Signatures of anom alous couplings in boson pair production through collisions¹

G J. Gounaris^a, J.Layssac^b and F.M. Renard^b

^aD epartm ent of Theoretical Physics, University of Thessaloniki, G r-54006, Thessaloniki, G recce,

^bP hysique M athem atique et Theorique, CNRS-URA 768, U niversite de M ontpellier II, F-34095 M ontpellier C edex 5.

A bstract

We discuss possible New Physics (NP) e ects on the processes $! W^+W, ZZ, Z, ,$, H H which are observable in collisions. Such collisions may be achieved through laser backscattering at a high energy e⁺e linear collider. To the extent that no new particles will be directly produced in the future colliders, it has already been emphasized that the new physics possibly hidden in the bosonic interactions, may be represented by the seven dim = 6 operators $O_W, O_B, O_W, O_{UB}, O_{UW}, \overline{O}_{UB}$ and \overline{O}_{UW} (the last two ones being CP-violating). In this paper, we show that the above processes are sensitive to NP scales at the several TeV range, and we subsequently discuss the possibility to disentangle the e ects of the various operators.

¹Partially supported by the EC contract CHRX-CT 94-0579.

1 Introduction

The search form anifestations of New Physics (NP) is part of the program of future high energy colliders [1, 2]. As discussed in previous papers [3, 4, 5], if no new particles are produced in the future colliders, these NP manifestations may appear only as anom alous interactions among the particles already present in SM. In this paper we restrict to the study of the purely bosonic part of such form s of NP [3, 4, 6]. Within a fram ework like this, NP e ects have been searched for by using the high precision measurements obtained at LEP1 [7]. High energy e^+e^- linear colliders will o erm any more possibilities though, to test the sector of the gauge boson and Higgs interactions with a high accuracy. The most famous process is $e^+e^-! W^+W^-$ [8]. This has been carefully studied and it has been shown that indeed the 3-gauge boson vertices W⁺W and ZW⁺W can be very accurately constrained through it [9, 10].

Another type of processes accessible at a linear collider is boson-boson scattering [11]. Such processes are even more interesting, since they are sensitive not only to the 3-gauge boson vertices, but also to 4-gauge boson [12, 13, 14] as well as to H iggs couplings [15]. Thus the scalar (H iggs) sector, which is presently the most mysterious part of the electroweak interactions, may be tested in a much deeper way using the collisions o ered by the laser backscattering method [16].

In a collider, the ve boson pair production processes available to be studied are ! W + W , ZZ, Z, and H H (assuming that the physical Higgs particle exists and it is not very heavy). The purpose of the present work is to show that the study of the p_T distribution of one of the nalbosons provides a very sensitive test of the various possible form s of NP. At present we have aside the process ! H, which indeed provides a very powerful way to study the anom abus couplings of the Higgs particle. This single Higgs production process has been inst considered in [15] and is thoroughly trated in the companion paper [17]. In the present paper we concentrate on the boson pair production due to both gauge and Higgs boson exchanges. The speci c illustrations we give assume a Higgs mass in the 100 G eV region.

A ssum ing that the NP scale $_{NP}$ is su ciently large, the elective NP Lagrangian is satisfactorily described for our purposes in terms of dim = 6 bosonic operators only [18,4]. There exist only seven SU (2) U (1) gauge invariant such operators called "blind", which are not strongly constrained by existing LEP1 experiments [3, 4, 6]. Three of them

$$O_{W} = \frac{1}{3!} \dot{W} \quad \dot{W} \quad \dot{W} \quad ;$$
 (1)

$$O_{W} = i(D)^{\gamma!} \dot{W} (D);$$
 (2)

$$O_{B} = i(D)^{y}B(D);$$
 (3)

induce anom abus triple gauge boson couplings, while the rem aining four²

$$O_{UW} = \frac{1}{v^2} (\frac{v}{2}, \frac{v^2}{2}) \dot{W} \dot{W};$$
 (4)

$$O_{UB} = \frac{4}{v^2} (\frac{y}{2} - \frac{v^2}{2}) B - B ;$$
 (5)

$$\overline{O}_{UW} = \frac{1}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} Y \end{pmatrix} \dot{W} \quad \dot{W} \quad ; \qquad (6)$$

$$\overline{O}_{UB} = \frac{4}{v^2} \left(\begin{array}{c} y \end{array} \right) B \quad B^2$$
(7)

create anom alous CP conserving and CP violating Higgs couplings. These later four operators constitute a dedicated probe of the scalar sector. Ideas on how these operators could be generated in various NP dynam ical scenarios have been discussed in [6, 19]. The e ective Lagrangian describing the NP induced by these operators is given by

$$L_{NP} = \frac{g}{M_{W}^{2}}O_{W} + \frac{f_{B}g0}{2M_{W}^{2}}O_{B} + \frac{f_{W}g}{2M_{W}^{2}}O_{W} + dO_{W} + \frac{d_{B}}{4}O_{UB} + \overline{dO}_{W} + \frac{\overline{d}_{B}}{4}\overline{O}_{UB} ; \qquad (8)$$

which fully de nes the various couplings. Quantitative relations between these couplings and the corresponding NP scales have been established on the basis of the unitarity constraints [20, 21, 6].

Below, we rst write the amplitudes for the veprocesses mentioned above, in terms of the seven couplings associated to the operators considered. We then discuss procedures towards disentangling the elects of these operators. This allows us to express the observability limits for the various forms of new physics, in terms of the related NP scales. We

nd that this can be achieved to a large extent by just using only the aforem entioned p_T distribution. Only for the disentangling of the CP violating operators it is necessary to augment this simple analysis by also looking at the density matrix of the nalW or Z bosons.

In Sect. 2 we recall the form ulation of collisions through the laser backscattering method and give the expressions for the lum inosities and the invariant mass and p_T distributions. These distributions are expressed in terms of helicity amplitudes most of which are computed in [22, 15, 21]. In Appendix A we just give the analytic expressions for their NP contributions in the high energy limit. Sect. 3 contains an overview of the characteristics of each of the versions sensitivity to each operator is discussed in Sect. 4 and the possible ways to disentangle them in Sect. 5. Concluding words are given in Sect. 6.

 $^{^2}$ In the de nition of O $_{\rm U\,W}\,$ and O $_{\rm U\,B}\,$ we have subtracted a trivial contribution to the W $\,$ and B $\,$ kinetic energy respectively.

2 Laser induced collisions

The boson pair production processes that we shall consider here are $! W^+W$, ! ZZ, ! Z, !, and also ! HH.

In laser induced collisions at e^+e^- colliders, with unpolarized e^- and laser beam s, photon uxes are given in term s of the photon distribution

$$f_{=e}^{\text{laser}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{D(\mathbf{x})} \quad 1 \quad \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{1\mathbf{x}} \quad \frac{4\mathbf{x}}{(1\mathbf{x})} + \frac{4\mathbf{x}^2}{(1\mathbf{x})^2} \quad ; \qquad (9)$$

where x is the fraction of the incident e energy carried by the backscattered photon, and

L

D () = 1
$$\frac{4}{2}$$
 $\frac{8}{2}$ Ln (1 +) + $\frac{1}{2}$ + $\frac{8}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2(1 +)^2}$; (10)

with = $2(1 + \frac{p}{2})$ ' 4:8, $x_{max} = \frac{1}{1+}$ ' 0:82 [23, 24]. The invariant m ass distribution is obtained as

$$\frac{d}{dy} = \frac{dL}{dy} \quad (s) ; \qquad (11)$$

where

$$\frac{dL}{dy} = 2y \frac{\sum_{x_{max}} x_{max}}{\sum_{x_{max}} x} \frac{dx}{x} f_{=e}^{laser}(x) f_{=e}^{laser} \frac{1}{x} ; \qquad (12)$$

and

$$y = \frac{s}{s_{ee}}$$
(13)

is the ratio of the cm. energy to the e⁺e one satisfying

 $y < y_{max} \qquad x_{max} ' 0.82$: (14)

The correspondingly expected number of events per year is

$$\frac{dN}{dy} = L_{ee} \frac{d}{dy} ; \qquad (15)$$

where L_{ee} is the integrated e^+e^- annual lum inosity taken to be 20;80;320fb⁻¹year⁻¹ for a 0.5, 1. or 2. TeV collider respectively.

Of course, forward boson production should be cut-o in a realistic situation, since these events are inevitably lost along the beam pipe. An e cient way of doing this is by looking at the transverse momentum distribution of one of the nalbosons B₃ and B₄ and cutting-o the small p_T values. For a xed invariant mass of the nalboson pair, this is given by

$$\frac{d}{dp_{T} dy} = \frac{yp_{T}}{8 \text{ s jj}} \int_{\frac{x_{max}}{x_{max}}}^{2 x_{max}} dx f_{=e}^{\text{laser}} (x) f_{=e}^{\text{laser}} - \frac{1}{x} F (! B_{3}B_{4}f); \quad (16)$$

where

$$jj = \frac{1^{q}}{2} \frac{1}{s} (s + 4(p_{T}^{2} + m^{2})) ; \qquad (17)$$

$$p_T^2 < \frac{s}{4} m^2$$
; (18)

and F ($! B_3B_4$) is the invariant amplitude of the subprocess. Integrating over the invariant m ass of the B_3B_4 pair we get

$$\frac{d}{dp_{T}} = \int_{y_{0}}^{Z} \frac{d}{dp_{T} dy} ; \qquad (19)$$

with

$$y_0 \qquad \frac{q \frac{s}{s} m^2}{p \frac{s}{s_{ee}}} : \qquad (20)$$

This $\frac{d}{dp_T}$ distribution provides a very useful way for searching for NP, since it not only takes care of the events lost along the beam pipe, but also because its m easurem ent does not require full reconstruction of both nalbosons. For the illustrations below we choose $p_T > p_T^{m \text{ in}} = 0.1T \text{ eV}=c.$

3 Description of the Boson Pair Production Processes

In this section we sum marize the properties of each of the ve channels and the way they react to the residual NP lagrangian.

a) ! W + W

The SM contribution consists of W exchange diagram s in the t and u channels involving the W W vertex and the W W contact term. There is no Higgs exchange at tree level. The W ⁺W production rate is copious and the p_T distributions are given in Figs. (1a,b-4a,b). The NP contributions are induced by the anom abus W W and W W couplings in the case of the operators O_{W} , O_{B} , and O_{W} , and by the anom abus H vertex induced by any of O_{UW} , O_{UB} , \overline{O}_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} . The SM H W W coupling is also modiled by these later four operators.

b) ! ZZ

There is no SM contribution at tree level. The 1-loop contribution is estimated to be about 100 times smaller than the tree level contribution to W^+W^- [25], so that

! ZZ is extremely sensitive to genuine NP e ects. There exist no neutral pure gauge anom alous couplings contributing to this process; (they would only appear if higher dimensional operators were considered). Thus, the NP contribution comes from Higgs exchange diagrams in the s,t, u channels induced by anom alous H ;H Z and H ZZ couplings. They are generated by the six operators $O_{\rm B}$, $O_{\rm W}$, $O_{\rm UB}$, $O_{\rm UW}$, $\overline{O}_{\rm UB}$ and $\overline{O}_{\rm UW}$.

c) ! and Z

For these processes also there is no SM contribution at the tree level. NP is only generated by Higgs exchange diagram s due to the four operators O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} , \overline{O}_{UW} . No SM couplings can appear here. All vertices must be anom alous and at least one must be H . Thus the other NP operators O_W , O_B nd O_W give no contribution at the tree level.

d) ! H H

Here also there is no SM contribution at the tree level. NP is generated by and Z exchange diagrams in the t and u channels, and H iggs exchange in the s-channel, due to anom alous H and H Z couplings generated by the dim = 6 operators O_B, O_W, O_{UB}, O_{UB}, O_{UB} and \overline{O}_{UW} . It is also worth remarking that the Higgs exchange diagram involves also the SM H H H vertex.

4 Sensitivity to the various operators

We now discuss the elect of each of the seven operators treated one by one.

a) The operator O_W plays a special role because it does not involve scalar elds. It only a ects W^+W production through terms linear and quadratic in $_W$. The e ects of O_W on the various processes are given in Figs. 1a,b for e^+e energies of 0.5 and 1: TeV. The sensitivity (already studied in [26]) is given in Table 1.

b) The operators O_B and O_W are indistinguishable through these processes; (see Fig 2). The amplitudes for ! W * W receive both linear and quadratic contributions in f_B or f_W , while the amplitudes for ! ZZ; Z; H H only get quadratic ones. The highest sensitivity coming from W * W prediction, is given in Table 1 and it is comparable to the sensitivity of O_W .

c) The four operators O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} , \overline{O}_{UW} give linear as well as quadratic contributions to the amplitudes for $! W^+W$, ! ZZ and ! HH; see Figs. 3,4. Note that the contributions of these operators to the amplitudes for ! and

! Z, which are usually the least sensitive ones, are always quadratic. CP-conserving and CP-violating operators give essentially the sam e e ects in the invariant m ass and p_T distributions, except for the H H case. This is because the amplitudes for vector boson production containing the linear terms in the anom alous couplings do not have any appreciable interference with the SM amplitudes. Finally, we also note also that the O_{UB} contribution m ay be obtained from the O_{UW} one by multiplying by the factor $c_W^2 = s_W^2$.

Table 1 sum marizes the observability lim its expected for each operator by assuming that for W⁺W production a departure of 5% as compared to the SM prediction in the high p_T range, will be observable. For Z Z channel, the observability lim it is set by assuming that a signal of the order of 10⁻² times the SM W⁺W rate will be observable. Such an assumption should be reasonable, considering the designed lum inosities given in Section 2. These observability lim its on the anom alous couplings give essentially lower bounds for the couplings to be observable. C om bining these bounds with the unitarity relations [20, 21, 6] we obtain the upper bounds on the related NP scale which are indicated in parentheses in Table 1.

Table 1:0 bærvability lim its for the æven couplings.				
(in parentheses the corresponding scale in TeV is given)				
$2E_{e}$ (TeV)	j w j	jdjor d	jd _B jorjd _B j	ј _{в ж} ј
0.5	0.04 (1.7)	0.1 (2.4)	0.04 (4.9)	02 (1.8, 1)
1	0.01 (3.5)	0.04 (5.5)	0.01 (10)	0.05 (3.5,2)
2	0.003 (6.4)	0.01 (21)	0.003 (19)	0.015 (6.5, 3.6)

5 D isentangling the various operators

The purpose of this section is to discuss how one could identify the origin of an anom alous e ect detected in one or several of the considered channels. From the analysis made in Sect.3,4 a classi cation of the seven operators into three di erent groups has appeared:

Group 1 contains O_W which only a ects W^+W

Group 2 contains O_B and O_W which also a ects predom inantly the W⁺W channel and in a weaker way the ZZ, Z, H H ones. If the signal in these three channels is too weak to be observable, the disentangling from O_W is possible by looking at the polarization of the produced W. In the O_W case W⁺W are produced in (TT) states whereas in the O_B and O_W cases it is mainly (LL). There is no way to distinguish the contributions of O_B from that of O_W in collisios. The discrimination between these two operators requires the use of other processes, like for example e^+e ! W⁺W [9].

G roup 3 contains the four operators O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} , \overline{O}_{UW} which mainly a ect the channels W⁺W, ZZ, HH and in a weaker way the and Z ones. The comparison of the e ects in W⁺W and in ZZ production should allow to distinguish this group from the groups 1 and 2. The ZZ nal state is mainly (LL) in the group 3, whereas it is (TT) in group 2. The disentangling of O_{UW} from O_{UB} can be done by looking at the ratios of the related cross sections. We remark that the linear term s of the WW, ZZ and HH am plitudes for O_{UB} may be obtained from the corresponding term s for O_{UW} by multiplying by the factor $c_W^2 = s_W^2$, whereas for the quadratic term s this factor is $c_W^4 = s_W^4$ in production and $c_W^2 = s_W^2$ in Z production.

There is no way to separate the CP-conserving from the CP-violating terms in these spectra. More detailed spin analyses are required, like e.g. the search for imaginary parts in nalW or Z spin density matrices observable through the decay distributions [27] or the measurement of asymmetries associated to linear polarizations of the photon beam s [28].

6 Conclusions

We have shown that boson pair production in real collisions is an interesting way to search for NP manifestations in the bosonic sector. The lum inosities provided by laser backscattering at linear e⁺ e colliders should allow to feel NP e ects associated to scales up to $_{\rm th} = 20 \, {\rm eV}$ for $2 {\rm E}_{\rm e} = 2 \, {\rm reV}$. This can be achieved by simply measuring nal gauge boson p_T distributions. As no ferm ionic states are involved, any departure from SM predictions would constitute a clear signal for an anom alous behaviour of the bosonic sector. A comparison of the e ects in the various nal states W W, Z Z, Z,

and H H would already allow a selection among the seven candidate operators which should describe the NP manifestations. Complete disentangling should be possible by analyzing nalspin states, i.e. separating W_T (Z_T) from W_L (Z_L) states. Identi cation of CP violating terms requires full W or Z spin density matrix reconstruction from their decay distributions or analyses with linearly polarized photon beam s. The occurrence of anom abus terms in gauge boson couplings or in Higgs boson couplings would be of great interest for tracing back the origin of NP and its basic properties.

Appendix A : Contributions to helicity am plitudes



 $C \text{ ontributions of operators } O_{UB}, O_{UW}, \overline{O}_{UB} \text{ and } \overline{O}_{UW} \text{ to } !$

$$F_{0} = {n \choose B} + d^{2} \frac{s_{W}^{4}}{c_{W}^{4}} \circ \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} s}{4M_{Z}^{2}} {}^{2 \circ 2 2 \circ 2}$$

$${n \choose S} \frac{s}{m_{H}^{2}} (1 + {}^{0}) (1 + {}^{0}) + \frac{(\cos s - 1)}{2} (1 -) (1 - {}^{0}) \frac{t}{t m_{H}^{2}}$$

$$\frac{(\cos s + 1)}{2} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0}) \frac{u}{u m_{H}^{2}} {}^{0}$$

$${n \choose B} + d^{2} \frac{s_{W}^{4}}{c_{W}^{4}} \circ \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} s}{4M_{Z}^{2}} {}^{2 \circ 2 2 \circ 2}$$

$${n \choose S} \frac{s}{m_{H}^{2}} (1 + {}^{0}) (1 + {}^{0}) \frac{(\cos s - 1)}{2} (1 -) (1 - {}^{0}) \frac{t}{t m_{H}^{2}}$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos s + 1)}{2} ({}^{0} -) ({}^{0}) \frac{u}{u m_{H}^{2}} {}^{0}$$
(21)

Amplitudes for ! Z are obtained by changing d[d] into $(c_w = s_w) d[d]$ and $d_B[d_B]$ into $(s_w = c_w) d_B[d_B[d_B]$.

Contributions of operators O_W , O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} and \overline{O}_{UW} to ~~! W ^+W

$$F \circ \circ = e^{2} \frac{W - S}{M_{W}^{2}} 2^{-2} 2^{-2}$$

$$n$$

$$(; \circ ; \circ + ; \circ ; \circ 2; \circ ; \circ ; + + + + \frac{W - S}{M_{W}^{2}} \frac{h}{(1 - \cos)(3 + \cos)}{16} \circ; ; \circ ; \circ ; \circ + + + + \frac{W - S}{M_{W}^{2}} \frac{h}{(1 - \cos)(3 + \cos)}{16} \circ; ; \circ ; \circ ; \circ + + \frac{(1 + \cos)(3 - \cos)}{16} ; \circ ; \circ ; \circ ; \circ + \frac{i \circ}{\circ} \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + - ^{0}) (1 - ^{2}) (1 - ^{0}) 2^{-0} 2$$

$$= \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + - ^{0}) (1 - ^{2}) (1 - ^{0}) + d^{-2 - ^{0}} (1 + - ^{0})^{-1} 2^{-0} 2$$

$$= \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + - ^{0}) (1 - ^{2}) (1 - ^{^{0}}) + d^{-2 - ^{0}} (1 + - ^{0})^{-1} 2^{-0} 2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + - ^{0}) (1 - ^{2}) (1 - ^{^{0}}) + i d^{-2 - ^{0}} (1 + - ^{0})^{-1} 2^{-0} 2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + - ^{0}) (1 - ^{2}) (1 - ^{^{0}}) + i d^{-2 - ^{0}} (1 + - ^{0})^{-1} 2^{-0} 2$$

Contributions of operators O $_{\rm B}$ $\,$ and O $_{\rm W}$ $\,$ to $\,$! W $^+$ W $\,$

$$F_{+ + +} = F_{+ + +} = e^{2} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{(\cos 1) s}{32M_{W}^{2}}$$

$$F_{+ + +} = F_{+ + +} = e^{2} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{(\cos + 1) s}{32M_{W}^{2}}$$

$$F_{+ + 00} = F_{+ 00} = F_{+ +} = F_{+ + +} = e^{2} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{s}{16M_{W}^{2}}$$

$$F_{+ + 00} = F_{- 00} = 2e^{2} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{s}{16M_{W}^{2}} = e^{2} (f_{B} + f_{W}) \frac{s}{2M_{W}^{2}}$$
(23)

! ZZ

Contributions of operators O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} and \overline{O}_{UW} to ! z z

$$F \circ \circ = \frac{g^{2}}{4M_{2}^{2}} [B_{B} + d\frac{s_{W}^{2}}{c_{W}^{2}}] \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{2}) (1 - {}^{0}_{2}) {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2}$$

$$= \frac{g^{2}s_{W}^{2}}{4M_{W}^{2}} (d_{B}^{2} + d^{2}) {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2} {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2} {}^{0}_{2} {}^{n} (\frac{s}{m_{H}^{2}}) (1 + {}^{0}) ((1 + {}^{0}))$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos 1)}{2} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0}) \frac{t}{t - m_{H}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{(\cos + 1)}{2} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0}) \frac{u}{u - m_{H}^{2}} {}^{0}$$

$$+ i \frac{g^{2}}{4M_{W}^{2}} [B_{B} c_{W}^{2} + ds_{W}^{2}] \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + {}^{0}) {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2} (1 - {}^{2}) (1 - {}^{0}_{2})$$

$$= (d^{2} + d_{B}^{2})c_{W}^{2} s_{W}^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{4M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{(s - m_{H}^{2})} (1 + {}^{0}) {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2} (1 - {}^{0}) {}^{2} {}^{0}_{2}$$

$$+ \frac{g^{2}s_{W}^{2}}{4M_{W}^{2}} [B_{B}^{2} + d^{2}] s^{2} {}^{n} \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{t - m_{H}^{2}} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{16M_{W}^{2}} [B_{B}^{2} + d^{2}] s^{2} {}^{n} \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{t - m_{H}^{2}} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{u - m_{H}^{2}} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2} {}^{2} (2 - {}^{2})^{2} (2 - {}^{0})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{2} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2} {}^{2} (2 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2} (1 - {}^{0})^{2} (1 - {}^{0})^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{16M_{W}^{2}} [B_{H}^{2} + d^{2}] s^{2} {}^{n} \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{t - m_{H}^{2}} (1 - {}^{0}) (1 - {}^{0})^{2} (1 - {}^{0}$$

Contributions of operators O $_{\rm B}$ $\,$ and O $_{\rm W}$ $\,$ to $\,$! $\,$ z z

$$F_{+} + F_{+} = F_{++} = g^{02} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{(\cos 1)^{2} s^{2}}{64M_{W}^{2} (t m_{H}^{2})}$$

$$F_{+} + F_{+} = F_{++} = g^{02} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{(\cos 1)^{2} s^{2}}{64M_{W}^{2} (u m_{H}^{2})}$$

$$F_{++} = F_{++} = g^{02} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{s^{2}}{64M_{W}^{2}} \frac{n}{(t m_{H}^{2})} + \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{(t m_{H}^{2})} + \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{(u m_{H}^{2})}$$
(25)

Contributions of operators O_{UB} , O_{UW} , \overline{O}_{UB} and \overline{O}_{UW} to ! H H

$$F_{0} = \frac{2 {}^{0}{}^{2} g^{2} {}^{h} \frac{(d_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d_{B}^{2} + s_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d^{2}) s}{2M {}^{2}_{W}} (1 + 3 {}^{0}) + \frac{(d_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d_{B} + s_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d) s}{4M {}^{2}_{W}} (1 + {}^{0})^{i} + \frac{2 {}^{0}{}^{2} g^{2} {}^{h} \frac{(d_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d_{B}^{2} + s_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d^{2}) s}{2M {}^{2}_{W}} (3 + {}^{0}) + \frac{i}{2} \frac{(d_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d_{B} + s_{W}^{2} {}^{2} d) s}{4M {}^{2}_{W}} (1 + {}^{0})^{i} (26)$$

Contributions of operators O $_{\rm B}$, O $_{\rm W}$ to ~! H H

$$F = 2F_{+} = e^{2} (f_{B}^{2} + f_{W}^{2}) \frac{s}{8c_{W}^{2} M_{W}^{2}}$$
(27)

References

- [1] ProcW orkshop on Physics at Future A cœlerators, La Thuile, J.H. Mulvey, ed.CERN Report 87-07; Report, Opportunities and Requirements for Experimentation at a Very High Energy e⁺ e Collider, SLAC-329 (1928); Proc.W orkshops on Japan Linear Collider, KEK Reports, 90-2, 91-10 and 92-16; Physics and experiments with e⁺ e Linear Colliders, Saariselka 1991, R.O rava, P.Eerola and M.Nordberg eds., W orld Scientic, Singapore 1992.
- [2] P M Zerwas, DESY 93-112, Aug 1993; Proc. of the W orkshop on e⁺ e Collisions a 500 G eV: The Physics Potential, DESY 92-123A+B+C (1992), ed. P Zerwas;
- [3] A.DeRujula, M.B.Gavela, P.Hemandez and E.Masso, Nucl. Phys. B 384 (1992)3.
- [4] K.Hagiwara, S.Ishihara, R.Szalapski and D.Zeppenfeld, Phys.Lett.B 283 (1992) 353 and Phys.Rev.D 48 (1993) 2182.
- [5] G.J.Gounaris, F.M. Renard and C. Verzegnassi, Preprint PM /94-44 and THES-TP 95/01, hep-ph/9501362n to appear in Phys. Rev.D.
- [6] G.J.Gounaris, F.M. Renard and G.Tsirigoti, preprint CERN-TH/95-42 (1995), Phys. Letters B. (in press).
- [7] D. Schaile, presented at the 27th Int. Conf. on High Energy Phys., G lasgow (1994). J. Erler and P. Langacker, Univ of Pennsylvania preprint UPR-0632T (1994).
- [8] K.J.F.G aem ers and G.J.G ounaris, Z.Phys.C1 (1979) 259; K.Hagiwara, R.Peccei,
 D.Zeppenfeld and K.Hikasa, Nucl. Phys. B 282 (1987) 253.
- [9] G JG ounaris et al, in Proc. of the W orkshop on e⁺ e Collisions a 500 GeV: The Physics Potential, DESY 92-123B (1992), p.735, ed. P.Zerwas; M Bilenky et al, B 419 (1994) 240.
- [10] M.Bilenky, JL.Kneur, FM.Renard and D.Schildknecht, Nucl. Phys. B 409 (1993) 22 and B 419 (1994) 240.
- [11] M Kuroda, F M Renard and D Schildknecht, Z.Phys.C 40 (1988) 575; T L Barklow, SLAC-PUB-5808 (1992).
- [12] G Belanger and F Boudjema, Ref. [2], p.783; S.Y. Choi and F Schrempp, Ref. [2], p.793.
- [13] E.Yeduhai, Phys.Rev.D 41 (1990) 33; Phys.Rev.D 44 (1991) 3434.
- [14] K Cheung, Nucl. Phys. B 403 (1993) 572.
- [15] G.J.Gounaris, J.Layssac and F.M. Renard, Z.Phys.C 65 (254) 1995.

- [16] IG inzburg et al, Nucl. Instrum . M ethods 205 (1983)47; 219 (1984)5.
- [17] G.J.Gounaris and F.M. Renard, Thessaloniki preprint THES-TP 95/07.
- [18] W .Buchmuller and D.W yler, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 621; C J.C. Burgess and H J.Schnitzer, Nucl. Phys. B 228 (1983) 454; C N. Leung, S.T. Love and S.Rao Z. Phys. C 31 (1986) 433.
- [19] G J.Gounaris, FM. Renard and G.Tsirigoti, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 51.
- [20] G.J.Gounaris, J.Layssac and F.M. Renard, Phys. Lett. B 332 (1994) 146.
- [21] G.J. Gounaris, J. Layssac, J.E. Paschalis and F.M. Renard, Montpellier preprint PM /94-28, to appear in Z. Phys.
- [22] G JG ounaris, JLayssac and FM Renard, Z. Phys. C 62 (1994) 139.
- [23] V.Telnov, Nucl. Instrum . M ethods A 294 (1990)72.
- [24] J.H.K.uhn, E.M. inkes and J.Steegborn, Z.Phys.C 57 (1993) 615.
- [25] D A. D icus and C. Kao, preprint Florida State University, FSU-HEP-930808; M.S. Berger, Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993) 5121; G.V. Jikia, Nucl. Phys. B 405 (1993) 24.
- [26] G J.G ounaris and F M .Renard, Phys. Lett. B 326 (1994) 131.
- [27] G.J.Gounaris, D.Schildknecht and F.M.Renard, Phys.Lett.B 263 (1993) 143.
- [28] M.Kramer, J.Kuhn, M.L.Stong and P.M.Zerwas, Z.Phys.C 64 (1994) 21.

Figure Captions

Fig.1 Sensitivity to the operator O $_{\rm W}$ in ~! W $^+{\rm W}$. Transverse momentum (p_r) distribution d =dp_{\rm T} (a) at 0.5 TeV, (b) at 1 TeV.

Fig.2 Sensitivity to the operators O_B and O_W in ! W ^+W , Z Z , H H . (a), (b), same captions.

Fig.3 Sensitivity to the operators O_{UW} and \overline{O}_{UW} in ! W ⁺W , ZZ, Z, , HH. (a), (b), same captions.

Fig.4 Sensitivity to the operators O_{UB} and \overline{O}_{UB} in $! W^+W$, ZZ, Z, , HH. (a), (b), same captions.





 $d\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow W^+W^-)/dp_T ~(fb/TeV)$



 $d\sigma(\gamma\gamma \rightarrow B_3B_4)/dp_T ~(fb/TeV)$







Fig 3b



