W inding Number Correlation Functions and Cosm ic String Form ation

L.M.A.Bettencourt, T.S.Evans and R.J.Rivers

The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW 7 2BZ, U.K.

(April 16, 2024)

Abstract

We develop winding number correlation functions that allow us to assess the role of eld uctuations on vortex form ation in an Abelian gauge theory. We compute the behavior of these correlation functions in simple circum stances and show how uctuations are important in the vicinity of the phase transition. We further show that, in our approximation, the emerging population of long/in nite string is produced by the classical dynamics of the elds alone, being essentially una ected by eld uctuations. PACS Numbers: $11.10 \text{ W} \times , 11.27 + d.98.80 \text{ C} \text{ g}$

Typeset using REVT_EX

The production of topological defects seems to be an inescapable consequence of phase transitions in eld theories with a topologically non-trivial vacuum manifold [1,2]. This is observed experimentally in numerous condensed matter systems from super uid Helium [3] and superconductors to ordinary liquid crystals [4] and is expected to occur in the early universe form ost theories of G rand U ni cation. D effects produced at such energy scales are strong candidates to generate the observed large scale structure of the universe, competing with the uctuations of in ationary models to match the observations [5].

In spite of their universality, the problem of predicting the details of networks of defects emerging from a phase transition is still unsolved, being necessarily entangled with the more general question of how to determ ine the dynamical evolution of elds throughout the transition. Our qualitative picture of defect production is given by the well-known K ibble mechanism [1]. With the U (1) theory of a complex scalar eld in mind, it essentially assumes that the phase transition proceeds by the form ation of domains, which are characterized by some random value of the eld phase, that later coalesce to complete the transition. Such domains, at the time of coalescence, can, in turn, be characterized by their average radial length scale , which in most applications is taken to be the correlation length as computed for a massive scalar eld in therm al equilibrium [1]. A s domains coalesce phase gradients must be minimized and integer windings can be form ed. Because of its simplicity this picture has great appeal and is am enable to a straight-forward approximate num erical in plem entation by the so-called Vachaspati-V ilenkin algorithm [6], which in turn constitutes the usual starting point for num erical network evolutions.

As it stands the Kibble mechanism is a wholly static argument, failing to answer any questions regarding the role of uctuations in the elds. If important enough, such uctuations will necessarily alter the number and distribution of defects produced by the dynamics of domain coalescence and could thus distort seriously the predictions solely based upon it. A change in initial string density and especially in the amount of long string versus that in

2

sm all closed loops, for example, necessarily a ects the transient regime that characterizes a string network prior to scaling. Moreover, it is precisely the point at which therm all uctuations cease to be able to change string congurations appreciably that determ ines the emerging defect network. This problem has been attracting great enthusiasm leading to a number of di erent approaches [7{9].

Our aim in this paper is to calculate the e ect of uctuations on winding number, requiring us to develop quantities and criteria for describing uctuations about general eld con guration solutions to the phase transition dynamics. We focus our analysis on cosm ic strings in the Abelian Higgs model and consider correlation functions of the winding number (de ned as the number of quantized units of magnetic ux) in several circum stances. Even though the present study is motivated by cosm obgical questions the analogy with superconducting systems is almost complete, because of the role of the gauge elds in both models. As such our results should apply equally well there, where contact with experiment can prove a good test on the ideas developed herein. In principle the interpolation between both pictures can be obtained essentially by replacing cosm ic strings with vortex lines and discarding some speci c aspects of relativistic self-energies in section 3. In practice we have yet to so so.

The outline of the paper is as follows. We start, in section 2, by describing the qualitative aspects of the classical dynam ics of the elds during the phase transition and determ ine under which conditions the measurement of quantized units of magnetic ux becomes a reliable estimate of the number of strings present. We then proceed, in section 3, to compute the uctuations in winding number in the simplest scenario, namely that of a constant background scalar eld with an equilibrium distribution and under the assumption that the gauge eld, too, displays a thermal spectrum. In section 4 we show that the computations of section 3 can easily be extended to the calculation of correlation functions of the winding number threading through two di erent contours with relative specific geometries. We use these correlation functions to answer questions concerning the conditional probability of noding string crossing a given surface in space, subject to the condition it has crossed

3

another, with a given separation and orientation relative to the form er. In section 5, we return to the computation of winding number uctuations, by including inhom ogeneities in the scalar eld. We show how a system atic expansion around a hom ogeneous background can be performed, generating as a zeroth order term the result of section 3, and compute the rst order correction resulting from the presence of string. By comparing their relative m agnitude we can form ulate a well de ned criteria for the breakdown of such an expansion. In section 6 we present our conclusions and compare our results with those of other recent approaches to the same problem.

II. PHASE TRANSITION FIELD DYNAM ICS AND DEFECTS

We begin with the sem iclassical analysis of the simplest theory that admits gauged strings, the Abelian Higgs model. We consider it in the symmetry broken phase so that the decom position of the complex scalar eld into modulus ' and phase is possible as

$$(x) = '(x)e^{i(x)}$$
: (1)

for non-zero '(x). Thus the classical action, including the gauge xing term, can be written as

$$S[';;A] = {}^{Z} d^{4}x + \frac{1}{4}F + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2}e^{A} + \frac{1}{$$

Our objective is to compute string formation in gauge theories, as a dynamical process occurring as a by-product of the symmetry breaking in the theory, by measuring units of the quantized magnetic ux crossing a surface bounded by the contour , given by

hN
$$i = \frac{e}{2}^{Z} dl^{i}hA_{i}i$$
: (3)

The idea of using the magnetic ux as an indicator of the net winding number springs from the vacuum eld con gurations in the broken phase, namely,

$$hA \quad \frac{\theta}{e}i \quad hA^0i = 0:$$
 (5)

This guarantees that the gauge eld indeed traces the gradient in phase of the scalar eld. In a situation where the scalar modulus is constant, however, this becomes simply a gauge transformation, corresponding to the residual freedom in dening A in the Lorentz gauge ($(P_A = 0)$). However, it is the case of a non-constant scalar modulus that is relevant for our purposes, corresponding to the presence of strings. In this case the phase can change around a closed contour in space by a non-zero multiple of 2, implying the existence of a singularity in its gradient as the contour is shrunk to a point (at which there is a necessary restoration of symmetry). The gauge eld at such a point, the core of the string, exhibits therefore its expectation value in the symmetric phase, i.e., hA i = 0. The approximate solution of the static Euler-Lagrange equation interpolating between these two regimes is well-known to be [10]

$$A = \frac{n}{er} n' K_1 (e' r);$$
 (6)

for a hom ogeneous scalar modulus '. At large distances from the the core of the string ($r < \frac{1}{e'}$), the modi ed Bessel function K₁ becomes exponentially decreasing and the vacuum of the spontaneously broken theory is rapidly approached. In this lim it, applying the form (6) in (3) gives precisely n units of ux with exponentially small corrections.

As the phase transition proceeds and the elds become smooth we therefore expect A to trace the overall di erences in phase left over from the dynamics of the scalar eld with increasing accuracy. To see in more qualitative detail how this happens we need to consider the actual Euler-Lagrange equations of the theory

$$Q Q ' = ' (QA Q) (QA Q) - ('^2 2);$$
 (7)

$$9 F + \frac{1}{a} P A = J;$$
 (8)

#

and

where

$$J = e'^{2} (eA \quad (e)):$$
 (10)

The phase transition is triggered by an instability in the long wave-length modes of the scalar eld^1 , whose am plitudes then start growing exponentially towards . This is expected to happen independently in regions of space-time which are causally disconnected for the time scales involved. As a result a domain structure is expected to form, leading to an inhom ogeneous scalar modulus on a scale of several domains. These inhom ogeneous eld con gurations do not minimize energy and will therefore evolve in time so as to coarsen and smooth out 2 .

W hat is the behavior of the phase and vector potential during this dynam ical process? The vector potential is characterized initially, in the sym metric phase, by hA i = 0. During the transition A is sensitive to the change in expectation values of ' and in quite a di erent manner. W hereas the change in the expectation value of ' gives a mass to A , without changing its expectation value, the presence of a gradient in phase does act as a source for the latter. This can be seen by writing (8) as

$$[0 \ 0 \ A \ (1 \ \frac{1}{a}) 0 \ 0 \ A \] e^{2^{2}A} = J^{ext} e^{\prime^{2}} 0 \ J^{0};$$
 (11)

where \mathbf{J}^{ext} is any external source, in posed on the system .

The general hA i can, in principle, be computed from the generating functional for the theory which in turn follows from expanding the action (2) around a eld con guration that

¹The details of the triggering mechanism depend crucially on the hierarchy of the couplings in the theory determ ining thereby the order of the transition, as seen from therm odynamic e ective potential constructions.

 $^{^{2}}$ T his is explicitly observed in condensed matter systems, such as speci c types of liquid crystals, which display a large relaxation time [4].

satis es the Euler-Lagrange equations with boundary conditions characterizing the elds before and after the phase transition, as discussed above.

By introducing (11) in the action, we obtain

$$S[';;A] = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} d^{4}x e^{\prime 2} O \qquad J^{ext} A + term sin \prime and$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} d^{4}x J^{0}A + term sin \prime and$$
(12)

This can in turn be re-written exclusively in terms of the currents, as usual, since

$$A (x) = d^{4}y G (x;y;')J^{0}(y);$$
(13)

where

In the absence of external sources A then becomes

$$A (x) = d^{4}y G (x;y;')e'(y)e'(y)$$
(15)

As the phase transition approaches completion the scalar eld should become smoother, both in modulus and phase, leading to small derivative terms relative to the mass scale. This allows us to perform a small derivative expansion of the gauge propagator, leading to the result

A (x) '
$$\frac{'(x)^2}{2}^{!} \frac{(0 (x))}{e} + \text{ derivative term s in ' and (0 : (16))}$$

In the case of a hom ogeneous and static scalar m odulus, this reduces to the vacuum in the spontaneously broken phase (5). Unlike its higher derivative corrections, the rst term in (16) is gauge independent.

Having understood qualitatively from this sem i-classical analysis how the gauge eld reacts to a di erence in phase, it is important to discuss how a gradient in phase itself can occur and how it will evolve. Departing from the symmetric phase, where hA i = 0, eq.(9) can be written as

$$0 + 0 \ln'^2 0'$$
 (17)

Eq (17) is how ogeneous in @ show ing that a gradient in phase must exist initially for the phase to change in space-time. Simultaneously, given that hA i = 0, the energy of a given con guration ism inimized for a constant value of .We therefore expect the eld phase to be approximately constant inside each dom ain formed by the dynamics of the scalar modulus. D i erences in phase can then only occur when di erent dom ains come into causal contact, and then should evolve so as to be minimized. This picture corresponds to the well known K ibble mechanism, in a gauge theory³. In particular, according to (17) and under plane wave ansatze for the elds, the small frequency modes of will be resonant with those of'. A sa result, given an exponential grow th in the amplitudes of ' a corresponding exponential decrease in will occur. A s dom ains coalesce and @ ' tends to zero the remaining gradients in phase are frozen in and the gauge eld catches up with them, in the sense of (16).

Having this qualitative picture in m ind for the description of the eld dynam ics during the phase transition we proceed, in the next sections, to compute the eld of uctuations on the total winding number using the quantized magnetic ux (3) as a probe. These are given by

hN N
$$\circ i = \int_{0}^{Z Z} dl^{i} dl^{0_{j}} h A_{i}(x) A_{j}(y) i$$
 (18)

In most of what follows we will be concerned solely with therm al uctuations. Quantum uctuations can be readily included but are known to be subleading. Their e ect is to change the value of the vacuum expectation value of the '- eld as well as the couplings in the theory. We will in general adopt the position of treating ' as providing a classical tem perature dependent background eld h' i in which the elds can be quantized. In general

³ W e see that the geodesic rule com es about naturally from dynam ical considerations. Am biguities [11] result from considering the static gauge theory in its spontaneously broken phase, where the phase decouples and loses physical meaning.

this background will include strings. We then need to know the form of the two point function for the gauge elds, which in the absence of external sources, is given by

where G is given by (14), and J (x) = e'(x)e(x). If we assume that the contours do not run over any strings⁴ the dom inant term will be

$$hTA (x)A (y)i = G (x;y;')$$
(20)

We stress that we are not just quantizing A . Scalar-eld radiative corrections about h' i will be taken into account in the calculation of G . The remaining uncertainty in de ning the gauge propagator explicitly results from the unknown form of '(x). Having (16) in m ind we will treat the situation of a quasi-hom ogeneous background, expanding the propagator in the perturbations. The time dependence of ' remains a problem, however. We deal with it approximately by considering the elds in equilibrium and changing the corresponding tem perature. In the next section we treat the simplest case, i.e., that of a hom ogeneous background where the elds display equilibrium distributions.

III.FLUCTUATIONS IN W IND ING NUMBER IN THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM

In this section we specialize the previous discussion to the case of a hom ogeneous scalar m odulus background and assume the elds to have equilibrium distributions. The rst of these conditions will be lifted later, in section 5. Dropping the condition of equilibrium, how ever, would require the know ledge of the time dependence of the elds, in closed form, which is unknown in the necessary detail⁵.

 $^{^4}$ This seems reasonable if the string density is not too high. See section 5.

⁵M ore is known for the theory of a simple scalar eld num erically, e.g. [12], but this proves of little use in our present context.

The degree of approxim ation actually involved in adopting a therm ald istribution for the elds will necessarily depend on the dynam ics of the phase transition, which in turn will be determ ined by the hierarchy of couplings in the theory. Firstly, for a theory with strong gauge couplings (Type I) one expects the system to depart signi cantly from equilibrium (by bubble nucleation) and our approxim ation will probably grossly m isrepresent the actual uctuations in the system. In the opposite limit, however, (strong Type II) it should hold as a good approximation more or less throughout the whole dynamics. The latter is the case of most high temperature superconducting systems. Secondly, in any case, the late stages of the transition should be characterized by the approach to a therm al distribution and consequently the estimates below should describe the actual uctuations in the system increasingly more accurately. This expectation is also consistent with the indications of when the magnetic ux becomes a good indicator of the number of strings crossing a given surface, as seen in the last section.

A strictly hom ogeneous scalar eld is of course a necessary and su cient condition for the existence of no strings. It then becom es necessary to be able to estim ate how the values of the uctuations computed below relate to those in more realistic inhom ogeneous backgrounds. This issue will be addressed in section 5, where we will show that under well-de ned circum stances the uctuations computed here are the rst term in a system atic expansion about a hom ogeneous background and constitute, in general, the dom inant contribution.

In order to compute the therm al uctuations in winding number, given by (18), we need to know the form of the time-ordered therm al correlation function of the A . There are only two symmetric tensors in the spatial indices which can be made in the covariant and R gauges, namely ij ; k^ik^j . The W ard or BRS identities and the Lorentz structure at nite temperature then tell us that the most general form in covariant and R gauges is

$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{G}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t} \quad \mathbf{t}; \mathbf{x} \quad \mathbf{\tilde{x}}^{0}) = \mathbf{h}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{t}; \mathbf{x})\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{t}^{0}; \mathbf{\tilde{x}}^{0})\mathbf{i}$$
(21)

$$= \frac{i}{8^{3}} \int_{n=1}^{x^{1}} d^{3} \tilde{k} e^{ifk_{0}(t t^{0})} \tilde{k} : (x \tilde{x}^{0})g} iG^{ij}(k)$$
(22)

$$G^{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{k^2} \frac{1}{e^2 - 2} \int_{\mathbf{T}} (ij - \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}) + \frac{1}{k^2 - e^2 - 2} \int_{\mathbf{L}} + f(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{M}; \mathbf{j}) \frac{k^i k^j}{k^2}$$
(23)

where the energy $k_0 = 2$ in = . The f function varies with the gauge chosen while the other _T and _L term s correspond to the physical modes of the photon, two transverse (m agnetic) and a single longitudinal (electric) mode.

From (18) and (21–23), taking the integrals over circular paths of radius L, we see that we require two sorts of integration

$$\overset{I}{\underset{L}{\operatorname{dx}}} \overset{I}{\underset{L}{\operatorname{dx}}} \overset{ik \times}{\underset{L}{\operatorname{dx}}} \overset{ik \times}{\underset{L}{\operatorname{dx}}} k_{i} :$$
 (24)

Since the latter is zero we only pick up the term in the propagator with the $_{ij}$. This is not surprising as we are really looking at the magnetic eld correlations and the term with $_{ij}$ is associated with magnetic elds, its self-energy, $_{T}$ contains information about the magnetic screening. Thus we have that

$$hN^{2}i = \frac{e^{2}}{4^{2}}\frac{i}{8^{3}} \frac{k^{1}}{n=1}^{Z} d^{3}k \frac{i}{k^{2}} \frac{i}{e^{2}} \frac{i}{r} dx_{i} e^{-ikx} n(!)$$
(25)

Note that this form is independent of the gauge chosen and the only source of gauge dependence is in the expression used for $_{\rm T}$. Proceeding with (25), we use the leading term in the high temperature expansion of the one-loop self-energy. In this we are working to leading order in the resummation scheme of B raaten and P isarski [13] which is necessary at high temperatures. Performing the energy sum leads to two terms. There is a pole contribution which corresponds to propagation of a plasm on'. The pole is found at $k_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ (k) where

$$0 = ! (k)^{2} \stackrel{2}{\leftarrow} (T)^{2} \qquad T^{T} (! (k);k)$$

$$T^{T} (k_{0};k) = \frac{3M_{P}^{2}}{2} \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k^{2}} + 1 \frac{k_{0}^{2}}{k^{2}} \frac{k_{0}}{2k} \ln \frac{k_{0}}{k_{0} + k}$$
(26)

where we have worked with respect to the vacuum

$$\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial e} = 0:$$
 (27)

The usual O (e²) result is $(T)^2 = {}^2 1 {}_{T_c^2} {}_{T_c^2}$, which vanishes at the critical tem perature T_c . For abelian theories, the value of M $_p$ is

$$M_{P}^{2} = \frac{e^{2}T^{2}}{3}; \qquad (28)$$

for a U (1) theory with a single charged scalar or ferm ion. W hile the position of the pole for general three m om entum is given by a non-trivial dispersion relation, to within about 10% this is approximately expressible as $k_0^2 = k^2 + m^2$ where

$$m^2 = e^2 (T)^2 + M_P^2$$
: (29)

The dierence in the nalresults, between choosing this approximate dispersion relation or the true one, has been studied numerically in some of the cases below and is found to be negligible (less than 1%).

The real complication is encountered with the cut term, running across the zero energy point, seen in the in aginary part of $_{\rm T}$ for $j_{0}j < k$. This corresponds to the physical process of Landau dam ping allowed in a heat bath. Thism ust be treated num erically as in this case we are not able to use any sum rules of [14], which can be seen in the logarithm ic dependence on tem perature. Equivalently, trying to look at possible 0 (T) terms by including only the n = 0 term in the energy sum (e.g. see appendix of [15]) leads to divergent integrals and to the breakdown of the calculation.

Doing the energy sum gives

$$hN^{2}i = \frac{e^{2}}{32^{5}} d^{3}\tilde{K} \int_{L}^{I} dx_{i}e^{-i\tilde{K}\cdot x} \frac{n(!)}{!} + (cut term s) + (T = 0 term s):$$
(30)

On computing the integral in (25) we nd

$$hN^{2}i = \frac{e^{2}}{4^{2}}L^{2} k_{k}dk_{k}dk_{z}J_{1}^{2}(k_{k}L) \frac{n(!)}{!} + (cut term s) + (T = 0 term s);$$
(31)

where k_k is the momentum component on the plane de ned by the contours and J_1 is the usual Bessel function of order 1. This last integral cannot be fully computed analytically.

For large enough loops ($k_k L < 1$) we can take the large argument form of the Bessel function. Looking only at the pole contribution and using the good approximation that $!^2 = k^2 + M_p^2$ leads to

hN²i'
$$\frac{e^2}{4^2} \frac{2LT}{3} \ln \frac{T}{m}$$
 : (32)

This estimate is indeed con rm ed by computing the integral (31), for the pole term, num erically. Figures 1 and 2 show the result of a num erical integration looking at just the pole term with the approximate dispersion relation $!^2 = k^2 + m^2$ on the dependence of hN²i on LT and $\frac{M}{T}$, respectively. The $\frac{1}{3}$ coe cient results from the best-t, given the functional form (32). Using the exact dispersion relation for the pole contribution makes only a very slight difference to the results. The cut term shows a similar sensitivity on (T) which acts as an infra-red cuto . For L (T) < 1 the cut contribution to N² rises as L h L. However, for L (T) 1 and larger, a similar qualitative behavior to (32) is found, with m agnetic screening mass ⁶ m_{mag} = e (T) replacing the plasm on mass in the form ula above to give

hN²i /
$$\frac{e^2}{4^2}$$
LT ln $\frac{T}{(T)}$: (33)

with a coe cient of proportionality comparable (typically, a few times larger) to that of the pole in (32). Thus we see that the contributions from the pole and cut terms essentially generate the same form for the winding number uctuations away from the critical temperature, when (T) M_P . As such the in uence of the cut term, in these circum stances, is to change the overall coe cient in the functional form (32). In most of what follows we will therefore restrict ourselves to computing pole contributions.

Qualitatively the results above can be thought of as follows. The dependence of hN² i on L can be understood in terms of a random walk in the eld uctuations along the contour perimeter. This is commensurate with the Kibble mechanism of domain formation, with random phases correlated on a scale = $1=e^{2}T$ (up to logarithms).

⁶The magnetic screening mass for abelian theories only has a contribution from the scalar eld shift.

The quasi-linear dependence on the tem perature ensures that, as the system cools, uctuations in winding number become less and less relevant. The logarithm ic dependence on the eld them alm ass, in turn, guarantees that as one approaches the critical tem perature and the mean eld mass (T) vanishes, the magnitude of winding number uctuations diverges. This is certainly the instance when them all uctuations can significantly change any underlying expected winding number. The actual divergence of hN²i at the critical tem perature for the pole term, however, is an artefact of our best t since, as can be seen in g. 2, as the mass vanishes (for M_P ' 0) the uctuations in winding number remain nite even though maximal. This is not the case for the cut contribution, as far as we could nd num erically where the logarithm ic behavior persists, with extraordinary accuracy, down to $m_{mag} = 10^{-4}$.

By them selves, however, the magnitude of uctuations tell us little about how string con gurations can be changed. This then takes us to the crucial issue of comparing the magnitude of uctuations to the underlying expected winding number. The magnitude of relative uctuations is given by

$$\frac{(N)^{2}}{Ni^{2}} = \frac{hN^{2}i}{hNi^{2}} = 1$$
(34)

where hN i is the total string number, without distinguishing strings from antistrings. In principle hN ²i and hN i² m ust be computed self-consistently given a speci c eld background. To estimate the behavior of (34) in our speci c setting, however, we can take our values for hN ²i and borrow the value for hN i², obtained using the Vachaspati-V ilenkin algorithm, for the number of strings crossing a disc of area L^2 . As required, this algorithm does not distinguish between strings and anti-strings. U sing this value in (34) should clearly yield an underestimate for the value of the relative uctuations. The expected value of the winding number thus computed crossing a disc of radius L is [6]

hN
$$i = \frac{1}{4} \frac{L^2}{2}$$
 (35)

where is the average dom ain radius at coalescence. This can be written as an inverse of a mass scale $=\frac{1}{m}$, whence

$$\frac{(N)^{2}}{hNi^{2}} ' \frac{8e^{2}}{3^{2}} \frac{T}{m} \frac{1}{mL} \ln(\frac{T}{m}) = 1:$$
(36)

The identication of this scale with the mean dom ain radius at coalescence springs directly from its numerical implementation. Its computation from the eld theory, however, implies a knowledge of the dom ain dynamics which is, in general, very poor [16]. The original proposal by K ibble [1] was to identify this scale with the inverse G inzburg temperature which is a well de ned quantity in a eld theory undergoing a second order phase transition. More recently, K ibble and V ilenkin [7] argued that a more suitable scale could be found by invoking the scaling of the string network emerging from the phase transition. They discuss carefully the di erent length scales in the problem and essentially conclude that individual strings then can be identied once this typical string separation scale becomes larger than the G inzburg length. Before then uctuations in the elds are argued to be very large and coherent long-lived eld con gurations cannot persist. Looking at (36) we can indeed observe that uctuations become e large for temperatures larger than m and length scales smaller than its inverse. At the critical temperature the relative uctuations should diverge due to the cut contribution discussed above.

Our present analysis thus lends support to several of their qualitative arguments and clari es the emergence of some scales from eld theory propagators.

IV.STRING SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION AND OTHER CORRELATION

FUNCTIONS

One interesting question to ask about string form ation that is of the upm ost in portance for the subsequent evolution of a string network is what is the fraction of string in loops as opposed to long string. W e will see in this section that winding number correlation functions can give us some insights into this problem.

Since we expect to be dealing with a complicated background in which many string con gurations will thread through our contour these questions can only be answered statistically. Intuitively then, the question of how string is distributed in space assumes the form

15

of a conditional probability question, i.e, know ing the am ount of string that crosses a given surface what is the am ount of string that crosses a second surface with a given orientation relative to the form er. A newers to these questions are naturally provided in terms of correlation functions and the natural way ahead, in the context of our present discussion, is to take our two point function for the winding number (18) through two di erent contours. In so doing we expect that the correlations between net winding number threading through the two bops in a given geom etrical con guration can also give us inform ation about how string is distributed relative to that geom etry. The general case is too di cult, but there are two natural extensions of (25) that are solvable, nam ely that for which the two circles rem ain concentric but now have di erent radii l and L > 1 and that of two co-axial circles of equal radius L separated by a distance h. These are shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. Intuitively we would expect the rist of these correlation functions to give us inform ation about the population of string with curvature radius greater than that of the longest contour whereas the second should provide a measure of straightness on the scale of separation between the contours.

We start with the form er. In what follows we compute the contributions to the correlation functions due to the pole term only. As discussed above the cut contribution should not change any qualitative aspect of our results but merely the overall coe cient by a number of order 1. As before in order to compute the the two-point function for the winding number we can show that only the integrals of the type I_1 survive, i.e., we have to compute

$$I_{1} = \int_{a}^{Z} dl_{j} dl_{j} e^{ik \cdot (L - 1)} = L \int_{a}^{Z} d d e^{ik_{k} (L \cos^{0} - 1\cos^{0})} \cos(0)$$
(37)

This can be shown to yield

$$I_{1} = 4^{-2} I J_{1} (k_{k} L) J_{1} (k_{k} l):$$
(38)

We then see that in this case the correlation function is no longer, necessarily, positive de nite but rather depends on the interplay between the radius of the two contours. The expression for the correlation function then becomes

hN N
$$\circ i = \frac{e^2}{4^2} L l^{Z} k_k dk_k dk_z \frac{J_1(k_k L)J_1(k_k l)}{!} n (!):$$
 (39)

Figure 5 shows the result of the numerical integration of (39), having xed the radius of one of the contours to be $l = \frac{1}{T}; \frac{5}{T}$ and $\frac{10}{T}$ and m = 0.2T. It shows that the winding number is most correlated when the radius of the two contours is comparable. Moreover, when the di erence between the radii of the two contours becomes appreciable the correlation function exhibits the approximate behavior

hN N oi'
$$\frac{e^2}{4^2}$$
LT0:05 $\frac{e^{1:25m (L-1)}}{p L}$: (40)

Thus, for radial separations larger than essentially a few units of the inverse mass, the winding number through the two contours becomes essentially uncorrelated showing that it should correspond to dierent strings. String, if produced by a thermal uctuation, would therefore be expected to have a curvature radius smaller than that scale, corresponding necessarily to bops of that form.

A nother possible generalization of (25) corresponds to translating the two contours, in the direction perpendicular to the plane they de ne, relative to each other so that they now de ne the two basis of a cylinder of height h, see g.4. In this case the form of the original integral still holds but the Fourier transform corresponding to the 'z'-direction ceases to be trivial. We then obtain

hN N
$$\circ i = \frac{e^2}{4} L_0^2 k_k dk_k J_1^2 (k_k L)_0^{Z_1} dk_z e^{ik_z h} \frac{n(!)}{!};$$
 (41)

where h is the separation between the two contours along the vertical axis. This gives

hN N
$$i = 2 \frac{e^2}{4} L_0^2 k_k dk_k J_1^2 (k_k L)_0^2 dk_z \cos(k_z h) \frac{n(!)}{!}$$
 (42)

Figure 6 shows the result of these integrations for several values of L and m = 0.2T. Again an approximate analytical behavior can be found for (42). The best t on the mass and h dependences yields

hN N oi'
$$\frac{e^2}{4}$$
0:6LTe ^{1:25m h} (43)

Both correlation functions (39) and (42), above exhibit exponential fall-o swith contour separation, determ ined by a correlation length multiple of the inverse gauge eld mass. This behavior strictly holds form (L = 1); m hill. Together with the result of the previous section about the magnitude of uctuations, these allow us to characterize the temperature for which winding number uctuations are relevant on a given length scale that can in turn be compared to the string's proper length. In is clear that, as the temperature drops, only the population of string loops smaller than $= O\left(\frac{1}{m(T)}\right)$ will be a ected. Thus any long/in nite string emerging from the phase transition will be very little changed by uctuations. This is an in portant result as it states that the form ation of long string is essentially a classical process occurring at the level of the Euler-Lagrange equations for the theory.

V.FLUCTUATIONS IN W INDING NUMBER IN THE PRESENCE OF STRINGS

In this section we investigate how a non-hom ogeneous scalar modulus changes the uctuations in winding number, as computed in section 3. This is an obvious requirement of any description of uctuations taking place during a phase transition. Inhom ogeneities occur naturally in the dynamics of dom ain grow th and coalescence, corresponding to such interfaces as well as to topological defects⁷. As the phase transition approaches completion we expect the dom ain structure to coarsen and smooth away and the only inhom ogeneities left behind should correspond to topological defects. At all stages, therm all uctuations in winding number will occur and a freeze-out in defect number will correspond to the instant in tim e when their role is rendered negligible, in som e well-speci ed way.

In what follows we will attempt to give a general treatment of uctuations in the presence of a weakly inhom ogeneous scalar modulus by system atically expanding the gauge eld propagator in a sm all perturbation around a hom ogeneous scalar eld. W e will later specialize to the case where strings constitute such inhom ogeneities. A s before we will only keep

⁷ In the early stages of this dynam ics such a distinction probably fails to apply.

the pole term in the gauge eld propagator.

Let us consider the case of a localized deviation from the otherwise hom ogeneous background so that we can write the scalar m odulus as

$$'(x) = f(x)$$
 (44)

where is the background value of the scalar eld modulus and f(x) the small perturbation. Then we can expand the gauge propagator around the hom ogeneous background eld. We restrict ourselves to the relevant part of the transverse propagator, for the sake of clarity. Form ally, we obtain

$$G \quad (x;y;M) = hx j_{2^{2} + m^{2} + M^{2}(z)} jyi' hx j_{2^{2} + m^{2}} 1 jziM^{2}(z)hz j_{2^{2} + m^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} jziM^{2}(z)hz j_{2^{2} + m^{2}} jz^{0}iM^{2}(z^{0})hz^{0} j_{2^{2} + m^{2}} + higher order term s] jyi;$$

$$(45)$$

where $M^{2}(x) = e^{2}f(x) [2 \quad f(x)]$. The rst term in the expansion is just the gauge eld propagator in the presence of a hom ogeneous, static scalar eld in the broken phase. When used to compute winding number correlation functions it will generate the results of the previous two sections, when taken in therm all equilibrium. The rst correction to that can be written as

$$G^{(1)}(x;y) = d^{4}pd^{4}kd^{4}zd^{4}z^{0}G(p)e^{ip(z-x)}M^{2}(z;z^{0})G(k)e^{ik(y-z^{0})};$$
(46)

where M² (z; z^0) = M² (z^0)⁴ (z z^0). This leads to

$$G^{(1)}(x;y) = d^{4}pd^{4}kG(p)e^{-ipx}M^{2}(k-p)G(k)e^{iky}; \qquad (47)$$

where

$$M^{2}(k \quad p) = d^{4}ze^{iz(k \quad p)}M^{2}(z); \qquad (48)$$

is the usual Fourier transform of M 2 (z). We see that the presence of inhom ogeneities can be accounted for as the occurrence of sources, in the usual way. Their e ect is naturally

to change the 4-m om entum of photons from an in-going state to an out-going one. The order in the expansion (45) then corresponds to the number of such m om entum transfers. To actually compute corrections to our previous results we have to specify the functional form of M² (x). As an illustration of the e ect of inhom ogeneities we take the simplest case of associating M² with one straight string at rest, placed in the center of the loop. That is,

$$M^{2}(x) = e^{2}f(x) [2 \quad f(x)]' \quad 2\hat{e} \quad f(x);$$
(49)

where we specialize the form of f(x) to correspond the the eld proles of a string at distances larger than its width [17]

$$f(r) = k_{s}K_{0}(m_{s}r)' k_{s}' \frac{1}{2 m_{s}r} e^{m_{s}r};$$
(50)

where K_0 is the modi ed Bessel Function of order zero and k_s is a constant that for critically coupled strings can be shown to be $k_s = j_n j$, with n as the string's winding number. Here we assume strings to be the usual static solutions of the elective 3-dimensional theory, obtained after the usual frequency sums at nite temperature. As such all mass scales exhibit their usual temperature dependence. The exponential regime corresponds to the asymptotic form of the Bessel function for large arguments. In particular we see that, in this regime, the corrections to the hom ogeneous background propagator will be exponentially suppressed by the distance to the string.

It is straightforward to generalize the situation for one string to an arbitrary number of strings, or other well localized sources of inhom ogeneity with a de nite pro le. We simply write the scalar modulus as the superposition of all sources of inhom ogeneity as

$$' = {}^{1 \text{ N}} {}^{\text{N}}_{i=1} (N \quad f_i) ' \qquad {}^{\text{X}^{\text{N}}}_{i=1} f_i + \text{higher order terms in f}$$
(51)

Let us then proceed to compute the change in uctuations brought about by a single string, to rst order. The form (50), corresponding to a single static cylindrically-symmetric string placed in the center of our circular contours, actually allows us to compute its Fourier transform analytically; namely

$$M^{2}(\mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{p})' \quad d^{4}\mathbf{x} e^{-i(\mathbf{p} - q)\mathbf{x}} 2m_{e}^{2}K_{0}(\mathbf{m}_{s}\mathbf{r}) \\ = 4^{2}m_{e}^{2} \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{0} \quad \mathbf{p}) \quad (\mathbf{k}_{s} \quad \mathbf{p}_{s})}{(\mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{p}_{k}^{2} + m_{s}^{2})};$$
(52)

which is just the potential, in momentum space, for a massive cylindrically symmetric eld, as it should be. Using this form in (47) yields the correction to the winding number correlation functions

$$hN^{2}i^{(1)} = \frac{e^{2}}{8^{3}}(Lm_{e})^{2} dp_{k}dk_{k}dk_{z} \frac{J_{1}(p_{k}L)J_{1}(k_{k}L)}{w_{q}w_{k}} e^{\frac{p_{k}^{2} + k_{k}^{2} + m_{s}^{2}}{(p_{k}^{2} + k_{k}^{2} + m_{s}^{2})^{2} - 4p_{k}^{2}k_{k}^{2}}$$

$$\frac{1}{w_{p} + w_{k}} (n (w_{p}) + n (w_{k})) \frac{1}{w_{k} - w_{p}} (n (w_{k}) - n (w_{p}))^{*};$$
(53)

where we have assumed that both the in-going and out-going momenta display therm al distributions. This follows autom atically since a static source causes no energy shift.

The integral (53) has to be computed numerically. Its dependence on L, together with that of the zeroth order result of section 2 and their sum are depicted in g. 7, for $\frac{m}{T} = 0.5$; $\frac{m_s}{T} = 0.6$. It shows that the e ect of this correction is only to change winding number uctuations signi cantly for sm all contour radius, sm aller or equal to the string's width. This can also be seen by xing L and m_e and varying m_s. Indeed, the magnitude of the correction term becomes larger the sm aller scalar masses we take, i.e., with greater string widths, signaling the breakdown of the weakly inhom ogeneous scalar eld expansion for the gauge propagator. In this regine the sum of the two terms yields a negative quantity showing the clear breakdown of the correction becomes increasingly more negligible and the negative shift probably becomes a genuine feature.

Another relevant issue is to know how this correction term behaves close to the critical temperature. This can be probed by assuming that both masses vanish approximately as we approach the critical temperature. In practice we know this to be untrue for the gauge eld due to higher order e ects, as discussed in section 3. We can distinguish two cases, namely, when the Yukawa gauge coupling is larger or smaller than the scalar selfcoupling. This di erentiates between a type I and a type II theory, respectively. Fig 8,

shows the dependence of the zeroth order term on the gauge mass as well as that of (54)for $m_S = 1.5 m_e$; m_e ; $0.5 m_e$; for xed L = 5=T. We see that the magnitude of the correction term grows with the gauge coupling, while still remaining smaller than the zeroth order term. This however does not hold for strong type I theories for which we see that larger values of L will be required for the series expansion to hold. One in portant feature of the correction term, that holds regardless of the hierarchy of the couplings in the theory, is that it vanishes, at critical tem perature, with the scalar mass. This should constitute a genuine behavior of all correction terms since they are proportional to increasing powers of M (x). Thus as the latter goes to zero so does M (x) and we recover the usual electrom agnetic propagator. This allows to conclude that the weakly inhom ogeneous background expansion can always be trusted in a su ciently small neighborhood of the critical tem perature and that the e ect of the presence of strings will manifest itself mostly at interm ediate and low tem peratures. These conclusions would radically change if we do not adopt a therm al equilibrium mass scale (vanishing at the critical tem perature) in our defect con gurations. In assuming this we hope to m in ic an average defect con guration in the presence of a therm alplasm a and thereby render the whole picture self-consistent.

VI.CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have developed techniques to allow us to study the role of uctuations in cosm ic string form ation in an A belian gauge theory. Their application to the simplest case of quasi-hom ogeneous scalar eld backgrounds leads us to conclude that them all uctuations in winding number are strongest the closer the system is to the critical temperature and, further, that the relative winding number uctuations diverge at that temperature signaling the presence of no stable defects, in these circum stances. This e ect persists even in the presence of inhom ogeneities in the elds, such as strings them selves, if therm al equilibrium is used throughout. As the temperature drops the role of therm all uctuations in changing winding number becomes less and less in portant. The energy scale that characterizes an

22

approximate freeze-out in defect numbers is most naturally the inverse mean domain size at the time of coalescence, which in turn is associated to the average string interseparation. From the point of view of the eld theory its determination necessarily implies a more detailed know ledge of the eld dynamics during the transition than what is currently known.

On developing generalizations of our initial winding number correlation function we also conclude that string congurations can only be changed by them all uctuations on scales of the order of the them alcorrelation length of the elds. As such the population of small string bops can be drastically modiled but not that of long and in nite string, which is the most relevant input for network numerical evolutions. A change in loop population is only likely, for the present cosm ic string network in plementations, to change the approach to the scaling regime and will therefore bring no change to the details of late time structure seeding in the canonical scenario.

An analogous winding num ber correlation function over the sam e contour can be de ned using the phase of the Higgs eld directly [9]. Using the gauge sector instead has great advantages in computational simplicity and reliability as the former is divergent as the symmetric phase is approached from below. This leads to in nities and computability can only be maintained by on adopting severe approximations. Both methods will necessarily measure uctuations in the gauge and scalar elds, respectively, that do not necessarily correspond to strings. This is because, even though one of the elds can have the right con guration to generate a string, it will take the two at the sam e point in space to produce a Nielsen-O leson vortex. One is then overcounting strings as we will be computing the num ber of strings present at a given instant together with that of uctuations that can potentially produce a string or decay away⁸.

⁸O ther criteria exist to count the num ber of strings and their uctuations, nam ely those inspired in counting the density of zeros of the scalar eld [18]. However, the same methods have yet to be applied to gauge theories.

Our methods should have other applications beyond the GUT transitions. The system considered above has obvious analogies with a superconductor where the G inzburg-Landau free energy is given precisely by a non-relativistic analogue of the A belian H iggs model. Our analysis for the winding number correlation functions generalizes to both models provided them ean eld masses and self-energies get properly translated. This has yet to be done, but would possibly constitute the natural test on the approach developed above as comparison with experiment seems a feasible task. The next closest example of string con gurations in a speci c model concerns those in the Electroweak Standard M odel. Their study in the simplest approach consists in treating the model as an elective A belian theory of a complex scalar eld and the Z gauge boson. Even though the supplementary eld excitations are known render them unstable [19] our winding number correlation functions should nd applicability here, too.

The eventual applicability of this approach in genuinely out-of-equilibrium scenarios hinges upon a better know ledge of the corresponding elds and their two-point correlation functions. A considerable amount of e ort is being devoted to this problem [12,20] and it is conceivable that the full problem will be tractable in the near future.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e would like to thank Luis J.G aray for num erous discussions and help in the earliest stages of the num erical work. W e would also like to thank Tanm ay Vachaspati for the discussions that lead to section 3. The idea asking for string distributions in terms of conditional probability statm ents is due to him. L M A B.'s research is supported by JN JC.T. under contract BD 2243/92.T.S.E. would like to thank the Royal Society for their support.

24

REFERENCES

- [1] T.W.B.Kibble, J.Phys.A 9 1387 (1976).
- [2] For a comprehensive review see A.Vilenkin and E.P.S.Shellard, Cosmic Strings and other Topological Defects (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994).
- [3] P.C.Hendy, N.S.Lawson, R.A.M.Lee, P.V.E.McLintock and C.D.H.W illiams, Nature 368 315 (1994).
- [4] I. Chuang, R. Durrer, N. Turok and B. Yurke, Science 251 1336 (1991); M. J. Bowick,
 L. Chander, E. A. Chander, E. A. Schi and A. M. Srivastava, Science 263 943 (1994).
- [5] Ya.B.Zeldovich, Mon.Not.Astron.Soc.192 663 (1980); A.Vilenkin, Phys.Rev.Lett. 46 1169 (1981); 46 1496 (E) (1981).
- [6] T. Vachaspati and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 31 3052 (1985).
- [7] T.W. B. Kibble and A. Vilenkin, Imperial College and Tuffs University preprint Imperial-TP-94-95-9A, TUTP-95-1.
- [8] R.H.Brandenberger and A.-C.Davis, Phys.Lett.B 332 305 (1994).
- [9] R.J.Rivers, in Electroweak Physics and the Early Universe, Ed. by J.C.Rom ao and F.Freire, Plenum Press, New York (1994).
- [10] H.B.Nielsen and P.Oleson, Nucl. Phys. B 61 45 (1973).
- [11] S.Rudaz and A. Srivastava, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 8 1143 (1993).
- [12] D. Boyanovski, H. J. de Vega, R. Holman, D.S. Lee and A. Singh, Phys. Rev. D 51 4419 (1995).
- [13] Braaten and Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. B 337 569 (1990).
- [14] E.Braaten and T.C.Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 2183 (1991).
- [15] E. Copeland, G. Cheetham, T. S. Evans and R. J. Rivers, Phys. Rev. D 47 5316 (1993).

- [16] M ore can be done in spin m odels and other condensed m atter system s. See e.g.G.F. M azenko, W .G.Unruh and R.M.W ald, Phys. Rev D 31 273 (1985).
- [17] L.M.A.Bettencourt and R.J.Rivers, Phys. Rev.D 51 1842 (1995).
- [18] A.J.G ill and R.J.R ivers, Imperial College preprint IMPERIAL-TP-93-94-55.
- [19] M. James, L. Perivolaropoulos and T. VachaspatiNucl. Phys. B 395 534 (1993).
- [20] N.D. Antunes, L.M. A. Bettencourt and G.Karra, in progress.

Figure 1 : The dependence of the winding number uctuations on the contour radius L from the pole contribution, for m = 0.2T; 0:5T and 0:8T.

Figure 2 : The dependence of the winding number uctuations on the gauge eld mass from the pole contribution, for L = T;5T and 15T.

Figure 3 : A Schematic view of the two concentric contours and of the strings that contribute to the winding number correlation function between them .

Figure 4 : The same as in Figure 3 for the two coaxial circular contours.

Figure 5: The dependence of the winding number correlation function between the two concentric contours on one of the contour's radius L, for m = 0.2T and l = T;5T and 10T.

Figure 6 : The dimension curves exhibit the dependence of the winding number correlation function between the two circular coaxial contours on their separation h, for m = 0.2T and L = 5T; 10T and 15T.

Figure 7 : The magnitude of the zeroth order term (dotted line), the absolute value of its rst correction and their sum as a function of contour radius and for $m_s = 0.4T$ and m = 0.2T.

Figure 8 : The dependence of the zeroth order term in winding number uctuations (dotted line) and its rst order correction on the gauge eld mass M, for L = 5=T and in the cases $m_s = 0.7m$; m and 1.2m. The mass scale M is in units of temperature.

27

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-3.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-4.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-5.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-6.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-7.png" is available in "png" format from:

This figure "fig1-8.png" is available in "png" format from: