments constrain three neutrino mixing? We stress that in a realistic three avour framework it is important to do a combined analysis to nd out the allowed range of parameters rather than using separate two avour schemes. In particular, this might uncover regions in the parameter space sensitive to the presence of the third generation which cannot be probed in the two avour limit.

In this paper we perform a three avour analysis of the atm ospheric and LSND data assuming that the presently reported values will not change signi cantly as more results accumulate. The constraints obtained from the reactor experiments are also incorporated. We take the m 2 s as: $_{12}$ ' $_{13}$ = 6eV 2 in the LSND range and $_{23}$ = 10 2 eV 2 as preferred by the atm ospheric neutrino data. The ' sign means we neglect 10 2 as compared to 6. It will become clear as we proceed that most of our analysis does not depend on this speci c choice as long as the order of m agnitude remains the same. The three mixing angles are allowed to cover the whole range from 0 to /2. For atm ospheric neutrinos, we nd, in addition to the two avour results, genuine three generation solutions where both oscillation channels _ and simultaneously contribute. The implications of the allowed areas thus obtained for the accelerator experim ents CHORUS and NOMAD searching for osillations are also discussed. Such an analysis for constraining them ixing angles has been perform ed in [9] under the approximation of an elective two avour interpretation of the atm opheric neutrino problem either in the oscillation mode, instead of a full ₋ or three avour investigation. A detailed analysis combining the accelerator, reactor, solar and atm ospheric neutrino data had been carried out earlier (pre-LSND) [10] taking a di erent spectrum for m 2 and assuming the mixing angles to be less than /4.

The measurement of atm ospheric neutrino uxes is being carried out by the following groups { Kamiokande, IMB, Frejus, Nusex and Soudan2. So far, data of most statistical signi cance have been collected by the Kamiokande and the IMB collaborations. To reduce the uncertainty in the absolute ux values the usual practice is to

present the ratio of ratios R which is de ned as,

$$R = \frac{(+-)=(+-)_{obsvd}}{(+-)=(+-)_{ebsvd}}$$
(1)

where M C denotes the M onte-C arlo simulated ratio. For neutrinos of energy less than

1 GeV, $\mathbb{M} \text{ B}$ nds $\mathbb{R} = 0.54$ 0.05 0.12 [11] in agreement with the K am iokande data $\mathbb{R} = 0.60^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$ 0.05 in this energy range [8, 12]. Recently the K am iokande collaboration has published the results of the mesurement of the ux ratio in the multi-GeV energy range [8]. They found $\mathbb{R} = 0.57^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$ 0.07 in good agreement with the sub-GeV value. All these data show that R is smaller than the expected value of unity, a result that might be explained by neutrino avour oscillation [13]. A nother aspect of this measurement that can independently point towards neutrino oscillation is the dependence of R on the zenith-angle. The multi-GeV K am iokande data reveals a dependence on the zenith-angle unlike the sub-GeV data, though the statistical signi cance of this result has been questioned [14]. For the purpose of this paper we use the sub-GeV K am iokande results.

The LSND group searches for ! = 0 oscillations using = 0 appearance. The = 0 produce neutrons via the reaction = 0 ! = 0 n which in turn are captured by protons producing a 2.2 M eV . An excess of beam -on events with a of the above energy in time and space coincidence with an electron in the energy range 36 M eV $< E_e < 60$ M eV is considered as a signal for = 0.000. The mean source-detector distance is 30 m etres. The initial LSND data reports an excess of $16.4^{+9.7}_{-8.9}$ 3.3 events over the estimated background which, if interpreted in terms of neutrino oscillations, corresponds to a probability $P = -e_e$ of $(0.34^{+0.20}_{-0.18} - 0.07)$ %.

O ther appearance experiments searching for -! -e oscillations are KARMEN at the ISIS spallation neutron facility [15] and the BNL-E776 [16]. These experiments are consistent with no neutrino oscillation. KARMEN has so far quoted an upper limit on the oscillation probability as P - -e 3:1 10⁻³ (90% C L.) wheareas from the two avour exclusion areas presented by BNL one gets P - -e 1:5 10⁻³ (90% C L.). In

ref. [1] the LSND group has shown that some of the areas allowed by them in a two avour analysis are disfavoured by KARMEN and BNL-E776. In this paper we con ne ourselves to the LSND data for constraining the paremeters.

The general expression for the probability that an initial of energy E gets converted to a after travelling a distance L in vacuum is

$$P = 4 _{j>i} U_{i} U_{j} U_{j} \sin^{2} \left(\frac{L}{ij}\right)$$
(2)

where $_{ij} = 2.47m$ (E =M eV) (eV²= $_{ij}$), $_{ij} = m_j^2 m_i^2$. The actual forms of the various survival and transition probabilities depend on the spectrum of m² assumed and the choice of the mixing matrix U relating the avour eigenstates to the mass eigenstates. The most suitable parametrisation of U for the mass spectrum chosen by us is U = $R_{13}R_{12}R_{23}$ where R_{ij} denotes the rotation matrix in the ij-plane. This yields:

where $c_{ij} = \cos_{ij}$ and $s_{ij} = \sin_{ij}$. We have assumed CP-invariance so that U is real. The above choice of U has the advantage that $_{23}$ does not appear in the expressions for the probability for LSND and Bugey. We now turn to the implications of the above m ixing matrix and the chosen mass ranges on the various probabilities. (i) LSND

In order to see the impact of three neutrino generations, we rst note that for the energy and length scales relevant for LSND $_{23} >> L$ and the term involving sin² (L= $_{23}$) ! 0. Further, $_{13}$ ' $_{12}$ and (2) simplifies to

$$P - -_{e} = 4c_{12}^{2}s_{12}^{2}c_{13}^{2}\sin^{2}(L = _{12})$$
(4)

(ii) Bugey

For Bugey, the neutrino energy ranges from 2.8 - 7.8 MeV whereas L is typically 40 m etres. Then $_{23} >> L$, so that $\sin^2(L = _{23}) ! 0$. On the other hand $_{12} = _{13} << L$ so that $\sin^2(L = _{12})$ and $\sin^2(L = _{13})$ average out to 1/2. Then the relevant probability is

(iii) Atm ospheric neutrinos

In a three avour mixing scheme (1) is given in terms of the neutrino transition and survival probabilities as

$$R = \frac{P + r_{M C} P}{P_{e e} + \frac{1}{r_{M C}} P_{e}}$$
(6)

where $r_{MC} = (e + e) = (e + e)$ as obtained from a M onte-C arlo simulation. Notice that for neutrinos in the energy range (0.1 { 1) G eV travelling through a distance ranging from (10 { 10⁴) km, $_{12} = _{13} << L$ and $\sin^2(L = _{12})$ and $\sin^2(L = _{13})$ can be replaced by their average value 1/2. Taking this into account, the probabilities appearing in (6) can be expressed as

$$P_{ee} = 1 \quad 2c_{13}^{2}c_{12}^{2} + 2c_{13}^{4}c_{12}^{4} \quad 4(c_{13}s_{12}c_{23} \quad s_{13}s_{23})^{2}(c_{13}s_{12}s_{23} + s_{13}c_{23})^{2}\sin^{2}(L = 23)$$
(7)

$$P_{e} = 2c_{13}^{2}c_{12}^{2}s_{12}^{2} + 4c_{12}^{2}c_{23}s_{23}(c_{13}s_{12}c_{23} - s_{13}s_{23})(c_{13}s_{12}s_{23} + s_{13}c_{23})s_{13}in^{2}(L = 23)$$
(8)

$$P = 1 \quad 2c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2 \quad 4c_{12}^4 c_{23}^2 s_{23}^2 \sin^2 (L = 23)$$
(9)

The results of the combined analysis of the above three experiments are presented in gs. 1 and 2 in the large s_{13}^2 and sm all s_{13}^2 limits respectively. It is su cient to consider these limits as the allowed values of s_{13}^2 are connect in these ranges. A seen in (4), the parametrisation chosen for the mixing matrix U ensures that the probability relevant for LSND is independent of the mixing angle s_{23} . From the value of P - - quoted by the LSND group [1] one cannot the allowed area in the $s_{12}^2 - s_{13}^2$ parameter space for xed values of the ratio $m^2L=E$. The following constraints are found: for s_{12} very small (0) or very large (1), s_{13} ranges from 0 $s_{13} < 1$ while for intermediate values of s_{12} , only very large s_{13} values are allowed. This is between the solid lines in g. 1 (2) for large (small) values of s_{13} , in the limit of \sin^2 ($L = _{12}$) 1. From eq. (5) the probability for Bugey is also a function of the same mixing angles s_{12} and s_{13} only, so that, using their result one can further rule out a portion of the parameter space { namely, intermediate $s_{13} > 0.97$ (g. 1) or $s_{13}^2 < 0.026$ (g. 2). In the other regions of the parameter space the LSND data puts more severe constraints than Bugey.

O ur approach next is to determ ine how much of the combined allowed area from LSND and Bugey is consistent with the atm ospheric data for xed values of s_{23} . The sub-G eV K am iokande data in plies

$$0.48 \quad R \quad 0.73 \quad (90\% C \text{ L :})$$
 (10)

In posing this constraint, one nds that the allowed parameter space (shown shaded in gs. 1 and 2) depends on the chosen s_{23}^2 . In general there are three regions: (i) The large $s_{13}^2 \ge 0.97$) - sm all $s_{12}^2 < 0.1$) region shown in g. 1. In this lim it it is the $_{e}$ oscillation that dom inates. Considering the lim iting case of s_{12} ! 0 and s_{13} ! 1, the relevant probabilities assume the form s:

 P_{ee} ' 1 $2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$, P_{e} ' $2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$, P ' 1 $2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$

From these expressions it is clear that in this lim it P ' 0. (ii) The large s_{13}^2 and intermediate s_{12}^2 zone also shown in g. 1. To understand the transitions in this range we exam ine the various probabilities in the lim it s_{13}^2 ! 1. In this lim it eqs. (7) - (9) become

 P_{e} ' 1 $2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$, P_{e} ' $2c_{12}^2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$, P_{e} ' 1 $2c_{12}^2s_{12}^2$ $2c_{12}^4c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$

This is the region where the depletion can be due to both the channels simultaneously excepting in the special case of s_{23} ! 0 when this reduces to solely oscillation. From g. 1 one also notices that irrespective of the choice of s_{23} , large values of s_{12}^2 around (0.85-1) are disfavoured by the atm ospheric data. In this region $_{\rm e}$ conversion is elective.

(iii) The small $s_{12}^2 - s_{13}^2$ zone { $0 < s_{12}^2 < 1.8$ 10³, 0 s_{13}^2 0.01 { a bok at the various survival and transition probabilities reveals that this is a region where the depletion is mainly due to oscillation. This can be easily seen by considering the limiting cases s_{12} , s_{13} ! 0, when eqs. (7) - (9) give P_{ee} ' 1, $P_{e'}$ ' 0, $P_{e'}$ ' 1 $2c_{23}^2s_{23}^2$. Substituting these in (10) one nds $0.162 < s_{23}^2 < 0.838$. There is a sharp cut-o as s_{23}^2 crosses 0.162 and for practically all intermediate values upto 0.838, the whole of the parameter space allowed by LSND and Bugey is consistent with the atm ospheric neutrino data. Thus in this regime we show the allowed region for only one representative s_{23}^2 . We have numerically checked that the allowed region is the sam e as the one presented in g. 2 for all other s_{23}^2 in the above range.

In our analysis we have xed $_{12}$ ' $_{13}$ at 6eV², where LSND is most sensitive and sin² (L= $_{12}$)! 1, maxim ising the oscillatory term . As discussed in [4] it remains to be seen what best-tvalue, consistent with KARMEN and BNL-E776, emerges when more data is accumulated. Our results remain unchanged as long as it is permissible to use the above limit.

For the atm ospheric neutrino case we approximate the \sin^2 (L= $_{23}$) factor by its averaged value 0.5 as is often done in the context of the sub-GeV data [18, 19, 20]. This approximation can be improved by an averaging over the incident neutrino energy spectrum, the zenith-angle of the beam as well as the nallepton energy [18, 10]. r_{MC} is taken to be 0.45 from a detailed M onte-C arlo simulation including the elects of

muon polarisation [21].

Finally let us discuss the implications of the parameter space allowed by the K am iokande atm ospheric neutrino, LSND and Bugey data for the oscillation search at CHORUS [22] and NOMAD [23]. These experiments use the beam from the CERN SPS with the mean energy 30 GeV and the approximate source-detector distance is 0.8 km so that $_{23} >> L$ and

$$P = 4c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 \sin^2 (L = 13)$$
(11)

W ith the CERN SPS designed to deliver 2.4 10^{19} protons, CHORUS and NOMAD are sensitive to a m in imum oscillation probability of 10⁴. For $_{12} = _{13}$ in the LSND range of 6eV², sin² (L= $_{13}$) 0:04, whence (11) is P ' 0:16c²₁₂s²₁₂s²₁₃. Then for the three allowed regions in the s²₁₂ s²₁₃ plane one gets:

(i) In the large s_{13}^2 , small s_{12}^2 zone P can be slightly greater than 10⁴ being marginally within the reach of these experiments. This is the $_{e}$ oscillation zone for atm ospheric neutrinos.

(ii) For large s_{13}^2 and interm ediate values of s_{12}^2 , P is 10², which is well within the reach of CHORUS and NOMAD. Recall that this is the genuine three generation oscillation regime for atm ospheric neutrinos where both and _e modes are operative, excepting for the special case of s_{23} ' 0 for which it is just .

(iii) In the lim it of both s_{12}^2 ; s_{13}^2 sm all, P is very sm all and this regime, where the atm ospheric anom aly is due to oscillation, cannot be probed by CHORUS and NOMAD.

For the chosen values of the mass-di erences a simultaneous solution to the solar neutrino problem is unobtainable unless one invokes a sterile neutrino. W ork is in progress in this direction [24].

In conclusion, we have obtained the mixing angles compatible with atm ospheric, LSND and reactor experiments (in particular Bugey) in the context of three avour mixing. Keeping m² xed at the best t values obtained from two generation analyses

of the LSND and atm ospheric data, we nd three regions of parameter space that can account for all three experiments simultaneously. Our results dier from an analysis presented in [9] in that we nd the mixing angles to be less restricted. Our method, which takes into account the possibility that the depletion of the atm ospheric neutrinos can be simultaneously due to e and oscillations, is more general and includes the constraints obtained in [9] as a special case. A direct comparison of the values obtained for the mixing angles is, how ever, not proper because the de nitions of the mixing matrices are dierent. The sensitivity of the accelerator based neutrino oscillation experiments at CERN, CHORUS and NOMAD, is dierent in the three allowed zones and thus they can distinguish between these sectors of the parameter space. We nd that CHORUS and NOMAD are most sensitive to that part of the combined allowed area where the atm ospheric neutrino anom ally is due to and

 $_{\rm e}$ oscillation m odes simultaneously.

S.G. is supported by the Council of Scienti c and Industrial Research, India while A.R. has been supported in part by the Department of Science and Technology and Council of Scienti c and Industrial Research, India. We thank S.M ohanty for sending us some of the useful literature.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: The 90 % C L. allowed region in the s_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 plane from LSND is between the solid lines, that from Bugey is above the dashed line while the combined allowed area including the K am iokande sub-G eV data is shown shaded.

Figure 2: Same as in g. 1 excepting the region allowed from Bugey is below the dashed line.

References

- W. C. Louis, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 38, 229 (1995); C. Athanassopoulos et al, nuclex/9504002 (1995).
- [2] D.O. Caldwell, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 38, 375 (1995).
- [3] J.R. Prim ack et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2160 (1995); G.M. Fuller, J.R. Prim ack and Y.Z.Q ian, astro-ph/9502081; D.O. Caldwell and R.N. Mohapatra, Preprint No.UCSB-HEP-95-1, hep-ph/9503316.
- [4] G.Ra elt and J.Silk, hep-th/9502306.
- [5] L.W olfenstein Phys. Rev. D 34 969 (1986); S.P.M ikheyev and A.Yu. Sm imov, Sov. J. Nucl Phys. 42 (6) 913 (1985); Nuovo C im ento 9c 17 (1986).
- [6] N.Hata and P.Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 50, 632 (1994).
- [7] N.Hata, Univ. of Pennsylvania Preprint No. UPR-0605T, 1994.
- [8] Y.Fukuda et al, Phys. Lett. B 335, 237 (1994).
- [9] H.M inakata, Preprint No.TMUP-HEL-9502, M arch 15, 1995.
- [10] G L. Fogli, E. Lisi and D. Montanio, Phys. Rev. D 49, 3626 (1994).
- [11] D.Casper et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2561 (1991); R.Becker-Szendy et al., Phys. Rev. D 46, 3720 (1992).
- [12] K.S.Hirata et al, Phys. Lett. B 280, 146 (1992).
- [13] The usage of the Yatio of ratios' R as a valid indicator of the neutrino anom aly has been recently critically exam ined. see G L. Fogli and E. Lisi, Institute for A dvanced Study report IA SSN S-A ST 95/21 (unpublished).

- [14] D.Saltzberg, Report no.hep-ph-9504343 (unpublished).
- [15] B.Seligm ann in Proc. of the XXV II Int. Conf. on H igh Energy Physics (G lasgow),
 Eds. P.J. Bussey and I.G. K now les, Inst. of Phys. Publising, Bristol, (1995) p683;
 G.Drexlin, Prog. in Part. and Nucl. Phys., 32, 375 (1994); B.Arm bruster et al.
 (KARM EN Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 38, 235 (1995).
- [16] L.Borodovsky et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 274 (1992).
- [17] B.Achkar et al, Nucl. Phys. B 434, 503 (1995).
- [18] V.Barger and K.W hisnant, Phys. Lett. B 209, 365 (1988).
- [19] A.Acker, J.G. Learned, S.Pakvasa and T.J.W eiler, Phys. Lett. B 298, 149 (1993).
- [20] A. Acker, A.B. Balantekin and F. Loreti, Preprint No. Mad/NT/93-07, MAD/PH/# 774, July (1993).
- [21] G.Barr, T.K.Gaisser and T.Stanev, Phys. Rev D 39 3532 (1989); T.K.Gaisser,
 T.Stanev and G.Barr, ibid D 38, 85 (1988).
- [22] M. de Jong et al, CERN-PPE/93-131 (1993); N. Armenise et al, CERN-SPSC/90-42 (1990).
- [23] P.A stier et al, CERN-SPSLC/91-21, CERN-SPSLC/91-48, CERN-SPSLC/P261 Add 1 (1991).
- [24] S.Goswami, in preparation.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9505395v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9505395v1