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#### Abstract

W e discuss possible $N$ ew Physics (NP) e ects on the processes ! $W{ }^{+} W, Z Z, Z$, , H H which are observable in collisions. Such collisions may be achieved through laser backscattering at a high energy $e^{+} e$ linear collider. To the extent that no new particles w ill be directly produced in the fiuture colliders, it has already been em phasized that the new physics possibly hidden in the bosonic interactions, $m$ ay be represented by the seven dim $=6$ operators $O_{W}, O_{B}, O_{W}, O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}$ and $\bar{O}_{U W}$ (the last two ones being CP -violating). In this paper, we show that the above processes are sensitive to NP scales at the several TeV range, and we subsequently discuss the possibility to disentangle the e ects of the various operators.
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## 1 Introduction

The search form anifestations of $N$ ew $P$ hysics (NP) is part of the program of future high
 produced in the future colliders, these NP m anifestations $m$ ay appear only as anom alous interactions am ong the particles already present in SM. In this paper we restrict to the
 this, NP e ects have been searched forby using the high precision $m$ easurem ents obtained at LEP 1 [ī]. H igh energy e e linear colliders will o er many m ore possibilities though, to test the sector of the gauge boson and H iggs interactions w ith a high accuracy. T he $m$ ost fam ous process is $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}$ ! $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ [㔄]. This has been carefully studied and it has been shown that indeed the 3-gauge boson vertices $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ and ZW ${ }^{+} \mathrm{W}$ can be very accurately constrained through it [9̄, 'i'

A nother type of processes accessible at a linear collider is boson-boson scattering [1]111. Such processes are even $m$ ore interesting, since they are sensitive not only to the 3-gauge boson vertioes, but also to 4-gauge boson [1ב [1] 1 ]. Thus the scalar (H iggs) sector, which is presently the $m$ ost $m$ ysterious part of the electrow eak interactions, may be tested in a much deeper way using the collisions o ered by the laser backscattering $m$ ethod

In a collider, the ve boson pair production processes available to be studied are
! $W^{+} W, Z Z, Z, ~ a n d H H$ (assum ing that the physicalH iggs particle exists and it is not very heavy). The purpose of the present work is to show that the study of the $p_{T}$ distribution of one of the nalbosons provides a very sensitive test of the various possible form s of NP. At present we leave aside the process ! H, which indeed provides a very powerful way to study the anom alous couplings of the $H$ iggs particle. This single H iggs production process has been rst considered in [15] and is thoroughly trated in the com panion paper $\left[\underline{1} \overline{-1}_{-1}\right]$. In the present paper we concentrate on the boson pair production due to both gauge and H iggs boson exchanges. T he speci c ilhustrations we give assum e a H iggs m ass in the 100 GeV region.

A ssum ing that the NP scale $n p$ is su ciently large, the e ective NP Lagrangian is satisfactorily described for our pupposes in tem sof dim $=6$ bosonic operators only



$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}} & =\frac{1}{3!} \dot{\dot{W}} \quad \dot{\dot{W}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{~W}}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}} & =i(\mathrm{D})^{\mathrm{y}!} \dot{\dot{W}}(\mathrm{D}) ;  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}} & =i(\mathrm{D})^{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{B}}}(\mathrm{D}) ; \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

induce anom alous triple gauge boson couplings, while the rem aining four?

$$
\begin{align*}
& O_{U W}=\frac{1}{V^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & v^{2} \\
2
\end{array}\right) \dot{W} \quad \dot{W} \quad ;  \tag{4}\\
& O_{U B}=\frac{4}{v^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & \left.\frac{v^{2}}{2}\right) B \quad B \quad ; ~
\end{array}\right.  \tag{5}\\
& \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}(\mathrm{y}) \stackrel{\dot{W}}{\text { 毒 }} \quad \text {; }  \tag{6}\\
& \bar{O}_{U B}=\frac{4}{V^{2}}\left({ }^{y}\right) B \quad B \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

create anom alous CP conserving and CP violating Higgs couplings. These later four operators constitute a dedicated probe of the scalar sector. Ideas on how these operators
 e ective Lagrangian describing the NP induced by these operators is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{N P}= & { }_{W} \frac{g}{M_{W}^{2}} O_{W}+\frac{f_{B} g 0}{2 M_{W}^{2}} O_{B}+\frac{f_{W} g}{2 M_{W}^{2}} O_{W}+ \\
& d O_{U W}+\frac{d_{B}}{4} O_{U B}+\bar{d} \bar{O}_{U W}+\frac{\bar{d}_{B}}{4} \bar{O}_{U B} ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

which fully de nes the various couplings. $Q$ uantitative relations between these couplings and the corresponding NP scales have been established on the basis of the unitarity


Below, we rst write the am plitudes for the ve processes $m$ entioned above, in term $s$ of the seven couplings associated to the operators considered. W e then discuss procedures tow ards disentangling the e ects of these operators. This allow s us to express the observability lim its for the various form s of new physics, in term s of the related NP scales. We nd that this can be achieved to a large extent by just using only the aforem entioned $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ distribution. Only for the disentangling of the CP violating operators it is necessary to augm ent this simple analysis by also looking at the density matrix of the nalW or $Z$ bosons.

In Sect. 2 we recall the form ulation of collisions through the laser backscattering $m$ ethod and give the expressions for the lum inosities and the invariant $m$ ass and $p_{T}$ distributions. These distributions are expressed in term $s$ of helicity am plitudes $m$ ost of
 for their NP contributions in the high energy lim it. Sect. 3 contains an overview of the characteristics of each of the ve processes, em phasizing their dependence on the various anom alous couplings. The precise sensitivity to each operator is discussed in Sect. 4 and the possible ways to disentangle them in Sect. 5. C oncluding words are given in Sect. 6.
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## 2 Laser induced collisions

The boson pair production processes that we shall consider here are ! $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$,
! ZZ, ! Z, ! and also ! H H .
In laser induced collisions at $e^{+} e$ colliders, with unpolarized e and laser beam S , photon uxes are given in term sof the photon distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \underset{=e}{\text { laser }}(x)=\frac{1}{D()} 1 \quad x+\frac{1}{1 x} \frac{4 x}{(1 x)}+\frac{4 x^{2}}{2(1 x)^{2}} \quad ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where x is the fraction of the incident e energy carried by the backscattered photon, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
D()=1 \quad \frac{4}{-} \frac{8}{2} \operatorname{Ln}(1+)+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{8}{2(1+)^{2}} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$


$T$ he invariant $m$ ass distribution is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d y}=\frac{d L}{d y} \quad \text { (s ) } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d L \quad(y)}{d y}=2 y^{Z^{x_{\mathrm{m}} a x}} \frac{d x}{x_{\mathrm{m} a x}} f_{=e}^{\text {laser }}(x) f_{=e}^{\text {laser }} \bar{x} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \quad \mathrm{p}-\quad \mathrm{s} \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{ee}}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the ratio of the $c m$. energy to the $e^{+} e$ one satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y}<\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{max}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{max}}{ }^{\prime} \quad 0: 82: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he correspondingly expected num ber of events per year is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N}{d y}=L_{e e} \frac{d}{d y} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L_{e e}$ is the integrated $e^{+} e$ annual lum inosity taken to be $20 ; 80 ; 320 f b{ }^{1}$ year ${ }^{1}$ for a $0.5,1$. or 2 . TeV collider respectively.

Of course, forw ard boson production should be cut-o in a realistic situation, since these events are inevitably lost along the beam-pipe. An e cient way of doing this is by looking at the transversem om entum distribution of one of the nalbosons $B_{3}$ and $B_{4}$ and cutting-o the small $p_{T}$ values. For a xed invariant $m$ ass of the nalboson pair, this is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d p_{T} d y}=\frac{y p_{T}}{8 s j j} \frac{x_{m a x}}{x_{m a x}} d x f_{=e}^{\text {laser }}(x) f_{=e}^{\text {laser }} \bar{x} \quad F\left(\quad!\quad B{ }_{3} B_{4}{ }^{\mathcal{J}} ;\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
j j= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s} \bar{s}\left(\mathrm{~s}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*} ;
$$

and $\mathrm{F}\left(\quad!\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right)$ is the invariant am plitude of the subprocess. Integrating over the invariant $m$ ass of the $B_{3} B_{4}$ pair we get
with

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0} \quad \frac{\mathrm{q} \frac{\bar{s}}{\frac{4}{\mathrm{P}}} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\overline{s_{\mathrm{ee}}}} \text { : } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $\frac{d}{d p_{T}}$ distribution provides a very useful way for searching for $N P$, since it not only takes care of the events lost along the beam pipe, but also because its m easurem ent does not require full reconstruction ofboth nalbosons. For the illustrations below we choose $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=0: 1 \mathrm{TeV}=\mathrm{C}$.

## 3 D escription ofthe B oson P air P roduction P rocesses

In this section we sum $m$ arize the properties of each of the ve channels and the way they react to the residualNP lagrangian.
a) ! $W^{+} W$

The SM contribution consists ofW exchange diagram sin the tand u channels involving the W W vertex and the W W contact term. There is no H iggs exchange at tree level. $T$ he $W^{+} W$ production rate is copious and the $p_{T}$ distributions are given in $F$ igs. ( $1 a, \mathrm{~b}$, $4 a, b)$. The NP contributions are induced by the anom alous W W and W W couplings in the case of the operators $O_{W}, O_{B}$, and $O_{W}$, and by the anom alous $H$ vertex induced by any of $O_{U W}, O_{U B}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UB}}$. The SM HW W coupling is also modi ed by these later four operators.
b) ! Z Z

There is no SM contribution at tree level. The 1-loop contribution is estim ated to

! Z Z is extrem ely sensitive to genuine NP e ects. There exist no neutral pure gauge anom alous couplings contributing to this process; (they would only appear ifhigher dim ensional operators were considered). Thus, the NP contribution com es from Higgs exchange diagram $s$ in the $s, t$, $u$ channels induced by anom alous $\mathrm{H} \quad ; \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{Z}$ and HZZ couplings. They are generated by the six operators $O_{B}, O_{W}, O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}$.
c) ! and Z

For these processes also there is no $S M$ contribution at the tree level. NP is only generated by $H$ iggs exchange diagram s due to the four operators $O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}, \bar{O}_{U W}$. N o SM couplings can appear here. A 11 vertioes $m$ ust be anom alous and at least one m ust be $H$. Thus the other $N P$ operators $O_{w}, O_{B}$ nd $O_{W}$ give no contribution at the tree level.
d) ! HH

Here also there is no SM contribution at the tree level. NP is generated by and $Z$ exchange diagram $s$ in the $t$ and $u$ channels, and $H$ iggs exchange in the $s$ channel, due to anom alous H and H Z couplings generated by the dim $=6$ operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$, $\mathrm{O}_{U B}, \mathrm{O}_{U W},{\overline{O_{U B}}}$ and ${\overline{O_{U W}}}$. It is also worth rem arking that the H iggs exchange diagram involves also the SM H H H vertex.

## 4 Sensitivity to the various operators

W e now discuss the e ect of each of the seven operators treated one by one.
a) The operator $O_{W}$ plays a special role because it does not involve scalar elds. It only a ects $W^{+} W$ production through term $s$ linear and quadratic in $w$. The e ects of $O_{w}$ on the various processes are given in $F$ igs. la,b for $e^{+} e$ energies of $0: 5$ and

b) The operators $O_{B}$ and $O_{W}$ are indistinguishable through these processes; (see F ig 2). The am plitudes for ! $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ receive both linear and quadratic contributions in $f_{B}$ or $f_{W}$, while the amplitudes for $!Z Z ; Z ; H H$ only get quadratic ones. The highest sensitivity com ing from $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ prediction, is given in Table 1 and it is com parable to the sensitivity of $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$.
C) The four operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{UB}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{U}}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UB}},{\overline{\mathrm{O}_{U}}}$ give linear as well as quadratic contributions to the amplitudes for ! $W^{+} W, \quad!\quad Z Z$ and ! $\mathrm{H} H$; see F igs. 3,4. N ote that the contributions of these operators to the am plitudes for ! and
! $Z$, which are usually the least sensitive ones, are alw ays quadratic. C P -onserving and CP-violating operators give essentially the sam e e ects in the invariant $m$ ass and $p_{T}$ distributions, except for the H H case. This is because the amplitudes for vector boson production containing the linear term $s$ in the anom alous couplings do not have any appreciable interference with the $S M$ amplitudes. Finally, we also note also that the $O_{U B}$ contribution $m$ ay be obtained from the $O_{U W}$ one by multiplying by the factor $c_{W}^{2}=s_{W}^{2}$.

Table 1 sum m arizes the observability lim its expected for each operator by assum ing that for $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W}$ production a departure of 5\% as compared to the $S M$ prediction in the high $p_{T}$ range, will be observable. For Z Z channel, the observability lim it is set by assum ing that a signal of the order of $10^{2}$ tim es the $S M W^{+} W$ rate $w i l l$ be observable. Such an assum ption should be reasonable, considering the designed lum inosities given in Section 2. These obseravbillty lim its on the anom alous couplings give essentially lower bounds for the couplings to be observable. C ombining these bounds $w$ ith the unitarity
 in parentheses in Table 1.

| Table 1: O bservability lim its for the seven couplings. (in parentheses the corresponding scale in TeV is given) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}$ (TeV) | j w j | jdjord | $\dot{j}_{B}$ jor $\dot{j}_{\text {d }} \mathrm{j}$ | $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{j}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.04 (1.7) | 0.1 (2.4) | 0.04 (4.9) | $02(1.8,1)$ |
| 1 | 0.01 (3.5) | 0.04 (5.5) | 0.01 (10) | $0.05(3.5,2)$ |
| 2 | 0.003 (6.4) | 0.01 (21) | 0.003 (19) | $0.015(6.5,3.6)$ |

## 5 D isentangling the various operators

T he purpose of this section is to discuss how one could identify the origin of an anom alous e ect detected in one or several of the considered channels. From the analysis $m$ ade in Sect.3,4 a classi cation of the seven operators into three di erent groups has appeared:

G roup 1 contains $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ which only a ects $\mathrm{W}{ }^{+} \mathrm{W}$.
$G$ roup 2 contains $O_{B}$ and $O_{W}$ which also a ects predom inantly the $W^{+} W$ channeland in a weaker way the Z Z, Z,H H ones. If the signalin these three channels is too weak to be observable, the disentangling from $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is possible by looking at the polarization of the produced $W$. In the $O_{W}$ case $W^{+} W$ are produced in ( T ) states whereas in the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{w}}$ cases it is mainly (LL). There is no way to distinguish the contributions of $O_{B}$ from that of $O_{W}$ in collisios. The discrim ination between these tw o operators requires the use of other processes, like for example $e^{+}$! ! $W^{+} W$ 䎺.

G roup 3 contains the four operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{UB}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{UW}}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UB}}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}$ which mainly a ect the channels $W^{+} W, Z Z, H H$ and in a weaker way the and $Z$ ones. The com parison of the e ects in $W^{+} W$ and in Z Z production should allow to distinguish this group from the groups 1 and 2. The ZZ nal state is mainly (LL) in the group 3, whereas it is (TT) in group 2. The disentangling of $O_{U w}$ from $O_{U B}$ can be done by looking at the ratios of the related cross sections. $W$ e rem ark that the linear term $s$ of the $W W, Z Z$ and $H H$ amplitudes for $O_{U B} m$ ay be obtained from the corresponding term $s$ for $O_{U}$ by multiplying by the factor $C_{W}^{2}=S_{W}^{2}$, whereas for the quadratic term $s$ this factor is $c_{W}^{4}=S_{W}^{4}$ in production and $C_{W}^{2}=s_{W}^{2}$ in $Z$ production.

There is no way to separate the CP-conserving from the CP-violating term s in these spectra. M ore detailed spin analyses are required, like e.g. the search for im aginary parts in nalW or $Z$ spin density $m$ atrioes obseravable through the decay distributions $\left.\underline{2 n}_{1 / 2}^{1} 1\right]$ or the $m$ easurem ent of asym $m$ etries associated to linear polarizations of the photon beam $s$


## 6 C onclusions

We have shown that boson pair production in real collisions is an interesting way to search for NP m anifestations in the bosonic sector. The lum inosities provided by laser backscattering at linear $e^{+} e$ colliders should allow to feelN P e ects associated to scales up to $\mathrm{th}=20 \mathrm{TeV}$ for $2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}=2 \mathrm{TeV}$. This can be achieved by sim ply measuring nal gauge boson $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ distributions. A s no ferm ionic states are involved, any departure from SM predictions would constitute a clear signal for an anom alous behaviour of the bosonic sector. A com parison of the e ects in the various nal states $\mathrm{W} W, \mathrm{ZZ}, \mathrm{Z}$, and H H would already allow a selection am ong the seven candidate operators which should describe the NP m anifestations. Com plete disentangling should be possible by analyzing nal spin states, i.e. separating $W_{T}\left(Z_{T}\right)$ from $W_{I}\left(Z_{I}\right)$ states. Identi cation of $C P$ violating tems requires full $W$ or $Z$ spin density $m$ atrix reconstruction from their decay distributions or analyses w ith linearly polarized photon beam s. The occurrence of anom alous term s in gauge boson couplings or in H iggs boson couplings w ould be of great interest for tracing back the origin of NP and its basic properties.

A ppendix A : C ontributions to helicity am plitudes
! and ! Z

C ontributions of operators $O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}$ and $\bar{O}_{U W}$ to !

$$
\begin{align*}
& F 00={ }^{n} d_{B}^{2}+d^{2} \frac{S_{W}^{4}}{C_{W}^{4}} \frac{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} S}{4 M_{Z}^{2}} \quad 2 \quad 0_{2} \quad 2 \quad 0_{2} \\
& { }^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}}(1+0)(1+0)+\frac{(\cos 1)}{2}(1 \quad)(1 \quad 00) \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}} \\
& \left.\frac{(\cos +1)}{2}(1 \quad 0)(1 \quad 0) \frac{u}{u m_{H}^{2}}\right)^{\circ} \\
& { }^{n} d_{B}^{2}+d^{2} \frac{s_{W}^{4}}{c_{W}^{4}} \frac{0}{g^{2} c_{W}^{2} s} \frac{4 M_{Z}^{2}}{4} \quad 0_{2} \quad 2 \quad 0_{2} \\
& { }^{n} \frac{s}{s m_{H}^{2}}\left(+{ }^{0}\right)(+0) \frac{(\cos 1)}{2}(\quad)\left(0 \quad{ }^{0}\right) \frac{t}{t m_{H}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(\cos +1)}{2}(0)(0) \frac{u}{u_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}}}{ }^{\circ} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Amplitudes for ! $Z$ are obtained by changing $d[d]$ into ( $C_{W}=S_{W}$ )d[d] and $d_{B}\left[d_{B}\right]$ into $\left(S_{W}=G_{W}\right) d_{B}\left[d_{B}\right]$.

$$
\text { ! } W^{+} W
$$

C ontributions of operators $\mathrm{O}_{W}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{UB}}, \mathrm{O}_{U W}, \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UB}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}$ to ! w ${ }^{+}$w

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F \circ 0=e^{2} \frac{\mathrm{wS}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}} 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} 2 \mathrm{o}_{2} \\
& \text { n } \\
& +{\frac{W}{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{(1 \cos )(3+\cos )}{16} 0 ; \quad ; \quad \text {; } \\
& +\frac{3 \cos ^{2}}{8} ; 0 ; 0 ;+\frac{(1+\cos )(3 \cos )}{16} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0^{i 0} \\
& \frac{g^{2} C_{W}^{2} d_{B}}{4 M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(1+\quad{ }^{0}\right)\left(1{ }^{2}\right)\left(1 \quad{ }^{0_{2}}\right)^{2}{ }^{0_{2}} \\
& \frac{g^{2} s_{W}^{2} d}{4 M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(1+0^{h}{ }^{h}\left(1{ }^{2}\right)\left(1{ }^{o_{2}}\right)+d^{2 o_{2}}\left(1+9^{i} \quad 2 o_{2}\right.\right. \\
& +i \frac{g^{2} C_{N}^{2} d_{B}}{4 M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(+{ }^{0}\right)\left(1^{2}\right)\left(1{ }^{o_{2}}\right)^{2 o_{2}} \\
& +i \frac{g^{2} s_{N}^{2} d}{4 M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(+0^{h}{ }^{h}{ }^{2}\right)\left(1{ }^{o_{2}}\right)+i d^{2 o_{2}}\left(+0^{i}{ }^{2} o_{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

C ontributions of operators $O_{B}$ and $O_{W}$ to ! $W^{+} W$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}+F_{++}=e^{2}\left(f_{B}^{2}+f_{W}^{2}\right) \frac{\left.(00 s)_{1}\right)}{32 M_{W}^{2}} \\
& F_{+}+=F_{++}=e^{2}\left(f_{B}^{2}+f_{W}^{2}\right) \frac{(00 s+1) s}{32 M_{W}^{2}} \\
& F_{+}=F_{+00}=F_{++}=F++=e^{2}\left(f_{B}^{2}+f_{W}^{2}\right) \frac{s}{16 M_{W}^{2}} \\
& F_{++00}=F_{00}=2 e^{2}\left(f_{B}^{2}+f_{W}^{2}\right) \frac{s}{16 M_{W}^{2}} \quad e^{2}\left(f_{B}+f_{W}\right) \frac{s}{2 M_{W}^{2}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 1 \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

C ontributions of operators $O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}$ and $\bar{O}_{U W}$ to $!\mathrm{ZZ}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F 00=\frac{g^{2}}{4 M_{Z}^{2}}\left[d_{B}+d \frac{s_{W}^{2}}{C_{W}^{2}}\right] \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(1+\quad{ }^{0}\right)\left(1{ }^{2}\right)\left(1 \quad{ }^{0_{2}}\right) \quad 20^{0_{2}} \\
& \frac{g^{2} S_{W}^{2} C_{W}^{2} s}{4 M_{W}^{2}}\left(d_{B}^{2}+d^{2}\right)^{2} 0_{2} 2^{o_{2}}{ }^{n}\left(\frac{s}{\left(s \quad m_{H}^{2}\right)}\right)(1+0)((1+0) \\
& +\frac{(\cos 1)}{2}(1 \quad)(1 \quad 00) \frac{t}{t m_{H}^{2}} \\
& \frac{(\cos +1)}{2}(1 \quad 0)(1 \quad 0) \frac{u}{u m_{H}^{2}} \\
& +i \frac{g^{2}}{4 M_{W}^{2}}\left[d_{B} c_{W}^{2}+d s_{W}^{2}\right] \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}\left(+0^{0}{ }^{0_{2}}\left(1{ }^{2}\right)\left(1{ }^{0_{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left(d^{2}+d_{B}{ }^{2}\right) C_{W}^{2} s_{W}^{2} \frac{g^{2}}{4 M_{W}^{2}} \frac{s^{2}}{\left(s m_{H}^{2}\right)}(+0)^{20_{2}}(+0)^{20_{2}} \\
& +\frac{g^{2} s_{W}^{2} c_{W}^{2}}{16 M_{W}^{2}}\left[d_{B}^{2}+d^{2}\right] s^{2} \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{t m_{H}^{2}}()(0 \quad 0) \\
& +\frac{(\cos +1)^{2}}{u m_{H}^{2}}(0)(0)^{0} \quad 2 O_{2} \quad 2 O_{2} \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

C ontributions of operators $O_{B}$ and $O_{W}$ to $!\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{Z}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{+}+=\mathrm{F}_{++}=g^{\infty}\left(f_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}+f_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}\right) \frac{(\cos 1)^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}{64 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right)} \\
& \left.\mathrm{F}_{+}+=\mathrm{F}_{+}+=\mathrm{g}^{\infty}\left(f_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}+f_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}\right) \frac{(\cos +1)^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}{64 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}(\mathrm{u} \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{F}_{++}=\mathrm{F}_{++}=\mathrm{g}^{Q}\left(f_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}\right) \frac{s^{2}}{64 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{(\cos 1)^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right)}+\frac{(\cos +1)^{2} \circ}{\left(\mathrm{u} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}\right)} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

> ! H H

C ontributions of operators $O_{U B}, O_{U W}, \bar{O}_{U B}$ and $\bar{O}_{U W}$ to $!H$ H
$F \circ=\quad 2^{o_{2}} 9^{2}{ }^{h} \frac{\left(c_{W}^{2} d_{B}^{2}+s_{N}^{2} d^{2}\right) s}{2 M_{W}^{2}}(1+3 \quad 9)+\frac{\left(C_{W}^{2} d_{B}+s_{W}^{2} d\right) s}{4 M_{W}^{2}}(1+\quad)^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+{ }^{2 d_{2}} g^{2} \frac{h\left(c_{W}^{2} d_{B}^{2}+s_{W}^{2} d^{2}\right) s}{2 M_{W}^{2}}(3+\quad 9)+i \frac{\left(c_{W}^{2} d_{B}+s_{W}^{2} d\right) s}{4 M_{W}^{2}}(+)^{i} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ontributions of operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ to ! H H

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=2 F+=e^{2}\left(f_{B}^{2}+f_{W}^{2}\right) \frac{s}{8 C_{W}^{2} M_{W}^{2}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Figure C aptions

$F$ ig. 1 Sensitivity to the operator $O_{W}$ in ! $W^{+} W$. Transverse $m$ om entum ( $p_{T}$ ) distribution $d=\mathrm{dp}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (a) at 0.5 TeV , (b) at 1 TeV .

Fig 2 Sensitivity to the operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{W}}$ in ! $\mathrm{W}^{+} \mathrm{W} \quad$, ZZ, H H. (a), (b), sam e captions.

Fig. 3 Sensitivity to the operators $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{UW}}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{UW}}$ in $\quad \mathrm{I}^{+}{ }^{+} \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{ZZ}, \mathrm{Z}, \quad, \mathrm{HH}$. (a), (b), sam e captions.

Fig. 4 Sensitivity to the operators $O_{U B}$ and $\bar{O}_{U B}$ in ! $W^{+} W, Z Z, Z, \quad, H$. (a), (b), sam e captions.


Fig 1a


Fig 1b


Fig 2a


Fig 2b


Fig 3a


Fig 3b


Fig 4a


Fig 4b


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ P artially supported by the EC contract C H R X - T T 94-0579.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the de nition of $O_{U}$ and $O_{U B}$ we have subtracted a trivialcontribution to the $W$ and $B$ kinetic energy respectively.

