STATUS OF PERTURBATIVE QCD EVALUATION OF HADRONIC DECAY RATES OF THE Z AND HIGGS BOSONS

Davison E. Soper and Levan R. Surguladze Institute of Theoretical Science, University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403, USA

(presented by L.R. Surguladze)

W e review the current status of the high order perturbative QCD evaluation of the hadronic decay rates of the Z and H iggs bosons. A system atic classi cation of the various types of QCD corrections to O ($\frac{2}{s}$) and O ($\frac{3}{s}$) is m ade and their num erical status is clarified.

to appear in the Proceedings of the XXX th Rencontres de Moriond \QCD and High Energy Hadronic Interactions" Les Arcs, France, March 19-26, 1995

1 Introduction

The standard theory of strong interactions, QCD, can be acurately tested based on the precise experiments at LEP/SLC and the recent high order perturbative results for the hadronic width of the Z boson. From the t of theoretical and experimental results, an acurate value of the strong coupling can be extracted¹⁾. With the planned experimental precision at LEP, an error of a couple of percent on $_{\rm s}$ is expected²⁾. In this situation, a further improvement of the theoretical precision for the measured quantities is necessary. In this work, we present a systematic classic cation of various types of high order QCD contributions to the hadronic decay rates of the Z boson. W e also discuss recent results of the evaluation of the O ($_{\rm s}^2$) QCD corrections to the hadronic decay rates of the Standard M odel Higgs boson.

2 Hadronic decay width of the Z boson

2.1 Theoretical structure

The decay rate of the Z boson into quark antiquark pair can be written in the following way

$$z_{\rm ! hadrons} = \frac{G_{\rm F} M_{\rm Z}^{3} X}{8^{2} \overline{2}_{\rm f}} f_{\rm f} v_{\rm f}^{2} (1 + 2X_{\rm f})^{\rm q} \overline{1 - 4X_{\rm f}} + v_{\rm QCD}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm t}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; X_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; X_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm v} (s; V_{\rm f}) + v_{\rm QED}^{\rm$$

where $v_f = 2I_f^{(3)}$ 4e_f sin² w k_f, a_f = $2I_f^{(3)}$ are the standard vector and axial couplings. $X_f = m_f^2 = M_Z^2$, e.g., X_b 0:003. VA and VA geb stand for the vector and axial parts of the corresponding QCD and QED contributions. The sum mation f = u;d;s;c;b should be done with a proper care for the singlet contributions, which are included in VA geb (see below). The electroweak self-energy and vertex corrections are absorbed in the factors f and k_f. The current status of the electroweak contributions has been discussed in detail³. The sm all QED corrections in vector and axial channels look like

$$v_{QED} = \frac{3}{4}e_{f}^{2} - [1 + 12X_{f} + 0(X_{f}^{2})] + 0(^{2}) + 0(_{s}); \qquad (2)$$

$$A_{2ED}^{A} = \frac{3}{4}e_{f}^{2} - [1 \quad 6X_{f} \quad 12X_{f} \log X_{f} + O(X_{f}^{2})] + O(^{2}) + O(_{s}):$$
(3)

Corrections 2 and $_s$ are negligible⁴⁾ at the current level of precision.

2.2 QCD contributions to O $\left(\frac{3}{s} \right)$

The QCD contributions are represented by the term s $_{QCD}^{V/A}$ and can be calculated within perturbation theory by evaluating the quantity Im (s + i0) at s = M $_{Z}^{2}$, where the function is de ned through a correlation function of two avor diagonal quark currents

$$i^{2} d^{4}xe^{iqx} < T j^{f}(x) j^{f}(0) >_{0} = g (Q^{2}) Q Q^{0}(Q^{2}):$$
(4)

Here, Q^2 is a large (M_z^2) Euclidean momentum and the neutral weak current of quark coupled to Z boson is

$$\mathbf{j}^{\mathrm{f}} = \frac{\mathbf{G}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{2}}{2 2} (\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{f}} \overline{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{f}} + \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{f}} \overline{\mathbf{q}}_{\mathrm{f}} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}}):$$
(5)

Because the physical scale (M_z) is much larger than the quark masses involved, we expand function in powers of m $_{f}^{2}=M_{z}^{2}$. The coe cient functions in this expansion and their the in aginary parts can be calculated using the methods of the renorm alization group⁵⁾ and the com puter program s for analytical evaluation of multiloop Feynm an diagram s⁶. For a review of the current state-of-art of the high order perturbative QCD calculations for the above quantities see the Ref. 7.

It is convenient to decompose $\frac{V/A}{QCD}$ in so called singlet and non-singlet parts. The nonsinglet part is form ed from the diagram s with the two quark currents within a single ferm ionic loop and the singlet part corresponds to the graphs with the quark currents in separate ferm ionic bops m ediated by gluonic states. $v_{QCD} = v_{QCD}^{v,ns} + v_{QCD}^{v,s} = v_f^2; a_{QCD} = a_{QCD}^{A,ns} + a_{QCD}^{A,s} = a_f^2:$ The nonsinglet part in the vector channel to 0 ($\frac{3}{s}$) reads

$$\sum_{Q \in D}^{V, \text{ns}} = -\frac{s}{(1 + 12\overline{X}_{f})} + -\frac{s}{2} (1.40923 + 104.833\overline{X}_{f} + X_{F}^{(2)} (X_{v}) + G^{(2)} (X_{t}))$$

$$+ -\frac{s}{2} (12.76706 + 547.879\overline{X}_{f} + X_{V}^{F} (3) (X_{v}) + G^{(3)} (X_{t}));$$
(6)

where $\overline{X}_{f} = m_{f}^{2} (M_{Z}) = M_{Z}^{2}$. The O $\binom{2}{s}$ and O $\binom{3}{s}$ terms in the limit of vanishing light quark masses $\overline{X}_{f} = 0$ and in nitely large top mass $m_{t} = 1$ have been evaluated^{8;9)}. The terms

 \overline{X}_{f} represent leading m ass corrections^{10;11;12)}. The functions F ⁽²⁾ (X _f) and G ⁽²⁾ (X _t) stand for the contributions from the three-loop diagram s containing the virtual ferm ionic loop with the m assive quark propagating in it. A swe will see, these contributions are already sm all, so there is no necessity to evaluate light quark mass corrections for F and G. The function F represents the contribution of light (rst ve avors) quarks, while the function G represents the remaining contribution of the decoupled top quark in ve avor e ective theory. Num erically ¹¹,

$$F^{(2)}(X_v) = \overline{X_v}^2 = 0.474894 \log \overline{X_v} + \frac{q}{\overline{X_v}} = 0.5324 + 0.0185 \log \overline{X_v}^i$$
 (7)

is small and can be neglected. Contributions from virtual top quark involve $G(X_{+})$ function. W e see that G vanishes in the large X_t lim it. That is, the heavy quark decouples¹¹

$$G^{(2)}(X_{t}) = \overline{X_{t}}^{1} = \frac{44}{675} + \frac{2}{135} \log \overline{X_{t}} = \frac{q}{\overline{X_{t}}^{1}} \log 0.001226 + 0.001129 \log \overline{X_{t}}^{1} :$$
(8)

The three-bop analytical expressions for the F and G functions were found in Ref. 13. Also, the rst two terms in the r.h.s. of eq.(8) have been obtained using the large mass expansion methods¹⁴⁾. At order ${}_{s'}^{3}$ F ${}^{(3)}$ (X f) = 6:12623 \overline{X}_{f} + 0 (\overline{X}_{f}^{2}) ${}^{12)}$. Based on the large mass expansion technique, the following tiny correction has been obtained for the virtual top quark contribution in the lim it X_t ! 1 ¹⁵⁾

$$G^{(3)}(X_{t}) = \overline{X}_{t}^{1} [0:1737 \quad 0:2124 \log \overline{X}_{t} \quad 0:0372 \log^{2} \overline{X}_{t}]$$
(9)

In these formulas, s denotes running \overline{MS} coupling in ve avor theory evaluated at M_z. The transform ation relation for di erent num ber of avors and di erent scales, as well as the relation between the \overline{MS} running m ass and the pole m ass can be found in R ef. 16.

The nonsinglet part in the axial channel is very sim ilar to the one in the vector channel, except the light quark m ass corrections are di erent.

$$\sum_{Q \in D}^{A, \text{nS}} = -\frac{s}{(1 \ 22\overline{X}_{f})} + -\frac{s}{2} (1.40923 \ 85.7136\overline{X}_{f} + X \ F^{(2)}(X_{v}) + G^{(2)}(X_{t}))$$

$$+ -\frac{s}{2} (12.76706 + (\text{unknow n})\overline{X}_{f} + X \ F^{(3)}(X_{v}) + G^{(3)}(X_{t})):$$
(10)

The term s \overline{X}_{f} represent leading light quark m ass corrections^{17,11,12}.

In the vector channel, the singlet O ($\frac{2}{s}$) contribution is identically zero due to Furry's theorem ¹⁸⁾. In the axial channel, at the same order, the light doublet contributions add up to zero in the lim it of degenerate quark masses. This is because in the Standard M odel, quarks in a weak doublet couple with opposite sign to the Z boson in the axial channel. However, the contribution from the t,b doublet turnes out to be signi cant due to the large mass splitting¹⁹⁾

$$L_{A}^{(2)} = -\frac{s}{12} \left[\frac{37}{12} \log X_{t} + \frac{7}{81} X_{t}^{-1} + 0.013 X_{t}^{-2} + \overline{X}_{b} (18 + 6 \log X_{t}) - \frac{\overline{X}_{b}}{X_{t}} \frac{80}{81} + \frac{5}{27} \log X_{t} \right]$$
(11)

where sm all mass corrections \overline{X}_{b} have been calculated in Ref. 20. At the O ($_{s}^{3}$), both channels contribute. The vector channel contribution in the lim it of massles light quarks reads⁹⁾

$$L_{V}^{(3)} = -\frac{s}{2} = -\frac{s}{2} = -\frac{s}{2} = 0.41318 \left(\frac{X}{f = u, d; s; c; b} v_{f} \right)^{2} + (0.02703 \overline{X_{t}}^{1} + 0.00364 \overline{X_{t}}^{2} + 0.00364 \overline{X_{t}}^{2} + 0.0000 \overline{X_{t}}^{3}) v_{t} v_{f} ;$$
(12)

where negligible term s $\overline{X}_t^{1}; \overline{X}_t^{2}$ were computed in Ref. 15. In the axial channel, the O ($\frac{3}{s}$) singlet contribution in the large top m ass expansion reads^{21;15;3)}

$$L_{A}^{(3)} = -\frac{s}{2}^{3} 15.98773 - \frac{67}{18} \log X_{t} + \frac{23}{12} \log^{2} X_{t}$$
: (13)

The light quark mass corrections at the O ($_{s}^{3}$) for the singlet parts are not yet known. However, at the current experimental precision they are not expected to be detectable. Summarizing the present know ledge for the singlet parts, we write $_{QCD}^{V,S} = L_{V}^{(3)}$, $_{QCD}^{A,S} = L_{A}^{(2)} + L_{A}^{(3)}$.

2.3 On large $\ ^2$ " term s

Let us brie y mention about the importance of the so called ² terms appearing naturally as a result of analytical continuation of the results of perturbative evaluation from Euclidean to M inkowski space. Indeed, because of the relation ¹ Im $\log^3(s + i0) = 3\log^2 s + 2$ and relations similar to that, one can trace the appearance of the large contributions due to the 2 terms starting at 0 ($\frac{3}{s}$). For the known quantity R (s) in electron-positron annihilation at low energies, we write

$$R = 1 + \frac{s}{d_1} + \frac{s}{d_2} + \frac{s}{d_2} + \frac{s}{d_3} (d_3 + 2 d_1 + 3) + \frac{s}{d_1} (d_4 + 2 (d_3 + 2 d_2 + 5 d_1 + 4)) + \frac{s}{d_1} d_1 = 6)]$$

$$+ \frac{s}{d_1} + \frac{s}{d_2} d_3 + 2 d_3 + 2 d_1 d_2 = 3 + (d_1 + 2 d_2 + 2 d_1) + \frac{s}{d_1} d_1 = 5 d_1$$

The function $coe cients can be found in, e.g., Ref. 16. The d_i are known up to i = 3 and are of order 1 (d_i = f1;1:4; 0:7g)⁹⁾. The ² term s are large. They can be calculated up to order <math>\frac{5}{s}$ using the known d_i and _i. Numerically, they are f 12:1($_{s}$ =)³; 89:2($_{s}$ =)⁴; 648($_{s}$ =)⁵g. It is reasonable to expect that the contributions ² are dominant at all orders and their resum m ation seems to be of primary in portance. From the above equation, one can also get the idea about the size of the still uncalculated higher order corrections and numerical error estimates.

3 Hadronic decay width of the Higgs boson

The perturbative QCD evaluation of the hadronic decay rates of the SM Higgs boson^{3;22)} is a very similar to that of the Z boson. In fact, exactly the same set of diagram s have to be evaluated at each order. However, in this case one considers quark scalar current correlators. A loo, the calculation involves renorm alization of quark m ass, even in the m assless quark limit. This is because of the explicit quark m ass dependence of the Yukawa coupling. W e give the expression for the quantity $_{\rm H\,!\,hadrons}$ to O ($_{\rm s}^2$), without detailing the particular contributions from the singlet and nonsinglet parts.

$$H = hadrons = \frac{3^{2} \overline{2}G_{F}M_{H}}{8} \frac{X}{f} \overline{m}_{f}^{2} 1 + -e_{f}^{2} em (1 + weak) 1 4\frac{\overline{m}_{f}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}}^{3=2} + \frac{s}{5} \cdot 5 \cdot 667 40\frac{\overline{m}_{f}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}} + \frac{s}{2} \cdot 29 \cdot 147 99 \cdot 725\frac{\overline{m}_{f}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}} + 12 \frac{X}{v = udiscip} \frac{\overline{m}_{v}^{2}}{M_{H}^{2}}; \quad (15)$$

where \overline{m}_{f} is the \overline{MS} quark mass evaluated at M_H. The leading electroweak contributions, represented by the terms $_{em}$ and $_{weak}$, are calculated in Refs. 23,24,3. The leading QCD corrections $_{s}G_{F}m_{t}^{2}$ have been calculated in Ref. 25. The O ($_{s}$) QCD correction with the exact quark mass dependence was found in Ref. 26. The O ($_{s}^{2}$) corrections for the scalar quark current correlator and to the H iggs decay rates have been calculated in Refs. 27,16. The last term in the above expression is due to nonvanishing quark masses from the nonsinglet diagram s containing virtual ferm ionic loop and the singlet diagram s. Here we assume that the top quark is decoupled (the lim it $m_{t} = 1$). The correction arising from the virtual top quark was found in Ref. 28 and for the singlet diagram s in Ref. 29. These corrections have to be added to the above expression for a precision num erical analyses. F inally, we note that the sim ilar results exist for the pseudoscalar (M SSM) H iggs boson³⁰. We refer the readers to the original papers and review articles for the issues that we were not able to discuss here.

W e want to thank the organizers, especially J. Trân Thanh Vân for invitation and the excellent organization of this meeting. This work was supported by the US Department of Energy under grant No. D E-FG 06-85ER-40224.

References

- D.E.Soper, these proceedings;
 S.Bethke, these proceedings.
- [2] D. Schaile, Precision Test Of the Electroweak Interaction, CERN-PPE-94-162, 1994;
- [3] B.A.Kniehl, Int.J.M od.Phys.A 10 (1995) 443; Phys.Rep. 240 (1994) 211.
- [4] A.L.Kataev, Phys. Let. B 287 (1992) 209.
- [5] J.C.Collins, Renormalization (Cambridge University Press) 1984.
- [6] L.R. Surguladze, Intern. Journ. M od. Phys. C 5, (1994) 1089.
- [7] L.R. Surguladze and M.A. Samuel, Rev. Mod. Phys. (to be published).
- [8] K.G.Chetyrkin, A.L.Kataev and F.V.Tkachov, Phys.Lett.B 85 (1979) 277; M.D ine and J.Sapirstein, Phys.Rev.Lett., 43 (1979) 668; W.Celmaster and R.Gonsalves, Phys. Rev.Lett. 44 (1980) 560.

- [9] L.R. Surguladze and M.A. Samuel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 560; S.G. Gorishny, A.L.Kataev and S.A.Larin, Phys. Lett. B 259 (1991) 144. See also L.R. Surguladze and M.A. Samuel, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 157;
- [10] K.G. Chetyrkin and J.H. Kuhn, Phys. Lett. B 248 (1990) 359.
- [11] D.E.Soper and L.R.Surguladze, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 2958.
- [12] L.R. Surguladze, O regon University preprint O IT S-554, 1994; hep-ph/9410409.
- [13] B.A.Kniehl, Phys. Lett. B 237 (1990) 127; A.H.Hoang, M. Jezabek, J.H.Kuhn, T.Teubner, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 330.
- [14] K.G.Chetyrkin, Phys. Lett. B 307 (1993) 169.
- [15] S.A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen and J.A.M. Verm aseren, Nucl. Phys., B 438 (1995) 278.
- [16] L.R. Surguladze, Phys. Lett. B 341 (1994) 60.
- [17] K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kuhn and A. Kwiatkowski, Phys. Lett. B 282 (1992) 221.
- [18] W .Furry, Phys. Rev. 51 (1937) 125.
- [19] B.A.Kniehland J.H.Kuhn, Phys. Lett. B 224 (1989) 229; Nucl. Phys. B 329 (1990) 547.
- [20] K.G. Chetyrkin and A.Kwiatkowski, Phys. Lett. B 305 (1993) 285.
- [21] K.G. Chetyrkin and O.V. Tarasov, Phys. Lett. B 327 (1994) 114.
- [22] For a review see D.G raudenz, Talk given at this meeting; CERN-TH-95-77, 1995.
- [23] J.Fleischer and F. Jegerlehner, Phys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2001.
- [24] B.A.Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. B 376 (1992) 3.
- [25] B.A.Kniehl, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3314; B.A.Kniehland M. Spira, Nucl. Phys. B 432 (1994) 39.
- [26] E.Braaten and J.P.Leveille, Phys.Rev.D 22 (1980) 715; T.Inam iand T.Kubota, Nucl. Phys.B179 (1981) 171; M.D rees and K.Hikasa, Phys.Rev.D 41 (1990) 1547; N.Sakai, Phys.Rev.D 22 (1980) 2220.
- [27] L.R. Surguladze, Yad. Fiz. 50 (1989) 604 [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 50 (1989) 372];
 S.G. Gorishny, A.L. Kataev, S.A. Larin and L.R. Surguladze, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 5 (1990) 2703; Phys. Rev. D 43 (1991) 1633.
- [28] B.A.Kniehl, Phys. Lett. B 343 (1995) 299.
- [29] K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H.Kuhn and A.Kwiatkowski, preprint TTP 94-10, 1994.
- [30] L.R. Surguladze, Phys. Lett. B 338 (1994) 229.